RECREATING AND EXTENDING BUYER-SUPPLIER LINKS
IN FOREIGN LOCATIONS:
JAPANESE AUTOMOBILE ASSEMBLERS AND
COMPONENT MANUFACTURERS IN NORTH AMERICA

Working Paper #9404-23

Xavier Martin Will Mitchell Anand Swaminathan University of Michigan



RECREATING AND EXTENDING BUYER-SUPPLIER LINKS IN FOREIGN LOCATIONS: JAPANESE AUTOMOBILE ASSEMBLERS AND COMPONENT MANUFACTURERS IN NORTH AMERICA

XAVIER MARTIN WILL MITCHELL ANAND SWAMINATHAN

School of Business Administration University of Michigan Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1234 phone: (313) 764-1230; fax: 763-5688

July 29, 1994

Version: A4v1e

Please address communication to Will Mitchell Internet: wmitchel@umich.edu

We are grateful for assistance provided by Marc Santucci of ELM International, Inc. in East Lansing, Michigan, and for financial support provided by the Center for International Business Education and the Japan Technology Management Program of the University of Michigan. We appreciate comments received from reviewers, from Bernard Yeung, and from participants of the Interdisciplinary Colloquium on Organization Studies at the University of Michigan.

RECREATING AND EXTENDING BUYER-SUPPLIER LINKS IN FOREIGN LOCATIONS: JAPANESE AUTOMOBILE ASSEMBLERS AND COMPONENT MANUFACTURERS IN NORTH AMERICA

ABSTRACT

We compare buyer-supplier links established by pairs of Japanese-owned automobile assemblers and component manufacturers operating in Japan and in North America during 1989-1990. Eight assemblers and more than 170 Japanese component manufacturers had established North American manufacturing facilities. In total, Japanese automobile assembly organizations operating in North America had recreated about a quarter of their Japanese supply links. The suppliers that set up North American facilities had recreated almost 60% of their links with traditional buyers and formed more than 16% of the possible extension links with new assembler partners. We identify several economic and organizational factors that influence whether an existing buyer-supplier link will be recreated in a new location and whether firms that do not have a buyer-supplier relationship at home will form an extension link in the new location. We find that the presence of a long-term buyer-supplier relationship, buyer and supplier entry timing to the new location, the joint venture status of the buyer, supplier size, and the breadth of a supplier's sales base in the home market influence the likelihood that links will be recreated and extension links will be formed.

RECREATING AND EXTENDING BUYER-SUPPLIER LINKS IN FOREIGN LOCATIONS: JAPANESE AUTOMOBILE ASSEMBLERS AND COMPONENT MANUFACTURERS IN NORTH AMERICA

Companies that set up business operations outside their domestic market often form supply links with home-country suppliers that also have established foreign facilities (Vernon, 1971; Grubel, 1977; Terpstra and Yu, 1988). The suppliers frequently make major contributions to the success of an assembler's international facilities (Lamming, 1990; Blenkhorn and Banting, 1991; Florida and Kenney, 1991). Indeed, close links with home-country suppliers might provide an assembler some of the intangible firm-specific advantages that internalization theorists and international strategists argue are needed to support a firm's international expansion. However, it is unlikely that any expanding firm will establish links in the new location with all possible home-country suppliers. We understand little about the firm-level factors that determine which links between home-country buyers and suppliers will be formed in the new location, because most research concerning buyer-supplier ties in international expansion either focuses on a few cases or studies the empirical issue as an aggregate industry-level phenomenon.

In this study, we identify incentives that might lead assemblers that have expanded internationally to establish links in the foreign location with suppliers from the assemblers' home country. Empirically, we examine the buyer-supplier links of Japanese-owned automobile assemblers and first-tier component manufacturers operating in North America during 1989-1990. We define a supplier as a company that produces components and an assembler as a company that combines components into an end-product. (In our context, the terms buyer and assembler are synonyms.) In the automobile industry, the assemblers are the motor vehicle manufacturers such as Toyota and Mitsubishi, while the suppliers are firms that manufacture components such as brakes and door latches. In turn, we define a buyer-supplier link as a case in which an assembler purchases components manufactured by a legally-separate supplier. We refer

to cases in which traditional suppliers and assemblers establish manufacturing facilities in a new location and establish supply relationships as "recreating" buyer-supplier links. We refer to cases in which firms that do not supply an assembler in the home country establish manufacturing facilities and become suppliers in the new location as "extending" buyer-supplier links. We identify several economic and organizational factors that influence which home-country links will be recreated and which extension links will be formed.

This research has both topical and general interest. The issue of automobile component supply is generating substantial competitive and political controversy (e.g., Haglund, 1992; Economist, 1992). A major goal of recent American trade missions has been to force Japanese manufacturers to purchase auto parts from American firms. Political pressure, the changing yendollar exchange rate, and improvements in quality and delivery of components have led Japanese buyers to increase their purchases in the United States. However, Japanese and American automobile manufacturers now purchase components from many Japanese-owned suppliers owing to lower cost, higher quality, or superior service for some products, and American buyers are likely to increase their purchases from Japanese-owned firms in the future (Davies, 1989). Some argue that continued competition from Japanese suppliers might be needed to strengthen the American automobile assembly and parts manufacturing sectors (e.g., Gelsanlitter, 1990). A more detailed empirical understanding of which buyer-supplier links are being recreated and extended is needed to clarify the discussion. In addition, the general issue of buyer-supplier links in global industries is important to competition throughout the economy. Our arguments and analysis apply to buyer-supplier relations in many manufacturing and service industries and help place the political and competitive issues of buyer-supplier relationships in an accurate context.

The paper proceeds as follows. The next section outlines the importance of long-term interfirm supply relationships and reviews previous analyses of link recreation and extension in foreign locations. We next identify several firm-level factors that might influence link recreation and extension. We then describe the empirical case of Japanese auto industry firms. The

subsequent section reports logistic regression estimates of influences on link recreation and extension. The conclusion summarizes the results and raises issues for future research.

BACKGROUND: BUYER-SUPPLIER LINKS

Buyer-supplier link recreation and extension arise as issues owing to the importance of long-term interfirm supply relationships in many industries (Martin, Mitchell, and Swaminathan, 1994). Although strategists once tended to view suppliers and buyers primarily as antagonists seeking to appropriate the profits of existing business activity in an industry chain, we now recognize that effective supply relationships connect the specialized capabilities of several firms and so contribute to the development of new business opportunities (Porter, 1985; Richardson, 1993a). Long-term interfirm supply relationships provide an alternative to the simple make-orbuy choice in which transaction-specific assets are employed internally and general assets are governed through short-term contracts (Williamson, 1975). Even for components that require transaction-specific investment, long-term relationships with suppliers are often superior to internal sourcing when suppliers can produce higher quality or lower cost goods than an internal operation (Nishiguchi, 1994). Long-term supply relationships tend to be superior to short-term relationships when products are complex, technology is changing, there are complicated interactions among components, information transfer is difficult and uncertain, or when a trading relationship requires specialized human skills (Monteverde and Teece, 1982; Helper, 1987; Masten, Meehan, and Snyder, 1989; Lyons, Krachenberg, and Henke, 1990).

Firms engaged in long-term supply relationships often shift product development responsibilities from the assembler to the supplier, exchange technical and marketing personnel, or carry out other cooperative activities (Hayes and Wheelwright, 1984; Womack, Jones, and Roos, 1990; Clark and Fujimoto, 1991), so that the suppliers play integral roles in defining and achieving the assemblers' objectives (Blenkhorn and Banting, 1991). Interorganizational links such as long-term buyer-supplier relationships might also help firms develop the trust needed to secure an effective partnership (Cusumano, 1985; Heide and Miner, 1992), reduce the effects of environmental uncertainty (Pfeffer and Salancik, 1978), ensure a stable flow of resources in

times of scarcity or environmental turbulence (Stearns, Hoffman, and Heide, 1987), and reduce risks (Contractor and Lorange, 1988). Because creating a set of effective buyer-supplier relationships tends to be a slow and difficult process (Eccles, 1981; Heide and John, 1990), firms that possess such relationships have advantages that competitors can not replicate quickly. Therefore, effective long-term supplier relationships contribute to the success of a firm's domestic operations and offer a potential source of advantage when a firm establishes foreign facilities.

When a firm establishes production facilities in a location outside its home country, the company will often benefit if it can draw on some of its existing ties with suppliers rather than undertake internal production or establish links with locally-based suppliers for all components required in the new location. In-house production at the new location is not feasible for components for which an assembler lacks capabilities or volume. New locally-based suppliers often lack the requisite technical skills to produce the desired components or the organizational skills required to establish suitable buyer-supplier links. To the extent that the foreign assembly facility is similar to the buyer's home country operations, some existing suppliers will possess technical and organizational skills suited to the new location. In many cases, therefore, an assembler will benefit by reestablishing some of its existing buyer-supplier links in the new location (Lamming, 1990; Blenkhorn and Banting, 1991). In part, the suppliers can serve the buyer's foreign facility by exporting components to it. However, importing is often difficult and prohibitively expensive because of transportation costs, trade laws, tariffs, political pressure to purchase components in the host location, and the logistical requirements of just-in-time manufacturing processes (Encarnation, 1987; Turnbull, Oliver, and Wilkinson, 1992). Therefore, it sometimes will be necessary for the supplier to manufacture components in the foreign location.

The value of supplier expansion in concert with buyer expansion relates closely to current theories of international expansion and technical development. Internalization theorists argue that firms expand beyond their home markets in order to realize the value of intangible

assets such as technical skills and organizational competence (Coase, 1937; Caves, 1971, 1982; Dunning, 1973; Buckley and Casson, 1976; Morck and Yeung, 1991). Similarly, international strategists argue that firms can increase and leverage the value of assets by expanding globally (Vernon, 1971; Hymer, 1976; Kogut, 1985; Porter, 1986; Prahalad and Doz, 1987; Bartlett and Ghoshal, 1989; Casson, 1990). From this point of view, established buyer-supplier relationships provide an additional intangible asset that might assist with international expansion (Grubel, 1977; Gray and Gray, 1981), because part of a buyer's technical skills and other capabilities will exist in the form of intangible interorganizational routines that link it with its suppliers.

Moreover, existing suppliers may contribute to a buyer's absorptive capacity, which Cohen and Levinthal (1990: 129) define as a firm's ability to assimilate and apply new external information, by helping its partner scan the new environment and acquire needed capabilities to operate within it. Hence, expanding buyers often will benefit if some of their suppliers also expand.

Several studies have addressed supplier expansion following international expansion of the buyer. Some authors have argued that manufacturing and service-sector suppliers will often follow their customers abroad and several case studies describe examples (e.g., Brimmer and Dahl, 1975; Rangan, 1985; Burton and Saelens, 1986; Turnbull and Doherty-Wilson, 1989). Some North American auto component manufacturers made foreign direct investments when American car manufacturers expanded into Europe (Vernon, 1971), for example, and component companies such as Bendix are now major suppliers to American-owned automotive facilities in Europe. Similarly, European suppliers such as Philips are now establishing North American manufacturing facilities to serve the new BMW automobile manufacturing facility in South Carolina. Systematic empirical studies, mainly of banking and advertising companies (e.g., Safarian, 1966; Grubel, 1977; Gray and Gray, 1981; Terpstra and Yu, 1988; Goldberg and Johnson, 1990; Seth and Quijano, 1991), conclude that many service sector suppliers follow when their customers expand. However, most studies measure correlations between aggregate foreign direct investment by a customer industry and aggregate foreign direct investment by a supplier industry, rather than examine firm-level decisions. Therefore, the studies provide little

information concerning which suppliers in an industry will expand and which buyers they will sell to.

Identifying influences on which buyer-supplier links will be recreated in the new location is a key strategic and organizational question. Although dealing with traditional suppliers after setting up new facilities offers important benefits for a new assembly operation, recreating existing links also poses substantial tradeoffs relative to establishing links with new suppliers. New conditions in the foreign location will challenge the stability of interorganizational relationships (Leblebici and Salancik, 1982). On the one hand, existing suppliers contributed to past competitive success and often possess knowledge that is relevant to current competitive needs. Conversely, new suppliers might understand the new operating environment better than traditional suppliers, while the assembler's manufacturing facility in the new location might not provide sufficient volume for a traditional supplier to set up a new plant. Moreover, international expansion by a single firm creates difficulties that stem from complex organizational structures and cultural diversity (Hofstede, 1980; Caves, 1982; Kogut and Singh, 1988). Owing to the conflict of benefits and problems, an expanding assembler is likely to recreate only some of its existing buyer-supplier links in the new location. We need greater systematic understanding of which buyer-supplier links will be recreated.

Identifying influences on link extension also is an important issue. From an assembler's point of view, home country suppliers that serve a firm's home-country competitors sometimes become useful sources of supply in international markets when the assembler cannot recreate links with traditional suppliers (Pastré, 1981). An extension relationship has advantages if there are systematic differences in buyer-supplier relations among firms based in different countries (see Kogut, 1991). For example, in the automotive industry and in other industrial sectors, firms based in a given country have long tended to adopt practices that resemble those of other firms from the same country more than they resemble practices of firms from other countries (Lamming, 1990; Lieberman, 1990: Cusumano and Takeishi, 1991; Florida and Kenney, 1993). If two expanding buyers from the same country follow similar practices with their suppliers, it

often will be easier for one to deal with the other's supplier in the new location than to establish a link with a local supplier in the new location. Forming extension links might allow a buyer to avoid the potential of high switching costs created when a firm depends on a single supplier for a key component, especially if few of a buyer's traditional home-country suppliers for a particular component have established foreign facilities. In the United States, firms frequently carry out internal production of goods for which switching costs would be high (Walker and Weber, 1984, 1987). As an alternative, an assembler that sets up international facilities might use new home-country suppliers to create multiple sourcing or parallel sourcing arrangements. Richardson (1993b) argues that parallel sourcing, which involves using different suppliers of similar goods for different projects, approaches the quality and scale benefits of sole sourcing while avoiding the potential hold ups inherent in depending on a single supplier.

RESEARCH ISSUES

We pose our queries concerning link recreation and extension as research issues owing to the exploratory nature of the study, but where appropriate the likely direction of relationships is identified. The discussion applies to recreation and extension incentives for pairs of suppliers and buyers. The theoretical motivation for the discussion draws from considerations of volume economies, interorganizational learning, and interorganizational power. Our choice of particular factors to study is affected by empirical availability in the auto industry, but the factors are applicable to many other cases. The arguments address the perspective of buyers that have established international manufacturing facilities and all home-country suppliers, an approach that treats a supplier's decision to expand to the new location and the firm's decision to sell to a particular buyer as joint decisions. The set of pairwise relationships involving these firms is defined as the all-supplier sample. The statistical analysis that follows will also examine a sample that includes recreation and extension links only for the suppliers that have expanded to the foreign location, which is defined as the transplant-supplier sample. (The term transplant refers to automobile assembly and component manufacturing facilities established in foreign

locations.) The paper addresses characteristics of each potential buyer-supplier pair and characteristics of each individual buyer and supplier. Table 1 summarizes the expected influences on link recreation and extension in the all-supplier sample.

****** Table 1 about here ******

Characteristics of buyer-supplier pairs

The past experience of individual buyer-supplier pairs is likely to affect link recreation and extension incentives. Long-lasting economic relationships often lead to valuable interorganizational partner-specific experience with administrative systems (Van de Ven, 1976), as well as relationship-specific assets and skills such as idiosyncratic information and specialized technology (Asanuma, 1989; Fichman and Levinthal, 1991). Long-lasting relationships also promote interorganizational trust that reduces fears of opportunism (Granovetter, 1985; Williamson, 1985: 120-123). The relationships create both interfirm capabilities and interfirm dependence (Weick, 1979; Aldrich and Whetten, 1981). Thus, we expect buyers and suppliers with long-established relationships in the home country to be particularly likely to recreate manufacturing links in the new location.

Firms that were once trading partners in the home country but no longer have a supply relationship face conflicting incentives to establish an extension link in the new location. Any negative experience that led to the breakdown of the home-country relationship might discourage attempts at establishing a link at foreign operations. On the other hand, the former supplier might retain buyer-specific experience that makes it a more likely choice than a completely unknown supplier for serving the assembly facility.

Buyer characteristics

Production experience in the new location. We expect longer buyer production experience in the new location to be associated with greater likelihood that a buyer-supplier link will be recreated. Assemblers that are among the first to expand to a new location might choose to depend on local suppliers for components that cannot be imported or produced internally but,

to the extent that local firms do not follow the same supply practices that the buyer is familiar with in its home country, the early entrant firm is likely to encourage its home-country suppliers to establish foreign facilities in order to recreate relationships with them.

A factor that might oppose this prediction is that many early entrants to foreign markets fail (Hood and Young, 1979; Mitchell, Shaver, and Yeung, 1993, 1994). If there is high uncertainty concerning the success of an expanding buyer, suppliers might be reluctant to establish new manufacturing facilities to serve an early-entrant buyer's first production needs. If home country suppliers refuse to establish new facilities, early-entrant buyers might be forced to rely on local suppliers for their early production needs rather than recreate links with many traditional suppliers. Buyers that establish successful local supplier links during their early years in the new location then might be loath to disrupt their local supply relationships by recreating links once the buyer's survival is assured and home-country suppliers become more willing to establish new facilities for them. Nonetheless, we expect longer buyer experience in the new location to have a positive effect on link recreation in cases in which early entrant buyers were credible firms with reasonable chance of expansion success.

We expect longer buyer production experience in the new location to have no overall association with link extension because both older and newer buyers will be extension targets for suppliers. Earlier-entrant buyers will have more time to form extension links with suppliers of which they did not have first-hand knowledge (see Dunning, 1986). At the same time, however, later-entrant buyers will often draw on earlier entrants' suppliers. Therefore, we expect little difference in the likelihood that earlier and later-entrant buyers will establish extension links.

Production volume in the new location. We expect a buyer's production volume in a new location, which often will be related to longer experience, to be positively related to the likelihood that any one link will be recreated or any one potential extension link will be formed. The greater the production volume, the greater the opportunity for a buyer's existing suppliers to achieve sufficient volume economies to justify facilities in the new locale. Also, the larger the buyer's volume in the new location, the more a home-country supplier stands to gain from

establishing a link in the new location and the more the buyer stands to lose if it deals with an inefficient local supplier. In addition, larger organizations often have stronger administrative skills than smaller organizations (Penrose, 1959), so that buyers with greater production volume in the new location might be better able to manage the process of recreating partnerships and establishing extension links.

Home-country production volume. Whether the level of buyer home-country production volume will affect link recreation is uncertain, because of conflicting influences of scale and interorganizational power. In the presence of scale economies, which are common in the automobile sector (Pratten, 1971; Scherer, 1980), the larger the buyer's home-country operations, the more likely existing suppliers will operate scale-intensive equipment in the home country. Buyers that expand internationally tend to produce substantially smaller volumes in the new location than in their home country at first (Kogut, 1983). In such cases, a supplier to a large home-country buyer that recreates the relationship in the new location will need to operate different production systems in the two locations or operate a supply facility below optimal scale in the new location; both options create disincentives for an incumbent supplier to set up foreign operations. From an interorganizational dependence perspective, however, a recreation incentive arises owing to the link between firm size and bargaining power. Other things equal, a large buyer can exert more power over its trading partners than can a small buyer because the larger firm threatens greater harm to its partners should it withdraw its business and offers greater potential benefits of increased future purchases (Pfeffer and Salancik, 1978). Insofar as buyers are larger than their suppliers, resource dependence theory would predict that larger homecountry buyers will be more likely to recreate supplier links in the new location. The relative impact of the economic scale and power dependence influences on link recreation is an intriguing empirical question.

We have no prior expectations concerning the association between link extension and a buyer's home-country production volume. From the point of view of a supplier considering extension opportunities, serving a new customer in the new location might lead to later

opportunities to sell goods to that customer in the home country (Grubel, 1977; Gray and Gray, 1981). Whether this potential opportunity will provide incentives for suppliers to establish extension links with buyers that have larger home-country operation is an empirical question.

Number of home-country suppliers. The number of suppliers that a buyer deals with in its home country might have opposite influences on the likelihood of recreation and extension. Buyers with many home-country suppliers might possess broader supplier management skills than buyers that deal with few suppliers, and so might find it easier to create any available extension links abroad while having less need to form recreation links. 1

Joint venture status. Cases in which buyers operate in the new location as joint venture partners with other buyers also might affect link recreation and extension incentives. When a joint venture is established by two home-country competitors that do not have identical sets of suppliers in the home country, the joint venture's pool of candidates for partnership recreation will be larger than if each buyer established an independent facility. Hence, any one supplier recreation relationship is less likely to be established by a buyer that is part of an assembly joint venture than by a buyer that operates a single-owner facility. Similarly, the pool of extension candidates will be smaller for the joint venture, so that any one supplier extension link might be more likely to be established by a buyer that is part of an assembly joint venture than by a buyer that operates a single-owner facility. Sharing each other's supplier base might also reduce the chance that one assembler can exert undue control over the joint venture (Harrigan, 1985).

When a joint venture is established by a home-country company and a local competitor (e.g., between a Japanese automobile assembler and a North American assembler), the joint venture might draw heavily on the local company's pool of local suppliers. If so, then such cross-national assembly joint ventures might be less likely than other buyers to recreate partnerships and establish extension links. Conversely, the strength of the expanding firm's suppliers and other suppliers from its home country might be part of the attraction of the joint venture for the local firm. Burgers, Hill, and Kim (1993) argue that firms sometimes use joint ventures or other alliances to gain access to their competitors' strategic capabilities. If the foreign firm's supply

base is part of its attraction to the local company, recreation and extension will be at least as likely as for other buyer organizations.

Supplier characteristics

We next identify factors that make links with particular assemblers more or less desirable from a supplier's viewpoint. Although suppliers often realize less stability and lower profitability than assemblers (Caves and Uekusa, 1976), suppliers also might gain important benefits from long-term buyer-supplier relationships. Suppliers that enjoy long-term ties with one or more assemblers might have more predictable markets and better information for product development and production planning than suppliers that rely primarily on short-term relationships with customers. Both the supplier and the buyer might benefit from a long-term buyer-supplier relationship, therefore, and both firms must assess the benefits of recreating and extending supply relationships.

Supplier size. Large suppliers are more likely to recreate and extend links than small suppliers. As a supplier's size increases, the organizational and financial resources available to it also increase (March and Simon, 1958; Sharfman, Wolf, Chase, and Tansik, 1988). The literature on foreign direct investment suggests that large firms are more likely to possess resources for international expansion and can spread the costs of foreign operations over a large base of R&D and administration (e.g., Horst, 1972; Caves, 1982). In addition, large suppliers might be able to make a foreign plant benefit from large spillovers of home-country experience. Thus, larger suppliers are more likely to expand and are more likely to recreate links in the new location. Larger suppliers also are more likely to form extension links because greater size improves a supplier's power relative to any of its current buyers that are reluctant to allow their suppliers to form links with new buyers (Pfeffer and Salancik, 1978).

Supplier expanded before buyer. We often think of suppliers expanding after or at the same time that their buyers set up foreign facilities. However, there are two types of cases in which some suppliers establish facilities in the new location before their potential recreation and extension partners expand. First, some suppliers might expand before any home-country buyer

sets up a foreign manufacturing facility, in order to sell components to foreign buyers. Second, a supplier might enter the foreign location after some home-country buyers expanded but before a particular recreation or extension candidate sets up its foreign facilities. In either situation, home-country suppliers that expanded before their potential partners from the home country are likely to be particularly desirable recreation and extension targets because of the suppliers' established local presence.

Number of home-country buyers. The number of buyers a supplier serves domestically creates positive incentives for link recreation and extension. The more domestic buyers that have established foreign facilities, the more opportunities there will be to achieve minimum efficient scale as the partners expand, which raises the incentives for link recreation. Once the supplier expands in order to recreate a first link, the company will be available to recreate links with other assemblers in the new location. Moreover, a supplier with many home-country buyers possesses substantial interorganizational experience, whether or not the buyers have expanded, which will tend to make the supplier a desirable candidate for recreation and extension links in the new location.

The number of home-country buyers question addresses an underemphasized and important point. Discussions of buyer-supplier relationships in general and Japanese buyer-supplier relationships in particular often view buyers as the dominant and even exploitative partners in the relationships, with suppliers tending to be captive to a single buyer (see Lincoln and McBride, 1987). In practice, though, suppliers often deal with many customers and we are beginning to recognize that interfirm relationships in Japan and elsewhere often are mutually beneficial (Lincoln, 1990). Although customers might fear potential leakage of proprietary knowledge when a supplier also deals with competitors (Lamming, 1990), some suppliers possess sufficient skill or volume economies to outweigh proprietary concerns. In such cases, suppliers tend to have a substantial degree of autonomy from any one buyer. In this empirical case, some Japanese suppliers have very strong technical and financial resources and deal with many buyers. Firms such as NSK Bearing and Nippon Denso, for instance, exercise substantial

independence in their choice of what new capabilities to develop, where to expand, and who to deal with. Similarly, U.S. auto component suppliers such as ITT, TRW, Johnson Controls, and many others do not depend on a single buyer. Such cases are more likely to involve bilateral dependence between buyer and supplier than unilateral dependence of a supplier on its customers. Indeed, suppliers might be the stronger partners in some relationships. Given current trends in the auto industry and other manufacturing sectors, in which out-sourcing of components and supplier-led design play increasingly important roles, supplier power is likely to increase in the future.

One might question whether firm-specific advantages are a relevant issue when a supplier deals with more than one customer, because it might appear that any advantage that stems from buyer-supplier relationships could be imitated and would dissipate. However, firm-specific routines will tend to develop between a buyer and supplier even when the supplier deals with other customers. The routines will incorporate idiosyncratic characteristics of the buyer and supplier and thereby reflect the history of the relationship between the two firms. In addition, the customer might benefit from skills the supplier has learned from its other customers. Suppliers that have experience with several customers may then provide particularly valuable support to assemblers' foreign expansion.

Captive suppliers. The issue of buyer dominance does arise in the case of captive suppliers. The case in which a supplier has only one buyer is an extreme case of the number of buyers. A captive supplier of a buyer that has expanded faces conflicting incentives to recreate the buyer-supplier relationship in the new location. Such a captive supplier case is a monopsony situation that represents extreme small numbers bargaining (Williamson, 1985). Lamming (1990) discusses competitive advantages that might stem from especially tight relationships between a supplier and one assembler. A captive supplier and its buyer might develop particularly strong firm-specific interorganizational experience that is valuable in the buyer's new location. We expect that captive suppliers that establish facilities in the foreign location will be very likely to recreate links if their traditional partner also has established a foreign facility,

but the captive supplier might lack scale and organizational experience needed to support its own international expansion. Hence, when analyzed in terms of all possible recreation pairs, the likelihood that a captive supplier of a buyer that has expanded will recreate the relationship is an empirical question.

Captive suppliers might be particularly unlikely to form extension links. Captive suppliers that expand to the new location in order to serve their buyer's foreign operations might be sufficiently dominated by their single partner that establishing a link with a new company is not possible even if the supplier views it as desirable. Captive suppliers of buyers that have not expanded are not candidates for link recreation, and often will lack the interorganizational experience needed to expand to the new location and establish links with new partners. The case where the buyer has not expanded generalizes to situations where the supplier has several domestic buyers, none of which has expanded. Such a supplier is not a candidate for link recreation and, we expect, will be unlikely to set up foreign facilities and form extension links.

The characteristics of individual buyers and suppliers and of buyer-supplier pairs that we have outlined in this section are especially relevant in the automobile industry. We next review the importance of buyer-supplier relationships in the industry and describe the data concerning buyer-supplier relationships among Japanese-owned automobile assemblers and component manufacturers operating in Japan and North America.

BUYER-SUPPLIER RELATIONSHIPS IN THE AUTO INDUSTRY

Buyer-supplier relationships are particularly important in the automobile industry, where many components must be combined to create the final product and technological change is ongoing. Until recently, North American auto makers followed a short-term contracting approach with most external suppliers (Cusumano and Takeishi, 1991; Helper, 1991). The short-term approach worked well enough when all major competitors followed a similar strategy, but the practice created competitive disadvantages when new competitors based in Japan introduced more effective supplier strategies. Closeknit long-term buyer-supplier relationships are

commonly held to be more prevalent in Japan than in North America. Japanese auto assemblers tend to establish in-depth relationships with a limited number of first-tier suppliers that subcontract part of the production to second-tier suppliers and, in turn, to third-tier suppliers that specialize in manufacturing processes such as pressing, cutting, welding, forging and casting (McMillan, 1990; Ballon, 1992). Although estimates vary somewhat, Turnbull, Oliver, and Wilkinson (1991) suggest that there are several hundred first-tier suppliers, about 900 second tier suppliers, and as many as 10,000 third-tier suppliers in the Japanese auto sector. In recent years, Japanese assemblers as a group outsourced over 70% in value of their automotive components, substantially more than American or European mass producers (Cusumano, 1985; Odaka, Ono, and Adachi, 1988: 54). Although the length of individual contracts often is no longer in Japan than in the United States (Asanuma, 1989; Helper, 1991; Smitka, 1991), buyers and suppliers maintain longer time horizons because there tends to be a higher likelihood that contracts will be renewed. Cole and Yakushiji (1984) found that effective buyer-supplier links provided Japanese auto manufacturers with significant cost advantages relative to North American manufacturers during the early 1980s. Clark, Chew, and Fujimoto (1987), meanwhile, found that suppliers to Japanese automotive plants designed more than three times as many new parts as suppliers to North American plants and attributed part of the Japanese assemblers' technical superiority to supplier involvement in the design process. Long-term buyer-supplier relationships are integral parts of the firm groups that many authors argue provide Japanese firms with substantial competitive advantages relative to American and European competitors (e.g., Gerlach, 1987).

Many Japanese suppliers and assemblers are associated in groups that are often referred to as vertical *keiretsu* (Gerlach, 1992), a term that also is used to describe bank-centered groups (Lincoln and McBride, 1987). The vertical keiretsu structure is sometimes held to promote close coordination of buyers' and suppliers' decisions in areas such as product design and plant investment, because communications is facilitated by formal suppliers' associations that promote information sharing (Cusumano, 1985; Smitka, 1991). However, evidence concerning the uniqueness and effect of vertical keiretsu is weak. Bensaou (1992) reported that visits between

buyers and suppliers were at least as common among U.S. automotive firms as among Japanese companies. In a multi-industry sample, Hennart and Park (1994) found that simply knowing that a keiretsu-affiliated manufacturer had expanded to the United States did not help to explain whether a supplier would expand by 1986. Further empirical research concerning link recreation and extension when Japanese firm expand internationally is warranted.

Many first-tier Japanese suppliers now operate North American manufacturing facilities. Just as Honda, Toyota, Mazda, and other automobile manufacturers have established assembly transplants in Canada, the United States, and Mexico, so have component suppliers such as Nippon Denso and NSK set up component manufacturing facilities on this continent. Therefore, the automotive industry provides an empirical setting to study factors that influence the recreation and extension of buyer-supplier links as assemblers and suppliers establish manufacturing operations outside their home country.

Japanese assembly and supply transplants in North America

We examined recreation and extension links established by Japanese automobile manufacturers operating in North America. Columns 1 to 5 of Table 2 summarize the following information. By 1990, eight of eleven Japanese automobile manufacturers set up manufacturing facilities in North America, either as wholly-owned operations or as joint ventures. Honda was the first to enter, with an Ohio plant that went into production in 1982, and the company has since opened two new plants in Ohio and a facility in Ontario. The Nissan Motors plant in Tennessee began production in 1985 and the company has since established a plant in Cuernevaca, Mexico. A 50:50 joint venture between Toyota and General Motors in California (Nummi) also went into production during 1985. Toyota opened wholly-owned plants in Ontario and Kentucky during 1988. Mazda set up a wholly-owned plant in Michigan in 1987, where it produced cars for itself and for Ford. Ford took a partial ownership position in 1992. In 1988, Mitsubishi and Chrysler established the Diamond Star 50:50 joint venture in Illinois, which Mitsubishi recently began to take over as a wholly-owned operation. During 1989, Subaru and Isuzu opened a 51:49 joint venture in Indiana (SIA) and Suzuki and General Motors set up a

50:50 joint venture in Ontario (CAMI). Among the Japanese motor vehicle manufacturers, only Daihatsu, Hino, and Nissan Diesel lacked North American manufacturing facilities in 1990. The assemblers' two-year average annual 1988-1989 North American automobile production ranged from less than 1,000 to 364,000 vehicles. Their Japanese production volume during the same period ranged from less than 600,000 to almost 4 million vehicles.²

****** Table 2 about here *******

To analyze which recreation and extension links were formed required that we identify individual buyers and suppliers, determine whether they had home country relationships, and determine whether they established relationships in North America. We first used a study of the Japanese auto industry produced by Dodwell Marketing Consultants (1990) to identify buyersupplier relationships in Japan. By our definition, a supplier-assembler link meant that the assembler sold at least one type of component directly to the assembler. From this source, we identified 670 first-tier Japanese-owned automobile component suppliers that supplied components to Japanese-based operations of the 11 Japanese automobile manufacturers during the 1989-1990 period. Our set of first-tier suppliers is at least as comprehensive as that identified by others (e.g., Turnbull, Oliver, and Wilkinson, 1992. The range of components was vast, including brakes, carburetors, automatic transmissions, catalytic converters, windshields, air bags, headlamps, plastic trim, and many other goods. For almost all cases, we were able to determine whether each supplier sold components to each assembler. The number of supplier links ranged from 139 to 224 for the assemblers, with an average of 170 links (Column 6 of Table 2). The incidence of supply relationships we identified for Toyota and Nissan are similar to those reported by Ikeda (1987: Table 2).

We then turned to a study of Japanese component suppliers that operate in North America prepared by ELM International, Inc. (1991). This guide reports supply links between Japanese-owned component suppliers based in North America and auto manufacturers located in this continent, and provides the most comprehensive listing of automobile component manufacturers available. From this guide, we identified Japanese-owned component

manufacturers based in North America that sold at least one type of component to a Japanese auto assembler located in Canada, the United States, or Mexico.

To compare the data from the Dodwell and ELM sources, we examined the overlap among the identity of the suppliers and the products supplied by them. Because the two lists differ in level of detail for many components, we also tried to determine whether any components would be used only in a given market. Features such as catalytic converters, automatic transmissions and air bags until recently were used only in North America, but in each case there was at least one Japanese producer and the component was used in some Japan-made vehicles so we concluded that all components included in ELM were part of the industry set. We included only manufacturing ventures, thereby omitting 38 companies listed in the ELM guide that had set up only sales offices on this continent. We excluded one product from the Dodwell list that we do not believe is used in North America, speed limit alarms, but no first-tier supplier was removed from the Japanese list because manufacturers of speed limit alarms also manufacture other products. We excluded 63 firms that appear in the ELM guide but do not appear in the Dodwell guide, most of which are likely to be first-tier suppliers in Japan that were omitted by the Dodwell study. Some of the firms that appear only in the ELM guide might be second-tier suppliers in Japan that act as first-tier suppliers in North America, but this practice is not common according to managers of Japanese auto component companies with whom we consulted because second-tier suppliers generally produce goods at such a subcomponent level that their products are not suited to first-tier supply.

We used industry-related publications such as <u>Chilton's Automotive Industries</u>, <u>Ward's Automotive Year Book</u>, and <u>Automotive News</u> to obtain industry statistics and cross-check the identity of suppliers. After eliminating noncomparable firms, we found that 173 of the 670 Japanese component manufacturers in the Dodwell (1990) source had established manufacturing facilities in North America by the beginning of 1991, and that 159 of the 173 were reported to be selling components to Japanese-owned assemblers. These numbers are similar to estimates reported in the business press (e.g., Rapoport, 1991:76).

We next created a matrix of Japanese buyer-supplier relationships in North America. Column 7 of Table 2 reports the number of North American supply links with Japanese-owned suppliers that we found for each auto manufacturer, which ranged from 35 to 102. We report Toyota's joint venture with General Motors (Nummi) and Toyota's independent operations separately, in order to help determine whether joint ventures follow different supplier strategies. We report separate records for Subaru and Isuzu in North America, although their participation is through a single joint venture, in order to determine whether there are differences in the degree to which each company's Japanese suppliers entered North America. Thus, we identified nine transplant assembler organizations controlled wholly or in part by the eight Japanese assemblers that had expanded into Canada or the United States. On average, the nine assembler organizations had formed 62 links with Japanese-owned suppliers operating in North America.

We then differentiated between recreating relationships and establishing extension links. Column 8 of Table 2 reports the number of supply relationships that each assembler recreated with suppliers from which it bought components in Japan. The number of such recreated relationships ranged from 27 to 78, with 152 distinct suppliers. Column 9 reports the proportion of each assembler's Japanese supply links that had been recreated in North America, which ranged from 16% to 41%. Column 10 then reports the number of North American supply relationships that each manufacturer had established with Japanese suppliers from which the assembler did not buy components in Japan. The number of such extension cases ranged from 8 to 26 links, with 68 distinct suppliers.

Several figures stand out in this summary. On average, assemblers had recreated about a quarter of their supplier links (26% in column 9 of Table 2) and formed 16 extension links by 1990 (column 10). Almost one-quarter of first-tier Japanese suppliers had set up manufacturing facilities in North America and were selling goods to Japanese-owned assemblers (159 of 670 firms in columns 6 and 7). Most suppliers appear to have set up North American manufacturing facilities in order to recreate existing links. In addition, though, more than 40% of the suppliers that established North American facilities also had formed extension links (68 of 159 suppliers

in columns 7 and 10). Indeed, seven suppliers that formed extension links had established no recreation links by 1990 (the difference between the figures in the last row of columns 7 and 8). It is clear that the processes of buyer-supplier link recreation and extension were well under way by 1990.

The frequency with which extension links were formed suggests that many suppliers viewed North American expansion as a means of growing beyond existing relationships. The cases in which suppliers formed extension links without first having recreated links are particularly intriguing. One example of such a case is a small manufacturer of valve springs that sold components to five small assemblers in Japan. In response to slow growth of its domestic sales, the supplier opened a facility in Michigan in 1987. As of 1990, the firm sold valve springs to Honda's transplant in Ohio but had not recreated links with any of its three home-country partners that had expanded to North America during 1988 and 1989. A second example is a midsized manufacturer of air conditioners and compressors that sold goods to several of the smaller assemblers in Japan. The company opened a Texas plant in 1974 to serve North American companies, before the Japanese auto assemblers entered North America, and then opened several additional facilities during the 1980s. By 1990, the company was selling goods to the Mazda and Honda assembly transplants but had no supply links with the transplants of its traditional Japanese customers. In this case, the company had a strong North American base and a relatively weak presence in its own domestic market. Both examples demonstrate that some suppliers have substantial independence in choosing the companies to which they will sell goods. The examples also suggest that link extension in a foreign market provides a means by which suppliers can grow beyond the scope of their home-country operations.

Such supplier independence stands in marked contrast to popular notions concerning the Japanese auto sector, which often view the assemblers as the dominant partners in buyer-supplier relationships. Our data conflict with the idea that most suppliers are captive to a single buyer. On average, the 670 first-tier suppliers that we identified sold goods to 2.8 buyers in the auto sector. Only slightly more than half (358) sold components to a single buyer in 1989, while 108

suppliers sold goods to at least six of the 11 assemblers operating in Japan. Clearly, many suppliers play important roles for many automotive assemblers.

Sample selection and dependent variables

As we noted earlier, we created two samples for the analysis, both of which included all assemblers with North American manufacturing facilities. The first sample, which we refer to as the all-supplier sample, included all possible pairs of the assemblers and Japanese first-tier suppliers (6000 buyer-supplier pairs). The recreation and extension estimates obtained with the all-supplier sample jointly address two questions: whether a supplier will establish a North American facility and which assemblers a supplier will recreate and extend links with if the component manufacturer expands. By incorporating all suppliers, including those that have not expanded as well as those that have, the all-supplier sample avoids selection bias (Berk, 1983) that might arise if we focused only on suppliers that set up North American facilities. The all-supplier sample has a drawback, however, because it implicitly assumes that link recreation and extension decisions are made independently. Instead, most link extensions will occur after a supplier has expanded to a foreign location and recreated at least one buyer-supplier link. Some link recreation decisions also will occur sequentially. Although potential opportunities for link extension and multiple link recreation might induce suppliers to expand, many link formation incentives will arise after a supplier sets up foreign facilities.

In order to focus attention more directly on link recreation and extension incentives facing suppliers that have expanded, we created a subset of the first sample that included only suppliers that had set up North American manufacturing facilities by 1990. We refer to this as the transplant-supplier sample, which includes 1556 buyer-supplier pairs. Comparing the results in the all-supplier and transplant-supplier samples helps us discriminate among factors that influence whether a supplier will expand to the new location and factors that influence which buyers and suppliers will form links in the new location once a supplier expands.

We divided each of the all-supplier and transplant-supplier samples into two complementary portions in order to form dependent variables for the study. In each sample, the first portion consisted of pairs of firms that had a supply relationship in Japan and so were candidates for link recreation in North America. The second portion of each sample consisted of pairs of firms that did not have a supply relationship in Japan and so were candidates for link extension in North America. The 6000 cases in the all-supplier sample consisted of 1618 recreation candidate pairs and 4382 extension candidate pairs. The 1556 cases in the transplant-supplier sample consisted of the 704 recreation candidate pairs and 852 extension candidate pairs. We then formed 0-1 dependent variables that took a value of 1 if a North American relationship recreation (415 cases) or extension link (141 cases) existed for each buyer-supplier pair. 4

Row 1 in Parts A to D of Table 3 reports summary statistics for the recreation and extension dependent variables. In the all-supplier sample described in panel 1, the 415 recreation cases represented 25.6% of the potential links (.256 in row 1 of Part A of Table 2) and the 141 extension cases represented 3.2% of the potential links (Part B). In the transplant-supplier sample described in panel 2, the 415 recreation cases represented 58.9% (Part C) and the 141 extension cases represented 16.5% (Part D) of the possible links. The transplant-supplier descriptive figures in panel 2 reinforce the conclusion that many recreation and extension opportunities are pursued by suppliers that set up foreign facilities.

****** Table 3 about here *******

Independent variables

Table 3 also reports descriptive statistics for the independent variables used in the analysis (the appendices contain correlation tables). Two variables address buyer-supplier past history. We were able to determine whether buyer-supplier links existed in Japan during 1979 for most pairs of firms. Although we could not determine when most buyers and suppliers began their relationship in Japan, we judged that a relationship spanning at least 10 years is long enough to indicate a substantial history. We used 0-1 dummy variables to record whether a

buyer-supplier pair had a purchase relationship in 1979 or if we could not determine whether a link existed in 1979 (rows 2 and 3 in Table 3).

Five variables in the table record buyer characteristics (rows 4 to 8 in Table 3). Production experience in the new location was defined as the calendar year that a buyer began automobile production in North America minus 1900, which associates later entry years with lesser experience. We also determined North American unit production volume in 1989-1990, but found that greater production volume was highly correlated with earlier entry year (r>.90) and so used only the entry year variable in the statistical analysis. Owing to the high correlation, statistical estimates associated with later entry year also can be interpreted as associations with lesser North American production volume. We recorded each assembler's average annual 1988-1989 vehicle unit home-country production volume and the number of suppliers from which each buyer purchased components in Japan. Two 0-1 dummy variables identified the North American joint venture between home-country buyers (Subaru and Isuzu) and the North American joint ventures between Japanese and North American firms (Nummi, Diamond Star, and CAMI). The figures used for the buyer characteristic variables are reported in Table 2, in which columns 2 and 4 note North American production and entry year, columns 3 and 6 report Japanese production volume and number of supplier links in Japan, and column 5 reports the identity of the joint venture partners.

We also defined several variables measuring supplier characteristics (rows 9 to 16 in Table 3). We recorded supplier size in billions of yen of annual sales. For 66 suppliers (comprising 564 buyer-supplier pairs) where no supplier size data was available, we set supplier size to the average of the relevant sample and set an unknown size dummy variable equal to 1 to check for any bias this estimate might generate. We created two 0-1 dummy variables to address cases in which a supplier expanded before a buyer. We denoted suppliers that established North American manufacturing facilities before 1980, which we judged to be early enough that the entry was prior to any supplier expansion engendered by the assembly entry of Honda and Nissan in the early 1980s (see, e.g., Tharp and Simpson, 1980). We also denoted cases in which

the supplier in the buyer-supplier pair entered North America at least three years before the buyer, assuming that a three year lead was enough time to avoid picking up cases in which the supplier's entry was part of the buyer's entry planning. We recorded the number of automobile manufacturers to which the supplier sold components in Japan. We also created two dummy variables denoting suppliers that sold to only one Japanese automobile manufacturer, distinguishing between captives of buyers that had expanded and captives of buyers that had not expanded. (We also disaggregated the number of home-country buyers variable, distinguishing between buyers that had expanded and those that had not, but the two resulting variables were too highly correlated to provide useful independent information.) In addition, we created a 0-1 dummy variable denoting suppliers that sold only to buyers that had not expanded to North America. The variables denoting suppliers that sold only to one or more buyers that had not expanded to North American were relevant for the extension analyses and, as can be seen in Table 3 (rows 15 and 16 in Part D), no such suppliers established transplant facilities in North America.

Statistical method

We calculated binomial logistic regressions in order to estimate influences on the likelihood that firms would establish recreation and extension buyer-supplier relationships in North America. The two models took the following form.

[1]
$$\ln [R_i/(1-R_i)] = \beta_1 X_i$$

[2]
$$\ln [E_i/(1-E_i)] = \beta_2 X_i$$

In equation 1, R_i is the probability that a particular supplier-assembler pair (denoted by the index i) will recreate a supply relationship in North America. In equation 2, E_i is the probability that a particular supplier-assembler pair will form an extension link in North America. The log odds of each probability is tested for linear associations with a vector of covariates X_i , estimating coefficient vectors β_1 and β_2 (which include intercepts). The effect of a one-unit change of a covariate on the probability of pair i recreating a supply relationship is

 $\beta_V R_i (1-R_i)$, where the index v denotes a particular independent variable. The maximum likelihood logistic regression estimates were obtained using the SAS statistical package.

We calculated two complementary binomial logistic regression analyses for each sample. Using model 1, we analyzed the 1618 cases of potential recreation in the all-supplier sample and the 704 cases of potential recreation in the transplant-supplier sample. Using model 2, we analyzed the 4382 cases of potential link extension in the all-supplier sample and the 852 cases of potential extension in the transplant-supplier sample.

RESULTS

We present the results in two stages, first describing the analysis of the all-supplier sample, then comparing and contrasting the analysis of the transplant-supplier sample. Table 4 reports the results of the binomial logistic regression analyses of the all-supplier sample. Panel 1 reports recreation estimates (equation 1), while panel 2 reports extension estimates (equation 2). The coefficients and standard errors for home-country volume, number of suppliers in home country, and supplier size were scaled up by 1,000 in order to report meaningful figures. Both models had significant explanatory power according to tests based on the loglikelihood chisquare statistics shown at the foot of each table. The loglikelihood chi-square statistic, which is defined as -2 times the difference between the loglikelihood of the estimated model and the loglikelihood of a model containing only a constant term, is distributed as a χ^2 with degrees of freedom equal to the number of covariates specified in the model.

****** Table 4 about here *******

Link recreation in the all-supplier sample

Buyer-supplier characteristics. Longer buyer-supplier experience had the expected positive effect on link recreation (panel 1 of Table 4), as assemblers and suppliers that had Japanese ties in 1979 were more likely to recreate the links in North America than firms that had no links in 1979 (which was the omitted case in the statistical analysis). The result is consistent with the argument that long-term relationships facilitate interorganizational learning and lead to

interorganizational interdependence, which then creates incentives for a buyer and supplier that have conducted a long-lasting relationship to recreate the link in a new location. Whether the recreation decision is dominated by the buyer, by the supplier, or shared can not be determined from this result, but it is clear that long term partners often expand together.

Buyer characteristics. Among the buyer characteristics reported in panel 1 of Table 4, later entry year (and, by implication, lesser assembler North American production volume, which is strongly correlated with entry year) had the expected negative relationship with link recreation. Joint ventures with local buyers also were less likely to recreate supplier links, suggesting that the buyers tend to draw on their local partners' pools of suppliers. In part, this result might stem from the social pressures that existed during the 1980s for American-owned automobile manufacturers to support American suppliers. The number of home-country suppliers had no significant influence on recreation, which likely stems from the limited variation in that measure. (Column 6 of Table 2 shows that most Japanese auto manufacturers that set up North American manufacturing facilities use about the same number of suppliers: from 154 to 196 suppliers, except for Mitsubishi's 224). Greater home-country production also had no significant impact, which might reflect conflicting influences of volume economies and interorganizational dependence. Suppliers that sell to particularly large manufacturers might operate at such scale that they cannot easily replicate their operation in a new location and so are not willing to expand, but some large buyers might be able to encourage their suppliers to set up facilities in the new location owing to the potential for larger volume sales in the future.

Supplier characteristics. Several supplier characteristics affected the likelihood of link recreation. As expected, suppliers that were present in the foreign market before the buyer in their buyer-supplier pair expanded were especially likely to be recreation partners. Larger suppliers also were more likely to recreate links, which is consistent with the notion that larger firms tend to have more slack resources and a larger stock of intangible assets necessary for expansion (March and Simon, 1958; Caves, 1982). The firms for which size information was unknown were unlikely to recreate links, which is consistent with the positive effect of greater

known supplier size because most suppliers of unknown size appear to be small. Suppliers that dealt with many assemblers in Japan were particularly likely to recreate links in North America. The impact of the number of home-country buyers is consistent with interorganizational experience arguments concerning incentives for link recreation, and is distinct from the supplier size influence because the variables recording the number of home-country buyers are only weakly correlated with supplier size (appendix 1a). Captive supplier status had little influence on recreation, which suggests that the number of buyers has a linear effect on link recreation.

Link extension in the all-supplier sample

Buyer-supplier characteristics. Assemblers and suppliers with Japanese relationships in 1979 that no longer existed in 1989 were slightly more likely to form extension links in North America than were firms that had no ties in 1979 (panel 2 in Table 4). From the point of view of the assembler, this result suggests that familiarity and any positive remnants of the earlier trading relationship might favor dealing with a supplier that is somewhat known rather than an unknown supplier when a link recreation opportunity is not available. From the point of view of the supplier, it might be that partner-specific information that remains from the earlier domestic relationship is being utilized when approaching the transplant buyer for business.

Buyer characteristics. No buyer characteristics had significant impact on link extension in the all-supplier sample, which suggests that differences among buyers do not play a large role in determining which suppliers expand to a foreign location and establish supply links with new home-country firms. However, a model (not reported) that omitted the five buyer characteristic variables had statistically significantly less explanatory buyer than the reported model, based on a loglikelihood χ^2 test, suggesting that buyer characteristics do have an overall impact.

Supplier characteristics. Several supplier characteristics affected link extension in the all-supplier sample. As in the recreation case, suppliers that were present in the foreign market before the potential customer in their buyer-supplier pair expanded were especially likely to be extension partners. Supplier size had no influence, although unknown size cases were unlikely to form extension links, but suppliers with greater numbers of home-country buyers were more

likely to form extension links. These results suggest that greater interorganizational experience has a stronger influence on formation of links with new partners than revenue-based size alone. Somewhat surprisingly, captive suppliers of expanded buyers also were weakly more likely to form extension links, once the linear effects of the number of buyers was accounted for, which suggests that some captive suppliers might view extension opportunities in foreign locations as a means of reducing their dependence on a single buyer. However, no captive supplier of a buyer that had not expanded created an extension link, indicating that recreation is a prerequisite for the cases in which captives extended their sales base.

Link recreation and extension in the transplant-supplier sample

Table 5 reports the results of the binomial logistic regression analyses of recreation and extension in the transplant-supplier sample. Both models had significant explanatory power.

Most influences were similar to those found in the all-supplier sample analyses of recreation and extension, but a few key differences appeared. Table 6 summarizes the results found in the two samples.

****** Tables 5 and 6 about here ******

Two principal differences stand out when comparing the recreation influences in the transplant-supplier sample to those found in the all-supplier sample (columns 1 and 3 of Table 6). First, supplier size and number of home-country buyers did not have significant influences in the transplant-supplier analysis of recreation. This difference suggests that a supplier's size and the breadth of its buyer base influence the likelihood that the supplier will expand to a foreign location but that, once in the new location, greater size and breadth do not make a supplier more likely to recreate any given link. Second, the captive supplier result becomes positive in the transplant-supplier analysis, which suggests that captive suppliers might be no more likely than other suppliers to expand to a new location, but a captive that does expand will recreate its link with the home-country buyer.

The principal difference in the statistical analysis of transplant-supplier extension when compared to all-supplier extension is that most significant effects of supplier characteristics disappear (columns 2 and 4 of Table 6). Only the case in which the suppliers expanded before 1980 retains a significant influence on extension. As in the analysis of recreation, the comparison suggests that most supplier characteristics have more influence on which suppliers will expand than on which firms they will sell components to after they expand. Nonetheless, the fact that captive supplier status does not have a negative influence on link extension in the transplant-supplier sample is notable, because it again suggests that some captive suppliers seek new buyers once they have established a foreign facility to serve their domestic partner.

In addition, the transplant-supplier extension analysis identified weakly significant negative influences of buyer entry year and home country production that were not significant in the all-supplier extension analysis. The expansion timing result suggests that later entrant assemblers had not yet had time to form as many supplier links as earlier entrants. The home-country volume influence suggests that transplanted suppliers might expect extension links with smaller buyers to provide more reliable avenues to future sales in the home country.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

This study makes both intrinsic and general contributions. In the particular case, the research demonstrates that Japanese automobile component assemblers and suppliers are establishing many buyer-supplier recreation and extension links in North American. About one-quarter of first-tier suppliers had set up manufacturing facilities on this continent by 1990 and many Japanese auto manufacturers were drawing from those facilities. More generally, the study advances from earlier empirical analyses of supplier expansion that examined industry aggregates. By examining the issues at the level of individual firms, the study contributes to our general understanding of the buyer characteristics, supplier characteristics, and characteristics of a particular buyer-supplier pairs that influence link recreation and extension.

Three general conclusions stand out. First, buyers and suppliers with long-standing links in the home-country tended to recreate the links in the new location. To a lesser extent, buyers and suppliers that once had supply links at home but no longer were allied tended to form extension links. These results demonstrate the strong impact of long-term supplier relationships on the international expansion activities of the buyers and suppliers involved in the relationships. An implication of the result is that the long-term partners are likely to possess a detailed understanding of the interfirm transactions that are needed to provide competitive advantages in the new location. The result is particularly intriguing in the extension case because it suggests that knowledge gained in past relationships, even relationships that ended, might be useful in a new location.

Second, supplier characteristics had more influence on link formation than buyer characteristics. The buyer characteristics that we measured had relatively little influence on the likelihood that particular supplier links would be recreated or extended, which indicates that all expanding buyers undertake a similar process of link recreation and extension. Later entrants to the foreign market and assemblers that formed joint ventures with local buyers were somewhat less likely to recreate links, but the other buyer characteristics had little consistent impact. By contrast, supplier characteristics had strong influences on which suppliers would expand. Larger suppliers and suppliers that sold goods to many buyers in the home country were particularly likely to expand. The results suggest that large and broad-based suppliers are most likely to possess the financial and organizational resources needed to support international expansion. The impact of the number of buyers is particularly striking, because it suggests that experience with dealing with several organizations contributes to a supplier's ability to undertake international expansion and establish supply links with firms in a foreign location. Such interaction with many organizations might increase a supplier's program management capabilities and enable it to manage diversity in buyer demands. The interorganizational experience might sometimes be more important than revenue-based size, especially in the case of forming extension links with new buyers.

Third, buyers tended to tap into the pool of home country suppliers that was already in the new location when the buyers entered. Suppliers that had long experience in the new location were common partners in both recreation and extension links. This outcome demonstrates that suppliers do not simply respond to buyer expansion, but also play partially independent roles in international activity.

Overall, the results help address the issue of when suppliers in Japan and elsewhere will tend to act independently of their existing buyers. Some analyses of buyer-supplier relationships in Japan view the relationships as vertical groups in which the buyer is the dominant partner, acting as the leader of a group of subordinate suppliers (e.g., Hasegawa, 1991; also see Dore, 1973; Clark, 1979). If such dominance is the case, a supplier will expand internationally only when its buyer also expands and the supplier will be unlikely to form extension links after its expansion. Our results reject such a simple interpretation. Although we find that buyers and supplier with long term relationships tend to recreate links this, in itself, is not evidence of buyer dominance because suppliers also stand to benefit from recreating valuable links. Instead, we find that suppliers sometimes expand before their buyers and that larger suppliers, especially when size is defined as the number of buyers in the home country, undertake independent activity. We do find weak support for the buyer dominance notion to the extent that captive suppliers expand only when their home country partners expand, but the captive suppliers sometimes also form extension links after expanding. The analysis supports the premise that suppliers have incentives to undertake independent activity but also face constraints on their independence (Martin, Mitchell, and Swaminathan, 1994), where the constraints include small size and having home-country links only with buyers that have not expanded.

The Japanese auto assembly industry also can be viewed in terms of horizontal groups of assemblers, in which some assemblers are partially linked through equity holdings and other forms of exchange. In particular, Toyota, Daihatsu, and Hino are sometimes viewed as one group, and Nissan Motors, Subaru, and Nissan Diesel as a second group. Such horizontal grouping might influence extension activity, such that a buyer would be more likely to form an

extension link in the new location with a supplier that sold goods to a member of its group in the home country. However, we found no horizontal group influence on extension when we conducted sensitivity analysis of the all-supplier and transplant-supplier samples.

It would be useful to examine other constraints on independent action. Lincoln and McBride (1987) argue that ties between large buyers and smaller, dependent subcontractors (i.e., suppliers) in Japan are reinforced by mutual stockholdings and interlocking directorates. Whether equity and directorship ties affect recreation and extension by Japanese firms operating in foreign locations is beyond the scope of this paper. However, discussions of dependence in which equity and directorship ties are expected to play a role tend to involve captive suppliers or, at least, suppliers that do business almost exclusively with one firm (Lincoln and McBride, 1987: 307). Our examination of captive suppliers, which shows that captive suppliers expand only when their home country partners expand, intersects with the Japanese vertical groupings literature addressing smaller suppliers. Whether and how the existence of equity or directorship ties, in addition to purchasing links, affects the recreation and extension decisions of captive suppliers are interesting questions. We suspect that captive suppliers in which buyers hold equity or directorship ties will be more likely to expand and recreate links and less likely to form extension links than other captives. Among larger firms, by contrast, Lincoln, Gerlach, and Takahashi (1992: Table 3) find substantial reciprocity in trade and directorship relationships, which suggests that larger suppliers are not dependent on their customers and is consistent with our conclusion that suppliers with large sales revenue or many buyers exercise substantial independence. Whether equity and managerial holdings within Japanese vertical groups of buyers and suppliers affect link recreation and extension warrants further analysis.

When viewed in a broader context, the analysis has implications for theories of interorganizational relations in complex networks of organizations. Some analysts have viewed such networks as nonintersecting entities in which competition taking place between constellations of buyers and suppliers (e.g., Fombrun, 1988). However, such a simple depiction of independent networks does not apply to many empirical cases and in our study we find that

many auto suppliers sell goods to several automobile assemblers. Such multiple relationships might occur because suppliers seek to participate in several networks in order to reduce dependence on a single buyer and to gain volume economies. Indeed, buyers might even encourage some suppliers to sell goods to the buyers' competitors, in order to increase the suppliers' scale and learning opportunities. In addition, strongly performing suppliers might be very desirable partners for assemblers and hence be invited to join several networks. Further research could fruitfully investigate whether supplier participation in more than one interorganizational network contributes to performance differences among suppliers or assemblers.

Several other avenues for further research could fruitfully extend the study. First, other factors that are likely to affect the incentive to recreate and extend home-country supply links include the complexity and idiosyncrasy of the link between a component and the final assembly, the degree to which a product is designed for the new market, the degree of political turmoil created by establishing foreign-owned suppliers in the new location, the existence of alternative suppliers in the new location, the degree to which one firm holds an equity stake in another, and local industry characteristics such as concentration and geographic location. Second, it would be valuable to map the creation of the new links over time, starting with an assembler's entry to North America. Such temporal investigation could usefully incorporate Knickerbocker (1973) and Graham's (1978) insight that international expansion is often a response to a competitor's expansion. Third, it would be useful to examine variation in the intensity of the relationships in terms of sales volume and component differences. Examining links rather than volume is valuable, because even small initial sales might lead to greater sales in the future, but the issue warrants further analysis. Fourth, it would be useful to extend the analysis to instances in which expanding assemblers add local firms to their supplier base and transplant suppliers create links with local assemblers. Nonetheless, the existing study offers significant insights into an important strategic and policy issue.

Most or all operations management, marketing, and corporate strategy courses taught in North America and Europe now discuss the competitive importance of long-term buyer-supplier relationships. The analysis that we report in this study has direct implications for both our particular understanding of auto component supply and our general understanding of the recreation and extension of supply links following international expansion. Supply relationships provide important sources of competitive advantage for final products assemblers, whether the products are manufactured goods or nonphysical services. As firms expand throughout the world, as many must today, the extent to which they prosper will be influenced by the effectiveness of their supplier strategies. Understanding the influences on supply link recreation and extension will help increase the effectiveness of these strategies.

ENDNOTES

- A buyer with many suppliers in the home country has many recreation candidates and few extension candidates. For instance, a buyer that dealt with all but one supplier in the home country would have recreation opportunities with all those firms, but an extension opportunity with only one supplier. Because our focus is on buyer-supplier pairs, the variation in the number of candidates does not create a statistical dependency between the number of suppliers that a buyer deals with in the home country and the likelihood that the buyer will form any particular recreation or extension link in the new location.
- As predicted by the incremental expansion model of international activities (Kogut, 1983), the transplants operated at substantially smaller scale than their parents. In each of its first five years of operations, each Japanese automotive assembly transplant produced less than 27% of its parent's Japanese unit volume. At the end of the study period, Honda had the largest ratio of transplant to domestic volume, but in the first eight years of operations, Honda's transplant production was less than 31% of its parent.
- Treating Subaru and Isuzu as two entities in North America raises methodological issues but we believe that the approach is superior to the principal alternative methodology, which would treat the two assemblers as a combined operation in Japan. The alternative approach would involve judgment about whether to take the average or the sum of the variables that measure home-country buyer characteristics and length of past buyer-supplier experience. Subaru and Isuzu follow independent supplier strategies (the two companies had 86 suppliers in common in Japan in 1990, but Subaru also had 68 suppliers that did not sell to Isuzu and Isuzu had 81 suppliers that did not sell to Isuzu). We prefer to treat the parent companies as separate firms and employ an independent variable to denote that they are allied in North America. As sensitivity analysis, we calculated estimates in which we excluded the buyer-supplier pairs involving Subaru or Isuzu. The sensitivity analysis also excluded Toyota and Nummi, which raise complications stemming from Toyota's dual presence in North America. Although some levels of statistical significance change marginally in the sensitivity analysis, the estimates are materially equivalent to those obtained in the complete samples and the overall interpretation of the results does not change.
- In the all-supplier sample, the number 6000 equals 9 transplant assembler organizations times 670 suppliers, minus 30 pairs that we omitted because we could not determine whether a supply link existed in Japan (the 30 pairs involved 15 suppliers and 5 assemblers). The 1618 potential cases of link recreation in the all-supplier sample equals the sum of the Japanese supply links lists for the nine assembly organizations that established North American transplants (from column 6 in Table 2). The transplant-supplier sample size of 1556 equals 9 assembler organizations times 173 suppliers (the 159 suppliers that formed links with transplant assemblers plus the 14 suppliers that formed links only with North American firms), minus one case that we omitted because we could not determine whether a supply link existed in Japan. The 704 recreation candidates in the transplant-supplier sample equals the sum of the number of links that existed in Japan among the nine assembler organizations and 173 suppliers operating in North America.
- We obtained supplier size data from the 1987 or 1988 fiscal year for 594 of 670 suppliers (comprising 5346 of the 6000 buyer-supplier pairs). For another 10 suppliers (90 buyer-supplier pairs), data could be obtained only for an earlier year (7 suppliers in 1986 or 1987; 3 suppliers in the 1982 to 1985 period). To check for any biases that might result from including size data for different years, we added a variable recording the year for which supplier size data was measured to sensitivity analysis models, finding no significant influences.

REFERENCES

- Aldrich, H.E., and D.A. Whetten. "Organizational sets, action sets, and networks: Making the most of simplicity." In P. Nystrom and W. Starbuck (eds.) <u>Handbook of Organizational Design</u>, 1: 385-408, London, Oxford University Press, 1981.
- Asanuma, Banri. "Manufacturer-supplier relationships in Japan and the concept of relation-specific skill." <u>Journal of the Japanese and International Economies</u>, 3 (1), 1989: 1-30.
- Ballon, Robert J. "Buyer-supplier in Japan: The strategic alliance." Sophia University, Institute of Comparative Culture, Business Series No. 137, 1992.
- Bartlett, C. and S. Ghoshal. <u>Managing across borders: The transnational solution</u>. Boston: Harvard Business School Press, 1989.
- Bensaou, Michael. <u>Interorganizations coordination: Structure, process, information technology</u>. Unpublished dissertation, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1992.
- Berk, Richard A. "An introduction to sample selection bias in sociological data." American Sociological Review, 48, 1983: 386-398.
- Blenkhorn, David L., and Peter M. Banting. "How reverse marketing changes buyer-seller roles." <u>Industrial Marketing Management</u>, 20, 1991: 185-191.
- Brimmer, A.F., and F. Dahl. "Growth of American international banking: Implications for public policy. <u>Journal of Finance</u>, 30 (2), 1975: 341-363.
- Buckley, Peter J., and Mark C. Casson. <u>The economic theory of the multinational enterprise</u>. London, MacMillan, 1976.
- Burgers, Willem P., Charles W. Hill, and W. Chan Kim. "A theory of global strategic alliances: The case of the global auto industry." <u>Strategic Management Journal</u>, 14, 1993: 419-432.
- Burton, F.N., and F. H. Saelens. "The European investments of Japanese financial institutions." Columbia Journal of World Business, 21 (4), 1986: 27-33.
- Casson, M. Multinational corporations. Aldershot, UK: E. Elgar, 1990.
- Caves, R.E. "International corporations: The industrial economics of foreign investment." <u>Economica</u>, 38, 1971: 1-27.
- Caves, R.E. <u>Multinational enterprise and economic analysis</u>. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1982.
- Caves, Richard E., and Masu Uekusa. <u>Industrial organization in Japan.</u> Washington, DC: The Brookings Institution, 1976.
- Clark, R. C. "The Japanese company. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1979.

- Clark, Kim B., and Takahiro Fujimoto. <u>Product development performance.</u> New York: Free Press, 1991.
- Clark, Kim B., W. Bruce Chew, and Takahiro Fujimoto. "Product development in the world auto industry: Strategy, organization, and performance." <u>Brookings Papers on Economic Activity</u>, 3, 1987, 729-771.
- Coase, Ronald H. "The nature of the firm." Economica, 4, 1937: 386-405.
- Cohen, Wesley M., and Daniel A. Levinthal. "Absorptive capacity: A new perspective on learning and innovation." <u>Administrative Science Quarterly</u>, 35, 1990: 128-152.
- Cole, R., and K. Yakushiji. <u>The U.S. and Japanese automotive industries in transition.</u> Ann Arbor, MI: Joint U.S.-Japan Automotive Study, 1984.
- Contractor, Farok J., and Peter Lorange. "Why should firms cooperate? The strategy and economic basis for cooperative ventures." In <u>Cooperative strategies in international business</u> (ed., Contractor and Lorange): 3-28. Lexington, MA: Lexington, 1988.
- Cusumano, Michael A., and Akira Takeishi. "Supplier relations and management: A survey of Japanese, Japanese-transplant, and U.S. auto plants." <u>Strategic Management Journal</u>, 12, 1991: 563-588.
- Cusumano, Michael. <u>The Japanese automobile industry: Technology and management at Nissan and Toyota</u>. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1985.
- Davies, Carole. "A lukewarm welcome." Ward's Auto World, July 1989, 63-67.
- Dodwell Marketing Consultants. The structure of the Japanese auto parts industry (4th ed.). Tokyo: Dodwell Marketing Consultants, 1990.
- Dore, Ronald. British factory Japanese factory. London, Allen & Unwin, 1973.
- Dunning, J.H. "The determinants of international production." Oxford Economic Papers. November, 25, 1973: 155-177.
- Dunning, John H. Japanese participation in British industry. London: Croom Helm, 1986.
- Eccles, Robert. "The quasifirm in the construction industry." <u>Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization</u>, 2, December 1981: 335-358.
- Economist. "America's car makers: General Alarm." <u>Economist</u>, December 21, 1992-January 3, 1993: 80-82.
- ELM International, Inc. <u>The ELM guide to Japanese transplant suppliers (2nd ed.).</u>
 Lansing, MI: ELM International, 1991.
- Encarnation, Dennis J. "Cross-investment: A second front of economic rivalry." California Management Review, 29 (2), 1987: 20-48.
- Fichman, Mark, and Daniel A. Levinthal. "History dependence and professional relationships: Ties that bind." In Bacharach, Samuel B., Pamela S. Tolbert, and

- Stephen S. Barley (eds.), <u>Research in the Sociology of Organizations</u> (volume 8), 1991: 119-153.
- Florida, Richard, and Martin Kenney. "Transplanted organizations: The transfer of Japanese industrial organization to the U.S." <u>American Sociological Review</u>, 56, 1991: 381-388.
- Florida, Richard, and Martin Kenney. <u>Beyond mass production: The Japanese system and its transfer to the U.S.</u>, New York, Oxford University Press, 1993.
- Fombrun, Charles J. "Crafting an institutionally informed ecology of organizations." In <u>Ecological models of organizations</u> (ed. Glenn R. Carroll). Cambridge: Ballinger, 1988.
- Gelsanliter, David. Jump start: Japan comes to the heartland. New York: Farrar Strauss Giroux, 1990.
- Gerlach, Michael. "Business alliances and the strategy of the Japanese firm." <u>California Management Review</u>, 30 (1), 1987: 126-142.
- Gerlach, Michael L. Alliance capitalism: The social organization of Japanese business. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1992.
- Goldberg, Lawrence G., and Denise Johnson. The determinants of U.S. banking activity abroad. <u>Journal of International Money and Finance</u>, 9 (2), 1990: 123-137.
- Graham, E. "Transatlantic investment by multinational firms: A rivalistic phenomenon?" <u>Journal of Post-Keynesian Economics</u>, 1: 1978: 82-99.
- Granovetter, Mark. Economic action and social structure: The problem of embeddedness. American Journal of Sociology, 91, 1985: 481-510.
- Gray, Jean M., and Peter H. Gray. "The multinational bank: A financial MNC?" <u>Journal of Banking and Finance</u>, 5, 1981: 33-63.
- Grubel, Herbert G. "A theory of multinational banking." <u>Banca Nazionale del Lavoro</u> <u>Ouarterly Review</u>, 123, December 1977: 349-363.
- Haglund, Rick. "U.S. auto supply firms fear Japan." Ann Arbor News, December 3, 1991: A1.
- Harrigan, Kathryn R. Strategies for joint ventures. Lexington, MA: Lexington Books, 1985.
- Hasegawa, Yasuhiro. "The keiretsu system in a different perspective." <u>Tokyo Business Today</u>, November 1991: 58-60.
- Hayes, Robert H., and Steven C. Wheelwright. <u>Restoring our competitive edge:</u> <u>Competing through manufacturing.</u> New York: Wiley, 1984.
- Heide, Jan B., and Anne S. Miner. The shadow of the future: Effects of anticipated interaction and frequency of contact on buyer-seller cooperation. <u>Academy of Management Journal</u>, 35, 1992: 265-291.

- Heide, Jan B., and George John. "Alliances in industrial purchasing: The determinants of joint action in buyer-supplier relationships." <u>Journal of Marketing Research</u>, 27 (February), 1990: 24-36.
- Helper, Susan. "How much has really changed between U.S. automakers and their suppliers?" <u>Sloan Management Review</u>, 15, Summer 1991: 15-28.
- Helper, Susan. "Supplier relations and innovation in the auto industry." Ph.D. Dissertation, Harvard University, 1987.
- Hennart, Jean-Francois, and Young-Reol Park. "Location, governance, and strategic determinants of Japanese manufacturing investment in the United States."

 <u>Strategic Management Journal</u>, 15, 1994: 419-436.
- Hofstede, G.H. <u>Culture's consequences: International differences in work-related values</u>. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage Publications, 1980.
- Hood, Neil, and Stephen Young. <u>The economics of multinational enterprise</u>. London: Longman, 1979.
- Horst, Thomas. "Firm and industry determinants of the decision to invest abroad: An empirical study." Review of Economics and Statistics, 54, 1972: 258-266.
- Hymer, S. The international operations of national firms: A Study of direct foreign investment. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1976.
- Ikeda, Masayoshi. "An international comparison of subcontracting system in the automotive component manufacturing industry." Paper prepared for the First Policy Forum, International Motor Vehicle Program, May 5, 1987, Cambridge, MA.
- Knickerbocker, Frederick. 1973. <u>Oligopolistic reaction and multinational enterprise.</u>
 Boston: Division of Research, Graduate School of Business Administration, Harvard University.
- Kogut, Bruce. Designing global strategies: Profiting from operational flexibility." <u>Sloan Management Review</u>, Fall, 1985: 27-38.
- Kogut, Bruce, and Harbir Singh. "The effect of national culture on the choice of entry mode." <u>Journal of International Business Studies</u>, Fall, 19(3), 1988: 411-432.
- Kogut, Bruce. "Country capabilities and the permeability of borders." <u>Strategic Management Journal</u>, 12, 1991: 33-47.
- Kogut, Bruce. "Foreign direct investment as a sequential process." In Kindleberger, C.P., and Audretsch, D.B. (eds.), <u>The multinational corporation in the 1980s</u>. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1983.
- Lamming, Richard. "Strategic options for automotive suppliers in the global market." <u>International Journal of Technology Management</u>, 5, 1990: 649-684.
- Leblebici, Huseyin, and Gerald R. Salancik. "Stability in inter-organizational exchanges: Rule-making processes of the Chicago Board of Trade." <u>Administrative Science Quarterly</u>, 27, 1982, 227-242.

- Lieberman, Marvin B. "Inventory reduction and productivity growth: A study of Japanese automotive producers." In <u>Manufacturing strategy: The research agenda of the next decade</u>: 212-223, John E. Ettlie, Michael E. Burstein, and Avi Fiegenbaum (eds.). Boston, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1990.
- Lincoln, James R., and Kerry McBride. "Japanese industrial organization in comparative perspective." <u>Annual Review of Sociology</u>, 13, 1987: 289-312.
- Lincoln, James R. "Japanese organization and organization theory." In Larry L. Cummings and Barry M. Staw (eds.), <u>Research in Organizational Behavior</u>, <u>Volume 12</u>, 255-294. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press Inc., 1990.
- Lincoln, James R., Michael L. Gerlach, and Peggy Takahashi. "Keiretsu networks in the Japanese economy: A dyad analysis of intercorporate ties." <u>American Sociological Review</u>, 57, 1992: 561-585.
- Lyons, T.F., A.R. Krachenberg, and J.W. Henke. "Mixed motive marriages: What's next for buyer-supplier relations?" <u>Sloan Management Review</u>, 14, Spring 1990: 29-36.
- March, James G., and Herbert A. Simon. Organizations. New York: Wiley, 1958.
- Martin, Xavier, Will Mitchell, and Anand Swaminathan. "Recreating and extending buyer-supplier links following international expansion." <u>Advances in Strategic Management</u>, 10, Paul Shrivastava, Anne Huff, and Jane Dutton (eds.), Greenwich, CT: JAI Press, 1994 (forthcoming).
- Masten, Scott E., James W. Meehan, and Edward S. Snyder. "Vertical integration in the auto industry: A note on the influence of transaction specific assets." <u>Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization</u>, 12, 1989: 265-273.
- McMillan, J. "Managing suppliers: Incentive systems in Japanese and United States industry." <u>California Management Review</u>, 32, Summer 1990: 38-55.
- Mitchell, Will, J. Myles Shaver, and Bernard Yeung. "Performance after changes of international presence in domestic and transition industries." <u>Journal of International Business Studies</u>, 24, 1993: 647-669.
- Mitchell, Will, J. Myles Shaver, and Bernard Yeung. "Foreign entrant survival and foreign market share: Canadian companies' experience in United States medical sector markets.". <u>Strategic Management Journal</u>, 1994 (forthcoming).
- Monteverde, K., and D.J. Teece. "Supplier switching costs and vertical integration in the automobile industry." <u>Bell Journal of Economics</u>, 13, 1982: 206-213.
- Morck, Randall, and Bernard Yeung. "Why investors value multinationality." Journal of Business, 64, 1991: 165-187.
- Nishiguchi, Toshihiro. <u>Strategic industrial sourcing: The Japanese advantage.</u> New York, Oxford University Press, 1994.

- Odaka, Konosuke, Keinosuke Ono, and Fumihiko Adachi. The automobile industry in Japan: A study of ancillary firm development. Tokyo: Kinokuniya Company, 1988.
- Pastré, Olivier. <u>Multinationals: Bank and corporate relationships</u>. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press, 1981.
- Penrose, Edith. The theory of the growth of the firm. Oxford: Blackwell, 1959.
- Pfeffer, Jeffrey, and Gerald R. Salancik. <u>The external control of organizations.</u> New York: Harper & Row, 1978.
- Porter, Michael E. (ed.). Competition in global industries. Boston: Harvard Business School Press, 1986.
- Porter, Michael E. <u>Competitive advantage: Creating and sustaining superior performance.</u> New York, Free Press, 1985.
- Prahalad, C.K. and Y.L. Doz. <u>The multinational mission: Balancing local demands and global vision.</u> New York: Free Press, 1987.
- Pratten, C.F. <u>Economies of scale in manufacturing industry</u>. Cambridge, MA, Cambridge University Press, 1971.
- Rangan, U. Srinivasan. <u>Caterpillar Tractor Co.</u> Harvard Business School Case no. 9-385-276: 1985.
- Rapoport, Carla. "Why Japan keeps on winning." Fortune, July 15, 1991: 76-85.
- Richardson, James. "Restructuring supplier relationships in U.S. manufacturing for improved quality." <u>Management International Review</u>, 33 (1), 1993a: 53-67.
- Richardson, James. "Parallel sourcing and supplier performance in the Japanese automobile industry." <u>Strategic Management Journal</u>, 14, 1993b: 339-350.
- Safarian, A.E. Foreign ownership of Canadian industry. Toronto: McGraw-Hill, 1966.
- Scherer, F.M. <u>Industrial market structure and economic performance (second edition).</u> Chicago, Rand-McNally, 1980.
- Seth, Ram, and Alicia Quijano. "Japanese banks' customers in the United States." <u>Federal Reserve Bank of New York Quarterly Review</u>, 16 (1), 1991: 79-82.
- Sharfman, Mark P., Gerrit Wolf, Richard B. Chase, and David A. Tansik, "Antecedents of organizational slack." <u>Academy of Management Review</u>, 13, 1988, 601-614.
- Smitka, Michael J. <u>Competitive ties: Subcontracting in the Japanese automotive industry.</u> New York: Columbia University Press, 1991.
- Stearns, Timothy M., Alan N. Hoffman, and Jan B. Heide. "Performance of commercial television stations as an outcome of interorganizational linkages and environmental conditions." <u>Academy of Management Journal</u>, 30, 1987: 71-90.

- Terpstra, Vern, and Chwo-Ming Yu. "Determinants of foreign investment of U.S. advertising agencies." <u>Journal of International Business Studies</u>, 19 (1), 1988: 33-46.
- Tharp, Mike, and Robert L. Simpson. "Honda's move to build \$200 million plant in Ohio could spur other Japanese firms." Wall Street Journal, January 14, 1980, 2.
- Turnbull, Peter, and Lauren Doherty-Wilson. "The internationalization of the advertising industry." European Journal of Marketing, 24 (1), 1989: 7-15.
- Turnbull, Peter, Nick Oliver, and Barry Wilkinson. "Buyer-supplier relations in the UK automotive industry: Strategic implications of the Japanese manufacturing model." Strategic Management Journal, 13, 1992: 159-168.
- Van de Ven, Andrew H. "On the nature, formation, and maintenance of relations among organizations." <u>Academy of Management Review</u>, 1 (3), 1976: 24-36.
- Vernon, Raymond. Sovereignty at bay. New York: Basic Books, 1971.
- Walker, Gordon, and David Weber. "A transaction cost approach to make or buy decisions." Administrative Science Quarterly, 29, 1984: 330-354.
- Walker, Gordon, and David Weber. "Supplier competition, uncertainty, and make or buy decisions." <u>Academy of Management Journal</u>, 30, 1987: 589-596.
- Weick, Karl E. "Management of organizational change among loosely coupled elements." In Paul S. Goodman (ed.) <u>Change in organizations</u>, 375-408. San Francisco, Jossey-Bass, 1979.
- Williamson, Oliver E. <u>Markets and hierarchies: Analysis and antitrust implications</u>. New York: Free Press, 1975.
- Williamson Oliver E. The economic institutions of capitalism: Firms, markets, relational contracting. New York: Free Press, 1985.
- Womack, James P., Daniel T. Jones, and Daniel Roos. <u>The machine that changed the world.</u> New York: Rawson Associates, 1990.

Table 1. Expected influences on the likelihood that a particular buyer-supplier link will be recreated or a potential extension link will be formed (a)

	Recreation	Extension
Buyer-supplier characteristics		
Long past experience	+	?
Buyer characteristics		
		•
	+	0
	+	+
Home-country production volume	?	?
Number of suppliers in home country	-	+
Joint venture status		
* venture with home-country buyer	_	_
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	?	+ ?
* venture with local buyer	•	f
Supplier characteristics		
Supplier size	+	+
Constitution and add before books	+	+
Alternation of the comment of the co	+	+
Captive supplier	•	•
• • •	?	
	•	-
	n/a	-
Sell only to buyers that have not expanded	n/a	-

⁽a) The predictions address the all-supplier sample, which includes all home-country buyers that have established foreign facilities and all home-country suppliers.

Table 2. Summary of Japanese auto assembler links with Japanese auto suppliers in North America, 1989-1990

Assembler	Production,	ion,	Began North	Joint venture in	Supplier	Links with Japanese suppliers in North America	ese suppliers	in North A	merica
	1988-1989 (a)	89 (a)	American	North America	inks in	Total links	Recreation links:	ו links:	Extension links:
	North Japan	Japan	production	(partner)	Japan		Buy from supplier	supplier	Do not buy from
	America	_					in Japan		supplier in Japan
	(000 nnits)	ts)	Year		#	#	#	(q) %	#
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(2)	(8)	(6)	(10)
Honda	364	1328	1982		188	102	78	41%	24
Nissan Motors	113	2293	1985		186	29	28	31%	o
Toyota: Nummi	161	3972	1985	Nummi (General Motors)	166	35	27	16%	80
Mazda	192	1245	1987		196	89	54	28%	14
Mitsubishi	47	1255	1988	Diamond Star (Chrysler)	224	46	35	16%	Ξ
Toyota (Independent)	82	3972	1988		166	99	53	32%	13
Isuzu	က	266	1989	SIA (Subaru)	167	99	40	24%	56
Subaru	က	929	1989	SIA (Isuzu)	154	65	4	27%	24
Suzuki	_	857	1989	CAMI (General Motors)	171	41	53	17%	5
Daihatsu		643			143				!
Hino		9			141				
Nissan Diesel		40			139				
Column totals		•				556	415		141
Column averages	108	1401			170	62	46	56%	16
No. of distinct suppliers					029	159 (c)	152		89

(a) Unit production is 1988 and 1989 average (only 1989 for Isuzu, Subaru, and Suzuki in North America, and Daihatsu, Hino, and Nissan Diesel in Japan).(b) Column 9 = Column 8 / Column 6(c) An additional 14 suppliers had North American facilities but there was no record of any links with the assembler transplants in 1989-1990.

|--|

	Table 3. Summary statistics for buyer-supplier pairs:			spiant-	supplier		(0)		
			(1)				(2)		_
		All-suppl	-	le		Transpla		er sam	ple
		(6000 cas	es)			(1556 cas	ses)		
		A. Recrea	ition candi	idates		C. Recrea	ation cand	lidates	
		(Have link	in Japan	, N=16	18)	(Have link	c in Japan	, N=70	4)
	Variable	Mean	s.d.	Min	Max	Mean	s.d.	Min	Max
1	Recreation (dependent variable)	0.256	0.437	0	1	0.589	0.492	0	1
2	Long past buyer-supplier experience (Link in 1979)	0.587	0.492	0	1	0.707	0.455	0	1
3	Unknown link in 1979	0.278	0.448	0	1	0.163	0.370	0	1
4	Production experience in new location (Entry year)	86.83	2.28	82	89	86.68	2.39	82	89
5	Home-country production volume	1761	1222	566	3972	1766	1222	566	3972
6	Number of suppliers in home country	182.01	20.91	154	224	181.02	19.90	154	224
7	Joint venture with home-country buyer	0.198	0.399	0	1	0.203	0.403	0	1
8	Joint venture with local buyer	0.347	0.476	0	1	0.317	0.466	0	1
9	Supplier size	154.91	460.46	0	4075	244.99	585.66	2	4075
10	Unknown size	0.048	0.214	0	1	0.006	0.075	0	1
11	Supplier expanded before buyer: Before 1980	0.078	0.269	0	1	0.180	0.385	0	1
12	Supplier expanded before buyer: After 1979	0.077	0.267	0	1	0.178	0.382	0	1
13	Number of buyers in home country	5.438	3.483	1	11	7.007	3.082	1	11
14	Captive supplier of buyer that has expanded	0.206	0.405	0	1	0.070	0.255	0	1
		B. Extens	ion candid	lates		D. Extens	ion candid	dates	
		(No link in	Japan, N	=4382)	0.165 0.372 0		l=852)	
	Variable	Mean	s.d.	Min	Max			Min	Max
1	Extension (dependent variable)	0.032	0.176	0	1			0	1
2	Long past buyer-supplier experience (Link in 1979)	0.031	0.175	0	1	0.054	0.226	0	1
3	Unknown link in 1979	0.419	0.493	0	1	0.306	0.461	0	1
4	Production experience in new location (Entry year)	86.92	2.27	82	89	87.06	2.18	82	89
5	Home-country production volume	1799	1284	566	3972	1802	1302	566	3972
6	Number of suppliers in home country	178.94	19.62	154	224	178.78	20.09	154	224
7	Joint venture with home-country buyer	0.231	0.421	0	1	0.237	0.426	0	1
8	Joint venture with local buyer	0.329	0.470	0	1	0.347	0.476	0	1
9	Supplier size	59.72	196.75	0	4075	119.92	306.39.	2	4075
10	Unknown size	0.111	0.314	0	1	0.027	0.162	0	1
11	Supplier expanded before buyer: Before 1980	0.020	0.141	0	1	0.104	0.306	0	1
12	Supplier expanded before buyer: After 1979	0.032	0.176	0	1	0.165	0.372	0	1
13	Number of buyers in home country	1.836	1.571	1	10	2.808	2.265	1	10
14	Captive supplier of buyer that has expanded	0.544	0.498	0	1	0.427	0.495	0	1
15	Captive supplier of buyer that has not expanded	0.109	0.311	0	1			0	0
16	Sell only to buyers that have not expanded	0.121	0.326	0	1			0	0

Table 4. Logistic regression estimates of influences on link formation in the all-supplier sample

Variable	1. Recreati	ion (1618	cases)		2	2. Extensio	n (4382 d	ases)	
	Predicted	Coef.	S.E.	Prob.	-	redicted	Coef.	S.E.	Prob.
Buyer-supplier characteristics									
Link in 1979	+	1.075	0.216	0.0001		?	0.615	0.365	0.09
Unknown link in 1979		0.192	0.251	0.44			-0.209	0.208	0.32
Buyer characteristics									
Later entry year	_	-0.155	0.035	0.0001		0	-0.077	0.054	0.15
Home-country volume (x 1000)	?	-0.040	0.084	0.65		?	-0.160	0.123	0.20
No. of suppliers in home country (x 1000		-0.860	5.480	0.87		+	5.800	7.500	0.44
Joint venture with home-country buyer	·) -	-0.520	0.330	0.12		+	0.591	0.446	0.18
Joint venture with local buyer	?	-1.108	0.177	0.00		?	-0.423	0.278	0.13
Supplier characteristics									
Supplier size (x1000)	+	0.317	0.141	0.02		+	0.370	0.272	0.17
Unknown size		-1.741	0.732	0.02			-1.630	0.721	0.02
Expanded before buyer: Before 1980	+	1.780	0.223	0.0001		+	2.948	0.276	0.0001
Expanded before buyer: After 1979	+	2.264	0.233	0.0001		+	1.546	0.297	0.0001
No. of buyers in home country	+	0.105	0.025	0.0001		+	0.246	0.052	0.0001
Captive of buyer that has expanded	?	-0.060	0.248	0.81		-	0.476	0.245	0.05
Intercept		11.224	3.110	0.0003			1.361	4.789	0.78
Model loglikelihood		-715.9					-512.7		
Loglikelihood chi-square (df=13)		410.6					221.2		
North American links		415					141		

The probability measures are based on two-tailed t-tests.

Table 5. Logistic regression estimates of influences on link formation in the transplant-supplier sample

Variable	1. Recreati	ion (704 c	ases)		2. E	xtensio	n (852 ca	ases)	
	Predicted	Coef.	S.E.	Prob.	Pred	licted	Coef.	S.E.	Prob.
Buyer-supplier characteristics									
Link in 1979	+	1.058	0.253	0.0001		?	0.626	0.377	0.10
Unknown link in 1979		0.537	0.309	80.0			0.040	0.226	0.86
Buyer characteristics									
Later entry year	_	-0.087	0.048	0.07		0	-0.100	0.057	0.08
Home-country volume (x 1000)	?	-0.030	0.097	0.72		?	-0.220	0.124	0.07
No. of suppliers in home country (x 1000		-0.010	5.880	0.999		+	1.120	7.740	0.89
Joint venture with home-country buyer	·) -	-0.626	0.371	0.09		 	0.580	0.454	0.20
Joint venture with local buyer	?	-1.391	0.212	0.0001		?	-0.436	0.277	0.12
	•		0	0.000		•	0.100	0.277	0.12
Supplier characteristics									
Supplier size (x 1000)	+	0.226	0.169	0.18		+	0.312	0.287	0.28
Unknown size	•	-0.210	1.105	0.85		•	-0.636	0.767	0.41
Expanded before buyer: Before 1980	+	0.415	0.231	0.07		+	1.159	0.273	0.0001
Expanded before buyer: After 1979	+	0.874	0.246	0.0004		+	-0.209	0.295	0.48
No. of buyers in home country	· +	0.040	0.033	0.22		 	0.079	0.057	0.17
Captive of buyer that has expanded	+	1.101	0.434	0.01		-	0.308	0.276	0.27
osparo or aujor macrido orparidos	•			0.0.			0.000	0.270	0.27
Intercept		7.095	4.229	0.09			6.625	5.083	0.19
Model loglikelihood		-425.4					-352.7		
Loglikelihood chi-square (df=13)		102.5					59.1		
North American links		415					141		

The probability measures are based on two-tailed t-tests.

Other than the captive supplier recreation prediction, the predictions are the same as in the all-supplier sample.

Table 6. Summary of influences on link recreation and extension

Buyer-supplier characteristics	All-supplier Recreation (1)	sample Extension (2)	Transpant-s Recreation (3)	supplier sample Extension (4)
Link in 1979	+ **	т *	_ **	. *
Unknown link in 1979	+	-	+*	+
			•	•
Buyer characteristics				
Later entry year	- **	-	- *	- *
Home-country volume	-	-	-	- *
No. of suppliers in home country	-	+	-	+
Joint venture with home-country buyer	-	+	_ *	+
Joint venture with local buyer	_ **	-	_ **	-
Supplier characteristics				
Supplier size	+ **	+	+	4
Unknown size	_ **	_ **	-	•
Expanded before buyer: Before 1980	+ **	+ **	+ *	+ **
Expanded before buyer: After 1979	+ **	+ **	+ **	<u>.</u>
No. of buyers in home country	+ **	+ **	+	+
Captive of buyer that has expanded	=	+ *	+ **	+
Captive of buyer that has not expanded Sell only to buyers that have not expanded		- # - #		

^{**} p< .05, * p< .10 (two-tailed t-tests)
Qualitative rather than regression results, because no supplier that sold only to one or more buyers that had not expanded formed an extension link.

Appendix 1a. Correlation matrix of all-supplier sample, recreation subset (N=1618)

	-	7	ო	4	2	9	7	ω	თ	9	Ξ	12	<u>ნ</u>	4
1 Dependent variable	1.00	0.20	-0.17	-0.11	0.01	-0.03	-0.00	-0.16	0.17	-0.12	0.25	0.25	0.27	-0.17
Buyer-supplier characteristics									,					
2 Link in 1979	0.20	1.00	-0.74	0.04	0.07	-0.01	0.01	0.05	0.05	-0.13	0.09	0.05	0.17	-0.12
3 Unknown link in 1979	-0.17	-0.74	1.00	-0.06	0.00	0.05	-0.06	-0.01	-0.02	0.16	-0.09	-0.10	-0.23	0.24
Buyer characteristics														
4 Later entry year	-0.11	0.04	-0.06	1.00	-0.30	-0.16	0.47	0.19	-0.01	-0.01	0.01	0.20	90.0	-0.05
5 Home-country volume	0.01	0.07	0.00	-0.30	1.00	-0.20	-0.48	0.11	-0.01	-0.02	-0.01	-0.12	0.02	-0.03
6 No. of suppliers in home country	-0.03	-0.01	0.05	-0.16	-0.20	1.00	-0.51	0.30	-0.02	60.0	0.00	-0.09	-0.10	0.10
7 JV with home-country buyer	-0.00	0.01	-0.06	0.47	-0.48	-0.51	1.00	-0.36	0.02	-0.06	0.01	0.16	0.07	-0.07
8 JV with local buyer	-0.16	0.05	-0.01	0.19	0.11	0.30	-0.36	1.00	-0.02	0.03	-0.02	0.02	-0.02	0.03
Supplier characteristics														
9 Supplier size	0.17	0.05	-0.02	-0.01	-0.01	-0.02	0.02	-0.02	1.00	-0.03	0.19	0.08	0.20	-0.10
10 Unknown size	-0.12	-0.13	0.16	-0.01	-0.02	0.09	-0.06	0.03	-0.03	1.00	-0.07	-0.07	-0.19	0.24
11 Expanded before buyer: Before 1980	0.25	60.0	-0.09	0.01	-0.01	0.00	0.01	-0.02	0.19	-0.07	9.	-0.08	0.23	-0.13
12 Expanded before buyer: After 1979	0.25	0.05	-0.10	0.20	-0.12	-0.09	0.16	0.02	90.0	-0.07	-0.08	1.00	0.21	-0.14
13 No. of home-country buyers	0.27	0.17	-0.23	90.0	0.02	-0.10	0.07	-0.02	0.20	-0.19	0.23	0.21	1.00	-0.65
14 Captive of buyer that has expanded	-0.17	-0.12	0.24	-0.05	-0.03	0.10	-0.07	0.03	-0.10	0.24	-0.13	-0.14	-0.65	1.00

Appendix 1b. Correlation matrix of all-supplier sample, extension subset (N=4382)

	-	0	(0	, 4	ເດ	9	7	∞	თ	9	=	12	5	4	7	5
1 Dependent variable	1.00	90.0	-0.03	0.00	-0.05	-0.02	0.05	-0.04	0.08	90.0-	0.27	0.11	0.15	-0.05	900-	-0.07
Buyer-supplier characteristics											į	<u>:</u>) :) }	5
2 Link in 1979	90.0	1.00	-0.15	0.01	-0.03	0.03	0.00	0.03	0.02	-0.03	0.04	0.03	0.15	-0.10	-0.02	0.01
3 Unknown link in 1979	-0.03	-0.15	1.00	0.02	0.00	-0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.17	0.05	-0.08	-0.20	0.19	0.02	0.03
Buyer characteristics														!		}
4 Later entry year	0.00	0.01	0.02	1.00	-0.32	-0.24	0.50	0.12	0.01	0.00	-0.00	0.12	-0.03	0.01	-0.00	9.00
5 Home-country volume	-0.05	-0.03	0.00	-0.32	1.00	-0.16	-0.52	0.15	0.01	0.00	0.01	-0.07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
6 No. of home-country suppliers	-0.02	0.03	-0.01	-0.24	-0.16	1.00	-0.52	0.23	-0.00	.0.01	-0.02	-0.05	-0.00	-0.00	0.01	0.01
7 JV with home-country buyer	0.05	0.00	0.01	0.50	-0.52	-0.52	1.00	-0.38	-0.00	0.01	0.00	60.0	-0.01	0.00	-0.01	-0.01
8 JV with local buyer	-0.04	0.03	0.00	0.12	0.15	0.23	-0.38	0.1	0.02	-0.00	0.01	0.01	-0.01	-0.00	000	00.0
Supplier characteristics																}
9 Supplier size	0.08	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	-0.00	-0.00	0.02	1.00	0.05	90.0	0.12	0.16	-0.00	-0.07	-0.08
10 Unknown size	-0.06	-0.03	0.17	0.00	0.00	-0.01	0.01	-0.00	0.05	1.00	-0.05	-0.06	-0.15	0.15	0.00	0.01
11 Expanded before buyer: Before 1980	0.27	0.04	0.05	-0.00	0.01	-0.02	0.00	0.01	90.0	-0.05	1.00	-0.03	0.14	-0.05	-0.05	-0.05
12 Expanded before buyer: After 1979	0.11	0.03	-0.08	0.12	-0.07	-0.05	60.0	0.01	0.12	-0.06	-0.03	1.00	0.12	-0.08	-0.06	-0.07
13 No. of home-country buyers	0.15	0.15	-0.20	-0.03	0.00	-0.00	-0.01	-0.01	0.16	-0.15	0.14	0.12	1.00	-0.58	-0.17	-0.19
14 Captive of buyer that has expanded	-0.05	-0.10	0.19	0.01	0.00	-0.00	0.00	-0.00	-0.00	0.15	-0.05	-0.08	-0.58	1.00	-0.38	-0.41
15 Captive of buyer that has not expanded	-0.06	-0.02	0.02	-0.00	0.00	0.01	-0.01	0.00	-0.07	0.00	-0.05	-0.06	-0.17	-0.38	1.00	0.94
16 Sell only to buyers that have not expanded	-0.07	0.01	0.03	-0.00	-0.00	0.01	-0.01	0.00	-0.08	0.01	-0.05	-0.07	-0.19	-0.41	0.94	1.00

_	
3	1
Ϋ́	
Ë	
ĕ	
ğ	
ဥ	
등	
ä	
ē	
<u>a</u>	
ø	
5	
Sar	
6	
ਰ	
5	
	•
ž	
S	
ž	į
÷	
<u>خ</u>	
Ħ	
Ε	
2)
ţ	
9	֡
S)
popolity 2a. Correlation matrix of transplant-supplier sample, recreation subset (N=704)	
3	
Ť	
ã	
č	

Octobro to the second	- 6	2 5	40.04	-0. 15	5	9 00.0-	-0.02	8 -0.25	90.0	-0.01	11 0.05	12 0.05	0.01	0.0
Dependent variable Buyer-supplier characteristics														
2 Link in 1979	0.12	1.00	-0.69	0.05	0.10	0.03	-0.04	0.10	0.14	-0.03	0.03	-0.03	0.20	-0.09
3 Unknown link in 1979	-0.04	-0.69	1.00	-0.08	-0.00	0.02	-0.06	-0.02	-0.12	0.07	-0.03	-0.04	-0.22	0.21
Buyer characteristics														:
4 Later entry year	-0.15	0.05	-0.08	1.00	-0.27	-0.21	0.49	0.19	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.34	0.18	-0.19
5 Home-country volume	0.01	0.10	-0.00	-0.27	1.00	-0.18	-0.49	0.13	-0.03	-0.03	-0.02	-0.19	0.01	-0.04
6 No of suppliers in home country	-0.00	0.03	0.02	-0.21	-0.18	1.00	-0.52	0.25	-0.00	0.11	0.02	-0.13	-0.08	90.0
7 .IV with home-country buver	-0.02	-0.04	-0.06	0.49	-0.49	-0.52	1.00	-0.34	0.03	-0.04	0.01	0.25	60.0	-0.08
8 JV with local buyer	-0.25	0.10	-0.02	0.19	0.13	0.25	-0.34	9.	-0.01	0.03	-0.00	90.0	0.07	-0.08
Supplier characteristics											!		•	!
9 Supplier size	0.08	0.14	-0.12	0.02	-0.03	-0.00	0.03	-0.01	9.0	-0.01	0.17	0.03	0.12	-0.07
10 Unknown size	-0.01	-0.03	0.07	0.03	-0.03	0.11	-0.04	0.03	-0.01	1.00	-0.04	-0.04	-0.14	0.13
11 Expanded before buyer: Before 1980	0.05	0.03	-0.03	0.04	-0.02	0.05	0.01	-0.00	0.17	-0.04	1.00	-0.22	0.18	-0.07
12 Expanded before bliver: After 1979	0.05	-0.03	-0.04	0.34	-0.19	-0.13	0.25	90.0	0.03	-0.04	-0.22	1.00	0.15	-0.11
13 No of home-county buyers	0.01	0.20	-0.22	0.18	0.01	-0.08	60.0	0.07	0.12	-0.14	0.18	0.15	1.00	-0.53
14 Captive of buver that has expanded	0.10	-0.09	0.21	-0.19	-0.04	0.08	-0.08	-0.08	-0.07	0.13	-0.07	-0.11	-0.53	1.0

=852)	
Z	
_	
Sorrelation matrix of transplant-supplier sample, extension subset (N=852)	
extens.	
<u>•</u>	ĺ
samp	
ē	
ā	
t-su	
an	
transp	
ŏ	
n matri	
뜛	
Appendix 2b. Correlatior	
<u>ب</u>	
×	
ğ	
g	I
⋖	١

Appendix to: concident manny of adisplant of	מומלסט זו וש	34111910	, פעופו ופועם	1 Samo	1300-1									
	-	0	ო	4	5	9	7	ω	6	9	=	12	13	14
1 Dependent variable	1.00	0.08	0.03	-0.02	-0.12	-0.04	0.12	-0.12	0.05	-0.04	0.18	-0.04	0.07	-0.01
Buyer-supplier characteristics			-							•				
2 Link in 1979	0.08	1.00	-0.16	0.01	-0.06	-0.02	0.00	0.02	0.04	-0.04	0.04	0.02	0.16	-0.13
3 Unknown link in 1979	0.03	-0.16	1.0	0.04	-0.00	0.00	0.02	0.01	-0.04	0.14	0.22	-0.10	-0.18	0.23
Buyer characteristics														
4 Later entry year	-0.02	0.01	0.04	1.00	-0.36	-0.22	0.50	60.0	0.00	-0.02	-0.02	0.27	-0.07	0.03
5 Home-country volume	-0.12	-0.06	-0.00	-0.36	1.00	-0.16	-0.53	0.14	0.05	0.01	0.02	-0.16	0.01	0.01
6 No. of suppliers in home country	-0.04	-0.02	0.00	-0.22	-0.16	1.00	-0.51	0.26	-0.02	-0.04	-0.04	-0.12	-0.01	0.01
7 JV with home-country buyer	0.12	0.00	0.02	0.50	-0.53	-0.51	1.00	-0.41	-0.02	0.01	-0.00	0.20	-0.02	0.00
8 JV with local buyer	-0.12	0.02	0.01	0.09	0.14	0.26	-0.41	1.00	0.03	-0.02	0.01	0.00	-0.02	0.01
Supplier characteristics														
9 Supplier size	0.05	0.04	-0.04	0.00	0.05	-0.02	-0.02	0.03	1.00	0.03	0.05	0.10	0.24	-0.10
10 Unknown size	-0.04	-0.04	0.14	-0.02	0.01	-0.04	0.01	-0.02	0.03	1.00	-0.06	-0.07	-0.11	60.0
11 Expanded before buyer: Before 1980	0.18	0.04	0.22	-0.02	0.02	-0.04	-0.00	0.01	0.05	-0.06	1.00	-0.15	0.09	-0.05
12 Expanded before buyer: After 1979	-0.04	0.02	-0.10	0.27	-0.16	-0.12	0.20	0.00	0.10	-0.07	-0.15	1.00	0.01	-0.10
13 No. of home-country buyers	0.07	0.16	-0.18	-0.07	0.01	-0.01	-0.02	-0.02	0.24	-0.11	0.09	0.01	1.00	-0.69
14 Captive of buyer that has expanded	-0.01	-0.13	0.23	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	-0.10	60.0	-0.05	-0.10	-0.69	1.00

