Relationship Between Structure and Function of Neurons in the Rat Rostral Nucleus Tractus Solitarii
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ABSTRACT
To investigate the relationship between the structure and function of neurons in the rostral (gustatory) nucleus tractus solitarii (rNTS), we analyzed the morphological and biophysical properties of rNTS neurons by performing whole-cell recordings in a brain slice preparation. Overall, neurons (n = 58) had a mean somal diameter of 16 μm, an average dendritic length of 598 μm, an average dendritic thickness of 0.91 μm, and a spine density of 0.037 spines/μm. Neurons were separated into three groups (elongate, multipolar, and ovoid) on the basis of previously established morphological criteria. The highest percentage (49%) of neurons were classified as ovoid, while 35% were multipolar and only 16% were elongate.

The most frequently observed firing pattern, in all three cell types, elicited by a 1,200 ms, 100 pA depolarizing current pulse was a regularly firing spike train. However, the intrinsic firing properties of the remaining neurons were different. Thirty-one percent of the ovoid neurons responded with a short burst of action potentials and 44% of the elongate neurons showed a delay in the onset of the spike train following a hyperpolarizing prepulse. Less than 16% of the multipolar neurons demonstrated either of these firing characteristics.

Therefore, rNTS neurons with similar morphology do not have unique biophysical properties. However, the data suggest that there may be subpopulations of the three morphological types, each of which displays a different firing pattern. Since the structure and function of the three morphological groups were not strictly correlated, these subpopulations may represent functional groups.
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Multipolar (stellate) neurons have a triangular or polygonal-shaped soma and three to five primary dendrites; elongate ( fusiform ) neurons are characterized by an elongated soma and two thick primary dendrites that exit the cell body at opposite poles; and ovoid neurons have small somal diameters and two to four primary dendrites that are thin and sparsely branched. Based on neural tracing studies these different neuron types have been demonstrated to have different projection patterns. Multipolar and elongate neurons project rostrally to the pontine gustatory relay ( Lasiter and Kachele, 1988 ; Whitehead, 1990 ). Multipolar neurons in the ventral part of rNTS project to the reticular formation and the motor nuclei of cranial nerves V, VII, IX, X, and XII ( Norgren, 1978 ; Travers, 1988 ; Beckman and Whitehead, 1991 ). Thus, rostrally directed neurons are believed to be important in processing and relaying gustatory information while caudally connected neurons are presumably involved in the reflex control of salivation and ingestive behavior ( Beckman and Whitehead, 1991 ). The ovoid neurons are believed to be interneurons because they are relatively small, and in labeling studies they do not project to the pontine taste relay, the caudal NTS, or the medullary reticular formation ( Lasiter and Kachele, 1988 ; Davis, 1993 ).

Neurons of rNTS have also been separated into four different groups based on their repetitive firing properties ( Bradley and Sweazeyp, 1992 ). However, the morphology of these neurons was not determined so that the biophysical properties of intrinsic and projection neurons is unknown. We therefore used whole-cell recordings in brain slices of rNTS combined with intracellular labeling to determine the intrinsic firing properties of morphologically identified neurons. This information is important in understanding the neural circuits involved in processing gustatory information at the first central relay in the taste pathway. Some of these results have been presented in preliminary form ( King and Bradley, 1993a,b).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Brain slice preparation

Brain slices containing the rostral 1.6 mm of the NTS were obtained from 45 female Sprague-Dawley rats ( Charles River ) weighing 125 to 175 grams, as previously described ( Bradley and Sweazeyp, 1990, 1992 ). Rats anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (50 mg/kg) were decapitated and the brainstem quickly removed and cooled in physiological saline for 2 minutes. The physiological saline contained (in mM) NaCl 124, KCl 5, CaCl₂ 2.5, MgSO₄ 1.3, NaHCO₃ 26, KH₂PO₄ 1.25, and dextrose 25. The solution was gassed with 95% O₂ and 5% CO₂ to maintain the pH at 7.4. After cooling, the brainstem was secured onto the stage of a Vibratome with cyanoacrylate glue and cut into 400 μm thick coronal or sagittal slices or 300 μm thick horizontal slices. Before recording, the slices were incubated for at least 1 hour in physiological saline at room temperature. During recordings, slices were secured in a chamber with nylon mesh and continuously superfused with oxygenated saline flowing at 1.5 to 2 ml/min. By a system of valves, physiological saline containing 1 M substance P (SP), 1 mM aspartate (NMDA; all obtained from Sigma), or 100 μM N-methyl-D-aspartate (GABA), and 1 mM γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA), or 100 μM N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA; all obtained from Sigma), was superfused over the slice for 30 seconds, followed by superfusion with physiological saline. Throughout the experiments the temperature was maintained between 31 and 33°C.

Whole-cell recordings and biophysical measurements

Unpolished patch electrodes were pulled in two stages on a Narishige PB83 electrode puller from 1.5 mm-OD borosilicate filament glass (WPI, TW 150F-4). The electrodes had tip resistances of 5–8 MΩ when filled with a solution containing (in mM) K gluconate 130, Hepes 10, EGTA 10, MgCl₂ 1, CaCl₂ 1, ATP 2, and 1% biocytin. The pH was adjusted to 7.4 with KOH. Whole-cell recordings were made using the technique of Blanton et al. (1989), as previously described in detail ( Bradley and Sweazeyp, 1992 ). Once a giga-Ω seal was formed and the patch ruptured, current stimulation protocols were performed and voltage data were acquired using the pCLAMP program (Axon Instruments). Current was injected into neurons using the bridge circuit of an Axoclamp 1A amplifier in current clamp mode. The indifferent electrode was Ag-AgCl wire connected to the extracellular solution via an agar bridge.

Electrophysiological data were analyzed using the Clampfit program (Axon Instruments). Biophysical properties, such as input resistance, action potential amplitude, width, rise time and latency, and membrane time constants were determined by delivering a series of 100 ms hyperpolarizing and depolarizing current pulses (–100 to 75 pA). Since recordings were made with a potassium gluconate solution in the recording pipette, a junctional potential of 10 mV was subtracted from the membrane potential values ( Staley and Mody, 1992 ). To be included in the electrophysiological analysis neurons had to have a resting membrane potential more negative than –40 mV, an action potential overshoot, and an input resistance greater than 100 MΩ.

Neurons were separated into four groups on the basis of their repetitive firing pattern induced by a 1,200 ms, 100 pA depolarizing current pulse, which was given alone or preceded by a –200 pA hyperpolarizing pulse of increasing duration (50, 100, and 150 ms). The four biophysical groups have been described previously in detail ( Bradley and Sweazeyp, 1992 ). Most rNTS neurons fire a repetitive spike train throughout the long-lasting (1,200 ms) depolarizing current pulse (groups I, II, and III). In a smaller population of neurons (group IV), the discharge pattern consists of a short burst of action potentials which occurs at the onset of the depolarization. The firing patterns of group I, II, and III neurons are distinguished by hyperpolarizing the neurons prior to delivering the long depolarizing current pulse. In group I neurons, the repetitive discharge pattern initiated by membrane depolarization is changed to an irregular spike train by prior hyperpolarization. In group II neurons, hyperpolarization either delays the occurrence of the first action potential or increases the length of the first interspike interval in the action potential train produced by membrane depolarization. In group III neurons, hyperpolarization has little effect on the repetitive discharge pattern.

The effects of SP, GABA, and NMDA on neural activity of several neurons in each group was also examined (see legend to Fig. 14 for n values). In these experiments we determined that a neuron responded to a neurotransmitter if a change in membrane potential (> 1 mV) occurred with the application of the neurotransmitter.

Intracellular labeling with biocytin

To determine the morphology of the biophysically characterized neurons, 1% biocytin (Sigma; Horikawa and Armstrong, 1988) was diluted in the pipette-filling solution and...
placed in the tip of the recording pipette. The neurons were filled with biocytin by diffusion. The biocytin did not affect the biophysical properties of the neurons, as compared with our previous studies in brain slices without biocytin (Bradley and Sweazey, 1992; King et al., 1993; Wang and Bradley, 1993). Following the experiments, the seal was broken by delivering several large hyperpolarizing current pulses (~4 to ~6 nA, 50 ms; Berger et al., 1992), and the slices were removed from the recording chamber, placed on a piece of filter paper and fixed in 10% neutral-buffered formalin for at least 24 hours.

After fixation, slices were rinsed in 0.1 M phosphate buffer for 30 minutes, embedded in agar (4% in distilled H2O), and cut into 100 µm thick sections on a Vibratome. The sections were incubated for 2 hours in avidin-horseradish peroxidase (avidin-HRP; Sigma) at room temperature in the dark. The avidin-HRP was diluted 1:200 in phosphate-buffered saline containing 0.3% Triton-X (Sigma). The sections then were rinsed for 30 minutes and reacted with 0.025% diaminobenzidine and 0.01% H2O2 for 6–10 minutes. After rinsing, the sections were mounted on gelatin-coated slides, dried overnight, and coverslipped using Krystalon mounting medium (Harleco Co.). To minimize tissue shrinkage, the sections were not dehydrated in alcohols nor cleared in xylene. Of 95 neurons that were recorded, 58 (61%) were satisfactorily filled to allow characterization and reconstruction.

Reconstruction of neurons

Fifty-eight neurons were reconstructed in three dimensions using the computerized Eutectic Neuron Tracing System (Eutectic Electronics, Inc.). During reconstruction, neurons were visualized with a Zeiss 100× objective under oil (final magnification of 1,000×), enabling the identification of detailed morphological features, such as spines. Neurons were reconstructed by manually moving a cursor along the length of a neuron’s dendrites and having the computer assign an X, Y, and Z value to note position as well as a diameter to a series of points along the dendrites. The position of branch points, spine bases, and endings was also recorded. All of the neurons had processes which extended from the soma, and dendritic thickness and branching. Elongate neurons had spherical to ovoid somata and two to five thin and relatively unbranched primary dendrites. Ovoid neurons had spherical to ovoid somata and two to five thin and relatively unbranched primary dendrites. Multipolar neurons had spherical to ovoid somata with at least two primary dendrites exiting opposite poles of the cell body. Multipolar neurons had pyramidal somata with three to five primary dendrites. Ovoid neurons had spherical to ovoid somata and two to five thin and relatively unbranched primary dendrites.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analysis was conducted using the SYSTAT program. Morphological properties of different neuron groups were compared by performing one-way analysis of variance with Tukey post-hoc tests. Correlations were determined using Bonferroni probabilities and the function was defined by the least-squares method, the line was fit by linear regression, and the statistical significance was determined using Bonferroni probabilities and the function was defined by the least-squares method, the line was fit by linear regression, and the statistical significance was determined using a chi-square analysis. A chi-square analysis was used to determine whether the relative numbers of elongate, multipolar, and ovoid neurons demonstrating the firing characteristics of the four biophysical groups of neurons were significantly different. In an attempt to identify natural groupings in the data, a cluster analysis was performed using the kmeans method and Euclidean distances. Data are presented as means ± sem and statistical significance is reached when P ≤ .05.
STRUCTURE-FUNCTION OF rNTS NEURONS

A. CORONAL (n=25)
HORIZONTAL (n=29)
SAGITTAL (n=4)

Fig. 1. A: Schematic diagrams of the locations of the 58 neurons included in this study. For each slice orientation a dot represents a single neuron. For the coronal slices the approximate boundaries of the cytoarchitectonic subdivisions of the rostral nucleus tractus solitarii (rNTS) are indicated based on Whitehead’s (1988) description in the hamster. The numbers on the left of the coronal sections indicate millimeters rostral to the obex. AP, area postrema; M, medial subdivision; NTS, nucleus tractus solitarii; TS, tractus solitarius; RC, rostral central subdivision; RL, rostral lateral subdivision; V, ventral subdivision; VCn, ventral cochlear nucleus; 4V, fourth ventricle; VII, genu of the VII nerve. B: Comparison of the mean tip distance and the area of influence of the dendritic trees of neurons in coronal (C), horizontal (H), and sagittal (S) slices. Stars indicate that the values for neurons in horizontal slices were statistically greater than those for neurons in both coronal and sagittal slices.

RESULTS

Location of labeled neurons

Only neurons that were located rostral to the point where the medial border of the NTS separates from the lateral edge of the fourth ventricle were included in this study. This region is innervated by gustatory nerves (Hamilton and Norgren, 1984) and we refer to it as the rNTS. The approximate location of the 58 neurons included in this study is indicated in Figure 1A. The majority of neurons (69%), were located 1.6 to 2.0 mm rostral to obex. In the coronal slices most neurons were recorded from the rostral central subdivision, as defined in hamster (Whitehead, 1988) while the rest were located in the rostral lateral subdivision.

Morphological properties of all labeled neurons

Before separating neurons into morphological groups by visual inspection, we analyzed the morphological properties of all labeled neurons. This analysis provided a general description of the structure of rNTS neurons and was used in an attempt to separate the neurons into groups on the basis of defined morphological parameters.

Biocytin-filled neurons in the rNTS had a mean somal diameter (±sem) of 16.1 ± 4.0 µm, total dendritic length of 1,920 ± 126 µm, average dendritic length of 598 ± 36 µm, thickness of 0.91 ± 0.04 µm, and a spine density of 0.037 ± 0.003 spines/µm. On average, they had 3.4 ± 0.1 primary dendrites each of which branched an average of 2.4 ± 0.2 times. Most neurons (78%) had dendrites with frequent swellings along their length giving them a beaded appearance. This was most often observed in the thinner processes. Many of the fiber swellings (20%) had spines on them.

In general, morphological properties were not related to the orientation of the slice. However, although not statistically significant (P = .07), neurons observed in horizontal slices tended to have longer dendrites (684 ± 69 µm) than neurons in coronal slices (524 ± 38 µm). This trend is reinforced by the fact that the mean tip distance and the area of influence of neurons in horizontal slices were significantly larger than in neurons in coronal or sagittal slices (Fig. 1B). This may result from the severing of rostral-caudal extending dendrites when preparing the 400 µm thick coronal slices indicating a predominante orientation of rNTS neurons in the horizontal plane (see the section on orientation below).
neuron position within the rNTS. A: The rostral-caudal dimension of the rNTS was split into three regions: rostral (the rostral-most 400 μm; n = 91), intermediate (int; the middle 800 μm; n = 311), and caudal (the caudal-most 400 μm; n = 18). One asterisk indicates that the spine density of the more rostral neurons was significantly greater than that of the most caudal neurons. B: The medial-lateral dimension of the rNTS was split into three regions: lateral (lateral to the solitary tract; n = 7), central (within and just medial to the solitary tract; n = 43), and medial (n = 8). Two asterisks indicate that the dendritic thickness of the most medial neurons was significantly greater than that of the neurons located within the central and lateral rNTS.

A neuron’s location within the rNTS was related to several morphological features (Fig. 2). Although most differences did not reach statistical significance they may be biologically significant. More rostral and lateral neurons tended to have smaller somal diameters, thinner dendrites, smaller volumes of influence, and more spines than neurons located more medially and caudally within the rNTS. For example, the most rostral neurons had the smallest minimum soma diameter and the greatest spine density (Fig. 2A; \( P < .05 \)), and the most lateral neurons had the smallest maximum soma diameter, thinnest dendrites (Fig. 2B), and smallest dendritic volume (\( P < .05 \)).

We also examined the relationship among morphometric parameters by performing correlation analysis. Several correlations were determined, usually between related measurements such as dendritic length and area of influence. Figure 3 illustrates the relationship between somal area and dendritic thickness and volume. Neurons with larger somal areas had thicker dendrites \( (r^2 = .531; P < .001) \) and a greater dendritic volume \( (r^2 = .643; P < .001) \).

Separation of neurons into morphological groups

To classify neuron types within the rNTS we first attempted to identify groupings within the data by examining the distributions of morphological properties. All measurements displayed a unimodal distribution, as shown in Figure 4 for primary dendrite number, average dendritic length, and somal area. When examining the distribution of some morphometric parameters, occasionally a few outliers were identified (as for somal area, Fig. 4C), but this group never consisted of more than three or four neurons and did not produce a multimodal distribution. A cluster analysis also failed to identify distinct groupings in the morphometric data.

Therefore, to categorize neuron types within the rNTS we used previously established criteria where neurons are classified as elongate, multipolar, or ovoid by visual inspection of morphological features (Lasiter and Kachele, 1988; Whitehead, 1988; King and Hill, 1993). Examples of elongate, multipolar, and ovoid neurons are illustrated in Figure 5 and those neurons are reconstructed in Figure 6. Although the general morphology was consistent within groups, the size and shape of the neurons in the multipolar and elongate groups varied greatly. More specifically, although the somal shape of elongate neurons varied from spherical to fusiform, all elongate neurons had two primary dendrites that originated from opposite poles of the cell body. This is reflected in a dendritic tree origin distance/maximum soma diameter ratio close to 1 (Table 1). Multipolar neurons were distinguished by their triangular or polygonal somata with three to five primary dendrites originating almost equidistantly from the cell body. Ovoid neurons had spherical to ovoid somata with two to five thin primary dendrites. Each neuron type was located throughout the rNTS and was not restricted to a particular rostral-caudal or medial-lateral location (Fig. 7).

Morphological properties of elongate, multipolar, and ovoid neurons

Several morphological properties were significantly different among the three groups (Fig. 8; Table 1). On average,
elongate neurons had the most linear cell bodies with the greatest maximum somal diameters; the fewest, longest, and thickest primary dendrites which exited the soma at opposite ends; the shortest primary segment lengths; dendrites which terminated farthest from the soma; the most linear dendritic fields; and the fewest spines. Multipolar neurons had the most primary dendrites, the largest dendritic fields, the greatest dendritic volumes, and, the greatest spine density. Ovoid neurons had the smallest and most spherical cell bodies; the shortest, thinnest, and least branched dendrites; the smallest dendritic volumes; and the smallest volumes of influence. In addition, the distribution of spines along the dendrites of the three types of neurons was not the same (Fig. 9). While the spine density of ovoid and elongate neurons peaked between the second and fourth branch order, the higher branch orders in multipolar neurons had the greatest spine density. This increase in spine density in multipolar neurons was due to both an increase in the number of spines and shorter dendritic lengths of the higher branch order segments.

Neuronal orientation

By visual inspection of neurons in coronal and horizontal slices as well as three-dimensional rotational analysis of reconstructed neurons using the Eutectic System, we determined that rNTS neurons were preferentially oriented in the horizontal plane. Measurement of dendritic lengths revealed that 23 neurons had dendrites which extended predominantly in the rostral-caudal plane, 23 neurons had longer lengths of dendrite in the medial-lateral plane, and 12 neurons had dendrites extending predominantly in the dorsal-ventral plane. Neurons predominantly oriented in the rostral-caudal direction had longer dendrites (703 ± 68 μm) than neurons with a medial-lateral (557 ± 46 μm; \( P = .15 \)) or dorsal-ventral (474 ± 53 μm, \( P < .05 \)) orientation. The dendrites of most neurons labeled in this study (93%) remained within the rNTS, even when the soma was very close to a border of the rNTS. The four neurons with dendrites extending beyond the rNTS were in coronal slices with dendrites that either exited ventrolaterally into the
Reticular formation ($n = 3$) or dorsomedially into the medial vestibular nucleus ($n = 1$). Axons were identified as the thinnest processes exiting the soma or a primary dendrite. Axons in 30 neurons extended rostrally ($n = 8$), medially ($n = 8$), laterally ($n = 6$), caudally ($n = 4$), ventrally ($n = 3$), and dorsally ($n = 1$). The three cell types did not have a preferential axonal orientation. Most axons could only be followed a short distance and only one was observed leaving the rNTS.

The orientation angle for each neuron is shown in the scatter plots of Figure 10. In coronal slices, the dendrites of elongate and ovoid neurons had a predominantly dorsal-ventral orientation (angle near 90°), whereas those of multipolar neurons were oriented 45° from the medial-lateral direction (Fig. 10A). However, the large overlap in the scatter plots for each type of neuron indicates that this difference in the mean orientation angles was not significant. In horizontal slices, neurons of each group were oriented at an average angle of 145° from the medial axis which is approximately parallel to the solitary tract (Fig. 10B). From the scatter plots in Figure 10B, it is apparent that only three neurons were not oriented parallel to the solitary tract.

**Biophysical properties of elongate, multipolar, and ovoid neurons**

Neurons included in this study had resting membrane potentials ranging from $-40$ to $-77$ mV with a mean of $-57 \pm 2$ mV. Action potential amplitudes were between 48 and 90 mV (mean $= 71 \pm 2$ mV) with a mean duration measured at half amplitude of 1.9 ± 0.2 ms. Input resistance ranged from 122 to 1,528 MΩ and averaged 494 ± 44 MΩ. Neurons with the repetitive firing characteristics of group I ($n = 6$), group II ($n = 8$), group III ($n = 20$), and group IV ($n = 10$) were recorded.

The repetitive firing properties of an elongate, multipolar, and ovoid neuron are shown in Figures 11–13, respectively. Each figure includes a reconstruction of the neuron (A), an illustration of its location within the rNTS (B), and a dendrogram, schematically illustrating the dendritic trees of the neuron (C). Cell types can usually be identified from their dendrogram because elongate neurons have few relatively elaborate dendrites, multipolar neurons have the most dendrites which are moderately branched, and ovoid neurons have the fewest dendrites which are relatively unbranched. The electrophysiological responses of the neu-
Fig. 6. Reconstructions of the (A) elongate, (B) multipolar, and (C) ovoid neurons shown in Figure 5. The orientations are as stated in the legend to Figure 5. Identified axons are indicated by the arrows.

The passive membrane properties of the three morphological types of rNTS neurons were similar, except that the input resistance of the ovoid neurons was highest (Table 2). However, the frequency of repetitive firing patterns elicited by a 1,200 ms depolarizing current pulse, which was given alone or preceded by a hyperpolarizing pulse, was different among the morphological groups. Although no firing pattern was exclusive to all neurons in a morphological group, there were differences in the proportion of elongate, multipolar, and ovoid neurons in each of the biophysical groups (χ² = 102 for elongates, 43 for multipolars, and 24 for...
ovoids, DF = 3, P < .01; Fig. 14A). Elongate neurons had either group II (44%, Fig. 11) or III (56%) firing patterns. The majority of multipolar neurons had a group III response (47%, Fig. 12), but other multipolar neurons exhibited the firing characteristics of groups I (26%), II (16%), and IV (11%). Ovoid neurons primarily had either group III (42%) or IV (31%, Fig. 13) firing patterns and only a few of them were in groups I (8%) or II (19%).

Another biophysical property which was examined to potentially distinguish differences among the morphological groups was their responses to neurotransmitters. Substance P and NMDA have been previously identified as excitatory neurotransmitters in the rNTS (Wang et al., 1992; King et al., 1993) whereas GABA was inhibitory (Wang and Bradley, 1993). A high percentage (63% to 100%) of each morphological type of neuron responded to these neurotransmitters (Fig. 14B, see legend for n values). These results indicate that different morphological types of neurons do not respond differently to the applied neurotransmitters.

**DISCUSSION**

The current study is the first detailed investigation of the relationship between the structure and function of rNTS neurons. The main conclusion is that rNTS neurons with similar morphology do not have unique biophysical characteristics. Instead, each morphological group can be divided into subpopulations, each of which has different intrinsic firing properties. These subpopulations may define functional groups of neurons in the rNTS.

Numerous studies attempting to determine a relationship between the structure and function of neurons have been conducted within the last 10 years. Correlations have been found in several CNS regions, primarily within structures where the cell types are morphologically distinct, such as the cortex (Connors and Gutnick, 1990; Mason and Larkman, 1990), hippocampus (Kawaguchi and Hama, 1987; Lacaille et al., 1987; Scharfman, 1993), basolateral amygdala (Washburn and Moises, 1992; Rainnie et al., 1993), dorsal cochlear nucleus (Zhang and Oertel, 1993),
A. CORONAL SLICES (n=25)

B. HORIZONTAL SLICES (n=29)

Fig. 10. Illustration of the orientation of elongate (E), multipolar (M), and ovoid (O) neurons in (A) coronal and (B) horizontal slices. The orientation angle indicates the average direction in which a neuron's dendritic field extends. In the graphs, the orientation angle of individual neurons is represented by the solid circles and the mean orientation angle for each type of neuron is indicated by the X's. In both coronal and horizontal slices, 0° indicates a medial-lateral dendritic field.

Fig. 11. Morphological and biophysical properties of an elongate neuron. A: Reconstruction of the neuron. B: Location of the neuron in a horizontal slice of the NTS. The whole left side of the nucleus is outlined. Rostral is up and medial is to the right. C: A dendrogram representation of the neuron's dendritic trees. D: The response of this neuron to a 100 pA, 1,200 ms depolarizing current pulse. E: The response of the neuron to the depolarizing current pulse preceded by a −200 pA, 100 ms hyperpolarizing current pulse. Notice that this elongate neuron had the firing characteristics of group II. F: The response of this neuron to a shorter (100 ms) hyperpolarizing (−50 pA) and depolarizing (100 pA) current pulse.
Fig. 12. Morphological and biophysical properties of a multipolar neuron. A: Reconstruction of the neuron. A–D are as in Figure 11. E: The response of the neuron to the depolarizing current pulse preceded by a −200 pA, 150 ms hyperpolarizing current pulse. Notice that this multipolar neuron had the firing characteristics of group III. F: The response of this neuron to a shorter (100 ms) hyperpolarizing (−75 pA) and depolarizing (25 pA) current pulse.

Fig. 13. Morphological and biophysical properties of an ovoid neuron. A–D are as in Figure 11. E: The response of the neuron to the depolarizing current pulse preceded by a −200 pA, 150 ms hyperpolarizing current pulse. Notice that this ovoid neuron had the firing characteristics of group IV. F: The response of this neuron to a shorter (100 ms) hyperpolarizing (−50 pA) and depolarizing (25 pA) current pulse.
and olfactory bulb (Buffer et al., 1992). In contrast, a relationship between the morphology and physiology of neurons in nonlaminated structures with variable neuronal morphologies has been more difficult to define. However, correlations between structure and glucose responsiveness of neurons in the ventromedial hypothalamus (Minami et al., 1986), sensory input of spinal trigeminal neurons (Jacquin et al., 1989a,b), as well as biophysical properties and responses of neurons in the caudal (non-gustatory) NTS (Mizuno and Oomura, 1984; Champagnat et al., 1986; Dekin et al., 1987) have been reported. In the respiratory part of the caudal NTS of guinea pig, Dekin et al. (1987) proposed a strict correlation between the morphology and physiology of neurons, in which each morphological type of neuron had a unique repetitive firing pattern. However, this conclusion was based on few labeled neurons and the morphologies has been more difficult to define. However, neurons in nonlaminated structures with variable neuronal morphology and physiology may be hindered by inadequate classification of neuron groups. We attempted to reveal groupings in the data by examining the distributions of various measurements and by performing a cluster analysis, but both of these procedures failed to identify distinct neuron groups. Therefore, we used previously established criteria for classifying both morphological (Lasiter and Kachele, 1988; Whitehead, 1988; King and Hill, 1993) and biophysical (Bradley and Sweazea, 1992) groups of neurons in the rNTS.

Classification of neuron types

It is possible that identification of a relationship between morphology and physiology may be hindered by inadequate classification of neuron groups. We attempted to reveal groupings in the data by examining the distributions of various measurements and by performing a cluster analysis, but both of these procedures failed to identify distinct neuron groups. Therefore, we used previously established criteria for classifying both morphological (Lasiter and Kachele, 1988; Whitehead, 1988; Davis, 1993; King and Hill, 1993), indicating that our classifications were consistent with those previously reported. However, although some neurons had prototypic elongate, multipolar, and ovoid characteristics, others were more difficult to classify. They frequently did not have obvious elongate, multipolar, or ovoid morphologies, and instead presented subtle variations from one cell to the next. Fox and Powley (1992) came to a similar conclusion for the rat dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus and proposed differing distributions for these transmitter systems.

Table 2. Biophysical Properties of Elongate, Multipolar, and Ovoid Neurons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Elongate (n = 8)</th>
<th>Multipolar (n = 15)</th>
<th>Ovoid (n = 2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resting membrane potential (mV)</td>
<td>-58.8 ± 3.6</td>
<td>-59.2 ± 2.3</td>
<td>-56.5 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input resistance (MO)</td>
<td>41.5 ± 5.9</td>
<td>37.0 ± 5.7</td>
<td>613 ± 728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action potential amplitude (mV)</td>
<td>69.0 ± 5.1</td>
<td>71.8 ± 3.4</td>
<td>79.9 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action potential half-width (ms)</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action potential rise time (ms)</td>
<td>8.4 ± 2.9</td>
<td>14.0 ± 4.7</td>
<td>11.2 ± 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action potential latency (ms)</td>
<td>11.4 ± 3.6</td>
<td>17.6 ± 5.4</td>
<td>14.0 ± 4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time constant (ms)</td>
<td>14.6 ± 2.8</td>
<td>11.5 ± 1.8</td>
<td>16.6 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are means ± sem. Superscript letters indicate a significant difference between the multipolar (m) and ovoid (o) groups.
that the neurons do not belong to specific groups, but instead form a continuum, each cell representing a variation of a prototypic neuronal morphology. This is probably not the case in the rNTS because most neurons can be classified unequivocally and similar morphometric findings have been reported in rat (Lasiter and Kachele, 1988; King and Hill, 1993), hamster (Davis, 1988; Whitehead, 1988; Davis, 1993), and sheep (Mistretta et al., 1991).

Neurons were separated into four biophysical groups (I, II, III, and IV) on the basis of their repetitive firing patterns as previously described (Bradley and Sweazey, 1992). The different firing patterns are distinct; and consequently, the biophysical groups were easily identified. However, the intrinsic firing properties of neurons may not determine functional groups as it relates to neuron morphology. Therefore, we also evaluated the passive membrane properties and responses to neurotransmitters of rNTS neurons. It is possible, however, that a strict structure-function correlation was not determined either because we did not evaluate the appropriate biophysical property or because a combination of parameters defines functional groups. For example, responses of rNTS neurons to gustatory input may correlate to neuron morphology, but this possibility cannot be directly addressed using the slice preparation.

Structure and function of rNTS neurons

The general morphological properties of the rNTS neurons included in the current study were similar to those previously reported in rat (Lasiter and Kachele, 1988; King and Hill, 1993) and hamster (Davis, 1988; Davis and Jang, 1988; Whitehead, 1988). For example, rNTS neurons generally have simple, sparsely branched dendrites which end without extensive terminal arborizations. In addition, rNTS neurons are relatively spineless, having fewer than 13 spines per 100 μm of dendrite. Finally, overall, neurons are preferentially oriented in the horizontal plane and dendrites extend both medial-laterally and rostral-caudally, with the average angle of most neurons’ dendritic trees being roughly parallel to their primary source of input, the solitary tract.

On the other hand, several morphometric measurements in the current investigation were different from those in previous studies. A mean total dendritic length of 1,920 μm measured in biocytin-filled rNTS neurons is considerably longer than previously reported lengths in Golgi-stained preparations of the rNTS (Davis and Jang, 1988; Lasiter, 1991; King and Hill, 1993). This may be the result of more complete filling of distal dendrites with biocytin and shrinkage of the tissue caused by extensive processing during Golgi impregnation, and indicates the usefulness of biocytin as a neuronal label.

In addition, in the current study, we found several, previously unreported, anatomical differences among elongate, multipolar, and ovoid neurons (Table 1). The most striking of these is that the multipolar neurons had the greatest spine density and the elongate neurons were relatively aspiny. The distribution of spines along the dendrites of elongate, multipolar, and ovoid neurons was also different. The spine density of the most distal processes of multipolar neurons was greatest, whereas the spines on elongate and ovoid neurons were concentrated more proximally (Fig. 9). These observations suggest that multipolar neurons receive more synaptic input than the other two types and that this input is concentrated over the distal portions of their dendrites.

The biophysical characteristics of the rNTS neurons recorded in the current study were similar to those in our previous investigations in brain slices (Bradley and Sweazey, 1992; King et al., 1993; Wang and Bradley, 1993). When the biophysical properties of morphologically identified cell types were compared it was found that neurons with a particular morphology did not have unique passive membrane properties (Fig. 14A) nor did they respond differently to SP, NMDA, and GABA (Fig. 14B). Instead, each group contained neurons having different biophysical properties. For example, the passive membrane properties of neurons in each morphological group were variable. The only consistent difference among groups was that the ovoid neurons had the highest input resistance. In addition, neurons in each morphological group did not have identical intrinsic firing properties. Elongate neurons displayed two of the four repetitive firing patterns. Therefore, elongate neurons could be split into two subgroups, one in which the repetitive spike train was delayed by hyperpolarization (group II) and one in which it was not (group III). On the other hand, multipolar and ovoid neurons exhibited all four firing patterns. Thus, each morphological group could be divided into subpopulations, each having different intrinsic firing properties, and possibly representing functionally distinct groups. Finally, the morphological groups could not be distinguished by their responses to neurotransmitters.

Based on projection patterns, functional roles have been proposed for the cell types in the rNTS. Since elongate and multipolar neurons are retrogradely labeled following injection of tracers into the pons and brainstem (Lasiter and Kachele, 1988; Whitehead, 1990, 1993), they have been classified as the principal or projection neurons within the nucleus. On the other hand, because the ovoid neurons are not labeled following these injections they have been classified as interneurons. However, projection patterns only indicate where neurons send their output and does not indicate how neurons process sensory information. Thus, it is not surprising that principal cells and interneurons have biophysical properties unrelated to their morphology. For example, the principal neurons that project to the pons and to brainstem motor nuclei may have different biophysical properties even though they have a similar morphology. In addition, interneurons that contain different neurotransmitters or are part of different local circuits may have different firing properties. For example, 18% of the ovoid neurons in the rNTS stain positively for GABA (Lasiter and Kachele, 1988; Davis, 1993) suggesting that subpopulations of interneurons exist in the rNTS. This suggestion is supported by the current study in which the ovoid neurons had the firing patterns of all four biophysical groups. The lack of a relationship between structure and repetitive firing pattern leads to the conclusion that subpopulations of neurons exist in the rNTS, often with similar morphologies but with potentially different functional roles.

Implications for the functional organization of the rNTS

The current investigation was designed as an initial step toward understanding the neural circuits involved in processing gustatory information within the rat brainstem. These and other studies have led to the development of a working hypothesis of the neural circuitry within the rNTS (Bradley, 1993). The current analysis may have important implications for the functional organization of the rNTS.
First, the long dendrites measured in the current study suggest that individual neurons could span a large region of the rNTS, and consequently, receive input from both the facial and glossopharyngeal nerves. Therefore, individual rNTS neurons may integrate input from the whole tongue. In fact, electrophysiological studies have suggested convergence of inputs from areas of the oral cavity innervated by the facial and glossopharyngeal nerves onto single rNTS neurons in rat (Halpern and Nelson, 1965; Travers et al., 1986) and hamster (Sweazy and Smith, 1987). In addition, the predominantly rostrocaudally extending dendrites imply that portions of the rostral and causal NTS may be interconnected. Such a connection would provide a means of integrating gustatory with general visceral information, as suggested in hamster (Beckman and Whitehead, 1991). Furthermore, this finding indicates that individual cells may extend processes into several subdivisions of the rNTS. Therefore, although the rostral central subdivision is the primary termination site of gustatory nerves (Whitehead, 1988), neurons with cell bodies in other subdivisions may receive this input on more distal processes.

Second, groups of neurons having similar morphological characteristics have different biophysical properties. Therefore, the rNTS is not simply organized into three cell types which subserve different functions. Instead, the rNTS has a very complex organization with each morphological type being divided into several subpopulations with potentially different functions.

Finally, the current investigation led to the following hypothesis about the intrinsic firing properties and synaptic interactions of proposed principal (multipolar and elongate) neurons and interneurons (ovoid) in the rNTS. The majority of principal neurons respond to depolarization with a repetitive firing pattern, which is unaltered by prior hyperpolarization. Since principal neurons in the rNTS receive direct synaptic input from primary afferent fibers (Ogawa et al., 1984; Whitehead, 1986, 1993; Wang et al., 1993; Whitehead et al., 1993), these neurons would function as a sensory relay, summing the effects of afferent inputs and transmitting afferent gustatory input out of the nucleus. Interneurons would primarily respond to excitatory afferent input with either a repetitive spike train or a short burst of action potentials. The output of these interneurons would synapse upon nearby principal neurons and potentially alter their firing patterns. Since a proportion of ovoid neurons are GABAergic (Lasiter and Kachele, 1988; Davis, 1993), they probably have an inhibitory influence on 43% of the principal neurons whose repetitive discharge pattern is modulated by membrane hyperpolarization. These changes in the firing patterns of principal neurons, caused by inhibitory inputs, would effect the output of rNTS, and therefore, alter the transmission of gustatory information to limbic, hypothalamic, and cortical structures.
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