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INTRODUCTION

Obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) is a heterogeneous disorder of unknown etiology. Estimates of its lifetime prevalence in adolescents and adults range from 1% to 3% [Zohar et al., 1992; Valleni-Basile et al., 1994; Weissman et al., 1994]. The average age at onset in epidemiological studies of OCD is in early adulthood [Burke et al., 1990; Weissman et al., 1994]. Males generally have earlier onset than females, contributing to a preponderance of males in most pediatric samples [Geller et al., 1998]. In contrast, there is a slight preponderance of females in most adult samples [Weissman et al., 1994]. Although obsessive-compulsive (OC) symptoms are virtually identical in children and adults, there are important clinical differences between early- and late-onset OCD. Early-onset OCD has been associated with higher symptom severity ratings, higher rates of compulsions without obsessions, higher rates of OC symptoms unrelated to duration of illness, and obsessive-compulsive tic disorders [Geller et al., 1998; Sobin et al., 2000; Rosario-Campos et al., 2001].

Twin and family studies provide evidence that genetic factors are involved in the transmission and expression of OCD. Estimates of the heritability of OC symptoms range from 26% to 47% [Clifford et al., 1984; Jonnal et al., 2000]. A meta-analysis of data from five family studies with adult probands found a summary odds ratio (OR) of 4.0 for OCD in case and control first-degree relatives [Hettema et al., 2001]. An early age at onset of OC symptoms in family studies with adult probands is strongly associated with a more familial form of OCD [Bellodi et al., 1992; Pauls et al., 1995; Nestadt et al., 2000]. An early-onset age of OC symptoms in adult probands is also associated with higher prevalence rates of tics and Tourette’s disorder in family members [Pauls et al., 1995; Grados et al., 2001]. However, family studies with pediatric probands have often lacked control groups and have yielded divergent results, with rates of OCD in case first-degree relatives ranging from 5% to 17% [Lenane et al., 1990; Leonard et al., 1992; Thomsen, 1995; Reddy et al., 2001].

In addition to onset age of OC symptoms, two other clinical variables may be associated with the familial aggregation of OCD. First, a segregation analysis found that the relative risk of OCD was higher in relatives of probands with higher factor scores for symmetry and ordering symptoms than in relatives of probands with lower scores, and concluded that there was evidence for a major locus only in the analysis limited to families of OCD probands with high rates of symmetry and ordering symptoms [Alsobrook et al., 1999]. The findings suggest that symmetry and ordering symptoms may constitute a genetically significant symptomatic subtype of OCD [Alsobrook et al., 1999]. Second, two family studies found that tic disorders are more likely to occur in case relatives with OCD than in case relatives without OCD [Pauls et al., 1995; Grados et al., 2001]. The results indicate that there is a bidirectional relationship between OCD and tic disorders in relatives of OCD probands.
We conducted the following study to examine the familiality of early-onset OCD. The primary goal was to assess the familiality of obsessions, compulsions, and OCD in first- and second-degree relatives. The secondary goal was to determine whether three clinical variables (age at onset of OC symptoms in case probands, ordering compulsions in case probands, and tic disorders in case first-degree relatives) are associated with the familial aggregation of the disorder.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Subject Ascertainment**

We ascertained 35 case and 17 control families through probands between the ages of 10 and 17 years. The ascertainment and diagnostic procedures used in the study have been described previously [Hanna et al., 2002]. The case probands had a current diagnosis of OCD and were recruited from clinics in the University of Michigan Health System and local chapters of the Obsessive-Compulsive Foundation. The control probands were recruited from advertisements in local newspapers and bulletins posted at the University of Michigan. All probands were directly interviewed to determine whether they met DSM-III-R criteria for OCD [American Psychiatric Association, 1987]. Exclusion criteria for the case probands were (1) DSM-III-R diagnosis of mental retardation, autistic disorder, schizophrenia, or bipolar disorder, (2) currently living away from both biological parents, and (3) adoption. Exclusion criteria for the control probands were (1) any DSM-III-R disorder as well as (2) and (3) as above. Written informed consent was obtained from both parents and informed assent from probands. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Michigan.

After completing the proband diagnostic evaluation, permission to contact other relatives was requested from the parents. Direct diagnostic interviews were completed with 203 individuals (all 52 probands, 136 first-degree relatives, 15 second-degree relatives). The sample did not include four directly interviewed individuals because genotyping indicated non-paternity within a family [Hanna et al., 2002]. Diagnostic information was also collected from parents or spouses on 644 individuals (all 52 probands, 133 first-degree relatives, 459 second-degree relatives). This process provided diagnostic information on five first-degree relatives without direct interviews and 444 second-degree relatives without direct interviews for a total of 449 relatives with only family informant data.

**Diagnostic Procedures**

After informed consent and assent were obtained, probands and siblings between 10 and 17 years of age were interviewed with the Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School Age Children-Epidemiologic Version [Orvaschel, 1987]. Individuals younger than 10 years were not included in the study. The interview was completed independently with a parent of the subject as well as with the subject. It was supplemented with sections on OCD and tic disorders from the Schedule for Tourette and Other Behavioral Syndromes (STOBS) [Pauls and Hurst, 1991; Pauls et al., 1995]. Relatives 18 years and older were interviewed with the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-III-R [Spitzer et al., 1990] and sections on OCD and tic disorders from the STOBS.

The OCD sections included a series of screening questions designed to cover all criteria for a DSM-III-R diagnosis of OCD [Pauls et al., 1995] and a checklist from the Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale [Goodman et al., 1989] modified to obtain information about the lifetime occurrence of obsessions and compulsions. Categorizations of OC symptoms were made according to checklist subheadings to standardize the record-
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(GEE) method, which accounts for within-family correlations among relatives [Liang and Pulver, 1996]. Predictors of definite OCD in case first-degree relatives were examined also using logistic regression by the GEE method. Four proband characteristics (gender, onset age of OC symptoms, history of ordering compulsions, and lifetime diagnosis of tic disorder) and three first-degree relative characteristics (age, gender, and lifetime diagnosis of tic disorder) were entered as independent variables. No other OC symptom categories or comorbid diagnoses were examined as possible predictors.

Age-corrected lifetime rates of obsessions, compulsions, and OCD among relatives were compared by Cox proportional hazards (PH) regression, a semi-parametric regression model for survival data [Cox, 1972]. Robust Cox regression was used to control for the familial dependency of observations in relatives, which may be more appropriate than logistic regression for analyzing data from subjects still at risk for developing OCD in that it censors subjects who have not developed OCD by the interview age. The PH procedure yields a ratio of the hazards for two levels of a predictor variable while simultaneously controlling for potentially confounding variables. Two potentially confounding covariates, age and gender of relative, were controlled by including terms for these variables in PH models. We assume that the hazard ratio (HR) is constant over all ages, although this assumption can be relaxed in more complex models. The null hypothesis of homogeneity in cumulative survival curves was tested using the log-rank test [Mantel, 1966]. Age-corrected occurrence risks were estimated using Kaplan–Meier survival analyses of the time to OC symptom onset [Lee and Go, 1997]. Analyses were performed with SAS software [SAS Institute, Inc., 1997]. All tests were two-tailed with \( z = 0.05 \).

RESULTS

Characteristics of the Study Sample

The total sample had 652 individuals, consisting of 35 case probands (25 male, 10 female), 102 case first-degree relatives (34 fathers, 35 mothers, 18 brothers, 15 sisters), 309 case second-degree relatives, 17 control probands (10 male, 7 female), 39 control first-degree relatives (17 fathers, 17 mothers, 4 brothers, 1 sister), and 150 control second-degree relatives.

All case probands and 98 (96%) of the 102 case first-degree relatives were directly interviewed. Family informant information was obtained for the four case first-degree relatives without direct interviews. All control probands and 38 (97%) of the 39 control first-degree relatives were directly interviewed. Family informant information was obtained for the one control first-degree relative without a direct interview. There was no significant difference between the case and control first-degree relatives in the proportion of directly interviewed subjects \( (P = 0.70) \). Of the 309 case second-degree relatives, 14 (4.5%) were directly interviewed and family informant data were collected for the 295 relatives without direct interviews. Of the 150 control second-degree relatives, only one (0.7%) was directly interviewed and family informant data were collected for the 149 relatives without direct interviews. This resulted in a significant difference between the case and control second-degree relatives in the proportion of directly interviewed subjects \( (P < 0.05) \).

As presented in Table I, 71% of the case probands and 59% of the control probands were male. One case and one control proband were African American. The case probands ranged in age from 10 to 17 years. The control probands ranged in age from 10 to 15 years. There was a trend for case probands to be older than control probands at the time of interview \( (P = 0.055) \).

Onset age of OC symptoms in the case probands ranged from 4 to 14 years, with a median onset age of about 9 years. The mean age at onset was similar in male and female case probands \( (8.84 \pm 3.11 \text{ vs. } 9.26 \pm 3.62 \text{ years, mean} \pm \text{SD; } t_{33} = 0.35; P = 0.73) \). Fourteen case probands (40%) had a lifetime diagnosis of tic disorder. Case probands with a history of tics had a significantly earlier onset age of OC symptoms than did those without a tic history \( (6.82 \pm 3.01 \text{ vs. } 10.38 \pm 2.53 \text{ years, mean} \pm \text{SD; } t_{33} = 3.78; P = 0.0006) \). Onset age of OC symptoms in case probands was not associated with whether their first-degree relatives had a history of tics.

Table II describes the demographic characteristics of the case and control first-degree relatives. The case first-degree relatives ranged in age from 10 to 57 years. The control first-degree relatives ranged in age from 10 to 56 years. The distribution of the type of relative was significantly different between the two groups in that children comprised a smaller proportion of control than case first-degree relatives \( (P < 0.05) \). The mean number of assessed first-degree relatives was significantly higher in case than in control families \( (t_{50} = 2.80, P < 0.01) \).

The case second-degree relatives ranged in age from 12 to 88 years. The control second-degree relatives ranged in age from 20 to 91 years. There were no significant differences between the case and control second-degree relatives in gender, distribution, age, distribution of the type of relatives, or mean number of second-degree relatives in a family.

Prevalence and Odds of Obsessions, Compulsions, OCD, and Tic Disorders in Relatives

Table III presents the rates of obsessions, compulsions, OCD, and tic disorders in 141 first-degree relatives. Complete diagnostic data from direct interviews were available for obsessions, compulsions, and tics in 136 first-degree relatives. The lifetime prevalence of definite OCD was substantially

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE I. Demographic Characteristics of Case and Control Probands</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Case probands</strong> (N = 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, N (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) age, years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian, N (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social class N (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I and II, highest III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV and V, lowest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV and V, lowest</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a*Degrees of freedom are presented as subscript figures.

*b*Hollingshead [1965] index.
TABLE II. Demographic Characteristics of Case and Control First-Degree Relatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender, N (%)</th>
<th>Case relatives (N = 102)</th>
<th>Control relatives (N = 39)</th>
<th>Test statistic*</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>52 (51)</td>
<td>21 (54)</td>
<td>χ² = 0.09</td>
<td>0.761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>50 (49)</td>
<td>18 (46)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD), age, years</td>
<td>36.1 (13.4)</td>
<td>39.8 (11.8)</td>
<td>t = 1.51</td>
<td>0.134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of relative, N (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parents with diagnostic data</td>
<td>69 (68)</td>
<td>34 (87)</td>
<td>χ² = 5.47</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siblings with diagnostic data</td>
<td>33 (32)</td>
<td>5 (13)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) number assessed in family</td>
<td>2.9 (1.1)</td>
<td>2.3 (0.5)</td>
<td>t = 2.80</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Degrees of freedom are presented as subscript figures.

higher in case than control first-degree relatives (22.5% vs. 2.6%). The ratio of the rates of definite OCD in case and control first-degree relatives (relative risk or estimated λ) was 8.65. The difference between case and control first-degree relatives increased when subthreshold OCD was included in the phenotype (27.4% vs. 2.6%), yielding a relative risk of 10.54. The lifetime prevalence of definite obsessions was considerably higher in case than control first-degree relatives (20.4% vs. 2.6%), as was the lifetime prevalence of definite compulsions (22.4% vs. 2.6%). In contrast to the OCD phenotypes, the lifetime prevalence of chronic tic disorders (Tourette’s disorder and chronic motor or vocal tic disorder) and tic disorders altogether was only slightly higher in case than in control first-degree relatives (4.1% vs. 2.6% and 7.1% vs. 5.3%, respectively).

The lifetime prevalence of definite OCD was 11 times greater in case than control first-degree relatives (OR = 11.06, 95% confidence interval (CI) = 4.44–5.85, OR = 0.021). Significant ORs were found for all definitions of the OCD phenotype, indicating that case first-degree relatives met criteria for OCD-related phenotypes significantly more often than control first-degree relatives. The magnitude of the associations for definite obsessions and definite compulsions were equally robust. The significant differences between case and control relatives in definite OCD as well as in definite and subthreshold OCD were confirmed with univariate robust Cox regression (HR = 9.84, 95% CI = 7.04–12.63, P = 0.026 and HR = 12.40, 95% CI = 9.61–15.20, P = 0.014, respectively). In contrast to the ORs for OCD phenotypes, the ORs for chronic tic disorders and all tic disorders were not statistically significant.

Table IV indicates that the odds of definite OCD between the two groups of first-degree relatives remained almost the same while controlling for the age and gender of the relative in a multivariable model (OR = 10.94, 95% CI = 1.44–82.77, P = 0.021). Type of interview was not included since almost all first-degree relatives were directly interviewed; the results did not change substantially with type of interview included in the multivariable model (data not shown). The significant difference between case and control relatives in definite OCD while controlling for the age and gender of the relative was confirmed with multivariate robust Cox regression using the Breslow method for ties (HR = 9.92, 95% CI = 7.11–12.73, P = 0.026).

The lifetime prevalence of definite OCD was not significantly increased in the case second-degree relatives compared to controls (1.6% vs. 0.7%, OR = 2.45, 95% CI = 0.28–21.38, P = 0.417). By adding subthreshold OCD to the phenotype, the difference between the two groups remained non-significant (3.2% vs. 0.7%, OR = 4.98, 95% CI = 0.64–38.91, P = 0.126).

Prevalence of OCD in Case First-Degree Relatives by Clinical Characteristics

Predictors of definite OCD in case first-degree relatives were assessed using logistic regression by the GEE method. The variables consisted of four proband characteristics (gender, onset age of OC symptoms, history of ordering compulsions, lifetime diagnosis of tic disorder) and three first-degree relative characteristics (gender, current age, lifetime diagnosis of tic disorder). Ordering compulsions in probands (OR = 1.17, 95% CI = 1.02–1.34, P = 0.021) and tic disorders in relatives (OR = 1.40, 95% CI = 1.04–1.89, P = 0.025) were significant predictors of definite OCD in case first-degree relatives. Elimination of other variables from the model increased the ORs for ordering compulsions in probands and tic disorders in relatives (data not shown). Multivariate robust Cox regression using the Breslow method for ties confirmed that tics in case first-degree relatives were a significant predictor of definite OCD.

Table III. Prevalence of Obsessions, Compulsions, Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder (OCD), and Tic Disorders in 141 First-Degree Relatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenotype of first-degree relative</th>
<th>Case relatives affected, N (%)</th>
<th>Control relatives affected, N (%)</th>
<th>Odds ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OCD (definite)</td>
<td>23 (22.5)</td>
<td>1 (2.6)</td>
<td>11.06 (1.44, 85.01)</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCD (definite and subthreshold)</td>
<td>28 (27.4)</td>
<td>1 (2.6)</td>
<td>14.38 (1.88, 109.77)</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obsessions (definite)</td>
<td>20 (20.4)</td>
<td>1 (2.6)</td>
<td>9.49 (2.23, 34.41)</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obsessions (definite and subthreshold)</td>
<td>28 (28.6)</td>
<td>2 (5.3)</td>
<td>7.20 (1.62, 31.94)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compulsions (definite)</td>
<td>22 (22.4)</td>
<td>1 (2.6)</td>
<td>10.71 (1.30, 82.65)</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compulsions (definite and subthreshold)</td>
<td>28 (28.6)</td>
<td>4 (10.5)</td>
<td>3.40 (1.10, 10.47)</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourette’s disorder and CMVT disorder</td>
<td>4 (4.1)</td>
<td>1 (2.6)</td>
<td>1.57 (0.20, ∞)</td>
<td>0.570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All tic disorders</td>
<td>7 (7.1)</td>
<td>2 (5.3)</td>
<td>1.38 (0.24, 7.83)</td>
<td>0.713</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, confidence interval; CMVT disorder, chronic motor or vocal tic disorder.

aThe number of case relatives with complete diagnostic information for obsessions, compulsions, and tics was 98.
bThe number of control relatives with complete diagnostic information for obsessions, compulsions, and tics was 38.
cEstimated using the generalized estimating equation method.
TABLE IV. Odds Ratios for Definite OCD in 141 First-Degree Relatives Using a Multivariable Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic of first-degree relative</th>
<th>Odds ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>( P )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case</td>
<td>10.94 (1.44, 82.77)</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control(^b)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1.62 (0.64, 4.11)</td>
<td>0.307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female(^b)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at interview</td>
<td>0.997 (0.96, 1.02)</td>
<td>0.613</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, confidence interval.
\(^a\) Determined using logistic regression by the generalized estimating equation method.
\(^b\) Reference group.

OCD in those relatives (HR = 3.98, 95% CI = 2.29–5.67, \( P = 0.008 \)). However, ordering compulsions in probands were not predictive of definite OCD in case first-degree relatives (HR = 1.89, 95% CI = 0.59–3.39, \( P = 0.117 \)).

The lifetime prevalence of definite OCD was higher in the first-degree relatives of case probands with ordering compulsions than in the first-degree relatives of case probands without such compulsions (33.3% vs. 17.4%). The difference between the two subgroups of first-degree relatives increased when subthreshold OCD was included in the phenotype (45.4% vs. 18.8%). A significant difference between the two subgroups of relatives in rates of definite and subthreshold OCD was confirmed by a log-rank test of survivor functions (log-rank \( \chi^2 = 6.30, P = 0.012 \)). Figure 1 presents the Kaplan–Meier survivor functions comparing the age at onset of OC symptoms in the two subgroups of relatives. Onset age of OC symptoms was significantly later in the first-degree relatives of case probands with ordering compulsions than in first-degree relatives of case probands without such compulsions (14.75 ± 7.58 vs. 9.54 ± 3.78 years, mean ± SD; \( t_{20.97} = 2.28; P = 0.034 \)). However, there was no significant difference in the onset age of OC symptoms in first-degree relatives according to whether the relatives themselves had a history of ordering compulsions.

DISCUSSION

Familiality of OCD and Tic Disorders

The increased rates of obsessions, compulsions, and OCD in the case first-degree relatives provide further evidence for the familiality of early-onset OCD. Definite OCD was increased 11-fold in the case first-degree relatives. With subthreshold OCD included in the phenotype, the finding was more robust. The lifetime prevalence rate of definite OCD in the control first-degree relatives is consistent with rates from recent epidemiological (e.g., Weissman et al., 1994) and family studies (e.g., Nestadt et al., 2000). Obsessions and compulsions were equally specific to the familial aspect of the phenotype. However, inclusion of subthreshold forms of obsessions or compulsions weakened the evidence for the familiality of the phenotype, suggesting that subthreshold symptoms may include more innocuous thoughts and behaviors unrelated to OCD.

The rate of definite OCD in the case first-degree relatives of 22.5% is much higher than the rates of 10.3% and 11.7% reported in the controlled family studies with adult probands by Pauls et al. (1995) and Nestadt et al. (2000), respectively. It is also somewhat higher than the rates in first-degree relatives reported in previous family studies with pediatric probands (Lenane et al., 1990; Leonard et al., 1992; Thomsen, 1995; Reddy et al., 2001). The OR of 11.06 for definite OCD in the first-degree relatives is much higher than the summary OR of 4.0 from a meta-analysis of five family studies of OCD with adult probands and with pediatric probands (Hettet et al., 2001). These differences are consistent, however, with previous family studies demonstrating that the aggregation of OCD is mainly concentrated in families of probands with early-onset OCD (Bellodi et al., 1992; Pauls et al., 1995; Nestadt et al., 2000).

In contrast to the findings with first-degree relatives, there were no significant differences in the rates of OCD phenotypes between case and control second-degree relatives. The rate of OCD in the second-degree relatives may have been underestimated because the majority of them were not directly interviewed, suggesting that the family history method is inadequate for assessing the familiality of OCD. Nonetheless, our OCD rate in case second-degree relatives of 1.6% is similar to the OCD rate of 1.4% found in the second-degree relatives of OCD probands in a previous study that primarily used the family history method (Cavallini et al., 1999).

Of the 35 case probands in our study, 22 (63%) had at least one first-degree relative with either definite or subthreshold OCD. One case proband without an affected first-degree relative had two second-degree relatives with OCD based on family informant data. Thus, 66% of the probands could be considered as having familial OCD, providing further support for substantial heterogeneity in the familial aggregation of OCD (Bellodi et al., 1992; Pauls et al., 1995; Nestadt et al., 2000).

There were no significant differences in the rates of tic disorders between case and control first-degree relatives. However, our rate of all tic disorders in case first-degree relatives of 7.1% is consistent with rates ranging from 5.0% to
Correlates of OCD in Case Relatives

Two variables, ordering compulsions in case probands and tic disorders in case first-degree relatives, predicted a diagnosis of definite OCD in those relatives. The rate of definite OCD in the first-degree relatives of probands with ordering compulsions was about twice as high as the rate in relatives of probands without such compulsions. That difference was somewhat larger with subthreshold OCD included in the phenotype.

The effect of ordering compulsions on the familiality of OCD was suggested by a segregation analysis that found the relative risk of OCD was higher in relatives of probands with higher factor scores for symmetry and ordering symptoms [Alsobrook et al., 1999]. A segregation analysis of OC symptom dimensions in sibling pairs with Tourette’s disorder indicated that the transmission of symmetry and ordering behaviors is consistent with dominant inheritance [Leckman et al., 2003]. In our study, 45.4% of case first-degree relatives of probands with ordering compulsions had either definite or subthreshold OCD, which is consistent with a dominant Mendelian model. These findings altogether suggest that ordering compulsions may characterize a more familial and possibly more etiologically homogeneous form of OCD. The possible association between ordering compulsions and the familial aggregation of the disorder requires replication.

The rate of definite OCD in the case first-degree relatives with tics was over twice as high as the rate in case first-degree relatives without tics. Conversely, the rate of tic disorders in case first-degree relatives with definite OCD was over four times as high as the rate of tic disorders in case first-degree relatives without definite OCD. This is consistent with previous reports of a higher prevalence of tics in case relatives with OCD than in those without OCD [Pauls et al., 1995; Grados et al., 2001] and provides support for a bidirectional relationship between OCD and tics. In contrast, the diagnosis of OCD in case first-degree relatives was not associated with a history of tics in case probands. This is consistent with previous studies that found no relationship between a history of tics in OCD probands and the diagnosis of OCD in their relatives [Leonard et al., 1992; Pauls et al., 1995; Nestadt et al., 2000; Grados et al., 2001].

A previous family study of OCD with adult probands found that case relatives with a history of tics had a significantly earlier age at onset of OC symptoms than did those without tics [Grados et al., 2001]. However, our study did not detect a significant difference in onset age of OC symptoms in case relatives according to whether the probands or the relatives themselves had a tic history. This may have occurred because our sample size was limited or because the first-degree relatives with OCD and tics in our study had a wide range in onset ages of OC symptoms.

The rates of OCD phenotypes in case first-degree relatives in our study were not influenced by the gender of either the proband or the relative. Two family studies with adult probands also found no relationship between gender and prevalence of OCD in first-degree relatives [Pauls et al., 1995; Nestadt et al., 2000]. However, a family study with pediatric probands found that the rates of both OCD and tic disorders were significantly higher in male relatives [Leonard et al., 1992]. Age at onset of OC symptoms in the case probands was not associated with the prevalence of OCD in their first-degree relatives. This suggests that it may be difficult to detect such an association with pediatric probands because of the narrow range in their onset ages, and that the risk for developing OCD in first-degree relatives has minimal variation in relation to a proband onset age range of 4–14 years. If this pattern is replicated in other family studies with pediatric probands, the threshold for defining early-onset OCD could be considered to be as high as 14 years.

CONCLUSIONS

The results from our family study indicate that early-onset OCD is highly familial with substantially higher OR and relative risk estimates than those previously reported in family studies of OCD with adult probands [Hettema et al., 2001]. The familiality of early-onset OCD was also confirmed using robust Cox regression analyses. Thus, the study provides further support for the validity of subtyping OCD according to age at onset of OC symptoms [Sobin et al., 2000]. The study also suggests that a history of ordering compulsions in pediatric probands with OCD and comorbid tic disorders in case first-degree relatives is associated with the familial aggregation of OCD. The results from our study require replication by other controlled family studies using pediatric probands. Such studies are likely to inform efforts to identify genetic factors involved in the etiology of early-onset OCD.
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