



Associations Between Recent Exposure to
Ambient Fine Particulate Matter and Blood
Pressure in the Multi-Ethnic Study of
Atherosclerosis (MESA)

Amy H. Auchincloss, Ana V. Diez Roux,
J. Timothy Dvornch, Patrick L. Brown, R. Graham Barr,
Martha L. Daviglius, David C. Goff Jr.,
Joel D. Kaufman, and Marie S. O'Neill

doi:10.1289/ehp.10899 (available at <http://dx.doi.org/>)
Online 24 January 2008



NIEHS
National Institute of
Environmental Health Sciences

National Institutes of Health
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Title:

Associations Between Recent Exposure to Ambient Fine Particulate Matter and Blood Pressure in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA)

Authors:

Amy H. Auchincloss¹, Ana V. Diez Roux¹, J. Timothy Dvorchak², Patrick L. Brown³, R. Graham Barr⁴, Martha L. Daviglus⁵, David C. Goff Jr.⁶, Joel D. Kaufman⁷, Marie S. O'Neill^{1,2}

Author affiliations:

1. Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI.
2. Department of Environmental Health Sciences, School of Public Health, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI.
3. Department of Atmospheric Sciences, School of Engineering, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI.
4. Departments of Medicine and Epidemiology, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY.
5. Department of Preventive Medicine, Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL
6. Department of Public Health Sciences, School of Medicine, Wake Forest University, Winston-Salem, NC
7. Department of Environmental and Occupational Health Sciences, School of Public Health, University of Washington, Seattle, WA

Reprints and correspondence:

Amy H. Auchincloss, Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, University of Michigan; 109 Observatory Street, SPH Tower Room 3655; Ann Arbor, MI 48109-2029.

E-mail: aauchinc@umich.edu. Tel: (734)615-9219 Fax: (734)998-0006

Acknowledgments

AHA designed the study, analyzed data, and drafted the paper. AVDR contributed to study design and conceptualization, and edited drafts. JTD assisted with conceptualization and commented on drafts. PLB constructed datasets and commented on the paper. All other authors critically reviewed drafts and are listed in alphabetical order. The authors thank the other investigators, the staff, and the participants of the MESA study for their valuable contributions. A full list of participating MESA investigators and institutions can be found at <http://www.mesa-nhlbi.org>.

Funding Sources

MESA is supported by contracts N01-HC-95159 through N01-HC-95165 and N01-HC-95169 from the National Heart Lung and Blood Institute. This work was supported by grant R830543 (PI: Diez Roux) from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

Disclaimer: The authors declare they have no competing financial interests.

Running title: Particulate Matter Exposure and Blood Pressure

Key words: air pollution; blood pressure; cardiovascular disease; epidemiology; particulate matter.

Abbreviations:

BMI	body mass index
BP	blood pressure
CI	confidence interval
CO	carbon monoxide
DBP	diastolic blood pressure
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ETS	environmental tobacco smoke
MAP	mean arterial pressure
MESA	Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis
NO ₂	nitrogen dioxide
PM	particulate matter
PM _{2.5}	particles less than or equal to 2.5 microns in aerodynamic diameter
PP	pulse pressure
SBP	systolic blood pressure
SO ₂	sulfur dioxide
µg/m ³	micrograms per cubic meter
ppm	parts per million

OUTLINE

ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study sample

Air pollution and meteorology data

Clinical measurements

Statistical analysis

RESULTS

DISCUSSION

LIST OF REFERENCES

TABLES

FIGURE LEGEND

FIGURE

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Blood pressure (BP) may be implicated in associations observed between ambient particulate matter and cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. This study examined cross-sectional associations between short-term ambient fine particles (PM_{2.5}) and BP: systolic (SBP), diastolic (DBP), mean arterial (MAP), and pulse pressure (PP).

METHODS: The study sample included 5,112 persons aged 45-84 years, free of cardiovascular disease at the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis baseline exam (2000-2002). Data from U.S. Environmental Protection Agency monitors were used to estimate ambient PM_{2.5} exposures for prior 1-day, 2-days, 7-days, 30-days, and 60-days. Roadway data were used to estimate local exposures to traffic-related particles.

RESULTS: Results from linear regression found PP and SBP positively associated with PM_{2.5}. For example, a 10 µg/m³ increase in PM_{2.5} 30-day mean was associated with 1.12 mm Hg higher pulse pressure (95% confidence interval [CI] 0.28, 1.97) and 0.99 mm Hg higher systolic BP (CI: -0.15, 2.13), adjusted for age, sex, race/ethnicity, income, education, body mass index, diabetes, cigarette smoking and environmental tobacco smoke, alcohol use, physical activity, medications, atmospheric pressure and temperature. Results were much weaker and not statistically significant for MAP and DBP. Although traffic-related variables were not themselves associated with BP, the association between PM_{2.5} and BP was stronger in the presence of higher traffic exposure.

CONCLUSIONS: Higher SBP and PP were associated with ambient levels of PM_{2.5} and the association was stronger in the presence of roadway traffic, suggesting that impairment of blood pressure regulation may play a role in response to air pollution.

INTRODUCTION

Exposure to ambient particulate matter has been associated with increased hospital admissions due to cardiovascular disease, and increased cardiovascular disease mortality (Dockery 2001; Miller et al. 2007). Studies have suggested that vascular, autonomic, endothelial functioning and/or inflammation (Brook et al. 2002; Choi et al. 2007; Diez Roux et al. 2006; Gold et al. 2000; O'Neill et al. 2005) are part of the mechanistic pathway yet much of the evidence has been weak, inconclusive/null, or has come from small studies and/or among persons with pre-existing disease. Thus, much remains to be learned about the underlying mechanisms or pathways of particle-induced mortality and morbidity. Blood pressure (BP) is an established risk factor for cardiovascular disease and may be implicated in the association between ambient particulate matter and cardiovascular morbidity and mortality.

Particles less than or equal to 2.5 microns in aerodynamic diameter (fine particles, PM_{2.5}) can be effectively inhaled and deposited in the airways and alveolar surfaces, thus, have the potential to elicit health impacts (U.S. EPA 2004). Inhaled particles may down regulate NO synthase and alter autonomic nervous system functioning (Gold et al. 2000; Haak et al. 1994) -- thus potentially affecting cardiac output, arterial stiffening, vascular function and tone, and wave reflections. Only one study (Zanobetti et al. 2004, a repeated measures study among cardiac patients) has found a positive association between fine particles and BP. Most studies examining fine particles and BP have found inverse (Brauer et al. 2001; Ebel et al. 2005; Ibal-Mulli et al. 2004) or no (Jansen et al. 2005; Linn et al. 1999; Urch et al. 2005) association. Studies to date have examined PM_{2.5} effects among persons with pre-existing disease (chronic obstructive pulmonary disease or cardiac disease) or a small number of healthy individuals participating in an exposure-chamber study. Studies in general population samples are lacking. Furthermore,

prior studies have investigated relatively short lags, ranging from same day to the 5 prior days, with one repeated measures study examining 12-day exposure (Jansen et al. 2005).

We examined the cross-sectional relationship between relatively short-term exposure to PM_{2.5} (prior 1 day to prior 2 months) and BP in a large population-based sample. We hypothesized that recent exposure to PM_{2.5} would be positively associated with BP. We also hypothesized proxies for traffic-related exposure would be associated with BP and would modify the association between background PM_{2.5} and BP because near roadways, PM_{2.5} may be higher and/or more toxic (Sanderson et al. 2005).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study sample

Study participants, aged 45-84 years, from the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) cohort were free of clinically apparent cardiovascular disease (symptoms, or history of medical or surgical treatment). They were recruited from six U.S. communities (Baltimore City and Baltimore County, MD; Chicago, IL; Forsyth County, NC; Los Angeles County, CA; Northern Manhattan and the Bronx, NY; and St. Paul, MN) using a variety of population-based approaches, including commercial lists of area residents and random digit dialing as previously reported (Bild et al. 2002). The current study used data from the baseline visit occurring between July 2000 and August 2002 (most [52%] participants were enrolled in 2001). The study was approved by each study site's institutional review boards. All participants provided written informed consent.

Air pollution and meteorology data

Pollutant data were extracted from EPA's Aerometric Information Retrieval System (U.S. EPA 2003). PM_{2.5} concentrations were obtained from 24-hour integrated samplers, which collected data daily or every third-day. For each person, we created a set of PM_{2.5} concentrations representing exposure prior to the clinical exam based on concentrations from the monitor nearest to the person's residence with available data on a given day. Five exposure measures were constructed: prior day; average of the prior 2 days, prior 7 days, prior 30 days, and prior 60 days.

Gaseous pollutants (sulfur dioxide [SO₂], nitrogen dioxide [NO₂], carbon monoxide [CO]), which are related to PM_{2.5} and potentially related to BP (Bhatnagar 2006), were also included. Ozone was not included due to having incomplete information in the winter. National Weather Service (NCDC 2004) meteorological variables (daily average temperature and sea level barometric pressure) were included because of their strong associations with PM_{2.5} and BP (Woodhouse et al. 1993). (Methods for co-pollutant and meteorologic measures have been reported elsewhere (Diez Roux et al. 2006).) Cumulative exposures for weather and co-pollutants were computed in the same way as PM_{2.5}.

Proxies for traffic-related exposure were: straight-line distance to a highway; total road length around the residence; and NO₂, since it is a large component of traffic emissions (Brauer et al. 2002). The roadway file, obtained from Environmental Systems Research Institute Inc., was a modified version of the 1990 Census TIGER/Line™ file. The modifications improved positional accuracy of the line file, eliminated errant segments, and appropriately reclassified/corrected features (GDT and ESRI 2002). Residences within 300 meters of a major road were defined as being 'close to a highway'. Major roads were selected due to being likely to

have diesel (truck) traffic (Brunekreef et al. 1997) and were identified from census feature class codes for primary roads (CFCC= A1 or A2). A distance of 300 meters was chosen for a few reasons: to obtain a reasonable distribution of residences in the two exposure categories, because traffic-related pollutants typically drop off to background levels around 300 meters (Sanderson et al. 2005) and because potential spatial inaccuracies (Wu et al. 2005) in the relative positioning of our roadway data and participant addresses precluded accurate measures of very short distances. Total road length (major plus connecting roads) was calculated for a 400 meter (0.25 mile) area around the residence and used both as a continuous and as a binary variable (total road length ≥ 3.5 kilometers, top quartile).

Clinical measurements

Information on person-level covariates was obtained during the clinical examination: age, sex, race/ethnicity, income, education, body mass index (BMI), type 2 diabetes (defined by the American Diabetes Association 2003 criteria (Genuth et al. 2003)), cigarette smoking, environmental tobacco smoke (ETS, during past year ≥ 1 hour/week in 'close quarters' with a person who smoked at home, at work, in a car, etc.), high alcohol use (average weekly drinks was ≥ 7 , top 10th percentile), sodium intake, physical activity, and BP medications. These variables are potential confounders because they are associated with BP outcomes and may be associated with residential location and therefore with PM and traffic exposures. Per capita income was calculated by dividing the interval midpoint of family income (total combined family income for the past 12 months from 13 income categories, dollars) by the number of persons supported. Dietary sodium intake was included in exploratory models (results were very similar) but not included in final models due to a large proportion of missing data. Anti-hypertension medication use was defined as using any of the common classes of antihypertensive

medications: thiazide diuretics, β -blockers, calcium channel blockers, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, angiotensin-2 receptor blockers, and other α -blockers or peripheral vasodilators. (Results were very similar when medications were separated into classes of medications.)

Resting seated BP was measured three times at 1-minute intervals using an automated oscillometric sphygmomanometer (Dinamap PRO 100 from Critikon, Tampa, FL). The average of the second and third BP measurements was used for these analyses. The mechanisms through which PM exposures may affect BP (and hence the aspect of BP likely to be most sensitive to exposures) are unknown. We therefore examined a variety of BP parameters including systolic BP (SBP), diastolic BP (DBP), pulse pressure (PP, systolic-diastolic), and mean arterial pressure (MAP, $[(2 * \text{diastolic}) + \text{systolic}]/3$).

Of the 6814 participants who completed the clinical examination, 6181 participated in the air pollution study. Of these persons, there were exclusions due to address errors (n=149); missing information on BP (n=3), air pollution exposure (n=661), and other covariates (n=256). Therefore, data on 5112 participants were available for analysis. The demographic characteristics of this subset were similar to those excluded (n=1702), except that excluded participants were less likely to be Caucasian (37% vs. 44%), had lower per-capita family income (\$21,700 vs. \$26,400), were more likely to be from the St. Paul study site (St. Paul had a small number of PM monitors and infrequent data collection) and less likely to be from the Chicago site (8% vs. 21%).

Statistical analysis

Ordinary least squares regression was used to separately estimate associations between BP and a $10 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increase in $\text{PM}_{2.5}$, using various $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ averaging periods before and after

adjustment for confounders: age, sex, race/ethnicity, per capita family income, education, BMI, diabetes status, cigarette smoking status, ETS, high alcohol use, physical activity, BP medication use, meteorology variables and co-pollutants. Adjustment for confounders was performed in stages to identify which confounders had a strong influence on results.

In addition to utilizing variability in particle exposures across different dates, this study also utilizes variability in particle concentration across study sites. Thus, adjustment for study site may reduce our ability to detect an association between particles and blood pressure. Nevertheless, because particle composition may vary by study site and may be associated with blood pressure through mechanisms not already controlled for (Diez Roux et al. 2002; Lakoski et al. 2005), we also examined associations after adjustment for site as well as heterogeneity in associations by site. Associations between BP and traffic-related variables were examined using the same sequential modeling approach used for PM_{2.5}.

We investigate heterogeneity in the association between PM_{2.5} and BP by levels of traffic-related exposures (living close [≤ 300 meters] to a highway, surrounded by a high density of roads, high NO₂ exposure), and for levels of SO₂ and CO, and weather variables. ("High" levels were defined as the top quartiles of these variables.) Heterogeneity of effects was also examined by age, sex, type 2 diabetes, hypertensive status, and cigarette use. Older persons, women (Kunzli et al. 2005; Miller et al. 2007), diabetics (O'Neill et al. 2005), and hypertensives may be more vulnerable to air pollution effects; and direct inhalation of PM from cigarettes may overwhelm any effects due to ambient particle exposure. Heterogeneity of effects was tested by stratification and by including interaction terms in regression models.

Because BP medications potentially have a strong influence on continuous BP, medication use was controlled for; heterogeneity of effects by medication use was examined; and

secondary analyses used log binomial models to fit a binary hypertension outcome (any of the following: SBP \geq 140 mm Hg, DBP \geq 90 mm Hg, self reported history of hypertension, use of hypertensive medication) (Chobanian et al. 2003).

Non-linear covariate-adjusted relationships between all independent variables and BP outcomes were assessed (Hastie 1992). There was no evidence of strong threshold/non-linear effects for PM_{2.5} although non-linearity was evident among co-pollutants, thus, ordinary least squares regression was fit with piecewise linear functions as appropriate.

We confirmed that multivariable regression variance inflation factors (VIF) do not diagnose high collinearity; VIF=10 was used to define high multicollinearity(Belsley et al. 1980; Kleinbaum et al. 1998).

Because seasons vary by our study sites, primary analyses used temperature and barometric pressure to adjust for seasonality. Sensitivity analyses evaluated whether there was seasonal residual confounding or autocorrelation not accounted for by weather variables. Main results were stratified by season. Results were also examined before and after adding a smoothing spline (in generalized additive models(Hastie 1992)) for season. Autocorrelation among regression residuals was assessed via autoregressive generalized estimation models (Littell et al. 1996), by examining the Durbin-Watson statistic in ordinary least squares regression (Durbin and Watson 1951), and plotting residuals against time (time represented as blood pressure collection year-month and also season).

Sensitivity analyses also evaluated the robustness of results to exposure misspecification. Analyses using PM_{2.5} were repeated (a) restricting analyses to participants living relatively close (20 kilometers) to PM_{2.5} monitors; and (b) stratifying results by the years the participant lived at the address and the amount of time each week spent within that neighborhood. We also repeated

analyses for proximity of highways using continuous distance to highways and an alternate binary measure (≤ 400 meters of a highway).

RESULTS

Mean age in the study sample was 63 years, approximately half were female, mean BMI was 28 kg/m^2 , 45% had hypertension, and 38% were taking BP medications (Table 1). Prior 30-day mean $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ was highly correlated with prior 60-day mean $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ (Spearman $r=0.87$) but more weakly correlated with other time periods ($r=0.67, 0.48, 0.41$, for prior 7-, 2-, and 1-day(s), respectively, see *Supplemental Material* for more correlations). Mean 30-day $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ was highest in Los Angeles ($21.8 \text{ }\mu\text{g/m}^3$) and lowest in St. Paul ($10.3 \text{ }\mu\text{g/m}^3$), and 29% of the sample lived close to a highway. Mean $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ was much higher where NO_2 was high (19.9 vs. $15.7 \text{ }\mu\text{g/m}^3$) but $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ levels varied little with other traffic-related variables. (Patterns were similar for prior 1-, 2-, 7-, 60-day exposures, not shown.)

Table 2 shows associations of $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ with blood pressure outcomes. We only present results for PP and SBP. None of the DBP results were statistically significant. Results for MAP were similar to SBP though weaker and generally not statistically significant. Results for DBP and MAP are shown in *Supplemental Material* (note that results for DBP can be derived by subtracting PP from SBP shown in Table 2). PP and SBP were generally associated with individual-level covariates in the expected direction and meteorology variables (temperature and atmospheric pressure) were positively associated with BP (data not shown). Adjusted for age, sex, race/ethnicity, income, education, BMI, diabetes, cigarette smoking, alcohol use, physical activity, BP medication, and meteorology variables – a $10 \text{ }\mu\text{g/m}^3$ increase in $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ was associated with 1.12 mm Hg higher PP (CI: 0.28, 1.97) and 0.99 mm Hg higher SBP (though confidence intervals included the null value [CI: -0.15, 2.13], model 2). Results were noticeably

stronger after adjustment for gaseous co-pollutants (per 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increase in $\text{PM}_{2.5}$, PP was 2.66 higher [CI: 1.61, 3.71] and SBP was 2.80 higher [1.38, 4.22], model 2a); the impact was greatest after adding NO_2 to the models (*Supplemental Material* shows regression estimates for the co-pollutants). Adding site to model 2 had no effect on PP but strengthened the SBP results while widening confidence intervals (per 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increase in $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ there was a 1.32 [CI: -0.18, 2.82] increase in SBP, model 3a).

Associations between $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ and BP became stronger with longer $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ averaging periods up to 30-days. For example, per 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ difference in $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ adjusted for covariates in model 2, the difference in PP (mm Hg, CI) was -0.38 for 1 day (-0.76, 0.00); -0.22 for 2 days (-0.65, 0.21); 0.52 for 7 days (-0.08, 1.11); 1.12 for 30 days (0.28, 1.97); and 1.08 for 60 days (0.11, 2.05). This pattern held true for other person-level adjustments and for SBP, so only results for the 30-day mean differences are shown (see *Supplemental Material* for the 60-day averages).

Comparable models were examined for traffic-related exposures. Associations with BP were opposite expectation (negative) and generally statistically significant (see *Supplemental Material* for results). After adjustment for study site, the magnitude of the associations decreased and most confidence intervals included the null value. Results were similar when adjusted for ambient $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ exposure.

The associations of $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ with BP were not modified by age, sex, diabetes, cigarette use, study site, high levels of CO or SO_2 , season, nor residence ≤ 400 meters of a highway (tests for interaction all $p \geq 0.2$). Figure 1 shows variables for which interactions were $p \leq 0.1$ for either PP or SBP (see *Supplemental Material* for all heterogeneity analyses). Associations between $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ and BP were stronger for persons on medications, with hypertension, during warmer weather, in

the presence of high NO₂, residing ≤300 meters to a highway, and surrounded by a high density of roads.

Sensitivity analyses that examined results restricted to persons who lived relatively close (≤20 kilometers) to their PM_{2.5} monitors found similar (though stronger) results and inference generally remained the same. Results were insensitive to the number of years spent living in the residence and the percentage of time during a week spent in the neighborhood. (On average participants lived 15 years in their neighborhood and spent 75% of their time within their neighborhood).

There was no strong evidence of seasonal residual autocorrelation: the Durbin-Watson statistic was normal and plots of residuals against time (time represented season and as blood pressure collection year-month) did not show strong patterning. Results were very similar when a smoothing spline for season was added to the model and in autoregressive models where time was specified as the blood pressure collection month (see *Supplemental Material*).

Secondary analyses that replaced the continuous blood pressure outcome with binary hypertension (using log binomial models) found results that were mostly positive though somewhat weaker and often included the null value (not shown).

DISCUSSION

In this cross-sectional study, PP and SBP were positively associated with recent ambient levels of PM_{2.5} at the participant's residence. Associations between PM_{2.5} and PP persisted after adjustment for individual level confounders, as well as other environmental variables. PP was 1.12 mm Hg higher (CI: 0.28, 1.97) for each 10 µg/m³ increase in prior 30-day mean PM_{2.5} adjusted for person-level confounders, atmospheric pressure, and temperature. A 10 µg/m³ increase was approximately equivalent to the difference in prior 30-day mean PM_{2.5} between the

90th and 10th percentile. Associations between $PM_{2.5}$ and SBP were generally weaker except when gaseous co-pollutants were also adjusted for: SBP was 2.80 mm Hg higher (CI: 1.38, 4.22) per $10 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increase in prior 30-day mean $PM_{2.5}$. In addition, associations between particles and BP were stronger in the presence of traffic-related measures.

Our results (for SBP, model 2a) were roughly comparable to the only other study that found a positive association between $PM_{2.5}$ and BP: Zanobetti et al.'s (2004) repeated measures study among cardiac patients which derived exposure from prior 5-day mean $PM_{2.5}$, and controlled for age, sex, BMI, number of visits, hour of the day, and weather. An advantage of our study over prior work is improved generalizability. Our sample was large; geographically and demographically diverse; and participants were generally healthy. All (non-chamber) studies examining fine particle effects on BP were among persons with pre-existing disease, and nearly all studies used a single geographic area (Brauer et al. 2001; Ebel et al. 2005; Ibal-Mulli et al. 2004; Jansen et al. 2005; Linn et al. 1999; Zanobetti et al. 2004) (except Ibal-Mulli et al.'s study of three cities in northern Europe (Ibal-Mulli et al. 2004)). Most previous work examining the relationship between BP and $PM_{2.5}$ has investigated relatively acute exposures. We found stronger effects from longer (30- and 60-day) averages of ambient $PM_{2.5}$ exposure compared to shorter (1-, 2-, 7-day) averages, as has been found for other health outcomes (Diez Roux et al. 2006). Accumulated exposure may have a greater impact on health, or smoothing across wide fluctuations in PM levels may reduce noise and hence yields better estimates of (even recent) true background exposure.

The magnitude of the particle-BP associations we found were roughly equivalent to a cross-sectional increase in PP and SBP associated with aging 1.5 to 3.5 years in our sample. While associations of this magnitude are relatively small, they nevertheless provide some

evidence that BP (or pathways controlling vascular homeostasis) might play a mediating role in the association already observed between particles and cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. There has been growing interest in the role of PP as a risk factor for cardiovascular disease (especially among older adults) (Assmann et al. 2005; Benjo et al. 2007) and we found that among BP-related outcomes, associations between PM_{2.5} and PP tended to be strongest and/or statistically significant. This may suggest that, in our adult/older sample, PM_{2.5} relates more to pulsatile stress of large-artery stiffness and impairment of vascular tone than to other mechanisms in BP disorders (O'Rourke and Mancia 1999). Although still positive, our weaker results for SBP may suggest cardiac ejection is a less important mechanism in comparison to vascular distensibility and tone. Particles' potential impairment of vascular tone is supported by prior work suggesting particle inhalation may trigger endothelial dysfunction (Brook et al. 2002; O'Neill et al. 2005; Tornqvist et al. 2007).

A key mechanism by which particles could trigger vascular dysfunction is by down regulating NO synthase. For example, inhalation of particles via cigarette smoking inhibits endogenous nitric oxide production (Bhatnagar 2006; Malinowski et al. 2006). While reduced bioavailability of NO contributes to alterations in BP related functions (endothelial function, activation of the sympathetic system, platelet functioning, etc. (Bhatnagar 2006; Haak et al. 1994; Rajagopalan et al. 2005)) reductions in NO also increase the instability of BP which is itself a risk factor for cardiovascular disease (Mancia et al. 2001; Stewart et al. 1994). Thus, measures of short-term intra-individual BP variability could reveal effects that our measures at a single point in time do not. Future work could extend this study to a repeated measures design permitting improved control for person level factors and examination of within person variability of BP.

Like previous population based studies examining associations between BP and air pollution (Harrabi et al. 2006; Ibalid-Mulli et al. 2001), our study included persons taking BP medications. Medication use is presumably both a predictor of lower BP and predicted by BP (medication is prescribed when pressure is elevated), thus, potentially participates in a recursive feedback mechanism which is impossible to model accurately using traditional statistical methods (Wang 2006). Our results were robust to adjustment for medication use and other related variables (age, race/ethnicity, income, and education), and when hypertension was used as an outcome variable. The stronger association between particle exposure and BP among hypertensives and medication users may suggest that these persons are more vulnerable to air pollution effects, as found in previous studies (Frank and Tankersley 2002; O'Neill et al. 2005).

We found no evidence of a main local traffic association with BP though local traffic exposures modified the association between background PM_{2.5} and BP. The lack of an association with BP may have been due to traffic exposure measurement error. We were not able to assess closer roadway exposure (few participants resided within 50 or 100 meters of highways and there were potential spatial inaccuracies in the relative positioning of our roadway data and participant addresses (Wu et al. 2005)) nor differentiate traffic sources most toxic (e.g., diesel (Brunekreef et al. 1997)). The stronger association between background PM_{2.5} and BP in the presence of greater exposure to traffic may have been due to compositional differences in PM_{2.5} near roadways -- including a more toxic mixture of pollutants. A greater proportion of particles near roadways are ultrafine (<0.1 μm) (Sanderson et al. 2005) which are most detrimental to health (Nemmar et al. 2002; U.S. EPA 2004). In addition, non-PM_{2.5} pollutants from roadways may potentiate the PM_{2.5}-BP effect: we found stronger associations in the presence of high NO₂. Differences in particle composition may have also contributed to stronger

(positive) associations between PM_{2.5} and BP during moderate/warm weather (as was seen in a previous study of PM₁₀ and BP(Choi et al. 2007)). Photochemical conditions can increase certain copollutants (e.g., ozone is highest during warm weather) and pollution sources may seasonally vary (e.g., vehicular traffic or types of fuel being burned) (U.S. EPA 2004). In addition, in moderate/warm weather, participants may increase their exposure to ambient PM due to time spent outdoors and indoor ventilation from open windows (Zeka et al. 2006).

Associations between PM_{2.5} and BP were positive and then became much stronger after adjustment for SO₂, NO₂, and CO. Though studies have generally found an unchanged or weakened effect of PM_{2.5} on health after adjustment for copollutants (Zanobetti et al. 2004), a slightly strengthened effect has been found in some studies (Miller et al. 2007). Our results were likely strengthened due to strong negative confounding by NO₂ and (to some extent) by SO₂. An alternate explanation is that the PM_{2.5} effect after co-pollutant adjustment was upwardly biased due to strong negative correlations among copollutant measurement errors (Zeger et al. 2000).

We assigned PM_{2.5} exposure using the monitor nearest each participant's residence. Our method is likely an improvement over using a central-city or health clinic monitoring site – which are often-used in air pollution studies (Ibald-Mulli et al. 2004; Zanobetti et al. 2004). Results were generally insensitive to participant distance to monitors, likely due to high within-site correlation of background PM_{2.5} and that the majority of participants spent much of their time near their home. Nevertheless, we acknowledge that our exposures did not account for within-day exposure variability (e.g., while commuting, at the workplace) nor indoor particulate levels in general. In defense of the measures we used, in the US, ambient PM_{2.5} originating from outside sources appears to be more toxic to health than PM_{2.5} originating from indoor sources

(Ebelt et al. 2005). Moreover, our results were adjusted for smoking and ETS, which are likely major contributors to indoor exposures in the population we studied.

In summary, recent exposure to $PM_{2.5}$ was positively associated with PP and the association was stronger for residents with higher exposure to traffic-related measures. Our results suggest that BP -- particularly arterial stiffness and reductions in vascular tone -- may play a mediating role in associations already observed between particles and cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. Given the distal pathway between environmental exposures and their potential pathological effects, it is noteworthy that we found even a modest association. Because ambient air pollution is ubiquitous, small effects have the potential to substantially impact public health. This study provides supportive evidence that reducing population-level exposures to ambient pollution can potentially improve population health.

LIST OF REFERENCES

- Assmann G, Cullen P, Evers T, Petzinna D, Schulte H. 2005. Importance of arterial pulse pressure as a predictor of coronary heart disease risk in PROCAM. *Eur Heart J* 26(20):2120-2126.
- Belsley DA, Kuh E, Welsch RE, eds. 1980. *Regression Diagnostics: Identifying influential data and sources of collinearity*. New York: John Wiley.
- Benjo A, Thompson RE, Fine D, Hogue CW, Alejo D, Kaw A, et al. 2007. Pulse pressure is an age-independent predictor of stroke development after cardiac surgery. *Hypertension* 50(4):630-635.
- Bhatnagar A. 2006. Environmental cardiology: studying mechanistic links between pollution and heart disease. *Circ Res* 99(7):692-705.
- Bild DE, Bluemke DA, Burke GL, Detrano R, Diez Roux AV, Folsom AR, et al. 2002. Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis: objectives and design. *Am J Epidemiol* 156(9):871-881.
- Brauer M, Ebelst ST, Fisher TV, Brumm J, Petkau AJ, Vedal S. 2001. Exposure of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients to particles: respiratory and cardiovascular health effects. *J Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol* 11(6):490-500.
- Brauer M, Hoek G, Van Vliet P, Meliefste K, Fischer PH, Wijga A, et al. 2002. Air pollution from traffic and the development of respiratory infections and asthmatic and allergic symptoms in children. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 166(8):1092-1098.
- Brook RD, Brook JR, Urch B, Vincent R, Rajagopalan S, Silverman F. 2002. Inhalation of fine particulate air pollution and ozone causes acute arterial vasoconstriction in healthy adults. *Circulation* 105(13):1534-1536.
- Brunekreef B, Janssen NA, de Hartog J, Harssema H, Knape M, van Vliet P. 1997. Air pollution from truck traffic and lung function in children living near motorways. *Epidemiology* 8(3):298-303.
- Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, Cushman WC, Green LA, Izzo JL, Jr., et al. 2003. Seventh report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure. *Hypertension* 42(6):1206-1252.

- Choi JH, Xu QS, Park SY, Kim JH, Hwang SS, Lee KH, et al. 2007. Seasonal variation of effect of air pollution on blood pressure. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 61(4):314-318.
- Diez Roux AV, Auchincloss AH, Astor B, Barr RG, Cushman M, Dvorchak T, et al. 2006. Recent exposure to particulate matter and C-reactive protein concentration in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. *Am J Epidemiol* 164(5): 437-48.
- Diez Roux AV, Chambless L, Merkin SS, Arnett D, Eigenbrodt M, Nieto FJ, et al. 2002. Socioeconomic disadvantage and change in blood pressure associated with aging. *Circulation* 106(6):703-710.
- Dockery DW. 2001. Epidemiologic evidence of cardiovascular effects of particulate air pollution. *Environ Health Perspect* 109 Suppl 4:483-486.
- Durbin J, Watson G. 1951. Testing for serial correlation in least squares regression. *Biometrika* 37:409-428.
- Ebelt ST, Wilson WE, Brauer M. 2005. Exposure to ambient and nonambient components of particulate matter - A comparison of health effects. *Epidemiology* 16(3):396-405.
- Frank R, Tankersley C. 2002. Air pollution and daily mortality: a hypothesis concerning the role of impaired homeostasis. *Environ Health Perspect* 110(1):61-65.
- GDT and ESRI. 2002. Major Roadways: 1990 Census TIGER/Line™ file enhanced using Dynamap/2000 v9.0.: Geographic Data Technology Inc. & Environmental Systems Research Institute, Inc. (ESRI), Redlands, California, USA.
- Genuth S, Alberti KG, Bennett P, Buse J, Defronzo R, Kahn R, et al. 2003. Follow-up report on the diagnosis of diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care* 26(11):3160-3167.
- Gold DR, Litonjua A, Schwartz J, Lovett E, Larson A, Nearing B, et al. 2000. Ambient pollution and heart rate variability. *Circulation* 101(11):1267-1273.
- Haak T, Jungmann E, Raab C, Usadel K. 1994. Elevated endothelin-1 levels after cigarette smoking. *Metabolism* 43(3):267-269.
- Harrabi I, Rondeau V, Dartigues JF, Tessier JF, Filleul L. 2006. Effects of particulate air pollution on systolic blood pressure: A population-based approach. *Environmental Research* 101(1):89-93.

- Hastie TJ. 1992. Chapter 7. Generalized additive models. In: *Statistical Models in S* (Chambers J, Hastie T, eds). Pacific Grove, CA: Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole, 249-308.
- Ibald-Mulli A, Stieber J, Wichmann HE, Koenig W, Peters A. 2001. Effects of air pollution on blood pressure: a population-based approach. *Am J Public Health* 91(4):571-577.
- Ibald-Mulli A, Timonen KL, Peters A, Heinrich J, Wolke G, Lanki T, et al. 2004. Effects of particulate air pollution on blood pressure and heart rate in subjects with cardiovascular disease: a multicenter approach. *Environ Health Perspect* 112(3):369-377.
- Jansen KL, Larson TV, Koenig JQ, Mar TF, Fields C, Stewart J, et al. 2005. Associations between health effects and particulate matter and black carbon in subjects with respiratory disease. *Environ Health Perspect* 113(12):1741-1746.
- Kleinbaum D, Kupper L, Muller K, Nizam A. 1998. *Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariable Methods*. 3rd ed. Pacific Grove, CA: Duxbury Press (Brooks/Cole Publishing Company).
- Kunzli N, Jerrett M, Mack WJ, Beckerman B, LaBree L, Gilliland F, et al. 2005. Ambient air pollution and atherosclerosis in Los Angeles. *Environ Health Perspect* 113(2):201-206.
- Lakoski SG, Cushman M, Palmas W, Blumenthal R, D'Agostino RB, Jr., Herrington DM. 2005. The relationship between blood pressure and C-reactive protein in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). *J Am Coll Cardiol* 46(10):1869-1874.
- Linn WS, Gong H, Jr., Clark KW, Anderson KR. 1999. Day-to-day particulate exposures and health changes in Los Angeles area residents with severe lung disease. *J Air Waste Manag Assoc* 49(9 Spec No):108-115.
- Littell R, Milliken G, Stroup W, Wolfinger R. 1996. *SAS System for Mixed Models*. Cary, North Carolina: SAS Institute Inc.
- Malinowski A, Janson C, Holmkvist T, Norback D, Merilainen P, Hogman M. 2006. Effect of smoking on exhaled nitric oxide and flow-independent nitric oxide exchange parameters. *Eur Respir J* 28(2):339-345.

- Mancia G, Parati G, Hennig M, Flatau B, Omboni S, Glavina F, et al. 2001. Relation between blood pressure variability and carotid artery damage in hypertension: baseline data from the European Lacidipine Study on Atherosclerosis (ELSA). *J Hypertens* 19(11):1981-1989.
- Miller KA, Siscovick DS, Sheppard L, Shepherd K, Sullivan JH, Anderson GL, et al. 2007. Long-term exposure to air pollution and incidence of cardiovascular events in women. *N Engl J Med* 356(5):447-458.
- NCDC. 2004. National Climatic Data Center [database] <http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/oa/ncdc.html>. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Commerce, NOAA Satellite and Information Service, National Environmental Satellite Data and Information Service.
- Nemmar A, Hoet PH, Vanquickenborne B, Dinsdale D, Thomeer M, Hoylaerts MF, et al. 2002. Passage of inhaled particles into the blood circulation in humans. *Circulation* 105(4):411-414.
- O'Neill MS, Veves A, Zanobetti A, Sarnat JA, Gold DR, Economides PA, et al. 2005. Diabetes enhances vulnerability to particulate air pollution-associated impairment in vascular reactivity and endothelial function. *Circulation* 111(22):2913-2920.
- O'Rourke MF, Mancia G. 1999. Arterial stiffness. *J Hypertens* 17(1):1-4.
- Rajagopalan S, Sun Q, Chen LC. 2005. Particulate pollution and endothelial function: deja vu all over again in the air (Editorial). *Circulation* 111(22):2869-2871.
- Sanderson E, Briggs D, Jantunen M, Forsberg B, Svartengren M, Šrám R, et al. 2005. Human exposure to transport-related air pollution. In: *Health effects of transport-related air pollution* (Krzyzanowski M, Kuna-Dibbert B, Schneider J, eds). Copenhagen, Denmark: World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe.
- Stewart MJ, Jyothinagaram S, McGinley IM, Padfield PL. 1994. Cardiovascular effects of cigarette smoking: ambulatory blood pressure and BP variability. *J Hum Hypertens* 8(1):19-22.
- Tornqvist H, Mills NL, Gonzalez M, Miller MR, Robinson SD, Megson IL, et al. 2007. Persistent endothelial dysfunction following diesel exhaust inhalation in man. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*.

- U.S. EPA. 2003. AIRS (Aerometric Information Retrieval System) [database]
<http://www.epa.gov/ttn/airs/airsaqs/>. Research Triangle Park, NC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards.
- U.S. EPA. 2004. The Particle Pollution Report: Current Understanding of Air Quality and Emissions through 2003 Contract No. 68-D-02-065. Work Assignment No. 2-01. Research Triangle Park, North Carolina: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards; Emissions, Monitoring, and Analysis Division.
- U.S. EPA. 2006. National Ambient Air Quality Standards U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (<http://www.epa.gov/air/criteria.html>). In: Federal Register 40 CFR Part 50.
- Urch B, Silverman F, Corey P, Brook JR, Lukic KZ, Rajagopalan S, et al. 2005. Acute blood pressure responses in healthy adults during controlled air pollution exposures. *Environ Health Perspect* 113(8):1052-1055.
- Wang C. 2006. Invited commentary: beyond frequencies and coefficients--toward meaningful descriptions for life course epidemiology. *Am J Epidemiol* 164(2):122-125; discussion 126-127.
- Woodhouse PR, Khaw KT, Plummer M. 1993. Seasonal variation of blood pressure and its relationship to ambient temperature in an elderly population. *J Hypertens* 11(11):1267-1274.
- Wu J, Funk TH, Lurmann FW, Winer AM. 2005. Improving spatial accuracy of roadway networks and geocoded addresses. *Transactions in GIS* 9(4):585-601.
- Zanobetti A, Canner MJ, Stone PH, Schwartz J, Sher D, Eagan-Bengston E, et al. 2004. Ambient pollution and blood pressure in cardiac rehabilitation patients. *Circulation* 110(15):2184-2189.
- Zeger SL, Thomas D, Dominici F, Samet JM, Schwartz J, Dockery D, et al. 2000. Exposure measurement error in time-series studies of air pollution: concepts and consequences. *Environ Health Perspect* 108(5):419-426.
- Zeka A, Zanobetti A, Schwartz J. 2006. Individual-level modifiers of the effects of particulate matter on daily mortality. *Am J Epidemiol* 163(9):849-859.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics, blood pressure, and environmental characteristics, (n= 5112) Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis, 2000-2002.

Variable		Units	PM _{2.5} prior 30 days, mean (SD)	
Demographic Characteristics				
Age, years, mean (SD)		62.3 (10.0)		
Female (%)		52		
Race/ethnicity (%)	Caucasian	37		
	Chinese	14		
	African American	28		
	Hispanic	21		
Per capita income, per \$1,000, mean (SD)		27 (21)		
No college education (%)		34		
Body mass index, kg/m ² , mean (SD)		28.2 (5.4)		
Diabetes (%)	No diabetes or impairment	58		
	Impaired glucose tolerance	29		
	Diabetes	14		
Smoking status (%)	Never	51		
	Former	37		
	Current	12		
Environmental tobacco smoke (%)	≥ 1 hour per week	33		
High alcohol use (%)	High (top 10th percentile, ≥7 drinks per week)	12		
Physical activity ^a	Low	28		
	Medium	49		
	High	23		
Taking medications for blood pressure (%)		38		
Blood Pressure				
Systolic blood pressure, mmHg, mean (SD)		126.5 (21.0)		
Dystolic blood pressure, mmHg, mean (SD)		72.0 (10.2)		
Pulse pressure, mmHg, mean (SD)		54.4 (16.9)		
Mean arterial pressure, mean (SD)		90.2 (12.4)		
Hypertensive ^b (%)		45		
Environmental Characteristics				
Particulate matter 2.5 (PM _{2.5}), µg/m ³ , mean (SD) ^c	Prior day	17.0 (10.5)		
	Prior 2 days	16.8 (9.3)		
	Prior 7 days	17.0 (6.9)		
	Prior 30 days	16.8 (5.0)		
	Prior 60 days	16.7 (4.4)		
Study site	Los Angeles, CA	22%	21.8 (5.4)	
	Chicago, IL	20%	16.7 (3.9)	
	Baltimore, MD	17%	15.9 (3.6)	
	St. Paul, MN	8%	10.3 (2.4)	
	Forsyth, NC	16%	15.4 (3.3)	
	Northern Manhattan & Bronx, NY	18%	15.4 (2.9)	
Traffic-related ^d	Close to a highway (%)	No	71%	16.9 (5.2)
		Yes	29%	16.5 (4.3)
	Surrounded by a high density of roads (%)	No	75%	16.9 (5.2)
		Yes	25%	16.5 (4.1)
	Nitrogen dioxide, prior 30 days (%)	Low	75%	15.7 (4.1)
		High	25%	19.9 (5.9)

- ^a Reported total physical activity classified based on the lowest and highest quartiles: low <9 hrs/day, medium 9-16 hrs/day, high >16 hrs/day.
- ^b Hypertension was defined by having any of the following: DBP ≥ 90 , SBP ≥ 140 , self reported history of hypertension, use of hypertensive medication (Chobanian et al. 2003).
- ^c The 2006 National Ambient Air Quality Standards for PM_{2.5} 15 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for annual mean and 35 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for 24-hour mean (U.S. EPA 2006).
- ^d Close to a highway was $\leq 300\text{m}$ of a major road. Surrounded by a high density of roads was defined as ≥ 3.5 km (top quartile) of road length within 400m of the residence. High nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) was defined as 0.0325 ppm (top quartile). For reference, this cut-point for NO₂ is much lower than the annual NAAQS is 0.053 ppm.

Table 2. Adjusted mean differences (with 95% confidence intervals) in pulse pressure (mm Hg) and in systolic blood pressure (mm Hg) per 10 ug/m³ in PM_{2.5} (averaged for the prior 1-30 days) (n= 5112), Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis, 2000-2002.

Model No.	Adjustment variables	Pulse Pressure				Systolic Blood Pressure			
		Mean difference	95% CI		P-value	Mean difference	95% CI		P-value
			Low	High			Low	High	
1	Person-level covariates ^a	1.04	0.25	1.84	0.010	0.66	-0.41	1.74	0.226
2	Person-level covariates ^a , weather ^b	1.12	0.28	1.97	0.009	0.99	-0.15	2.13	0.089
2a	Person-level covariates ^a , weather ^b , gaseous co-pollutants ^c	2.66	1.61	3.71	0.000	2.8	1.38	4.22	0.000
3	Person-level covariates ^a , study site	0.93	-0.04	1.90	0.060	0.86	-0.45	2.17	0.200
3a	Person-level covariates ^a , study site, weather ^b	1.11	0.01	2.22	0.049	1.32	-0.18	2.82	0.085
3b	Person-level covariates ^a , study site, weatherv, gaseous co-pollutants ^c	1.34	0.10	2.59	0.035	1.52	-0.16	3.21	0.077

^a Person-level covariates: age, sex, race/ethnicity, per capita income, education, BMI, diabetes status, cigarette smoking, ETS, alcohol use, physical activity, medications.

^b Weather variables: prior 30-day mean for temperature and sea-level pressure.

^c Gaseous co-pollutant: prior 30-day mean for NO₂, SO₂, and CO. Adjusted relationships between blood pressure and temperature and SO₂ were fit using piecewise linear splines due to being positive for lower values and negative for higher values (breaks at 45 F. for temperature and 0.004 ppm for SO₂).

FIGURE LEGEND

Figure 1. Stratified estimates of the difference in pulse pressure (PP) and systolic blood pressure (SBP) per $10 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ prior 30-day average, adjusted for model 2 covariates (except the stratification by temperature which was adjusted for model 1 covariates). All tests for interactions were $p < 0.09$ except for Close to a highway: $p < 0.3$ for SBP. Lines represent 95% confidence intervals.

Pulse pressure, mm Hg

Systolic Blood Pressure, mm Hg

