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ABSTRACT Tooth wear records valuable information on diet and methods of food preparation in prehistoric populations or extinct species. In this study, samples of modern and prehistoric hunter-gatherers and agriculturalists are used to test the hypothesis that there are systematic differences in patterns of tooth wear related to major differences in subsistence and food preparation. Flatness of molar wear is compared for five groups of hunter-gatherers (N = 298) and five groups of early agriculturalists (N = 365). Hunter-gatherers are predicted to develop flatter molar wear due to the mastication of tough and fibrous foods, whereas agriculturalists should develop oblique molar wear due to an increase in the proportion of ground and prepared food in the diet.

A method is presented for the quantitative measurement and analysis of flatness of molar wear. Comparisons of wear plane angle are made between teeth matched for the same stage of occlusal surface wear, thus standardizing all groups to the same rate of wear. Agriculturalists develop highly angled occlusal wear planes on the entire molar dentition. Their wear plane angles tend to exceed hunter-gatherers by about 10° in advanced wear. Wear plane angles are similar within subsistence divisions despite regional differences in particular foods. This approach can be used to provide supporting evidence of change in human subsistence and to test dietary hypotheses in hominoid evolution.

Tooth wear is one skeletal feature that preserves direct evidence of the masticatory behavior of mammals. Mastication is intimately related to diet, and patterns of tooth wear can be used to make inferences about diet in prehistoric populations and extinct species. From an anthropological point of view, tooth wear may record important stages in human biological and cultural evolution, including evidence of food resources utilized by ancestral hominids, development of fire and cooking, invention of food processing utilizing grinding tools, adoption of agriculture, invention of pottery, and other refinements in the way food is processed. Microscopic features of wear (Walker et al., 1978; Grine, 1981), rates of tooth wear (Petersen, 1949), and the form and pattern of tooth wear (Molnar, 1972) may all be informative for studies that seek to reconstruct the diet and methods of food preparation of earlier populations. One pattern that may give evidence on these aspects of human adaptation is the flatness of molar wear.

Since the earliest discoveries of fossil hominids, the flatness of molar wear has impressed observers (see Keith and Knowles, 1911-12; Dart, 1929; Clark, 1967). Flat versus oblique molar wear is one of the classic distinctions between hominid and pongid dentitions (Clark, 1955). Although flat wear has been traditionally attributed to canine reduction that allowed a rotary chewing motion, the assumption that tough or fibrous foods are also responsible underlies discussions of hominid or protohominid tooth wear (see Robinson, 1956; Jolly, 1970; Simons, 1976). Changes in food consistency may be the most systematic trend in human food preparation:

Among human beings, the extent to which the process of mechanical food disintegration is done in the mouth, depends inversely on the development of food preparation methods. From primitive man's usage of stone knives, pounding and grinding stones,
down through the ages to modern usage of knives, forks, spoons, fast working mincing machines, heavy rolling mills and highly refined foodstuffs, the mechanical disintegration of food has gradually, but surely, been shifted from inside to outside the mouth (Campbell, 1939:53).

A substantial descriptive literature indicates that prehistoric human groups exhibit great variation in tooth wear (e.g., Nicholls, 1914; Campbell, 1925; Leigh, 1925; Rabkin, 1943; Pedersen, 1949). Some investigators have suggested how tooth wear might reflect cultural changes in food preparation (Brace, 1962; Greene et al., 1967; Molnar, 1972; Hinton, 1981).

The adoption of agriculture (or intensive collection and usage of wild grains) probably represents a great change in human food and food preparation. Brace (1962) suggested that the intensive use of grinding stones on grains and the appearance of pottery in the Neolithic are associated with a substantial reduction in food toughness. The change from a hunter-gatherer subsistence to a diet based on ground grains and food cooked in water should produce a reduction in food toughness, fibrousness, and resistance, and thus a reduction in the role of the teeth in breakdown of foods. The product of this change in food consistency, Brace argued, was a change from flat molar wear to a more oblique wear pattern.

This study is an attempt to build an internally consistent model identifying the determinants of one pattern of wear, specifically, the flatness of the occlusal wear plane angle. Samples of prehistoric and modern hunter-gatherers and agriculturalists for which there is a good archaeological or ethnographic context are used to test the hypothesis that there are communalities in flatness of molar wear related to broad similarities in major food sources and methods of food preparation. Such a broad generalization calls for supporting evidence from a wide-ranging sample of human groups. In this study, the development of molar wear is compared for ten major human groups.

With these broad samples and a quantitative approach to the study of tooth wear, it is possible to investigate a number of long-standing questions: Are there communalities in tooth wear patterns due to similar cultural/technological features of food preparation? How sensitive is a given wear pattern as an indicator of foods and food preparation? How important is a particular food, as compared with its mode of preparation?

MECHANISMS

Explanation of the mechanism proposed to account for a correspondence between molar wear planes and food consistency requires a brief review of molar wear.

The development of human molar wear is best understood as a modification of a wear pattern common to primates and some other omnivorous mammals. Hominoids, in particular, share a basic wear pattern due to broad similarities in crown morphology, occlusion, and the dynamics of mastication (see Butler, 1973; Mills, 1955). Based on cineradiographic studies of mastication, Hiemae and associates divided mastication into two cycles, each characterized by a different type of tooth wear (Crompton and Hiemae 1970; Hiemae and Kay, 1973; Hiemae, 1976). In the initial cycle, termed "puncture-crushing," teeth do not contact and repeatedly chop the food bolus, producing blunting wear over the tooth surface. This is followed by a cycle of "chewing" in which teeth shear and grind across each other, producing the characteristic "lingual and buccal phase" wear facets (see Fig. 1), well known from the work of Butler (1952, 1973) and Mills (1955). It should be noted that the mandibular buccal and maxillary lingual cusps receive wear on both faces of cusp slopes whereas the remaining cusps wear on one face (Fig. 1, left). As attrition advances, this differential wear tends to produce an oblique surface (Fig. 1, right). An oblique wear plane is the "pattern" expected from near or actual tooth-to-tooth contact in "chewing." "Puncture-crushing," on the other hand, should contribute to wear on the entire crown surface and lead to a flatter wear plane.

The relative amount of "puncture-crushing" required for different foods could influence the obliquity of the wear plane angle. This was first proposed by Taylor (1963), some time before the current terminology appeared:

When tough fibrous foods are prominent in the diet and teeth do not so often make contact during its mastication, there will tend to be less difference in the wear of the buccal and lingual cusps (1963:99).
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Animal experiments indicate that the period of "puncture-crushing" can be significantly increased for "tough" foods (Hiiemae, 1976). This explanation predicts that more highly oblique wear results from more mechanically refined foods.

A second mechanism that could produce the same effect can be proposed. First, a wider mandibular movement creates more even wear across buccal and lingual cusps. Secondly, mandibular movement responds to food consistency: tougher or more fibrous foods are chewed with a wider lateral excursion of the mandible. Thus tough foods also contribute indirectly to flatter molar wear.

This hypothesis, in its entirety, is difficult to attribute to any one individual. The notion that canine reduction allows a more "rotary" chewing motion that creates flat wear is found in early discussions of fossil hominids (Keith and Knowles, 1911-1912). The fraudulent flat wear on the Piltdown molars placed this feature in the limelight at an early date. Reduced canines and flat wear subsequently became an accepted cause and effect (Keith, 1913; Gregory, 1922; Schultz, 1925; Gregory et al., 1938). Most discussions seem to assume that a "rotary" type of mastication is necessary for a hard or tough diet, although this is not always clearly stated (Dart, 1929; Clark, 1955; Robinson, 1954, 1956; Simons, 1968, 1976; Jolly, 1970).

Scattered references to the effect of food consistency on mandibular motion can be found in the dental literature (Black, 1895; Pickerill, 1923; Leigh, 1925) and this has been the subject of experimental work. Studies that have traced human mandibular movement typically find markedly different responses to different test foods:

Tough food usually is associated with grinding strokes with pronounced lateral deflexion of the mandible, whereas soft food produces more vertical, chopping movements (Ahlgren 1976:127).

Nearly all treatments of the subject, whether experimental or descriptive, simply use the word "tough" as the quality of food under consideration. Vague as it is, this represents the status of the model. Until there are more efforts at defining the properties of foods and their effect on mastication, we are relegated to a comparison of "tough", "resistant," or "fibrous" foods with "refined" or "soft" foods. The direct "puncture-crushing" hypothesis and the indirect "mandibular movement" hypothesis both predict that tough and fibrous foods produce flatter wear. They are not exclusive mechanisms, and both may operate simultaneously.

Review of Materials

A series of five, clear-cut agriculturalists from a variety of environments were chosen for study: Neolithic Europeans, prehistoric and early historic Nubians, early historic British, Mississippian American Indians, and Puebloan American Indians. Hunter-gatherers are represented by a Middle and Upper Paleolithic sample from Europe and the Near East, a Mesolithic sample from France, Archaic American Indians, recent Australian aborigines, and precontact Canadian and Alaskan Eskimos. In most cases these human "groups" were created by combining material from several neighboring sites or subperiods. Groups and their sub-member sets are listed in Table 1, with brief references to background information. All mater-
### TABLE 1. Classification and summary of study sample, with periods or approximate dates, and references

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group site/sub-group</th>
<th>Period/Date</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Paleolithic</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Europe</td>
<td>Middle paleolithic(^2)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Oakley et al., 1971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levant</td>
<td>Middle-transitional(^3)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>Upper paleolithic(^4)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mesolithic (France)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoëdic</td>
<td>ca 6570 BP</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Péguart and Péguart, 1934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Téviec</td>
<td>ca 6570 BP</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Péguart et al., 1937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baume de Montclus</td>
<td>ca 6000 BP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ferembach, 1974a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rochereil</td>
<td>ca 7000 BP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ferembach, 1974b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Australian</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Australia</td>
<td>pre-1900</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>(University of Edinburgh)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Territories</td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New South Wales</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Australia</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eskimo</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thule (Canada)</td>
<td>ca 1200 AD</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Merbs, 1968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Point Hope (Alaska)</td>
<td>pre-contact</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>(Smithsonian)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Archaic</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LU 25 (Alabama)</td>
<td>(\geq 4700) BP</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Webb and DeJarnette, 1942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>298</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Agriculturalists</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolmen de Sauveterre</td>
<td>Neolithic, n.d.</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Duckworth, 1898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(France)</td>
<td>ca 1400–1000 BC</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Prumieres, 1873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nubian</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-Group</td>
<td>ca 3300–2800 BC</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Nielsen, 1970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Group</td>
<td>ca 2300–1800 BC</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharaonic</td>
<td>ca 1800–1200 BC</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meroitic</td>
<td>ca AD 0-350</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-Group</td>
<td>ca AD 350-355</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christian</td>
<td>ca AD 550-1000</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-, X-, or Meroitic</td>
<td>ca 2300 BC-AD 350</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Historic British</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maiden Castle</td>
<td>Iron Age, AD 42–47</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Wheeler, 1940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poundbury</td>
<td>Iron Age</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Green, 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burwell</td>
<td>Anglo-Saxon</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Brash et al., 1935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comet Place</td>
<td>Medieval, ca AD 1300</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>(Cambridge University)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mississippian</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LU 25 (Alabama)</td>
<td>ca AD 1200–1500</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Webb and DeJarnette, 1942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS 80 (Alabama)</td>
<td>(\geq) AD 1000</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Webb and Wilder, 1951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Puebloan</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gran Quivira (New Mexico)</td>
<td>AD 1400–1675</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Hayes et al., 1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>365</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>663</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Reference given for publications about particular site, dates may come from other sources (see Smith, 1983a). Location of collection given if no publication is available.
2Sites: Montmaurin, La Ferrasie, La Quina, Gibraltar.
3Sites: Tabun, Skhul, Qafzeh.
4Sites: Cro-Magnon, St. Germaine-la-Rivière, Grotte d'Isturitz, Abri Pataud, Abri Lachaude, Laugerie-Basse.
ial is in research/museum collections in the United States and Europe. The hunter-gatherers probably span greater diversity in food preparation and food sources than do the agriculturalists. Austral-ian aborigines, for example, used grinding stones to produce a grass seed cake (these cakes have been described as "hard as a brick" [Nicholls, 1914]). This practice was unavailable to Eskimos, who, however, did boil meat in stone bowls over lamp flames (see Jenness, 1922). Raw, frozen, or dried meat also formed a major part of Eskimo diets by most accounts (Thalbitzer, 1914; Jen-ness, 1922; de Poncins, 1941). Although the five groups of hunter–gatherers subsisted on a variety of plant and animal foods, none had pottery and none are thought to have had a diet primarily based on ground grains; even grinding stones are absent in some groups. In contrast, the five agriculturalist groups are known to have made extensive use of grinding stones to grind some grain crop, and to have used water-tight, fire-resistant con-tainers (pottery) to cook food for long periods in water. An overview of the diet and food preparation of the ten human groups analyzed here can be found in Smith (1983a).

There is some independent, skeletal evi-dence of a difference in foods. Caries fre-quency is a good indicator of the approximate amount of processed carbohydrates in the diet (see Hardwick, 1960; Moore and Corbett, 1978; Turner, 1979). Caries frequency is near zero in the five groups of hunter–gatherers, and substantial in the five groups of agricul-turalists, supporting the proposed differences in proportion of processed grains in the diets of hunter–gatherers and agriculturalists (exact frequencies cannot be given since extreme pathologies, including cases of ad-vanced caries, were eliminated from study).

By all evidence, both hunter–gatherers and agriculturalists had an abrasive diet. Rate of wear alone (studied using chronological age estimates) will not differentiate them. The dry, sandy environment of the agriculturalist Nubians, for example, apparently led to a rate of wear as high as that of Australian aborigines and Archaic American Indians. Patterns of tooth wear may be sensitive to differences in food consistency that are less affected by local environmental factors. Since it is not possible to prove a difference in food consistency between the two subsistence groups (in the sense of measurements of the toughness of native foods), this study must instead build an internally consistent model of the correspondence of tooth wear and subsistence.

Samples were purposely chosen to cross-cut a variety of specific environments, particular foods, and craniofacial forms to minimize the chance that observed differences in tooth wear are merely reflections of idiosyncracies of particular people, places, and times. Para-doxically, tooth wear is of little use for a synthetic understanding of diet and mastication if it is either completely nonspecific or so specific that it is idiosyncratic. This study was designed to determine if there are com-munalities in molar wear related to broad levels of subsistence or food preparation that overcome regional factors.

METHODS

Differential buccal and lingual wear is viewed as a continuum that may be altered or modified in degree, but not categorically changed by food consistency. Compilation of discrete data on the location of wear has not proven to be productive (e.g., Zuckerman, 1954; Gantt, 1979). In this study, the angle of the occlusal wear plane surface relative to a horizontal occlusal plane was measured with a modified protractor (see Fig. 2), similar to instruments used by Butler (1972) and Hall (1977). Angles were recorded to the nearest 0.5°. Slopes to the buccal (as in Fig. 2) are arbitrarily designated as positive and slopes to the lingual are designated negative. Wear plane angles are measured on occluding sur-faces on upper and lower teeth: on the tal-lonid basin of mandibular molars and across the trigone of maxillary molars. These are the primary crushing cusps and basins, which are also the areas of greatest wear. Unworn teeth are measured from cusp to cusp, not from cusp to fossa. Both teeth must

---

1Sources of material: Neolithic Europe, Duckworth Laboratory of Physical Anthropology, Cambridge University, and the Musée de l’Homme; Nubians, Laboratory of Physical Anthropology, The University of Copenhagen, and University of Chicago col-lection in the care of Dr. Charles Merbs of Arizona State Univer-sity; historic British, British Museum (Natural History), and Duckworth Laboratory, Cambridge University; Mississippian American Indians, collections of the Alabama Museum of Natur-al History on loan to the University of Alabama; Puebloan American Indians, Gran Quivira National Monument collection on loan to Dr. Christy Turner at Arizona State University; Skhul V, Harvard Peabody Museum, Harvard University; Mid-dle and Upper Paleolithic, British Museum (Natural History), Musée de l’Homme, and Institut de Paléontologie Humaine; Mesolithic, Institut de Paléontologie Humaine; Archaic Ameri-can Indians, collections of the Alabama Museum of Natural History on loan to The University of Alabama; Australian abo- rigines, University of Edinburgh Medical School, Department of Anatomy; Thule Eskimos, Canada National Museum of Man collection on loan to Dr. Charles Merbs at Arizona State Univer-sity; Alaskan Eskimos, Smithsonian Institution.
Fig. 2. Instrument used to measure occlusal wear plane angles, constructed by mounting a Sears Roebuck and Co. metal protractor no. 9-4029 on a straight edge. Metal pieces were added to the straight edge to make the arms flush with the protractor face. The instrument is centered on highest point on the molar talonid (or trigone) and the far arm is placed on the homologous point on the antimere. The bar is brought down across the occlusal surface and the pointer indicates the angle of deviation of the crown surface from a horizontal defined by the base of the instrument. This mandible has a wear plane angle of 17° to the buccal on the first molar.

be in place in bone, and teeth partly extruded from their sockets during life, obviously dislocated, or virtually destroyed by dental caries cannot be measured.

Wear plane angles in the study sample showed a total range of more than 80°, from −30° to +34° in the mandible, and from −43° to +41° in the maxilla. Remeasurement of wear plane angles for 247 teeth showed good replicability. Approximately 70% of errors (deviation from original measurement) were within ±1.0°, and 80% were within ±1.5° of the original measurement.

The goal of the analysis was to compare development of wear plane angles independently of population differences in rates of overall wear of the occlusal surface. Therefore all populations were standardized to the same rate of wear by studying wear plane angles by stage of wear rather than by chronological age. This analysis is concerned with the angle of wear at some stage x, regardless of whether this stage occurs at age 20 or 30 years.

Amount of molar wear has traditionally been scored on an ordinal scale (Broca, 1879), and more than a dozen such scales appeared in the literature from about 1930 to 1980. A pilot study using different scales indicated that the best system for this study was the eight-stage system of Murphy (1959b, see also 1959a). His system, however, was based on his experience with Australian aborigines, and it created difficulties when used on American Indian test samples. Murphy's scale was modified to make it more widely applicable to a variety of human groups; stages were altered in scope and more pattern variability was anticipated. A preliminary version of this scale was developed in cooperation with R. Hinton (see Hinton, 1981). The present version, fully described in Table 2 and illustrated in Figure 3, differs in some details. Only the molar scale is used here. Replicability is about 90% for molars and declines to about 85% for teeth that are simpler in form, based on an error study involving N = 1000 rescores. Errors of more than one stage are rare or nonexistent after some practice with the system. To date, the molar wear scale seems to be applicable to a wide variety of human groups, pongids, and even to omnivorous fossil mammals. Special scales must be designed for teeth quite different in form and function (e.g., pongid canines).

This analysis presents data for the right side, using left side values only when a right side tooth was damaged. Use of one side or another is preferable to averaging sides when an ordinal variable (wear stage) is involved. Since there can be considerable asymmetry in tooth wear, a right M1 wear stage was never paired with a left M1 angle, etc.

Dental pathologies were noted and taken into account in all analyses. At least 16 scor-able teeth per dentition were required for inclusion in the study (less than 16 were allowed for Paleolithic specimens since material is limited and pathologies are rare). This cut-off was based on the reasonable assumption that individuals who have lost about
### TABLE 2. Descriptions of stages of occlusal surface wear

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molars</th>
<th>Premolars</th>
<th>Incisors and canines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0. Missing or cannot be coded</td>
<td>0. Missing or cannot be coded</td>
<td>0. Missing or cannot be coded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Unworn to polished or small facets (no dentin exposure)</td>
<td>1. Unworn to polished or small facets (no dentin exposure)</td>
<td>1. Unworn to polished or small facets (no dentin exposure)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thinly enamelled teeth (human deciduous molars, chimpanzee molars)</td>
<td>Thinly enamelled teeth (human deciduous molars, chimpanzee molars)</td>
<td>Thinly enamelled teeth (human deciduous molars, chimpanzee molars)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>may show cusp tip dentin but human permanent molars show no more than</td>
<td>may show cusp tip dentin but human permanent molars show no more than</td>
<td>may show cusp tip dentin but human permanent molars show no more than</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>one or two pinpoint exposures</td>
<td>one or two pinpoint exposures</td>
<td>one or two pinpoint exposures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Full cusp removal and/or some dentin exposure, pinpoint to moderate</td>
<td>3. Full cusp removal and/or moderate dentin patches</td>
<td>3. Dentin line of distinct thickness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Several large dentin exposures, still discrete</td>
<td>4. At least one large dentin exposure on one cusp</td>
<td>4. Moderate dentin exposure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Two dentinal areas coalesced, still discrete</td>
<td>5. Two large dentin areas (may be slight coalescence)</td>
<td>5. Large dentin area with enamel rim complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Three dentinal areas coalesced, or four coalesced with enamel island</td>
<td>6. Dentinal areas coalesced, enamel rim still complete</td>
<td>6. Large dentin area with enamel rim lost on one side</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Dentin exposed on entire surface, enamel rim largely intact</td>
<td>7. Full dentin exposure, loss of rim on at least one side</td>
<td>7. Enamel rim lost on two sides or very thin enamel only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Severe loss of crown height, breakdown of enamel rim; crown surface takes on shape of roots</td>
<td>8. Severe loss of crown height; crown surface takes on shape of roots</td>
<td>8. Complete loss of crown, no enamel remaining; crown surface takes on shape of roots</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Half their teeth to painful, infectious disease do not have normal patterns of mastication. Dropping out all pathologies, on the other hand, would limit inference to a highly restricted subset of the population, and eliminate most old individuals in some populations. Pathologies in remaining dentitions were classified by level of severity, and tested for systematic effects. Dentitions with several major carious lesions and/or abscesses, severe and widespread periodontal destruction, or several molars lost antemortem were deemed "pathological." Separate analyses of wear plane angles were performed both with and without these dentitions for each of the ten human groups. Remarkably, removal of "pathological" dentitions amounting to as much as 20% of the total sample has almost no effect on results, even though this also preferentially removes old individuals. Thus, there is no reason to believe that the more disease- or caries-prone individuals in any group have systematically (directionally) different wear plane angles, or that pathologies per se produce observed between-group differences. It should be realized, however, that as all dental tissues break down in terminal wear stages, extreme variants can occur.

Parametric statistics are used in the analysis of the relationship of wear plane angle to stage of wear. Stage of wear is the independent variable (x) and angle of the occlusal surface is the dependent variable (y) in regression analysis. This method of analysis can be justified on several grounds. Increasing wear is, logically, an independent variable, i.e., the cause of change in wear plane angle. Using stage of wear as a categorical variable ignores the inherent ordered relationship of one stage to the next and does not make full use of the data. Although only an ordinal variable, eight stages of wear provide some approximation to the underlying continuous phenomenon. Error in the x variate does not demand a major axis fit if error is uncorrelated with x (Sokal and Rohlf, 1969), a condition that is fulfilled here. Least squares regression assumes that there is a normal distribution in y for every x. This assumption is satisfied in these data, however they do not make a good approximation to a bivariate normal distribution as required for a major axis fit. Finally, development of wear plane angle with stage of wear appears to be approximately linear (see Fig. 4). Small deviations are not critical if the same range in x is compared in each regression. Even so, individual means and tests not assuming linearity are also provided and ultimate conclusions do not depend on a strictly linear model.

## RESULTS

The full data set for wear plane angle of the mandibular first molar organized by stage of wear appears in Figure 4, with agriculturalists at left and hunter-gatherers at right in each paired column. Several features of wear plane angle development are universal and do not depend on subsistence group.
First molars erupt with a lingual orientation of the crown (negative occlusal surface angle). This is typical of mandibular molars, which show increasingly severe lingual inclinations from mesial to distal molars (Dempster et al., 1963). Wear, typically concentrated on the buccal half of mandibular crowns to some degree, tends to flatten the occlusal surface angle and finally to reverse it. This is a good explanation for the confusing reports of both flat and oblique wear in a single human group (see Rabkin, 1943;
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Since an individual tooth may change in angle some 15–30° in a lifetime, variance is quite large if age (or wear stage) is ignored.

When occlusal plane angle is sorted by stage of wear, differences in central tendency of the two subsistence groups can be seen, even though ranges and standard deviations are high when sorted by only eight stages. Table 3 summarizes mean wear plane angles for agriculturalists and hunter-gatherers. At wear stages 1 and 2 the two groups are not significantly different, suggesting that angles of eruption of first molars are not widely different (note that sample sizes become adequate at stage 2). All subsequent comparisons indicate that wear planes are significantly different at comparable wear stages (the more conservative two-tailed p values are reported throughout although one-sided tests would be appropriate since the direction of difference has been predicted). Agriculturalists develop higher angles than hunter-gatherers, ultimately reaching a 10° difference in high wear.

At stage 8, the severely-angled remnant of the crown tends to fracture in agriculturalists, but not in hunter-gatherers. This is probably because agriculturalists often develop deeply cupped-out dentin that further weakens the tooth. Hunter-gatherers do not show cupping to this degree, and molar wear plane angle continues to increase at stage 8 wear. Recent experimental evidence suggests that cupping results from the presence of fine particles in food (see Costa and Greaves, 1981). Cupping itself may be a sign of processing grains with grinding stones, in which grains are reduced to fine particles and fine particles of stones are introduced into food. This differential stage of fracture does not affect the analysis to a great degree since few relatively healthy dentitions can

Fig. 4. Raw data for human M1 wear plane angle (ordinate) at each stage of wear (abscissa). Wear plane angles for agriculturalists are at left and hunter-gatherers at right in each paired column. Numbers indicate N at each point and X stands for N ≥ 10. The dotted line indicates a perfectly flat (0°) surface. Note that wear causes a change in angle from lingual to buccal, and that the relationship between wear plane angle and stage of wear is approximately linear.
be found to measure at this stage of wear in any group. Dentin cupping itself does not alter the measurement of angle of wear before enamel borders fracture.

Sample sizes at each wear stage are insufficient to compare means for each of the ten human groups. Comparisons of individual groups are based on least squares regression lines projected through available data (see Fig. 5). Agriculturalists (solid lines) and hunter–gatherers (broken lines) are intermixed at stage 1, indicating no systematic difference in orientation of unworn crowns. Lines begin to separate about stage 3 and do not overlap subsequently; by stages 5–8 central tendencies are quite distinct. The tightly clustered lines representing agriculturalists are above all hunter–gatherers, showing the development of oblique wear planes in the former. Hunter–gatherers, except the Paleolithic sample, also appear to be quite similar. The Paleolithic stands out from other groups, appearing to maintain the flattest wear. This is intriguing since one would expect the heaviest demands to have been placed on the dentition in the Paleolithic, but unfortunately, a sample size of ten is insufficient to confirm this difference statistically.Hunter–gatherers have relatively flat, even wear across the crown surface that leads to a low rate of change in the occlusal surface angle. No group shows wear that is perfectly flat with respect to the tooth axis; this would lead to a steadily maintained angle of about -5°.

Table 4 documents least squares lines and statistical tests for regression lines shown in Figure 5. Individual slopes are significantly different from zero, confirming that stage of wear and wear plane angle are related. Regression slopes are directly interpretable as degrees of change in angle per stage of wear. Rates of change are generally higher in agriculturalists (3.4–5.3° per wear stage) than in hunter–gatherers (1.9–3.5° per wear stage). Analysis of covariance was used to determine if slopes and overall regression lines are significantly different. The five agriculturalist regression lines cannot be distinguished statistically and may therefore be described by a single line with a slope of 4.0 (intercept of 10.2°). Similarly, the hunter–gatherers overall have a single line with a slope of 2.9 (intercept of 10°). The five hunter–gatherers seem to be less homogeneous than the agriculturalists, and slightly larger samples might confirm this statistically. Overall slopes of regressions (rates of change) for the two subsistence categories are significantly different from each other at p < .001. Agriculturalists develop angles some 8–10° higher than hunter–gatherers in advanced wear stages. The difference in angle at stage 7 predicted from least squares lines (8°) is quite close to the actual mean difference (10°). Statistical confirmation is not dependent on a linear model; trends are clear enough that a simple sign test based on individual means of the ten human groups supports the hypothesis that agriculturalists develop more oblique wear than hunter–gatherers (p < .05).

At first molars, the overall difference in rate of change is about 1° per stage of wear. Although this may seem to be minor, the magnitude of the difference can be consider-

---

1Agriculturalist minus hunter–gatherer value, in degrees.
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able in advanced wear. When teeth are matched for stage of wear, the differences in wear plane angle of molars of hunter-gatherers and agriculturalists are visible to the eye (see Fig. 6).

Analyzed in this fashion, wear plane angle appears to be sensitive to major differences in food and food preparation. With large samples it should be sensitive to finer gradations of change in foods and methods of preparation. If so, a time trend might be visible in the Nubian sample since it is of moderate size and spans several periods thought to show increases in the intensity of agriculture (see Carlson and Van Gerven, 1979). Figure 7 shows regression lines for $M_1$ wear plane angle for A-Group, C-Group, and X-Group plus Meroitic (combined since they each span a relatively short time). Pharaonic and Christian are omitted because sample size is less than eight individuals. Least squares lines for all groups are still above lines for hunter-gatherers (shaded region), although individual data points overlap. The early A-Group, thought to have a more mixed economy, is perfectly intermediate between other more clear-cut hunter-gatherers and agriculturalists. There is a distinct trend toward more oblique wear in more recent groups paralleling decreases in craniofacial robusticity through time described by Carlson (1976) for this same sample. Trends in tooth wear and craniofacial robusticity could both be responses to an increasing reliance on ground

![Least squares lines from regression of $M_1$ wear plane angle on stage of wear for all ten human groups. All groups begin with a lingual orientation of the crown surface that is gradually changed to the buccal with wear. Agriculturalists (solid lines) develop higher wear plane angles than hunter-gatherers (broken lines). The Paleolithic sample stands apart from recent hunter-gatherers, showing the flattest molar wear found in this study.](image)
TABLE 4. Least squares slope and intercept for $M_1$ angle regressed on stage of $M_1$ wear for agriculturalists and hunter-gatherers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Slope</th>
<th>Y-Intercept</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>$p^1$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agriculturalists</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neolithic</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>-11.4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nubian</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>-11.3</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>-7.2</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippian</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>-7.9</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puebloan</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>-16.4</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>4.0$^2$</td>
<td>-10.0</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Hunter-Gatherers |       |             |        |       |
| Paleolithic     | 1.9   | -4.2        | 10     | .038  |
| Mesolithic      | 2.1   | -6.7        | 13     | .090  |
| Australian     | 2.6   | -8.3        | 60     | .001  |
| Archaic        | 2.9   | -9.5        | 38     | .001  |
| Eskimo         | 3.5   | -13.9       | 58     | .001  |
| Overall        | 2.9$^2$ | -10.2       | 179    | .001  |

$^1$Slope significantly different from zero.
Agriculturalists are significantly different from hunter-gatherers at $p \leq .001$.

Fig. 6. Lateral views of well-worn mandibles of an agriculturalist (A) and hunter-gatherer (B) illustrating differences in wear plane angle in advanced wear. Both human first molars are at stage 7 wear, with dentin exposed on the entire occlusal surface. The agriculturalist (Nubian A-Group) shows a moderately oblique wear plane, whereas the hunter-gatherer dentition (Thule Canadian Eskimo) is worn flat.

Grains or increasing thoroughness of preparation of all foods and lessening demands on the masticatory apparatus. These sample sizes are not large enough to show that the rates (regression slopes) are statistically different (3.0°, 4.0°, and 4.7° from early to late). A simple sign test suggests that the trend in mean wear plane angle is significant at $p < .05$, since 12 out of 15 pairwise comparisons of individual means are in the proper direction. These results are encouraging for the use of wear plane angles to help identify change in human subsistence. Obviously, the smaller the scale of the comparison, the larger the sample size needed.

This analysis can be applied to the entire molar dentition with similar results. Table 5 summarizes estimates of least squares parameters and results of statistical comparison for all mandibular and maxillary molars. Agriculturalists show higher rates of change in angle at every molar tooth. Rates for $M_1$ or $M_2$ differ at $p < .001$, but statistical significance is not reached for third molars. Sample size for third molars is greatly diminished for highly worn teeth, and it is only in advanced wear that dietary differences are clearly seen.

Figure 8 illustrates overall least squares lines for the entire mandibular arch. Molar wear stages for $M_1$, $M_2$, and $M_3$ are staggered on the abscissa in order of eruption, although they are spread apart somewhat for clarity. Wear plane angle for each tooth is...
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Fig. 7. Least squares lines from regression of $M_1$ wear plane angle on stage of wear for three time periods in Nubia. Range of least squares lines (not individual data points) of hunter-gatherers is shaded for comparison. Although all three groups fall above hunter-gatherers as expected, molar wear tends to become more oblique plotted on the same scale on the ordinate. Lines are stopped at stage 7 for $M_2$ and stage 6 at $M_3$ to emphasize the lack of data beyond these points. Figure 8 shows that all molars are initially inclined lingually, increasingly from $M_1$ (ca. $-5^\circ$ to $-10^\circ$) to $M_2$ (ca. $-10^\circ$ to $-15^\circ$) to $M_3$ (ca. $-15^\circ$ to $-20^\circ$). Since each tooth erupts at a different time, begins with a different orientation, and proceeds at its own rate of wear, surfaces of the three molars contrast in angle on a single dental arch. Each molar in a dentition is in a different phase of development of wear plane angle at one point in time. Given these sources of variability, it should be evident that the picture would be much less clear if chronological age were used as the independent variable. When each molar is sorted by its proper stage of wear, hunter-gatherers and agriculturalists differ consistently in wear plane angle.

Figure 8 is also informative on the effect of dental arch morphology on wear plane angle. This picture demonstrates that the entire molar dentition develops in similar fashion with increasing tooth wear, countering the objection that minor morphological variants can greatly change wear plane angle development. The second molar tends to have a slightly higher rate than the first (ca. $+0.4^\circ$), but this effect is not consistent across individual human groups. On closer inspection,
the only tooth to differ much from the overall pattern is the third molar. This tooth has a
slowed rate of change compared to M1 (ca. –1.0°) in eight of the ten human groups.
Human mandibles are markedly widened at third molars, and occlusion of these teeth is
slightly different (Smith, 1938b). The change in arch width relationship probably alters the path of excursion of lower cusps
across upper cusps. Tooth wear tends to be
more evenly distributed across the crown on
third molars than it is on first or second
molars.

If relative width of upper and lower arches
can influence wear plane angles, can it ac-
count for the differences between hunter-
gatherers and agriculturalists? An attempt
was made to take population differences in
maxillary molar overjet into account in
regression analysis. Overjet was calculated
for M1 and M3 as the maxillary arch width
minus mandibular width. Whether M1 wear
plane angles were adjusted for overjet within
populations, within subsistence divisions, or
for all humans, results were virtually un-
changed. This factor seems to be capable of
explaining no more than 2–3% of the vari-
ance in wear plane angle at first molars. The
direction of differences in overjet between
hunter–gatherers and agriculturalists sug-
gests that, if anything, subsistence differ-
ences have been underestimated in the
simple analysis (as in Table 5). These find-
ings may help clarify some aspects of human
mastication, but they cannot easily explain
the systematic differences between hunter–gatherers and agriculturalists. At third
molars the overjet factor becomes more import-
ant, and may explain 5–10% of the variance
in M3 wear plane angle. In general, the man-
dible is slightly better than the maxilla, and
anterior molars are better than posterior mo-
lars for use as diet/subsistence discrimina-
tors. The mandibular first molar has the
lowest variance of angle of wear plane, and
the smallest species and population differ-
ences in structure of the dental arch. Fur-
thermore, first molars always include the
greatest number of teeth in the advanced
wear stages that are most distinctive. Al-
though third molars are the poorest teeth for
these purposes, even these highly variable
teeth show the same trend.

DISCUSSION

It was proposed that changing from a
hunter–gatherer subsistence to a diet based

| Table 5. Results of regression of wear plane angle on stage of wear for six molar teeth compared for hunter–gatherers and agriculturalists. |
|---|---|---|
| Tooth | Slope | Y-intercept |
| M1 | -3.7 | 5.2 |
| M2 | -3.8 | 4.9 |
| M3 | -2.7 | 3.8 |
| Maxilla | -3.4 | 5.7 |
| Mandible | -2.8 | 10.2 |

**Note:** All correlations are significantly different from zero at P < 0.05.2

-Maxillary slopes are negative by convention since angle changes from buccal (positive) to lingual (negative).
more heavily on ground grains and food cooked in water has the effect of reducing food toughness, resistance, or fibrousness, leading to a reduction in masticatory forces and amount of food preparation done by the dentition. As tools increasingly take over the function of teeth, molar wear planes should become increasingly oblique. This may be an indirect effect due to a lessened lateral mandibular excursion responding to more refined foods, or a direct effect of less "puncture-crushing" mastication.

When wear plane angles are ordered by comparable stages of occlusal surface wear, consistent differences can be seen between hunter-gatherers and agriculturalists. Hunter-gatherers are the only groups to maintain relatively flat, even wear at an advanced stage of tooth wear, but even they show a slight predominance of buccal wear that steadily changes the occlusal surface angle (see Fig. 9). What seems to be a slight modification of a wear pattern adds up to a considerable difference, on the order of 8–10° in advanced wear.

Portions of remaining within-group variance might be explained by differences in axial inclinations of tooth roots, maxillary arch overjet, particular dental pathologies, individual food preferences, or sex differences. Future studies could attempt to estimate the contribution of some of these factors, although large samples might be required. For example, experience with these data suggests that sex differences in human wear plane angles should not be addressed

![Fig. 8. Overall least squares lines from regression of wear plane angle on degree of wear for mandibular dentitions of agriculturalists and hunter-gatherers. Stages of wear for M₁, M₂, and M₃ are staggered on the abscissa in order of eruption, spread apart for clarity. The three molar teeth differ primarily in y-intercept. Note that agriculturalists (solid lines) always develop higher wear plane angles than hunter-gatherers (broken lines) regardless of tooth position.]
Fig. 9. Occlusal views of moderately worn mandibles showing pattern of dentin exposure on teeth of an agriculturalist (A) and hunter–gatherer (B), both with left first molars in stage 5 wear. Both mandibles show some degree of predominance of wear on buccal cusps. Note that the Nubian agriculturalist (X-Group) shows a very restricted pattern of wear on the first molar. The hunter–gatherer (Mesolithic site of Hoedic) shows wear more evenly distributed across the crown surface. This seemingly small difference in the relative restriction of wear ultimately produces a substantial difference in angle of the occlusal wear plane.

without at least 40 individuals of each sex and a prior hypothesis concerning the direction of difference.

The systematic differences between hunter–gatherers and agriculturalists cannot easily be explained by morphological factors since each division covers a wide range of tooth size, tooth morphology, dental arch size, and craniofacial form. Molar wear is similar within subsistence divisions whether agriculture is based on wheat or corn, and whether meat comes from sea mammals or marsupials. However, larger samples could clarify some smaller-scale differences.

This method would be of particular interest applied to transitional stages in the origin of agriculture. Prepottery intensive collectors of wild grains or “incipient agriculturalists” may be difficult to recognize archaeologically. In a number of cases the dates of intensive utilization of grain are being pushed back far before confirmed agriculture, as in the Middle East and Egypt (Wendorf, 1968; Reed, 1977; Kryzaniak, 1981; see also Schoeninger, 1982). Analysis of tooth wear could provide supporting evidence for change in diet or food preparation.

A change in wear plane angles should be an early sign of a change in diet in basal hominids that still show substantial morphological similarity to pongids. Early hominid tooth wear may develop in the mode of human hunter–gatherers or may show some more extreme pattern. Measurement of a cast of OH 5 (“Zinjanthropus”) gives a wear plane angle for M1 that is far outside the range of angles found in comparable human dentitions. In this case, wear is so flat with respect to the tooth axis that it appears that the original buccal angle of eruption of the tooth did not change. Hominids from *Australopithecus afarensis* to *Homo erectus* could show intriguing differences in the development of angle of molar wear planes that might give information on changes in adaptation and behavior.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Angle of molar wear planes can be shown to have an orderly development with increasing tooth wear on all maxillary and mandibular molars. Molar wear is more evenly distributed on molars of hunter–gatherers, resulting in a relatively low wear plane angle in advanced wear. Agriculturalists show a more restricted pattern of wear and tend to develop oblique wear planes. This difference is attributed to a reduction in food toughness or fibrousness that is associated with the appearance of intensive collection of grains and intensive use of grinding stones and pottery in food preparation. This model of the relationship between food consistency and tooth wear may be tested or sharpened by applying the analysis to more human groups.

Flatness of molar wear appears to be a good indicator of change in food or food preparation. This pattern and other features of tooth
wear can be used to support dietary inferences at important points in cultural and technological evolution in humans, and to illuminate changes in mastication and diet in earliest hominids.
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