Oligodendrocyte-Myelin Glycoprotein Is Present in Lipid Rafts and Caveolin-1-Enriched Membranes
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ABSTRACT 
The oligodendrocyte-myelin glycoprotein is a ligand of the neuronal Nogo receptor and a potent inhibitor of neurite outgrowth, but its physiological function remains to be elucidated. The oligodendrocyte-myelin glycoprotein is anchored solely in the outer leaflet of the plasma membrane via its glycosphosphatidylinositol anchor, and through its leucine-rich repeat domain, it likely interacts with other proteins. In the present study, we compare its buoyancy and detergent solubility characteristics with those of other myelin proteins. Based on its detergent solubility profile and membrane fractionation using established ultracentrifugation procedures, we conclude that the oligodendrocyte-myelin glycoprotein is a lipid raft component that is closely associated with the axolemma. Moreover, it associates with caveolin-1 and caveolin-1-enriched membranes. We postulate that, by virtue of its concentration in lipid rafts and perhaps through interactions with caveolin-1, the oligodendrocyte-myelin glycoprotein may influence signaling pathways. © 2005 Wiley-Liss, Inc.

INTRODUCTION 
The oligodendrocyte (OLG)-myelin glycoprotein (OMgp) was initially identified as a glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-linked peanut agglutinin (PNA)-binding glycoprotein that appears relatively late in CNS development (Mikol and Stefansson, 1988). While it is believed to be myelin associated and paranodally localized (Mikol et al., 1990b), its exact cell(s) of origin is unclear. The structure of OMgp includes a highly conserved domain of eight tandem leucine-rich repeats (LRRs) and a C-terminal GPI anchor, both of which are likely to be of functional significance (Mikol et al., 1990a,b, 1993). The LRR domain is strongly implicated in mediating protein–protein interactions (Kobe and Deisenhofer, 1994, 1995), while the GPI lipid tail affords a high degree of lateral mobility and may facilitate biological responses through interactions with transmembrane signaling molecules (Brown, 1993; Sharom and Lehto, 2002). GPI-linked proteins are often concentrated in lipid rafts in the vicinity of caveolae (Mayor et al., 1994; Schnitzer et al., 1995; Liu et al., 1997; Fielding and Fielding, 2003). Lipid rafts and caveolae are plasma membrane microdomains that contain abundant cholesterol, glycosphingolipids and signaling molecules, but are distinguished by the fact that only caveolae are enriched in caveolins (Lisanti et al., 1994). Caveolins are small hairpin-shaped molecules that provide the structural backbone of caveolae vesicles (Lisanti et al., 1993) and have diverse effects on signal transduction (Okamoto et al., 1998). Caveolin-1 (Cav-1) [24 (α) kD and 21 (β) kD isoforms], the most prevalent isoform, binds to itself to form a multimeric complex (Fraioli et al., 1995), serving as a scaffold for a variety of signaling molecules (Sargiacomo et al., 1995). Whether a component of lipid rafts or caveolae, GPI-linked proteins are thought to modulate signaling pathways through signaling complexes enriched in these membrane microdomains (Abrami et al., 2001; Massimino et al., 2002; Fielding and Fielding, 2003).

Myelin consists of a complex bioarchitecture that includes compact lamellae and looser membrane structures such as periaxonal membranes and paranodal loops (Trapp et al., 2004). While myelin serves an insulative function, it is also physiologically active and in close contact with the axons it ensheathes. In fact, rigorous myelin subfractionation procedures demonstrate copurification of myelin and axolemmal proteins, termed the myelin–axolemmal complex, reflecting high-affinity interactions (Menon et al., 2003). Within myelin, lipid raft domains are likely to compartmentalize signal transduction pathways that involve proteins such as 2’,3’-cyclic nucleotide 3’-phosphodiesterase (CNP) and myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG) (Kim and Pfeiffer, 1999). Here we compare the detergent solubility and membrane subfractionation profile of OMgp with that of other myelin proteins. We show that OMgp is a component of lipid rafts, in part colocalizes with and interacts with Cav-1, and is a constituent of the myelin–axolemmal complex, and we discuss implications for the possible physiological function of OMgp and its proposed function as an inhibitor of neurite growth (Kottis et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2002).
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Antibodies

A mouse monoclonal antibody (mAb) and affinity-purified rabbit polyclonal antibody (pAb) against Cav-1 were purchased from Transduction Laboratories (C37120 and C13630, respectively; Lexington, KY). Rabbit anti-myelin-associated glycoprotein (MAG) pAb and rat anti-myelin basic protein (MBP) mAb were generous gifts from Dr. J. Salzer (New York University, New York, NY), and Dr. N. Groome (Oxford University, Headington, UK), respectively. An anti-CNP mAb was purchased from Sternberger Monoclonals (SMI91; Lutherville, MD), while anti-NG2 pAb was obtained from Chemicon International (AB5320; Temecula, CA). Antibodies against voltage gated sodium channels, Caspr-1, and potassium channels (Kv1.2) were kindly provided by Dr. M. Rasband (University of Connecticut, Farmington, CT). Our rabbit anti-OMgp pAb was previously described (Mikol and Stefansson, 1988). In addition, we generated rabbit pAb against a multiple antigenic peptide (Posnett and Tam, 1990b) (accession numbers Q63912 and X51694.1, respectively). OMgp-pep (LLDKSDTAYQWNLKYLDVSKN, residues 89–109) was generated in the University of Michigan Protein Structure Core Facility. Antigenicity analysis determined that OMgp-pep, contained within the LRR, was likely to be the most immunogenic region within OMgp. Antibodies against OMgp-pep were affinity-purified on an agarose-OMgp-pep column that was prepared using Affigel 10 (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA).

Cell and Tissue Sources

Stripped adult rat brains or mouse brains were purchased from Pel-Freez (Rogers, AR). The human oligodendrocytic cell line M03.13 (McLaurin et al., 1995) was generously provided by Dr. N. Cashman (University of Toronto, Toronto, ONT, Canada). In general, cells were grown at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with 10% CO₂. Before analysis, M03.13 cells were grown to ~80% confluency.

Detergent Extractions, Myelin Preparation, and Membrane Fractionation

Rat brains placed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; ~0.05 g/ml) plus 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonylfluoride (PMSF) and protease inhibitor cocktail (Boehringer-Mannheim, Indianapolis, IN) with or without detergent at 4°C were disrupted using a Dounce homogenizer (6–8 strokes each loose pestle followed by tight pestle) and centrifuged at 100,000g for 1 h. Supernatant and pellet fractions were collected for analysis; the pellet fraction was further homogenized separately in one of three detergents: Triton X-100 (TX-100) at 1% (vol/vol), octylglucopyranoside (OCTG) at 60 mM, and 3-[(3-cholamidopropyl)dimethylammonio]-1-propane sulfonate (CHAPS) at 30 mM. After centrifuging at 100,000g for 1 h, detergent soluble and insoluble fractions were collected for immunoblot analysis.

Compact myelin membranes were first prepared from rat brain by the standard method of Norton and Poduslo (1973) at 4°C in the presence of 1 mM PMSF and protease inhibitor cocktail (Boehringer-Mannheim), and fractions were collected at different stages in the isolation procedure. In recent years, it has become apparent that distinct myelin compartments exist, and it has become possible to analyze myelin membranes in greater detail. Thus, in separate experiments, more comprehensive subfractions of mouse brain myelin were prepared according to the procedure of Menon et al. (2003), with all steps carried out at 4°C. Here, P30 day-old mouse brains were dissected and homogenized in 0.32 M sucrose (5% w/v) using a Dounce homogenizer (15 strokes), and homogenates (18 ml) were layered over 0.85 M sucrose (18 ml) in each of four 40-ml centrifuge tubes, which were then centrifuged at 140,000g for 1 h in a Beckman SW28 rotor. Thirteen fractions were collected from gradient I: fraction 1 (6 ml), fractions 2–12 (2 ml each), fraction 13 (7 ml), and a pellet. Fractions 7–9 (light band) and fractions 10–13 (dispersed band) were pooled and diluted with 10 mM EGTA in water at pH 7.5. This sample was then homogenized (15 strokes) and centrifuged at 200,000g for 20 min (Beckman Ti 50.2). Pellets were resuspended in 10 mM EGTA (pH 7.5), homogenized, stirred for 15 min and centrifuged at 35,000g for 15 min (Sorvall SS-34). This was repeated once. The final pellets were resuspended in 18 ml 0.85 M sucrose and homogenized (15 strokes). A single discontinuous sucrose gradient was prepared with 2 ml 1 M sucrose, 18 ml 0.85 M sucrose/homogenate, 18 ml 0.32 M sucrose and centrifuged at 140,000g for 90 min. This constitutes gradient II.

Fourteen fractions were collected from gradient II: fraction 1 (6 ml), fractions 2–12 (2 ml each), fraction 13 (8 ml), fraction 14 (3 ml), and a pellet. Fractions 8–9 (light density) and 10–13 (dispersed band) were combined and diluted with 2 mM EGTA in water (pH 7.5), homogenized (15 strokes), and centrifuged at 200,000g for 20 min. Pellets were resuspended in 120 ml 2 mM EGTA, homogenized (no stirring) and centrifuged at 35,000g for 15 min. Pellets were resuspended in 12 ml 0.85 M sucrose and homogenized. A single discontinuous gradient was prepared with 12 ml 0.85 M sucrose/homogenate, 12 ml 0.75 M sucrose, 12 ml 0.32 M sucrose and centrifuged at 140,000g for 16 h; 1-ml fractions were collected and diluted with 2 vol of 2 mM EGTA (pH 7.5), and membranes were collected by centrifugation at 35,000g for 15 min. Pellets were resuspended in 0.5 ml of 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) plus protease inhibitor cocktail. Protein concentration was determined using a Protein Assay kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories); fractions 1–8 had very little detectable protein. Samples were pelleted by centrifugation and solubilized in 50 mM Tris-HCl, 5% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 4 M urea (pH 6.8), and
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then mixed with sample buffer (Laemmli, 1970), and 5 μg/well of protein (2.5 μg/well for CNP, MBP, Caspr-1) was loaded without boiling on 5% or 12% sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) gels for immunoblot analysis.

Caveolin-enriched membranes (CEMs) were prepared from rat brain or MO3.13 cells by one of two discontinuous density-gradient centrifugation methods, as previously described (Mikol et al., 1999). While both methods take advantage of the buoyancy of CEMs, one also involves the use of nonionic detergent, given the detergent insolubility of CEMs (Lisanti et al., 1995); the other uses sonication without detergent (Song et al., 1996). Using either method, CEMs fractionate near the 15–25% sucrose interface (Mikol et al., 1999). Generally, the detergent-free method is preferred, as use of detergents can artfactually result in both the loss of protein association with CEMs, as well as the apparent concentration of other molecules, such as GPI-linked proteins (Schnitzer et al., 1995; Liu et al., 1997). Briefly, ~0.75 g rat brain or two 150 mm plates of MO3.13 cells were used per 12-ml centrifuge tube, whether the detergent-based or detergent-free protocol was followed. In either case, after saving an aliquot of the initial homogenate, 6 ml of lysate in 40% sucrose was placed in a 12-ml centrifuge tube and overlaid sequentially with 2 ml each 25% sucrose, 15% sucrose, and 5% sucrose, then centrifuged at 200,000 g for 18–20 h. After centrifugation, 12 equal-volume (1-ml) aliquots from the top to bottom of the centrifuge tube were collected, as was the insoluble pellet, for immunoblot analysis.

**Immunoprecipitations, SDS-PAGE, and Immunoblotting**

For immunoblotting purposes, cell and tissue lysates were generally solubilized with RIPA (20 mM Tris, 0.16 M NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1% TX-100, 1% Na deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 1 mM Na3VO4 [pH 7.2]) + protease inhibitors. For immunoprecipitations, 60 mM OCTG was used as the sole detergent, as this confers greater solubility to Cav-1 and Cav-1-associated proteins (Lisanti et al., 1995; Song et al., 1996). For immunoprecipitations, lysates were sonicated, centrifuged to remove particulates and insoluble membranes, and incubated for 30 min with protein A/G PLUS-agarose (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA). Soluble portions were mixed end-over-end overnight at 4°C with antibody to the protein of interest or pre-immune sera, and immune complexes were then precipitated with protein A/G PLUS-agarose for 2 h. Brain lysates, MO3.13 cell fractions, washed immunoprecipitates or pre-stained molecular mass standards (Amersham Life Science, Arlington Heights, IL) were electrophoretically separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred at 100 V for 1 h onto Protran nitrocellulose membranes (Schleicher and Schuell, Keene, NH), and blocked overnight with 4% bovine serum albumin (for mAbs) or 5% nonfat powdered milk (for pAbs) in TBST (10 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 0.05% Tween-20). Membranes were incubated with the primary antibody of interest in TBST overnight, washed 3 × 10 min in TBST, incubated for 1 h with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), again washed extensively, and finally visualized with enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) reagents (Amersham, Piscataway, NJ). Parallel immunoblots were incubated in the absence of primary antibody (conjugate controls), and positive and negative control lysates were immunoblotted along with test lysates in order to verify specific binding.

**RESULTS**

While OMgp, MAG, and MBP are all CNS myelin proteins, they are differentially distributed in myelin membrane fractions. As shown in Figure 1, using a standard method of myelin membrane preparation from rat brain, OMgp is much more enriched in the intermediate osmotic shock fraction, which contains primarily loose myelin membranes and myelin fragments, as well as membranes from other cell types, as compared with the final preparation, which contains predominantly compact myelin membranes (Norton and Poduslo, 1973). By comparison, MBP is enriched in compact myelin and MAG is found in both compartments to a roughly equal extent as compared with the total brain homogenate. These biochemical findings indicate that the three myelin proteins are distributed preferentially in different membrane domains.

OMgp, MAG, and MBP also differ in terms of their buffer and detergent solubilities. OMgp, a GPI-linked myelin protein, almost exclusively partitions in the membrane fraction of rat brain (Fig. 2), in keeping with earlier studies of human brain OMgp (Mikol and Stefansson, 1988). A very small fraction of OMgp is soluble, which presumably reflects protein released by endogenous phosphatidylinositol-specific phospholipase-C. For comparison purposes, Cav-1 was examined. It is insoluble in buffer, consistent with its membrane structure. MBP is also insoluble in buffer, reflecting its behavior as an extrinsic protein tightly bound by ionic interactions that require high ionic strength to disrupt (Smith and Braun, 1988). A small amount of MAG is soluble in buffer alone, is slightly smaller than full-length MAG, and likely represents proteolytically released dMAG (Sato et al., 1984). The 100,000g insoluble membrane pellet was next separately homogenized in three nonionic detergents: TX-100, OCTG, and CHAPS. OCTG and CHAPS structurally resemble glycosphingolipids and cholesterol, respectively, and have been found to solubilize GPI-linked proteins better than many nonionic detergents (Hooper and Turner, 1988). As shown in Figure 2, OMgp remains insoluble in TX-100 despite the fact that its buoyancy characteristics change in the presence of this detergent (see Fig. 6). OMgp is quite soluble in OCTG, as previously noted (Kottis et al., 2002) and, to a slightly lesser extent, in CHAPS. Of note, OMgp TX-100 solubility increases when membranes are...
incubated at 37°C, which is a feature of lipid raft membranes (not shown) (Kim and Pfeiffer, 1999). By comparison, MBP is at least equally soluble in TX-100 as in OCTG or CHAPS. The detergent solubility characteristics of MAG are similar to those of MBP, in that both are roughly equally soluble in all three detergents, although MAG is generally more detergent soluble. The detergent solubility profile of Cav-1 is similar to that of OMgp, with the exception that OMgp is more soluble in CHAPS than is Cav-1. These findings support the view that OMgp has solubility characteristics distinct from MAG and MBP.

We then carried out more definitive experiments to determine whether OMgp is contained within lipid raft membranes of myelin, using established procedures that begin with extraction of mouse myelin (Kim and Pfeiffer, 1999). First, rat brain myelin was centrifuged in sucrose gradients after TX-100 extraction. Immunoblot analysis of fractions shows that OMgp, like CNP, floats to the lower density fractions of the sucrose gradient (Fig. 3A). Purified mouse myelin was also extracted with TX-100 into insoluble and soluble fractions (Fig. 3B). As is the case for CNP, a subpopulation of OMgp is insoluble in TX-100. OMgp from purified myelin is relatively more TX-100 soluble as compared with whole brain extraction (see Fig. 2), which likely reflects the fact that more manipulative steps have been carried out in first obtaining myelin, and that the membrane environment of...
OMgp in isolated myelin differs somewhat from that in whole brain. In any case, the solubility pattern seen here for both OMgp and CNP is similar to that observed by Kim and Pfeiffer for CNP (1999). Finally, pretreatment of purified myelin with 0.2% saponin at 4°C followed by centrifugation separated a soluble fraction S1 from an insoluble fraction that was further extracted with TX-100 at 4°C and centrifuged into detergent-soluble supernatant S2 and insoluble pellet (P2). Both OMgp and CNP are found in supernatant S2, a requirement of DIGCEM-associated proteins.

OMgp in isolated myelin differs somewhat from that in whole brain. In any case, the solubility pattern seen here for both OMgp and CNP is similar to that observed by Kim and Pfeiffer for CNP (1999). Finally, pretreatment of purified myelin with 0.2% saponin at 4°C followed by centrifugation, generated an insoluble fraction that was further extracted with TX-100 at 4°C and centrifuged to yield a detergent soluble supernatant (S2) containing both OMgp and CNP, and a pellet (P2) partially depleted of OMgp and CNP. This latter observation is in accord with the report of Kim and Pfeiffer (1999), except that in our hands, using mouse myelin, the depletion of OMgp and CNP was not as complete as from rat myelin. Thus OMgp is associated with detergent-insoluble, glycosphingolipid/cholesterol-enriched microdomains (DIGCEM) and is a raft-associated protein.

We sought to dissect the membrane localization of OMgp further by preparing fractions according to Menon et al. (2003). We included in our marker profile Cav-1 and NG2, the latter being a proteoglycan expressed by glial progenitor cells (Chang et al., 2000; Dawson et al., 2003; Aguirre et al., 2004). In the absence of quantification data for individual proteins, it is still possible to interpret the profile visually by comparing band intensities amongst fractions, given that each lane represents a constant amount of protein taken from the fractions. As shown in Figure 4, OMgp is present to some extent in every subfraction of the myelin preparation, but it is relatively most abundant in the pellet, which is enriched in Caspr-1 and sodium and potassium channels. These results reinforce those of Menon et al. (2003), in that axolemmal components are retained in purified “myelin” and demonstrate that OMgp is a component of the myelin–axolemmal complex, or possibly of OMgp-associated membranes that adhere to this complex. Likewise, NG2-associated membranes bind to myelin–axolemmal components, an observation not previously noted. As for OMgp and the sodium channel, NG2 is more concentrated in the very dense and pellet fractions.

To investigate partitioning of OMgp in Cav-1-enriched membranes, CEMs were prepared from rat brain using either the TX-100 (Fig. 5A) or detergent-free sodium carbonate method (Fig. 5B), or from MO3.13 cells using the sodium carbonate method (Fig. 5C), as detailed in the Methods. Using either method, buoyant CEMs partition near the 15%/25% sucrose interface (Lisanti et al., 1995; Song et al., 1996). OMgp is highly enriched within rat brain CEMs prepared by the TX-100 method, which in part might reflect artificial partitioning of a GPI-linked
protein within lipid rafts in the presence of TX-100 (Schnitzer et al., 1995). Using the detergent-free method of CEM preparation, OMgp is largely in fractions 7–12 (40% sucrose layer), although a smaller fraction is clearly contained within CEMs from rat brain by either the TX-100 (A) or detergent-free (B) methods (fractions 1, 2: 5% sucrose; fractions 3, 4: 15% sucrose; fractions 5, 6: 25% sucrose; fractions 7–12: 40% sucrose). As shown in A, OMgp and caveolin-1 (Cav-1) are enriched in CEMs using the TX-100 method. Using the detergent-free method, the bulk of Cav-1 and a fraction of OMgp are detected in CEMs near the 15%/25% sucrose gradient (B). OMgp appears to be more buoyant in the presence of TX-100, but nonetheless a fraction of OMgp is contained within detergent-free CEMs, as shown by its presence in fractions 4–6. Using the detergent-free method of preparing CEMs, OMgp is highly enriched in CEMs from MO3.13 human oligodendrocytic cells (C). OMgp, as detected by anti-OMgp-pep, is present in the same fractions as Cav-1. On this high percentage (15%) acrylamide gel, Cav-1 separates into its two isoforms, 24 kD (α) and 21 kD (β).

Cav-1-enriched domains may be a dynamic process (Mineo et al., 1999; Chapman et al., 1999).

The human OLG-related cell line MO3.13 expresses OMgp and other myelin proteins such as MBP (McLaurin et al., 1995) but does not make myelin. As shown in Figure 5C, OMgp is enriched within CEMs of MO3.13 cells using the detergent-free method. Here Cav-1 appears as a doublet due to the higher gel concentration (15% acrylamide); when using lower concentrations (<10% acrylamide), Cav-1 is generally seen as a single band (Mikol et al., 2002). The above results indicate that OMgp associates with Cav-1-enriched membranes, but does not imply direct or indirect interactions between the two proteins. Using MO3.13 cell lysates and brain homogenates, we show that OMgp co-immunoprecipitates with Cav-1 (Fig. 6). Given that both Cav-1 and OMgp are highly soluble in OCTG, this detergent was utilized for immunoprecipitations. This association with Cav-1 is likely to be indirect in view of the anchorage of OMgp in the outer leaflet of the plasma membrane and suggests that OMgp associates with the Cav-1 complex through intermediary proteins or lipids.

**DISCUSSION**

Glycosphingolipids, cholesterol, and GPI-linked proteins cluster together in distinct domains of the outer leaflet of the plasma membrane, termed lipid rafts, in which specific proteins are included while others are excluded. Lipid rafts are characterized by insolubility in certain detergents such as TX-100 at 4°C (Schroeder et al., 1994; Ahmed et al., 1997), and it is now clear that such microdomains exist in living cells (Kurzchalia and Parton, 1999), including OLGs (Taylor et al., 2002), where their functions are thought to include concen-

Fractionation of OMgp during myelin purification and detergent solubility profile differ from two other myelin proteins, MAG and MBP (Figs. 1 and 2). Moreover, using several criteria, OMgp is found in DIGCEM or lipid rafts (Figs. 3 and 4). Although we have not used their full complement of membrane markers, we have reproduced the observations of Menon et al. (2003) regarding the myelin and axolemmal proteins that are normally present in conventional mouse myelin preparations, for which “myelin purity” is often assumed. OMgp is present to some extent in every subfraction of the preparation, but it is relatively abundant in the pellet at the bottom of the gradient, where the total protein content comprises 25% of the protein applied to this gradient. In other words, a significant amount of OMgp is associated with membrane elements that are relatively depleted of the myelin proteins MBP and CNP but enriched in elements of the axolemma (voltage-gated sodium channels; Caspr-1; potassium channels).

Given the paucity of lamellar myelin components in the pellet, these findings indicate that OMgp is either present in the axolemma or in membrane elements of oligodendroglial origin that remain tightly associated with the axolemma, consistent with the observations of Menon et al. (2003), or both. The cellular origin(s) of OMgp are ambiguous. OMgp was originally named for its expression by OLGs and concentration within myelin membranes (Mikol and Stefansson, 1988; Habib et al., 1998b). It may be expressed to some extent by neurons as well (Habib et al., 1998b), but we have been unable to detect it in cultured neurons (mouse cerebellar granule cells, anterior horn cells; P.E. Braun and D.D. Mikol, unpublished observations). Further work is needed to clarify the degree of OMgp expression by different neural populations, particularly during development, as pointed out in a recent review on OMgp (Vourc’h and Andres, 2004).

Enrichment of Cav-1 in the pellet of the myelin subfractionation procedure (Fig. 4), a component of specialized membrane domains related to “lipid rafts,” is concordant with our data showing that OMgp associates with caveolar and lipid raft membranes. OMgp is found in all subfractions, but is enriched where the “lightest” myelin elements occur (fractions 9–14) with the lowest protein content, and where there is the lowest abundance of (1) Na+ channels; (2) K+ channels; and (3) Cav-1. This may reflect the presence of OMgp in membranes that are not part of the myelin organelle, but that adhere to it. NO2 is not a protein of myelinating OLGs, but rather of glial progenitor cells, and it does not appear to associate as well with lamellar myelin components as with membranes in the pellet. The finding of Cav-1 in the myelin–axolemmal complex is not surprising, in that Cav-1 is known to regulate diverse signaling molecules. It is likely that Cav-1 is localized in part to the paranodal membranes, which is in keeping with our findings from peripheral nerve myelin (Mikol et al., 1999).

Cav-1 has previously been identified in cultured OLGs and myelin sheets (Arvanitis et al., 2004). Using either method of CEM preparation, Cav-1 and OMgp are contained in buoyant membranes from rat brain and MO3.13 cells (Fig. 5). CEMs share many of the features of caveolae (Lisanti et al., 1995; Song et al., 1996), including enrichment in caveolin, cholesterol, glycosphingolipids, and a variety of signal-transducing components (Sargiacomo et al., 1993; Lisanti et al., 1994b). Caveolae do not equate with lipid rafts, but can be considered a subset of them (Hooper, 1999; Kurzchalia and Parton, 1999; Fielding and Fielding, 2003). In the presence of caveolin, these membrane domains can invaginate to form uniformly sized (50–100-nm) vesicles (Okamoto et al., 1998). A “caveolae signaling hypothesis” proposes that compartmentalization of signaling molecules within caveolae allows for efficient coupling of activated receptors to a number of different effector systems (Sargiacomo et al., 1993; Lisanti et al., 1994a; Okamoto et al., 1998). These data support the view that, within the OLG membrane, OMgp exists in an environment rich in signaling complexes.

OMgp is present in CEMs and does, at least in part, co-immunoprecipitate with Cav-1 from MO3.13 cells (Fig. 6). Interestingly, using the detergent-free method, OMgp is more enriched in CEMs from MO3.13 cells than rat brain. We hypothesize that OMgp is closely associated with OLIG lipid rafts, but that its association with Cav-1-enriched membranes might vary depending upon a variety of factors, including degree of Cav-1 expression, cellular metabolic state, level of differentiation, and degree of OMgp clustering (through interactions with other molecules). We have previously shown that Cav-1 expression by Schwann cells increases during development and decreases following nerve transection (Mikol et al., 1999, 2002), which is consistent with findings in non-neural cell types that Cav-1 expression increases during cellular differentiation and decreases upon dedifferentiation (Fan et al., 1983; Scherer et al., 1995; Koleske et al., 1995; Lee et al., 1998). It is also possible that the lipid raft environment differs in immature, nonmyelinating vs. differentiated, myelinating OLGs. Our observation that a subpopulation of OMgp is contained in lipid rafts is in keeping with data showing that ∼40% of CNP and MOG are associated with lipid rafts (Kim and Pfeiffer, 1999). It is hypothesized that the content of lipid rafts is dynamic, reflecting the activation/inactivation of various signaling pathways. The concentration of at least several proteins has been shown to fluctuate within lipid rafts or caveolae, depending upon cellular physiological state or presence/absence of cross-linking (Mineo et al., 1999; Muller et al., 2002; Marta et al., 2003).

The physiological role of OMgp remains largely unknown. One proposed function is a growth suppressive effect (Habib et al., 1998a), and recently, the LRRs of OMgp have been shown to be important for mediating this
property (Vourc'h et al., 2003). This finding is of interest, as the tumor suppressor protein neurofibromin is also growth suppressive (Johnson et al., 1994). The OMgp gene is contained within a large intron of the neurofibromatosis I gene (Viskochil et al., 1991) that encodes neurofibromin, and it has been suggested that this complex genetic arrangement may have evolved to enable coordinated expression of two proteins that influence related signaling pathways (Habib et al., 1998a). While the signaling components affected by OMgp have not been fully elucidated, our preliminary results show that OMgp and neurofibromin regulate similar signaling pathways such as mitogen-activated protein kinase and focal adhesion kinase, and transfection of OMgp into NIH-3T3 cells results in tyrosine phosphorylation of neurofibromin (M. Boyanapalli and D.D. Miko unpublished observations). OMgp has also been shown to be a potent inhibitor of neurite outgrowth (Kottis et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2002). Whether this has physiological relevance remains uncertain, although OMgp might possibly inhibit neuronal sprouting at the paranode, where OMgp appears to be localized (Mikol et al., 1999). OMgp is expressed relatively late in development, and its recently identified inhibitory effects on neurite outgrowth might simply represent extension of a physiological role in inhibiting sprouting of myelinated axons.

Given its ectomembrane structure, OMgp might interact with other molecules (Mikol et al., 1990b). Of note, co-immunoprecipitation of OMgp with Cav-1 (Fig. 6) likely reflects the presence of an as yet unidentified intermediary molecule, as OMgp is GPI-linked. Through its LRR or perhaps some other domain, it is possible that OMgp binds to (1) diffusible or matrix proteins, (2) protein(s) on other cells, or (3) protein(s) on the same cell. The latter is necessary if OMgp is involved in signal transduction pathways. GPI-linked proteins are thought to be present within lipid rafts in the vicinity of caveolin domains, and upon ligand binding, might become sequestered into caveolin-associated membrane domains (Kasahara and Sanai, 2000). Further, cross-linking of GPI-anchored proteins has been shown to activate signaling pathways, such as those involving src-family kinases (Brown, 1993; Mayor et al., 1994; Kasahara and Sanai, 2000). It is conceivable that, consequent to protein–protein interactions, OMgp becomes clustered, triggering signaling events within the OL. At present, the only protein with which OMgp is known to interact is the Nogo receptor, a GPI-linked protein with LRRs that is expressed by neurons (Wang et al., 2002). It is unknown whether Nogo receptor binding induces clustering of OMgp.

OMgp is almost entirely membrane-associated, reflecting its GPI attachment. However, through the activation of an endogenous phospholipase, which might occur, for example, consequent to immune activation (Locati et al., 2001), OMgp could be released as a soluble form. Of the other identified neurite growth inhibitors, both MAG and the Nogo receptor might also be solubilized, the Nogo receptor via phospholipase, and MAG through proteolytic action. There is, in fact, some evidence for the latter (Sato et al., 1984). We hypothesize that membrane-associated OMgp, Nogo receptor and MAG might be focally released in certain disease states, particularly those associated with inflammation, such as multiple sclerosis. Soluble forms of these proteins might then have paracrine effects, resulting in inhibition of axonal regrowth.

In summary, we show that OMgp is a component of lipid rafts and in part associates with Cav-1, and that both are components of the myelin–axolemmal complex. We speculate that OMgp is a GPI-linked neural protein that interacts with Cav-1 and other unidentified membrane proteins and modulates signaling pathways at the myelin–axolemmal interface. Ongoing studies will further explore signaling pathways involving OMgp and the neural cell types affected.
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