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Figure 1: Welcome to the American Customer Satisfaction Index
The American Customer Satisfaction Index (ACSI)

The American Customer Satisfaction Index, ACSI, is a cross-industry measure of customer satisfaction with the quality of goods and services available to household consumers in the United States.

Figure 2: ACSI: What Is It?

Introduced in 1994 as a new economic indicator, it uses the econometric model developed for the Swedish Customer Satisfaction Barometer, SCSB, which was initiated in Sweden in 1989 and is now in its seventh year. The modeling methodology for both the Swedish and U.S. indices were developed at the University of Michigan’s National Quality Research Center by a faculty group headed by Dr. Claes Fornell.¹

The United States is the third country to have a national index of customer satisfaction with quality. The second was Germany where the Deutsche Kundenbarometer, or DK, was introduced in 1992. As of 1994, the SCSB and DK each measured the satisfaction of customers of 31 industries.

The ACSI measures satisfaction with 200 companies and government agencies in 40 industries and seven sectors of the economy.

Figure 3: ACSI Coverage

Figure 4: ACSI: National Economy--Sectors and Industries

Measures made at the individual customer level are accumulated to form the company index for each of the 200 measured companies. Each industry index is cumulated from the indices of companies within it, weighted by each company’s contribution to sales in the industry. Similarly, at the level of each of the seven sectors, industry indices are accumulated, weighted by sales. The seven sector indices are combined into the national index, or ACSI, weighted by sector contributions to the Gross Domestic Product (GDP).

Why the Need for National Customer Satisfaction Indices

Figure 5: Some Important Economic Indicators and a NEW One!
As the U.S. economy has shifted from predominantly agriculture, to predominantly manufacturing, and now to a mix of agriculture, manufacturing, services and information, economic measurement has not kept pace. In the United States, government economic indicators report price and quantity, or some combination of these: Consumer Price Index, Gross Domestic Product, employment/unemployment, number of units or quantities of production, productivity and so forth. Consumer confidence and buying intentions are measured by the academic and private sectors--the University of Michigan’s Index of Consumer Sentiment and the Conference Board’s Index of Consumer Confidence. But--until the advent of the ACSI--there was no measure of consumers’ judgments of the quality of the goods and services they purchase. If individual companies and a nation are to remain competitive, they must hold the loyalty of their customers by satisfying them. Thus there is need to measure quality and satisfaction, as perceived by those who are the best judges of these--the customers.

The Model and the Questions

The ACSI and the Swedish Customer Satisfaction Barometer both use a multi-equation econometric model using partial least squares (PLS) to
estimate the company, industry, sector, and national indices.² The model links the antecedents of satisfaction with satisfaction.

Figure 6: ACSI Model

Satisfaction is linked, in turn, to post-satisfaction behaviors. The model is an interactive one which maximizes the strength of the impact of customer satisfaction on customer loyalty. The foci of the ACSI and the SCSB are on cumulative satisfaction with the products and services of specific companies/agencies rather than on a single transaction experience.

The model requires survey data as input. Multiple questions measure customer expectations prior to experience with a good or service. Similarly, customer post-purchase perceptions of quality and perceptions of value are based on multiple questions. Satisfaction—the ACSI—is measured, not by a single question, but by the combination of a battery of three: Overall satisfaction, confirmation or disconfirmation of expectations, and a comparison of the good or service to a perceived ideal.

Respondents answer questions on 10-point scales. Since customer satisfaction is typically skewed in a positive direction, use of 10-point scales spreads the response distributions more than a 4 or 5-point scale does, and thus captures more information. Use of multiple measures of the latent variables—the unmeasurable variables shown in circles on the model—of customer expectations, perceived quality, perceived value, and customer loyalty reduces overall variance and thus permits use of smaller sample sizes. Customer loyalty is composed of two components—repurchase intention, which is transformed to customer retention, and price tolerance. The combined 10-point scale measures are combined into 0-100 indices for each of the latent variables.

Customer complaints are measured with a "yes" or "no" response, and then identified as being either formal, that is, phoned or written complaints, or merely verbal ones.
The Respondents and The Challenge of Maintaining Representative Samples

Because the ACSI is based on overall experience with the products and services of specific companies and agencies, only those with actual purchase and use experience are eligible for interview. The recency of purchase and use varies by industry—from having a current account or policy in one’s own name for banking and insurance, to having read in the past week for newspapers, purchasing in time periods of one, three, six months or a year for various categories of non-durables and services, to purchase in the past three years for durables.

The mode of interview is computer-assisted-telephone-interviewing (CATI) because of the complexity of screening to customers, the need to identify hundreds of brand and outlet names, and for economy on the scale of interviewing required.

Figure 8: The Respondents
The challenge, however, is to maintain representative national samples of customers (purchasers/users) across 200 companies, 400 industries and seven sectors. Market Strategies, Inc., which handled both the sampling and data collection in 1994 and is doing so now in the ACSI’s second year, developed some very innovative methods for meeting these challenges.

Figure 9: Replicate Samples

The ACSI methodology uses national samples generated by the GENESYS random digit dial (RDD) system which employs an epsem (equal probability of selection methodology) in creating the RDD. Continental U.S. samples were constructed, randomized, and broken into 48 replicates. A replicate is formed by randomly assigning a replicate number to each sample piece. Each replicate is considered to be a smaller version of the entire sample pool. These replicates were an important management tool in insuring the representativeness of the final data for each sector, industry, and individual company.
Because there were 40 different industries with forty different questionnaires, the concern was how to adequately represent purchase behavior and minimize respondent attrition. The solution was to rank the 40 industries based on overall market incidence, and then by market share of the companies being measured within each industry.

Figure 10: Company and Brand Incidence for ACSI

Each respondent was screened for having purchased and used products in up to 10 industries and could answer the complete interview for up to three different products. There was a fixed sample size for each company. Screening started at the lowest incidence company that was open and continued on to the next until a respondent had completed the interviews for which he/she qualified as a customer. The average was 1.8 products per respondent.

Several of the industries contained companies that were regionally based and not national—e.g., electric utilities, local telephone service, and banks. For these, screening was conducted initially using the national RDD,
but for the completion of company quotas more regionalized RDD was developed. This insured that there was no regional bias associated with the purchase behavior of the national products that the same respondents could also be interviewed about.

Figure 11: Sample Comparisons-1

Figure 12: Sample Comparisons-2

The biggest challenge was to develop a data collection methodology that maximized respondent recall and minimized instrument bias. While the ultimate goal was to measure satisfaction with the products or services of a company, customers are often unaware of the company that makes the products they buy and use. For instance, the average customer does not know that Hanes underwear is produced by Sara Lee Corporation or that Oil of Olay is manufactured by Proctor and Gamble.

Responding to this challenge required building brand dictionaries across all of the 200 companies being measured. In total, over 13,000 brand
names were aggregated to 3,914 brands and mapped back to their parent companies. Respondents qualified themselves as customers by telling the brand name they purchased. "Pop-up screens" in the CATI system allowed interviewers to enter the brand name and have that identify the respondent as the customer of a measured company and thus qualified for the interview. The brand name was recalled back into the questions in the CATI program so that the respondent was answering by the brand and product and not the company directly.

Figure 13: Brand Extension of Company Products

1994 ACSI Results

The first national American Customer Satisfaction Index, reported in October 1994, was 74.5 on a 0-100 scale. It dropped very slightly to 74.2 in the 4th quarter 1994 update. First quarter results for 1995 will be released in mid-May.

Figure 14: 1994 ACSI=74.5
As a one-point-in-time measure, what does this mean? For comparison, we can say that it is higher than the SCSB measured in the same way and released in the same week which showed 63.0. The German barometer using somewhat different methodology translates to approximately 61.3.\(^3\) Why the differences? One reason is that there are more public and government agencies in the mix of companies/agencies in both the Swedish and German barometers. Satisfaction is lower in public sector industries in all three national indices.

Figure 15: Manufacturing, Service, Public Sector ACSIs

A second reason may be that customers in the U.S. are more satisfied because they have a wider variety of choices of products and services. The third reason may be that many of these products and services are available at prices which are proportionately less, compared to incomes, than those in the two European countries.

\(^3\)The DK uses a single 5-point scale to measure customer satisfaction which ranges from 1 (completely satisfied) to 5 (dissatisfied). The 61.3 represent a translation of this average 5-point scale rating to a 0-100 satisfaction scale.
What the first ACSI does show is that there is great variety in satisfaction with what is provided by the companies and agencies measured. The range for individual companies is 55 to 90. The seven sectors show these ranges:

Figure 16: Sector ACSIs

There are dramatic score ranges within a number of industries which point to who the winners and losers will be in retaining customers.

Figure 17: ACSI RANGE 1994 Selected Industries

Finally, one of the best demonstrations of whether customer satisfaction matters, is illustrated by ACSI scores compared to customer complaints.

Figure 18: CUSTOMER COMPLAINTS VS ACSI
In general, as satisfaction increases, complaints go down—the expected direction. Most of the outliers have credible explanations. Two industries with relatively high satisfaction scores also have high levels of complaints: Automobiles, where there are more things which can go wrong with the product or service, and Express Delivery, where—by guaranteeing delivery within tight time deadlines—the industry has actually encouraged complaints of late or non-delivery. On the other end of the scale, the U.S. Postal Service gets a high volume of complaints from customers who are definitely not satisfied.

This has been a brief introduction to a new economic indicator for the United States—an indicator which measures what has not been measured before, satisfaction with quality by the only persons who are its ultimate judges—the buyers and users. The survey challenge in startup of such an indicator was to design a cost effective way to identify actual customers within probability samples of telephone households in the United States; link the brands they use to the companies which produce those brands; and then to maintain representative, national samples of completed interviews—or, for some companies, regional samples—for each of the 200 companies.
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Welcome to the American Customer Satisfaction Index (ACSI)

ACSI is co-sponsored by ASQC and the University of Michigan School of Business Administration
ACSI: What Is It?

- A tested method; used in Sweden since 1989
- A quarterly index of customer satisfaction
- A nationwide, multi-industry scale encompassing seven economic sectors
- Independent and uniform
- Represents U.S. economy
ACSI Coverage

- 7 sectors
- 40 industries
- 200+ companies and agencies
- $2.7 trillion sales (U.S. companies)
ACSI: National Economy—Sectors and Industries

Manufacturing Nondurables 3.3% (SIC 2)
  - Food/Meat
  - Food/Dairy
  - Food/Canned
  - Food/Cereal
  - Food/Baked
  - Food/Chocolate
  - Beverages/Beer
  - Beverages/Soft Drinks
  - Tobacco/Cigarettes
  - Apparel/Sportswear
  - Apparel/Athletic Shoes
  - Publishing/Newspapers
  - Personal Care Products
  - Gasoline
  - $354 B

Manufacturing Durables 9.6% (SIC 3)
  - PC/Printers
  - Household Appliances
  - Autos/Vans
  - Consumer Electronics/TV/VCR
  - $499 B

Transportation Communications Utilities 8.8% (SIC 4)
  - Local Phone
  - Long Distance
  - Utilities/Electric Service
  - U.S. Postal Service
  - Express/Parcel Delivery
  - Airlines
  - Broadcasting/TV
  - $450 B

Retail 9.3% (SIC 5)
  - Department Stores
  - Discount Stores
  - Supermarkets
  - Restaurants/Fast Food/Pizza/Carryout
  - $375 B

Finance Insurance 6.6% (SIC 6)
  - Banks/Commercial
  - Insurance/Life
  - Insurance/Property/Auto/Homeowners
  - $659 B

Services 19.0% (SIC 7,8)
  - Hotels/Motels
  - Hospitals
  - Motion Pictures
  - $48 B

Government 12.6% (SIC 9)
  - IRS
  - Garbage/Trash Service (central city)
  - Garbage/Trash Service (suburb)
  - Police (central city)
  - Police (suburb)
  - $52 B

Figure 4
Some Important Economic Indicators and a NEW One!

GDP
Productivity
Balance of Trade
Employment
Consumer Price Index
Index of Leading Indicators

Economic Policy
Financial Markets
ACSI Model

Customer Complaints → Customer Satisfaction (ACSI) → Customer Loyalty
Customer Satisfaction (ACSI) → Perceived Value → Customer Expectations

Perceived Quality
- Overall
- Reliability
- Stimulation

Perceived Value
- Price given Quality
- Quality given Price

Customer Expectations
- Overall
- Stimulation
- Reliability

Price Tolerance (Observation)
The Questions

- Customer expectations
- Quality
- Value
- Customer satisfaction
- Customer loyalty
- Customer complaints
The Respondents

210,706 telephone numbers sampled randomly

Screened: Recent experience as a customer of the selected companies

45,906 interviews
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brand Name</th>
<th>Company Name</th>
<th>Market Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compaq Deskpro</td>
<td>Compaq</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compaq LTE</td>
<td>Compaq</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compaq Portable</td>
<td>Compaq</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compaq SLT</td>
<td>Compaq</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apple II</td>
<td>Apple</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macintosh</td>
<td>Apple</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBM PC</td>
<td>Apple</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printer Plus 4110</td>
<td>Apple</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hewlett-Packard</td>
<td>Hewlett-Packard</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hewlett-Packard</td>
<td>Hewlett-Packard</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hewlett-Packard</td>
<td>Hewlett-Packard</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hewlett-Packard</td>
<td>Hewlett-Packard</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International</td>
<td>International</td>
<td>41.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Machines</td>
<td>International</td>
<td>41.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>machines</td>
<td>International</td>
<td>41.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International</td>
<td>International</td>
<td>41.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Machines</td>
<td>International</td>
<td>41.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>machines</td>
<td>International</td>
<td>41.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACSI CUSTOMERS</td>
<td>SAMPLE COMPARISONS</td>
<td>1990 Census Age 18-84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th Qtr Update</td>
<td>12,230</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline 1994</td>
<td>45,906</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18-34</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-54</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/Ethnic</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>American Indian</th>
<th>Asian</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Hispanic (any race)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>67</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### ACSI CUSTOMERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Baseline 1994</th>
<th>4th Qtr Update</th>
<th>1990 Census Age 18-84</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Education</th>
<th>Baseline 1994</th>
<th>4th Qtr Update</th>
<th>1990 Census Age 18-84</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less Than High School</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School Graduate</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some College</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Graduate</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Graduate</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Median HH Income      | $35,030 | $37,260 | $30,056 |
**BRAND EXTENSION OF COMPANY PRODUCTS**

**EXAMPLE:** Food Processing - Cold Meats, Franks, Poultry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brand Name</th>
<th>Company Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Armour</td>
<td>ConAgra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eckrich</td>
<td>ConAgra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Star Turkey</td>
<td>ConAgra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hebrew National</td>
<td>ConAgra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butcher's Best Poultry</td>
<td>ConAgra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flyers Chicken</td>
<td>Tyson Food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holly Farms Bologna</td>
<td>Tyson Food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louis Kemp</td>
<td>Tyson Food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ball Park Franks</td>
<td>Tyson Food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hillshire Sausage</td>
<td>Tyson Food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jimmy Dean Sausage</td>
<td>Sara Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Sausages</td>
<td>Sara Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Big &amp; Juicy Frankfurters</td>
<td>Sara Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louis Rich</td>
<td>Sara Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oscar Mayer</td>
<td>Sara Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Select-A-Size Lunchmeat</td>
<td>Kraft</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1994 ACSI = 74.5

What Does This Mean?
Sector

1. Manufacturing / nondurables
2. Manufacturing / durables
3. Transportation / Communications / Utilities
4. Retail
5. Finance / Insurance
6. Services
7. Public Administration / Government

National

82
79
75
76
75
74
64

74.5

Figure 16