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The controlled and stable immobilization of one or multiple types of (bio)molecules to a surface has been identified as one of the critical challenges in several emerging research fields, such as the regulation of cell shape,[1] the development of advanced biological assays[2,3] and scaffolds for regenerative medicine,[4,5] or the fabrication of increasingly complex micro-total analytical systems (μTAS).[6,7] This is partly motivated by the need for defined surface architectures to simultaneously present multiple biological entities in controlled ratios. While a range of methods have been developed for the immobilization of a single type of biomolecule to artificial substrates, fewer concepts are available for the precise immobilization of multiple biomolecules in a controllable fashion.[8] Recently, we established a suite of surface modification techniques based on chemical vapor deposition (CVD) polymerization of substituted [2.2]paracyclophanes.[9–11] This novel coating technology resulted in a diverse class of functionalized polyethylene containing a wide variety of functional groups such as amines,[12] esters,[13,14] aldehydes,[15] and alcohols.[16] The resulting polymers provide a flexible solution to surface-engineering challenges, as they decouple surface design from bulk properties.[17] In essence, CVD technology is a one-step coating procedure that generates functionalized surfaces without the need for further post-treatments once the films are deposited.[18] In a significant extension of our previous work, we now report on the use of CVD copolymerization to fabricate multipotent and modular coatings. The term “multipotent coating” in this context, refers to a reactive coating that is compatible with the simultaneous presentation of multiple biomolecules in controllable ratios.

Prior to CVD copolymerization, 4-trifluoroacetyl [2.2]paracyclophane (1) and 4-aminomethyl-[2.2]paracyclophane (2) were synthesized from commercially available [2.2]paracyclophane following established synthetic routes.[10,19] CVD copolymerization of 1 and 2 was then conducted and resulted in a vacuum-deposited film of copolymer 3 on the substrate (Scheme 1).

For CVD copolymerization, mixtures of carefully purified dimers 1 and 2 were initially sublimated under a reduced pressure of 56 Pa at 90–100 °C. The sublimation temperatures of 1 and 2 were sufficiently similar, and so to ensure that the compounds were exposed to comparable sublimation conditions they were placed near each other within the CVD system. Sublimated 1 and 2 were then transferred to the pyrolysis zone, which was heated to 670 °C to ensure cleavage of the aliphatic C–C bonds, resulting in the corresponding quinodimethanes (monomers). In the last step, monomers were adsorbed on the substrate at approximately 10 °C and spontaneously polymerized. CVD copolymerization of 1 and 2 resulted in transparent and topologically uniform polymer films (Figure S2, Supporting Information) with thicknesses between 50 and 200 nm. The film thickness is mainly determined by the total amount of [2.2]paracyclophanes used for polymerization. For instance, the thickness of a film produced by the deposition of 20 mg of equimolar amounts of 1 and 2 was determined by means of imaging ellipsometry to be 115 ±15 nm. Moreover, the multifunctional coatings showed excellent chemical stability in a dry-air environment. No significant change in composition or chemical behavior was found for samples stored in a dry-air atmosphere for several weeks compared to freshly prepared samples. All copolymers as well as the two homopolymers 4 and 5 remained intact after rinsing with standard solvents such as water, chloroform, acetone, and ethanol.

The elemental composition of the copolymer poly[4-aminomethyl-p-xylylene-co-4-trifluoroacetyl-p-xylylene-co-p-xylylene] (3) was studied by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). XPS is capable of detecting atomic composition within a depth of about 10 nm.[20] Copolymer 3 was compared to the individual polymers, poly[4-trifluoroacetyl-p-xylylene-co-p-xylylene] (4) and poly[4-aminomethyl-p-xylylene-co-p-xylylene] (5, see Table 1).

Characteristic chemical elements detected for the individual polymers 4 and 5, such as oxygen (polymer 4), fluorine (polymer 4), and nitrogen (polymer 5), were simultaneously detected in the copolymer, indicating the presence of both functional groups on the surface. Because nitrogen is only present in the aminomethyl group of polymer 5, while fluorine is present in only the ketone function of polymer 4, the ratio of the elemental composition of nitrogen and fluorine is a good indicator of the chemical quality of the copolymer films. Using XPS, we found a N/F ratio of 0.332, which is in good ac-
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According to the theoretically expected ratio of 0.333. Accordingly, side reactions, such as decomposition of the functional groups, were negligible when pyrolysis temperatures under 670 °C and working pressures between 40 and 60 Pa were chosen. Furthermore, XPS revealed no signs of cross-reaction of the aminomethyl and ketone groups under the conditions of CVD polymerization. Table 1 shows the experimental results of the high-resolution C1s XPS for the 1:1 feed ratio, compared to the individual polymers 4 and 5. BE: binding energy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer</th>
<th>BE [eV]</th>
<th>C–C</th>
<th>C–N</th>
<th>C=O</th>
<th>( \pi \rightarrow \pi^* )</th>
<th>C–F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Calculated [%]</td>
<td>89.2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Experimental [%] [a]</td>
<td>89.5</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>4.3 [b]</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Calculated [%]</td>
<td>81.48</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.26</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>9.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Experimental [%]</td>
<td>80.17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.29</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>10.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Calculated [%]</td>
<td>94.12</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Experimental [%]</td>
<td>94.27</td>
<td>5.73</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] Percentage of total without \( \pi = \pi^* \) applies to all experimental values.
[b] Actual value obtained; applies to all \( \pi = \pi^* \) values.

Table 1. High-resolution C1s XPS results for poly[(4-aminomethyl]-p-xylylene)-co-(4-trifluoroacetyl-p-xylylene)-co-p-xylylene] (3) prepared with a 1:1 feed ratio, compared to the individual polymers 4 and 5. BE: binding energy.

To further support the XPS data, we used grazing-angle Fourier-transform IR (FTIR) spectroscopy to assess the structure of copolymers deposited onto a gold substrate. Figure 1 displays IR spectra of polymer films made by copolymerization of 1 and 2 using varying feed ratios. The spectra range from 100 % of compound 2 (trace a) to 100 % of compound 1 (trace g). All spectra were normalized based on the C–H band intensity (2926.1 cm\(^{-1}\)), because the C–H group is present in each polymer. As the feed ratio changed, the peak intensities of side groups changed accordingly. Due to potential differences in film thickness, we restrained ourselves to qualitative analysis only. The carbonyl stretches at 1716 cm\(^{-1}\), characteristic of the COCF\(_3\) groups, were compared to the C–H band at 2926.1 cm\(^{-1}\). The carbonyl stretch at 1716 cm\(^{-1}\) is absent in spectrum a. For spectra b–g, the carbonyl stretch grows until maximum intensity is attained in spectrum g. The same trend can be observed for the C–F stretches at 1227, 1202, and 1152 cm\(^{-1}\). Similarly, the signal at 977 cm\(^{-1}\) increases as the contribution of the ketone increases, to become a strong signal in the spectrum of pure polymer 4. This band has been previously reported for similar polymers.

Once the fundamental concept of CVD copolymerization of [2.2]paracyclophanes containing different functional groups was established, the structural properties of the resulting copolymers needed to be elucidated in further detail. In principle, CVD copolymerization could result in a true copolymer—with properties distinct from individual polymers 4 and 5—or in a layered blend of the two polymers.

We used X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements of thin CVD films before and after annealing to address this question. This approach takes advantage of the fact that poly(p-xylylenes) are often semicrystalline polymers with characteristic features in the XRD spectra. Since XRD data for polymers 4 and 5 have not yet been reported, we studied the individual CVD polymers first. Prior to annealing, no diffraction patterns were obtained for either 4 or 5, indicating that both films were largely amor-
phous in the as-deposited state. However, after annealing for 14 h at 120 °C, the trifluoroacetyl-functionalized polymer 4 showed characteristic diffraction patterns, which likely correspond to (020) and (110) planes, and a d-spacing greater than that reported for the monoclinic poly(p-xylylene). In contrast, the aminomethyl-functionalized polymer 5 remained amorphous. Figure 2 compares diffraction patterns before and after annealing for the individual polymers 4 and 5 and the copolymers. Exposing the films to a high-temperature environment allows for realignment of functional groups to form crystalline domains. Next, we assessed the structural properties of polymer 3 made by copolymerization of an equimolar feed ratio of 1 and 2. Based on the FTIR study, we expected this copolymer to contain both components in about the same ratio. If the copolymerization results in a layered blend of individual polymers 4 and 5, the resulting diffraction pattern should essentially be the superposition of the diffraction patterns of the individual polymers. If, however, the CVD copolymerization results in a true copolymer, a new polymer with a distinct, presumably amorphous structure would be prepared. As shown in Figure 2b, no diffraction patterns were detected for the copolymer, independent of the annealing. This observation also holds for the copolymer made from [2.2]paracyclophanes 1 and 2 in a feed ratio of 2:1. If a large excess of the ketone is used (feed ratio of 5:1), a small diffraction pattern can be detected after annealing, indicating the onset of a semicrystalline structure. Based on the XRD data, we concluded that the CVD copolymerization results in true copolymers with distinct structural properties, rather than layered blends of the individual polymers 4 and 5.

Finally, we verified that both functional groups contained in copolymer 3 are available for further surface modification. The availability of the functional groups for further surface reaction is essential for immobilization of two different types of (bio)molecules. In a proof-of-concept experiment using the approach shown in Scheme 2, the copolymer surface (3) was reacted with two fluorescent ligands that exhibited orthogonal reactivity. The purpose of this experiment was to assess whether or not each functional group remains active and is able to specifically react with the assigned ligands. Fluorescence scanning was used to examine the presence of surface-bound ligands. Figure 3 shows the results of the surface reaction of polymer 3 with Atto 655 and biotin-streptavidin. To avoid reaction between the Atto 655 ligand and the amino groups of streptavidin, a consecutive immobilization scheme was employed: The Atto 655 ligand was immobilized first, followed by the biotin ligand. We found Atto 655 to bind strongly to the aminomethyl-containing polymer 5. In contrast, only negligible amounts of Atto 655 bound to polymer 4. When reacting the two individual polymers 4 and 5 with the second ligand, a biotin hydrazide derivative, the biotin ligand was found to bind strongly to polymer 4, but not to 5. Fluorescence-labeled streptavidin, a specific binding partner of biotin, was used to visualize the biotin ligands and confirmed selective binding to the biotin-modified coating of polymer 4. In contrast, streptavidin applied to polymer 5, which was not modified with the biotin li-
gand, did not give any appreciable fluorescence signal. When performing the same procedure on copolymer 3, both fluorescence signals were observed simultaneously (Fig. 3). By varying the feed ratios of [2.2]paracyclophanes 1 and 2 in the CVD copolymerization, the relative ratio of ligands bound to the surface was varied. The relative increase of starting material 2 resulted in increased fluorescence signal indicating more streptavidin–biotin pair bound to the surface. Based on the FTIR spectra (Fig. 1), these trends can be explained by the controlled variation of binding sites available for the two ligands on the surface, which corresponds to the feed ratio of starting materials used during CVD copolymerization. For the 1:1 ratio, the fluorescence mi-

**Figure 2.** XRD patterns of the individual polymers 4 and 5 and the copolymer 3 a) before and b) after annealing at 120 °C. Only polymer 4 exhibits crystallinity after annealing. c) XRD patterns of copolymers with 100 % 1 (black), 1:5 2:1 (red), 1:2 (green), and 1:1 (blue). d) XRD patterns of 4 at various annealing temperatures.

**Scheme 2.** Schematic outlining the selective reactivity of the multivalent surface. The activated ester only reacts with the aminomethyl group, while the hydrazide group shows selective reactivity towards ketones.
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Experimental

\textbf{CVD Copolymerization}: 4-Aminomethyl \([2.2] \)paracyclophane (2) was synthesized from \([2.2] \)paracyclophane (Aldrich) in a two-step synthesis as described elsewhere [19]. 4-Trifluoroacetyl \([2.2] \)paracyclophane (1) was synthesized via Friedel–Crafts acylation of \([2.2] \)paracyclophane [10]. CVD copolymerization was performed using molar mixtures of 1 and 2 (for the 1:1 feed ratio, we used 38.0 \( \mu \)mol each).

To control each source independently, the two species were kept in separate feed dishes within the sublimation zone. A pressure of 56 Pa and temperatures of 90–100°C were employed for CVD copolymerization. Under these conditions, the species sublimated and were transferred in a stream of argon carrier gas (20 sccm) to the pyrolysis zone (670°C). Following pyrolysis, the diradicals were transferred into the deposition chamber, where polymerization occurred. While the wall temperature was adjusted to 120°C, the silicon, gold, or glass substrates were cooled to 10°C to optimize the deposition onto the substrate and to avoid loss of starting material due to wall deposition. Moreover, rotation of the sample holder ensured uniform film deposition. For copolymerization of varying molar feed ratios all reaction conditions were identical, with the exception of the adjusted ratio of the two starting materials. XPS composition of a copolymer with a 1:1 molar feed ratio: C1s: 83.26 % (calc. 84.75 %), N1s: 2.84 % (calc. 3.05 %), F1s: 8.54 % (calc. 9.15 %), O1s: 5.35 % (calc. 3.05 %); IR (grazing angle 85°): 3361.5, 3301.1, 3007.9, 2926.1, 2860.4, 1715.7, 1641.2, 1499.9, 1454.3, 1227.2, 1202.0, 1152.4, 976.9, 837.8 cm\(^{-1}\).

\textbf{Surface Characterization}: Surface reactions were conducted. Fluorescence micrograph of areas that were reacted with 1) biotin ligand, 2) Atto 655 ligand, or 3) both.

crographs of different reaction areas are shown in the inset of Figure 3. Area 1 shows copolymer 3 treated only with the biotin ligand (green color), while area 2 is characterized by red fluorescence resulting from surface-immobilization of the Atto-labeled ligand. Where both ligands were allowed to react with the copolymer 3 (area 3), a yellow color is observed, indicating parallel immobilization of the two ligands.

The herein proposed concept of CVD polymerization of functionalized \([2.2] \)paracyclophanes establishes a general, but simple protocol for preparation of multireactive polymer films. Applicability of the reactive coating to various substrates, such as polymers, metals, or composites, generates a fairly universal platform without relying on broad chemical alteration of the bulk material.[28–30] Although multipotent biointerfaces could in principle be prepared by simultaneously immobilizing mixtures of two different biomolecules through the same functional groups, the exploration of reactive coatings with two orthogonal functional groups bears several potential advantages: 1) surface ratios can be controlled with high precision, because the ligand immobilization reactions can be conducted independently, 2) substantially different biomolecules can be co-immobilized, even if their transport to the surface is substantially different, and 3) the ability to immobilize biomolecules in sequence allows for combinations of biomolecules that would otherwise cross-react with each other. For applications where substantially different biomolecules need to be immobilized in precisely defined ratios, CVD copolymerization may provide a simple access route. Moreover, this study presents a first step towards the establishment of a modular coating design, where the properties of a coating
**Ligand 1**: 0.5 mL of anhydrous dimethylformamide was added to 1 mg Atto 655 NHS ester. From this solution, 3.6 mL was diluted with 2 mL of 0.1 M sodium bicarbonate buffer (pH 8.3) containing 0.02 % (v/v) Tween 20. NHS esters have limited lifetimes in aqueous solution, so the buffer was applied to the film immediately upon dilution. The solution was incubated for 1 h at room temperature, after which the surface was rinsed with ethanol for 5 min and with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS)/Tween solution for 30 min.

**Ligand 2**: Biotin hydrazide was diluted to 10 mM in PBS (pH 7.4). The solution was gently heated and acid-catalyzed prior to surface application. Incubation times lasted 5–7 min, which was followed by a wash with PBS containing 0.02 % (v/v) Tween 20. The area was then treated with rhodamine-linked streptavidin solution (0.075 mg mL⁻¹ in PBS; 0.02 % (v/v) Tween 20; 0.1 % (w/v) bovine serum albumin) for 10 min. Finally, the entire glass slide was immersed for 1 h in a PBS/Tween/BSA solution.
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[26] All films were deposited at 10 °C.