

A Note on Some Wishart Expectations¹

By R. J. Muirhead²

Summary: In a recent paper Sharma and Krishnamoorthy (1984) used a complicated decision-theoretic argument to derive an identity involving expectations taken with respect to the Wishart distribution $W_m(n, I)$. A more general result, proved using an elementary moment generating function argument, and some applications, are given in this paper.

1 An Expectation Identity

Let the random $m \times m$ matrix S have the Wishart distribution $W_m(n, \Sigma)$, with probability density function

$$c_{m,n}(\det \Sigma)^{-n/2} \exp(-1/2 \operatorname{tr} \Sigma^{-1} S)(\det S)^{1/2(n-m-1)}, \quad S > 0, \Sigma > 0, \\ 0, \quad n > m - 1$$

where

$$c_{m,n}^{-1} = 2^{mn/2} \Gamma_m(1/2 n),$$

with

$$\Gamma_m(a) = \pi^{1/4 m(m-1)} \prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma(a - 1/2(i-1)).$$

Using an innovative, but rather complicated and involved decision-theoretic argument, Sharma and Krishnamoorthy (1984) proved that when $\Sigma = I_m$,

$$E[(\operatorname{tr} S)^2 \operatorname{tr} (S^\alpha)] = (mn + 2 + 2\alpha)E[\operatorname{tr} S \operatorname{tr} (S^\alpha)], \quad (1)$$

an identity which holds for all α for which the expectations exist. Here we give an elementary proof of a more general result which yields (1) as a special case. The general result is given in the following theorem.

¹ This work was supported by the National Science Foundation.

² Prof. Robb J. Muirhead, Department of Statistics, University of Michigan, 419 South State Street, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109-1027, USA.

Theorem: Suppose that $S \sim W_m(n, \Sigma)$. Let $h(S)$ be a real-valued measurable function of S such that the function $f(t; S) = h(t^{-1}S)$, $t > 0$, is differentiable at $t = 1$. Let $f'(t; S) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(t; S)$. Then

$$E[\text{tr}(\Sigma^{-1}S)h(S)] = mnE[h(S)] - 2E[f'(1; S)] \tag{2}$$

provided the expectations involved exist.

Proof: For $t > 0$ define the function $g(t)$ as

$$g(t) = c_{m,n}(\det \Sigma)^{-n/2} t^{mn/2} \int_{S > 0} \exp\left(-\frac{t}{2} \text{tr} \Sigma^{-1}S\right) (\det S)^{1/2(n-m-1)} h(S) dS, \tag{3}$$

and note that $g(1) = E[h(S)]$.

Differentiating (3) with respect to t (justified by dominated convergence provided $E[\text{tr}(\Sigma^{-1}S)h(S)]$ exists) and putting $t = 1$ gives

$$g'(1) = 1/2 mnE[h(S)] - 1/2 E[\text{tr}(\Sigma^{-1}S)h(S)]. \tag{4}$$

Now put $X = tS$ in (3); then $g(t)$ can be written alternatively as

$$g(t) = c_{m,n}(\det \Sigma)^{-n/2} \int_{X > 0} \exp(-1/2 \text{tr} \Sigma^{-1}X) (\det X)^{1/2(n-m-1)} f(t; X) dX,$$

from which it follows that

$$g'(1) = E[f'(1; S)]. \tag{5}$$

Equating (4) and (5) gives the desired result (2) and completes the proof.

In many interesting applications the function $h(\cdot)$ has the property that, for $x > 0$, $h(xS) = x^l h(S)$ for some real l . Then $f(t; S) = h(t^{-1}S) = t^{-l}h(S)$, so that

$$f'(1; S) = -lh(S).$$

This yields the following result.

Corollary: If $h(xS) = x^l h(S)$ for some l then

$$E[\text{tr}(\Sigma^{-1}S)h(S)] = (mn + 2l)E[h(S)]. \tag{6}$$

The identity (1) of Sharma and Krishnamoorthy (1984) follows immediately from (6) by taking $\Sigma = I$ and $h(S) = \text{tr} S \text{tr}(S^\alpha)$, so that $l = \alpha + 1$. Another identity, used by

Efron and Morris (1976) in the context of decision-theoretic estimation of Σ^{-1} , is

$$E \left[\frac{\text{tr } \Sigma^{-1} S}{\text{tr } S} \right] = (mn - 2) E \left[\frac{1}{\text{tr } S} \right];$$

this is a special case of (6) with $h(S) = (\text{tr } S)^{-1}$, so that $l = -1$.

2 Applications

Many interesting expectations can be evaluated using (6). Some of these, in which k and r are nonnegative integers and

$$(a)_k = a(a + 1) \dots (a + k - 1),$$

are:

$$E[(\text{tr } \Sigma^{-1} S)^k] = 2^k (1/2 mn)_k, \tag{7}$$

$$E[(\text{tr } \Sigma^{-1} S)^{-k}] = (-1/2)^k / (-1/2 mn + 1)_k, \quad (2k < mn) \tag{8}$$

$$E[(\text{tr } \Sigma^{-1} S)^k \text{tr } S] = 2^k (1/2 mn + 1)_k n \text{tr } \Sigma, \tag{9}$$

$$E[(\text{tr } \Sigma^{-1} S)^k \text{tr } S^{-1}] = 2^k (1/2 mn - 1)_k \text{tr } \Sigma^{-1} / (n - m - 1), \quad (n > m + 1) \tag{10}$$

$$E[(\text{tr } \Sigma^{-1} S)^k \text{tr } \Sigma S^{-1}] = 2^k (1/2 mn - 1)_k m / (n - m - 1), \quad (n > m + 1) \tag{11}$$

$$E[(\text{tr } \Sigma^{-1} S)^k (\det S)^r] = 2^{mr+k} (1/2 mn + rm)_k \frac{\Gamma_m(1/2 n + r)}{\Gamma_m(1/2 n)} \cdot (\det \Sigma)^r. \tag{12}$$

These may all be derived using essentially similar arguments and known elementary properties of Wishart matrices. For example, (7) is proved in the following way. Put $h(S) = \text{tr } (\Sigma^{-1/2} S \Sigma^{-1/2}) = \text{tr } (\Sigma^{-1} S)$ in (6), so that $l = 1$. This gives

$$E[(\text{tr } \Sigma^{-1} S)^2] = (mn + 2) E[\text{tr } (\Sigma^{-1} S)] = (mn + 2) mn$$

where we have used the fact that $E(S) = n\Sigma$. Next, taking $h(S) = (\text{tr } \Sigma^{-1} S)^2$, with $l = 2$, gives

$$E[(\text{tr } \Sigma^{-1} S)^3] = (mn + 4) E[(\text{tr } \Sigma^{-1} S)^2] = (mn + 4)(mn + 2) mn.$$

The result (7) for arbitrary k follows trivially by induction. To prove (8) note that taking $h(S) = 1/\text{tr } \Sigma^{-1} S$ in (6) gives $(mn - 2) E \left[\frac{1}{\text{tr } \Sigma^{-1} S} \right] = 1$.

Next, taking $h(S) = (\text{tr } \Sigma^{-1} S)^{-2}$ in (6) gives

$$(mn - 4)E[(\text{tr } \Sigma^{-1} S)^{-2}] = E[(\text{tr } \Sigma^{-1} S)^{-1}] = 1/(mn - 2),$$

and the rest of the argument is obvious. The proofs of the other identities are similar. Note that to derive (10), (11), and (12) we need the known results

$$E(S^{-1}) = \frac{1}{n - m - 1} \Sigma^{-1},$$

$$E[(\det S)^r] = 2^{mr} \frac{\Gamma_m(1/2 n + r)}{\Gamma_m(1/2 n)} (\det \Sigma)^r.$$

We conclude by giving two expectations involving zonal polynomials. Let $C_\kappa(S)$ denote the zonal polynomial of S corresponding to the partition $\kappa = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m)$ of the integer k ($k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_m \geq 0$) (see e.g. James 1964 or Muirhead 1982, Chapter 7), and let

$$(a)_\kappa = \prod_{i=1}^m (a - 1/2(i - 1))_{k_i}.$$

The following expectations, in which B is a non-random $m \times m$ symmetric matrix, hold:

$$E[(\text{tr } \Sigma^{-1} S)^r C_\kappa(SB)] = (1/2 mn + k)_r 2^{k+r} (1/2 n)_\kappa C_\kappa(B\Sigma), \tag{13}$$

$$E[(\text{tr } \Sigma^{-1} S)^{-r} C_\kappa(SB)] = \frac{(-1)^r 2^{k-r}}{(-1/2 mn - k + 1)_r} (1/2 n)_\kappa C_\kappa(B\Sigma) \quad (r < 1/2 mn + k). \tag{14}$$

These may be derived from (6) in a similar way to (7)–(12) using the known result that

$$E[C_\kappa(SB)] = 2^k (1/2 n)_\kappa C_\kappa(B\Sigma).$$

(see e.g. Muirhead 1982, p. 251).

References

- Efron B, Morris C (1976) Multivariate empirical Bayes and estimation of covariance matrices. *Ann Statist* 4:22–32
- James AT (1964) Distributions of matrix variates and latent roots derived from normal samples. *Ann Math Statist* 35:475–501
- Muirhead RJ (1982) *Aspects of multivariate statistical theory*. John Wiley & Sons, New York
- Sharma D, Krishnamoorthy K (1984) An identity involving a Wishart matrix. To appear in *Metrika*

Received July 10, 1984

(Revised version August 6, 1984)