The Spontaneous Release of a High-Molecular-Weight Aggregate Containing Immunoglobulin G From the Surface of Ehrlich Ascites Tumor Cells
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The spontaneous release of tumor cell antigens from the cell surface into the circulation has been proposed as a mechanism whereby tumors may escape the immune response of the host. In this study we have found that Ehrlich ascites tumor cells after removal from the host (mouse) spontaneously release significant amounts of cell surface components during incubation for 1 h in cold isotonic buffer. Immunodiffusion studies revealed that immunoglobulin G (IgG) and a complement component (C3) are included in this spontaneously released material. These surface-bound humoral immune components are apparently released in the form of a high-molecular-weight aggregate (cell coat particle) as shown by ultracentrifugation and ultrafiltration experiments. Precipitation of IgG from the cell coat particle preparation with antibodies directed against mouse IgG followed by detergent gel electrophoresis of the immune precipitate revealed five major bands in addition to the heavy and light chains of IgG. These results suggest that host IgG is tightly bound to several other components at the cell surface, perhaps in the form of immune complexes.

IgG is localized on the tumor cell surface in a highly heterogeneous pattern with the appearance of patches and caps in some cells as shown by immunofluorescence analysis. The possibility that humoral immune components bind to the tumor cell surface and result in the shedding of high-molecular-weight aggregates of cell surface antigens into extracellular fluids is discussed.
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The release or "shedding" of cell surface antigens is thought to play an important role in tumor growth and escape from the immune response of the host [1–3]. Numerous studies in animal tumor experimental systems [4, 5] and in human cancer patients [6].
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have revealed the presence of blocking factors in the sera of tumor-bearing hosts. It is presently thought that blocking factors may include free antigen [5, 7] and soluble immune complexes of tumor antigens and antibodies [4]. Human melanoma cells grown in vitro have been shown to spontaneously release tumor-associated antigens into the culture medium [8, 9].

In a previous study, we reported that a significant amount of the surface-labeled proteins (lactoperoxidase method) of Ehrlich ascites tumor cells grown in vivo (Swiss-Webster mice) were spontaneously released into isotonic buffer at 4°C for 60 min [10]. In marked contrast, mild isotonic buffer treatment of tumor cells maintained in vitro resulted in the release of less than 1% of the protein shed from tumor cells grown in vivo [10]. The working hypothesis to explain the above observation is that the host’s immune response to the tumor is responsible for the facile shedding of tumor cell surface components. Characterization of the spontaneously released material revealed that this fraction (referred to as cell coat fraction) was comprised largely of glycoproteins and glycosaminoglycans. It is unlikely that the cell coat fraction represents plasma membrane vesicles, since markers for plasma membrane including (Na+, K+)-ATPase, cholesterol, and sialic acid were markedly reduced or absent [10]. In the present study we have investigated the possibility that the material spontaneously shed from Ehrlich ascites tumor cells (cell coat fraction) may be derived from host immune components complexed with tumor cell proteins at the cell surface.

METHODS

Cells

Ehrlich ascites tumor cells were maintained by serial transplantation of 0.2 ml of the ascites cell suspension into the peritoneal cavity of male Swiss albino mice. Tumor cells were harvested by collecting the ascites fluid in cold Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline (pH 7.4) and washing the cells five times with the same buffer by centrifugation at 400g to remove erythrocytes as previously described [11].

Fluorescence Labeling

Whole cells were stained with an indirect immunofluorescence procedure. Cells were harvested and washed 8 days after intraperitoneal inoculation into mice as described above. Approximately 10^5 fresh cells were placed in 0.5 ml of a 0.05 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) containing 0.85% w/v NaCl (PBS). Unconjugated rabbit antiserum at a dilution of 1:8 was added to the cell suspension and incubated for 30 min at either 4°C or 37°C. The cells were washed two times at 4°C and rinsed in PBS buffer for 1 h at 4°C. The washed cells were resuspended in PBS buffer and incubated for 30 min at 4°C with fluorescein-conjugated goat anti-rabbit-IgG serum (Miles-Yeda, Ltd). The fluorescent-labeled goat antiserum was clarified by centrifugation at 48,000g for 5 min immediately before use. The stained cells were washed two times in PBS buffer and then rinsed in PBS buffer for 1 h at 4°C. After centrifugation at 500g for 5 min, cell pellets were mounted in buffered glycerol (pH 7.4), under cover slips sealed with fingernail polish and observed by fluorescence microscopy.

Preparation of cell coat fraction and coat particle. The cell coat fraction was prepared by incubation of whole cells in isotonic buffer for 1 h and collection of the supernatant fraction after centrifugation at 48,000g [10] as shown in Scheme 1. All steps were performed at 4°C to minimize metabolic and proteolytic activity. The cells were harvested
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Scheme I. Isolation of cell coat fraction and cell coat particle from Ehrlich ascites tumor cells.

from mice 8–9 days after inoculation and washed five times immediately before use. Greater than 92% of the cells were found to be viable after removal of the cell coat fraction as revealed by the Trypan-blue staining method.

The cell coat particle was collected as the pellet after ultracentrifugation of fresh cell coat fraction at 100,000g for 24 h (Scheme 1).

**Chemical determinations.** Protein content was measured by the method of Lowry et al [17] employing bovine serum albumin (Sigma Chemicals) as a standard.

**Preparation of plasma membrane.** Plasma membranes were prepared by density gradient centrifugation of Ehrlich cell homogenates according to the method of Im et al [12] as previously described [10].

**Immunochimical studies.** Immunodiffusion and immunoelectrophoresis were performed on microscope slides measuring 75 X 50 mm in 1.0% agarose (Type II, Sigma Chemicals in barbital buffer, pH 8.4 (μ = 0.040).

Precipitation of cell coat components with rabbit anti-mouse immunoglobulin G (Miles-Yeda) was carried out by immunodiffusion in 1% agarose slides in PBS (pH 7.0) containing 0.1% Triton X-100 and 1 M urea; these conditions dissociate protein aggregates while allowing antibody-antigen precipitation [13]. The cell coat particle, 5 mg of protein, was radiolabeled by reductive alkylation using 50 μCi of 14 C-formaldehyde (10 mCi/mmole, New England Nuclear) [14]. The radiolabeled cell coat particles were placed in wells containing unlabeled cell coat particle antigens as carrier, and double-diffusion against rabbit anti-mouse IgG was allowed to proceed at 4°C for 72 h. Immune precipitates were
sliced from gels and washed extensively, and the precipitated antigens were released by incubation in a solution of 2% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) and 0.1% 2-mercaptoethanol for gel electrophoresis studies.

**Production of antisera.** Antiserum to the cell coat fraction of Ehrlich ascites tumor cells (anti-cc) was prepared by subcutaneous injection of cell coat fraction into each of two rabbits. Approximately 5–10 mg protein was injected in incomplete Freund’s adjuvant (Grand Island Biological Co) twice weekly for 10 weeks. It was found that antisera of significantly higher titer could be produced by adding the plant lectin concanavalin A (1 mg lectin per milligram cell coat fraction protein) and subcutaneous injection of the glycoprotein-lectin mixture in the presence of incomplete Freund’s adjuvant. Concanavalin A was prepared from jack bean meal (Sigma) by the method of Agrawal and Goldstein [15].

Antiserum to a 45,000 MW component of the cell coat fraction (anti-45) was prepared in the following manner. Approximately 10 mg of cell coat fraction protein was electrophoresed on sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gels (SDS-PAGE) with a Hoefer 10- × 14-cm slab gel apparatus (Hoefer Scientific Instruments). Electrophoresis was performed by the method of Laemmli [16] using a 4% acrylamide stacking gel and a 7.5% acrylamide separating gel. Slab gels were fixed in a 7% acetic acid–50% methanol solution and stained with Coomassie Blue. The stained protein band at an approximate molecular weight position on the gel of 45,000 (Fig. 1) was cut from the gel, minced with a razor blade, and homogenized with a Dounce homogenizer. The homogenized gel was mixed with Freund’s incomplete adjuvant and injected subcutaneously into rabbits. Each rabbit was injected with the homogenized material from one complete slab gel. Elution of protein from one of these slab gel preparations with 2% SDS in PBS revealed 400–600 μg of protein by the method of Lowry et al [17]. Rabbits received injections every 4–5 days. Employing the above immunization protocol, one rabbit produced precipitating antibodies by day 54 and a second rabbit by day 82 as determined by immunodiffusion analysis.

**Absorption of antisera.** Antisera were exhaustively absorbed with intact Ehrlich ascites tumor cells in order to remove specific antibodies to cell surface antigens. Antiserum (1.0 ml) was incubated with 1.0 ml of packed, fresh Ehrlich cells (4.5 × 10⁸ cells) for 30 min at room temperature followed by incubation at 4°C for h with occasional mixing. The cells were centrifuged at 500g for 10 min. The supernate was absorbed three more times against 1.0 ml of fresh Ehrlich cells in the same manner. The final supernate was concentrated to the original serum volume (1.0 ml) in a Minicon-B15 concentrator (Amicon Corp). A control experiment in which goat anti-rabbit IgG (Miles Laboratories) was absorbed with Ehrlich cells in the same manner did not eliminate precipitating antibodies to rabbit serum after immunodiffusion analysis.

**RESULTS**

**Sedimentation of a Cell Coat Particle Released From Cells With Isotonic Buffer**

Incubation of ascites tumor cells with isotonic buffer for 1 h at 4°C followed by two successive centrifugation steps (48,000g for 1 h) resulted in a “soluble” preparation of cell surface material referred to as the cell coat fraction, as shown in Scheme 1. However, less than 20% of the total protein of this “soluble” cell coat fraction penetrates an XM 300 membrane (Amicon) during ultrafiltration (Table 1), suggesting the presence of high-molecular-weight aggregates or possibly small membrane vesicles. In order to test this possibility, the cell coat fraction was subjected to ultracentrifugation at 100,000g for 24 h. A gelatinous material representing about 30% of the total protein was pelleted by this procedure and is referred to as the “cell coat particle.”
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Analysis of cell coat particle released from Ehrlich ascites tumor cells with isotonic buffer. The cell coat particle was collected as a pellet after ultracentrifugation of the cell coat fraction at 100,000g for 24 h. Samples were subjected to gel electrophoresis in the presence of sodium dodecyl sulfate on slab polyacrylamide gels (SDS-PAGE) according to the method of Laemmli [16]. The separating gel contained 10% acrylamide, 0.2% methylenebisacrylamide and 0.1% SDS in the Tris-HCl system at pH 8.8. The stacking gels contained 4% acrylamide. The samples were dialyzed against sample buffer containing 0.1% 2-mercaptoethanol and heated at 100°C for 5 min before electrophoresis. Electrophoresis was performed at room temperature at 20 mA/gel for 4–5 h. Proteins were detected by staining with a Coomassie blue–perchloric acid solution [18] after fixation. Molecular weight markers were: β-galactosidase (130,000), bovine serum albumin (68,000), ovalbumin (43,000), pepsin (35,000), trypsin (23,400), and cytochrome C (11,700) run in parallel with the samples on the same slab gel. A) Cell coat fraction, 5 μg protein; B) cell coat particle, 5 μg protein; C) supernatant fraction (concentrated 25-fold in a Minicon-B15 concentrator, Amicon Corp) after ultracentrifugation of cell coat fraction at 100,000g for 24 h, 5 μg protein.

In contrast, shorter periods of ultracentrifugation of the cell coat fraction (100,000g for 2 h) failed to pellet more than 1% of the total protein, indicating that large membrane fragments were absent (Table I). Also, a time-dependent aggregation of components is apparently ruled out by the finding that incubation of the cell coat fraction at 4°C for 24 h followed by ultracentrifugation at 100,000g for 2 min resulted in less than 1% of pelleted material (Table I).
TABLE I. Ultracentrifugation and Ultrafiltration Studies of Components Spontaneously Released From Ehrlich Ascites Tumor Cells in Vitro

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fraction</th>
<th>% Protein of total&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. 48,000g Supernate (cell coat fraction)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; Pellet</td>
<td>99.4 &lt; 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. 100,000g Supernate (24 h)&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; Pellet (cell coat particle)</td>
<td>69.5 30.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. 100,000g Supernate (2 h)&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt; Pellet</td>
<td>96.4 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. 100,000g Supernate (24-h incubation)&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt; Pellet</td>
<td>99.7 &lt; 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Retentate&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt; Eluate</td>
<td>84.0 15.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Protein was determined by the method of Lowry et al [17] for samples before (total protein) and after ultracentrifugation or ultrafiltration.

<sup>b</sup>Supernate and pellet fractions obtained in the cell coat fraction preparation as described in Scheme 1.

<sup>c</sup>For 24 h, 5.8 mg of the cell coat fraction was centrifuged at 100,000g and the supernate and pellet were collected.

<sup>d</sup>For 2 h, 18.3 mg of the cell coat fraction was centrifuged at 100,000g and the supernate and pellet were collected.

<sup>e</sup>For 24 h 18.5 mg of the cell coat fraction was incubated at 4°C, followed by ultracentrifugation at 100,000g for 2 min.

<sup>f</sup>A 2.6-mg portion of the cell coat fraction in 30 ml of PBS buffer was applied to an Amicon stirred cell containing a XM 300 ultrafilter membrane (nominal molecular weight “cut-off” > 300,000). The protein solution was concentrated 20-fold and the protein contents of the retentate and eluate were determined.

SDS gel electrophoresis analysis revealed that essentially all of the polypeptide species present in the cell coat fraction were present in the cell coat particle (Fig. 1). A very similar if not identical SDS-PAGE pattern was also observed with the supernatant portion obtained after ultracentrifugation, although the staining intensity of proteins greater than 70,000 MW was noticeably reduced (Fig. 1).

**Immunodiffusion and Immunoelectrophoresis Analysis of Spontaneously Released Cell Coat Material**

Rabbit anti-mouse immunoglobulin G gave a single precipitin band after double diffusion against the cell coat particle (Fig. 2). A slight spur reaction resulted in the precipitin band of the cell coat fraction with the compared mouse IgG (Fig. 2). Anti-mouse IgG did not react with purified plasma membrane (Fig. 2). Anti-rat complement C3 (Cappel Laboratories) gave a weak precipitin reaction with the cell coat particle (not shown). Antiserum raised in rabbits against the cell coat fraction (anti-cc) gave precipitin lines with both cell coat fraction and purified plasma membranes from ascites tumor cells after double diffusion in 1% agarose (not shown). Antiserum prepared against an isolated 45,000 MW component(s) of the cell coat fraction gave a single sharp precipitin line against cell coat fraction and purified plasma membrane after agarose double-diffusion analysis (not shown).

Immunoelectrophoresis analysis of antiserum versus the cell coat fraction (anti-cc) revealed two major antibody-antigen precipitin arcs located toward the anode (Fig. 3). On the other hand, antiserum to the 45k component(s) produced a single sharp precipitin arc located toward the cathode (Fig. 3).
Fig. 2. Immunodiffusion study of antisera to mouse IgG: Well 1) cell coat particle prepared as described in Methods; Well 2) mouse immunoglobulin G2α (MOPC); Well 3) purified plasma membrane prepared as described in Methods; Well 4) IgG fraction of rabbit anti-mouse IgG serum (Miles-Yeda Ltd).

Fig. 3. Immunoelectrophoresis analysis of antiserum to cell coat fraction from Ehrlich tumor cells (anti-cc) and antiserum to a MW 45,000 component of the cell coat fraction (anti-45k). Electrophoresis was performed for 1.5 h at 20 mA per slide in 0.05 M barbital buffer (pH 8.4). Upper and lower troughs contained anti-cc (a-cc) and anti-45k (a-45k) sera, respectively. The center well contained cell coat fraction (cc). The anode is to the right. Complete immune precipitation required 72 h at 4°C.

Surface Staining of Ehrlich Ascites Tumor Cells

Figure 4A shows the results obtained with the indirect membrane immunofluorescence method at 4°C using rabbit anti-mouse immunoglobulin G serum. It is apparent that there is a clear difference in the staining of cells with the antiserum compared with control serum (Fig. 4A). A larger magnification (Fig. 4B) revealed that the tumor-associated IgG of Ehrlich ascites tumor cells is localized on the cell surface in a very heterogeneous manner. Stained cells exhibited a variety of fluorescence patterns including full surface fluorescence, a speckled appearance with numerous small aggregates, larger aggregates in patches, and localization at the poles of cells in caps (Fig. 4B). Incubation of tumor cells with anti-mouse IgG for 1 h at 37°C prior to fluorescent staining appreciably increased the number of cells exhibiting a patch or cap fluorescent pattern (not shown). Examination of cells
Fig. 4. Immunofluorescence of cell surface antigens. All steps were performed at 4°C. Cells were harvested in PBS buffer (pH 7.4) eight days after inoculation, washed five times, and used immediately for fluorescence studies. Approximately $10^5$ cells were incubated with a 1:8 dilution of either immune or preimmune (control) rabbit serum, for 30 min, washed two times in PBS and rinsed in PBS for 60 min. The cells were then stained by incubation with fluorescein-labeled goat antiserum to rabbit IgG for 30 min, washed two times in PBS, and rinsed in PBS for 60 min. The cells were then collected as pellets by centrifugation at 500g for 5 min and mounted in buffered glycerol (pH 7.4) under coverslips.
sealed with fingernail polish on microscope slides. The cells were photographed with a Zeiss ultraviolet microscope III, with a dark-field condenser and BG-38 and barrier 530 filters using a mercury arc lamp as a light source. Photographs were taken on Kodak Tri-X film. Cells incubated with A, left side) rabbit anti-mouse IgG serum (Miles-Yeda); A, right side) preimmune (control) rabbit serum × 190; B) rabbit anti-mouse IgG serum (× 800); C) rabbit anti-serum to the cell coat fraction (anti-cc) (× 800); (D) rabbit antisera to a 45,000 MW component of the cell coat fraction (anti-45k) (× 800).
stained with anti-cc serum also revealed a very heterogeneous fluorescence pattern (Fig. 4C). Note that some cells show a diffuse staining over the whole surface, while other cells exhibit either a patchy appearance or cap fluorescence (Fig. 4C). In addition some cells are not appreciably stained at all (Fig. 4C). It was often observed that fluorescence staining was extremely prominent in regions of cell-to-cell contact (Fig. 4C). In contrast, fluorescence staining with the anti-45k serum resulted in a majority of cells exhibiting a full surface or ring fluorescence. The surface specificities of the antisera to cell coat components was confirmed by exhaustive absorption tests. Absorption of either anti-cc or anti-45k antisera with whole Ehrlich cells completely eliminated reaction with cells as observed by indirect immunofluorescence (not shown).

**Gel Electrophoresis Analysis of Immune-Precipitated Components of the Cell Coat Particle**

Detergent gel electrophoresis of immune precipitates obtained from agarose double diffusion of radiolabeled cell coat particle with anti-mouse IgG followed by autoradiography revealed approximately seven major polypeptides ranging in molecular weight from 30,000 to more than 100,000 (Fig. 5B). Very little background was observed in this procedure, as shown by autoradiography after electrophoresis of the solubilized immune precipitate obtained with radiolabeled mouse serum and rabbit anti-mouse IgG (Fig. 5A). Only two bands at 50,000 and 25,000 MW representing heavy and light chains of immunoglobulin G respectively were present (Fig. 5A). The light chain was only faintly visible by autoradiography (Fig. 5A) and apparently was not heavily labeled by the reductive alkylation method.

**DISCUSSION**

Both the ultrafiltration and ultracentrifugation data (Fig. 1 and Table I) indicate that the cell coat components of Ehrlich ascites tumor cells are shed as a macromolecular complex or "cell coat particle." Membrane immunofluorescence at 4°C with rabbit antisera raised against the cell coat fraction revealed a strikingly nonuniform staining pattern (Fig. 4). A small percentage of the cells exhibited full surface fluorescence, while many more cells contained fluorescent stain in a highly patched or capped pattern. In addition, some cells contained little or no stain, indicating that the cell surface antigens or antibody-antigen complexes were completely released from these cells during growth in vivo or during the time course of the fluorescent staining procedure. It should be noted that the patched and capped appearance of the fluorescent-stained cells was observed at 4°C; these conditions are considered to greatly retard surface antigen mobility and inhibit redistribution of surface antigens on the cell surface [19]. It is possible that these cell surface components have already undergone extensive redistribution during growth in vivo due to binding of host antibodies at the cell surface. A highly aggregated arrangement of antibody-antigen complexes at the cell surface may explain the facile release of these components into cold isotonic buffer.

If host immune components induce the redistribution and release of tumor cell glycoproteins from the cell surface, immune components should a) be present on the tumor cell surface b) spontaneously shed from cells into extracellular fluids, and c) bind tightly to specific tumor cell surface components. There is considerable precedent for this possibility, since humoral immune components have been detected on the surface of a wide variety of malignant tumors [20]. In particular, the association of immunoglobulins with the tumor cell surface has been demonstrated by a number of techniques including radio-
Fig. 5. Analysis of cell coat particle components precipitated with anti-mouse IgG serum. The cell coat particle was prepared from Ehrlich ascites tumor cells and labeled with $^{14}$CHO by reductive alkylation [14], as described in Methods. Immune precipitates were obtained in 1% agarose gels after double diffusion of radiolabeled cell coat particle (unlabeled cell coat particle was added as a carrier) and rabbit anti-mouse IgG serum (Miles-Yeda). The immune precipitates were allowed to develop for 72 h at 4°C. The gel portion containing the immune precipitate bands was resected from the agarose slide and incubated in PBS buffer for 48 h with at least three changes of buffer to remove comigrating soluble proteins. The agarose strips containing the immune precipitate were then minced with a Dounce homogenizer and washed five times in PBS buffer by centrifugation at 20,000g and resuspension in PBS each time. The antigens and antibodies were released from the immune precipitate by incubation of the final homogenized pellet in a small volume of SDS-PAGE sample buffer containing 2% SDS and 0.1% 2-mercaptoethanol for 12 h at 4°C, followed by incubation for 1 h at 50°C. The sample was centrifuged at 48,000g for 10 min and the supernatant portion was collected and treated at 100°C for 5 min before electrophoresis. SDS-PAGE was performed on 7.5% acrylamide gels by the method of Laemmli [16], as described in the legend to Figure 1. The autoradiograph represents A) immune precipitate obtained from radiolabeled mouse serum and anti-mouse IgG, about 5,000 cpm; B) cell coat components precipitated with anti-mouse IgG, about 20,000 cpm. H, L, DF (arrows, left) mark positions of immunoglobulin heavy and light chains and dye marker respectively.

immunofixation [21] and membrane immunofluorescence [22]. The important question whether tumor-associated immunoglobulin (TALg) represents antitumor antibodies remains largely unanswered. In the present study we employed membrane immunofluorescence to demonstrate that IgG is present on the surface of Ehrlich ascites tumor cells (Fig. 4). The distribution of IgG on the tumor cell surface was very heterogeneous, with
considerable patches and caps of fluorescent stain present on the cells (Fig. 4), suggesting a possible antibody-induced redistribution of cell surface antigens in vivo.

Immunodiffusion studies demonstrated that IgG bound to the surface of Ehrlich ascites tumor cells was spontaneously released from cells with isotonic buffer (Fig. 2). Ultracentrifugation and ultrafiltration experiments indicated that the IgG which is shed from the cell surface is present as a high-molecular-weight aggregate (Fig. 1 and Table I). The additional presence of a complement component (C3) in the cell coat particle is consistent with the possibility that this high-molecular-weight complex is composed of at least in part of humoral immune components bound to the tumor cell surface.

In order to determine whether tumor-associated IgG is tightly bound to other protein(s) in the cell coat particle, we employed an immunoprecipitation-detergent gel electrophoresis autoradiography procedure. The immune precipitation was carried out in the presence of 0.1% Triton X-100 and 1 M urea in order to minimize protein-protein aggregation while permitting specific immune components including IgG and complement to remain bound to antigen(s). These studies revealed that multiple components are tightly bound to TAlg in the cell coat particle (Fig. 5). The components which bind TAlg could represent tumor cell antigen(s) and host complement components bound at the cell surface to antibodies directed against the tumor. However, the possibility that some proteins remained nonspecifically bound to IgG under these conditions and accounted for the proteins coprecipitated with anti-mouse IgG cannot be ruled out at this time.

It seems unlikely that IgG present in the cell coat particle represents binding to Fc receptors, since monomeric IgG binds with a relatively low affinity to Fc receptors and is released from cells by washing [23]. It is possible, however, that immune complexes unrelated to the host response to the tumor could be present in the host body fluids and bind with high affinity to Fc receptors on the tumor cell surface [23, 24]. In this regard it should be noted that Braslawsky et al [24] have demonstrated that antibodies unrelated to tumor cell antigens can bind tumor cells and constitute at least a part of the total TAlg.

In order to gain a better understanding of the origin of the material spontaneously shed by tumor cells, we are currently employing metabolic labeling of tumor cells in vivo followed by isolation of the cell coat particle containing the putative immune complexes. Tumor cell-derived components, which are tightly bound to TAlg, can then be identified by precipitation with anti-IgG followed by gel electrophoresis and autoradiography.
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