

Prime ideals and the ideal-radical of a distributively generated near-ring

By
R. R. LAXTON

The concepts of a prime ideal of a distributively generated (d.g.) near-ring R , a prime d.g. near-ring and an irreducible R -group are introduced¹⁾. The annihilating ideal of an irreducible R -group with an R -generator is a prime ideal. Consequently we define a prime ideal to be primitively prime if it is the annihilating ideal of such an R -group, and a d.g. near-ring to be primitively prime near-ring if it acts faithfully on such a group. The intersection of all the primitively prime ideals of a d.g. near-ring is called the ideal-radical; this ideal contains all the nilpotent ideals of the near-ring and a relationship between it and the quasi-radical of the near-ring is established.

In section 2 we consider d.g. near-rings R which satisfy the descending chain condition for left R -modules. In this case, the ideal-radical is nilpotent. Any non-zero prime d.g. near-ring is a primitively prime d.g. near-ring. All irreducible R -groups with an R -generator of a non-zero prime d.g. near-ring R are shown to be isomorphic to the finite number of direct summands of the group $R^+ - N$, where N is the quasi-radical of R . If R has finite order, then it has, to within an isomorphism, but one faithful representation on an irreducible R -group with an R -generator and all its irreducible R -groups with R -generators are homomorphic images of R -subgroups of this group.

In section 3, a number of equivalent conditions is given for a d.g. near-ring to have a nilpotent radical. One of them is that all its proper prime ideals are maximal ideals. In section 4, we construct an example of a finite d.g. near-ring whose radical is not nilpotent and whose quasi-radical is not an ideal.

1. Definitions and general properties

A *near-ring* R is a system with two binary operations, addition and multiplication, such that:

- (i) The elements of R form a group R^+ under addition.
- (ii) The elements of R form a semi-group under multiplication.
- (iii) $(x + y)z = xz + yz$, for all $x, y, z \in R$.

¹⁾ The notation in this paper is different from that adopted in the two previous papers [7] and [8]. What was previously called an irreducible R -group is now called a minimal R -group. The term irreducible R -group is given another and more general meaning in this paper (see section 1).

If S is a multiplicative semi-group contained in R , whose elements generate R^+ and satisfy

$$(iv) \quad s(x + y) = sx + sy,$$

we say that R is generated by the distributive semi-group S . A near-ring R which is generated by some distributive semi-group is said to be *distributively generated*.

Throughout this article, we shall mean by a d.g. near-ring a d. g. near-ring with an identity element which will be denoted by e and R will always denote such a near-ring. The symbol S stands for some distributive semi-group generating R . It will always be assumed that S contains e ; this imposes no further structural restriction on R .

An (R, S) -group Ω is given by an additive group, the additive notation not to imply commutativity, together with a mapping $(x, w) \rightarrow xw$ of $R \times \Omega$ into Ω such that

- (i) For all $x, y \in R$ and all $w \in \Omega$, $(x + y)w = xw + yw$.
- (ii) For all $x, y \in R$ and all $w \in \Omega$, $(xy)w = x(yw)$.
- (iii) For all $s \in S$ and $w_1, w_2 \in \Omega$, $s(w_1 + w_2) = sw_1 + sw_2$.
- (iv) For all $w \in \Omega$, $ew = w$.

If the particular semi-group S occurring in this definition does not need to be specified, we simply speak of an R -group (see [5]).

The zero 0 of R^+ is a two-sided annihilator of R ([2], 1.1, 1.5). The zero of an additive group Ω will be denoted by 0_Ω , or, if no confusion is possible, simply by 0 . If Ω is an R -group, then $x0_\Omega = 0_\Omega$, for all $x \in R$ ([3], 1.4).

A *faithful* R -group Ω is an R -group such that if $x \in R$ and $xw = 0$ for all $w \in \Omega$, then $x = 0$. A *minimal* R -group is a non-zero R -group which contains no R -groups as proper, non-zero sub-groups. A *primitive* d.g. near-ring R is a d.g. near-ring which has a faithful representation on a minimal R -group (see [7]).

A homomorphism Φ of an R -group Ω into another R -group is called an R -homomorphism if $\Phi(xw) = x(\Phi w)$, for all $x \in R$ and $w \in \Omega$.

A sub-group \mathfrak{a} of R^+ is a *left (right) R -module* of R if $xy \in \mathfrak{a} (yx \in \mathfrak{a})$ for all $x \in R$ and $y \in \mathfrak{a}$. A left R -module that is also a right R -module is a two-sided R -module. A *left (right, two-sided) ideal* is a left (right, two-sided) R -module that is also normal in R^+ . A two-sided ideal is simply called an *ideal*. Left R -modules are the R -subgroups of R^+ . Left ideals are precisely the kernels of R -homomorphisms of R^+ , and ideals are precisely the kernels of the near-ring homomorphisms of R ([2], 1.3.3, [3], 2.1.4).

Definition 1. An ideal \mathfrak{p} in a d.g. near-ring R is called a *prime ideal* if and only if whenever \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} are ideals of R and $\mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$, then either \mathfrak{a} or \mathfrak{b} is contained in \mathfrak{p}^2 . A d.g. near-ring whose zero ideal is prime is called a *prime d.g. near-ring*.

²⁾ If $\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_r$ are subsets of R , then $\mathfrak{a}_1 \mathfrak{a}_2 \dots \mathfrak{a}_r$ denotes the additive group generated by all elements of the form $a_1 a_2 \dots a_r$, where $a_i \in \mathfrak{a}_i, i = 1, \dots, r$. If \mathfrak{a} is a subset of R , we put $\mathfrak{a}^n = \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{a} \dots \mathfrak{a}$ (n times). We say that the set \mathfrak{a} of R is *nilpotent* if $\mathfrak{a}^n = (0)$ for some positive integer n .

Lemma. *If a_1, \dots, a_r are ideals and \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal of R , then $a_1 a_2 \dots a_r \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ implies that $a_i \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ for some i .*

Proof. If a set \mathfrak{a} is contained in the ideal \mathfrak{p} , then so is the least ideal of R containing \mathfrak{a} . We denote this ideal by $\bar{\mathfrak{a}}$. Hence if $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$ is contained in \mathfrak{p} so is the ideal $\bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2 \dots \bar{a}_n$. Now $(\bar{a}_1 \dots \bar{a}_{n-1}) a_n$ is a product of two ideals and $(\bar{a}_1 \dots \bar{a}_{n-1}) a_n \subseteq \bar{a}_1 \dots \bar{a}_{n-1} \bar{a}_n \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. Therefore if $a_n \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$, $a_1 \dots a_{n-1} \subseteq \bar{a}_1 \dots \bar{a}_{n-1} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. Repeating this argument gives the required result.

Definition 2. A non-zero R -group is called an *irreducible R -group* if it possesses no proper, non-zero, normal R -subgroups³⁾.

In the following, we shall be concerned with irreducible R -groups Ω which possess an R -generator, that is, an element w in Ω such that $Rw = \Omega$. Such groups will be called *cyclic irreducible R -groups*. It is clear that a minimal R -group is a cyclic irreducible R -group.

Proposition 1. *If Ω is a cyclic irreducible R -group, then the annihilating ideal $(0:\Omega)$ is a prime ideal of R^A .*

Proof. The ideal $(0:\Omega)$ consists of all those $x \in R$ such that $xw = 0$ for all $w \in \Omega$. Let \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} be two ideals of R such that $\mathfrak{a} \not\subseteq (0:\Omega)$ and $\mathfrak{b} \not\subseteq (0:\Omega)$, and w an R -generator of Ω . Then the R -group $\mathfrak{b}\Omega = \mathfrak{b}(Rw) = (\mathfrak{b}R)w = \mathfrak{b}w$ is not the zero sub-group of Ω . If $w' \in \Omega$, then there exists an element $z \in R$ such that $w' = zw$ and so $w' + \mathfrak{b}w - w' = zw + \mathfrak{b}w - zw = (z + \mathfrak{b} - z)w \in \mathfrak{b}w$, for all $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathfrak{b}$. Thus $\mathfrak{b}w$ is a non-zero normal R -subgroup of Ω ; hence $\mathfrak{b}w = \Omega$. Now the group $(\mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b})\Omega \supseteq (\mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b})w = \mathfrak{a}(\mathfrak{b}w) = \mathfrak{a}\Omega$ is a non-zero subgroup of Ω . Therefore $(\mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b}) \not\subseteq (0:\Omega)$ and this proves that the ideal $(0:\Omega)$ is prime.

Definition 3. A d.g. near-ring R which has a faithful representation on a cyclic irreducible R -group is called a *primitively prime d.g. near-ring*. An ideal \mathfrak{p} of a d.g. near-ring R is called a *primitively prime ideal* if R/\mathfrak{p} is a primitively prime d.g. near-ring.

The above proposition shows that a primitively prime d.g. near-ring is a prime d.g. near-ring and a primitively prime ideal is a prime ideal. A primitively prime ideal is a proper ideal.

Proposition 2. *An ideal \mathfrak{p} in R is primitively prime if and only if $\mathfrak{p} = (\mathfrak{l}:R)$, where \mathfrak{l} is a maximal left ideal of R .*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{p} = (\mathfrak{l}:R)$; then R/\mathfrak{p} acts faithfully on the irreducible R/\mathfrak{p} -group $R^+ - \mathfrak{l}$. The image of the identity element e of R under the homomorphism of R^+ onto $R^+ - \mathfrak{l}$ is an R/\mathfrak{p} -generator of $R^+ - \mathfrak{l}$. Conversely, if \mathfrak{p} is a primitively prime ideal, let Ω be a faithful, irreducible R/\mathfrak{p} -group with an R/\mathfrak{p} -generator w , say. Then Ω is an R -group, $Rw = \Omega$ and the mapping of R^+ onto Ω given by $x \rightarrow xw$, for all $x \in R$, is an R -homomorphism. Hence $R^+ - (0:w) \cong \Omega$; $(0:w)$ is a maximal left ideal of R and $\mathfrak{p} = ((0:w):R)$.

If \mathfrak{a} is a subset of R and Δ is a subset of an R -group Ω , then $\mathfrak{a}\Delta$ denotes the group generated by all elements of the form aw , where $a \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $w \in \Delta$.

³⁾ See footnote ¹⁾.

⁴⁾ If Δ_1 and Δ_2 are two subsets of an R -group, then $(\Delta_1:\Delta_2)$ denotes the set of elements of R which map Δ_2 into Δ_1 . We note that if \mathfrak{l} is a left ideal of R , then $(\mathfrak{l}:R)$ is an ideal of R contained in \mathfrak{l} which contains all ideals of R in \mathfrak{l} ([2], 3.7.1).

The primitive ideals of R are by definition the annihilating ideals of minimal R -groups (see [8]). Hence:

Proposition 3. *The primitive ideals of a d.g. near-ring are primitively prime ideals and primitive d.g. near-rings are primitively prime d.g. near-rings.*

Proposition 4. *A prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of a d.g. near-ring R contains all the nilpotent ideals of R . The factor near-ring R/\mathfrak{p} has no non-zero nilpotent ideals.*

Proof. If \mathfrak{a} is an ideal and $\mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{a} \dots \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$, then $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ by the lemma.

The intersection of all the primitive ideals of a d.g. near-ring R is called the *radical* (if the near-ring has no primitive ideals, then the radical is taken to be the whole near-ring) and is equal to the intersection of all the maximal left ideals which are maximal left R -modules of R . The intersection of all the maximal left ideals of a d.g. near-ring is called the *quasi-radical* (see [8]). Any d.g. near-ring with an identity element contains maximal left ideals (which are proper left ideals). This is proved by an application of ZORN's lemma. Therefore, by proposition 2, any d.g. near-ring with an identity element possesses primitively prime ideals.

Definition 4. The intersection of all the primitively prime ideals of a d.g. near-ring is called the *ideal-radical* of the near-ring.

Theorem 1. *Let N denote the quasi-radical of a d.g. near-ring R . The ideal-radical is the ideal $(N:R)$ which is contained in N . The ideal-radical contains all the nilpotent ideals of the near-ring.*

Proof. Let P denote the ideal-radical of R . P is contained in the ideal $(I:R)$ for each maximal left ideal I of R by proposition 2. Since $(I:R) \subseteq I$, P is contained in each maximal left ideal of R and so in the intersection of all the maximal left ideals of R , i.e., the quasi-radical N of R . But P is an ideal and therefore $P \subseteq (N:R)$. Also N is contained in each maximal left ideal I of R and hence $(N:R) \subseteq (I:R)$, for each maximal left ideal I of R . Therefore $(N:R)$ is contained in the intersection of the ideals $(I:R)$ where I is a maximal left ideal of R , i.e., $(N:R) \subseteq P$ by proposition 2. Therefore the ideal $(N:R)$ is the ideal-radical of R .

The last part of the theorem follows immediately from proposition 4.

We have the following situation for a d.g. near-ring R : the radical contains the quasi-radical which contains the ideal-radical. The radical is an ideal (which may or may not be proper) containing all the nilpotent left R -modules of R . The quasi-radical is a proper left ideal containing all the nilpotent left ideals of R . The ideal-radical is an ideal containing all the nilpotent ideals of R . Further properties of these three "radicals" are obtained in the next section for any d.g. near-ring R which satisfies the descending chain condition for left R -modules (see [8]).

2. Further properties for d.g. near-rings which satisfy the descending chain condition

Any d.g. near-ring R which appears in this and the following section satisfies the descending chain condition (d.c.c.) for left R -modules. In this

case, the primitive ideals of R are maximal ideals and primitive d.g. near-rings are simple near-rings, that is, they possess no proper, non-zero ideals (see [7]). The restatement of proposition 3 is

Proposition 5. *The maximal ideals of a d.g. near-ring R which satisfies the d.c.c. for left R -modules are primitively prime ideals. If R is a simple d.g. near-ring, then it is a primitively prime near-ring.*

Theorem 2. *Let R be a d.g. near-ring satisfying the d.c.c. for left R -modules. The ideal-radical is a nilpotent ideal containing all the nilpotent ideals of R .*

Proof. The quasi-radical of R is nilpotent (see [8]) and so the ideal-radical must be nilpotent.

Corollary. *R has a non-zero nilpotent ideal if and only if its ideal-radical P is non-zero. The near-ring R/P has no non-zero, nilpotent ideals.*

We consider the R -group $R^+ - N$, where N is the quasi-radical. The intersection of all the maximal left ideals of R is N . Since R satisfies the d.c.c. for left R -modules, there exists a finite number of distinct maximal left ideals I_1, \dots, I_n such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n I_i = N$ and $\bigcap_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^n I_i \neq N$ for any $k=1, \dots, n$. In the canonical R -homomorphism of R^+ onto $R^+ - N$ let I_k be mapped onto Ω_k and Ω_k onto Δ_k for all $k=1, \dots, n$. Since $\bigcap_{i=1}^n I_i = N$ it follows that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \Omega_i = (0)$. Since the I_k are maximal left ideals $R = I_k + \Omega_k$ and so $R^+ - N = \Omega_k \oplus \Delta_k$ for $k=1, \dots, n$. Also $I_k \supseteq I_j$ for all $j \neq k$ and $I_k \cap \Omega_k = N$ for all $k=1, \dots, n$, therefore $\Omega_k \supseteq \Delta_j$ for all $j \neq k$ and $\Omega_k \cap \Delta_k = (0)$ for all $k=1, \dots, n$.

If $m \neq k$, $\Omega_m = \Omega_m \cap (R^+ - N) = \Omega_m \cap (\Delta_k \oplus \Omega_k) = \Delta_k \oplus \Omega_k \cap \Omega_m$ by the modular law which holds for the lattice of normal subgroups of $R^+ - N$. Hence $R^+ - N = \Omega_m \oplus \Delta_m = (\Delta_k \oplus \Omega_k \cap \Omega_m) \oplus \Delta_m = \Delta_k \oplus \Delta_m \oplus \Omega_k \cap \Omega_m$.

If $n \neq m$, k , $\Omega_k \cap \Omega_m = \Omega_k \cap \Omega_m \cap (\Delta_n \oplus \Omega_n) = \Delta_n \oplus \Omega_k \cap \Omega_m \cap \Omega_n$ and hence $R^+ - N = \Delta_k \oplus \Delta_m \oplus \Delta_n \oplus \Omega_k \cap \Omega_m \cap \Omega_n$. Since $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \Omega_i = (0)$ it follows that we obtain finally

$$(1) \quad R^+ - N = \Delta_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \Delta_n,$$

where $\Delta_k \cong (R^+ - N) - \Omega_k \cong R^+ - I_k$ for $k=1, \dots, n$. From these isomorphisms it follows that the Δ_k are irreducible R -groups. If e is mapped onto \bar{e} under the canonical homomorphism of R^+ onto $R^+ - N$ and $\bar{e} = \bar{e}_1 + \bar{e}_2 + \dots + \bar{e}_n$, $\bar{e}_k \in \Delta_k$, then $R\bar{e}_k = \Delta_k$ for $k=1, \dots, n$ ⁵). Thus the Δ_k are cyclic irreducible R -groups.

Let Ω be a cyclic irreducible R -group with an R -generator w , say. $R^+ - (0:w) \cong \Omega$ and $(0:w)$ is a maximal left ideal. Thus $(0:w)$ contains N

⁵) We note that if R is a d.g. near-ring and the (R, S) -group $\Omega = \Omega_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \Omega_n$ is a direct sum of R -groups, then $x(w_1 + \dots + w_n) = xw_1 + \dots + xw_n$ for all $x \in R$, where $w_k \in \Omega_k$ for $k=1, \dots, n$.

and from (1) $R^+ - (0:w)$ must be R -isomorphic to one of the R -groups Δ_k ⁶. Hence

Theorem 3. *Let R be a d.g. near-ring satisfying the d.c.c. for left R -modules. Any cyclic irreducible R -group is R -isomorphic to one of the finite number of irreducible R -groups which appear in the direct sum decomposition (1) of $R^+ - N$.*

Now let \mathfrak{p} be a proper prime ideal in R ; put $\bar{R} = R/\mathfrak{p}$ and denote by \bar{N} the quasi-radical of \bar{R} . \bar{R} is a prime d.g. near-ring satisfying the d.c.c. for left \bar{R} -modules. The zero ideal $(\bar{0})$ of \bar{R} is prime and so \bar{R} contains no non-zero nilpotent ideals, in particular, $(\bar{N}:\bar{R}) = (\bar{0})$. Hence \bar{R} acts faithfully on the group $\bar{R}^+ - \bar{N}$. By (1), $\bar{R}^+ - \bar{N} = \bar{\Delta}_1 + \dots + \bar{\Delta}_r$, where the $\bar{\Delta}_k$ are cyclic irreducible \bar{R} -groups. The annihilating ideals $(\bar{0}:\bar{\Delta}_k)$ are primitively prime ideals and $\bigcap_{i=1}^r (\bar{0}:\bar{\Delta}_k) = (\bar{0})$. Therefore

$$(\bar{0}:\bar{\Delta}_1)(\bar{0}:\bar{\Delta}_2) \dots (\bar{0}:\bar{\Delta}_r) \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^r (\bar{0}:\bar{\Delta}_i) = (\bar{0})$$

and, since $(\bar{0})$ is a prime ideal, $(\bar{0}:\bar{\Delta}_k) = (\bar{0})$ for some k . In other words, \bar{R} acts faithfully on some $\bar{\Delta}_k$. Hence

Theorem 4. *Let R be a d.g. near-ring satisfying the d.c.c. for left R -modules. Any proper prime ideal of R is a primitively prime ideal. If R is a non-zero prime d.g. near-ring, then it is a primitively prime d.g. near-ring.*

We end this section with a structure theorem for finite prime d.g. near-rings which gives a relationship between all the cyclic irreducible R -groups. To a certain extent it generalizes the theorem for simple d.g. near-rings which states that all the minimal R -groups of a simple d.g. near-ring R are R -isomorphic (see [7]).

Theorem 5. *Let R be a non-zero finite, prime d.g. near-ring. All cyclic irreducible R -groups are R -homomorphic images of R -subgroups of a faithful, cyclic irreducible R -group. To within an isomorphism, R has one, and only one, faithful, cyclic irreducible R -group.*

Proof. R is not the zero near-ring. Let N be the quasi-radical of R . Then $R^+ - N = \Delta_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \Delta_n$, where the Δ_k are irreducible R -groups with R -generators \bar{e}_k , $\bar{e} = \bar{e}_1 + \dots + \bar{e}_n$. From the previous theorem, R acts faithfully on some Δ_k , say Δ_n . Denote by I the set of all groups which are R -homomorphic images of R -subgroups of Δ_n and, by I' , those groups of I which are cyclic

⁶ We are using here the fact that an R -group is an (R, S) -group for some set S of distributive elements of R . Thus an R -group is an operator group with S as a set of operators. Since S generated R^+ a subgroup of an (R, S) -group is an R -subgroup if and only if it is an admissible subgroup for the set of operators S and two (R, S) -groups are R -isomorphic if they are isomorphic as operator groups for the set of operators S . It follows that in the present case the decomposition (1) of $R^+ - N$ provides us with the composition series $\Delta_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \Delta_n > \Delta_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \Delta_n > \dots > \Delta_n > (0)$ for $R^+ - N$ as an operator group for any distributively generating set S of R . Hence we can use the Jordan-Hölder theorem for operator groups to show that any two composition series of R -subgroups of $R^+ - N$ are of the same length and the factor groups of one of the series are R -isomorphic to the factor groups of the other series in some order.

irreducible R -groups. We define a left ideal N' as follows:

$$N' = \bigcap \{I : I \text{ is a left ideal and } R^+ - I \in I'\}.$$

Clearly such left ideals I are maximal left ideals and so $N' \supseteq N$. It will be shown that some power of N' annihilates Δ_n but, since Δ_n is faithful, this means that N' is a nilpotent left ideal. Hence $N' \subseteq N$ and consequently $N' = N$.

Thus N is the intersection $\bigcap_{i=1}^m I_i$ of maximal left ideals I_i of R for which $R^+ - I_i \in I'$.

We may assume that $\bigcap_{i=1}^m I_i \neq N$ for all $j=1, \dots, m$. As indicated for the decomposition (1) of $R^+ - N$, this leads to the decomposition $R^+ - N = \Delta'_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \Delta'_m$, where $\Delta'_k \cong R^+ - I_k \in I'$ for $k=1, \dots, m$. These Δ'_k are cyclic irreducible R -groups. Thus both this decomposition and decomposition (1) provide us with composition series of $R^+ - N$ and so $n=m$ and the Δ_k are R -isomorphic to the Δ'_j in some order ⁷⁾. The theorem now follows from theorem 3.

We shall prove that every group in I is annihilated by some power of N' by induction on the order of the groups in I .

If Ω has least order among the non-zero groups in I , it is a minimal R -group and so is in I' . Let $w \in \Omega$, $w \neq 0$; then $Rw = \Omega$ and hence $R^+ - (0:w) \cong \Omega$ so that the left ideal $(0:w)$ contains N' . This is true for every element of Ω and consequently N' annihilates Ω itself.

Now let $\Omega \in I$ be an R -group of order $m > 0$ and assume that all groups in I of order less than m are annihilated by some power of N' . Let $\Omega = \Omega_0 > \Omega_1 > \dots > \Omega_l = (0)$ be a strictly descending chain of R -groups in Ω such that each Ω_i is normal in Ω_{i-1} and $\Omega_{i-1} - \Omega_i$ is an irreducible R -group (not necessarily cyclic). Each $\Omega_{i-1} - \Omega_i$ is contained in I . If $l > 1$, then these factor groups have order less than m and so, by hypothesis, are annihilated by some power of N' . Therefore Ω itself is annihilated by some power of N' . We are left with the case $l=1$, i.e., when Ω is an irreducible R -group. If $w \in \Omega$ and $Rw = \Omega$, then $\Omega \in I'$ and $R^+ - (0:w) \cong \Omega$. Therefore $(0:w)$ contains N' and hence N' annihilates w . If $w \in \Omega$ and $Rw \not\subseteq \Omega$, then $Rw \in I$ has order less than m and by hypothesis Rw , and so w , is annihilated by some power of N' . Thus every element of Ω and therefore Ω itself is annihilated by some power of N' . It follows, therefore, that every group in I , in particular Δ_n itself, is annihilated by some power of N' . This proves the first part of the theorem.

Now let Ω and Ω' be two faithful, cyclic irreducible R -groups. They are finite groups. We have shown that Ω is an R -homomorphic image of an R -subgroup of Ω' and, conversely, Ω' is an R -homomorphic image of an R -subgroup of Ω . Thus Ω and Ω' are isomorphic.

Besides the properties of a d.g. near-ring described at the end of section 1 we have the following additional properties for a d.g. near-ring R which satisfies the d.c.c. for left R -modules:

⁷⁾ See footnote ⁵⁾.

The radical M of R is an ideal containing all the nilpotent left R -modules of R and the factor d.g. near-ring R/M contains no non-zero nilpotent left R/M -modules, i.e., R/M is semi-simple. The quasi-radical is a nilpotent left ideal containing all the nilpotent left ideals of R (see [8]). The ideal-radical P is a nilpotent ideal containing all the nilpotent ideals of R . Furthermore, the factor d.g. near-ring R/P contains no non-zero nilpotent ideals.

3. The nilpotency of the radical

In [8] we gave necessary and sufficient conditions for the radical of a d.g. near-ring to be nilpotent. We restate these conditions below and add new conditions in terms of prime ideals.

Theorem 6. *Let R be a d.g. near-ring satisfying the d.c.c. for left R -modules. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) *The radical is nilpotent.*
- (b) *The radical is the quasi-radical.*
- (c) *Every maximal left ideal is a maximal left R -module.*
- (d) *The radical is the ideal-radical.*
- (e) *Every proper prime ideal is maximal.*
- (f) *Every cyclic irreducible R -group is a minimal R -group.*

Proof. (a) implies (b). The radical contains quasi-radical and the quasi-radical contains all the nilpotent left ideals of R . Therefore the radical is the quasi-radical if it is nilpotent.

(b) implies (c). If the radical M is the quasi-radical, then every maximal left ideal of R contains M . But R/M is a semi-simple d.g. near-ring and so is a direct sum of left ideals of R/M which are minimal left R/M -modules (see [8]). It follows that every maximal left ideal is a maximal left R -module.

(c) implies (d). If every maximal left ideal is a maximal left R -module, then the radical is the quasi-radical and so is a nilpotent ideal. But the radical contains the ideal-radical and the ideal-radical contains every nilpotent ideal of R . Therefore the radical is the ideal-radical if it is nilpotent.

(d) implies (e). If the radical M is the ideal-radical, then every prime ideal of R contains M . Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of R . Then $m_1 m_2 \dots m_r \subseteq m_1 \cap m_2 \cap \dots \cap m_r = M \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$, where m_1, \dots, m_r are maximal ideals of R (if R satisfies the d.c.c. for left R -modules, then the radical is, in fact, an intersection of a finite number of maximal ideals). Hence $m_i \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ for some i and therefore $m_i = \mathfrak{p}$.

(e) implies (f). Let Ω be a cyclic irreducible R -group. Then the annihilating ideal $\mathfrak{p} = (0 : \Omega)$ is prime and is a maximal ideal if condition (e) is satisfied. In this case R/\mathfrak{p} is a simple d.g. near-ring and so is a direct sum of isomorphic minimal R/\mathfrak{p} -groups (see [7]). Since Ω is an R/\mathfrak{p} -group and has an R/\mathfrak{p} -generator it follows that it is a direct sum of minimal R/\mathfrak{p} -groups. But Ω is irreducible and so it must be a minimal R/\mathfrak{p} -group. Hence Ω is a minimal R -group.

(f) implies (a). Let \mathfrak{I} be a maximal left ideal of R . Then $R^+ - \mathfrak{I}$ is a cyclic irreducible R -group and hence it is a minimal R -group if condition (f) is satisfied. Thus \mathfrak{I} is a maximal left R -module. Therefore the radical and the quasi-radical must be equal in this case and therefore the radical is nilpotent.

4. An example of a d.g. near-ring with a non-nilpotent radical

We construct an example of a finite d.g. near-ring with a non-nilpotent radical and a quasi-radical which is not an ideal.

Let Ω be a finite, non-abelian, simple group and R the near-ring generated by all the inner-automorphisms of Ω . It has been shown in [4] and [7] that R is a finite, simple d.g. near-ring with an identity element. If Δ is a subgroup of Ω , $(\Delta:\Omega)$ is a right R -module and any right R -module $\mathfrak{r} = (\Delta:\Omega)$ for some subgroup Δ . There is a one-to-one lattice correspondence given by $\mathfrak{r} = (\Delta:\Omega) \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{r}\Omega = \Delta$ between the right R -modules of R and the subgroups of Ω . Furthermore, right ideals and normal subgroups correspond to each other. Consequently, R has proper, non-zero right R -modules but no proper, non-zero right ideals. Finally, we note that each right R -module \mathfrak{r} has an element $e_{\mathfrak{r}}$ such that $\mathfrak{r} = e_{\mathfrak{r}}R$.

Now consider the near-ring T generated by all the endomorphisms Φ_x of R^+ , for all $x \in R$, where $\Phi_x(y) = yx$ for all $y \in R^+$ ⁸⁾. It is clear that T is a finite d.g. near-ring with an identity element; R^+ is a faithful T -group and the identity element of R is a T -generator of R^+ . But the T -subgroups of R^+ are precisely the right R -modules of R and so R^+ is an irreducible T -group with a T -generator which is not a minimal T -group. It follows from theorem 5 that T does not have a nilpotent radical.

Since R^+ is faithful, the ideal radical of T is the zero ideal (T is a finite, prime d.g. near-ring which is not simple). If the quasi-radical were an ideal, it would be the zero ideal (since the quasi-radical is nilpotent). But then T is a direct sum of irreducible T -groups by the equality (1) after the corollary to theorem 2. Every T -subgroup \mathfrak{r} of R^+ has a T -generator $e_{\mathfrak{r}}$ and consequently every T -subgroup is a direct sum of irreducible T -subgroups. By the lattice-isomorphism, this means that every subgroup of Ω is a direct sum of simple groups. If we take Ω to be the alternating group on nine symbols, then it has a cyclic subgroup of order nine and this subgroup is not a direct sum of simple subgroups, i.e., two subgroups of order three. It follows that the quasi-radical of T corresponding to the alternating group on nine symbols is not an ideal.

It is an open question whether or not the quasi-radical of a d.g. near-ring can be an ideal and yet not be the radical also. Or, to put it another way, whether a d.g. near-ring can possess non-zero nilpotent left R -modules and yet possess no non-zero nilpotent left ideals.

⁸⁾ These mappings of R^+ into itself are endomorphisms because R satisfies the right distributive law.

References

- [1] BERSCH, G.: Ein Radikal für Fastringe. *Math. Z.* **78**, 86—90 (1962).
- [2] FRÖHLICH, A.: Distributively generated near-rings (I. Ideal theory). *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **8**, 76—94 (1958).
- [3] — Distributively generated near-rings (II. Representation theory). *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **8**, 95—108 (1958).
- [4] — The near-ring generated by the inner-automorphisms of a finite simple group. *J. London Math. Soc.* **33**, 95—107 (1958).
- [5] — On groups over a d.g. near-ring, *Quart. J. of Math. (Oxford), (second series)*. (I) Sum constructions and free R -groups **11**, 193—210 (1960); (II) Categories and functors **11**, 211—228 (1960).
- [6] JOHNSON, R. E.: Prime rings. *Duke Math. J.* **18**, 799—809 (1951).
- [7] LAXTON, R. R.: Primitive distributively generated near-rings. *Mathematika* **8**, 142—158 (1961).
- [8] — A radical and its theory for distributively generated near-rings. *J. London Math. Soc.* **38**, 40—49 (1963).
- [9] MCCOY, N. H.: Prime ideals in general rings. *Amer. J. Math.* **71**, 823—833 (1949).

The University of Michigan, Mathematics Department, Ann Arbor, Mich., USA

(Received June 1, 1963)