

---

THE POLITICAL CULTURE OF SOCIAL WELFARE POLICY

William A. Gamson

and

Kathryn Eilene Lasch

University of Michigan

December 1980

---

CRSO Working Paper No. 221

Copies available through:  
Center for Research on Social Organization  
University of Michigan  
330 Packard Street  
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109

The Political Culture of Social Welfare Policy

William A. Gamson and Kathryn Eilene Lasch

Center for Research on Social Organization

University of Michigan

(Paper prepared for the Pinhas Sapir International Conference on  
Development: Social Policy Evaluation: Health, Education, and  
Welfare, Tel Aviv University, Israel, December, 1980).

## Abstract

Strips of events exist in a particular symbolic environment or culture. A variety of organizational sponsors offer interpretive packages that give meaning to these events as they unfold over time. In the summer of 1969, President Nixon introduced a major welfare reform proposal labeled a "Family Assistance Plan." Over the next few years, congressional hearings and other related events stimulated commentary in the mass media that displayed symbolic elements surrounding the social welfare issue with varying prominence. This paper begins the analysis of this political culture by examining the content of four competing packages on social welfare policy, labeled respectively, "Welfare Free-Loaders," "Working Poor," "Poverty Trap," and "Regulating the Poor". In particular, we suggest the characteristic elements of each package, called here its signature. Eight different symbolic devices are considered including metaphors, exemplars, catch-phrases, depictions, visual images, roots, consequences, and appeals to principle.

## The Political Culture of Social Welfare Policy

By 1969, welfare had become a major issue in American politics. The number of welfare recipients had doubled during the decade and welfare roles were rising at the rate of one million persons annually. Existing programs were under attack from those of many different political tendencies -- each, of course, emphasizing different interpretations of what was going on and why.

In the summer of 1969, the fledgling Nixon Administration, amidst great fanfare, announced a plan for sweeping welfare reform. This Family Assistance Plan (FAP) represented a classic Nixon ploy. Critics to the left were confounded by the inclusion of a guaranteed minimum income provision; conservative critics were lured by the promise of putting welfare recipients to work and dismantling welfare bureaucracy. Indeed, the initial reaction suggested that the Family Assistance Plan had found a broad consensus and would lead to the most sweeping welfare reform since the early New Deal.

In the next two years, this ball unraveled. The apparent consensus proved illusory, the FAP's chief backers within the Administration lost influence, and, ultimately, Nixon turned his attention elsewhere, letting welfare reform languish. There is an interesting story here but it is not the one that concerns us and it has been told elsewhere.<sup>1</sup>

The unfolding story of this aborted effort at welfare reform took place in a particular symbolic environment. Political discourse surrounding the welfare issue draws on a catalogue of available idea elements, and makes use of a variety of symbolic devices to express these ideas. This set of idea elements, organized and clustered in various ways, comprises the culture of an issue.

Events such as the introduction and defeat of the Family Assistance Plan provide an occasion for display of the culture of social welfare policy. Public

officials and their political opponents display it in their speeches and presentations, journalists display it in their commentary on these events. Hence, the strip of events concerning the Family Assistance Plan makes this culture visible and provides us with an opportunity to analyse it.

Clearly, an issue culture is rooted in time and space. We wouldn't expect the issue culture surrounding social welfare in the United States to be the same in 1970 as in 1935. Nor would we expect the culture of the welfare issue to be the same in Israel as in the United States. On the contrary, we would assume that differences in political and religious traditions would produce a different political culture for discourse about social welfare.

In the larger study of which this is a part, we examine a number of issues using the same approach. Hence, before turning to the welfare issue, we outline the general strategy and principle concepts needed for analysing issue cultures.

#### The Nature of Issue Cultures

The idea elements in a culture do not exist in isolation but are grouped into more or less harmonious clusters or interpretive packages. The different idea elements in a given package mutually support and reinforce each other. Frequently it is possible to suggest the package as a whole by the use of a single prominent element.

We begin our analysis of political culture by dividing these packages into two parts. The framing half deal with the gestalt or pattern-organizing nature of the political culture. A number of writers have employed similar concepts to analyse this framing process. Edelman (1964, 1971, 1977), for example, has sensitized us to the importance of political symbolism in providing meaning to political events. Bennett (1975) attempts to capture this idea with the concept of political scenario, inspired by the work of Burke (1969). He suggests that

political scenarios provide a "lay theoretical framework in which to organize the sense data of politics" (p. 65). He points to the use of paradigmatic or compelling examples to provide a highly abstract, symbolic container to deal with an unfolding reality.

The second half of the package deals with reasoning and justifications for positions. Where framing devices suggest integration and synthesis into wholes, reasoning devices emphasize analysis and differentiation into parts. A complex whole is broken down into discrete causes and consequences in temporal sequence. These devices are pieces of a potential argument that one might make in justifying or arguing for a particular position on an issue.

An interpretive package has a core consisting of an overall frame and position that defines it. The frame suggests a central organizing idea for understanding events related to the issue in question. For example, the Johnson Administration package on Vietnam offered a core frame in which the Vietnam struggle was to be understood as the United States attempting to meet the challenge of indirect aggression by a worldwide, Soviet-led communist adversary. This framework allows for some differences on the best way to meet this challenge -- through counter-insurgent special forces, airpower, or other means -- but the common position endorsed the necessity of making an effective military response in resisting the challenge.

One can display a package other than through directly invoking its core. Through political usage, we come to recognize the package as a whole by the use of a variety of symbolic devices<sup>2</sup> that display its characteristic elements. Every package has a signature -- a set of elements that suggest its core frame and position in a shorthand fashion. The falling domino metaphor is a good example for the Vietnam package described above.

These signature elements of a package are the condensing symbols by which it is displayed. As Willett suggests (1980), in discussing art in the Third Reich.

"Style is

crucial, just as language is crucial; the Nazis so put their mark on them that a few words in a speech or article, a quick look at a building, statue or picture, could imply all the rest of the ideological package, and with it the measures to which that package led."

We divide our signature elements into framing and reasoning devices. The devices that suggest a framework within which to view the issue are metaphors, exemplars, catch-phrases, depictions, and visual images. The devices that provide justifications or reasons for a general position are roots, consequences, and appeals to principle. Each of these requires a brief comment and example.

1. Metaphors. A metaphor always has two parts -- the principal subject that the metaphor is intended to illuminate and the associated subject that the metaphor evokes to enhance our understanding. The associated subject contains what Lakoff and Johnson (1979) call "entailments." These entailments are characteristics of the associated subject that, by implication, attach to the principal subject.

We distinguish two kinds of entailments -- attributes and relationships -- and this distinction suggests two kinds of metaphors. In dynamic metaphors, there are two or more entities in the associated subject, acting in relation to each other. In single-valued metaphors the focus is simply on the attributes of a single associated subject. Political cartoons are a rich source of dynamic metaphors and we will use them in illustrating packages on the welfare issue.

2. Exemplars. While metaphors rely on imagined events to frame the principal subject, real events of the past or present are frequently used for the same purpose. As with metaphors, exemplars may be dynamic or single-valued. The Korean War was probably the most important exemplar for the Vietnam example, with Munich receiving some play as well.

3. Catch-Phrases. Commentators on events frequently try to capture them in a

single theme statement, tag-line, title or slogan that is intended to suggest a general frame. Catch-phrases are attempted summary statements about the principal subject. "Invasion from the North" was the title of the State Department paper produced just prior to the Johnson Administration escalation of the Vietnam War in 1965. "If we don't stop them in Vietnam, we'll be fighting them on the beaches of Malibu" is another memorable catch-phrase for this package.

4. Depictions. Packages have certain principal subjects that they characterize in a particular fashion. They may do this through single-valued metaphors or exemplars or simply through some colorful string of modifiers. Lyndon Johnson depicted the critics of his Vietnam policy as "nervous nellies" and a later administration gave us "nattering nabobs of negativism".

5. Visual images. We include here icons and other visual images that suggest the core of a package. The American Flag is the most obvious icon associated with this Vietnam package but there are a number of visual images that suggest its frame -- for example, imagery underlining the Communist nature of the adversary in Vietnam.

6. Roots. A given package has a characteristic analysis of the causal dynamics underlying the strip of events. The packages may differ in the locus of this root -- that is, in the particular place in a funnel of causality to which the root calls attention. The root provided in the Vietnam package is that of a military attack by a Soviet proxy against a United States ally and independent country.

7. Consequences. A given package has a characteristic analysis of the consequences that will flow from different policies. Again, there may be differences in whether short or long term consequences are the focus. The signature consequences emphasized in the Vietnam illustration are the negative effects on American national security of a communist takeover of South Vietnam.



8. Appeals to principle. Packages rely on characteristic moral appeals and uphold certain general precepts. In the Vietnam example, the principles appealed to included the defense of the weak and innocent against unprovoked aggression and the honoring of one's word and commitment to friends.

One can summarize the culture of an issue in a signature matrix in which the rows represent the cores of different packages and the columns represent the eight different types of symbolic device. The cell entries in this matrix are the signature elements of the different packages -- for example, a characteristic exemplar of a given package.

Interpretive packages are produced in a complex process involving an interaction between sources and journalists. While this social process is not a direct focus in our research, our examination of cultural elements is organized in part on some assumptions about the social and political system.

Our view of the political system utilizes distinctions made by students of collective action (Tilly, 1978; McCarthy and Zald, 1977; Gamson, 1975). There is a bounded polity consisting of authorities and members who have vested interests and routine, low-cost access to authorities. Beyond the boundary, there are challengers or social movement organizations attempting to mobilize some constituency for collective action, directed toward influencing outcomes produced through the polity.

These actors -- authorities, members, and challengers -- utilize the cultural system in their efforts to achieve their goals. More specifically, they attempt to further the careers of particular interpretive packages and act as sponsor or organizational carriers for some of these packages. It is useful to identify packages with particular sponsors. For example, we expect to identify one or more official packages on an issue -- packages that reflect the frames and positions of public officials who are protagonists in the strip of events. The

opposition political party, or established interest groups, may be identified with other packages. Finally, there may be packages associated with challengers and, perhaps, found only in the publications which they control and direct to their own constituency.

These various actors in the symbolic arena frequently are organizations with media or public relations specialists. Such professionals maintain continuing relationships with journalists who cover their organization. Many have previously worked as journalists. To be effective, their present role requires that they become attuned to the news needs of the mass media representatives with whom they routinely must deal. In meeting these needs, they supply, with varying degrees of skill, the elements of interpretive packages about the issues that engage their interests. An apt metaphor or catch-phrase will be picked-up and amplified through the media -- serving the interest of both sources and journalists in presenting events in a context of meaning. Sources, then, are one major fount of cultural elements.

But journalists are themselves highly active in organizing such elements. Indeed, there are journalistic roles that emphasize precisely this task. Political cartoonists, political columnists, and editorial writers, for example, are evaluated by their fellow journalists and readers for their talent in this regard. Halberstam (1979) describes the admiration that his colleagues feel for Peter Lisagor of the Chicago Daily News as a coiner of succinct catch-phrases: "It was Lisagor--smart, quick, verbal--who always seemed to be able to define an event in a few words. Other reporters were always quoting Lisagor." Columnists with a light touch--Art Buchwald and Russell Baker, for example--are especially creative in generating extended, dynamic metaphors.

Constructing a signature matrix for an issue is only the first step in analysing the culture of an issue. We propose to assess the prominence with

which the different packages are displayed. This can be measured systematically through a content analysis of media materials. Our sample includes network television coverage, the three major newsmagazines and the twelve largest metropolitan daily newspapers. Our sample materials include political cartoons, editorials, columns, news analyses, and broadcasts. Ultimately, we plan to integrate this analysis with a study of popular discourse about the same set of issues so that we may explore the complex relationship between media prominence and popular usage and support. This will involve us in an analysis of the resonance of packages with major cultural themes and counter themes.

This paper, then, is a first step in the analysis of the issue culture of social welfare policy. We suggest a signature matrix for the issue and, briefly, some of the resonances of these packages with cultural themes or counter themes.

#### The Culture of Welfare Policy

We will describe four packages on welfare by using their signature elements. We have gleaned these elements from sponsor materials, (that is, speeches, testimony, newsletters, pamphlets, and the like) books, journal articles and commentary on the welfare issue, supplemented by exemplars from our sample of media materials. These packages address the question of what provisions, if any, should be made for the welfare of the poor.

#### Welfare Freeloaders

A political cartoon is a compelling device and we will use one to introduce each package. A cartoon can draw on several different framing devices simultaneously--it presents a dynamic metaphor, particular visual imagery, and its caption can employ a catch-phrase.

Take the first cartoon, "Welfare--On the House," (Figure 1). The cartoonist shows a welfare bureaucrat and a bum, living it up on public funds. Note that

the principal subject, "welfare recipient" is depicted here as a rather piggy-looking but robust and able bodied male in the genteel hobo tradition. "On the house" and "welfare handouts" appear as catch-phrases.

The signature exemplars for this package include celebrated cases of welfare fraud or welfare recipients driving cadillacs. The lesson in either case is "Welfare recipients are playing us for suckers." "Workfare, not welfare" is a signature catch-phrase and its depictions include welfare recipients as free-loaders or chiselers who could work at regular jobs if they chose to.

What is the frame being suggested by these various elements? The core issue in the social welfare controversy is how to keep the country from going broke supporting a huge welfare bureaucracy and a lot of blacks and other minorities who are too lazy to work.

The root cause of the rapid rise of welfare roles lies in the personal failures of the welfare recipients who were either too profligate to acquire the necessary skills when they had a chance and/or too lazy to take available jobs when they can live on the dole. As for the consequences of the Family Assistance Plan, this package emphasizes the dangerous precedent of a guaranteed income, the level of which will inevitably be raised, and the likelihood of vigorous political attacks on the work incentive portion of the Plan. As Henry Hazlitt puts it, writing in the National Review, (1969), "Most certain of all, the whole program of trying to force people to work for their benefit payments will soon be denounced as a sort of slavery." The moral principle appealed to in this package is that of just deserts: people should not be rewarded unless they have earned it through honest, hard work.

The core policy position suggested by these justifications is one in which healthy adults should receive no form of welfare at all and the burden of proof is on the applicant. The less mean-spirited sponsors might exempt some

marginal categories such as mothers of pre-school children from the general work requirements. Specifying a more detailed position, one uncovers minor variations of these ideal-types.

### Working Poor

This package shifts attention somewhat from the personal failures of the poor. The poor are assumed to be rational in the sense that they will welcome the ability to earn more through work but are discouraged in doing so by disincentives. As Milton Friedman puts it, "When you pay people to be poor, there are going to be plenty of poor people."

The second cartoon, (Figure 2), expresses it in the caption, "Brother, could you spare a job?" The poor person is represented as a man who prefers work to a handout. Its signature exemplars relate sad stories of people who have sought work, but who find that by working, they are worse off financially than they would be on welfare.

This package provides the official frame and justification for the Family Assistance Plan and it is not surprising that many of the key phrases are provided in Nixon's speech introducing the FAP. He speaks of "A way to independence through the dignity of work" and "The government's willingness to help the needy is linked to the willingness of the needy to help themselves." Its signature depictions focus less on the personal failures of the poor and more on the inadequacies of a welfare system which encourages dependency and penalizes those who would prefer to work.

The core issue in the welfare controversy is how to provide recipients with an incentive to work while providing adequate coverage for their basic needs. The root of this package recognizes the need for providing the poor with better job training and the discouraging effects of living in a culture of poverty, but assumes an underlying motivational structure in which individuals will choose

work if they can receive significant financial gain for doing so.

It's fundamental appeal to principle goes back to the Poor Laws: "No one should receive more for being idle than for working." Or, as Nixon puts it, "It is morally wrong for a family that is working to try to make ends meet to receive less than the non-working family across the street."

Within this basic package, there are a range of equally consistent positions on the value of the FAP. Administration officials argued that it achieved an appropriate balance by providing the poor with adequate minimum support while at the same time including requirements and incentives to work. Some critics of FAP challenged the balance on the grounds that the minimum support was not adequate and should be higher; other critics challenged the work incentive portion as too weak and ineffective. But within these variations, the core position provides a policy in which no one starves but there are clear advantages for those who work.

### Poverty Trap

While the previous package contains some blame for the system, this one is more resolutely opposed to blaming the poor for their poverty. Ryan's (1976) catch-phrase "blaming the victim" is one of its signature elements. As figure 3 shows, the victim carries the burdens of the system -- lack of available jobs, poor schools, inflation, racial prejudice. One top of this, there is merely a false promise of prosperity if he should somehow make it up the steep cliff to the employment Hilton.

To put welfare recipients through the humiliation of a means test is, in this view, a bit like knocking someone down and then demanding he produce a doctor's certificate of injury before he can be treated. Its signature exemplars include the kind of universal family allowance program found in European welfare states and in Israel. The lesson of these exemplars is that universal

payment protects the dignity of the poor and makes sure that all can live adequately. Means-tests merely add insult to injury.

Poverty is depicted as a trap or a treadmill and the view of welfare recipients as able bodied is dismissed as self-serving myth. Poverty is fundamentally a lack of money and power. As Ryan puts, "The overwhelming majority of the poor are poor because they have, first, insufficient income; and second, no access to methods of increasing that income -- that is, no power" (1976, p. 140). All of these devices suggest a core frame in which the issue is one of how to help the victims of poverty out of a trap which is not of their own making.

The root cause of poverty in this view is the failure of the economic system to provide full employment. As George Meany put it, "It does not serve the nation or its people to train the unemployed for jobs that don't exist." The FAP is clearly inadequate in this view since (1) most welfare recipients are unable to work, and (2) it does nothing to provide jobs for that portion of the poor who can work. The moral principle to which appeal is made focuses on the right of all citizens to a life of dignity free of the despair wrought by poverty.

The core policy position in this package rests on income maintenance and universal family allowances combined with economic programs aimed at creating a full employment economy.

#### Regulating the Poor

Our fourth cartoon (Figure 4) suggests the frame for this package. It is the only package in which the welfare system is viewed as working as it is supposed to. In this package, welfare serves a dual function. On the one hand, it regulates and maintains a labor reserve or, to use one of the catch-phrases, "a reserve army of the unemployed." At the same time, relief functions to ameliorate discontent and assure quiescence and dependency in the "surplus population." In the cartoon, the business partner points out to his workers that there are unemployed waiting to take their jobs while the government partner provides a few welfare peanuts to the unemployed to keep them in line.

There is no clear exemplar for this package but "regulating the poor" is its signature catch-phrase. This phrase has the virtue of including both forms of regulation, each of which takes precedence at different stages. Welfare reform, in this view, "signals a shift in emphasis between the major functions of relief arrangements -- a shift from regulating disorder to regulating labor" (Piven and Cloward, 1971, p. 342). The poor in this package are depicted as a "surplus population" needed for capitalist accumulation (cf. Braverman, 1974, and O'Connor, 1973).

The core issue suggested by these framing devices is how to change an economic system in which poverty is a permanent feature and relief giving functions to regulate the poor both through maintaining a labor reserve and through cooling out rebellious collective action.

The root cause of poverty in this package is the capitalist organization of production. The FAP would serve the purpose of increasing the capacity for social control of the poor without moving them out of poverty. "The work requirement" as one editorial put it, "Will become an instrument for herding the needy into dead-end jobs at rock-bottom wages."<sup>3</sup> The appeal to principle in this package is the familiar one, "From each according to his ability, to each according to his needs."

The core position of this package rejects welfare reform within a capitalist framework. The only solution to poverty and welfare is to institute a socialist economy in which there is work for everyone who is able-bodied and adequate support for those who are not.

Table 1 summarizes these packages in a signature matrix.

#### Resonances with Cultural Themes

Beyond the issue culture, there is a larger political culture containing what are usually called ideologies or belief systems. These meta-packages contain more general idea elements with potential applicability to a range of



issues.

We deal with this level of analysis through the concept of cultural themes. These themes may be thought of in a manner similar to packages--that is, they contain a core frame and a set of signature elements that provide this frame in shorthand.

We view themes as existing in a dialectic relationship with counter themes. Expression of a counter theme has an adversarial quality; it is more common in the belief systems sponsored by challenging groups than in those of members. Themes, in contrast, have the status of pieties; one can safely intone them on ceremonial occasions with the assumption of general social approval, albeit some private cynicism.

The themes we focus on provide core frameworks for viewing politics in American society. They are analytically independent of one another but not mutually exclusive. Each of the themes and counter themes has a rich literature in which it is expressed or discussed but we will not attempt to supply its roots here.

The Technocratic Theme. Strips of public events can best be understood as technical problems which require professional expertise for their solution. There is an anti-technocratic counter theme which disparages the "technofix" and views science and technology as more a source of problems than a solution to them.

Social Pluralism Theme. Strips of public events can best be understood as contests between solidary groups pursuing their interests and trying to get as much as they can under the rules of the game. There is an anti-pluralist counter theme which disparages this view as myth and emphasizes the domination by a small group of the rich and powerful while others are left to fight over scraps.

Civic Duty Theme. Strips of public events can best be understood as duly

constituted authorities carrying out public functions while attempting to overcome various obstacles. There is an anti-civic duty counter theme which disparages this view as myth and emphasizes the self-serving nature of politics and politicians.

Free Will Theme. Strips of public events can best be understood as the result of free choices made by the individual involved. There is an anti-free will counter theme which disparages the choices of individual actors as illusory, having little effect on events that are really determined by larger forces.

Note that the various welfare packages have different resonances with these themes and counter themes. First, the working poor package has a substantial resonance with the technocratic theme. The problem of simultaneously providing a minimum support level and a work incentive can be treated as a substantially technical issue, involving a trade-off between two functions. Technical evaluations by economists and other professionals are required in executing the policies called for by this package. Here is the package that comes closest to calling for a technofix.

The welfare freeloaders package has a strong resonance with the free will theme. In emphasizing the responsibility of the poor for their own fate, it might easily draw on the Horatio Alger exemplar, a signature element of this theme. The poverty trap package, on the other hand, resonates with the anti-free will counter theme and, hence, these two provide the sharpest contrast among pairs of packages. Finally, regulating the poor has a strong resonance with the anti-pluralist counter theme as one would expect from its neo-Marxist origins.

## Conclusion

This paper has presented a heuristic useful in disentangling the content of political culture surrounding an issue. Ultimately, however, papers that present taxonomies leave one up in the air. The question inevitably arises as to what one can do with it. We have indicated our intention of measuring the prominence with which given packages are displayed in a systematic sample of mass media materials. While this alone can enhance our understanding of political culture, charting the ebb and flow of relative prominences at different points in time provides a more dynamic view allowing us to analyze shifts and changes in media display of that culture. Thanks to such modern conveniences as video-tape and microfilm, we are able to recover what the media has displayed in the last 20 or 30 years relatively easily, providing the opportunity for such an analysis.


The analysis becomes more interesting still when we consider it jointly with popular usage and support. In the next phase of the analysis we plan to construct groups in which selected issues will be discussed among peers, using the elements from our signature matrices as the stimulus materials for such discussions. From such discourse, we can explore the complex relationship between media prominence and popular usage.

Footnotes

1. See Heffernan (1974) and Marmor and Rein (1971) for useful accounts of this strip of events.
2. "Tropes" or "Figures of speech" are other terms for these symbolic devices.
3. The source of this display of radicalism is, curiously, the good, grey New York Times (editorial, 8/15/69).


References

- Bennett, W. Lance  
1975, The Political Mind in the Political Environment, Lexington, Mass.: D.C. Heath & Co.
- Braverman, Harry  
1974, Labor and Monopoly Capital, New York: Monthly Review Press.
- Burke, Kenneth  
1969, A Grammar of Motives, Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Edelman, Murray  
1964, The Symbolic Uses of Politics, Urbana, Ill.: University of Illinois Press.
- Edelman, Murray  
1971, Politics as Symbolic Action, Chicago: Markham Publishing Co.
- Edelman, Murray  
1977, Political Language: Words that Succeed and Policies That Fail, New York: Academic Press.
- Gamson, William A.  
1975, The Strategy of Social Protest, Homewood, Il., Dorsey Press.
- Halberstam, David  
1979, The Powers that Be, New York City: Knopf.
- Hazlitt, Henry  
1969, "Welfarism Out of Control", National Review, Vol. 21, (Sept. 9), p. 903.
- Heffernan, W. Joseph  
1974, "The Failure of Welfare Reform: A Political Farce in Two Acts", Institute for Research on Poverty: Discussion Papers (University of Wisconsin: Madison), 36 pp.
- Lakoff, George and Johnson, Mark  
1979, "Toward and Experimentalist Philosophy: The Case From Literal Metaphor", Working Paper, University of California, Berkeley.
- Marmor, Theodore and Rein, Martin  
1971, "Flim, Flam, Flop in Welfare", Society, Vol. 9 (June).
- McCarthy, John D. and Zald, Mayer N.  
1977, "Resource Mobilization and Social Movements," American Journal of Sociology, 82, (May), pp. 1212-1241.
- O'Connor, James  
1973, The Fiscal Crisis of the State, New York: St. Martin's Press.
- Piven, Frances Fox and Cloward, Richard  
1971, Regulating the Poor, New York: Random House.
- Ryan, William  
1976, Blaming the Victim, (New York: Random House, Inc.).
- Tilly, Charles  
1978, From Mobilization to Revolution, (Reading, Mass., Addison-Wesley).



WELFARE--ON THE HOUSE

Figure 1



"No, keep the dime. But Brother,  
could you spare a job?"

Figure 2





Figure 3



Figure 4



GET TO WORK IN THERE!  
DON'T FORGET THERE ARE  
PEOPLE JUST DYING FOR  
YOUR KIND OF WAGES!

HERE'S SOMETHING FOR  
YOU AND YOUR LOVELY  
FAMILY. COME BACK IN  
A LITTLE WHILE AND  
WE JUST MAY HAVE A  
JOB FOR YOU!

Incentive  
Plan ~  
WORK or  
be FIRED

EMPLOYEES'  
EXIT

WELFARE  
PEANUTS

Table 1

SOCIAL WELFARE ISSUE: CORE SIGNATURE MATRIX (11/80)

PACKAGE	FRAME	POSITION	METAPHORS	EXEMPLARS	CATCH-PHRASES	DEPICTIONS	ROOT OF THE ISSUE	CONSEQUENCES OF FAP	APPEALS TO PRINCIPLE
Welfare Free-loaders	The issue is how to keep the country from going broke supporting a huge welfare bureaucracy and a lot of blacks and other minorities who are too lazy to work.	Giving able-bodied people money without requiring that they work for it generates costs that can't be justified.	A cartoon showing John Q. Public looking on with his pockets empty while a gluttonous bureaucracy shares a hefty meal with a well-fed welfare bum.	Stories of welfare fraud; welfare recipients driving Cadillac Lesson: Undeserving people are taking advantage of welfare.	"Welfare, not welfare."	Welfare recipients as "free-loaders", "bums", "chislers"; welfare payments as "handouts";	Welfare rolls are inflated because of individual laziness and personal failure to acquire adequate work skills on the part of the recipients.	FAP would set a bad precedent since the support floor will inevitably be raised and the work incentive portion attacked "as some sort of slavery" or "forced labor."	Rewards should be commensurate with effort.
Working Poor	The issue is how to provide recipients with an incentive to work while providing adequate coverage for their basic needs.	A minimum support level should be provided so that basic life sustaining requirements are met (i.e., no one starves) while at the same time, manpower training and extra rewards should be offered to encourage the able and willing to work.	A cartoon showing a poor person disdainfully accepting an offer of honest work.	Stories of deserving poor who choose work over the dole. Lesson: Many on welfare would prefer to work given adequate incentives and skills.	"A way to independence through the dignity of work;" "The government's willingness to help the needy is linked to the willingness of the needy to help themselves."	Present welfare system as penalizing work; offering disincentives to work, degrading recipients by encouraging dependency.	Welfare rolls are inflated because the poor lack adequate job skills, have poor motivation, and have been socialized into a self-perpetuating culture of poverty, and because the welfare system provides disincentives to work.	Pro FAP: FAP achieves an appropriate balance by providing the poor with adequate minimum support and yet incentive to work. Anti-FAP: The floor for minimum support is not adequate Anti-FAP: The work incentive is too weak and ineffective.	No one should receive more for being idle than for working; it is morally wrong for a family that is working to try to make ends meet to receive less than the non-working family across the street.
Poverty Trap	The issue is to help the victims out of a poverty trap that is not of their own making.	In order to help the victims of the poverty trap, welfare measures such as a universal family allowance plan, income maintenance, and unemployment insurance for the long term unemployed, plus programs aimed at creating more jobs should be provided.	A dehumanizing means test for those blameless victims of poverty is like knocking someone down and then demanding he produce a doctor's certificate before he can be treated.	Family allowance program in European welfare states. Lesson: A universal family system protects the dignity of the poor and makes sure that all can live adequately.	"Guaranteed income;" "Disillusioned poor trapped in a prison of poverty and despair;" "It does not serve the nation or its people to train the unemployed for jobs that don't exist."	Poverty as a "trap"; welfare system as "treadmill;" Means test as an "affront to dignity" or "humiliating." Welfare recipients as being able-bodied is characterized as a "myth," "false."	Economic policy fails to provide full employment.	FAP is inadequate because (1) many welfare recipients are unable to work and (2) it fails to address the economic roots of poverty.	The democratic promise of equality of outcome of a fair share of America, should be upheld.
Regulating the Poor	The issue is how to change an economic system in which relief-giving regulates the labor supply by maintaining a reserve army of the unemployed and serves a social control function in stemming discontent.	The solution to the welfare issue is to institute a socialist economy in which there is work for everyone who is able-bodied and there is adequate support for those who are not.	Two fat capitalists, one facing a group of poor outside, the other facing a group of factory workers inside. The inside man is telling the workers "Many would be happy to get your votes", the outside man, throwing crumbs, says "This is all we have right now, but come back in a while and we might have something more".		"Regulating the poor;" "Surplus population;" "Reserve army of the unemployed."	Poverty as serving the interest of the rich and powerful; welfare as a means of social control.	The root cause of poverty is the capitalist organization of production.	FAP would increase the capacity for social control of the poor but not move them out of poverty. "The work requirement will become an instrument for herding the needy into dead-end jobs at rock-bottom wages."	From each according to his ability, to each according to his needs.

WORKING PAPERS OF THE CENTER FOR RESEARCH ON SOCIAL ORGANIZATION

The Center for Research on Social Organization is a facility of the Department of Sociology, University of Michigan. Its primary mission is to support the research of faculty and students in the department's Social Organization graduate program. CRSO Working Papers report current research and reflection by affiliates of the Center; many of them are published later elsewhere after revision. Working Papers which are still in print are available from the Center for a fee of 50¢ plus the number of pages in the paper (88¢ for a 38-page paper, etc.). The Center will photocopy out-of print Working Papers at cost (approximately 5¢ per page). Recent Working Papers include:

- 210 "Enumerating and Coding Contentious Gatherings in Nineteenth-Century Britain," by Charles Tilly and R.A. Schweitzer, February, 1980, 84 pages.
- 211 "The Texture of Contention in Britain, 1828-1829," by R.A. Schweitzer, Charles Tilly, and John Boyd, February 1980, 150 pages.
- 212 "How (And to Some Extent, Why) to Study British Contention," by Charles Tilly, February 1980, 61 pages.
- 213 "States, Taxes and Proletarians," by Charles Tilly, March 1980, 27 pages.
- 214 "Charivaris, Repertoires, and Politics," by Charles Tilly, April 1980, 25 pages.
- 215 "General Strikes and Social Change in Belgium," by Carl Strikwerda, April 1980, 25 pages.
- 216 "Two Models of the School Desegregation Cases," by Joseph Sanders, May 1980, 75 pages.
- 217 "Two Experiments on the Effects of Social Status on Responsibility Judgement," by Joseph Sanders, Thomas Regulus, and V. Lee Hamilton, May 1980, 34 pages.
- 218 "The Old New Social History and the New Old Social History," by Charles Tilly, October 1980, 49 pages.
- 219 "Broad, Broader. . . Braudel," by Charles Tilly, October, 1980, 14 pages.
- 220 "British Catholic Emancipation: Mobilization, Prototype of Reform?" by R. A. Schweitzer, December 1980, 20 pages

Request copies of these paper, the complete lists of Center Working Papers and other reprints, or further information about Center activities from:

Center for Research on Social Organization  
University of Michigan  
330 Packard Street  
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109