

-> GET FILE=  
 -> 'b:\94data.sav'.  
 -> frequency variables=form to x24.

FORM questionnaire form

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
A	1	287	49.5	49.5	49.5
B	2	285	49.1	49.1	98.6
A as B	3	6	1.0	1.0	99.7
B as A	4	2	.3	.3	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

IWRNO interviewer number

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	1250	11	1.9	1.9	1.9
	1251	10	1.7	1.7	3.6
	1252	5	.9	.9	4.5
	1253	10	1.7	1.7	6.2
	1254	9	1.6	1.6	7.8
	1255	12	2.1	2.1	9.8
	1256	8	1.4	1.4	11.2
	1257	11	1.9	1.9	13.1
	1258	13	2.2	2.2	15.3
	1259	2	.3	.3	15.7
	1260	7	1.2	1.2	16.9
	1261	32	5.5	5.5	22.4
	1262	8	1.4	1.4	23.8
	1263	2	.3	.3	24.1
	1264	7	1.2	1.2	25.3
	1265	10	1.7	1.7	27.1
	1266	12	2.1	2.1	29.1
	1267	6	1.0	1.0	30.2
	1268	12	2.1	2.1	32.2
	1269	4	.7	.7	32.9
	1270	12	2.1	2.1	35.0
	1271	7	1.2	1.2	36.2
	1272	12	2.1	2.1	38.3
	1273	1	.2	.2	38.4
	1274	6	1.0	1.0	39.5
	1275	12	2.1	2.1	41.6
	1276	10	1.7	1.7	43.3
	1279	36	6.2	6.2	49.5
	1280	5	.9	.9	50.3
	1281	7	1.2	1.2	51.6
	1282	21	3.6	3.6	55.2
	1283	20	3.4	3.4	58.6
	1285	22	3.8	3.8	62.4
	2287	10	1.7	1.7	64.1
	2410	23	4.0	4.0	68.1
	2512	8	1.4	1.4	69.5
	2821	1	.2	.2	69.7
	5369	22	3.8	3.8	73.4
	5483	34	5.9	5.9	79.3
	5825	2	.3	.3	79.7
	5994	7	1.2	1.2	80.9
	6139	8	1.4	1.4	82.2

6491	12	2.1	2.1	84.3
6642	14	2.4	2.4	86.7
6922	21	3.6	3.6	90.3
7356	5	.9	.9	91.2

IWRNO interviewer number

7520	15	2.6	2.6	93.8
7835	16	2.8	2.8	96.6
9312	20	3.4	3.4	100.0
Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

IWNO interviewer's iw number

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	1	49	8.4	8.4	8.4
	2	49	8.4	8.4	16.9
	3	44	7.6	7.6	24.5
	4	46	7.9	7.9	32.4
	5	40	6.9	6.9	39.3
	6	42	7.2	7.2	46.6
	7	39	6.7	6.7	53.3
	8	33	5.7	5.7	59.0
	9	29	5.0	5.0	64.0
	10	29	5.0	5.0	69.0
	11	24	4.1	4.1	73.1
	12	23	4.0	4.0	77.1
	13	13	2.2	2.2	79.3
	14	14	2.4	2.4	81.7
	15	10	1.7	1.7	83.4
	16	12	2.1	2.1	85.5
	17	10	1.7	1.7	87.2
	18	10	1.7	1.7	89.0
	19	10	1.7	1.7	90.7
	20	10	1.7	1.7	92.4
	21	8	1.4	1.4	93.8
	22	7	1.2	1.2	95.0
	23	4	.7	.7	95.7
	24	3	.5	.5	96.2
	25	3	.5	.5	96.7
	26	2	.3	.3	97.1
	27	2	.3	.3	97.4
	28	2	.3	.3	97.8
	29	1	.2	.2	97.9
	30	2	.3	.3	98.3
	31	2	.3	.3	98.6
	32	2	.3	.3	99.0
	33	2	.3	.3	99.3
	34	2	.3	.3	99.7
	35	1	.2	.2	99.8
	36	1	.2	.2	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

IWMONTH month of interview

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
April	4	214	36.9	36.9	36.9
May	5	190	32.8	32.8	69.7
June	6	114	19.7	19.7	89.3

July	7	48	8.3	8.3	97.6
August	8	14	2.4	2.4	100.0

Total	580	100.0	100.0
-------	-----	-------	-------

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

IWDAY DAY OF INTERVIEW

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	1	14	2.4	2.4	2.4
	2	12	2.1	2.1	4.5
	3	16	2.8	2.8	7.2
	4	10	1.7	1.7	9.0
	5	7	1.2	1.2	10.2
	6	16	2.8	2.8	12.9
	7	11	1.9	1.9	14.8
	8	4	.7	.7	15.5
	9	14	2.4	2.4	17.9
	10	11	1.9	1.9	19.8
	11	22	3.8	3.8	23.6
	12	7	1.2	1.2	24.8
	13	8	1.4	1.4	26.2
	14	18	3.1	3.1	29.3
	15	9	1.6	1.6	30.9
	16	12	2.1	2.1	32.9
	17	16	2.8	2.8	35.7
	18	15	2.6	2.6	38.3
	19	14	2.4	2.4	40.7
	20	35	6.0	6.0	46.7
	21	29	5.0	5.0	51.7
	22	31	5.3	5.3	57.1
	23	39	6.7	6.7	63.8
	24	26	4.5	4.5	68.3
	25	31	5.3	5.3	73.6
	26	30	5.2	5.2	78.8
	27	42	7.2	7.2	86.0
	28	34	5.9	5.9	91.9
	29	24	4.1	4.1	96.0
	30	15	2.6	2.6	98.6
	31	8	1.4	1.4	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

TIMEBEG exact time begun

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	802	1	.2	.2	.2
9 am	900	3	.5	.5	.7
	903	1	.2	.2	.9
	915	1	.2	.2	1.0
	920	1	.2	.2	1.2
	926	1	.2	.2	1.4
	945	1	.2	.2	1.6
	947	1	.2	.2	1.7
	950	2	.3	.3	2.1
	954	1	.2	.2	2.2
	955	2	.3	.3	2.6
10 am	1000	5	.9	.9	3.4
	1001	2	.3	.3	3.8
	1002	1	.2	.2	4.0
	1004	1	.2	.2	4.1
	1005	3	.5	.5	4.7
	1007	1	.2	.2	4.8

1010	3	.5	.5	5.3
1013	2	.3	.3	5.7
1015	1	.2	.2	5.9
1020	2	.3	.3	6.2
1025	1	.2	.2	6.4
1026	1	.2	.2	6.6
1030	2	.3	.3	6.9
1037	1	.2	.2	7.1
1050	1	.2	.2	7.2
1051	1	.2	.2	7.4
1054	1	.2	.2	7.6
1055	2	.3	.3	7.9
1058	1	.2	.2	8.1
1059	2	.3	.3	8.4
1100	5	.9	.9	9.3
1101	1	.2	.2	9.5
1102	1	.2	.2	9.7
1103	1	.2	.2	9.8
1105	1	.2	.2	10.0
1109	1	.2	.2	10.2
1110	4	.7	.7	10.9
1120	3	.5	.5	11.4
1125	1	.2	.2	11.6
1130	3	.5	.5	12.1
1140	2	.3	.3	12.4
1145	2	.3	.3	12.8
1146	1	.2	.2	12.9
1148	1	.2	.2	13.1
1150	1	.2	.2	13.3

11 am

TIMEBEG exact time begun

1152	1	.2	.2	13.4
1155	3	.5	.5	14.0
1158	1	.2	.2	14.1
1200	4	.7	.7	14.8
1201	1	.2	.2	15.0
1204	1	.2	.2	15.2
1205	4	.7	.7	15.9
1207	1	.2	.2	16.0
1208	1	.2	.2	16.2
1210	2	.3	.3	16.6
1212	1	.2	.2	16.7
1215	6	1.0	1.0	17.8
1220	1	.2	.2	17.9
1225	5	.9	.9	18.8
1230	2	.3	.3	19.1
1232	1	.2	.2	19.3
1233	1	.2	.2	19.5
1235	1	.2	.2	19.7
1236	1	.2	.2	19.8
1240	2	.3	.3	20.2
1242	1	.2	.2	20.3
1243	1	.2	.2	20.5
1244	1	.2	.2	20.7
1245	3	.5	.5	21.2
1246	1	.2	.2	21.4
1247	1	.2	.2	21.6
1249	1	.2	.2	21.7
1250	2	.3	.3	22.1
1255	4	.7	.7	22.8
1259	2	.3	.3	23.1
1300	12	2.1	2.1	25.2
1303	1	.2	.2	25.3
1304	1	.2	.2	25.5
1305	8	1.4	1.4	26.9
1308	1	.2	.2	27.1
1310	3	.5	.5	27.6
1312	1	.2	.2	27.8
1314	1	.2	.2	27.9
1315	3	.5	.5	28.4
1316	1	.2	.2	28.6
1320	2	.3	.3	29.0

1 pm

1325	2	.3	.3	29.3
1326	1	.2	.2	29.5
1329	1	.2	.2	29.7
1330	4	.7	.7	30.3
1331	1	.2	.2	30.5
1335	3	.5	.5	31.0
1338	1	.2	.2	31.2
1339	1	.2	.2	31.4
1340	4	.7	.7	32.1
1344	1	.2	.2	32.2
1345	1	.2	.2	32.4

TIMEBEG exact time begun

1346	1	.2	.2	32.6
1350	4	.7	.7	33.3
1352	1	.2	.2	33.4
1355	3	.5	.5	34.0
1357	2	.3	.3	34.3
1359	1	.2	.2	34.5
2 pm	1400	10	1.7	36.2
	1404	1	.2	36.4
	1405	1	.2	36.6
	1410	3	.5	37.1
	1413	1	.2	37.2
	1415	3	.5	37.8
	1418	2	.3	38.1
	1420	4	.7	38.8
	1421	1	.2	39.0
	1422	2	.3	39.3
	1423	2	.3	39.7
	1425	1	.2	39.8
	1426	1	.2	40.0
	1427	2	.3	40.3
	1429	1	.2	40.5
	1430	5	.9	41.4
	1432	1	.2	41.6
	1435	2	.3	41.9
	1436	1	.2	42.1
	1440	4	.7	42.8
	1442	1	.2	42.9
	1443	1	.2	43.1
	1445	3	.5	43.6
	1446	1	.2	43.8
	1450	1	.2	44.0
	1452	1	.2	44.1
	1454	1	.2	44.3
	1455	4	.7	45.0
	1458	1	.2	45.2
3 pm	1500	5	.9	46.0
	1502	1	.2	46.2
	1505	4	.7	46.9
	1506	1	.2	47.1
	1508	2	.3	47.4
	1510	8	1.4	48.8
	1512	1	.2	49.0
	1515	1	.2	49.1
	1525	6	1.0	50.2
	1530	9	1.6	51.7
	1532	1	.2	51.9
	1533	3	.5	52.4
	1535	3	.5	52.9
	1540	6	1.0	54.0
	1542	1	.2	54.1
	1544	2	.3	54.5
	1545	5	.9	55.3

TIMEBEG exact time begun

1548	1	.2	.2	55.5
1549	3	.5	.5	56.0
1550	4	.7	.7	56.7

4 pm

1552	1	.2	.2	56.9
1555	2	.3	.3	57.2
1556	1	.2	.2	57.4
1558	1	.2	.2	57.6
1600	3	.5	.5	58.1
1601	1	.2	.2	58.3
1602	1	.2	.2	58.4
1603	1	.2	.2	58.6
1604	1	.2	.2	58.8
1605	3	.5	.5	59.3
1606	1	.2	.2	59.5
1607	1	.2	.2	59.7
1610	1	.2	.2	59.8
1611	1	.2	.2	60.0
1613	1	.2	.2	60.2
1614	1	.2	.2	60.3
1615	2	.3	.3	60.7
1618	1	.2	.2	60.9
1620	2	.3	.3	61.2
1622	1	.2	.2	61.4
1623	1	.2	.2	61.6
1625	1	.2	.2	61.7
1626	2	.3	.3	62.1
1627	1	.2	.2	62.2
1630	5	.9	.9	63.1
1633	1	.2	.2	63.3
1635	2	.3	.3	63.6
1648	1	.2	.2	63.8
1650	5	.9	.9	64.7
1653	1	.2	.2	64.8
1655	1	.2	.2	65.0
1700	4	.7	.7	65.7
1702	1	.2	.2	65.9
1705	5	.9	.9	66.7
1707	1	.2	.2	66.9
1710	2	.3	.3	67.2
1712	1	.2	.2	67.4
1715	1	.2	.2	67.6
1720	2	.3	.3	67.9
1723	1	.2	.2	68.1
1725	1	.2	.2	68.3
1730	6	1.0	1.0	69.3
1734	1	.2	.2	69.5
1735	4	.7	.7	70.2
1738	1	.2	.2	70.3
1740	2	.3	.3	70.7
1742	1	.2	.2	70.9
1743	1	.2	.2	71.0
1745	2	.3	.3	71.4

5 pm

TIMEBEG exact time begun

6 pm

1746	1	.2	.2	71.6
1750	5	.9	.9	72.4
1751	1	.2	.2	72.6
1753	2	.3	.3	72.9
1755	1	.2	.2	73.1
1758	1	.2	.2	73.3
1759	1	.2	.2	73.4
1800	6	1.0	1.0	74.5
1804	1	.2	.2	74.7
1805	6	1.0	1.0	75.7
1806	1	.2	.2	75.9
1807	1	.2	.2	76.0
1809	2	.3	.3	76.4
1810	7	1.2	1.2	77.6
1812	1	.2	.2	77.8
1813	1	.2	.2	77.9
1815	7	1.2	1.2	79.1
1816	1	.2	.2	79.3
1820	3	.5	.5	79.8
1825	3	.5	.5	80.3
1828	1	.2	.2	80.5

	1830	7	1.2	1.2	81.7
	1832	1	.2	.2	81.9
	1833	1	.2	.2	82.1
	1835	9	1.6	1.6	83.6
	1838	1	.2	.2	83.8
	1839	1	.2	.2	84.0
	1840	2	.3	.3	84.3
	1843	1	.2	.2	84.5
	1844	1	.2	.2	84.7
	1845	6	1.0	1.0	85.7
	1848	1	.2	.2	85.9
	1850	4	.7	.7	86.6
	1852	1	.2	.2	86.7
	1855	3	.5	.5	87.2
7 pm	1900	13	2.2	2.2	89.5
	1902	1	.2	.2	89.7
	1903	1	.2	.2	89.8
	1905	3	.5	.5	90.3
	1907	1	.2	.2	90.5
	1910	2	.3	.3	90.9
	1915	5	.9	.9	91.7
	1920	5	.9	.9	92.6
	1924	1	.2	.2	92.8
	1930	2	.3	.3	93.1
	1932	2	.3	.3	93.4
	1933	1	.2	.2	93.6
	1935	3	.5	.5	94.1
	1936	1	.2	.2	94.3
	1937	2	.3	.3	94.7
	1940	5	.9	.9	95.5
	1941	1	.2	.2	95.7

TIMEBEG exact time begun

	1944	1	.2	.2	95.9
	1945	2	.3	.3	96.2
	1946	1	.2	.2	96.4
	1948	1	.2	.2	96.6
	1950	2	.3	.3	96.9
	1956	1	.2	.2	97.1
8 pm	2000	1	.2	.2	97.2
	2001	1	.2	.2	97.4
	2002	1	.2	.2	97.6
	2005	1	.2	.2	97.8
	2015	2	.3	.3	98.1
	2021	1	.2	.2	98.3
	2025	1	.2	.2	98.4
	2030	5	.9	.9	99.3
	2042	1	.2	.2	99.5
9 pm	2100	1	.2	.2	99.7
	2119	1	.2	.2	99.8
	2210	1	.2	.2	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

RECLET R received letter

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	420	72.4	72.4	72.4
no	5	159	27.4	27.4	99.8
dk	8	1	.2	.2	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

READLET R read letter

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	358	61.7	81.2	81.2
no	5	82	14.1	18.6	99.8
dk	8	1	.2	.2	100.0
inap	0	139	24.0	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 441 Missing cases 139

RCITY city of residence of R

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	15	12	2.1	2.1	2.1
	16	16	2.8	2.8	4.8
	18	3	.5	.5	5.3
	20	1	.2	.2	5.5
	25	7	1.2	1.2	6.7
	32	6	1.0	1.0	7.8
	33	5	.9	.9	8.6
	34	8	1.4	1.4	10.0
	35	18	3.1	3.1	13.1
	36	2	.3	.3	13.4
	37	26	4.5	4.5	17.9
	107	3	.5	.5	18.4
	108	8	1.4	1.4	19.8
	111	19	3.3	3.3	23.1
	112	6	1.0	1.0	24.1
	114	12	2.1	2.1	26.2
	115	3	.5	.5	26.7
	124	1	.2	.2	26.9
	129	4	.7	.7	27.6
	132	7	1.2	1.2	28.8
	133	5	.9	.9	29.7
	137	2	.3	.3	30.0
	138	5	.9	.9	30.9
	139	10	1.7	1.7	32.6
	142	4	.7	.7	33.3
	143	12	2.1	2.1	35.3
	147	1	.2	.2	35.5
	148	6	1.0	1.0	36.6
	149	11	1.9	1.9	38.4
	152	7	1.2	1.2	39.7
	155	11	1.9	1.9	41.6
	158	15	2.6	2.6	44.1
	159	10	1.7	1.7	45.9
	161	7	1.2	1.2	47.1
	162	17	2.9	2.9	50.0
	167	2	.3	.3	50.3
	201	4	.7	.7	51.0
	203	6	1.0	1.0	52.1
	204	17	2.9	2.9	55.0
	205	12	2.1	2.1	57.1
	206	10	1.7	1.7	58.8
	207	120	20.7	20.7	79.5
	216	1	.2	.2	79.7
	217	5	.9	.9	80.5
	221	4	.7	.7	81.2
	222	4	.7	.7	81.9

RCITY city of residence of R



	224	7	1.2	1.2	83.1
	225	9	1.6	1.6	84.7
	226	23	4.0	4.0	88.6
	236	11	1.9	1.9	90.5
	237	1	.2	.2	90.7
	238	5	.9	.9	91.6
	240	9	1.6	1.6	93.1
	241	11	1.9	1.9	95.0
	244	19	3.3	3.3	98.3
	246	8	1.4	1.4	99.7
na	999	2	.3	.3	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

A1 Years Current Residence

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
one year or less	1	56	9.7	9.7	9.7
	2	42	7.2	7.2	16.9
	3	27	4.7	4.7	21.6
	4	24	4.1	4.1	25.7
	5	19	3.3	3.3	29.0
	6	27	4.7	4.7	33.6
	7	11	1.9	1.9	35.5
	8	12	2.1	2.1	37.6
	9	14	2.4	2.4	40.0
	10	21	3.6	3.6	43.6
	11	5	.9	.9	44.5
	12	11	1.9	1.9	46.4
	13	3	.5	.5	46.9
	14	4	.7	.7	47.6
	15	7	1.2	1.2	48.8
	16	11	1.9	1.9	50.7
	17	12	2.1	2.1	52.8
	18	12	2.1	2.1	54.8
	19	6	1.0	1.0	55.9
	20	19	3.3	3.3	59.1
	21	9	1.6	1.6	60.7
	22	6	1.0	1.0	61.7
	23	5	.9	.9	62.6
	24	6	1.0	1.0	63.6
	25	7	1.2	1.2	64.8
	26	10	1.7	1.7	66.6
	27	5	.9	.9	67.4
	28	6	1.0	1.0	68.4
	29	5	.9	.9	69.3
	30	10	1.7	1.7	71.0
	31	1	.2	.2	71.2
	32	4	.7	.7	71.9
	33	6	1.0	1.0	72.9
	34	9	1.6	1.6	74.5
	35	9	1.6	1.6	76.0
	36	5	.9	.9	76.9
	37	2	.3	.3	77.2
	38	6	1.0	1.0	78.3
	39	1	.2	.2	78.4
	40	11	1.9	1.9	80.3
	41	5	.9	.9	81.2
	42	5	.9	.9	82.1
	43	7	1.2	1.2	83.3
	44	1	.2	.2	83.4
	45	1	.2	.2	83.6
	46	2	.3	.3	84.0

A1 Years Current Residence

	47	2	.3	.3	84.3
--	----	---	----	----	------

	48	4	.7	.7	85.0
	50	3	.5	.5	85.5
	51	2	.3	.3	85.9
	52	1	.2	.2	86.0
	53	1	.2	.2	86.2
	54	1	.2	.2	86.4
	55	1	.2	.2	86.6
	57	1	.2	.2	86.7
	58	1	.2	.2	86.9
	59	1	.2	.2	87.1
	63	1	.2	.2	87.2
	65	1	.2	.2	87.4
	66	1	.2	.2	87.6
	71	1	.2	.2	87.8
	77	1	.2	.2	87.9
all my life	95	69	11.9	11.9	99.8
na	99	1	.2	.2	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

A2 Live temporarily

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	65	11.2	58.6	58.6
no	5	46	7.9	41.4	100.0
inap, 1-94 in A1	0	469	80.9	Missing	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 111 Missing cases 469

A2A city lived temporarily

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	36	1	.2	1.7	1.7
	133	1	.2	1.7	3.4
	137	1	.2	1.7	5.1
	152	1	.2	1.7	6.8
	155	1	.2	1.7	8.5
	204	1	.2	1.7	10.2
	207	2	.3	3.4	13.6
	210	1	.2	1.7	15.3
	220	1	.2	1.7	16.9
	227	1	.2	1.7	18.6
	232	1	.2	1.7	20.3
	233	1	.2	1.7	22.0
	234	1	.2	1.7	23.7
	241	1	.2	1.7	25.4
Other Michigan	995	9	1.6	15.3	40.7
Other US	996	35	6.0	59.3	100.0
inap, not 95 in A1	0	521	89.8	Missing	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 59 Missing cases 521

A3 Lived outside US

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	87	15.0	17.2	17.2
no	5	405	69.8	80.0	97.2
na	9	14	2.4	2.8	100.0
inap	0	74	12.8	Missing	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 506 Missing cases 74

A4 Lived another state

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	239	41.2	47.1	47.1
no	5	254	43.8	50.1	97.2
na	9	14	2.4	2.8	100.0
inap	0	73	12.6	Missing	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 507 Missing cases 73

A5 birthplace

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	11	1	.2	.2	.2
	17	1	.2	.2	.3
	20	1	.2	.2	.5
	25	8	1.4	1.4	1.9
	26	1	.2	.2	2.1
	32	3	.5	.5	2.6
	34	5	.9	.9	3.4
	36	1	.2	.2	3.6
	37	7	1.2	1.2	4.8
	38	1	.2	.2	5.0
	103	4	.7	.7	5.7
	112	1	.2	.2	5.9
	114	2	.3	.3	6.2
	115	1	.2	.2	6.4
	116	3	.5	.5	6.9
	119	1	.2	.2	7.1
	129	1	.2	.2	7.2
	133	3	.5	.5	7.8
	138	1	.2	.2	7.9
	139	2	.3	.3	8.3
	143	1	.2	.2	8.4
	148	5	.9	.9	9.3
	149	2	.3	.3	9.7
	151	1	.2	.2	9.8
	152	4	.7	.7	10.5
	155	3	.5	.5	11.0
	158	1	.2	.2	11.2
	159	2	.3	.3	11.6
	160	2	.3	.3	11.9
	161	1	.2	.2	12.1
	162	1	.2	.2	12.2
	167	1	.2	.2	12.4
	201	4	.7	.7	13.1
	202	1	.2	.2	13.3
	204	2	.3	.3	13.6
	205	3	.5	.5	14.1
	206	6	1.0	1.0	15.2
	207	200	34.5	34.5	49.7
	209	1	.2	.2	49.8

210	3	.5	.5	50.3
213	2	.3	.3	50.7
217	2	.3	.3	51.0
220	8	1.4	1.4	52.4
222	6	1.0	1.0	53.4
224	2	.3	.3	53.8
225	5	.9	.9	54.7

A5 birthplace

226	2	.3	.3	55.0
227	1	.2	.2	55.2
232	2	.3	.3	55.5
233	6	1.0	1.0	56.6
235	1	.2	.2	56.7
236	1	.2	.2	56.9
238	1	.2	.2	57.1
240	3	.5	.5	57.6
241	6	1.0	1.0	58.6
244	5	.9	.9	59.5
245	1	.2	.2	59.7
246	4	.7	.7	60.3
Other Michigan	995	44	7.6	67.9
Other US	996	146	25.2	93.1
other	997	40	6.9	100.0
Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

A5A1 month live in US

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
january	1	3	.5	9.7	9.7
february	2	3	.5	9.7	19.4
march	3	5	.9	16.1	35.5
may	5	3	.5	9.7	45.2
june	6	1	.2	3.2	48.4
july	7	1	.2	3.2	51.6
august	8	2	.3	6.5	58.1
september	9	2	.3	6.5	64.5
october	10	2	.3	6.5	71.0
november	11	2	.3	6.5	77.4
december	12	2	.3	6.5	83.9
dk	98	3	.5	9.7	93.5
na	99	2	.3	6.5	100.0
inap	0	549	94.7	Missing	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 31 Missing cases 549

A5A2 year live in US

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	23	2	.3	5.7	5.7
	38	1	.2	2.9	8.6
	49	2	.3	5.7	14.3
	50	2	.3	5.7	20.0
	57	3	.5	8.6	28.6
	60	1	.2	2.9	31.4
	63	2	.3	5.7	37.1
	64	2	.3	5.7	42.9

	68	3	.5	8.6	51.4
	70	1	.2	2.9	54.3
	71	1	.2	2.9	57.1
	72	1	.2	2.9	60.0
	76	2	.3	5.7	65.7
	77	2	.3	5.7	71.4
	79	1	.2	2.9	74.3
	80	3	.5	8.6	82.9
	84	1	.2	2.9	85.7
	87	2	.3	5.7	91.4
	91	1	.2	2.9	94.3
na	99	2	.3	5.7	100.0
inap	0	545	94.0	Missing	

Total 580 100.0 100.0

Valid cases 35 Missing cases 545

A6 residence before 16

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
farm	1	28	4.8	4.8	4.8
country	2	44	7.6	7.6	12.4
small town	3	118	20.3	20.3	32.8
medium city	4	81	14.0	14.0	46.7
large city	5	115	19.8	19.8	66.6
suburb lrg city	6	75	12.9	12.9	79.5
very large city	7	98	16.9	16.9	96.4
suburb vry lrg city	8	21	3.6	3.6	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

B1 neighborhood rating

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
worst	1	4	.7	.7	.7
	2	3	.5	.5	1.2
	3	13	2.2	2.2	3.4
	4	22	3.8	3.8	7.2
	5	54	9.3	9.3	16.6
	6	54	9.3	9.3	25.9
	7	107	18.4	18.4	44.3
	8	162	27.9	27.9	72.2
	9	84	14.5	14.5	86.7
best	10	74	12.8	12.8	99.5
dk	98	1	.2	.2	99.7
na	99	2	.3	.3	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

B3 city services

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
always	1	22	3.8	3.8	3.8
often	2	16	2.8	2.8	6.6
sometimes	3	131	22.6	22.6	29.1

never	4	405	69.8	69.8	99.0
na	9	6	1.0	1.0	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

B4 crime

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
always	1	31	5.3	5.3	5.3
often	2	30	5.2	5.2	10.5
sometimes	3	304	52.4	52.4	62.9
never	4	215	37.1	37.1	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

B6 police protection

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
excellent	1	146	25.2	25.2	25.2
good	2	298	51.4	51.4	76.6
fair	3	88	15.2	15.2	91.7
poor	4	35	6.0	6.0	97.8
dk	8	13	2.2	2.2	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

B7 public schools

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
excellent	1	94	16.2	16.2	16.2
good	2	252	43.4	43.4	59.7
fair	3	124	21.4	21.4	81.0
poor	4	45	7.8	7.8	88.8
dk	8	65	11.2	11.2	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

B8 shopping

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
excellent	1	172	29.7	29.7	29.7
good	2	269	46.4	46.4	76.0
fair	3	93	16.0	16.0	92.1
poor	4	45	7.8	7.8	99.8

dk	8	1	.2	.2	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

C1 health care

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
favor	1	441	76.0	76.0	76.0
oppose	5	126	21.7	21.7	97.8
dk	8	8	1.4	1.4	99.1
na	9	5	.9	.9	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

C1A higher taxes

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	310	53.4	69.0	69.0
no	5	127	21.9	28.3	97.3
other	7	3	.5	.7	98.0
dk	8	5	.9	1.1	99.1
na	9	4	.7	.9	100.0
inap	0	131	22.6	Missing	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 449 Missing cases 131

C1B limited choice

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	172	29.7	38.3	38.3
no	5	266	45.9	59.2	97.6
other	7	1	.2	.2	97.8
dk	8	7	1.2	1.6	99.3
na	9	3	.5	.7	100.0
inap	0	131	22.6	Missing	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 449 Missing cases 131

C2 kinds of taxes

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
sales tax	1	272	46.9	46.9	46.9
income tax	2	232	40.0	40.0	86.9
property tax	3	61	10.5	10.5	97.4
other	7	3	.5	.5	97.9
dk	8	8	1.4	1.4	99.3

na	9	4	.7	.7	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

C3 finding work US

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
harder	1	290	50.0	50.0	50.0
same	2	196	33.8	33.8	83.8
easier	3	88	15.2	15.2	99.0
dk	8	6	1.0	1.0	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

C3A finding work county

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
harder	1	219	37.8	37.8	37.8
same	2	247	42.6	42.6	80.3
easier	3	84	14.5	14.5	94.8
dk	8	19	3.3	3.3	98.1
na	9	11	1.9	1.9	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

C5 money people earn

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
great difference	1	228	39.3	39.3	39.3
some difference	2	301	51.9	51.9	91.2
almost none	3	39	6.7	6.7	97.9
other	7	3	.5	.5	98.4
dk	8	5	.9	.9	99.3
na	9	4	.7	.7	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

C6A SAB CHECKPOINT

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
iweread	1	31	5.3	10.8	10.8
R read	2	253	43.6	88.5	99.3
na	9	2	.3	.7	100.0
inap, form b	0	294	50.7	Missing	



		Total	580	100.0	100.0
Valid cases	286	Missing cases	294		

C6B Patriotism

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
support US	1	194	33.4	33.4	33.4
watch gov	2	378	65.2	65.2	98.6
other	7	3	.5	.5	99.1
dk	8	2	.3	.3	99.5
na	9	3	.5	.5	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

C7 NAFTA

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
help on whole	1	142	24.5	24.5	24.5
hurt on whole	2	135	23.3	23.3	47.8
help business	3	246	42.4	42.4	90.2
dk	8	46	7.9	7.9	98.1
na	9	11	1.9	1.9	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

C8 protest NAFTA

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly agree	1	90	15.5	15.5	15.5
agree	2	215	37.1	37.1	52.6
neither	3	164	28.3	28.3	80.9
disagree	4	60	10.3	10.3	91.2
strongly disagree	5	15	2.6	2.6	93.8
dk	8	24	4.1	4.1	97.9
na	9	12	2.1	2.1	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

C9A SAB CHECKPOINT

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
iweread	1	27	4.7	9.5	9.5
R read	2	256	44.1	89.8	99.3
na	9	2	.3	.7	100.0
inap, form b	0	295	50.9	Missing	

Total 580 100.0 100.0

Valid cases 285 Missing cases 295

C9B gov get job

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
a lot	1	265	45.7	45.7	45.7
quite a bit	2	165	28.4	28.4	74.1
a little	3	112	19.3	19.3	93.4
nothing	4	33	5.7	5.7	99.1
other	7	1	.2	.2	99.3
dk	8	2	.3	.3	99.7
na	9	2	.3	.3	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

C10 gov minimum income

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
a lot	1	277	47.8	47.8	47.8
quite a bit	2	140	24.1	24.1	71.9
a little	3	120	20.7	20.7	92.6
nothing	4	32	5.5	5.5	98.1
other	7	1	.2	.2	98.3
dk	8	2	.3	.3	98.6
na	9	8	1.4	1.4	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

C11 gov serves few

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly agree	1	132	22.8	22.8	22.8
somewhat agree	2	285	49.1	49.1	71.9
somewhat disagree	3	117	20.2	20.2	92.1
strongly disagree	4	37	6.4	6.4	98.4
dk	8	3	.5	.5	99.0
na	9	6	1.0	1.0	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

C12 weak and strong

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly agree	1	101	17.4	17.4	17.4
somewhat agree	2	183	31.6	31.6	49.0
somewhat disagree	3	154	26.6	26.6	75.5

strongly disagree	4	133	22.9	22.9	98.4
dk	8	2	.3	.3	98.8
na	9	7	1.2	1.2	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

-----

C13FRST why poor people--1

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
no skills	1	87	15.0	15.0	15.0
low wages	2	131	22.6	22.6	37.6
no effort	3	134	23.1	23.1	60.7
poor education	4	116	20.0	20.0	80.7
poor jobs	5	40	6.9	6.9	87.6
lack of ability	6	61	10.5	10.5	98.1
dk	8	3	.5	.5	98.6
na	9	8	1.4	1.4	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

C13SCND why poor people--2

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum. Percent
no skills	1	67	11.6	11.6	11.6
low wages	2	98	16.9	17.0	28.5
no effort	3	110	19.0	19.0	47.6
poor education	4	97	16.7	16.8	64.4
poor jobs	5	76	13.1	13.1	77.5
lack of ability	6	111	19.1	19.2	96.7
dk	8	7	1.2	1.2	97.9
na	9	12	2.1	2.1	100.0
inap	0	2	.3	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 578 Missing cases 2

-----

C13A least important

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
no skills	1	90	15.5	15.5	15.5
low wages	2	41	7.1	7.1	22.6
no effort	3	63	10.9	10.9	33.4
poor education	4	81	14.0	14.0	47.4
poor jobs	5	78	13.4	13.4	60.9
lack of ability	6	204	35.2	35.2	96.0
dk	7	1	.2	.2	96.2
na	8	10	1.7	1.7	97.9
	9	12	2.1	2.1	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

C14 special tax breaks

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly favor	1	70	12.1	12.1	12.1
favor	2	240	41.4	41.4	53.4
neither	3	119	20.5	20.5	74.0
oppose	4	97	16.7	16.7	90.7
strongly oppose	5	49	8.4	8.4	99.1
na	9	5	.9	.9	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

C15 preschool programs

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly favor	1	176	30.3	30.3	30.3
favor	2	236	40.7	40.7	71.0
neither	3	77	13.3	13.3	84.3
oppose	4	61	10.5	10.5	94.8
strongly oppose	5	26	4.5	4.5	99.3
dk	8	1	.2	.2	99.5
na	9	3	.5	.5	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

C16 WHY BLACKS WORSE OFF THAN WHITES

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Because of Blacks th	1	106	18.3	37.1	37.1
Whites' treatment of	2	97	16.7	33.9	71.0
both	3	69	11.9	24.1	95.1
other	7	4	.7	1.4	96.5
dk	8	1	.2	.3	96.9
na	9	9	1.6	3.1	100.0
inap, form b	0	294	50.7	Missing	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 286 Missing cases 294

C17A democratic or not

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly agree	1	80	13.8	13.8	13.8
somewhat agree	2	298	51.4	51.4	65.2
somewhat disagree	3	155	26.7	26.7	91.9
strongly disagree	4	30	5.2	5.2	97.1
dk	8	12	2.1	2.1	99.1
na	9	5	.9	.9	100.0

Total 580 100.0 100.0

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

C17B one way

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly agree	1	56	9.7	9.7	9.7
somewhat agree	2	136	23.4	23.4	33.1
somewhat disagree	3	257	44.3	44.3	77.4
strongly disagree	4	131	22.6	22.6	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

D1 marital status

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
married	1	289	49.8	49.8	49.8
partner	2	26	4.5	4.5	54.3
widowed	3	64	11.0	11.0	65.3
divorced	4	67	11.6	11.6	76.9
separated	5	18	3.1	3.1	80.0
never married	6	115	19.8	19.8	99.8
other	7	1	.2	.2	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

D2 number of children

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
no children	0	159	27.4	27.4	27.4
	1	91	15.7	15.7	43.1
	2	148	25.5	25.5	68.6
	3	92	15.9	15.9	84.5
	4	50	8.6	8.6	93.1
	5	22	3.8	3.8	96.9
	6	4	.7	.7	97.6
	7	6	1.0	1.0	98.6
	8	4	.7	.7	99.3
	9	3	.5	.5	99.8
	12	1	.2	.2	100.0
	Total		580	100.0	100.0

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

D3 private school

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	149	25.7	37.3	37.3

no	5	250	43.1	62.7	100.0
inap, 0 in D2	0	181	31.2	Missing	
		-----	-----		
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 399 Missing cases 181

D3A parochial school

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	109	18.8	72.2	72.2
no	5	42	7.2	27.8	100.0
inap, 0 in D2; 0,2 i	0	429	74.0	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 151 Missing cases 429

D4A month of birth

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
January	1	42	7.2	7.2	7.2
February	2	41	7.1	7.1	14.3
March	3	45	7.8	7.8	22.1
April	4	48	8.3	8.3	30.3
May	5	53	9.1	9.1	39.5
June	6	60	10.3	10.3	49.8
July	7	51	8.8	8.8	58.6
August	8	49	8.4	8.4	67.1
September	9	51	8.8	8.8	75.9
October	10	37	6.4	6.4	82.2
November	11	46	7.9	7.9	90.2
December	12	51	8.8	8.8	99.0
na	99	6	1.0	1.0	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

D4B day of birth

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	1	19	3.3	3.3	3.3
	2	17	2.9	2.9	6.2
	3	17	2.9	2.9	9.1
	4	23	4.0	4.0	13.1
	5	15	2.6	2.6	15.7
	6	22	3.8	3.8	19.5
	7	23	4.0	4.0	23.4
	8	12	2.1	2.1	25.5
	9	21	3.6	3.6	29.1
	10	22	3.8	3.8	32.9
	11	26	4.5	4.5	37.4
	12	11	1.9	1.9	39.3
	13	23	4.0	4.0	43.3
	14	19	3.3	3.3	46.6
	15	22	3.8	3.8	50.3
	16	24	4.1	4.1	54.5
	17	21	3.6	3.6	58.1
	18	17	2.9	2.9	61.0

	19	16	2.8	2.8	63.8
	20	18	3.1	3.1	66.9
	21	14	2.4	2.4	69.3
	22	21	3.6	3.6	72.9
	23	16	2.8	2.8	75.7
	24	15	2.6	2.6	78.3
	25	23	4.0	4.0	82.2
	26	14	2.4	2.4	84.7
	27	16	2.8	2.8	87.4
	28	19	3.3	3.3	90.7
	29	23	4.0	4.0	94.7
	30	23	4.0	4.0	98.6
	31	2	.3	.3	99.0
na	99	6	1.0	1.0	100.0

Total	580	100.0	100.0
-------	-----	-------	-------

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

D4C year of birth

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	6	2	.3	.3	.3
	10	2	.3	.3	.7
	11	4	.7	.7	1.4
	12	2	.3	.3	1.7
	13	2	.3	.3	2.1
	14	3	.5	.5	2.6
	15	6	1.0	1.0	3.6
	16	3	.5	.5	4.1
	17	4	.7	.7	4.8
	18	2	.3	.3	5.2
	19	5	.9	.9	6.0
	20	3	.5	.5	6.6
	21	8	1.4	1.4	7.9
	22	5	.9	.9	8.8
	23	8	1.4	1.4	10.2
	24	9	1.6	1.6	11.7
	25	4	.7	.7	12.4
	26	8	1.4	1.4	13.8
	27	8	1.4	1.4	15.2
	28	5	.9	.9	16.0
	29	7	1.2	1.2	17.2
	30	12	2.1	2.1	19.3
	31	6	1.0	1.0	20.3
	32	8	1.4	1.4	21.7
	33	8	1.4	1.4	23.1
	34	3	.5	.5	23.6
	35	5	.9	.9	24.5
	36	9	1.6	1.6	26.0
	37	5	.9	.9	26.9
	38	5	.9	.9	27.8
	39	7	1.2	1.2	29.0
	40	7	1.2	1.2	30.2
	41	5	.9	.9	31.0
	42	8	1.4	1.4	32.4
	43	8	1.4	1.4	33.8
	44	6	1.0	1.0	34.8
	45	5	.9	.9	35.7
	46	9	1.6	1.6	37.2
	47	14	2.4	2.4	39.7
	48	8	1.4	1.4	41.0
	49	7	1.2	1.2	42.2
	50	12	2.1	2.1	44.3
	51	14	2.4	2.4	46.7
	52	14	2.4	2.4	49.1
	53	17	2.9	2.9	52.1
	54	14	2.4	2.4	54.5

D4C year of birth

55	18	3.1	3.1	57.6
56	15	2.6	2.6	60.2
57	18	3.1	3.1	63.3
58	17	2.9	2.9	66.2
59	15	2.6	2.6	68.8
60	17	2.9	2.9	71.7
61	19	3.3	3.3	75.0
62	12	2.1	2.1	77.1
63	10	1.7	1.7	78.8
64	13	2.2	2.2	81.0
65	16	2.8	2.8	83.8
66	8	1.4	1.4	85.2
67	13	2.2	2.2	87.4
68	13	2.2	2.2	89.7
69	8	1.4	1.4	91.0
70	11	1.9	1.9	92.9
71	5	.9	.9	93.8
72	9	1.6	1.6	95.3
73	8	1.4	1.4	96.7
74	4	.7	.7	97.4
75	5	.9	.9	98.3
76	3	.5	.5	98.8
na	99	1.2	1.2	100.0

Total 580 100.0 100.0

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

D5 race

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
white	1	429	74.0	74.0	74.0
black/african-american	2	116	20.0	20.0	94.0
asian	3	7	1.2	1.2	95.2
american indian	4	5	.9	.9	96.0
other	7	23	4.0	4.0	100.0

Total 580 100.0 100.0

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

D5A Hispanic?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	19	3.3	3.3	3.3
no	5	558	96.2	96.2	99.5
na	9	3	.5	.5	100.0

Total 580 100.0 100.0

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

D6 lib-con scale

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
extremely liberal	1	11	1.9	1.9	1.9



liberal	2	47	8.1	8.1	10.0
slightly liberal	3	81	14.0	14.0	24.0
moderate	4	241	41.6	41.6	65.5
slightly conservativ	5	93	16.0	16.0	81.6
conservative	6	74	12.8	12.8	94.3
extremely conservati	7	14	2.4	2.4	96.7
dk	8	9	1.6	1.6	98.3
haven't thought abou	9	10	1.7	1.7	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

D7 belong to a class

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	408	70.3	70.3	70.3
no	5	162	27.9	27.9	98.3
dk	8	9	1.6	1.6	99.8
na	9	1	.2	.2	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

D7AB which class

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
middle	1	284	49.0	49.1	49.1
working	2	270	46.6	46.7	95.8
other	7	20	3.4	3.5	99.3
dk	8	2	.3	.3	99.7
na	9	2	.3	.3	100.0
inap, 9 in D7	0	2	.3	Missing	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 578 Missing cases 2

71  
209  
~~204~~  
63  
204

D7C average or upper middle

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
average	4	209	36.0	74.6	74.6
upper	6	71	12.2	25.4	100.0
inap, 2,7 in d7ab	0	300	51.7	Missing	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 280 Missing cases 300

D7D average or upper working

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
average	1	204	35.2	75.6	75.6

upper	2	63	10.9	23.3	98.9
other	7	1	.2	.4	99.3
dk	8	.2	.3	.7	100.0
inap, 1,7 in D7ab	0	310	53.4	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 270 Missing cases 310

D8 religion

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
protestant	1	237	40.9	40.9	40.9
catholic	2	195	33.6	33.6	74.5
jewish	3	22	3.8	3.8	78.3
atheist, no preferen	5	67	11.6	11.6	89.8
other	7	56	9.7	9.7	99.5
na	9	3	.5	.5	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

D8A denomination

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	14	1	.2	.3	.3
	15	5	.9	1.7	2.1
	18	83	14.3	28.5	30.6
	22	3	.5	1.0	31.6
	28	29	5.0	10.0	41.6
	32	1	.2	.3	41.9
	34	3	.5	1.0	43.0
	38	38	6.6	13.1	56.0
	40	1	.2	.3	56.4
	43	1	.2	.3	56.7
	48	18	3.1	6.2	62.9
episcopal	50	7	1.2	2.4	65.3
	61	35	6.0	12.0	77.3
	62	5	.9	1.7	79.0
	63	10	1.7	3.4	82.5
	65	15	2.6	5.2	87.6
	71	6	1.0	2.1	89.7
muslim	81	3	.5	1.0	90.7
other non-christian	83	6	1.0	2.1	92.8
other	97	15	2.6	5.2	97.9
dk	98	3	.5	1.0	99.0
na	99	3	.5	1.0	100.0
inap, 2,3,5 in D8	0	289	49.8	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 291 Missing cases 289

D9 attend church

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
every week	1	113	19.5	19.5	19.5
almost every	2	71	12.2	12.2	31.7
1-2 a month	3	86	14.8	14.8	46.6

few times a year	4	202	34.8	34.8	81.4
never	5	107	18.4	18.4	99.8
na	9	1	.2	.2	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

D10 religion important

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
very	1	222	38.3	45.5	45.5
quite	2	115	19.8	23.6	69.1
somewhat	3	134	23.1	27.5	96.5
not at all	4	15	2.6	3.1	99.6
na	9	2	.3	.4	100.0
inap, 5 in d9	0	92	15.9	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 488 Missing cases 92

D11 party preference

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
republican	1	124	21.4	21.4	21.4
independent	2	177	30.5	30.6	52.0
no preference	3	63	10.9	10.9	62.9
other party	4	8	1.4	1.4	64.2
democrat	5	205	35.3	35.4	99.7
other	7	1	.2	.2	99.8
na	9	1	.2	.2	100.0
	0	1	.2	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 579 Missing cases 1

D12FST work status - 1

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
part-time work	1	89	15.3	15.3	15.3
full-time work	2	308	53.1	53.1	68.4
laid off	3	7	1.2	1.2	69.7
sick leave	4	3	.5	.5	70.2
retired	5	90	15.5	15.5	85.7
unemployed	6	23	4.0	4.0	89.7
disabled	7	14	2.4	2.4	92.1
homemaker	8	38	6.6	6.6	98.6
student	9	4	.7	.7	99.3
other	10	4	.7	.7	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

D12SCD work status - 2

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
full-time work	2	8	1.4	6.5	6.5
sick leave	4	2	.3	1.6	8.1
retired	5	11	1.9	8.9	16.9
unemployed	6	2	.3	1.6	18.5
disabled	7	3	.5	2.4	21.0
homemaker	8	64	11.0	51.6	72.6
student	9	29	5.0	23.4	96.0
other	10	5	.9	4.0	100.0
inap	0	456	78.6	Missing	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 124 Missing cases 456

D12THD work status - 3

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
homemaker	8	2	.3	22.2	22.2
student	9	7	1.2	77.8	100.0
inap	0	571	98.4	Missing	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 9 Missing cases 571

D13 worked last 10 years

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	54	9.3	56.3	56.3
no	5	41	7.1	42.7	99.0
na	9	1	.2	1.0	100.0
inap, 1-5 in D12	0	484	83.4	Missing	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 96 Missing cases 484

D14 supervisor

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	315	54.3	77.4	77.4
no	5	91	15.7	22.4	99.8
na	9	1	.2	.2	100.0
inap, 5-10 in d12	0	173	29.8	Missing	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 407 Missing cases 173

D14A race, gender of supervisor

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
white male	1	184	31.7	58.0	58.0
white female	2	78	13.4	24.6	82.6
black male	3	21	3.6	6.6	89.3
black female	4	20	3.4	6.3	95.6
other	7	13	2.2	4.1	99.7
na	9	1	.2	.3	100.0
inap	0	263	45.3	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 317 Missing cases 263

D14B supervisor's boss

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	251	43.3	78.9	78.9
no	5	66	11.4	20.8	99.7
na	9	1	.2	.3	100.0
inap	0	262	45.2	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 318 Missing cases 262

D15 do you supervise

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	140	24.1	34.3	34.3
no	5	267	46.0	65.4	99.8
na	9	1	.2	.2	100.0
inap	0	172	29.7	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 408 Missing cases 172

D15A employee supervise?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	38	6.6	27.1	27.1
no	5	101	17.4	72.1	99.3
na	9	1	.2	.7	100.0
inap	0	440	75.9	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 140 Missing cases 440

D16 job title

Valid Cum

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Percent
	4	3	.5	.6	.6
	5	1	.2	.2	.7
	6	1	.2	.2	.9
	7	5	.9	.9	1.9
	8	2	.3	.4	2.2
	13	3	.5	.6	2.8
	14	2	.3	.4	3.2
	16	1	.2	.2	3.3
	19	7	1.2	1.3	4.6
	23	9	1.6	1.7	6.3
	25	5	.9	.9	7.2
	26	3	.5	.6	7.8
	27	2	.3	.4	8.2
	29	1	.2	.2	8.3
	35	1	.2	.2	8.5
	37	1	.2	.2	8.7
	53	1	.2	.2	8.9
	55	3	.5	.6	9.5
	56	1	.2	.2	9.6
	57	1	.2	.2	9.8
	59	7	1.2	1.3	11.1
	64	1	.2	.2	11.3
	66	1	.2	.2	11.5
	79	1	.2	.2	11.7
	84	2	.3	.4	12.1
	85	1	.2	.2	12.2
	86	1	.2	.2	12.4
	89	2	.3	.4	12.8
	95	10	1.7	1.9	14.7
	96	4	.7	.7	15.4
	104	1	.2	.2	15.6
	105	2	.3	.4	16.0
	106	1	.2	.2	16.1
	123	1	.2	.2	16.3
	135	1	.2	.2	16.5
	138	1	.2	.2	16.7
	148	2	.3	.4	17.1
	153	1	.2	.2	17.3
	154	1	.2	.2	17.4
	155	1	.2	.2	17.6
	156	7	1.2	1.3	18.9
	158	4	.7	.7	19.7
	159	2	.3	.4	20.0
	163	2	.3	.4	20.4
	174	6	1.0	1.1	21.5
	176	4	.7	.7	22.3

D16 job title

	178	3	.5	.6	22.8
	183	1	.2	.2	23.0
	184	2	.3	.4	23.4
	185	1	.2	.2	23.6
	188	5	.9	.9	24.5
	197	1	.2	.2	24.7
	203	1	.2	.2	24.9
	205	2	.3	.4	25.2
	207	3	.5	.6	25.8
	208	3	.5	.6	26.3
	215	1	.2	.2	26.5
	216	2	.3	.4	26.9
	217	2	.3	.4	27.3
	229	2	.3	.4	27.6
	234	4	.7	.7	28.4
	235	4	.7	.7	29.1
	243	10	1.7	1.9	31.0
	253	1	.2	.2	31.2
	254	7	1.2	1.3	32.5
	256	1	.2	.2	32.7
	257	3	.5	.6	33.2
	259	1	.2	.2	33.4

263	1	.2	.2	33.6
264	3	.5	.6	34.1
266	2	.3	.4	34.5
268	1	.2	.2	34.7
269	1	.2	.2	34.9
274	5	.9	.9	35.8
275	7	1.2	1.3	37.1
276	16	2.8	3.0	40.1
277	1	.2	.2	40.3
278	1	.2	.2	40.4
283	2	.3	.4	40.8
285	1	.2	.2	41.0
303	3	.5	.6	41.6
305	2	.3	.4	41.9
307	8	1.4	1.5	43.4
308	7	1.2	1.3	44.7
313	21	3.6	3.9	48.6
319	3	.5	.6	49.2
323	1	.2	.2	49.4
327	1	.2	.2	49.5
336	1	.2	.2	49.7
337	9	1.6	1.7	51.4
338	1	.2	.2	51.6
339	2	.3	.4	51.9
344	2	.3	.4	52.3
345	1	.2	.2	52.5
348	2	.3	.4	52.9
353	3	.5	.6	53.4
355	2	.3	.4	53.8
364	5	.9	.9	54.7

D16 job title

365	3	.5	.6	55.3
374	3	.5	.6	55.8
375	1	.2	.2	56.0
376	1	.2	.2	56.2
379	1	.2	.2	56.4
383	5	.9	.9	57.3
385	2	.3	.4	57.7
389	3	.5	.6	58.3
403	1	.2	.2	58.4
405	4	.7	.7	59.2
406	2	.3	.4	59.6
407	4	.7	.7	60.3
417	1	.2	.2	60.5
418	3	.5	.6	61.0
423	1	.2	.2	61.2
424	2	.3	.4	61.6
426	3	.5	.6	62.2
427	2	.3	.4	62.5
433	2	.3	.4	62.9
434	3	.5	.6	63.5
435	4	.7	.7	64.2
438	6	1.0	1.1	65.3
439	1	.2	.2	65.5
443	1	.2	.2	65.7
444	2	.3	.4	66.0
445	3	.5	.6	66.6
446	5	.9	.9	67.5
447	8	1.4	1.5	69.0
448	5	.9	.9	69.9
449	2	.3	.4	70.3
453	6	1.0	1.1	71.4
457	1	.2	.2	71.6
458	5	.9	.9	72.5
459	1	.2	.2	72.7
465	1	.2	.2	72.9
466	1	.2	.2	73.1
468	2	.3	.4	73.5
469	3	.5	.6	74.0
473	1	.2	.2	74.2
484	1	.2	.2	74.4

486	1	.2	.2	74.6
503	2	.3	.4	75.0
505	4	.7	.7	75.7
514	7	1.2	1.3	77.0
516	2	.3	.4	77.4
518	5	.9	.9	78.3
523	1	.2	.2	78.5
527	1	.2	.2	78.7
533	1	.2	.2	78.8
534	2	.3	.4	79.2
535	1	.2	.2	79.4
544	1	.2	.2	79.6

D16      job title

547	1	.2	.2	79.8
549	1	.2	.2	80.0
558	2	.3	.4	80.3
563	2	.3	.4	80.7
567	2	.3	.4	81.1
573	1	.2	.2	81.3
575	2	.3	.4	81.6
584	1	.2	.2	81.8
594	1	.2	.2	82.0
595	1	.2	.2	82.2
598	1	.2	.2	82.4
599	7	1.2	1.3	83.7
623	1	.2	.2	83.9
633	3	.5	.6	84.4
634	1	.2	.2	84.6
635	1	.2	.2	84.8
636	2	.3	.4	85.2
637	2	.3	.4	85.5
653	2	.3	.4	85.9
655	1	.2	.2	86.1
658	1	.2	.2	86.3
666	3	.5	.6	86.8
667	1	.2	.2	87.0
668	2	.3	.4	87.4
688	2	.3	.4	87.8
694	1	.2	.2	87.9
699	1	.2	.2	88.1
703	1	.2	.2	88.3
706	2	.3	.4	88.7
709	1	.2	.2	88.9
715	2	.3	.4	89.2
717	2	.3	.4	89.6
719	1	.2	.2	89.8
737	2	.3	.4	90.2
759	2	.3	.4	90.5
766	1	.2	.2	90.7
777	2	.3	.4	91.1
779	2	.3	.4	91.5
783	5	.9	.9	92.4
785	9	1.6	1.7	94.1
786	2	.3	.4	94.4
787	1	.2	.2	94.6
793	1	.2	.2	94.8
795	1	.2	.2	95.0
796	3	.5	.6	95.5
797	1	.2	.2	95.7
804	4	.7	.7	96.5
805	1	.2	.2	96.7
806	4	.7	.7	97.4
809	1	.2	.2	97.6
814	3	.5	.6	98.1
844	1	.2	.2	98.3

D16      job title

859	1	.2	.2	98.5
869	1	.2	.2	98.7



	875	1	.2	.2	98.9
	883	1	.2	.2	99.1
	885	1	.2	.2	99.3
	887	1	.2	.2	99.4
	889	2	.3	.4	99.8
na	999	1	.2	.2	100.0
inap, 5 in d13	0	41	7.1	Missing	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 539 Missing cases 41

D17 business or industry

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	11	1	.2	.2	.2
	21	2	.3	.4	.6
	60	22	3.8	4.1	4.6
	100	1	.2	.2	4.8
	101	1	.2	.2	5.0
	112	1	.2	.2	5.2
	122	1	.2	.2	5.4
	161	1	.2	.2	5.6
	171	1	.2	.2	5.8
	172	2	.3	.4	6.1
	180	1	.2	.2	6.3
	181	1	.2	.2	6.5
	182	1	.2	.2	6.7
	201	1	.2	.2	6.9
	212	2	.3	.4	7.2
	222	1	.2	.2	7.4
	242	2	.3	.4	7.8
	250	3	.5	.6	8.4
	251	1	.2	.2	8.6
	270	3	.5	.6	9.1
	271	3	.5	.6	9.7
	280	1	.2	.2	9.9
	281	2	.3	.4	10.2
	282	1	.2	.2	10.4
	291	1	.2	.2	10.6
	300	3	.5	.6	11.2
	320	1	.2	.2	11.3
	322	1	.2	.2	11.5
	331	1	.2	.2	11.7
	342	1	.2	.2	11.9
	351	84	14.5	15.6	27.5
	362	1	.2	.2	27.7
	390	1	.2	.2	27.9
	392	2	.3	.4	28.3
	402	1	.2	.2	28.4
	410	7	1.2	1.3	29.7
	412	2	.3	.4	30.1
	421	3	.5	.6	30.7
	432	1	.2	.2	30.9
	440	1	.2	.2	31.0
	441	2	.3	.4	31.4
	442	2	.3	.4	31.8
	460	1	.2	.2	32.0
	461	2	.3	.4	32.3
	462	1	.2	.2	32.5
	471	4	.7	.7	33.3

D17 business or industry

	500	4	.7	.7	34.0
	501	1	.2	.2	34.2
	502	1	.2	.2	34.4
	510	1	.2	.2	34.6
	521	1	.2	.2	34.8

530	1	.2	.2	34.9
532	1	.2	.2	35.1
540	2	.3	.4	35.5
542	1	.2	.2	35.7
550	4	.7	.7	36.4
562	1	.2	.2	36.6
571	3	.5	.6	37.2
581	1	.2	.2	37.4
582	1	.2	.2	37.5
590	1	.2	.2	37.7
591	9	1.6	1.7	39.4
592	3	.5	.6	40.0
600	2	.3	.4	40.3
601	4	.7	.7	41.1
611	6	1.0	1.1	42.2
621	2	.3	.4	42.6
630	5	.9	.9	43.5
632	2	.3	.4	43.9
640	1	.2	.2	44.1
641	21	3.6	3.9	48.0
642	4	.7	.7	48.7
650	1	.2	.2	48.9
651	1	.2	.2	49.1
660	2	.3	.4	49.4
661	1	.2	.2	49.6
670	2	.3	.4	50.0
671	2	.3	.4	50.4
681	1	.2	.2	50.6
682	6	1.0	1.1	51.7
691	7	1.2	1.3	53.0
700	10	1.7	1.9	54.8
701	1	.2	.2	55.0
702	1	.2	.2	55.2
710	3	.5	.6	55.8
711	6	1.0	1.1	56.9
712	8	1.4	1.5	58.4
721	8	1.4	1.5	59.9
722	5	.9	.9	60.8
730	1	.2	.2	61.0
732	4	.7	.7	61.7
740	8	1.4	1.5	63.2
741	1	.2	.2	63.4
742	6	1.0	1.1	64.5
750	1	.2	.2	64.7
751	7	1.2	1.3	66.0
760	4	.7	.7	66.7
761	9	1.6	1.7	68.4

D17 business or industry

771	2	.3	.4	68.8
772	5	.9	.9	69.7
780	1	.2	.2	69.9
791	7	1.2	1.3	71.2
801	1	.2	.2	71.4
802	1	.2	.2	71.6
806	1	.2	.2	71.7
812	3	.5	.6	72.3
820	3	.5	.6	72.9
822	1	.2	.2	73.0
830	1	.2	.2	73.2
831	28	4.8	5.2	78.4
832	6	1.0	1.1	79.6
840	17	2.9	3.2	82.7
841	9	1.6	1.7	84.4
842	18	3.1	3.3	87.7
850	5	.9	.9	88.7
860	5	.9	.9	89.6
861	2	.3	.4	90.0
862	3	.5	.6	90.5
870	1	.2	.2	90.7
871	4	.7	.7	91.4
880	6	1.0	1.1	92.6

	881	1	.2	.2	92.8
	882	1	.2	.2	92.9
	890	3	.5	.6	93.5
	891	1	.2	.2	93.7
	892	4	.7	.7	94.4
	900	2	.3	.4	94.8
	901	10	1.7	1.9	96.7
	910	9	1.6	1.7	98.3
	921	2	.3	.4	98.7
	922	1	.2	.2	98.9
	932	3	.5	.6	99.4
na	999	3	.5	.6	100.0
inap, 5 in d13	0	42	7.2	Missing	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 538 Missing cases 42

D18 labor union member

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	253	43.6	43.6	43.6
no	5	327	56.4	56.4	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

D19 other labor union member

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	86	14.8	25.3	25.3
no	5	249	42.9	73.2	98.5
dk	8	3	.5	.9	99.4
na	9	2	.3	.6	100.0
inap, 1 in d18	0	240	41.4	Missing	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 340 Missing cases 240

D20 trouble finding job

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	164	28.3	28.3	28.3
no	5	413	71.2	71.3	99.7
na	9	2	.3	.3	100.0
	0	1	.2	Missing	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 579 Missing cases 1

D21 spouse working

Valid Cum

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Percent
yes	1	217	37.4	69.1	69.1
no	5	96	16.6	30.6	99.7
na	9	1	.2	.3	100.0
inap, 2-7 in dl	0	266	45.9	Missing	

Total	580	100.0	100.0
-------	-----	-------	-------

Valid cases 314 Missing cases 266

E1A SAB checkpoint

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
intwer read	1	27	4.7	9.5	9.5
r read	2	256	44.1	89.8	99.3
	7	1	.2	.4	99.6
na	9	1	.2	.4	100.0
inap, form b	0	295	50.9	Missing	

Total	580	100.0	100.0
-------	-----	-------	-------

Valid cases 285 Missing cases 295

E2A rate middle class

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
good neighbors	1	142	24.5	24.5	24.5
	2	123	21.2	21.2	45.7
	3	89	15.3	15.3	61.0
	4	163	28.1	28.1	89.1
	5	13	2.2	2.2	91.4
	6	2	.3	.3	91.7
not good	7	7	1.2	1.2	92.9
dk	8	11	1.9	1.9	94.8
na	9	30	5.2	5.2	100.0

Total	580	100.0	100.0
-------	-----	-------	-------

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E2B rate women

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
good neighbors	1	158	27.2	27.2	27.2
	2	118	20.3	20.3	47.6
	3	67	11.6	11.6	59.1
	4	174	30.0	30.0	89.1
	5	15	2.6	2.6	91.7
	6	6	1.0	1.0	92.8
not good	7	4	.7	.7	93.4
dk	8	14	2.4	2.4	95.9
na	9	24	4.1	4.1	100.0

Total	580	100.0	100.0
-------	-----	-------	-------

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E2C rate Jews

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
good neighbors	1	107	18.4	18.4	18.4
	2	99	17.1	17.1	35.5
	3	56	9.7	9.7	45.2
	4	186	32.1	32.1	77.2
	5	15	2.6	2.6	79.8
	6	6	1.0	1.0	80.9
not good	7	5	.9	.9	81.7
dk	8	68	11.7	11.7	93.4
na	9	38	6.6	6.6	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E2D rate Blacks

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
good neighbors	1	87	15.0	15.0	15.0
	2	79	13.6	13.6	28.6
	3	76	13.1	13.1	41.7
	4	202	34.8	34.8	76.6
	5	38	6.6	6.6	83.1
	6	16	2.8	2.8	85.9
not good	7	19	3.3	3.3	89.1
dk	8	34	5.9	5.9	95.0
na	9	29	5.0	5.0	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E2E rate men

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
good neighbors	1	113	19.5	19.5	19.5
	2	126	21.7	21.7	41.2
	3	82	14.1	14.1	55.3
	4	180	31.0	31.0	86.4
	5	22	3.8	3.8	90.2
	6	8	1.4	1.4	91.6
not good	7	6	1.0	1.0	92.6
dk	8	14	2.4	2.4	95.0
na	9	29	5.0	5.0	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E2F rate whites

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
-------------	-------	-----------	---------	---------------	-------------

good neighbors	1	117	20.2	20.2	20.2
	2	118	20.3	20.3	40.5
	3	77	13.3	13.3	53.8
	4	190	32.8	32.8	86.6
	5	18	3.1	3.1	89.7
	6	5	.9	.9	90.5
not good	7	5	.9	.9	91.4
dk	8	18	3.1	3.1	94.5
na	9	32	5.5	5.5	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E2G rate poor people

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
good neighbors	1	79	13.6	13.6	13.6
	2	65	11.2	11.2	24.8
	3	78	13.4	13.4	38.3
	4	217	37.4	37.4	75.7
	5	39	6.7	6.7	82.4
	6	34	5.9	5.9	88.3
not good	7	17	2.9	2.9	91.2
dk	8	21	3.6	3.6	94.8
na	9	30	5.2	5.2	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E2H rate Arab-Americans

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
good neighbors	1	70	12.1	12.1	12.1
	2	61	10.5	10.5	22.6
	3	56	9.7	9.7	32.2
	4	189	32.6	32.6	64.8
	5	47	8.1	8.1	72.9
	6	32	5.5	5.5	78.4
not good	7	32	5.5	5.5	84.0
dk	8	53	9.1	9.1	93.1
na	9	40	6.9	6.9	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E2I1 R justifies

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
marked	1	52	9.0	18.2	18.2
not marked	2	234	40.3	81.8	100.0
inap, form a	0	294	50.7	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 286 Missing cases 294

E2I2 Judge as individuals

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
marked	1	59	10.2	20.6	20.6
not marked	2	227	39.1	79.4	100.0
inap, form a	0	294	50.7	Missing	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	286	Missing cases	294		

E2I3 R protests in general

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
marked	1	37	6.4	12.9	12.9
not marked	2	249	42.9	87.1	100.0
inap, form a	0	294	50.7	Missing	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	286	Missing cases	294		

E2I4 None of above

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
marked	1	169	29.1	58.7	58.7
not marked	2	119	20.5	41.3	100.0
inap, form a	0	292	50.3	Missing	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	288	Missing cases	292		

E3A rate middle class

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
unintelligent	1	4	.7	.7	.7
	2	9	1.6	1.6	2.2
	3	19	3.3	3.3	5.5
	4	151	26.0	26.0	31.6
	5	150	25.9	25.9	57.4
	6	113	19.5	19.5	76.9
intelligent	7	75	12.9	12.9	89.8
dk	8	17	2.9	2.9	92.8
na	9	42	7.2	7.2	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	580	Missing cases	0		

E3B rate women

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
unintelligent	1	7	1.2	1.2	1.2
	2	8	1.4	1.4	2.6
	3	9	1.6	1.6	4.1
	4	155	26.7	26.7	30.9
	5	123	21.2	21.2	52.1
	6	130	22.4	22.4	74.5
intelligent	7	89	15.3	15.3	89.8
dk	8	19	3.3	3.3	93.1
na	9	40	6.9	6.9	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	580	Missing cases	0		

E3C rate Jews

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
unintelligent	1	6	1.0	1.0	1.0
	2	9	1.6	1.6	2.6
	3	10	1.7	1.7	4.3
	4	140	24.1	24.1	28.4
	5	95	16.4	16.4	44.8
	6	129	22.2	22.2	67.1
intelligent	7	93	16.0	16.0	83.1
dk	8	51	8.8	8.8	91.9
na	9	47	8.1	8.1	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	580	Missing cases	0		

E3D rate Blacks

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
unintelligent	1	11	1.9	1.9	1.9
	2	13	2.2	2.2	4.1
	3	48	8.3	8.3	12.4
	4	212	36.6	36.6	49.0
	5	97	16.7	16.7	65.7
	6	61	10.5	10.5	76.2
intelligent	7	69	11.9	11.9	88.1
dk	8	28	4.8	4.8	92.9
na	9	41	7.1	7.1	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	580	Missing cases	0		

E3E rate men

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
unintelligent	1	6	1.0	1.0	1.0
	2	8	1.4	1.4	2.4
	3	16	2.8	2.8	5.2



	4	161	27.8	27.8	32.9
	5	129	22.2	22.2	55.2
	6	127	21.9	21.9	77.1
intelligent	7	74	12.8	12.8	89.8
dk	8	19	3.3	3.3	93.1
na	9	40	6.9	6.9	100.0

Total	580	100.0	100.0
-------	-----	-------	-------

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E3F rate whites

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
unintelligent	1	8	1.4	1.4	1.4
	2	7	1.2	1.2	2.6
	3	14	2.4	2.4	5.0
	4	173	29.8	29.8	34.8
	5	124	21.4	21.4	56.2
	6	116	20.0	20.0	76.2
intelligent	7	76	13.1	13.1	89.3
dk	8	20	3.4	3.4	92.8
na	9	42	7.2	7.2	100.0

Total	580	100.0	100.0
-------	-----	-------	-------

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E3G rate poor people

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
unintelligent	1	8	1.4	1.4	1.4
	2	28	4.8	4.8	6.2
	3	83	14.3	14.3	20.5
	4	212	36.6	36.6	57.1
	5	81	14.0	14.0	71.0
	6	52	9.0	9.0	80.0
intelligent	7	57	9.8	9.8	89.8
dk	8	20	3.4	3.4	93.3
na	9	39	6.7	6.7	100.0

Total	580	100.0	100.0
-------	-----	-------	-------

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E3H rate Arab-Americans

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
unintelligent	1	15	2.6	2.6	2.6
	2	20	3.4	3.4	6.0
	3	28	4.8	4.8	10.9
	4	207	35.7	35.7	46.6
	5	92	15.9	15.9	62.4
	6	66	11.4	11.4	73.8
intelligent	7	61	10.5	10.5	84.3
dk	8	45	7.8	7.8	92.1
na	9	46	7.9	7.9	100.0

Total	580	100.0	100.0
-------	-----	-------	-------

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E3I1 R justifies

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
marked	1	27	4.7	9.4	9.4
not marked	2	259	44.7	90.6	100.0
inap, form a	0	294	50.7	Missing	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 286 Missing cases 294

E3I2 Judged as individuals

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
marked	1	61	10.5	21.3	21.3
not marked	2	226	39.0	78.7	100.0
inap, form a	0	293	50.5	Missing	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 287 Missing cases 293

E3I3 R protests in general

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
marked	1	39	6.7	13.7	13.7
not marked	2	246	42.4	86.3	100.0
inap, form a	0	295	50.9	Missing	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 285 Missing cases 295

E3I4 None of the above

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
marked	1	185	31.9	64.2	64.2
not marked	2	103	17.8	35.8	100.0
inap, form a	0	292	50.3	Missing	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 288 Missing cases 292

E4A rate middle class

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
hard working	1	134	23.1	23.1	23.1
	2	143	24.7	24.7	47.8
	3	78	13.4	13.4	61.2
	4	130	22.4	22.4	83.6
	5	21	3.6	3.6	87.2
	6	12	2.1	2.1	89.3
lazy	7	6	1.0	1.0	90.3
dk	8	17	2.9	2.9	93.3
na	9	39	6.7	6.7	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E4B rate women

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
hard working	1	151	26.0	26.0	26.0
	2	137	23.6	23.6	49.7
	3	67	11.6	11.6	61.2
	4	126	21.7	21.7	82.9
	5	26	4.5	4.5	87.4
	6	14	2.4	2.4	89.8
lazy	7	6	1.0	1.0	90.9
dk	8	18	3.1	3.1	94.0
na	9	35	6.0	6.0	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E4C rate Jews

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
hard working	1	126	21.7	21.7	21.7
	2	132	22.8	22.8	44.5
	3	57	9.8	9.8	54.3
	4	135	23.3	23.3	77.6
	5	17	2.9	2.9	80.5
	6	14	2.4	2.4	82.9
lazy	7	6	1.0	1.0	84.0
dk	8	51	8.8	8.8	92.8
na	9	42	7.2	7.2	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E4D rate Blacks

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
hard working	1	70	12.1	12.1	12.1
	2	76	13.1	13.1	25.2
	3	73	12.6	12.6	37.8
	4	184	31.7	31.7	69.5
	5	65	11.2	11.2	80.7
	6	30	5.2	5.2	85.9

lazy	7	14	2.4	2.4	88.3
dk	8	30	5.2	5.2	93.4
na	9	38	6.6	6.6	100.0

Total	580	100.0	100.0
-------	-----	-------	-------

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E4E rate men

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
hard working	1	113	19.5	19.5	19.5
	2	131	22.6	22.6	42.1
	3	78	13.4	13.4	55.5
	4	155	26.7	26.7	82.2
	5	25	4.3	4.3	86.6
	6	14	2.4	2.4	89.0
lazy	7	8	1.4	1.4	90.3
dk	8	19	3.3	3.3	93.6
na	9	37	6.4	6.4	100.0

Total	580	100.0	100.0
-------	-----	-------	-------

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E4F rate whites

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
hard working	1	95	16.4	16.4	16.4
	2	126	21.7	21.7	38.1
	3	86	14.8	14.8	52.9
	4	170	29.3	29.3	82.2
	5	29	5.0	5.0	87.2
	6	10	1.7	1.7	89.0
lazy	7	5	.9	.9	89.8
dk	8	21	3.6	3.6	93.4
na	9	38	6.6	6.6	100.0

Total	580	100.0	100.0
-------	-----	-------	-------

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E4G rate poor people

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
hard working	1	62	10.7	10.7	10.7
	2	59	10.2	10.2	20.9
	3	85	14.7	14.7	35.5
	4	190	32.8	32.8	68.3
	5	69	11.9	11.9	80.2
	6	41	7.1	7.1	87.2
lazy	7	16	2.8	2.8	90.0
dk	8	22	3.8	3.8	93.8
na	9	36	6.2	6.2	100.0

Total	580	100.0	100.0
-------	-----	-------	-------

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E4H Rate Arab-Americans

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
hard working	1	88	15.2	15.2	15.2
	2	97	16.7	16.7	31.9
	3	76	13.1	13.1	45.0
	4	170	29.3	29.3	74.3
	5	36	6.2	6.2	80.5
	6	14	2.4	2.4	82.9
lazy	7	14	2.4	2.4	85.3
dk	8	43	7.4	7.4	92.8
na	9	42	7.2	7.2	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E4I1 R justifies

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
marked	1	30	5.2	10.5	10.5
not marked	2	255	44.0	89.5	100.0
inap, form a	0	295	50.9	Missing	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 285 Missing cases 295

E4I2 Judged as individuals

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
marked	1	55	9.5	19.1	19.1
not marked	2	233	40.2	80.9	100.0
inap, form a	0	292	50.3	Missing	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 288 Missing cases 292

E4I3 R protests in general

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
marked	1	37	6.4	12.9	12.9
not marked	2	249	42.9	87.1	100.0
inap, form a	0	294	50.7	Missing	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 286 Missing cases 294

E4I4 None of the above

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
marked	1	187	32.2	64.9	64.9
not marked	2	101	17.4	35.1	100.0
inap, form a	0	292	50.3	Missing	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	288	Missing cases	292		

E5 Jobs and promotions women

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly favor	1	44	7.6	7.6	7.6
favor	2	95	16.4	16.4	24.0
neither	3	169	29.1	29.1	53.1
oppose	4	169	29.1	29.1	82.2
strongly oppose	5	96	16.6	16.6	98.8
other	7	3	.5	.5	99.3
na	9	4	.7	.7	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	580	Missing cases	0		

E6 discrimination women

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
a lot	1	167	28.8	28.8	28.8
some	2	301	51.9	51.9	80.7
only a little	3	90	15.5	15.5	96.2
none	4	17	2.9	2.9	99.1
dk	8	1	.2	.2	99.3
na	9	4	.7	.7	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	580	Missing cases	0		

E7A SAB checkpoint

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
intwer read	1	26	4.5	9.2	9.2
r read	2	256	44.1	90.8	100.0
inap, form b	0	298	51.4	Missing	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	282	Missing cases	298		

E7B full and happy life

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly agree	1	181	31.2	31.2	31.2
agree	2	302	52.1	52.1	83.3
disagree	3	74	12.8	12.8	96.0
strongly disagree	4	13	2.2	2.2	98.3
dk	8	3	.5	.5	98.8
na	9	7	1.2	1.2	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E8 do to ensure equal laws

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
a lot	1	351	60.5	60.5	60.5
quite a bit	2	141	24.3	24.3	84.8
a little	3	60	10.3	10.3	95.2
nothing	4	20	3.4	3.4	98.6
other	7	1	.2	.2	98.8
dk	8	1	.2	.2	99.0
na	9	6	1.0	1.0	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E9 do to ensure jobs

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
a lot	1	311	53.6	53.6	53.6
quite a bit	2	142	24.5	24.5	78.1
a little	3	90	15.5	15.5	93.6
nothing	4	31	5.3	5.3	99.0
	7	1	.2	.2	99.1
na	9	5	.9	.9	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E10A Jews and business

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly agree	1	35	6.0	6.0	6.0
agree	2	118	20.3	20.3	26.4
disagree	3	303	52.2	52.2	78.6
strongly disagree	4	73	12.6	12.6	91.2
other	7	1	.2	.2	91.4
dk	8	19	3.3	3.3	94.7
na	9	31	5.3	5.3	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E10B Jews and cultural life

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly agree	1	86	14.8	14.8	14.8
agree	2	301	51.9	51.9	66.7
disagree	3	133	22.9	22.9	89.7
strongly disagree	4	8	1.4	1.4	91.0
other	7	1	.2	.2	91.2
dk	8	30	5.2	5.2	96.4
na	9	21	3.6	3.6	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E10C Checkbar, Form B

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
R answers promptly	1	261	45.0	92.6	92.6
R protests	2	20	3.4	7.1	99.6
na	9	1	.2	.4	100.0
inap, form a	0	298	51.4	Missing	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 282 Missing cases 298

E11A willing to work with gays

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	460	79.3	79.3	79.3
no	5	97	16.7	16.7	96.0
dk	8	1	.2	.2	96.2
na	9	22	3.8	3.8	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E11B have as dentist

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	263	45.3	45.3	45.3
no	5	293	50.5	50.5	95.9
dk	8	2	.3	.3	96.2
na	9	22	3.8	3.8	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0



E11C have as teacher

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	282	48.6	48.6	48.6
no	5	270	46.6	46.6	95.2
dk	8	2	.3	.3	95.5
na	9	26	4.5	4.5	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E11D have as friend

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	431	74.3	74.3	74.3
no	5	124	21.4	21.4	95.7
dk	8	3	.5	.5	96.2
na	9	22	3.8	3.8	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E11E Checkbox, Form B

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
mentions precautions	1	43	7.4	14.8	14.8
does not qualify	2	247	42.6	84.9	99.7
na	9	1	.2	.3	100.0
inap, form a	0	289	49.8	Missing	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 291 Missing cases 289

E12A work with AIDS person

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	420	72.4	72.4	72.4
no	5	136	23.4	23.4	95.9
dk	8	3	.5	.5	96.4
na	9	21	3.6	3.6	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E12B have as dentist

Valid Cum

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Percent
yes	1	77	13.3	13.3	13.3
no	5	484	83.4	83.4	96.7
na	9	19	3.3	3.3	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	580	Missing cases	0		

-----

E12C      have as teacher

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	248	42.8	42.8	42.8
no	5	305	52.6	52.6	95.3
dk	8	4	.7	.7	96.0
na	9	23	4.0	4.0	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	580	Missing cases	0		

E12D      have as friend

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	457	78.8	78.8	78.8
	5	102	17.6	17.6	96.4
	7	1	.2	.2	96.6
dk	8	1	.2	.2	96.7
na	9	19	3.3	3.3	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	580	Missing cases	0		

-----

E12E      Checkbox, Form B

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
mentions precautions	1	50	8.6	17.5	17.5
does not qualify	2	236	40.7	82.5	100.0
inap, form a	0	294	50.7	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	286	Missing cases	294		

E13      liberal toward gays

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
very liberal	1	83	14.3	14.3	14.3
somewhat liberal	2	133	22.9	22.9	37.2
middle of the road	3	177	30.5	30.5	67.8
somewhat conservative	4	82	14.1	14.1	81.9
very conservative	5	89	15.3	15.3	97.2

other	7	1	.2	.2	97.4
dk	8	3	.5	.5	97.9
na	9	12	2.1	2.1	100.0

Total	580	100.0	100.0
-------	-----	-------	-------

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E14 gay sex wrong

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
always wrong	1	300	51.7	51.7	51.7
almost always wrong	2	55	9.5	9.5	61.2
wrong sometimes	3	65	11.2	11.2	72.4
not at all wrong	4	119	20.5	20.5	92.9
other	7	10	1.7	1.7	94.7
dk	8	7	1.2	1.2	95.9
na	9	24	4.1	4.1	100.0

Total	580	100.0	100.0
-------	-----	-------	-------

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E15 Feel about gays

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
not tolerate	1	80	13.8	13.8	13.8
accept gays	2	350	60.3	60.3	74.1
gays important	3	118	20.3	20.3	94.5
any 2 of above	7	8	1.4	1.4	95.9
dk	8	5	.9	.9	96.7
na	9	19	3.3	3.3	100.0

Total	580	100.0	100.0
-------	-----	-------	-------

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E16 immigrants

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
pay their share	1	313	54.0	54.0	54.0
cost too much	2	234	40.3	40.3	94.3
other	7	4	.7	.7	95.0
dk	8	5	.9	.9	95.9
na	9	24	4.1	4.1	100.0

Total	580	100.0	100.0
-------	-----	-------	-------

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E16A Checkbar, Form B

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
-------------	-------	-----------	---------	---------------	-------------

R answers promptly	1	246	42.4	87.2	87.2
R protests	2	36	6.2	12.8	100.0
inap, form a	0	298	51.4	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 282 Missing cases 298

E17 homeless plight

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
not responsible	1	151	26.0	26.0	26.0
both or depends	3	270	46.6	46.6	72.6
only themselves	5	149	25.7	25.7	98.3
dk	8	1	.2	.2	98.4
na	9	9	1.6	1.6	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E18 view of abortion

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
not be permitted	1	58	10.0	10.0	10.0
only for rape, inces	2	155	26.7	26.7	36.7
more general	3	82	14.1	14.1	50.9
always permit	4	278	47.9	47.9	98.8
other	7	1	.2	.2	99.0
dk	8	1	.2	.2	99.1
na	9	5	.9	.9	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E19 tv violence

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
not harmful	1	91	15.7	15.7	15.7
harmful to kids	3	28	4.8	4.8	20.5
harmful	5	458	79.0	79.0	99.5
other	7	1	.2	.2	99.7
dk	8	1	.2	.2	99.8
na	9	1	.2	.2	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E19A censorship

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
-------------	-------	-----------	---------	---------------	-------------

yes	1	345	59.5	71.7	71.7
under some condition	3	44	7.6	9.1	80.9
no	5	88	15.2	18.3	99.2
dk	8	1	.2	.2	99.4
na	9	3	.5	.6	100.0
inap	0	99	17.1	Missing	

Total	580	100.0	100.0
-------	-----	-------	-------

Valid cases 481 Missing cases 99

E20 death penalty

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
favor	1	417	71.9	71.9	71.9
oppose	5	133	22.9	22.9	94.8
other	7	4	.7	.7	95.5
dk	8	10	1.7	1.7	97.2
na	9	16	2.8	2.8	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E21 guilty go free

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly agree	1	36	6.2	6.2	6.2
agree	2	175	30.2	30.2	36.4
disagree	3	251	43.3	43.3	79.7
strongly disagree	4	85	14.7	14.7	94.3
other	7	3	.5	.5	94.8
dk	8	15	2.6	2.6	97.4
na	9	15	2.6	2.6	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E21A checkpoint for e21

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
r had difficulty	1	86	14.8	14.8	14.8
r did not have diffi	2	488	84.1	84.1	99.0
na	9	6	1.0	1.0	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E22AF African-Americans

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
not chosen	0	375	64.7	64.7	64.7

chosen	1	202	34.8	34.8	99.5
na	9	3	.5	.5	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

-----

E22AR Arab-Americans

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
not chosen	0	353	60.9	60.9	60.9
chosen	1	224	38.6	38.6	99.5
na	9	3	.5	.5	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

-----

E22AS Asian-Americans

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
not chosen	0	463	79.8	79.8	79.8
chosen	1	114	19.7	19.7	99.5
na	9	3	.5	.5	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E22CT Catholics

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
not chosen	0	543	93.6	93.6	93.6
chosen	1	34	5.9	5.9	99.5
na	9	3	.5	.5	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

-----

E22HS Hispanic-Americans

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
not chosen	0	474	81.7	81.7	81.7
chosen	1	103	17.8	17.8	99.5
na	9	3	.5	.5	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

-----

E22IA Irish-Americans

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
not chosen	0	539	92.9	92.9	92.9
chosen	1	38	6.6	6.6	99.5
na	9	3	.5	.5	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E22JW Jewish people

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
not chosen	0	497	85.7	85.7	85.7
chosen	1	80	13.8	13.8	99.5
na	9	3	.5	.5	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

-----

E22PA Polish-Americans

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
not chosen	0	530	91.4	91.4	91.4
chosen	1	47	8.1	8.1	99.5
na	9	3	.5	.5	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

-----

E22PR Protestants

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
not chosen	0	547	94.3	94.3	94.3
chosen	1	30	5.2	5.2	99.5
na	9	3	.5	.5	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E22WH whites

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
not chosen	0	548	94.5	94.5	94.5
chosen	1	29	5.0	5.0	99.5

na	9	3	.5	.5	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E22NO no group

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
not chosen	0	292	50.3	50.3	50.3
chosen	1	285	49.1	49.1	99.5
na	9	3	.5	.5	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E22A1 R refers to children

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
not marked	0	551	95.0	95.0	95.0
marked	1	25	4.3	4.3	99.3
na	9	4	.7	.7	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E22A2 Other comments

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
not marked	0	409	70.5	70.5	70.5
marked	1	167	28.8	28.8	99.3
na	9	4	.7	.7	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E22A3 No comments

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
not marked	0	187	32.2	32.2	32.2
marked	1	389	67.1	67.1	99.3
na	9	4	.7	.7	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0



## E23AF African-Americans

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
not chosen	0	501	86.4	86.4	86.4
chosen	1	76	13.1	13.1	99.5
na	9	3	.5	.5	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

## E23AR Arab-Americans

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
not chosen	0	503	86.7	86.7	86.7
chosen	1	74	12.8	12.8	99.5
na	9	3	.5	.5	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

## E23AS Asian-Americans

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
not chosen	0	504	86.9	86.9	86.9
chosen	1	73	12.6	12.6	99.5
na	9	3	.5	.5	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

## E23CT Catholics

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
not chosen	0	555	95.7	95.7	95.7
chosen	1	22	3.8	3.8	99.5
na	9	3	.5	.5	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

## E23HS Hispanic-Americans

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
not chosen	0	509	87.8	87.8	87.8
chosen	1	68	11.7	11.7	99.5
na	9	3	.5	.5	100.0

		Total	580	100.0	100.0
Valid cases	580	Missing cases	0		

E23IA Irish-Americans

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
not chosen	0	554	95.5	95.5	95.5
chosen	1	23	4.0	4.0	99.5
na	9	3	.5	.5	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases	580	Missing cases	0
-------------	-----	---------------	---

E23JW Jewish people

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
not chosen	0	503	86.7	86.7	86.7
chosen	1	74	12.8	12.8	99.5
na	9	3	.5	.5	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases	580	Missing cases	0
-------------	-----	---------------	---

E23PA Polish-Americans

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
not chosen	0	557	96.0	96.0	96.0
chosen	1	20	3.4	3.4	99.5
na	9	3	.5	.5	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases	580	Missing cases	0
-------------	-----	---------------	---

E23PR Protestants

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
not chosen	0	556	95.9	95.9	95.9
chosen	1	21	3.6	3.6	99.5
na	9	3	.5	.5	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases	580	Missing cases	0
-------------	-----	---------------	---

E23WH whites

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
not chosen	0	561	96.7	96.7	96.7
chosen	1	16	2.8	2.8	99.5
na	9	3	.5	.5	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E23NO no group

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
not chosen	0	252	43.4	43.4	43.4
chosen	1	325	56.0	56.0	99.5
na	9	3	.5	.5	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E23A1 R refers to children

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
not marked	0	565	97.4	97.4	97.4
marked	1	12	2.1	2.1	99.5
na	9	3	.5	.5	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E23A2 Other comment

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
not marked	0	464	80.0	80.0	80.0
marked	1	113	19.5	19.5	99.5
na	9	3	.5	.5	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E23A3 No comment

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
not marked	0	124	21.4	21.4	21.4
marked	1	453	78.1	78.1	99.5
na	9	3	.5	.5	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	

Total 580 100.0 100.0

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E24 allow nazis and kkk

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
should	1	275	47.4	47.4	47.4
should not	5	295	50.9	50.9	98.3
dk	8	4	.7	.7	99.0
na	9	6	1.0	1.0	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

E24A allow violence

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
should	1	100	17.2	36.0	36.0
should not	5	176	30.3	63.3	99.3
na	9	2	.3	.7	100.0
inap	0	302	52.1	Missing	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 278 Missing cases 302

E24B intwr ckpoint

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
r answers promptly	1	383	66.0	66.0	66.0
r protests	2	52	9.0	9.0	75.0
other	7	1	.2	.2	75.2
na	9	144	24.8	24.8	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

F1 HIGHEST GRADE/YR OF SCHOOL

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	5	1	.2	.2	.2
	6	1	.2	.2	.3
	7	2	.3	.3	.7
	8	13	2.2	2.2	2.9
	9	14	2.4	2.4	5.3
	10	26	4.5	4.5	9.8
	11	32	5.5	5.5	15.3
	12	166	28.6	28.6	44.0
	13	49	8.4	8.4	52.4

	14	95	16.4	16.4	68.8
	15	25	4.3	4.3	73.1
	16	90	15.5	15.5	88.6
17 or more	17	64	11.0	11.0	99.7
na	99	2	.3	.3	100.0

Total	580	100.0	100.0
-------	-----	-------	-------

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

F1A GED OR HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	313	54.0	79.6	79.6
no	5	80	13.8	20.4	100.0
inap	0	187	32.2	Missing	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 393 Missing cases 187

F1C DEGREE EARNED

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	191	32.9	59.1	59.1
no	5	132	22.8	40.9	100.0
inap	0	257	44.3	Missing	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 323 Missing cases 257

F1D1 FIRST DEGREE EARNED

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Associate's Degree	1	45	7.8	23.4	23.4
B.A. or B.S.	2	125	21.6	65.1	88.5
Master's Degree	3	8	1.4	4.2	92.7
Ph.D.	4	1	.2	.5	93.2
M.D., LL.B	5	4	.7	2.1	95.3
Trade/Vocational Sch	6	7	1.2	3.6	99.0
Other	7	2	.3	1.0	100.0
inap	0	388	66.9	Missing	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 192 Missing cases 388

F1D2 SECOND DEGREE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Associate's Degree	1	5	.9	8.6	8.6
B.A. or B.S.	2	16	2.8	27.6	36.2
Master's Degree	3	25	4.3	43.1	79.3

M.S., LL.B	5	7	1.2	12.1	91.4
Trade/Vocational Sch	6	2	.3	3.4	94.8
Other	7	3	.5	5.2	100.0
inap	0	522	90.0	Missing	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 58 Missing cases 522

F1D3 THIRD DEGREE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
B.A. or B.S.	2	2	.3	22.2	22.2
Master's Degree	3	4	.7	44.4	66.7
Ph.D.	4	1	.2	11.1	77.8
Trade/Vocational Sch	6	1	.2	11.1	88.9
Other	7	1	.2	11.1	100.0
inap	0	571	98.4	Missing	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 9 Missing cases 571

F1D4 FOURTH DEGREE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Master's Degree	3	1	.2	33.3	33.3
Other	7	1	.2	33.3	66.7
na	9	1	.2	33.3	100.0
inap	0	577	99.5	Missing	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 3 Missing cases 577

F1E1 FIELD - FIRST DEGREE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	12	1	.2	.5	.5
	13	1	.2	.5	1.0
Social Sciences	20	4	.7	2.1	3.1
	23	2	.3	1.0	4.2
	24	26	4.5	13.6	17.8
	25	2	.3	1.0	18.8
	26	1	.2	.5	19.4
	28	1	.2	.5	19.9
	29	6	1.0	3.1	23.0
Fine Arts/Languages	30	6	1.0	3.1	26.2
	31	1	.2	.5	26.7
	32	5	.9	2.6	29.3
	35	1	.2	.5	29.8
	36	1	.2	.5	30.4
	39	2	.3	1.0	31.4
Sciences	40	1	.2	.5	31.9
	42	3	.5	1.6	33.5
	43	2	.3	1.0	34.6
	47	3	.5	1.6	36.1
	48	2	.3	1.0	37.2
	51	28	4.8	14.7	51.8
	52	2	.3	1.0	52.9

	53	22	3.8	11.5	64.4
	54	11	1.9	5.8	70.2
	55	4	.7	2.1	72.3
	57	3	.5	1.6	73.8
	58	1	.2	.5	74.3
	59	2	.3	1.0	75.4
	61	1	.2	.5	75.9
	62	1	.2	.5	76.4
	63	14	2.4	7.3	83.8
	64	1	.2	.5	84.3
	66	1	.2	.5	84.8
Liberal Arts/General	70	7	1.2	3.7	88.5
	71	2	.3	1.0	89.5
	72	1	.2	.5	90.1
	73	2	.3	1.0	91.1
	74	3	.5	1.6	92.7
	79	4	.7	2.1	94.8
	82	1	.2	.5	95.3
Other	97	9	1.6	4.7	100.0
inap	0				
		389	67.1	Missing	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 191 Missing cases 389

F1E2 FIELD - SECOND DEGREE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	12	1	.2	1.7	1.7
Social Sciences	20	1	.2	1.7	3.4
	23	2	.3	3.4	6.9
	24	6	1.0	10.3	17.2
	25	1	.2	1.7	19.0
	28	1	.2	1.7	20.7
	29	2	.3	3.4	24.1
Fine Arts/Languages	30	1	.2	1.7	25.9
	32	1	.2	1.7	27.6
	35	1	.2	1.7	29.3
	42	1	.2	1.7	31.0
	48	1	.2	1.7	32.8
	51	10	1.7	17.2	50.0
	53	6	1.0	10.3	60.3
	54	4	.7	6.9	67.2
	57	1	.2	1.7	69.0
	60	3	.5	5.2	74.1
	61	4	.7	6.9	81.0
	62	1	.2	1.7	82.8
	63	3	.5	5.2	87.9
	64	1	.2	1.7	89.7
	65	1	.2	1.7	91.4
Liberal Arts/General	70	1	.2	1.7	93.1
	75	1	.2	1.7	94.8
	79	2	.3	3.4	98.3
Other	97	1	.2	1.7	100.0
inap	0				
		522	90.0	Missing	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 58 Missing cases 522

F1E3 FIELD - THIRD DEGREE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	17	1	.2	11.1	11.1
	23	1	.2	11.1	22.2
	25	1	.2	11.1	33.3

	29	1	.2	11.1	44.4
Professional	50	1	.2	11.1	55.6
	53	1	.2	11.1	66.7
	54	1	.2	11.1	77.8
	63	1	.2	11.1	88.9
Other	97	1	.2	11.1	100.0
inap	0	571	98.4	Missing	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 9 Missing cases 571

-----

F1E4 FIELD - FOURTH DEGREE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	24	1	.2	33.3	33.3
	51	1	.2	33.3	66.7
	53	1	.2	33.3	100.0
inap	0	577	99.5	Missing	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 3 Missing cases 577

F1F1 COLLEGE OF FIRST DEGREE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	9	1	.2	.5	.5
	60	1	.2	.5	1.1
	65	2	.3	1.1	2.1
	66	1	.2	.5	2.6
	68	1	.2	.5	3.2
	69	1	.2	.5	3.7
	70	1	.2	.5	4.2
	73	1	.2	.5	4.7
	75	3	.5	1.6	6.3
	76	1	.2	.5	6.8
	78	1	.2	.5	7.4
	79	1	.2	.5	7.9
	80	1	.2	.5	8.4
	100	1	.2	.5	8.9
	103	1	.2	.5	9.5
	110	1	.2	.5	10.0
	120	1	.2	.5	10.5
	190	1	.2	.5	11.1
	195	3	.5	1.6	12.6
	196	2	.3	1.1	13.7
	198	1	.2	.5	14.2
	200	1	.2	.5	14.7
	205	1	.2	.5	15.3
	300	13	2.2	6.8	22.1
	340	1	.2	.5	22.6
	350	2	.3	1.1	23.7
	370	3	.5	1.6	25.3
	375	2	.3	1.1	26.3
	400	1	.2	.5	26.8
	410	4	.7	2.1	28.9
	480	11	1.9	5.8	34.7
	490	4	.7	2.1	36.8
	498	1	.2	.5	37.4
	500	9	1.6	4.7	42.1
	501	1	.2	.5	42.6
	525	3	.5	1.6	44.2
	640	1	.2	.5	44.7



645	1	.2	.5	45.3
647	1	.2	.5	45.8
650	1	.2	.5	46.3
699	8	1.4	4.2	50.5
700	4	.7	2.1	52.6
715	2	.3	1.1	53.7
725	1	.2	.5	54.2
747	1	.2	.5	54.7
748	1	.2	.5	55.3

F1F1 COLLEGE OF FIRST DEGREE

755	1	.2	.5	55.8
779	1	.2	.5	56.3
800	1	.2	.5	56.8
810	1	.2	.5	57.4
830	6	1.0	3.2	60.5
845	1	.2	.5	61.1
850	14	2.4	7.4	68.4
860	2	.3	1.1	69.5
880	1	.2	.5	70.0
890	1	.2	.5	70.5
900	1	.2	.5	71.1
940	1	.2	.5	71.6
941	2	.3	1.1	72.6
943	1	.2	.5	73.2
945	1	.2	.5	73.7
946	1	.2	.5	74.2
947	1	.2	.5	74.7
948	3	.5	1.6	76.3
950	34	5.9	17.9	94.2
955	1	.2	.5	94.7
960	6	1.0	3.2	97.9
965	1	.2	.5	98.4
997	1	.2	.5	98.9
999	2	.3	1.1	100.0
0	390	67.2	Missing	
Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 190 Missing cases 390

F1F2 COLLEGE OF SECOND DEGREE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	50	1	.2	1.7	1.7
	65	1	.2	1.7	3.4
	67	1	.2	1.7	5.1
	74	1	.2	1.7	6.8
	75	1	.2	1.7	8.5
	77	1	.2	1.7	10.2
	105	1	.2	1.7	11.9
	115	1	.2	1.7	13.6
	195	2	.3	3.4	16.9
	300	2	.3	3.4	20.3
	330	2	.3	3.4	23.7
	360	1	.2	1.7	25.4
	380	2	.3	3.4	28.8
	480	3	.5	5.1	33.9
	499	1	.2	1.7	35.6
	500	5	.9	8.5	44.1
	600	1	.2	1.7	45.8
	699	1	.2	1.7	47.5
	700	1	.2	1.7	49.2
	705	1	.2	1.7	50.8
	725	1	.2	1.7	52.5
	745	1	.2	1.7	54.2
	750	1	.2	1.7	55.9
	775	1	.2	1.7	57.6

.777	1	.2	1.7	59.3
778	1	.2	1.7	61.0
779	1	.2	1.7	62.7
830	6	1.0	10.2	72.9
850	2	.3	3.4	76.3
900	1	.2	1.7	78.0
945	1	.2	1.7	79.7
950	10	1.7	16.9	96.6
960	1	.2	1.7	98.3
970	1	.2	1.7	100.0
0	521	89.8	Missing	
Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 59 Missing cases 521

F1F3 COLLEGE OF THIRD DEGREE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	300	1	.2	11.1	11.1
	390	1	.2	11.1	22.2
	400	1	.2	11.1	33.3
	675	1	.2	11.1	44.4
	830	2	.3	22.2	66.7
	950	3	.5	33.3	100.0
	0	571	98.4	Missing	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 9 Missing cases 571

F1F4 COLLEGE OF FOURTH DEGREE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	840	1	.2	33.3	33.3
	945	1	.2	33.3	66.7
	950	1	.2	33.3	100.0
	0	577	99.5	Missing	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 3 Missing cases 577

FIG1 LOCATION OF FIRST COLLEGE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
in tri county area	100	91	15.7	47.4	47.4
other locations in M	275	59	10.2	30.7	78.1
	313	3	.5	1.6	79.7
	314	2	.3	1.0	80.7
	321	1	.2	.5	81.3
	322	2	.3	1.0	82.3
	324	7	1.2	3.6	85.9
	325	3	.5	1.6	87.5
	333	4	.7	2.1	89.6
	342	2	.3	1.0	90.6
	347	1	.2	.5	91.1
	348	3	.5	1.6	92.7
	349	2	.3	1.0	93.8
	354	1	.2	.5	94.3

355	1	.2	.5	94.8
361	1	.2	.5	95.3
362	1	.2	.5	95.8
371	1	.2	.5	96.4
380	1	.2	.5	96.9
409	1	.2	.5	97.4
501	1	.2	.5	97.9
515	1	.2	.5	98.4
534	1	.2	.5	99.0
604	1	.2	.5	99.5
616	1	.2	.5	100.0
0	388	66.9	Missing	

Total 580 100.0 100.0

Valid cases 192 Missing cases 388

FIG2 LOCATION OF SECOND COLLEGE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
<i>in tri-county</i>	100	28	4.8	47.5	47.5
<i>OTHER MI LOCATIONS</i>	275	15	2.6	25.4	72.9
	313	4	.7	6.8	79.7
	314	1	.2	1.7	81.4
	321	1	.2	1.7	83.1
	322	2	.3	3.4	86.4
	324	2	.3	3.4	89.8
	340	1	.2	1.7	91.5
	342	1	.2	1.7	93.2
	501	1	.2	1.7	94.9
	600	1	.2	1.7	96.6
	604	1	.2	1.7	98.3
	631	1	.2	1.7	100.0
0	521	89.8	Missing		

Total 580 100.0 100.0

Valid cases 59 Missing cases 521

FIG3 LOCATION OF THIRD COLLEGE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
in tri county area	100	5	.9	55.6	55.6
other locations in M	275	3	.5	33.3	88.9
	501	1	.2	11.1	100.0
0	571	98.4	Missing		

Total 580 100.0 100.0

Valid cases 9 Missing cases 571

FIG4 LOCATION OF FOURTH COLLEGE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
in tri county area	100	2	.3	66.7	66.7
	501	1	.2	33.3	100.0
0	577	99.5	Missing		

Total 580 100.0 100.0

Valid cases 3 Missing cases 577

F2 HIGHEST GRADE/YR OF COLLEGE FATHER COMPL

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	15	2.6	2.6	2.6
	2	1	.2	.2	2.8
	3	14	2.4	2.4	5.2
	4	12	2.1	2.1	7.2
	5	13	2.2	2.2	9.5
	6	23	4.0	4.0	13.4
	7	6	1.0	1.0	14.5
	8	73	12.6	12.6	27.1
	9	16	2.8	2.8	29.8
	10	27	4.7	4.7	34.5
	11	20	3.4	3.4	37.9
	12	177	30.5	30.5	68.4
	13	7	1.2	1.2	69.7
	14	29	5.0	5.0	74.7
	15	9	1.6	1.6	76.2
	16	36	6.2	6.2	82.4
17 or more	17	28	4.8	4.8	87.2
dk	98	72	12.4	12.4	99.7
na	99	2	.3	.3	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

F3 HIGHEST GRADE/YR OF COLLEGE MOTHER COMPL

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	9	1.6	1.6	1.6
	3	6	1.0	1.0	2.6
	4	5	.9	.9	3.4
	5	10	1.7	1.7	5.2
	6	24	4.1	4.1	9.3
	7	3	.5	.5	9.8
	8	54	9.3	9.3	19.1
	9	20	3.4	3.4	22.6
	10	33	5.7	5.7	28.3
	11	29	5.0	5.0	33.3
	12	249	42.9	42.9	76.2
	13	16	2.8	2.8	79.0
	14	32	5.5	5.5	84.5
	15	9	1.6	1.6	86.0
	16	25	4.3	4.3	90.3
17 or more	17	12	2.1	2.1	92.4
dk	98	42	7.2	7.2	99.7
na	99	2	.3	.3	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

F4 HIGHEST GRADE/YR OF COLLEGE SPOUSE COMPL

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	4	1	.2	.3	.3
	5	1	.2	.3	.6

	6	3	.5	.9	1.5
	8	3	.5	.9	2.4
	9	4	.7	1.2	3.6
	10	11	1.9	3.3	7.0
	11	12	2.1	3.6	10.6
	12	116	20.0	35.3	45.9
	13	29	5.0	8.8	54.7
	14	53	9.1	16.1	70.8
	15	15	2.6	4.6	75.4
	16	54	9.3	16.4	91.8
	17	27	4.7	8.2	100.0
17 years or more inap	0	251	43.3	Missing	

-----  
Total                    580            100.0            100.0

Valid cases        329            Missing cases    251

F5                    VOCATIONAL/OCCUPATIONAL TRAINING

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	178	30.7	30.7	30.7
no	5	401	69.1	69.1	99.8
na	9	1	.2	.2	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases        580            Missing cases    0

F6                    ACTIVE DUTY IN ARMED FORCES

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	91	15.7	15.7	15.7
no	5	488	84.1	84.1	99.8
na	9	1	.2	.2	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases        580            Missing cases    0

F7                    RANK AT TIME OF DISCHARGE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	1	1	.2	1.1	1.1
	2	10	1.7	11.1	12.2
	3	10	1.7	11.1	23.3
	4	20	3.4	22.2	45.6
	5	10	1.7	11.1	56.7
	6	9	1.6	10.0	66.7
	7	5	.9	5.6	72.2
	9	1	.2	1.1	73.3
	14	2	.3	2.2	75.6
	16	1	.2	1.1	76.7
	20	1	.2	1.1	77.8
other inap	97	20	3.4	22.2	100.0
	0	490	84.5	Missing	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 90 Missing cases 490

F8 OCCUPATIONAL TRAINING WHILE ON DUTY

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	49	8.4	51.0	51.0
no	5	46	7.9	47.9	99.0
na	9	1	.2	1.0	100.0
inap	0	484	83.4	Missing	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 96 Missing cases 484

F9 LIKE GOING TO SCHOOL UP THRU HIGH SCHOOL

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
a lot	1	256	44.1	44.1	44.1
some	2	220	37.9	37.9	82.1
not much	3	71	12.2	12.2	94.3
not at all	4	27	4.7	4.7	99.0
dk	8	1	.2	.2	99.1
na	9	5	.9	.9	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

F10 NUMBER OF DIFFERENT SCHOOLS ATTENDED K-8

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	1	91	15.7	15.7	15.7
	2	202	34.8	34.8	50.5
	3	148	25.5	25.5	76.0
	4	71	12.2	12.2	88.3
	5	35	6.0	6.0	94.3
	6	16	2.8	2.8	97.1
	7	6	1.0	1.0	98.1
	8	5	.9	.9	99.0
	9	1	.2	.2	99.1
	13	1	.2	.2	99.3
	15	1	.2	.2	99.5
	20	1	.2	.2	99.7
dk	98	1	.2	.2	99.8
na	99	1	.2	.2	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

F11 NUMBER OF DIFFERENT SCHOOLS ATTENDED WHI

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	1	456	78.6	81.4	81.4

	2	81	14.0	14.5	95.9
	3	17	2.9	3.0	98.9
	4	1	.2	.2	99.1
	5	1	.2	.2	99.3
	8	1	.2	.2	99.5
	9	1	.2	.2	99.6
na	99	2	.3	.4	100.0
inap	0	20	3.4	Missing	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 560 Missing cases 20

F12 EDUCATION FROM PUBLIC OR PRIVATE SCHOOLS

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
public	1	480	82.8	82.8	82.8
private	2	78	13.4	13.4	96.2
public and private	3	18	3.1	3.1	99.3
other	7	1	.2	.2	99.5
na	9	3	.5	.5	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

F12A WAS PRIVATE SCHOOL PAROCHIAL

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	94	16.2	94.9	94.9
no	5	2	.3	2.0	97.0
na	9	3	.5	3.0	100.0
inap	0	481	82.9	Missing	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 99 Missing cases 481

F12B RELIGION OF SCHOOL

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Protestant	1	6	1.0	6.3	6.3
Catholic	2	84	14.5	87.5	93.8
Jewish	3	1	.2	1.0	94.8
Other	7	2	.3	2.1	96.9
na	9	3	.5	3.1	100.0
inap	0	484	83.4	Missing	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 96 Missing cases 484

F12C DENOMINATION OF SCHOOL

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
-------------	-------	-----------	---------	---------------	-------------

	9	1	.2	8.3	8.3
Baptist	18	1	.2	8.3	16.7
Lutheran	38	3	.5	25.0	41.7
Other Moderate	62	1	.2	8.3	50.0
Other Unknown	65	2	.3	16.7	66.7
other	97	1	.2	8.3	75.0
dk	98	1	.2	8.3	83.3
na	99	2	.3	16.7	100.0
inap	0	568	97.9	Missing	

-----

Total	580	100.0	100.0
-------	-----	-------	-------

Valid cases 12      Missing cases 568

-----

F13      COMPARE OWN EDUCATION TO REST OF SCHOOLS

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
better than average	1	227	39.1	39.1	39.1
average	2	305	52.6	52.6	91.7
worse than average	3	38	6.6	6.6	98.3
other	7	4	.7	.7	99.0
dk	8	4	.7	.7	99.7
na	9	2	.3	.3	100.0

-----

Total	580	100.0	100.0
-------	-----	-------	-------

Valid cases 580      Missing cases 0

F14      UP TO HIGH SCHOOL, EVER ATTEND A SINGLE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	40	6.9	6.9	6.9
no	5	535	92.2	92.2	99.1
na	9	5	.9	.9	100.0

-----

Total	580	100.0	100.0
-------	-----	-------	-------

Valid cases 580      Missing cases 0

-----

F15      RACIAL BALANCE IN SCHOOL FROM K-6TH GRAD

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
all white	1	257	44.3	44.3	44.3
mostly white	2	169	29.1	29.1	73.4
half white/half blac	3	59	10.2	10.2	83.6
mostly black	4	44	7.6	7.6	91.2
all black	5	41	7.1	7.1	98.3
other	7	7	1.2	1.2	99.5
na	9	3	.5	.5	100.0

-----

Total	580	100.0	100.0
-------	-----	-------	-------

Valid cases 580      Missing cases 0

-----



F15A HISPANIC CHILDREN IN K-6TH GRADE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	217	37.4	37.4	37.4
no	5	346	59.7	59.7	97.1
dk	8	16	2.8	2.8	99.8
na	9	1	.2	.2	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

F15B ANY ARAB CHILDREN IN K-6TH GRADE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	116	20.0	20.0	20.0
no	5	443	76.4	76.4	96.4
dk	8	19	3.3	3.3	99.7
na	9	2	.3	.3	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

F15C ANY ASIAN CHILDREN IN K-6TH GRADE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	184	31.7	31.7	31.7
no	5	376	64.8	64.8	96.6
dk	8	19	3.3	3.3	99.8
na	9	1	.2	.2	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

F15D ANY JEWISH CHILDREN K-6TH GRADE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	222	38.3	38.3	38.3
no	5	310	53.4	53.4	91.7
dk	8	45	7.8	7.8	99.5
na	9	3	.5	.5	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

F16 RACIAL BALANCE IN HIGH SCHOOL

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
-------------	-------	-----------	---------	---------------	-------------

all white	1	158	27.2	28.5	28.5
mostly white	2	230	39.7	41.5	70.0
half white/half blac	3	80	13.8	14.4	84.5
mostly black	4	44	7.6	7.9	92.4
all black	5	30	5.2	5.4	97.8
other	7	2	.3	.4	98.2
dk	8	1	.2	.2	98.4
na	9	9	1.6	1.6	100.0
inap	0	26	4.5	Missing	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 554 Missing cases 26

F16A ANY HISPANIC CHILDREN IN HIGH SCHOOL

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	303	52.2	54.5	54.5
no	5	234	40.3	42.1	96.6
dk	8	13	2.2	2.3	98.9
na	9	6	1.0	1.1	100.0
inap	0	24	4.1	Missing	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 556 Missing cases 24

F16B ANY ARAB CHILDREN IN HIGH SCHOOL

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	200	34.5	36.0	36.0
no	5	330	56.9	59.4	95.3
dk	8	20	3.4	3.6	98.9
na	9	6	1.0	1.1	100.0
inap	0	24	4.1	Missing	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 556 Missing cases 24

F16C ANY ASIAN CHILDREN IN HIGH SCHOOL

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	256	44.1	46.0	46.0
no	5	276	47.6	49.6	95.7
dk	8	18	3.1	3.2	98.9
na	9	6	1.0	1.1	100.0
inap	0	24	4.1	Missing	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 556 Missing cases 24

F16D ANY JEWISH CHILDREN IN HIGH SCHOOL

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	277	47.8	50.0	50.0
no	5	235	40.5	42.4	92.4
dk	8	36	6.2	6.5	98.9
na	9	6	1.0	1.1	100.0
inap	0	26	4.5	Missing	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 554 Missing cases 26

F17 GRADES IN ELEM. AND HIGH SCHOOL

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
above average	1	244	42.1	42.1	42.1
average	2	290	50.0	50.0	92.1
below average	3	41	7.1	7.1	99.1
other	7	2	.3	.3	99.5
na	9	3	.5	.5	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

F18 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
R attended college a	1	327	56.4	56.4	56.4
all others	2	252	43.4	43.4	99.8
na	9	1	.2	.2	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

F19 EVER ATTEND SINGLE SEX COLLEGE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	14	2.4	4.3	4.3
no	5	306	52.8	95.0	99.4
na	9	2	.3	.6	100.0
inap	0	258	44.5	Missing	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 322 Missing cases 258

F20 RACIAL BALANCE OF COLLEGE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
all white	1	9	1.6	2.8	2.8

mostly white	2	206	35.5	64.4	67.2
half white/half blac	3	87	15.0	27.2	94.4
mostly black	4	9	1.6	2.8	97.2
all black	5	3	.5	.9	98.1
other	7	2	.3	.6	98.8
na	9	4	.7	1.3	100.0
inap	0	260	44.8	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 320 Missing cases 260

F21A EVER TAUGHT BY WOMAN INSTRUCTOR IN COLLEGE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	289	49.8	89.8	89.8
no	5	32	5.5	9.9	99.7
na	9	1	.2	.3	100.0
inap	0	258	44.5	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 322 Missing cases 258

F21B EVER TAUGHT BY BLACK INSTRUCTOR IN COLLEGE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	149	25.7	46.6	46.6
no	5	169	29.1	52.8	99.4
dk	8	1	.2	.3	99.7
na	9	1	.2	.3	100.0
inap	0	260	44.8	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 320 Missing cases 260

F21C EVER TAUGHT BY ASIAN INSTRUCTOR IN COLLEGE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	111	19.1	34.6	34.6
no	5	208	35.9	64.8	99.4
dk	8	1	.2	.3	99.7
na	9	1	.2	.3	100.0
inap	0	259	44.7	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 321 Missing cases 259

F22 MEMBER OF CIVIC OR POLITICAL ORGANIZATION

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
-------------	-------	-----------	---------	---------------	-------------

yes	1	83	14.3	14.3	14.3
no	5	496	85.5	85.5	99.8
na	9	1	.2	.2	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

F23A EVER ENROLLED AS NON-DEGREE STUDENT

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	194	33.4	33.4	33.4
no	5	384	66.2	66.2	99.7
na	9	2	.3	.3	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

F23B EVER ENROLLED IN COMMUNITY EDUCATION

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	176	30.3	30.3	30.3
no	5	401	69.1	69.1	99.5
dk	8	1	.2	.2	99.7
na	9	2	.3	.3	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

F23C EVER ENROLLED IN CORRESPONDENCE COURSES

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	33	5.7	5.7	5.7
no	5	545	94.0	94.0	99.7
na	9	2	.3	.3	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

F24A SAB CHECKPOINT

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
interviewer read	1	26	4.5	9.3	9.3
respondent read	2	255	44.0	90.7	100.0
inap	0	299	51.6	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 281 Missing cases 299

F24B            INEQUALITY DUE TO RACIAL DISCRIMINATION

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly agree	1	95	16.4	16.4	16.4
somewhat agree	2	254	43.8	43.8	60.2
somewhat disagree	3	156	26.9	26.9	87.1
strongly disagree	4	68	11.7	11.7	98.8
other	7	1	.2	.2	99.0
dk	8	1	.2	.2	99.1
na	9	5	.9	.9	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases        580            Missing cases        0

F25            INEQUALITY DUE TO LACK OF ABILITY

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly agree	1	21	3.6	3.6	3.6
somewhat agree	2	78	13.4	13.4	17.1
somewhat disagree	3	135	23.3	23.3	40.3
strongly disagree	4	339	58.4	58.4	98.8
other	7	1	.2	.2	99.0
dk	8	3	.5	.5	99.5
na	9	3	.5	.5	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases        580            Missing cases        0

F26            INEQUALITY DUE TO LACK OF EDUCATION

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly agree	1	97	16.7	16.7	16.7
somewhat agree	2	190	32.8	32.8	49.5
somewhat disagree	3	165	28.4	28.4	77.9
strongly disagree	4	118	20.3	20.3	98.3
other	7	2	.3	.3	98.6
dk	8	4	.7	.7	99.3
na	9	4	.7	.7	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases        580            Missing cases        0

F27            INEQUALITY DUE TO LACK OF MOTIVATION

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly agree	1	94	16.2	16.2	16.2
somewhat agree	2	213	36.7	36.7	52.9
somewhat disagree	3	127	21.9	21.9	74.8
strongly disagree	4	139	24.0	24.0	98.8
other	7	1	.2	.2	99.0
dk	8	3	.5	.5	99.5

na	9	3	.5	.5	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

G1 OWN OR RENT HOME

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
own or buying	1	383	66.0	66.0	66.0
rent	2	155	26.7	26.7	92.8
other	7	41	7.1	7.1	99.8
na	9	1	.2	.2	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

G2 MEETING MONTHLY EXPENSES

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	<u>0</u>	<u>2</u>	.3	.3	.3
not difficult	1	353	60.9	60.9	61.2
somewhat difficult	2	185	31.9	31.9	93.1
very difficult	3	25	4.3	4.3	97.4
cannot meet expenses	4	11	1.9	1.9	99.3
na	9	4	.7	.7	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

~~2050~~ 9 ✓  
2070 2 ✓

G3A RECEIVE SOCIAL SECURITY, SSI, RETIREMENT

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	.2	.2	.2
yes	1	188	32.4	32.4	32.6
no	5	388	66.9	66.9	99.5
dk	8	1	.2	.2	99.7
na	9	2	.3	.3	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

~~2099~~ 1 ✓

G3B AFDC, OR OTHER PUBLIC WELFARE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	<u>0</u>	<u>2</u>	.3	.3	.3
yes	1	40	6.9	6.9	7.2
no	5	535	92.2	92.2	99.5
dk	8	1	.2	.2	99.7
na	9	2	.3	.3	100.0

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

~~2024~~ 9 ✓  
~~2025~~ 9 ✓

Total 580 100.0 100.0

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

G4 HAVE HEALTH INSURANCE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	515	88.8	88.8	88.8
no	5	59	10.2	10.2	99.0
na	9	6	1.0	1.0	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

G5 TOTAL FAMILY INCOME B/F TAXES - 1993

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	2	.3	.3	.3
< \$4,999	1	18	3.1	3.1	3.4
\$5 - \$9,999	2	19	3.3	3.3	6.7
\$10 - \$14,999	3	35	6.0	6.0	12.8
\$15 - \$19,999	4	28	4.8	4.8	17.6
\$20 - \$24,999	5	30	5.2	5.2	22.8
\$25 - \$29,999	6	33	5.7	5.7	28.4
\$30 - \$34,999	7	33	5.7	5.7	34.1
\$35 - \$39,999	8	32	5.5	5.5	39.7
\$40 - \$44,999	9	32	5.5	5.5	45.2
\$45 - \$49,999	10	31	5.3	5.3	50.5
\$50 - \$54,999	11	48	8.3	8.3	58.8
\$55 - \$59,999	12	29	5.0	5.0	63.8
\$60 - \$64,999	13	27	4.7	4.7	68.4
\$65 - \$69,999	14	20	3.4	3.4	71.9
\$70 - \$79,999	15	37	6.4	6.4	78.3
\$80 - \$89,999	16	21	3.6	3.6	81.9
\$90 - \$99,999	17	22	3.8	3.8	85.7
\$100 - \$124,999	18	10	1.7	1.7	87.4
\$125 - \$149,999	19	5	.9	.9	88.3
\$150,000 or more	20	5	.9	.9	89.1
OTHER ✓	97	2	.3	.3	89.5
dk	98	10	1.7	1.7	91.2
refused to answer	99	51	8.8	8.8	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

G6 CURRENT DEBTS

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
none	0	145	25.0	25.0	25.0
1 - \$2,499	1	107	18.4	18.4	43.4
\$2,500 - \$4,999	2	58	10.0	10.0	53.4
\$5,000 - \$7,499	3	48	8.3	8.3	61.7
\$7,500 - \$9,999	4	30	5.2	5.2	66.9
\$10,000 - \$12,499	5	30	5.2	5.2	72.1
\$12,500 - \$14,999	6	28	4.8	4.8	76.9
\$15,000 - \$24,999	7	50	8.6	8.6	85.5
\$25,000 - \$49,999	8	25	4.3	4.3	89.8
\$50,000 or more	9	13	2.2	2.2	92.1

1340 99 ✓  
2053 99 ✓



dk	98	4	.7	.7	92.8
na	99	42	7.2	7.2	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

G7 CURRENT ASSETS

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
none	0	92	15.9	15.9	15.9
1 - \$2,499	1	82	14.1	14.1	30.0
\$2,500 - \$4,999	2	40	6.9	6.9	36.9
\$5,000 - \$7,499	3	25	4.3	4.3	41.2
\$7,500 - \$9,999	4	27	4.7	4.7	45.9
\$10,000 - \$12,499	5	25	4.3	4.3	50.2
\$12,500 - \$14,999	6	14	2.4	2.4	52.6
\$15,000 - \$24,999	7	44	7.6	7.6	60.2
\$25,000 - \$49,999	8	54	9.3	9.3	69.5
\$50,000 or more	9	92	15.9	15.9	85.3
dk	98	4	.7	.7	86.0
na	99	81	14.0	14.0	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

H1 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Respondent is Black	1	122	21.0	21.0	21.0
All others	2	458	79.0	79.0	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

H2A SAB CHECKPOINT

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Interviewer read	1	11	1.9	5.1	5.1
Respondent read	2	206	35.5	94.9	100.0
inap	0	363	62.6	Missing	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 217 Missing cases 363

H2B BLACK ON THE BLOCK

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
a lot	1	20	3.4	4.3	4.3

a little	2	97	16.7	21.0	25.3
not at all	3	341	58.8	73.8	99.1
<b>OTHER</b>	7	1	.2	.2	99.4
na	9	3	.5	.6	100.0
inap	0	118	20.3	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 462 Missing cases 118

H3 VOTE FOR BLACK PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	386	66.6	83.5	83.5
depends	3	14	2.4	3.0	86.6
no	5	51	8.8	11.0	97.6
other	7	2	.3	.4	98.1
dk	8	2	.3	.4	98.5
na	9	7	1.2	1.5	100.0
inap	0	118	20.3	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 462 Missing cases 118

H4 MINORITY GROUPS SHOULD WORK WAY UP

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly agree	1	128	22.1	27.6	27.6
agree	2	200	34.5	43.2	70.8
neither agree nor di	3	87	15.0	18.8	89.6
disagree	4	39	6.7	8.4	98.1
strongly disagree	5	4	.7	.9	98.9
na	9	5	.9	1.1	100.0
inap	0	117	20.2	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 463 Missing cases 117

H5 CHILDREN GO TO 1/2 BLACK SCHOOL

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes, object	1	87	15.0	18.8	18.8
no objection	2	345	59.5	74.7	93.5
vol: depends, dk	3	24	4.1	5.2	98.7
na	9	6	1.0	1.3	100.0
inap	0	118	20.3	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 462 Missing cases 118

H5A CHILDREN TO > 1/2 BLACK SCHOOL

Valid Cum

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Percent
yes, object	1	195	33.6	46.9	46.9
no objection	2	193	33.3	46.4	93.3
vol: depends, dk	3	20	3.4	4.8	98.1
na	9	8	1.4	1.9	100.0
inap	0	164	28.3	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 416 Missing cases 164

H5B INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
R mentions quality o	1	16	2.8	7.0	7.0
R makes other commen	2	47	8.1	20.4	27.4
R does not comment	3	165	28.4	71.7	99.1
	7	1	.2	.4	99.6
na	9	1	.2	.4	100.0
inap	0	350	60.3	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 230 Missing cases 350

H6 TAKING CARE OF HOUSE/YARD

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
much more true of wh	1	84	14.5	18.2	18.2
slightly more true o	2	149	25.7	32.3	50.5
same for both blacks	3	214	36.9	46.4	97.0
slightly more true o	4	1	.2	.2	97.2
much more true of bl	5	1	.2	.2	97.4
<i>OTHER</i> ✓	7	1	.2	.2	97.6
dk	8	1	.2	.2	97.8
na	9	10	1.7	2.2	100.0
inap	0	119	20.5	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 461 Missing cases 119

H7 INCLINED TOWARDS VIOLENCE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
much more true of wh	1	3	.5	.7	.7
slightly more true o	2	8	1.4	1.7	2.4
same for both blacks	3	214	36.9	46.4	48.8
slightly more true o	4	160	27.6	34.7	83.5
much more true of bl	5	66	11.4	14.3	97.8
dk	8	2	.3	.4	98.3
na	9	8	1.4	1.7	100.0
inap	0	119	20.5	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 461 Missing cases 119

H8 PROPERTY VALUE CHANGES WHEN BLACKS MOVE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
usually go down	1	119	20.5	26.1	26.1
sometimes go down	2	189	32.6	41.4	67.5
remain the same	3	128	22.1	28.1	95.6
sometimes go up	4	2	.3	.4	96.1
usually go up	5	2	.3	.4	96.5
dk	8	6	1.0	1.3	97.8
na	9	10	1.7	2.2	100.0
inap	0	124	21.4	Missing	
		-----	-----		
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 456 Missing cases 124

H9 DO BLACKS NEED WELFARE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
get along without he	1	250	43.1	55.2	55.2
need the help	2	172	29.7	38.0	93.2
OTHER ✓	7	3	.5	.7	93.8
dk	8	7	1.2	1.5	95.4
na	9	21	3.6	4.6	100.0
inap	0	127	21.9	Missing	
		-----	-----		
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 453 Missing cases 127

H9A INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
R answers promptly	1	177	30.5	77.0	77.0
R protests that prob	2	50	8.6	21.7	98.7
na	9	3	.5	1.3	100.0
inap	0	350	60.3	Missing	
		-----	-----		
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 230 Missing cases 350

H10 LAWS AGAINST BLACK/WHITE MARRIAGES

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	44	7.6	9.5	9.5
no	5	405	69.8	87.9	97.4
OTHER ✓	7	1	.2	.2	97.6
dk	8	1	.2	.2	97.8
na	9	10	1.7	2.2	100.0
inap	0	119	20.5	Missing	
		-----	-----		
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 461 Missing cases 119

H11 UNEMPLOYMENT IN TRI-COUNTRY AREA

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	1	.2	.2	.2
greater among Blacks	1	356	61.4	61.4	61.6
same	2	196	33.8	33.8	95.3
greater among Whites	3	12	2.1	2.1	97.4
dk	8	13	2.2	2.2	99.7
na	9	2	.3	.3	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

2103 1 ✓

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

H11A HOW MUCH

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
a lot	1	255	44.0	70.6	70.6
just a little more	2	96	16.6	26.6	97.2
dk	8	8	1.4	2.2	99.4
na	9	2	.3	.6	100.0
inap	0	219	37.8	Missing	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 361 Missing cases 219

H12 HOW MUCH CRIME COMMITTED BY BLACKS/AFRIC

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	3	.5	.5	.5
more than half	1	254	43.8	43.8	44.3
about half	2	265	45.7	45.7	90.0
less than half	3	37	6.4	6.4	96.4
dk	8	16	2.8	2.8	99.1
na	9	5	.9	.9	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

~~1160~~ 8 ✓  
~~1228~~ 8 ✓  
~~1359~~ 8 ✓

H12A VICTIMS OF CRIMES COMMITTED BY BLACKS/AF

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	8	1.4	1.4	1.4
mostly Black/African	1	452	77.9	77.9	79.3
mostly White	2	63	10.9	10.9	90.2
other	7	18	3.1	3.1	93.3
dk	8	30	5.2	5.2	98.4
na	9	9	1.6	1.6	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

1228 8 ✓  
~~1206~~ 8 ✓  
~~1229~~ 7 (mixed) ✓  
~~1248~~ 7 (half & half) ✓  
~~1330~~ -9 ✓  
~~1339~~ -8 ✓  
~~1346~~ 8 ✓  
~~2053~~ Missing ✓  
~~2059~~ -7 (about the same) ✓

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

H13A SAB CHECKPOINT

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
interviewer read	1	24	4.1	8.7	8.7
respondent read	2	252	43.4	91.0	99.6
na	9	1	.2	.4	100.0
inap	0	303	52.2	Missing	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 277 Missing cases 303

H13B HOUSING DISCRIMINATION LAW

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
homeowner can decide	1	222	38.3	38.3	38.3
owner cannot refuse	2	345	59.5	59.5	97.8
if vol neither	3	4	.7	.7	98.4
dk	8	1	.2	.2	98.6
na	9	8	1.4	1.4	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

H13C WHAT WOULD TRI-COUNTY RESIDENTS VOTE FOR

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	2	.3	.3	.3
homeowner can decide	1	309	53.3	53.3	53.6
owner cannot refuse	2	242	41.7	41.7	95.3
if vol: neither	3	6	1.0	1.0	96.4
dk	8	8	1.4	1.4	97.8
na	9	13	2.2	2.2	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

H14 HOW MUCH FED GOVT SHOULD DO ABOUT EQUAL

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	2	.3	.3	.3
a lot	1	105	18.1	18.1	18.4
quite a bit	2	114	19.7	19.7	38.1
a little	3	155	26.7	26.7	64.8
nothing	4	191	32.9	32.9	97.8
OTHER ✓	7	1	.2	.2	97.9
dk	8	4	.7	.7	98.6
na	9	8	1.4	1.4	100.0

1218 2 ✓  
2120 8 ✓

1222 9 ✓  
1319 9 ✓

		Total	580	100.0	100.0
Valid cases	580	Missing cases	0		

H15 EQUAL HOUSING OPPORTUNITY FOR BLACKS

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	1	.2	.2	.2
a lot	1	182	31.4	31.4	31.6
quite a bit	2	127	21.9	21.9	53.4
a little	3	139	24.0	24.0	77.4
nothing	4	115	19.8	19.8	97.2
dk	8	6	1.0	1.0	98.3
na	9	10	1.7	1.7	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

12229 ✓

H16 JOB OPPORTUNITIES FOR BLACKS

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	1	.2	.2	.2
a lot	1	216	37.2	37.2	37.4
quite a bit	2	139	24.0	24.0	61.4
a little	3	133	22.9	22.9	84.3
nothing	4	80	13.8	13.8	98.1
dk	8	2	.3	.3	98.4
na	9	9	1.6	1.6	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

12229 ✓

H17 JOB TRAINING AND EDUC. ASSISTANCE TO BLA

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly favor	1	88	15.2	15.2	15.2
favor	2	152	26.2	26.2	41.4
neither favor nor op	3	159	27.4	27.4	68.8
oppose	4	107	18.4	18.4	87.2
strongly oppose	5	64	11.0	11.0	98.3
dk	8	1	.2	.2	98.4
na	9	9	1.6	1.6	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

H18 PREFERENCE IN HIRING AND PROMOTION TO BL

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly favor	1	24	4.1	4.1	4.1

favor	2	88	15.2	15.2	19.3
neither favor nor op	3	125	21.6	21.6	40.9
oppose	4	209	36.0	36.0	76.9
strongly oppose	5	129	22.2	22.2	99.1
dk	8	1	.2	.2	99.3
na	9	4	.7	.7	100.0

Total 580 100.0 100.0

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

H19 PREFERENCE IN HIRING AND PROMOTION - TRI

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	5	.9	.9	.9
support	1	94	16.2	16.2	17.1
depends	3	65	11.2	11.2	28.3
oppose	5	375	64.7	64.7	92.9
dk	8	29	5.0	5.0	97.9
na	9	12	2.1	2.1	100.0

Total 580 100.0 100.0

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

11667 ✓  
12228 ✓  
12376 ✓  
13319 ✓  
21169 ✓

H21 POLICE RESPONSE FOR BLACKS/AFRICAN-AMERI

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	2	.3	.3	.3
yes	1	478	82.4	82.4	82.8
no	5	76	13.1	13.1	95.9
dk	8	16	2.8	2.8	98.6
na	9	8	1.4	1.4	100.0

Total 580 100.0 100.0

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

11868 ✓  
20737 ✓

H22A BLACKS/AFRICAN-AMERICANS STOPPED BY POLI

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	2	.3	.3	.3
more	1	348	60.0	60.0	60.3
same	2	204	35.2	35.2	95.5
less	3	7	1.2	1.2	96.7
dk	8	14	2.4	2.4	99.1
na	9	5	.9	.9	100.0

Total 580 100.0 100.0

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

11428 ✓  
21988 ✓

H22B REFUSED SERVICE IN STORE/RESTAURANT

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
-------------	-------	-----------	---------	---------------	-------------



2099 8 ✓

	0	1	.2	.2	.2
more	1	159	27.4	27.4	27.6
same	2	367	63.3	63.3	90.9
less	3	38	6.6	6.6	97.4
dk	8	10	1.7	1.7	99.1
na	9	5	.9	.9	100.0
	Total		580	100.0	100.0

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

H22C CHARGED WITH A CRIME

2020 8 ✓

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	1	.2	.2	.2
more	1	310	53.4	53.4	53.6
same	2	246	42.4	42.4	96.0
less	3	6	1.0	1.0	97.1
dk	8	12	2.1	2.1	99.1
na	9	5	.9	.9	100.0
	Total		580	100.0	100.0

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

H22D SENT TO PRISON FOR COMMITTING CRIME

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
more	1	305	52.6	52.6	52.6
same	2	247	42.6	42.6	95.2
less	3	12	2.1	2.1	97.2
dk	8	10	1.7	1.7	99.0
na	9	6	1.0	1.0	100.0
	Total		580	100.0	100.0

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

H23A SAB CHECKPOINT

2192 0 ✓

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
interviewer read	1	27	4.7	9.5	9.5
respondent read	2	254	43.8	89.4	98.9
	4	1	.2	.4	99.3
na	9	2	.3	.7	100.0
inap	0	296	51.0	Missing	
	Total		580	100.0	100.0

Valid cases 284 Missing cases 296

H23B BLACK GAINS AT EXPENSE OF WHITES

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
-------------	-------	-----------	---------	---------------	-------------

20417 ✓  
21924 ✓

	0	2	.3	.3	.3
agree strongly	1	28	4.8	4.8	5.2
agree somewhat	2	150	25.9	25.9	31.0
disagree somewhat	3	212	36.6	36.6	67.6
disagree strongly	4	163	28.1	28.1	95.7
dk	8	10	1.7	1.7	97.4
na	9	15	2.6	2.6	100.0
Total	580		100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

H24 INTERESTED IN SCHOOL DESEGREGATION (FORM

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	160	27.6	54.8	54.8
no	5	128	22.1	43.8	98.6
dk	8	1	.2	.3	99.0
na	9	3	.5	1.0	100.0
inap	0	288	49.7	Missing	
Total	580		100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 292 Missing cases 288

H24A (FORM B) WHAT SHOULD THE GOVT DO

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
make sure schools ar	1	54	9.3	33.3	33.3
stay out of the issu	5	97	16.7	59.9	93.2
vol: depends	7	7	1.2	4.3	97.5
na	9	4	.7	2.5	100.0
inap	0	418	72.1	Missing	
Total	580		100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 162 Missing cases 418

H24B (FORM A) WHAT YOU THINK GOV'T SHOULD DO

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
make sure schools ar	1	84	14.5	29.2	29.2
stay out of this iss	2	147	25.3	51.0	80.2
not interested enoug	3	43	7.4	14.9	95.1
OTHER ✓	7	4	.7	1.4	96.5
na	9	10	1.7	3.5	100.0
inap	0	292	50.3	Missing	
Total	580		100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 288 Missing cases 292

H25 (FORM B) INTERESTED IN ISSUES OF FAIR T

Valid Cum

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Percent
yes	1	196	33.8	67.1	67.1
no	5	93	16.0	31.8	99.0
dk	8	1	.2	.3	99.3
na	9	2	.3	.7	100.0
inap	0	288	49.7	Missing	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 292 Missing cases 288

H25A (FORM B) WHAT SHOULD GOVT DO?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
make sure Blacks get	1	119	20.5	61.0	61.0
gov't should stay ou	5	72	12.4	36.9	97.9
vol: depends	7	2	.3	1.0	99.0
na	9	2	.3	1.0	100.0
inap	0	385	66.4	Missing	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 195 Missing cases 385

H25B (FORM A) WHAT YOU THINK THE GOVT SHOULD

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
see to it that black	1	154	26.6	53.7	53.7
not the federal gov'	2	84	14.5	29.3	82.9
not interested enoug	3	38	6.6	13.2	96.2
OTHER	7	1	.2	.3	96.5
na	9	10	1.7	3.5	100.0
inap	0	293	50.5	Missing	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 287 Missing cases 293

H26 HOW MANY BLACKS EXPERIENCE HOUSING DISCR

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	1	.2	.2	.2
many	1	93	16.0	16.0	16.2
some	2	258	44.5	44.5	60.7
only a few	3	174	30.0	30.0	90.7
vol: none	6	20	3.4	3.4	94.1
dk	8	22	3.8	3.8	97.9
na	9	12	2.1	2.1	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

H27A HOW MUCH DISCRIMINATION IN EDUCATION

20438 ✓

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
a lot	1	65	11.2	11.2	11.2
some	3	270	46.6	46.6	57.8
not at all	5	225	38.8	38.8	96.6
dk	8	4	.7	.7	97.2
na	9	16	2.8	2.8	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

H27B DISCRIMINATION IN HIRING FOR MANAGERIAL

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	1	.2	.2	.2
a lot	1	98	16.9	16.9	17.1
	2	1	.2	.2	17.2
some	3	302	52.1	52.1	69.3
not at all	5	150	25.9	25.9	95.2
dk	8	11	1.9	1.9	97.1
na	9	17	2.9	2.9	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

11919 ✓  
21143 ✓

H27C DISCRIMINATION IN GETTING PROMOTIONS

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
a lot	1	92	15.9	15.9	15.9
some	3	307	52.9	52.9	68.8
not at all	5	155	26.7	26.7	95.5
dk	8	8	1.4	1.4	96.9
na	9	18	3.1	3.1	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

H28 HOW IMPORTANT RACE RELATIONS ISSUES TO Y

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	4	.7	.7	.7
most important issue	1	57	9.8	9.8	10.5
important	2	277	47.8	47.8	58.3
not very important	3	169	29.1	29.1	87.4
not important at all	4	58	10.0	10.0	97.4
dk	8	2	.3	.3	97.8
na	9	13	2.2	2.2	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

20799 ✓  
20809 ✓  
20899 ✓  
22069 ✓

J2. US Senators in MI

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	2	.3	.3	.3
one correct	1	156	26.9	26.9	27.2
two correct (Donald	2	172	29.7	29.7	56.9
none correct	3	248	42.8	42.8	99.7
na	9	2	.3	.3	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

11683 ✓  
20793 ✓

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

J3 LENGTH OF TERM

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	2	59	10.2	10.2	10.2
	3	12	2.1	2.1	12.2
	4	157	27.1	27.1	39.3
	5	1	.2	.2	39.5
	6	186	32.1	32.1	71.6
	7	7	1.2	1.2	72.8
	8	16	2.8	2.8	75.5
	9	1	.2	.2	75.7
	10	1	.2	.2	75.9
	97	4	.7	.7	76.6
dk	98	133	22.9	22.9	99.5
na	99	3	.5	.5	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

OTHER ✓

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

J4 CURRENT MAYOR OF DETROIT

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	6	1.0	1.0	1.0
Dennis Archer	1	494	85.2	85.2	86.2
anyone else	5	11	1.9	1.9	88.1
dk	8	65	11.2	11.2	99.3
na	9	4	.7	.7	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

11599 ✓  
1160-9 ✓  
12439 ✓  
13319 ✓  
13549 ✓  
21889 ✓

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

J5 CURRENT U.S. UNEMPLOYMENT RATE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	1.70	1	.2	.2	.2
	2.50	2	.3	.3	.5
	3.00	1	.2	.2	.7
	4.00	4	.7	.7	1.4
	5.00	10	1.7	1.7	3.1
	5.60	1	.2	.2	3.3
	6.00	39	6.7	6.7	10.0
	6.10	1	.2	.2	10.2
	6.23	1	.2	.2	10.3

6.25	1	.2	.2	10.5
6.30	1	.2	.2	10.7
6.40	2	.3	.3	11.0
6.50	11	1.9	1.9	12.9
6.70	4	.7	.7	13.6
6.80	3	.5	.5	14.1
6.90	1	.2	.2	14.3
7.00	56	9.7	9.7	24.0
7.10	1	.2	.2	24.1
7.20	2	.3	.3	24.5
7.30	2	.3	.3	24.8
7.40	2	.3	.3	25.2
7.50	4	.7	.7	25.9
7.57	1	.2	.2	26.0
7.90	1	.2	.2	26.2
8.00	24	4.1	4.1	30.3
8.50	2	.3	.3	30.7
8.60	1	.2	.2	30.9
8.70	1	.2	.2	31.0
9.00	20	3.4	3.4	34.5
9.10	1	.2	.2	34.7
9.70	1	.2	.2	34.8
10.00	14	2.4	2.4	37.2
11.00	9	1.6	1.6	38.8
12.00	5	.9	.9	39.7
13.00	4	.7	.7	40.3
14.00	4	.7	.7	41.0
14.50	1	.2	.2	41.2
15.00	3	.5	.5	41.7
16.00	3	.5	.5	42.2
17.00	3	.5	.5	42.8
19.00	1	.2	.2	42.9
20.00	4	.7	.7	43.6
21.00	1	.2	.2	43.8
23.00	1	.2	.2	44.0
25.00	2	.3	.3	44.3
26.00	1	.2	.2	44.5

J5 CURRENT U.S. UNEMPLOYMENT RATE

30.00	3	.5	.5	45.0
33.00	1	.2	.2	45.2
35.00	3	.5	.5	45.7
40.00	8	1.4	1.4	47.1
46.00	1	.2	.2	47.2
50.00	2	.3	.3	47.6
60.00	2	.3	.3	47.9
65.00	1	.2	.2	48.1
68.00	1	.2	.2	48.3
70.00	2	.3	.3	48.6
75.00	1	.2	.2	48.8
80.00	1	.2	.2	49.0
99.97	2	.3	.3	49.3
99.98	293	50.5	50.5	99.8
99.99	1	.2	.2	100.0
Total	580	100.0	100.0	

OTHER ✓  
DK ✓  
NA ✓

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

J6 HOW MANY DAYS/WEEK WATCH TV

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	17	2.9	2.9	2.9
	1	30	5.2	5.2	8.1
	2	53	9.1	9.1	17.2
	3	31	5.3	5.3	22.6

	4	20	3.4	3.4	26.0
	5	51	8.8	8.8	34.8
	6	22	3.8	3.8	38.6
	7	353	60.9	60.9	99.5
dk	8	1	.2	.2	99.7
na	9	2	.3	.3	100.0

Total 580 100.0 100.0

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

J7 HOURS/DAY WATCH TV

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	.3	1	.2	.2	.2
	.4	1	.2	.2	.4
	.5	11	1.9	2.0	2.3
	.7	1	.2	.2	2.5
	1.0	85	14.7	15.1	17.6
	1.5	20	3.4	3.5	21.1
	2.0	162	27.9	28.7	49.8
	2.5	8	1.4	1.4	51.2
	3.0	103	17.8	18.3	69.5
	3.5	3	.5	.5	70.0
	4.0	68	11.7	12.1	82.1
	5.0	43	7.4	7.6	89.7
	5.5	1	.2	.2	89.9
	6.0	21	3.6	3.7	93.6
	7.0	3	.5	.5	94.1
	8.0	10	1.7	1.8	95.9
	10.0	4	.7	.7	96.6
	11.0	1	.2	.2	96.8
	12.0	3	.5	.5	97.3
	15.0	1	.2	.2	97.5
	16.0	1	.2	.2	97.7
	17.0	2	.3	.4	98.0
	18.0	1	.2	.2	98.2
	24.0	1	.2	.2	98.4
	99.8	2	.3	.4	98.8
	99.9	7	1.2	1.2	100.0
	missing	16	2.8	Missing	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

ok  
1302 740

Valid cases 564 Missing cases 16

J8A FIRST CHOICE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	2	.3	.3	.3
a. daytime soap oper	1	47	8.1	8.1	8.4
b. local news	2	187	32.2	32.2	40.7
c. national news (AB	3	58	10.0	10.0	50.7
d. cable news (CNN,	4	37	6.4	6.4	57.1
e. talk shows (Oprah	5	23	4.0	4.0	61.0
f. news magazines (2	6	27	4.7	4.7	65.7
g. sitcoms or late n	7	60	10.3	10.3	76.0
h. educational progr	8	25	4.3	4.3	80.3
i. cable entertainme	9	23	4.0	4.0	84.3
j. religious program	10	5	.9	.9	85.2
k. sports	11	48	8.3	8.3	93.4
l. other (specify)	12	28	4.8	4.8	98.3
na	97	3	.5	.5	98.8
	99	7	1.2	1.2	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

MORE THAN ONE TYPE ✓

ok  
1282 00  
2126 00 ok  
ok  
2089 97 (17) ok  
2090 97  
2096 missing

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

J8B SECOND CHOICE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
a. daytime soap oper	1	22	3.8	3.8	3.8
b. local news	2	109	18.8	18.9	22.7
c. national news (AB	3	125	21.6	21.7	44.4
d. cable news (CNN,	4	24	4.1	4.2	48.6
e. talk shows (Oprah	5	40	6.9	6.9	55.6
f. news magazines (2	6	64	11.0	11.1	66.7
g. sitcoms or late n	7	47	8.1	8.2	74.8
h. educational progr	8	36	6.2	6.3	81.1
i. cable entertainme	9	39	6.7	6.8	87.8
j. religious program	10	6	1.0	1.0	88.9
k. sports	11	38	6.6	6.6	95.5
l. other (specify)	12	8	1.4	1.4	96.9
na	99	18	3.1	3.1	100.0
inap	0	4	.7	Missing	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 576 Missing cases 4

J8C THIRD CHOICE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
a. daytime soap oper	1	21	3.6	3.7	3.7
b. local news	2	50	8.6	8.7	12.3
c. national news (AB	3	48	8.3	8.3	20.7
d. cable news (CNN,	4	27	4.7	4.7	25.4
e. talk shows (Oprah	5	53	9.1	9.2	34.6
f. news magazines (2	6	71	12.2	12.3	47.0
g. sitcoms or late n	7	67	11.6	11.7	58.6
h. educational progr	8	52	9.0	9.0	67.7
i. cable entertainme	9	53	9.1	9.2	76.9
j. religious program	10	13	2.2	2.3	79.1
k. sports	11	63	10.9	11.0	90.1
l. other (specify)	12	30	5.2	5.2	95.3
dk	98	1	.2	.2	95.5
na	99	26	4.5	4.5	100.0
inap	0	5	.9	Missing	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 575 Missing cases 5

J9 HOW OFTEN READ NEWSPAPER

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
every day	1	267	46.0	46.0	46.0
once or more a week	2	190	32.8	32.8	78.8
2-3 times a month	3	50	8.6	8.6	87.4
once a month or less	4	33	5.7	5.7	93.1
never	5	39	6.7	6.7	99.8
na	9	1	.2	.2	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	



Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

J10 HOW OFTEN READ NEWS MAGAZINES

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
every week	1	75	12.9	12.9	12.9
once or more a month	2	152	26.2	26.2	39.1
several times a year	3	147	25.3	25.3	64.5
never	4	205	35.3	35.3	99.8
na	9	1	.2	.2	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

J11 POLITICAL DISCUSSIONS WITH FRIENDS

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
frequently	1	110	19.0	19.0	19.0
occasionally	2	314	54.1	54.1	73.1
never	3	155	26.7	26.7	99.8
na	9	1	.2	.2	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

J12 FAMILY MEMBER WITH SERIOUS ILLNESS

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	443	76.4	76.4	76.4
no	5	135	23.3	23.3	99.7
na	9	2	.3	.3	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

J13 KNOW ANYONE WITH AIDS

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	134	23.1	23.1	23.1
no	5	443	76.4	76.4	99.5
dk	8	1	.2	.2	99.7
na	9	2	.3	.3	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

J14 KNOW ANYONE WHO IS HOMOSEXUAL

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	330	56.9	56.9	56.9
no	5	224	38.6	38.6	95.5
dk	8	24	4.1	4.1	99.7
na	9	2	.3	.3	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	580	Missing cases	0		

J15 CONTACT WITH NON-ENGLISH SPEAKING PEOPLE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
at least once a week	1	145	25.0	25.0	25.0
once a month	2	80	13.8	13.8	38.8
a few times a year	3	220	37.9	37.9	76.7
never	4	132	22.8	22.8	99.5
OTHER ✓	7	1	.2	.2	99.7
na	9	2	.3	.3	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	580	Missing cases	0		

J16 HAVE FRIENDS OR RELATIVES WHO ARE RECENT

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	62	10.7	10.7	10.7
no	5	515	88.8	88.8	99.5
dk	8	1	.2	.2	99.7
na	9	2	.3	.3	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	580	Missing cases	0		

J17 EVER SIGNED A PETITION

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	494	85.2	85.2	85.2
no	5	84	14.5	14.5	99.7
na	9	2	.3	.3	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	580	Missing cases	0		

J18 PARTICIPATED IN A PEACEFUL DEMONSTRATION

Valid Cum

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Percent
yes	1	125	21.6	21.6	21.6
no	5	452	77.9	77.9	99.5
na	9	3	.5	.5	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

J19 GONE ON STRIKE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	122	21.0	21.0	21.0
no	5	456	78.6	78.6	99.7
na	9	2	.3	.3	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

J20 PARTICIPATE IN NAFTA PROTESTS OR RALLIES

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	34	5.9	5.9	5.9
no	5	544	93.8	93.8	99.7
na	9	2	.3	.3	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

K1A SAB CHECKPOINT

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
interviewer read	1	27	4.7	9.7	9.7
respondent read	2	250	43.1	90.3	100.0
inap	0	303	52.2	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 277 Missing cases 303

K2 IN A GOOD SOCIETY, PEOPLE FEEL RESP. FOR

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly agree	1	336	57.9	57.9	57.9
somewhat agree	2	205	35.3	35.3	93.3
somewhat disagree	3	22	3.8	3.8	97.1
strongly disagree	4	11	1.9	1.9	99.0
dk	8	1	.2	.2	99.1
na	9	5	.9	.9	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

K3 PROSPEROUS NATIONS HAVE OBLIGATION TO SH

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	1	.2	.2	.2
strongly agree	1	111	19.1	19.1	19.3
somewhat agree	2	299	51.6	51.6	70.9
somewhat disagree	3	108	18.6	18.6	89.5
strongly disagree	4	48	8.3	8.3	97.8
dk	8	7	1.2	1.2	99.0
na	9	6	1.0	1.0	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

12779 ✓

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

K4 EASY MONEY USUALLY SPENT UNWISELY

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
strongly agree	1	181	31.2	31.2	31.2
somewhat agree	2	271	46.7	46.7	77.9
somewhat disagree	3	88	15.2	15.2	93.1
strongly disagree	4	26	4.5	4.5	97.6
dk	8	1	.2	.2	97.8
na	9	13	2.2	2.2	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

K5 DISTASTE FOR HARD WORK REFLECTS WEAK CHA

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	1	.2	.2	.2
strongly agree	1	134	23.1	23.1	23.3
somewhat agree	2	255	44.0	44.0	67.2
somewhat disagree	3	143	24.7	24.7	91.9
strongly disagree	4	30	5.2	5.2	97.1
dk	8	6	1.0	1.0	98.1
na	9	11	1.9	1.9	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

K6A SAB CHECKPOINT

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	71	12.2	12.2	12.2
interviewer read	1	43	7.4	7.4	19.7
respondent read	2	455	78.4	78.4	98.1
OTHER ✓	7	3	.5	.5	98.6

13429 ✓

na	9	8	1.4	1.4	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	580	Missing cases	0		

K7 CHOOSE WORD TO COMPLETE FIRST SENTENCE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	2	.3	.3	.3
trees	1	562	96.9	96.9	97.2
bugs	3	3	.5	.5	97.8
leaves	4	5	.9	.9	98.6
animals	5	1	.2	.2	98.8
dk	8	1	.2	.2	99.0
na	9	6	1.0	1.0	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

1160 9 ✓  
1279 9 ✓

K8 SECOND SENTENCE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	2	.3	.3	.3
weather	1	5	.9	.9	1.2
shade	2	95	16.4	16.4	17.6
climate	4	2	.3	.3	17.9
rain	5	469	80.9	80.9	98.8
dk	8	1	.2	.2	99.0
na	9	6	1.0	1.0	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

1160 9 ✓  
1279 9 ✓

K9 THIRD SENTENCE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	2	.3	.3	.3
learn	1	500	86.2	86.2	86.6
play	2	9	1.6	1.6	88.1
hope	3	50	8.6	8.6	96.7
reject	4	1	.2	.2	96.9
teach	5	11	1.9	1.9	98.8
dk	8	1	.2	.2	99.0
na	9	6	1.0	1.0	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

1160 9 ✓  
1279 9 ✓

K10 FOURTH SENTENCE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
-------------	-------	-----------	---------	---------------	-------------

	0	3	.5	.5	.5
abstain	1	4	.7	.7	1.2
live	2	278	47.9	47.9	49.1
die	3	39	6.7	6.7	55.9
decide	4	240	41.4	41.4	97.2
eat	5	5	.9	.9	98.1
dk	8	3	.5	.5	98.6
na	9	8	1.4	1.4	100.0

11609 ✓  
11979 ✓  
12799 ✓

Total 580 100.0 100.0

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

K11 FIFTH SENTENCE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	2	.3	.3	.3
afraid	1	335	57.8	57.8	58.1
surrounded	2	88	15.2	15.2	73.3
safe	3	121	20.9	20.9	94.1
conquered	4	16	2.8	2.8	96.9
happy	5	9	1.6	1.6	98.4
dk	8	2	.3	.3	98.8
na	9	7	1.2	1.2	100.0

11609 ✓  
12799 ✓

Total 580 100.0 100.0

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

K12 HOW MOST PEOPLE FEEL ABOUT THE QUESTIONS

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	2	.3	.3	.3
very uneasy	1	5	.9	.9	1.2
somewhat uneasy	2	144	24.8	24.8	26.0
not at all uneasy	3	427	73.6	73.6	99.7
na	9	2	.3	.3	100.0

12307 ✓  
13319 ✓

Total 580 100.0 100.0

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

K13 HOMOSEXUALITY

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
very uneasy	1	119	20.5	20.5	20.5
somewhat uneasy	2	346	59.7	59.7	80.2
not at all uneasy	3	110	19.0	19.0	99.1
dk	8	2	.3	.3	99.5
na	9	3	.5	.5	100.0

Total 580 100.0 100.0

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

K14 RATING DIFFERENT GROUPS

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	1	.2	.2	.2
very uneasy	1	84	14.5	14.5	14.7
somewhat uneasy	2	293	50.5	50.5	65.2
not at all uneasy	3	194	33.4	33.4	98.6
	5	1	.2	.2	98.8
dk	8	1	.2	.2	99.0
na	9	6	1.0	1.0	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	580	Missing cases	0		

1274 9 ✓  
1039 3 ✓

K15 ATTITUDES ABOUT AFFIRMATIVE ACTION

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
very uneasy	1	40	6.9	6.9	6.9
somewhat uneasy	2	293	50.5	50.5	57.4
not at all uneasy	3	234	40.3	40.3	97.8
	5	1	.2	.2	97.9
dk	8	5	.9	.9	98.8
na	9	7	1.2	1.2	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	
Valid cases	580	Missing cases	0		

1039 3 ✓

TIMEEND TIME INTERVIEW COMPLETED

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	955	1	.2	.2	.2
	1005	1	.2	.2	.3
	1012	1	.2	.2	.5
	1015	1	.2	.2	.7
	1032	1	.2	.2	.9
	1035	1	.2	.2	1.0
	1037	1	.2	.2	1.2
	1050	1	.2	.2	1.4
	1052	1	.2	.2	1.6
	1055	3	.5	.5	2.1
	1059	1	.2	.2	2.2
	1100	2	.3	.3	2.6
	1105	2	.3	.3	2.9
	1110	2	.3	.3	3.3
	1113	1	.2	.2	3.4
	1115	1	.2	.2	3.6
	1120	2	.3	.3	4.0
	1125	3	.5	.5	4.5
	1130	3	.5	.5	5.0
	1133	1	.2	.2	5.2
	1135	1	.2	.2	5.3
	1138	1	.2	.2	5.5
	1140	1	.2	.2	5.7
	1142	1	.2	.2	5.9
	1143	1	.2	.2	6.0
	1145	2	.3	.3	6.4
	1146	1	.2	.2	6.6
	1148	1	.2	.2	6.7
	1150	1	.2	.2	6.9
	1200	4	.7	.7	7.6

1201	1	.2	.2	7.8
1205	2	.3	.3	8.1
1208	1	.2	.2	8.3
1209	1	.2	.2	8.4
1210	1	.2	.2	8.6
1212	1	.2	.2	8.8
1216	1	.2	.2	9.0
1220	2	.3	.3	9.3
1225	1	.2	.2	9.5
1228	1	.2	.2	9.7
1229	1	.2	.2	9.8
1230	4	.7	.7	10.5
1234	1	.2	.2	10.7
1235	1	.2	.2	10.9
1238	1	.2	.2	11.0
1243	1	.2	.2	11.2

TIMEEND    TIME INTERVIEW COMPLETED

1245	1	.2	.2	11.4
1250	2	.3	.3	11.7
1251	1	.2	.2	11.9
1255	4	.7	.7	12.6
1256	1	.2	.2	12.8
1258	1	.2	.2	12.9
1259	1	.2	.2	13.1
1300	2	.3	.3	13.4
1305	5	.9	.9	14.3
1307	1	.2	.2	14.5
1308	1	.2	.2	14.7
1310	4	.7	.7	15.3
1315	4	.7	.7	16.0
1320	1	.2	.2	16.2
1325	2	.3	.3	16.6
1330	3	.5	.5	17.1
1333	1	.2	.2	17.2
1335	2	.3	.3	17.6
1337	1	.2	.2	17.8
1340	3	.5	.5	18.3
1342	1	.2	.2	18.4
1343	2	.3	.3	18.8
1344	1	.2	.2	19.0
1345	3	.5	.5	19.5
1347	1	.2	.2	19.7
1350	5	.9	.9	20.5
1355	1	.2	.2	20.7
1358	1	.2	.2	20.9
1400	5	.9	.9	21.7
1401	1	.2	.2	21.9
1402	1	.2	.2	22.1
1403	1	.2	.2	22.2
1405	5	.9	.9	23.1
1406	1	.2	.2	23.3
1408	2	.3	.3	23.6
1410	2	.3	.3	24.0
1415	5	.9	.9	24.8
1419	1	.2	.2	25.0
1420	4	.7	.7	25.7
1422	2	.3	.3	26.0
1425	4	.7	.7	26.7
1426	2	.3	.3	27.1
1427	1	.2	.2	27.2
1430	3	.5	.5	27.8
1431	3	.5	.5	28.3
1432	1	.2	.2	28.4
1435	2	.3	.3	28.8
1438	1	.2	.2	29.0
1440	1	.2	.2	29.1
1445	1	.2	.2	29.3
1446	1	.2	.2	29.5
1450	5	.9	.9	30.3



TIMEEND      TIME INTERVIEW COMPLETED

1452	2	.3	.3	30.7
1455	2	.3	.3	31.0
1500	6	1.0	1.0	32.1
1505	4	.7	.7	32.8
1507	2	.3	.3	33.1
1510	2	.3	.3	33.4
1511	1	.2	.2	33.6
1512	2	.3	.3	34.0
1515	6	1.0	1.0	35.0
1517	3	.5	.5	35.5
1520	3	.5	.5	36.0
1522	1	.2	.2	36.2
1524	1	.2	.2	36.4
1525	1	.2	.2	36.6
1526	3	.5	.5	37.1
1530	4	.7	.7	37.8
1531	1	.2	.2	37.9
1532	2	.3	.3	38.3
1535	2	.3	.3	38.6
1540	3	.5	.5	39.1
1543	1	.2	.2	39.3
1544	1	.2	.2	39.5
1545	3	.5	.5	40.0
1546	1	.2	.2	40.2
1550	5	.9	.9	41.0
1551	1	.2	.2	41.2
1554	1	.2	.2	41.4
1555	3	.5	.5	41.9
1557	1	.2	.2	42.1
1600	5	.9	.9	42.9
1601	2	.3	.3	43.3
1603	2	.3	.3	43.6
1604	1	.2	.2	43.8
1605	4	.7	.7	44.5
1607	1	.2	.2	44.7
1610	5	.9	.9	45.5
1613	1	.2	.2	45.7
1614	1	.2	.2	45.9
1615	1	.2	.2	46.0
1617	1	.2	.2	46.2
1618	2	.3	.3	46.6
1619	1	.2	.2	46.7
1620	2	.3	.3	47.1
1623	1	.2	.2	47.2
1627	2	.3	.3	47.6
1629	2	.3	.3	47.9
1630	8	1.4	1.4	49.3
1635	2	.3	.3	49.7
1638	2	.3	.3	50.0
1640	6	1.0	1.0	51.0
1641	2	.3	.3	51.4
1643	2	.3	.3	51.7

TIMEEND      TIME INTERVIEW COMPLETED

1644	1	.2	.2	51.9
1645	1	.2	.2	52.1
1646	1	.2	.2	52.2
1647	1	.2	.2	52.4
1649	2	.3	.3	52.8
1650	1	.2	.2	52.9
1652	2	.3	.3	53.3
1654	1	.2	.2	53.4
1655	5	.9	.9	54.3
1656	2	.3	.3	54.7
1657	1	.2	.2	54.8
1700	5	.9	.9	55.7
1705	1	.2	.2	55.9
1707	2	.3	.3	56.2
1708	1	.2	.2	56.4
1709	1	.2	.2	56.6

1710	4	.7	.7	57.2
1711	1	.2	.2	57.4
1713	1	.2	.2	57.6
1715	3	.5	.5	58.1
1716	1	.2	.2	58.3
1719	1	.2	.2	58.4
1720	3	.5	.5	59.0
1722	1	.2	.2	59.1
1723	1	.2	.2	59.3
1724	1	.2	.2	59.5
1725	2	.3	.3	59.8
1726	1	.2	.2	60.0
1729	1	.2	.2	60.2
1730	2	.3	.3	60.5
1731	2	.3	.3	60.9
1732	1	.2	.2	61.0
1734	1	.2	.2	61.2
1735	1	.2	.2	61.4
1737	1	.2	.2	61.6
1740	2	.3	.3	61.9
1742	2	.3	.3	62.2
1743	1	.2	.2	62.4
1745	3	.5	.5	62.9
1748	1	.2	.2	63.1
1750	1	.2	.2	63.3
1751	1	.2	.2	63.4
1755	3	.5	.5	64.0
1759	1	.2	.2	64.1
1800	1	.2	.2	64.3
1803	1	.2	.2	64.5
1805	2	.3	.3	64.8
1808	1	.2	.2	65.0
1809	1	.2	.2	65.2
1810	5	.9	.9	66.0
1815	2	.3	.3	66.4
1817	1	.2	.2	66.6

TIMEEND      TIME INTERVIEW COMPLETED

1819	1	.2	.2	66.7
1820	1	.2	.2	66.9
1823	1	.2	.2	67.1
1825	1	.2	.2	67.2
1830	2	.3	.3	67.6
1832	1	.2	.2	67.8
1836	1	.2	.2	67.9
1840	3	.5	.5	68.4
1842	1	.2	.2	68.6
1845	2	.3	.3	69.0
1847	1	.2	.2	69.1
1848	1	.2	.2	69.3
1849	2	.3	.3	69.7
1852	2	.3	.3	70.0
1854	1	.2	.2	70.2
1855	4	.7	.7	70.9
1857	3	.5	.5	71.4
1900	6	1.0	1.0	72.4
1901	1	.2	.2	72.6
1903	1	.2	.2	72.8
1904	1	.2	.2	72.9
1905	7	1.2	1.2	74.1
1906	1	.2	.2	74.3
1910	4	.7	.7	75.0
1912	1	.2	.2	75.2
1914	1	.2	.2	75.3
1915	1	.2	.2	75.5
1916	2	.3	.3	75.9
1920	7	1.2	1.2	77.1
1921	1	.2	.2	77.2
1925	2	.3	.3	77.6
1926	1	.2	.2	77.8
1930	5	.9	.9	78.6
1935	7	1.2	1.2	79.8



X1

## LENGTH OF INTERVIEW

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	35	1	.2	.2	.2
	40	2	.3	.3	.5
	43	4	.7	.7	1.2
	44	1	.2	.2	1.4
	45	15	2.6	2.6	4.0
	46	1	.2	.2	4.1
	47	5	.9	.9	5.0
	48	1	.2	.2	5.2
	49	4	.7	.7	5.9
	50	21	3.6	3.6	9.5
	51	5	.9	.9	10.3
	52	4	.7	.7	11.0
	53	2	.3	.3	11.4
	54	3	.5	.5	11.9
	55	29	5.0	5.0	16.9
	56	4	.7	.7	17.6
	57	4	.7	.7	18.3
	58	7	1.2	1.2	19.5
	59	6	1.0	1.0	20.5
	60	57	9.8	9.8	30.3
	61	7	1.2	1.2	31.6
	62	9	1.6	1.6	33.1
	63	9	1.6	1.6	34.7
	64	7	1.2	1.2	35.9
	65	37	6.4	6.4	42.2
	66	13	2.2	2.2	44.5
	67	12	2.1	2.1	46.6
	68	8	1.4	1.4	47.9
	69	11	1.9	1.9	49.8
	70	36	6.2	6.2	56.0
	71	9	1.6	1.6	57.6
	72	6	1.0	1.0	58.6
	73	8	1.4	1.4	60.0
	74	3	.5	.5	60.5
	75	32	5.5	5.5	66.0
	76	4	.7	.7	66.7
	77	5	.9	.9	67.6
	78	6	1.0	1.0	68.6
	79	4	.7	.7	69.3
	80	28	4.8	4.8	74.1
	81	2	.3	.3	74.5
	82	6	1.0	1.0	75.5
	83	8	1.4	1.4	76.9
	84	1	.2	.2	77.1
	85	11	1.9	1.9	79.0
	86	3	.5	.5	79.5

X1

## LENGTH OF INTERVIEW

	87	3	.5	.5	80.0
	88	3	.5	.5	80.5
	89	6	1.0	1.0	81.6
	90	12	2.1	2.1	83.6
	91	6	1.0	1.0	84.7
	92	1	.2	.2	84.8
	93	3	.5	.5	85.3
	94	4	.7	.7	86.0
	95	11	1.9	1.9	87.9
	96	5	.9	.9	88.8
	97	3	.5	.5	89.3
	98	1	.2	.2	89.5
	99	1	.2	.2	89.7
	100	6	1.0	1.0	90.7
	101	1	.2	.2	90.9
	102	2	.3	.3	91.2
	104	2	.3	.3	91.6
	105	3	.5	.5	92.1

106	3	.5	.5	92.6
107	2	.3	.3	92.9
110	5	.9	.9	93.8
112	1	.2	.2	94.0
113	2	.3	.3	94.3
115	4	.7	.7	95.0
119	2	.3	.3	95.3
120	5	.9	.9	96.2
122	1	.2	.2	96.4
123	2	.3	.3	96.7
124	1	.2	.2	96.9
125	4	.7	.7	97.6
130	2	.3	.3	97.9
131	2	.3	.3	98.3
135	2	.3	.3	98.6
136	1	.2	.2	98.8
141	1	.2	.2	99.0
145	1	.2	.2	99.1
151	1	.2	.2	99.3
160	1	.2	.2	99.5
165	1	.2	.2	99.7
183	1	.2	.2	99.8
201	1	.2	.2	100.0

Total 580 100.0 100.0

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

X2A MONTH OF INTERVIEW

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	2	1	.2	.2	.2
	3	1	.2	.2	.3
April	4	218	37.6	37.6	37.9
May	5	185	31.9	31.9	69.8
June	6	113	19.5	19.5	89.3
July	7	48	8.3	8.3	97.6
August	8	14	2.4	2.4	100.0
Total	580	100.0	100.0		

*the date should be  
may 2  
1224 05 ✓ 02 ✓  
2140 06 ✓*

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

X2B DAY OF INTERVIEW

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	1	14	2.4	2.4	2.4
	2	11	1.9	1.9	4.3
	3	16	2.8	2.8	7.1
	4	11	1.9	1.9	9.0
	5	7	1.2	1.2	10.2
	6	16	2.8	2.8	12.9
	7	11	1.9	1.9	14.8
	8	4	.7	.7	15.5
	9	15	2.6	2.6	18.1
	10	10	1.7	1.7	19.8
	11	23	4.0	4.0	23.8
	12	7	1.2	1.2	25.0
	13	8	1.4	1.4	26.4
	14	18	3.1	3.1	29.5
	15	9	1.6	1.6	31.0
	16	12	2.1	2.1	33.1
	17	16	2.8	2.8	35.9
	18	15	2.6	2.6	38.4
	19	13	2.2	2.2	40.7
	20	35	6.0	6.0	46.7

*Day of interview ✓  
1224 02  
wrong code*

21	29	5.0	5.0	51.7
22	31	5.3	5.3	57.1
23	38	6.6	6.6	63.6
24	27	4.7	4.7	68.3
25	29	5.0	5.0	73.3
26	30	5.2	5.2	78.4
27	44	7.6	7.6	86.0
28	33	5.7	5.7	91.7
29	21	3.6	3.6	95.3
30	19	3.3	3.3	98.6
31	8	1.4	1.4	100.0

Total 580 100.0 100.0

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

X3 SEX OF RESPONDENT

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
male	1	255	44.0	44.0	44.0
female	2	325	56.0	56.0	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

X4 RACE OF RESPONDENT

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
white	1	438	75.5	75.5	75.5
black	2	121	20.9	20.9	96.4
other	3	19	3.3	3.3	99.7
	5	1	.2	.2	99.8
	8	1	.2	.2	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

2205 2 ✓  
1211 8 ok

X5A RESPONDENT'S ATTITUDE FRIENDLY AND INTER

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	3	.5	.5	.5
yes	1	470	81.0	81.0	81.6
no	5	101	17.4	17.4	99.0
dk	8	2	.3	.3	99.3
na	9	4	.7	.7	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

2093 9 ✓  
2094 9 ✓  
2129 9 ✓

X5B COOPERATIVE BUT NOT INTERESTED

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
-------------	-------	-----------	---------	---------------	-------------

yes	0	21	3.6	3.6	3.6
no	1	185	31.9	31.9	35.5
dk	5	363	62.6	62.6	98.1
na	7	2	.3	.3	98.4
	8	3	.5	.5	99.0
	9	6	1.0	1.0	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

X5C IMPATIENT AND RESTLESS

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	0	13	2.2	2.2	2.2
no	1	57	9.8	9.8	12.1
dk	5	499	86.0	86.0	98.1
na	8	2	.3	.3	98.4
	9	9	1.6	1.6	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

X5D HOSTILE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	0	12	2.1	2.1	2.1
no	1	13	2.2	2.2	4.3
dk	5	544	93.8	93.8	98.1
na	8	2	.3	.3	98.4
	9	9	1.6	1.6	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

X6 OTHERS PRESENT DURING INTERVIEW

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
no one	1	314	54.1	54.2	54.2
spouse	2	68	11.7	11.7	66.0
other adults	3	57	9.8	9.8	75.8
child(ren)	4	78	13.4	13.5	89.3
adults and children	5	61	10.5	10.5	99.8
na	9	1	.2	.2	100.0
	.	1	.2	Missing	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 579 Missing cases 1

X6A AMOUNT OF DISTRACTION

Valid Cum

20049 ✓ 2072  
 20069 ✓ 2084  
 2012 ✓ 2085  
 2013 20979  
 ✓ 2014 21079  
 ✓ 2056-58 2122  
 ✓ 2061 2128  
 ✓ 2066 21299  
 ✓ 2068 21389  
 ✓ 2200

all recorded

All of the above and below are  
 20049 ✓ 2072 "9"  
 20069 ✓ 2079  
 ✓ 2013 ✓ 2084  
 ✓ 2014 ✓ 2085  
 ✓ 2061 ✓ 2122  
 ✓ 2066 ✓ 2200  
 ✓ 2068

20049 as above  
 20069 X5C  
 ✓ 2013  
 ✓ 2014  
 etc. except for  
 2079

20375 ✓

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Percent
constant	1	23	4.0	8.4	8.4
some	2	44	7.6	16.1	24.5
little	3	88	15.2	32.2	56.8
none	4	117	20.2	42.9	99.6
na	9	1	.2	.4	100.0
inap	0	307	52.9	Missing	
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 273 Missing cases 307

X7 RESPONDENT'S UNDERSTANDING OF QUESTIONS

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	3	.5	.5	.5
excellent	1	289	49.8	49.8	50.3
good	2	230	39.7	39.7	90.0
fair	3	45	7.8	7.8	97.8
poor	4	9	1.6	1.6	99.3
	7	1	.2	.2	99.5
na	9	3	.5	.5	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

11979 ✓  
13318 ✓  
20899 ✓

X8A IN SEC. H, RESPONDENT HESITATED OR PAUSE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	1	.2	.2	.2
yes	1	248	42.8	42.8	42.9
no	5	330	56.9	56.9	99.8
na	9	1	.2	.2	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

20717 ✓

X8B CONSISTENTLY QUALIFY OR JUSTIFY ANSWERS

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	5	.9	.9	.9
yes	1	115	19.8	19.8	20.7
no	5	459	79.1	79.1	99.8
na	9	1	.2	.2	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

20069 ✓  
20149 ✓  
20619 ✓  
20669 ✓  
21159 ✓

X8C SHOW DISCOMFORT IN ANSWERING QUESTIONS



Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	5	.9	.9	.9
yes	1	99	17.1	17.1	17.9
no	5	474	81.7	81.7	99.7
dk	8	1	.2	.2	99.8
na	9	1	.2	.2	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

X8D OBJECT TO ANSWERING ENTIRE SECTION

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	5	.9	.9	.9
yes	1	22	3.8	3.8	4.7
no	5	550	94.8	94.8	99.5
dk	8	1	.2	.2	99.7
na	9	2	.3	.3	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

X9 USE DEROGATORY TERMS IN REFERENCE TO RAC

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	25	4.3	4.3	4.3
no	5	554	95.5	95.5	99.8
na	9	1	.2	.2	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

X9A RACIAL/ETHNIC GROUPS

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
white	1	2	.3	11.1	11.1
black/African Americ	2	10	1.7	55.6	66.7
OTHER ✓	5	1	.2	5.6	72.2
other	7	5	.9	27.8	100.0
inap	0	562	96.9	Missing	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 18 Missing cases 562

X10 RESPONDENT ASSUME DIFFERENT RACIAL ATTIT

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	3	.5	.5	.5

✓ 2006 9  
 ✓ 2014  
 ✓ 2061  
 ✓ 2066  
 ✓ 21159  
 All of the above & below should be coded "9"  
 ✓ same as X8c  
 ✓ 2006-9

1244 ✓  
 20745 ✓  
 21929 ✓

yes	1	13	2.2	2.2	2.8
no	5	564	97.2	97.2	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

X11 RESPONDENT DEFENSIVE ABOUT RACIAL ANSWER

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	81	14.0	14.0	14.0
no	5	497	85.7	85.7	99.7
<u>OTHER</u>	7	1	.2	.2	99.8
na	9	1	.2	.2	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

X12 R REJECT QUESTION AS WORDED OR MADE DIST

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	.2	.2	.2
often	1	29	5.0	5.0	5.2
occasionally	2	52	9.0	9.0	14.1
rarely	3	58	10.0	10.0	24.1
never	4	437	75.3	75.3	99.5
na	9	3	.5	.5	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

20329 ✓

X14 HOW MUCH IWER LIKED INTERVIEW

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	.2	.2	.2
a great deal	1	270	46.6	46.6	46.7
somewhat	2	212	36.6	36.6	83.3
ok, not especially e	3	71	12.2	12.2	95.5
disliked the intervi	4	22	3.8	3.8	99.3
na	9	4	.7	.7	100.0
	Total	580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

20572 ✓

X15 TYPE OF HOUSING STRUCTURE WHERE R LIVES

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	<u>0</u>	<u>2</u>	.3	.3	.3
detached single fami	1	411	70.9	70.9	71.2

12229 ✓  
13387 ✓

two-, three-, or four house converted to a trailer	2	23	4.0	4.0	75.2
apartment building or apartment building or apartment in a partl	3	6	1.0	1.0	76.2
other (specify)	4	1	.2	.2	76.4
na	5	37	6.4	6.4	82.8
	6	83	14.3	14.3	97.1
	7	1	.2	.2	97.2
	8	15	2.6	2.6	99.8
	9	1	.2	.2	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

X16 HOUSING UNIT INSIDE A LOCKED, SECURE ARE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	6	1.0	1.0	1.0
yes	1	101	17.4	17.4	18.4
no	5	469	80.9	80.9	99.3
na	9	4	.7	.7	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

11819 ✓  
20129 ✓  
20499 ✓  
20569 ✓  
20949 ✓  
20979 ✓

X17 ESTIMATE OF NUMBER OF ROOMS IN HU

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	1	.2	.2	.2
3 rooms or less	1	30	5.2	5.2	5.3
4-5 rooms	2	241	41.6	41.6	46.9
6-7 rooms	3	241	41.6	41.6	88.4
8 rooms or more	4	49	8.4	8.4	96.9
OTHER ✓	7	1	.2	.2	97.1
dk	8	6	1.0	1.0	98.1
na	9	11	1.9	1.9	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

20879 ✓

X18 GENERAL ROOM APPEARANCE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	4	.7	.7	.7
small in size	1	158	27.2	27.2	27.9
average in size	2	320	55.2	55.2	83.1
large in size	3	59	10.2	10.2	93.3
OTHER ✓	7	1	.2	.2	93.4
dk	8	17	2.9	2.9	96.4
na	9	21	3.6	3.6	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

11859 ✓  
11949 ✓  
20209 ✓  
20879 ✓

X19 DISTANCE FROM R'S HOME TO NEAREST HOME/B

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	2	.3	.3	.3
less than 15 feet (< 15-29 feet	1	228	39.3	39.3	39.7
30-49 feet	2	206	35.5	35.5	75.2
50-99 feet	3	83	14.3	14.3	89.5
more than 100 feet	4	33	5.7	5.7	95.2
na	5	24	4.1	4.1	99.3
	9	4	.7	.7	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

11547  
21817

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

X20 HOW CLEAN IS THE INTERIOR OF THE HU

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	7	1.2	1.2	1.2
very clean	1	256	44.1	44.1	45.3
clean	2	170	29.3	29.3	74.7
so-so	3	58	10.0	10.0	84.7
not very clean	4	17	2.9	2.9	87.6
dirty	5	5	.9	.9	88.4
	7	6	1.0	1.0	89.5
dk	8	19	3.3	3.3	92.8
na	9	42	7.2	7.2	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

11859  
11949  
12268 ✓  
20209  
20488 ✓  
20819  
20849

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

X21A TYPES OF STRUCTURE ON R'S BLOCK - 1

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	1	.2	.2	.2
no other structures	1	3	.5	.5	.7
ranch or farm	3	14	2.4	2.4	3.1
detached single fami	4	445	76.7	76.7	79.8
two family house	5	8	1.4	1.4	81.2
row houses (> 3 unit	7	19	3.3	3.3	84.5
apartment house (>3	8	64	11.0	11.0	95.5
apartment house (>4	9	15	2.6	2.6	98.1
apartment in partly	10	1	.2	.2	98.3
commercial or indust	11	1	.2	.2	98.4
school or other govt	13	2	.3	.3	98.8
other (specify)	15	5	.9	.9	99.7
na	99	2	.3	.3	100.0
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

✓2192  
99 ✓  
99 ✓  
99 ✓  
99 ✓  
99 ✓

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

X21B TYPE OF STRUCTURE -. 2

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
detached single fami	4	15	2.6	8.5	8.5
two family house	5	72	12.4	40.7	49.2

house converted to a	6	10	1.7	5.6	54.8
row houses (> 3 unit	7	5	.9	2.8	57.6
apartment house (>3	8	12	2.1	6.8	64.4
apartment house (>4	9	2	.3	1.1	65.5
apartment in partly	10	2	.3	1.1	66.7
commercial or indust	11	17	2.9	9.6	76.3
park	12	21	3.6	11.9	88.1
school or other govt	13	4	.7	2.3	90.4
vacant building	14	3	.5	1.7	92.1
other (specify)	15	13	2.2	7.3	99.4
na	99	1	.2	.6	100.0
inap	0	403	69.5	Missing	

Total	580	100.0	100.0
-------	-----	-------	-------

Valid cases 177 Missing cases 403

X21C TYPE OF STRUCTURE - 3

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
two family house	5	3	.5	4.3	4.3
house converted to a	6	12	2.1	17.1	21.4
row houses (> 3 unit	7	1	.2	1.4	22.9
apartment house (>3	8	7	1.2	10.0	32.9
apartment house (>4	9	5	.9	7.1	40.0
apartment in partly	10	1	.2	1.4	41.4
commercial or indust	11	6	1.0	8.6	50.0
park	12	7	1.2	10.0	60.0
school or other govt	13	13	2.2	18.6	78.6
vacant building	14	11	1.9	15.7	94.3
other (specify)	15	3	.5	4.3	98.6
na	99	1	.2	1.4	100.0
inap	0	510	87.9	Missing	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 70 Missing cases 510

X21D TYPE OF STRUCTURE - 4

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
apartment house (>3	8	2	.3	6.9	6.9
apartment in partly	10	1	.2	3.4	10.3
commercial or indust	11	4	.7	13.8	24.1
park	12	6	1.0	20.7	44.8
school or other govt	13	5	.9	17.2	62.1
vacant building	14	4	.7	13.8	75.9
other (specify)	15	6	1.0	20.7	96.6
na	99	1	.2	3.4	100.0
inap	0	551	95.0	Missing	
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 29 Missing cases 551

X21E TYPE OF STRUCTURE - 5

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
-------------	-------	-----------	---------	---------------	-------------

commercial or indust	11	1	.2	7.7	7.7
park	12	1	.2	7.7	15.4
school or other govt	13	4	.7	30.8	46.2
vacant building	14	3	.5	23.1	69.2
other (specify)	15	3	.5	23.1	92.3
na	99	1	.2	7.7	100.0
inap	0	567	97.8	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	-----
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 13 Missing cases 567

X22 UPKEEP OF NEIGHBORHOOD STRUCTURES

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
very well	1	374	64.5	64.5	64.5
mixed -- could use a	2	179	30.9	30.9	95.3
poorly -- need paint	3	18	3.1	3.1	98.4
very poorly -- dilap	4	4	.7	.7	99.1
<i>OTHER</i>	7	1	.2	.2	99.3
na	9	4	.7	.7	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	-----
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

X23 MAIL DELIVERY LOCATION

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
mailbox attached to h	1	307	52.9	52.9	52.9
mailbox found on the	2	175	30.2	30.2	83.1
locked mailbox in lo	3	64	11.0	11.0	94.1
no separate mailbox	4	1	.2	.2	94.3
no visible mail deli	5	14	2.4	2.4	96.7
other (specify)	6	14	2.4	2.4	99.1
dk	8	1	.2	.2	99.3
na	9	4	.7	.7	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	-----
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 580 Missing cases 0

X24 ACCUMULATION OF JUNK MAIL

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes	1	1	.2	.6	.6
no	5	151	26.0	85.8	86.4
na	9	24	4.1	13.6	100.0
inap	0	404	69.7	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	-----
Total		580	100.0	100.0	

Valid cases 176 Missing cases 404