Computed tomography-based tissue-engineered scaffolds in craniomaxillofacial surgery
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Abstract

Introduction Tissue engineering provides an alternative modality allowing for decreased morbidity of donor site grafting and decreased rejection of less compatible alloplastic tissues.

Methods Using image-based design and computer software, a precisely sized and shaped scaffold for osseous tissue regeneration can be created via selective laser sintering. Polycaprolactone has been used to create a condylar ramus unit (CRU) scaffold for application in temporomandibular joint reconstruction in a Yucatan minipig animal model. Following sacrifice, micro-computed tomography and histology was used to demonstrate the efficacy of this particular scaffold design.

Results A proof-of-concept surgery has demonstrated cartilaginous tissue regeneration along the articulating surface with exuberant osseous tissue formation. Bone volumes and tissue mineral density at both the 1 and 3 month time points demonstrated significant new bone growth interior and exterior to the scaffold.

Conclusion Computationally designed scaffolds can support masticatory function in a large animal model as well as both osseous and cartilage regeneration. Our group is continuing to evaluate multiple implant designs in both young and mature Yucatan minipig animals. Copyright © 2007 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
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Introduction

Surgeons are forever searching for improved techniques for reconstruction. Surgically removed pathology, traumatic lesions, degenerative changes and infectious conditions can all result in significant soft- and hard-tissue defects. Over the years, autogenous bone has remained the gold standard for maxillofacial reconstruction (1–5). Distraction osteogenesis has also been attempted but is inconvenient, due to a longer treatment time, failure of the device and need for further procedures (6–8). Prepared allogeneic tissues can also be used; however, patients run the risk of disease transmission, inflammation and ultimately rejection (9). More recently, biologically inert alloplastic materials offer a reasonable alternative (2,4); however, these materials are not bioresorbable and pose continued risks of inflammation and possible infection (2,4,10,11).
Tissue engineering (TE) is continuing to evolve, offering new techniques and biocompatible materials to recapitulate the facial skeleton. An ideal tissue-engineered product would achieve a number of goals to be considered effective (12–15). First, it must regenerate complex three-dimensional (3D) anatomical defects, while possessing mechanical properties similar to the native structures, allowing for function and possible immediate load bearing, while at the same time preventing stress shielding through material tissue stiffness mismatch. Second, the materials used must be biocompatible and, ideally, biodegradable, to avoid significant tissue response by either rejection through an immunological response or foreign body reaction through an inflammatory response. Third, it must contain continuous internal porosity for proper tissue formation, and nutrient and waste exchange, while discouraging excess tissue growth and harmful fibrous tissue ingrowth. Last, it should encourage appropriate cell differentiation through either soluble or insoluble factor signalling and/or allow for delivery of pluripotent cell types, such as cells derived from patient bone marrow (12–16). These soluble or insoluble signals are variable, depending upon the application, but may include growth factors and other proteins, gene therapy vectors, surface modifications affecting cell attachment and differentiation, and the physical properties of the scaffold microstructure itself (mechanosignalling, micropatterning) (12,17). By providing a construct to fill the defect, cells from surrounding tissues or delivered cells grow within an enriched environment, the regenerated tissues remodel and the scaffold itself slowly degrades. An optimal degradation pattern allows for maturation of regenerated tissues to support the mechanical load at the same rate that the scaffold gives up its mechanical support (12,16,18,19).

Computer-Based Applications in Tissue Engineering

Image-based design

Recently, the use of computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has extended beyond the diagnosis of disease processes to their use as a dataset for TE applications (12,14,20–22). One clear advantage of using these datasets is to create a 3D surgical model (23,24). More recently they have been applied to defining specific anatomical geometries for TE scaffold creation.

In regions of defects or abnormal anatomy, a template can be produced using a mirrored image of the contralateral side to recreate normal anatomy. If the desired outcome is to fill in a defect space precisely, a template can be created using image-processing techniques to select and define the defect area from the CT/MRI data. Scaffolds can be designed from these imaging templates by adjusting grey-scale density distribution within a voxel dataset. A structured voxel dataset is a regular cubic grid containing volume elements called voxels. These voxels have a grey-scale density range of 0–255 when using an eight-bit representation of data. On the global anatomical level, a mapping dataset can be created by defining a threshold density level between 0 and 255, where any voxels having density above the threshold level are considered to be material in the scaffold, while voxels below the threshold are considered to be void (14,21,22,25).

Once the mapping dataset is created at the global anatomical level, a porous architecture database is designed on a microstructural level. Criteria and optimization algorithms for designing the porous architecture have been detailed elsewhere (14,21,25–27). A variety of individual porous architecture designs can be used within a single scaffold by creating local image databases (28). For example, these local image databases can be combined to produce a heterogeneous internal structure within the scaffold, allowing for variations in porous microstructure that may create region-specific changes in properties, such as modulus, permeability and pore shape (14,21,25,29). In general, the porous architecture is designed to give desired mechanical and mass transport properties, taking into account the base properties of the scaffold biomaterial. By providing high porosity to increase biofactor delivery, one runs the risk of reducing strength (elastic modulus and yield strength) of the scaffold. The ideal is to design a scaffold, paying attention to the intrinsic properties of the biodegradable material to be used, that provides a high porosity for nutrient and metabolic waste exchange while being able to withstand mechanical load and function in the desired anatomical location (14,16,19,21,25,26,28,29).

Scaffold fabrication

Scaffolds themselves have been created by a variety of methods, using a variety of biomaterials, including polymers, ceramics and composites. Biodegradable polymers include polyactic acid, polyglycolic acid, polypropylene fumarate and polycaprolactone (16,30–38). Ceramics such as hydroxyapatite and tricalcium phosphate are used but degrade minimally over time (6,14,39). Composite scaffolds may include any combination of polymers, ceramics, metals and biofactors, and attempt to maximize tissue regeneration within the scaffold (12,16,17,19,29,40–46).

Conventional fabrication methods include salt leaching, solvent casting, the use of fibre-based fabrics, thermal phase separation, melt moulding, membrane lamination, templating, fluid-gassing and emulsion freeze-drying (47,48). Major fallbacks include the use of toxic organic solvents during the manufacturing process, less predictable external and internal geometries, and extreme technique sensitivity. In order to circumvent these limitations, the use of solid free-form fabrication (SFF) in tissue engineering was established. SFF is a technique that allows the precise fabrication of complex 3D anatomical
scaffolds created by computer-generated image-based
design techniques, using a layer-by-layer manufacturing
approach (12,47,49–51). Techniques include fused
deposition modelling, 3D printing, stereolithography, ink-
jet printing and selective laser sintering (SLS).

SLS uses a laser to provide thermal energy to
sinter particles together without causing degradation to
the underlying chemical composition. Layer by layer,
sequential films of powder are deposited onto the bed,
while the laser and radiant heaters selectively coalesce
particles of powder through the application of thermal
energy, causing an overall release of surface free energy
(Figure 1). The subsequent layer will fuse with the
underlying layer within the bed of surrounding loose
powder.

Our primary focus has been evaluating SLS fabricated
polycaprolactone (PCL) scaffolds for TE applications.
PCL is a well-characterized, biocompatible, bioresorbable
polymer that has been approved for human use
by the United States Food and Drug Administration
(www.fda.gov). It possesses a number of desired
characteristics for use in TE. It has been documented
as having an approximate in vivo degradation time
of approximately 2 years (52). PCL powder marketed
under CAPA® 6501 (Solvay Caprolactones, Warrington,
UK) possesses a semi-crystalline structure, with 99% of
the particles measuring less than 100 µm. Its melting
point is 58–60 °C, with a decomposition temperature of
350 °C. It can also be processed with other polymers,
such as polylactic and polyglycolic acids (52). In solid
cylindrical form, PCL created using SLS possesses a
compressive modulus of 122 MPa and a compressive
strength of 11.7 MPa. These values are on the lower end
of human mandibular trabecular bone in the region of
the condyle. SLS scaffolds with 50% porosity demonstrate
a compressive modulus of 55 MPa and a compressive
strength of 2.3 MPa (12,53).

Specifically, we have investigated the manufacture of a
computer-designed scaffold for temporomandibular joint
replacement. Using a Yucatan minipig animal model,
we have created a condylar ramus unit (CRU) scaffold.
Condylectomies were performed in both young and
mature age groups, although we are now focusing on
the mature animal model, as there exists a restricted
growth potential that will more appropriately relate to
human populations.

Methods

The first step in creating the CRU scaffold was segmenta-
tion of the condyle shape from a representative CT scan of
both a young and a mature minipig mandible. The image
dataset was read using a variety of commercial software,
including ANALYSE™ (www.analysedirect.com), Interac-
tive Data Language (IDL; www.itivis.com) and MATLAB
(www.mathworks.com). A means to surgically fix the
scaffold to the ramus was added by creating a collar with
screw holes that would fit around the mandibular ramus
(post-condylectomy). The collar was created by perform-
ing image dilatation of the ramus in the inferior–superior
direction (Figure 2A). The dilatation essentially expands
the structure outward from the ramus. Finally, the condy-
lar head region of the scaffold image is created with two
different density values to allow mapping of a designed
porous architecture (Figure 2B).

For the current application (prototype surgery), a
shell configuration for replacement of the condylar head
was developed, in order to evaluate overall growth
potential of osseous and cartilaginous tissues within
the confines of a biodegradable scaffold. In additional
surgeries, we are comparing the use of orthogonal
channel architecture with a spherical void in an attempt to enhance cartilage matrix formation. Results of these studies are pending. Figure 3 illustrates the entire image-based scaffold design procedure from CT scan through to final fabricated scaffold. Figure 4 demonstrates a higher-resolution image of the scaffold design, with orthogonal strut architecture engineered to the specific dimensions of the condylar head and the cross-section of the dilated ramus sleeve.

Using PCL (CAPA® 6501), a CRU scaffold for a young Yucatan minipig (Lone Star Swine, Seguin, TX, USA) was fabricated with SLS. Our SLS system uses a low-power CO₂ laser (λ 10.6 μm, continuous wave, power <10 W) focused to a 450 μm spot (Sinterstation 2000, a commercial SLS machine; 3D Systems Inc., Valencia, CA, USA) (9,54).

With approval of the University of Michigan animal ethics committee (UCUCA; www.ucuca.umich.edu), our prototype surgery involved placement of a shell CRU scaffold into five young animals (aged 6–8 months). The animals were anaesthetized and a horizontal condylectomy was performed, as demonstrated in Figure 5. The condylar head of the CRU was then packed with iliac crest bone marrow harvested from each animal, and the fitted CRU scaffold sleeve was inserted over the mandibular ramus. The condylar head closely reapproximated the vertical dimension and volume of the condylectomy segment and provided a new articular surface for the minipig to function with. The CRU was then secured using miniplates and screws (KLS Martin, Jacksonville, FL, USA; Figure 6). The contralateral temporomandibular joint (TMJ) was left intact as a control.

Following a postoperative period of either 1 or 3 months, the animals were sacrificed. The condyles and their opposing articular eminence of both the treated and control sides were sectioned en bloc (articular eminence, articular disc, CRU scaffold and surrounding mandible) for further evaluation.

**Micro-CT**

The harvested specimens were imaged in water using micro-computed tomography (micro-CT; GE Healthcare Explore MS-130 scanner with a 20–130 kVp, 0–500 mA, micro-focus cone beam X-ray source: London, ON, Canada; www.gehealthcare.com). The system settings were 75 kVp and 75 mA, and data were acquired with an isotropic voxel size of 45 μm. Hounsfield unit calibration was performed with a phantom containing water, air and cortical bone mimic. Using a Feldkamp cone beam
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Figure 5. Horizontal condylectomy of mandibular condyle reconstruction algorithm (55), a 3D representation of the material scanned was used to evaluate for growth of new bone, both within and external to the scaffold. The data were reorientated in Microview 2.1.2 software (www.microview.sourceforge.net) with the Visualization Plus plug-in (GE Healthcare, London, ON, Canada) to identify the ostectomy plane relative to bony landmarks and fixation screw positions. Using a uniform threshold value, new bone volume was determined. The isolation of desired areas was achieved by defining a specified region of interest (ROI), using the Advanced ROI plug-in and the spline tool. Tissue mineral density and content, indicators of the quality of newly formed osseous tissue, were calculated for each ROI. Condylectomy pieces above the sectioned mandible at the time of initial surgery, from a representative group of young minipigs, were also subjected to micro-CT for bone volume quantification.

**Histology**

The specimens were then decalcified, paraffin-embedded and sectioned (10 μm) for histological analysis of the regenerated joint structure and surface. Histological staining was performed using haematoxylin and eosin (H&E) to evaluate bone regeneration and architecture within and external to the scaffold. Fast green/safranin O stain was utilized for demonstration of cartilaginous tissue formation, with focus along the joint surface.

**Table 1. Bone volume and tissue mineral density of condylectomy control segments from six young Yucatan minipigs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Controls (six young minipigs)</th>
<th>BV (mm³)</th>
<th>TMD (mg/cc)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ave</td>
<td>1524.2</td>
<td>477.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>337.8</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BV, bone volume; TMD, tissue mineral density

Figure 6. (A) Iliac crest bone marrow packed into scaffold condylar head. (B) Scaffold well adapted to native mandible. (C) Scaffold secured to ramus

Table 2. Bone volume and tissue mineral density regenerated above the condylectomy line at both 1 and 3 month time points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total new bone</th>
<th>New bone external to implant</th>
<th>New bone internal to implant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BV (mm$^3$)</td>
<td>TMD (mg/cc)</td>
<td>BV (mm$^3$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shell, 1 month</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pig 1</td>
<td>1221.81</td>
<td>549.5</td>
<td>829.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pig 2</td>
<td>1425.36</td>
<td>462.8</td>
<td>1167.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ave</td>
<td>1323.6</td>
<td>506.1</td>
<td>998.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>143.9</td>
<td>61.2</td>
<td>239.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ave</td>
<td>1323.6</td>
<td>506.1</td>
<td>998.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shell, 3 months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pig 3</td>
<td>4634.32</td>
<td>588.4</td>
<td>3347.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pig 4</td>
<td>5455.60</td>
<td>572.6</td>
<td>4409.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ave</td>
<td>5045.0</td>
<td>580.5</td>
<td>3878.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>580.7</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>751.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BV, bone volume; TMD, tissue mineral density.

Results

All five of the animals returned to full masticatory function. Micro-CT data was obtained for condylectomy segments of six Yucatan minipigs for control (Table 1). Micro-CT data of bone volume and tissue mineral density demonstrated evidence of new bone growth within the implanted scaffold in four of the five animals (Table 2). One animal was noted to have an infection that was treated prior to sacrifice. Although bone was formed outside the scaffold, this young minipig did not regenerate mineralized tissues within the scaffold,
therefore the results are not included in the analysis. At the 1 month time point, total bone volume (treatment group, \( n = 2, 1323.6 \pm 143.9 \text{ mm}^3 \); control group, \( n = 6, 1524.2 \pm 337.8 \text{ mm}^3 \)) and tissue mineral density (treatment group, 506.1 \pm 61.2 \text{ mg/cc}; control group, 477.3 \pm 17.1 \text{ mg/cc}) were comparable to controls. At the 3 month time point, total bone volume (treatment group, \( n = 2, 5045.0 \pm 580.7 \text{ mm}^3 \)) and tissue mineral density (treatment group, \( n = 2, 580.5 \pm 11.2 \)) were substantially increased. It was notable that there was a large amount of bone evident external to the confines of the scaffold in both the 1 and 3 month treatment groups. The bone volume within the scaffold closely reapproximated the control condylectomy segments at 3 months (Figure 7). Overall tissue mineral density also closely approximated controls in both treatment groups (Figure 8). Figures 9 and 10 demonstrate 3D images of bone growth. Histological analysis demonstrates normal osseous architecture both internal and external to the scaffold compared to contralateral control temporomandibular joints in the same animal (Figures 11, 12). Cartilaginous tissue formation is clearly evident along the articular surface in the young animals at both the 1 and 3 month time points (Figure 13).

Discussion

The surgeries described show promising results for the application of tissue engineering in temporomandibular reconstruction. Using bone volume quantification from the micro-CT datasets, the young animal model clearly demonstrated an exuberant amount of osseous tissue using a biodegradable tissue-engineered scaffold, possibly due to its innate healing response. Along with the formation of osseous tissues, histology displayed the production of cartilaginous tissues on the articular surfaces of the regenerated condyles.

Image-based design techniques provide two important advantages for scaffold design (14,21). First, image-based design techniques are directly compatible with
datasets at different resolutions. This makes it possible to design the external anatomical shape of the scaffold from a patient image on a centimetre scale, and the scaffold porous architecture based on mechanical and mass transport requirements on a sub-µm scale. The major limitation is computer memory, becoming more readily available all the time, and the scale at which biomaterials can be fabricated (14,21).

There are a number of discrete advantages of SLS in comparison to the other forms of SFF and conventional fabrication techniques. Complex geometries can be manufactured using the layered technique, due to the ability of the laser to access the internal structures in a step-wise fashion. With this method, the surrounding loose powder supports the sintered scaffold, allowing for the creation of overhangs and rapidly changing cross-sections. The desired scaffold design is gradually created, and can be removed en bloc from the surrounding loose powder once complete (9).

Micro-CT is well known to be a non-invasive, non-destructive technique which enables one to both analyse and quantify scaffolds pre-operatively and regenerated tissues post-surgically (56). The materials and specimens can therefore be used for future destructive analysis, including histology. More specifically, micro-CT can evaluate scaffold design, architecture continuity and connectivity, bone volume, anisotropy, tissue mineral density and content (31,38,56–59) and, most recently, cartilaginous tissues can be identified (60). For cartilage analysis, the specimen must be directly subjected to ionic contrast (Hexabrix 320, Mallinckrodt, Hazelwood, MO, USA), which binds to sulphated glycosaminoglycans (sGAGs), and determines the density of sGAGs based on
attenuation. Following this method, the contrast agent can be easily desorbed from the tissue, making the specimens available for histological analysis (60).

Maxillofacial surgeons have a very difficult time attempting to reconstruct osseous tissues to recapitulate facial esthetics. The TMJ proves to be one of the most difficult structures to reconstruct, due to its complex geometrical shape and mechanical function, the presence of both osseous and cartilaginous tissues in close proximity to a fibrocartilaginous disc, and risk of ankylosis (2). Given the demonstrated growth potential of the TMJ of young Yucatan minipigs, we are currently applying both a shell and an architecture-based CRU into the mandibles of mature minipigs that have restricted growth of their osseous tissues. We are continuing to evaluate and apply various scaffolds fabricated through image-based computer-aided design and SLS techniques in animal models. Tissue engineering is proving to be a potentially beneficial area to minimize the need for donor site morbidity and also to aid in promoting the growth of hard and soft tissues.
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