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ABSTRACT

Fossil starfish from the Middle Devonian Hamilton Group strata in Ontario are classified as two new species of Devonaster. D. triradiatus from the Arkona Shale, based on numerous specimens, is characterized by relative small size, supramarginals set nearly above intramarginals, and a triradiate madrepore plate—the last an unusual and unique feature. D. southworthi from the Hungry Hollow Formation, based on one incomplete and weathered specimen, is characterized by large size, inframarginals wider than adambulacral, and unusual shape of its second inframarginals.
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INTRODUCTION

ALTHOUGH fossil starfish from Middle Devonian rocks of the Thedford-Arkon region of Ontario have been noted in literature since 1898, they were at first misidentified as Palaeaster eucharis Hall and later as Devonaster eucharis (Hall). Our recent investigations of specimens from this region leads us to conclude that they represent two new species, one from the Arkona Shale and one from the overlying Hungry Hollow Formation.

We are grateful to Dr. Fred Hall of the Buffalo Museum of Natural Science for arranging the loan of specimens from his museum. Helpful comments were made by Professor Chester A. Arnold and Professor Lewis B. Kellum. Mrs. Helen Mysyk typed the final draft of this paper.
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Mr. Karoly Kutasi assisted with photography. All deserve our warm appreciation.

Specimens illustrated and mentioned are deposited in the Buffalo Museum of Natural Science (BMNS) and in the Museum of Paleontology of The University of Michigan (UMMP).

LOCALITIES

All localities are in West Williams Township, Middlesex County, Ontario.

Localities
1. East bank of Ausable River north of the dam at Rock Glen, about ¾ mile north and about ¾ mile east of the center of the village of Arkona. Arkona Shale.
2. North bank of Ausable River west of the bridge at Hungry Hollow (formerly Bartlett’s Mills, Marsh’s Mill, or Marshall’s Mill), about 2 miles east and about ¾ mile north of the center of Arkona. Arkona Shale and Hungry Hollow Formation.
4. North bank of Ausable River on farm of the late Robert Fraser, about 3 miles east of Arkona and about 1 mile north of the road leading east from Arkona. Arkona Shale.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES TO DEVONIAN STARFISH OF ONTARIO

The first published notice of Devonian starfish in this region was by J. F. Whiteaves (1898, p. 376), who wrote under the heading “PALAEASTER EUCHARIS, HALL”:

‘At Bartlett’s Mills, Mr. Kernahan found and gave me a ray of Palaeaster eucharis, Hall. It is from the Lower third of my section.’ C. Schuchert, in a letter to the writer, dated July 6, 1897.

Since Schuchert wrote that letter to Whiteaves, geographic, taxonomic, and stratigraphic names have changed considerably. “Bartlett’s Mills” is now known locally as Hungry Hollow, the mills having long since disappeared. It is a gorge cut by the Ausable River about two miles northeast of Arkona, Ontario, which provides the best exposure of the fossiliferous rocks in the vicinity (Stumm and Wright, 1958, pp. 84–85). Palaeaster eucharis Hall was subsequently made the type species of Devonaster by Schuchert (1915, pp. 98–101). The “Lower third” of Schuchert’s section is the Arkona Shale (Cooper and others, 1942, p. 1745; see also Stumm and Wright, 1958, Table I). It is perhaps of interest to add that Mr. Kernahan was a storekeeper in Thedford, an amateur collector who acted on occasion as guide to visiting geologists.

Hervey W. Shimer and Amadeus W. Grabau (1902, p. 184) said of this starfish that it was “recorded by Schuchert (Whiteaves’ list)” from the “Lower shales, bed 1” in the “Thedford Region.”
Clinton R. Stauffer (1915, p. 158) listed Palaeaster eucharis Hall as occurring in the “Olentangy shale,” which is now the Arkona Shale (Stumm and Wright, 1958, Table I), at “Marsh’s Mill,” a later name for Bartlett’s Mills, now Hungry Hollow.

In his revision, Schuchert (1915, p. 101) stated of Devonaster eucharis (Hall):

Two separated rays of apparently this species were found by the writer in the lower third of the Hamilton near Bartlett’s Mills, south of Thedford, Ontario. Two other fragments from the same locality are in the University of Toronto (Walker collection, No. 1610H) . . . .

H. W. Shimer and Robert R. Shrock (1944, p. 211) gave the following data, “D. eucharis (Hall) . . . Hamilton: Ont.; N.Y.”

**SYSTEMATIC DESCRIPTIONS**

**Class Asteroidea**

**Order Phanerozoidea**

Family Promopalaeasteridae Schuchert, 1915

Subfamily Mesopalaeasterinae Schuchert, 1915

Genus Devonaster Schuchert, 1915

*Type species.*—By designation of Schuchert, 1915, p. 98, *Palaeaster eucharis* Hall, 1868, p. 287, Pl. 9, Figs. 3-3a, ?4.

**Devonaster triradiatus,** sp. nov.

(Figs. 1–2; Pl. I, Figs. 1–4; Pl. II, Figs. 1–3; Pl. III, Figs. 1–9; Pl. IV, Figs. 1–8)

*Description.*—Stellate, relatively small. Disk large. Rays tapering gradually in proximal half, then more strongly; their sides, therefore, convex. Each ray terminated by O (ocular or terminal plate), distinctly larger than adjacent R (radial).

Aboral side of disk (Fig. 1a–b) confluent with rays, consisting of central group of *d’s* (disk plates), surrounded by an irregular circlet of 5 *R*₁’s (first radials) alternating with 5 *Sm*₁’s (first supramarginals), and bordered by 5 *R*₂’s and 10 *Sm*₂’s; each ambitus bearing a small, subcircular *amb* (ambital plate). *Sm*₁’s noticeably smaller than *R*₁’s; both types smaller than adjacent plates in their respective ranges, subcircular to subelliptical. *R*₂’s subquadrate in immature specimens, elliptical in adults. *Sm*₂’s large, subtriangular, the longest side of each adjacent to the corresponding plate of the adjoining ray (Fig. 1a). *M* (madrepore plate) triradiate, set below *Sm*, and between two *Sm*₂’s, modifying the bordering plates little if any;
Fig. 1. Devonaster triradiatus, sp. nov. a. Aboral view of immature specimen. b. Labeled diagram of selected plates, including madrepore. Plate abbreviations as follows: amb—ambital plate, disk ambital; d—disk plates; dl—dorsolateral plates, radial accessories; lm—inframarginal; M—madrepore plate, madreporite; O—ocular, terminal plate; R—radial; R1—first radial, proximal radial; Sm—supramarginal; Sm1—first supramarginal, proximal supramarginal. c. Enlargement of madrepore area, with ornamentation indicated on Sm1 and Sm2’s.

lower apex of M acuminate, each of the lateral apices somewhat truncate and modified by a small dl (dorsolateral) plate inserted in the R1–Sm1–R2–Sm2 interstice (Fig. 1c); surface of M slightly convex, marked by irregular, anastomosing, partly dendritic, partly radiating grooves, more pronounced on weathered plates. Immature specimens with a few inconspicuous little dl plates between R and Sm ranges; adult specimens with numerous dl plates inserted between large plates of the disk, continuous with the central d plates, separating not only the ranges of plates but also
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$R_1$ and $Sm_4$ from their respective ranges, and thereby disrupting the plate arrangement to a considerable degree.

Oral side of disk (Fig. 2a–b) with a rather flat surface, composed of 5 $Im_1$’s (first inframarginals), 10 $Im_2$’s (second inframarginals), 4 or 5 proximal $Ad$’s (adambulacrals) in each half-ray, and about 4 proximal $Am$’s (ambulacrals) in each half-ray, hence about 95 to 105 plates in all within the boundaries of the disk; no accessory plates on oral side. Each $Im_1$ subcircular, set well within the disk in interradial position, bordered by about 6 $Ad$’s and 2 $Im_2$’s. Each $Im_2$ large, kite-shaped, with short sides facing $Im_1$ and $Ad$’s, a long concave side facing $Im_3$, and a long straight

---

**Fig. 2.** *Devonaster triradiatus*, sp. nov. a. Aboral view of immature specimen. b. labeled diagram of selected plates. Plate abbreviations as follows: $Ad$—adambulacral; $Ad_1$—first adambulacral, oral armature; $Am$—ambulacral; $Im$—inframarginal; $Im_1$—first inframarginal, axillary interbrachial; $Im_2$—second inframarginal, “proximal inframarginal” of Schuchert (1915, p. 97).
side facing the Im₂ of the adjoining ray (Fig. 2a), its acuminate distal end
attaining border of disk. Ad₁'s forming oral armature; each plate sub-
sphenoid, its proximal end high and extending upward into mouth cavity,
its distal end acuminate toward Im₁. Ad₂'s to Ad₅'s rather small, displaced
inward around Im₁ and Im₂'s, subsphenoid (variously modified), tapering
and truncate toward center of ray. Am's like those in ray. Ambulacrual
groove narrow, about the same width as in the ray.

Aboral side of each ray with a median range of R's and lateral ranges
of Sm's, continuous with ranges on disk. Each ray and adjacent sector of
the disk containing about 15 R's in small specimens and about 20 R's in
large specimens. In immature specimens, proximal R's of the ray sub-
quadrate, middle R's elliptical, and terminal R's subcircular, with inter-
mediate gradations; in adults, proximal R's also elliptical and middle R's
narrower. Sm's about same size as adjacent R's, slightly narrower. In young
specimens, ranges in contact; with increasing age and size, dl plates inserted
progressively out along ray to infiltrate and separate R from Sm ranges,
but never extending into distal quarter of ray.

Oral side of each ray (Fig. 2a) bordered by Im's, subsquare but
variably modified to fit closely against adjacent Ad's, about as numerous
as overlying Sm's. Ad's wider, shorter, and more numerous than Im's,
occurring in a ratio from 3/2 to 7/5. Each Ad subquadrate, its sides
parallel but its inner end tapered and truncate and its outer end modified
to fit closely against adjacent Im or Im's. Am's normally somewhat ob-
scured in ambulacrual groove, but more fully exposed in distorted specimen
(Pl. II, Fig. 1), about as numerous as Ad's and presumably wider.

Ornamentation distinctive. Central parts of R's, Sm's, Im's, and Ad's
ornamented with coarse tubercles; each tubercle subcircular, centrally
bare, buttressed by numerous fine radiating descending ridges; some
ridges extending to nearby tubercles, others discontinuous; grooves be-
tween ridges minutely rugose (Fig. 2c). Marginal areas of these plates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spec. No.</th>
<th>Measurements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UMMP 30518a</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UMMP 25580</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UMMP 30518b</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMNS E14550a</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UMMP 51299</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UMMP 26489</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMNS E8243</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
bearing a fine meshwork of low fila, as seen under high magnification. Ad's, Im's, and Im's with a few pustules, radially elongate and apparently directed orad. Row of small circular pustules along inner margin of Ad's. Accessory plates (d's, dl's, and am's) smooth.

Spines incomplete, rarely preserved, a few associated with oral surface. Each spine with large base, tapering sharply near base, then gradually; length uncertain; longitudinally striate.

**Remarks.**—Hall (1868, p. 287) described his Palaeaster eucharis as "rather large" and "robust ... the largest individual being one inch and seven-eights from the center of the body to the extremities of the rays." Devonaster triradiatus is consistently smaller.

In the same paragraph, Hall stated:

All the marginal plates [Sm's and Im's] are visible from the upper side, and usually appear as an additional range of plates on each margin of the ray, making five with the three properly belonging to the upper surface.

His illustrations (1868, Pl. 9, Figs. 3–3a) show this arrangement clearly. In aboral view of Devonaster triradiatus, on the other hand, Im's are practically concealed by the Sm's in mature specimens; they are visible in the distal parts of the arms in immature specimens, where they extend only slightly beyond the Sm ranges.

*M* is remarkably different in the two species. Hall based his species on specimens having an oval *M*. Ruedemann (1916, pp. 33–36, Pls. 8–9) described specimens found later at Saugerties, N. Y., as "*Devonaster eucharis*"; one of these was examined by the senior author, who found that its *M* is nearly triangular. Our *D. triradiatus* has a triradiate *M*, by reason of which we name the species.

The ultimate shape of the *M* plate is apparently determined very early in the ontogeny. In Devonaster eucharis, the growing *M* expanded at the expense of bordering Sm's, which developed deep concavities to accommodate the subcircular *M*; in *D. triradiatus*, by contrast, the Sm's maintained their shape with growth, limiting *M* to the interstice amid the three of them and forcing it to assume the distinctive triradiate form; in "*D. eucharis*" from Saugerties, the pattern seems to have been intermediate, with Sm's and *M* all yielding some during ontogeny and by compromise producing a triangular *M*. In young specimens of *D. triradiatus* (Pl. I, Fig. 4), *M* is nearly triangular and, as the starfish increases in size, seems to become more attenuated.

**Occurrence.**—Arkona Shale, Middle Devonian Hamilton Group. Localities 1 to 4.
Most specimens are found embedded in limestone lenses of the formation, which lie approximately 25 feet below the Hungry Hollow Formation. Incomplete specimens of this starfish have been discovered weathered out, evidently from soft shaly strata at about the same position.

**Devonaster southworthi**, sp. nov.  
(Pl. II, Fig. 4)

*Description.*—Known from incomplete specimen, part of oral surface exposed on weathered slab, the only starfish found in the Hungry Hollow Formation.

Stellate, large. *Im*₂ large, its proximal end greatly expanded, kite-shaped, its distal end thin and tapered, spindle-like; definite bend near middle of plate, the proximal end sloping in toward the mouth cavity. *Im* range wider than adjacent *Ad* range. Each *Im* subquadrate, thick at distal border. Each *Ad* subquadrate with sculptured oral surface, sloping outward from ray to elliptical crest around elongate depression.

Plates considerably weathered, but apparently originally ornamented with tubercles.

*Remarks.*—Although incompletely preserved, the holotype can be differentiated from other species of *Devonaster*. Its *Im*₂, with median angulation and thin tapered shank, can scarcely be confused with the flatter and more robust *Im*₂ of *D. eucharis* or *D. triradiatus*. In addition, *D. southworthi* has *Ad* ranges narrower than *Im* ranges, the reverse of the situation in *D. eucharis* and *D. triradiatus*.

Inasmuch as only one specimen has been discovered in years of intensive collecting in the Thedford-Arkona region, we reluctantly concede that it is likely the only specimen to be found in our time and, therefore, describe and name it.

The species is named in honor of Mr. Charles Southworth of Thedford, Ontario, because he not only found the holotype but has contributed to paleontology through many decades of careful and ardent collecting in the region.

*Occurrence.*—Hungry Hollow Formation (in older works called the Coral Zone), Middle Devonian Hamilton Group. Locality 2. Mr. Charles Southworth told the junior author (September 17, 1964) that in the seventy-odd years he has collected in the region, he has never heard of another starfish found in the Hungry Hollow Formation.

*Type.*—Holotype, BSNS E14549.
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