# OCCASIONAL PAPERS OF THE MUSEUM OF ZOOLOGY

## UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN

## NOTROPIS CALLITAENIA, A NEW CYPRINID FISH FROM ALABAMA, FLORIDA, AND GEORGIA

## BY REEVE M. BAILEY and ROBERT H. GIBBS, JR.

In the large genus Notropis one of the most clearly marked groups is that embracing such well-known and widely distributed species as lutrensis, spilopterus, and venustus, for which the subgeneric name Cyprinella Girard is available. The members of this complex are the subject of a revisionary study now in progress by Gibbs. Some authors have subdivided the group into subgenera or even genera based on the number of rows of pharyngeal teeth. Notropis lutrensis and a number of related Mexican species commonly, but not invariably, lack the minor row, whereas with two exceptions the numerous species in eastern North America typically have a single tooth in the minor row of one or, usually, both sides. One of the exceptions is the recently described Notropis leedsi Fowler, which ranges from the Savannah River system southward to the Ochlockonee in Georgia and Florida. The other, from the Altamaha River drainage, Georgia, is Notropis callisema Jordan, which, because of the single row of four teeth, has been associated with lutrensis and its relatives either as Moniana or as Cyprinella. The forms with two rows of teeth have been variously placed in Cyprinella and Erogala.

That Notropis callisema is actually much more intimately related to species having two rows of teeth than to those with one has previously been suspected. That suspicion may now be regarded as proved by the discovery of a new species from the Apalachicola and Escambia river basins that is so strikingly similar to callisema as to be separable only with difficulty on the basis of external characters. The new species, however, has a tooth on the minor row of one or both sides. It is apparent that a change in dentition, presumably reduction, has occurred independently at least twice in the group. We see no basis for the separation of Cyprinella Girard (1856), Moniana Girard (1856), and Erogala Jordan and Brayton (1878), either as genera (Jordan, Ever-

## Bailey and Gibbs

Occ. Papers

mann, and Clark, 1930: 129–32) or as subgenera (Fowler, 1945: 27–28), and adopt the subgeneric name *Cyprinella*, which was preferred by Jordan (1929:80), who apparently qualifies as first reviser for the two names proposed simultaneously by Girard (1856:196–201). The type species of *Cyprinella*, *Leuciscus bubalinus* Baird and Girard (1856), by selection of Jordan and Copeland (1876: 134, 153), is a synonym of Notropis lutrensis (Baird and Girard).

## Notropis callitaenia, new species Bluestripe Shiner

## (Pl. I)

Photogenis leucopus (in part).-Jordan and Brayton, 1878: 41-42 (original description; Chattahoochee R., Shallow Ford, NW Gainesville, Ga.).

Notropis sp. (Bluestripe Shiner).-Bailey, Winn, and Smith, 1954: 128 (Escambia R., 5 mi. W Jay, Escambia and Santa Rosa cos., Fla.).

NOMENCLATURE.-Examination of four syntypes, all adults, of Photogenis leucopus Jordan and Brayton (1878: 41-42) in the United States National Museum reveals that nominal species to be complex; two specimens are Notropis venustus (Girard) and two are Notropis callitaenia. In the original description the following statements point clearly to identification with venustus: "Snout rather long and somewhat pointed. Mouth large, quite oblique, the intermaxillaries on the level of the pupil . . . a rather inconspicuous dark blotch on last rays of dorsal, as in related species. A round black spot, nearly as large as eye, at base of caudal, precisely as in Codoma eurystoma [misidentification of Notropis zonistius, cf. Jordan and Evermann, 1896: 277]." In contrast, almost nothing in the original account seems to favor identification with callitaenia, so it is obvious that the description was drawn largely or entirely from one or more specimens of the blacktail shiner, Notropis venustus (Girard). Photogenis leucopus was placed in the synonymy of Cliola eurystoma by Jordan and Gilbert (1883: 180), in that of Notropis eurystomus by Jordan and Evermann (1896: 276) and most subsequent authors, and in that of Notropis venustus, including also Notropis eurystomus, by Bailey, Winn, and Smith (1954: 128). In view of these facts, it seems best to retain the association of the name Photogenis leucopus with Notropis venustus. We therefore designate as lectotype of Photogenis leucopus Jordan and Brayton a specimen of Notropis venustus, USNM 31124, 77.8 mm. in standard length, from the original series of syntypes. Another syntype, a specimen of venustus,



MAP 1. Distribution of Notropis callitaenia by record stations.

50 mm. in length, is removed as USNM 163963. The remaining two, USNM 163964, are specimens of *Notropis callitaenia*. The lectotype, an adult female, has the following characters that are typical of *Notropis venustus*: A black spot, longer than wide, at the caudal base; origin of dorsal fin equidistant from caudal base and a point between eye and end of snout; mouth straight, relatively oblique; snout not exceeding upper lip; no dark chain of melanophores on lower edge of lachrymal from eye to middle of upper jaw; pigment present on all interradial membranes of dorsal fin.

The name *callitaenia* is a substantive derived from the prefix calli-( $\kappa\alpha\lambda\lambda_{1}$ ) beautiful, and taenia ( $\tau\alpha_{1}\nu'\alpha$ ), a band or ribbon, in reference to the striking appearance of the lateral blue stripe on the posterior half of the body.

MATERIAL.—Holotype, an adult male, UMMZ 168938,<sup>1</sup> 64.4 mm. in standard length, collected in Flint River (Apalachicola River system), about one mile south of the outlet of Radium Springs, 5.5 miles south of Albany, Dougherty County, Georgia, between September 20 and 29, 1952, by Howard Elliott Winn and Ronald R. Rosanio (field No., Ga. W52, Sta. 3). Paratopotypes, UMMZ 163922 (76), 30 to 71 mm., and ANSP 73864 (20), were taken with the holotype.

Additional collections examined are as follows:

Flint River drainage: UMMZ 163926, 37 mm., and ANSP 73865 (9), Flint R., at Rivers Bend, 2 mi. SW Putney, Dougherty Co., Ga., Sept. 26 and 30, 1952, Winn and Rosanio; UMMZ 163956 (13), 37 to 65 mm., Flint R., below power dam, 2 mi. N Albany, Dougherty Co., Ga., Sept. 21, 1952, Winn; UF 1345 (4), 29 to 45 mm., and UMMZ 134609 (14), 26 to 56 mm., mouth of Flint R., Gadsden Co., Fla., Oct. 13, 1941, A. F. Carr, Jr.

Chattahoochee River drainage: USNM 163964 (2), 52 and 63 mm., Chattahoochee R., Shallow Ford, NW Gainesville [Hall Co.], Ga., about 1876, D. S. Jordan and A. W. Brayton (from composite series of syntypes of *Photogenis leucopus* Jordan and Brayton, see p. 2); CU 17137 (16), 39 to 62 mm., Vickery Cr., Roswell, Fulton Co., Ga., Mar. 30, 1950, E. C. Raney, R. D. Suttkus, R. H. Backus, and C. R. Robins; CU 28373 (3), 46 to 60 mm., same locality, Sept. 6, 1953, R. H. and S. P. Gibbs; and CU 28374 (2), 57 and 63 mm., Uchee Cr., 9.2 mi. S Phenix City, Russell Co., Ala., June 12, 1949, R. D. Suttkus, C. F. Cole, and R. H. Gibbs; UMMZ 167871 (15), 28 to 64 mm., Uchee Cr., Nucholls, 3.5 mi. SE U. S. Hwy. 241, Russell Co., Ala., Oct. 2, 1954, J. S. Dendy; UMMZ 168737 (11), 35 to 64 mm., Chattahoochee R., Eufaula, Barbour Co., Ala., Sept. 11, 1954, R. M. and D. M. Bailey; and UMMZ 166278 (4), 41 to 46 mm., Chattahoochee R., at mouth of Mill Cr., above Hwy. 2 crossing, T. 7 N, R. 8 W, secs. 23 and 26, Jackson Co., Fla., May 2, 1952, R. M. and D. M. Bailey.

Escambia River drainage (provisional identification): UMMZ 134620, 26 mm., Escambia R., 5 mi. W Jay, Escambia and Santa Rosa cos., Fla., Oct. 12, 1941, Carr.

DIAGNOSIS.—A species of *Notropis* of the subgenus *Cyprinella* with closely imbricate scales that are pigmented near the margin to form a regular lateral pattern of oblique dark lines so that each scale appears diamond-shaped; a prominent steel-blue midlateral band (lead colored to black in preservation), with a dark basicaudal spot that is slightly wider than band; teeth usually 1, 4-4, 1, often with minor row lacking on one side; anal rays typically 8; body moderately elongate, com-

<sup>1</sup> The following abbreviations are employed: ANSP, Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia; CU, Cornell University; UF, Department of Biology, University of Florida; UMMZ, Museum of Zoology, University of Michigan; and USNM, United States National Museum.

pressed; lateral line complete; dorsal origin slightly nearer tip of snout than caudal base; mouth scarcely oblique, rather small; no pronounced dark on posterior membranes of dorsal fin, melanophores on this fin few or absent (except in large males).

Notropis callitaenia is very similar to N. callisema, but differs in the presence of a minor row of teeth, the dark, crescent-shaped line of melanophores between eye and angle of gape, and usually in the darker basicaudal spot.

DESCRIPTION.—Pharyngeal teeth 1, 4-4, 1 or the minor row lacking on one side, strongly hooked, the grinding surface poorly developed, the cutting edge entire or crenate. Dorsal rays 8; anal rays 8, seldom 7 (2 of 69 counted); pelvic rays 8; pectoral rays 14 or 15 (range 13 to 17). Dorsal placed slightly behind pelvic insertion, its origin usually slightly nearer tip of snout than base of caudal fin. Dorsal fin moderate in size in nonbreeding specimens, the rays decreasing regularly in length posteriorly, the posterior rays exceeding the anterior in the depressed fin. Lateral line complete, moderately decurved from slightly behind opercle to below middle of dorsal. Scales (37) 38 or 39 (40) along lateral line, usually in 26 (13 + 2 + 11) rows around body before the dorsal fin, and in 14 (7 + 2 + 5) rows around caudal peduncle. Lateral scales with their exposed surfaces higher than wide, most pronounced predorsally and along lateral line. Predorsal scales not crowded; breast and throat scaled. Mouth slightly inferior; upper lip longer than lower; slope of upper jaw becoming somewhat steeper behind angle at anterior end of lachrymal. Angle of closure, measured between a line from the most anterior to the most posterior points on the gape and the horizontal axis of the body, usually 25° or less. Snout blunt, usually extending beyond upper jaw. Head rather short, 4.0 to 4.3 in standard length; body elongate, its depth 4.2 to 5.0 in standard length. Measurements of the holotype and 7 paratopotypes are given in Table I. These were taken as described by Hubbs and Lagler (1947: 8-15). Gillrakers of first arch much reduced in size, few (2 or 3 + 3 or 4). Cephalic canals complete except the supratemporal which is interrupted mesially. None of our specimens has well-developed nuptial tubercles. Those few with pearl organs seem to have a concentration on the snout, scattered moderate-sized tubercles on the top of the head, and a prominent single row on the middorsal line from the occiput to the origin of the dorsal. This is a provisional description, awaiting the collection of breeding males.

Vertebrae were counted from X rays of the holotype and 15 paratopotypes (UMMZ 163922). One of the latter was eliminated from the

| н  |
|----|
| ы  |
| 닖  |
| AE |
| F  |

# Proportional Measurements of Notropis callitaenia and a Hybrid, Notropis callitaenia × Notropis venustus

Proportions are expressed in thousandths of the standard length. The specimens of N. callitaenia are from the type locality, Flint River, Georgia; the hybrid is from the Chattahoochee River, Alabama.

|           | Hybrid<br>UMMZ<br>168939   | 71.0                | 518                        | 510                          | 324                      | 501                           | 342                        | 244                  | 146                  | 158                           | 66                               |
|-----------|----------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|           |                            | 38.8                | 505                        | 515                          | 299                      | 484                           | 363                        | 188                  | 126                  | 124                           | 80                               |
|           | 5                          | 45.1                | 488                        | 519                          | 290                      | 481                           | 364                        | 186                  | 120                  | 124                           | 86                               |
| es i      | Z 16392                    | 50.2                | 510                        | 526                          | 305                      | 488                           | 373                        | 239                  | 141                  | 155                           | 94                               |
| llitaeni: | NMM                        | 56.6                | 500                        | 523                          | 315                      | 491                           | 337                        | 210                  | 131                  | 133                           | 87                               |
| opis ca   |                            | 59.5                | 511                        | 521                          | 314                      | 493                           | 343                        | 217                  | 134                  | 138                           | 91                               |
| Noti      |                            | 60.3                | 509                        | 516                          | 312                      | 491                           | 348                        | 209                  | 136                  | 134                           | 06                               |
|           | Holotype<br>UMMZ<br>168938 | 65.1                | 504                        | 522                          | 312                      | 498                           | 355                        | 240                  | 134                  | 146                           | 95                               |
|           | UMMZ<br>163922             | 68. 2               | 500                        | 507                          | 311                      | 479                           | 356                        | 236                  | 138                  | 147                           | 97                               |
|           | Measurement                | Standard length, mm | Dorsal origin to snout tip | Dorsal origin to caudal base | Dorsal origin to occiput | Pelvic insertion to snout tip | Anal origin to caudal base | Body, greatest depth | Body, greatest width | Dorsal origin to lateral line | Pelvic insertion to lateral line |

Occ. Papers

| Caudal peduncle, length                | 236 | 224 | 225 | 230 | 221 | 237 | 242 | 245 | 220 |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Caudal peduncle, least depth           | 103 | 106 | 95  | 101 | 66  | 110 | 98  | 98  | 113 |
| Head, length                           | 242 | 246 | 234 | 235 | 230 | 249 | 239 | 235 | 239 |
| Head, depth                            | 155 | 155 | 153 | 148 | 145 | 163 | 155 | 155 | 155 |
| Head, width                            | 123 | 121 | 126 | 124 | 118 | 127 | 120 | 119 | 125 |
| Interorbital, least fleshy width       | 86  | 95  | 91  | 92  | 87  | 88  | 80  | 85  | 100 |
| Snout, length                          | 76  | 78  | 76  | 11  | 74  | 80  | 64  | 72  | 75  |
| Orbit, length                          | 65  | 68  | 68  | 74  | 72  | 78  | 78  | 75  | 70  |
| Upper jaw, length                      | 67  | 68  | 65  | 64  | 65  | 72  | 69  | 67  | 70  |
| Suborbital, least width                | 29  | 29  | 25  | 29  | 25  | 24  | 31  | 26  | 27  |
| Dorsal fin, depressed length           | 262 | 275 | 222 | 229 | 223 | 259 | 217 | 214 | 224 |
| Anal fin, depressed length             | 202 | 207 | 191 | 188 | 186 | 205 | 180 | 180 | 186 |
| Caudal fin, length from base to tip of |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| longest ray                            | 220 | 212 | 222 | 220 | 228 | 237 | 246 | 237 | 235 |
| Pectoral fin, length                   | 164 | 174 | 161 | 168 | 166 | 171 | 175 | 191 | 172 |
| Pelvic fin, length                     | 163 | 177 | 153 | 148 | 148 | 165 | 146 | 144 | 149 |
|  |     |     |     |     |     |     | -   |     |     |

## Bailey and Gibbs

Occ. Papers

tabulation because of two aberrations in the precaudal region. The Weberian apparatus is presumed to consist of four vertebrae, which are included in the total enumeration as is the urostylar vertebra. The transverse process of the last precaudal vertebra is considerably shorter than the hemal spine of the first caudal vertebra, the tip of which lies behind and close to the proximal end of the first interhemal spine. The precaudal vertebrae number 15 (in 6) or 16 (in 9, including the holotype); the caudal vertebrae are 18 (in 1), 19 (in 12, including the holotype), or 20 (in 2). The total vertebral count is 38 (in 5) or 39 (in 10). Long, well-developed ribs are attached to the anterior precaudal vertebrae, beginning with the first behind the Weberian apparatus. Posteriorly the ribs are shorter and weaker so that the last pair show only faintly on the X rays. The last precaudal vertebra bears no ribs, and in some specimens the penultimate one also lacks them. When there are 15 free precaudal vertebrae, usually only one lacks ribs; when there are 16 most often two bear no ribs. Thus, the typical number of ribs, 14 pairs, is more constant than the number of precaudal vertebrae.

COLORATION.-The most striking characteristic of this species is the lateral stripe, which is deep metallic blue in living fish, lead-colored to black in preserved specimens. It extends from the caudal base to a point below the front of the dorsal fin, the upper part narrowing to continue as a less intense streak to the opercle. A somewhat broadened anterior continuation of the stripe is represented by a moderate concentration of melanophores extending to the opercle between the dark streak and the lateral line. A basicaudal spot is slightly longer than wide and slightly darker than the lateral stripe, but the spot is not notably separated from the stripe, and they do not contrast greatly. The general tone of the body is pale; above the lateral line the scale pockets are narrowly margined with black, giving the scales a diamond-shaped appearance; below this a row or two of scales may be indistinctly outlined. The underparts are immaculate except for the melanophores which usually line the sides of the anal base. A dark, rather broad middorsal stripe is of constant width from the occiput to the dorsal origin; behind the dorsal fin it is lighter and narrows to a streak to continue to the basal procurrent caudal rays or to disappear before reaching them. The head is plumbeous above, white below, the dark reaching the upper lip and the lower edge of the lachrymal; behind the eye the uniform coloration grades into scattered melanophores laterally which reach about to the level of the middle of the eye and the upper one-third to one-half of the opercle. There is a prominent, dark heart-shaped area anterior to the occiput. The lower edge of the lachrymal is bordered by a narrow

line of dark melanophores that extend from the lower half of the orbit to a point near the middle of the upper jaw. A heavy concentration of deep-lying melanophores is present on the lachrymal above the line, often appearing on close examination as a bar from eye to mouth. The lower jaw bears scattered melanophores laterally, but is not distinctly darkened at the symphysis.

The dorsal fin is often immaculate except for some pigment at its extreme base and a file of melanophores just behind each ray. In the few nonbreeding specimens that have dorsal pigment, it is not dense and is concentrated in the basal half of each membrane. In specimens near breeding condition the dorsal is densely pigmented on all its membranes. On the membranes between the first and third principal rays the pigment is concentrated in the distal two-thirds and absent from the basal third. In the remaining membranes it is most dense in the basal part but is also present distally. The pigment extends to the anterior ray of each membrane, but usually not to the posterior, leaving a narrow clear space behind. There is a tendency for all fins, but particularly the dorsal and anal, of most larger specimens to contain the milky pigment which is characteristic of *Cyprinella*.

Those parts of the color pattern which owe their presence to melanophores are subject to reduction, approaching absence, in individuals from turbid waters. In such cases the lateral band may become quite inconspicuous, but the basicaudal spot remains noticeable, though much lighter. Preservation may also affect the color intensity.

COMPARISONS.—Within the known range of Notropis callitaenia the only other species of Cyprinella is N. venustus. These two species are common associates and bear a marked superficial resemblance. On close inspection, however, they are seen to differ in numerous characters (Table II), notably in the size and form of the mouth and snout, the relative position of the dorsal fin, scale size, and several features of pigmentation.

Notropis callisema, from the Altamaha River drainage, is obviously the closest relative of N. callitaenia. The similarity is so striking that for a time we were dubious about their systematic separation. They differ sharply and consistently, however, in pharyngeal dentition. Tooth counts on 15 specimens of N. callitaenia from the Apalachicola system number as follows: 1, 4-4, 1 in nine, 1, 4-4, 0 in three, and 0, 4-4, 1 in three. Even when a tooth of the minor row is lacking, the arch is rather broad and heavy and a shelf is present where the tooth should be. The single fish from the Escambia has the formula, 1, 4-4, 1. In contrast, the teeth number 4-4 in 15 specimens of N. callisema. Since the arch is

## TABLE II

# Comparison of Notropis venustus, N. callitaenia, and a Hybrid, N. callitaenia x N. venustus

Based on specimens (UMMZ 168739, 168737, and 168939, respectively) taken in the Chattahoochee River at Eufaula, Alabama.

| Character   | venustus                                    | hybrid                                      | callitaenia                             |
|---|---|---|---|
| Number measured   | 3   | 1   | 4                                       |
| Standard length (mm.)   | 65-92                                       | 71  | 60-63                                   |
| Configuration of upper jaw  | Almost straight                             | Slightly curved                             | Broadly curved                          |
| Length of upper jaw (per cent<br>of standard length)                        | 7.9 to 8.8                                  | 7.0   | 6.8 to 7.0                              |
| Angle of mouth  | About 30°                                   | 26°   | About 20° to 25°                        |
| Snout   | Rather sharp, does not exceed upper lip     | Fairly sharp, slightly<br>exceeds upper lip | Blunter, usually exceeds upper lip      |
| Head length (per cent of standard length)                                   | 25.3 to 26.6                                | 23.9  | 24.1 to 24.9                            |
| Distance from dorsal origin<br>to caudal base projected<br>forward reaches: | Nostril, occasionally<br>to middle of snout | Almost to tip of snout                      | Usually slightly beyond<br>tip of snout |

| Predorsal length/<br>postdorsal length           | 1.068 to 1.097  | 1.017   | .941 to .987  |
|--|---|---|---|
| Dorsal circumferential scales                    | Usually 14 or 15  | 13  | Usually 13  |
| Lateral band                                     | Lighter; caudal spot con-<br>trasting markedly  | Intermediate; caudal<br>spot contrasting moderately   | Dark; caudal spot contrast-<br>ing slightly                                     |
| Caudal spot                                      | Larger; usually consider-<br>ably longer than wide  | Intermediate; slightly<br>longer than wide  | Smaller; usually about as<br>long as wide                                       |
| Pigment on lachrymal                             | Uniform, no dark crescent   | A mere suggestion of<br>a dark crescent   | Crescent of dark pigment<br>along lower edge from eye<br>to middle of upper lip |
| Anterior end of lower jaw                        | Almost as dark as front of<br>upper lip; much dark pigment  | Much lighter than upper<br>lip; some concentration<br>of pigment  | Much lighter than front of<br>upper lip; few scattered<br>melanophores          |
| Pigmentation of dorsal fin<br>(nonbreeding fish) | Usually with many melano-<br>phores on all or on last 4<br>te 6 membranes, denser pos-<br>teriorly, more concentrated<br>distally | Present on all membranes,<br>becoming denser posteri-<br>orly; more concentrated<br>in middle third of each<br>membrane | Often immaculate; if<br>present sparse and con-<br>centrated basally            |
|  |   |   |   |

more delicate and narrower than in *callitaenia*, there is usually inadequate surface to support a tooth in a minor row. The most evident distinguishing pigmentary character involves the area on and below the lachrymal. In *callitaenia* the melanophores over the lachrymal are larger, more intense, and more or less aggregated and fused; along the ventral edge of the bone there is a chainlike series of deep macromelanophores extending from the anteroventral edge of the eye forward in a crescentic line to the angle of the gape. In *callisema* the lachrymal is uniformly and lightly dusted with small, discrete melanophores, and there is no line of enlarged pigment cells along the lower edge of the lachrymal. In *callitaenia* the basicaudal spot is at least as dark as the lateral band on the peduncle; in *callisema* it is much lighter than the band. There appear to be no consistent differences in body proportions.

From Notropis callistius, the most similar species in the Alabama River basin, callitaenia differs abundantly in the deeper scales that are clearly edged with dark, the presence of a dark stripe on the peduncle, the fewer (14 instead of 16) rows of caudal-peduncle scales, the higher number (26 instead of 24) of rows of body-circumference scales, and in other characters.

From *Notropis leedsi* the new species differs in the presence of a tooth in the minor row of the pharyngeal arch, in the diamond-pattern of scale pigmentation, and in the larger number of lateral-line scales (usually 38 or 39 instead of 36 or 37).

HABITAT.—The collection stations for Notropis callitaenia are chiefly in large rivers with a sandy bottom. In Vickery Creek, Georgia, the species was taken just above the junction of the creek with the Chattahoochee River. The collections in Uchee Creek, Alabama, a moderatesized stream, were obtained in the lower course a few miles from the Chattahoochee River. Notropis callitaenia is commonly associated with N. venustus, but unlike that species it appears not to enter small creeks or to occur over a soft, organic bottom.

RANGE.—Notropis callitaenia (Map 1) is apparently a characteristic inhabitant of the two primary affluents of the Apalachicola River, the Chattahoochee and Flint rivers, and the lower reaches of their larger tributaries. Elsewhere the species is known only from the provisional identification of a single small specimen from the Escambia River. This specimen agrees well with N. callitaenia and is clearly not referable to Notropis venustus, the only other species of the subgenus Cyprinella known from the Escambia basin. The occurrence of a previously undescribed but apparently not uncommon minnow in large rivers of the Gulf drainage emphasizes the need for thorough ichthyological investigation in this habitat and region.

HYBRIDIZATION.-A single specimen, UMMZ 168939, a male 71.0 mm. in standard length from the Chattahoochee River at Eufaula, Alabama, is identified as a hybrid, Notropis callitaenia  $\times$  N. venustus. Series of both parent species were also taken in the collection. The intermediacy of the presumed hybrid between the two species is indicated by the comparison in Table II, and proportional measurements are given in Table I. Almost nothing is known of the breeding ecology of these species, or of the species of Cyprinella generally. For a few northern forms spawning time has been noted, but the place and method of oviposition remain largely unknown. Hankinson (1930) observed N. spilopterus spawning about the submerged part of a log in the Huron River, Michigan. Eggs were found on the exposed part of the log and particularly under the bark. Stone (1940: 289) reported N. analostanus spawning at Ithaca, New York, in quiet water, the eggs being laid in cracks or on the surface of submerged logs or branches. When the reproductive habits of callitaenia and venustus are known and the isolating mechanisms have been determined, it may be possible to recognize how these mechanisms are occasionally circumvented, as evidenced by this structurally intermediate specimen that is interpreted as an interspecific hybrid.

## LITERATURE CITED

BAILEY, REEVE M., HOWARD ELLIOTT WINN, and C. LAVETT SMITH

- 1954 Fishes from the Escambia River, Alabama and Florida, with Ecologic and Taxonomic Notes. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., 106: 109-64.
- FOWLER, HENRY W.
  - 1945 A Study of the Fishes of the Southern Piedmont and Coastal Plain. Monogr. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., 7: vi + 408, Figs. 1-313.

GIRARD, CHARLES

1856 Researches upon the Cyprinoid Fishes Inhabiting the Fresh Waters of the United States of America, West of the Mississippi Valley, from Specimens in the Museum of the Smithsonian Institution. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., 8: 165-213.

HANKINSON, T. L.

- 1930 Breeding Behavior of the Silverfin Minnow, Notropis whipplii spilopterus (Cope). Copeia, 1930 (3): 73-74.
- HUBBS, CARL L., and KARL F. LAGLER
  - 1947 (and subsequent printings). Fishes of the Great Lakes Region. Cranbrook Inst. Sci. Bull., 26: xi + 186, Figs. 1-251, many col. pls.

## JORDAN, DAVID STARR

1929 Manual of the Vertebrate Animals of the Northeastern United States Inclusive of Marine Species. 13th ed.; New York: World Book Co. Pp. xxxi + 446. JORDAN, DAVID STARR, and ALEMBERT W. BRAYTON

- 1878 Contributions to North American Ichthyology. Based Primarily on the Collections of the United States National Museum. III. A. On the Distribution of the Fishes in the Alleghany Region of South Carolina, Georgia, and Tennessee, with Descriptions of New or Little Known Species. Bull. U.S. Nat. Mus., 12: 3–95.
- JORDAN, DAVID STARR, and HERBERT C. COPELAND
- 1876 A Check-List of the Fishes of the Fresh Waters of North America. Bull. Buffalo Soc. Nat. Sci., 3: 133-64.
- JORDAN, DAVID STARR, and BARTON WARREN EVERMANN
  - 1896 The Fishes of North and Middle America. Bull. U. S. Nat. Mus., 47: Pt. 1: 1-1240.
- JORDAN, DAVID STARR, BARTON WARREN EVERMANN, and HOWARD WALTON CLARK
  - 1930 Check List of the Fishes and Fish-like Vertebrates of North and Middle America North of the Northern Boundary of Venezuela and Colombia. Rep. U. S. Comm. Fish., 1928 (2): 1-670.
- JORDAN, DAVID STARR, and CHARLES H. GILBERT
  - 1883 Synopsis of the Fishes of North America. Bull. U. S. Nat. Mus., 16: lvi +1018.
- STONE, UDELL B.
  - 1940 Studies on the Biology of the Satinfin Minnows, Notropis analostanus and Notropis spilopterus. Cornell Univ. Abstr. of Theses (1940), 1:288–90.

Received for publication March 26, 1956

### PLATE I

Notropis callitaenia. Photograph of the holotype, an adult male, 64.4 mm. in standard length.



PLATE I

, .