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INTRODUCTION

Wet steam flow has been studied for the break-up of liquid films on flat plates inserted parallel to flow at the trailing edge, as well as liquid droplet stability and disintegration. Some results are shown in this paper.

Moving rotor blading erosion of steam turbine final stages is considered to be still an important problem from the technical and economic point of view. Because of this fact, there is a reason to investigate the liquid phase behavior inside the turbine. In particular, several problems are particularly interesting:

1. condensation of the steam in state downstream of the Wilson line
2. small (∼ 0.05 μm) primary droplets settling upon blades surfaces and liquid film motion due to aerodynamic and centrifugal forces
3. large secondary drop motion and structure
4. erosion of turbine blading and methods useful in avoiding erosion damage

The matter under consideration here concerns the third group of problems. A thin liquid film flowing upon a fixed blade disintegrates at the trailing edge, due to aerodynamic forces. The droplets thus formed are believed to be about four orders of magnitude larger than the primary droplets in the wet steam due to condensation. The maximum droplet size which has been estimated based on theoretical approach (1) for the last stage
of the turbine was around 300 μm, and other authors have expected droplets to be larger than 1 mm (2), (3), using experimental turbines for their research.

The main purpose of the work hereby presented is to measure the relationship between droplet stream structure and the flow conditions. This has been done according to the suggested procedure of J. Kryżanowski (4) in his work at the University of Michigan.

EXPERIMENTAL EQUIPMENT USED

Figure 1 is a schematic representation of our experimental facility and the pertinent flow parameters are as below:

\[
(p_3)_{\text{max}} = 3.75 \text{ psia} = 0.258 \times 10^5 \text{ N/m}^2 \quad \text{(saturated steam)}
\]

\[
p_4 = 2.55 \text{ psia} = 0.175 \times 10^5 \text{ N/m}^2
\]

Maximum Mach Number is \( \sim 0.75 \).

The test section is located after a stilling tank which is supplied with low pressure steam from the laboratory heating supply (\( \sim 5 \text{ psig, 0.9 quality} \)). A diffuser then guides the steam to a jet-cooled condenser. The test section is of plexiglas, so that the liquid droplets downstream, as well as the liquid film upon the inserted flat plate, can be easily observed. This plate, which replaces a turbine fixed blade, is located inside the test section (Fig. 2). A thin liquid film was obtained upon the blade by means of a slot placed near the leading edge (Fig. 3). Water flow-rate was measured by a flow meter, and liquid film thickness by means of the residence
gage method (5), (6), using four gages in the plate surface. The flow rate and liquid film thickness were as follows:

\[ \dot{q} = 2.5 \sim 10 \text{ cm}^3/(\text{cm} \cdot \text{min}) \]

\[ h = 50 \sim 250 \text{ \mu m} \]

The liquid film on the plate appeared first to disintegrate into liquid filaments, and next into "secondary" droplets (Fig. 4). These then pass downstream in the aerodynamic wake, still being disintegrated due to the increasing aerodynamic forces, since the velocity of the wake increases with distance downstream from the trailing edge.

This liquid-phase behavior was studied photographically. The camera was located as close as possible to the test section, producing a magnification of $\sim 2.4 \times$. A light flash duration of $\sim 1 \mu s$ has been used, with light source perpendicular to camera axis (Fig. 2). This was most suitable, as pictures so taken were sharp and with good contrast. Several typical pictures are included in this report (Fig. 4-9). Droplet size measurement capability was, however, limited to droplets above $\sim 50 \mu m$ diameter because of limited optical resolution of the camera and film. Smaller droplets had too great velocity to be recorded with the available flash duration. These limitations proved most significant at high Mach number ($M \sim 0.75$), and relatively long distances downstream of trailing edge.

We hope to develop another method for small droplets well downstream and at high Mach number. This method will be based
on laser light scattering from small particles, and should be useful for the measurement of \( l \sim 20 \mu m \) droplets.

RESULTS OF THE EXPERIMENT

Because of the erosion threat to turbine blading, information of maximum droplet size is important. Many photographs (\( \sim 300 \)) have been made at three Mach numbers;

\[
M = 0.35; \quad 0.55; \quad 0.75
\]

and at three values of the flow rate:

\[
\dot{Q} = 2.5; \quad 5.0; \quad 10.0 \quad \text{cm}^3/\text{cm. min}.
\]

Several relationships have been obtained. These are presented in Fig. 10-12. All large droplets provide data points on these graphs according to their distance downstream in aerodynamic wake (x-coordinate). The relationship between maximum droplet size and distance downstream, \( D_{\text{max}} = f(x) \), is established as a limiting line above the area of the data points. This function has been shown as a belt because the process of liquid phase disintegration is very non-uniform.

The function \( D_{\text{max}} = f(x) \) decreases with distance \( x \) until \( x \sim 20 \) cm and then remains essentially constant. It is independent of liquid flow rate for this experiment. However, the shape of the curves depends very strongly on the Mach number (Fig. 13).

To allow application of these results for other conditions, a more universal relationship has been generated. Using the results of Fig. 13, and transforming them into a dimensionless function of Weber number,

\[
We_{\text{max}} = f(M),
\]
the relationship of Fig. 14 was obtained. Here

$$W_{e_{\text{max}}} = \frac{\zeta \cdot V_{\infty}^4 \cdot D_{\text{max}}}{6}$$

$D_{\text{max}}$ = maximum droplet size, from center of data scatter, at distance $x = 22$ cm, assuming maximum droplet size to be approximately constant for distances longer than $x = 22$ cm.

$V_{\infty}$ = the velocity of the steam outside of the aerodynamic value.

Results obtained by other authors are also presented, i.e., Weigle and Severin (7), using an air tunnel, and Valha from a steam tunnel (8).

To obtain further information on liquid droplet stream structure, still another approach was applied. Based on several droplet pictures (Fig. 4-9), a droplet size distribution function has been established. This function is defined as follows:

$$F(d) = \frac{N(d)}{N} \cdot \frac{1}{\Delta d}$$

where:

$d$ = droplet size

$\Delta d$ = droplet size interval ($\Delta d = 200 \mu m$ for presented experiment)

$N(d)$ = average number of droplets of the size enclosed in the region $(d - \frac{\Delta d}{2}, d + \frac{\Delta d}{2})$,

$N = $ average total number of the droplets visible in the test area.

In order to obtain the above function, droplets visible on the picture were counted according to their sizes (using a magnifying glass with scale). Consequently, the droplet size distribution
function was used to establish a droplet mass distribution function:

$$R(m) = \frac{m(d)}{m} \frac{1}{\Delta d} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\Delta d} \Delta d \cdot N(d_i) \cdot \frac{1}{\Delta d}}{\sum_{i=1}^{\Delta d} \Delta d \cdot N(d_i) \cdot \frac{1}{\Delta d}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\Delta d} d_i^3 \cdot F(d_i) \cdot \frac{1}{\Delta d}}{\sum_{i=1}^{\Delta d} d_i^3 \cdot F(d_i) \cdot \frac{1}{\Delta d}}$$

where:

- $m(d)$ = average mass of droplets of the size enclosed in the region $(d - \frac{\Delta d}{2}, d + \frac{\Delta d}{2})$
- $m$ = average total mass of the droplets visible in the test area.
- $n = \frac{d_{\text{max}}}{\Delta d}$

Both functions were normalized in order that the integral is equal to one.

The results of the experiment reduced to size and mass distribution functions are shown on Figs. 15-18 for the following values of the Mach number:

$$M = 0.35$$
$$M = 0.55 \ast$$

To be sure, both functions are being changed according to the distance $x$; the ratio of large droplets decreases, and the ratio of small droplets increases. The same effect takes place as Mach number increases. The highest probability of droplets occurring (the maximum of the function of probability density) is shown below in the conclusions.

\*Unfortunately it was impossible to obtain any droplet size mass distribution function at $M_a = 0.75$. The cause has been discussed above. The same difficulty appeared for long distances $(x)$ between the trailing edge and the test area.
CONCLUSIONS

1. The maximum droplet size function \( D_{\text{max}} = g(x, M) \), decreases with the distance \( x \) and Mach number \( M \). \( D_{\text{max}} \) becomes constant for distance \( x \geq 22 \text{ cm} \). In this region, maximum droplet size varies with Mach number.

\[
M = 0.35; \quad D_{\text{max}} = 750 \, \mu\text{m} \\
M = 0.55; \quad 500 \, \mu\text{m} \\
M = 0.75; \quad 250 \, \mu\text{m}
\]

The variation of \( D_{\text{max}} \) with respect to \( x \) and \( M \) proved to be different than had been estimated theoretically based on the steam velocity distribution in the aerodynamic wake and assumed critical Weber number, \( (\text{We} = 13) \) (1). Droplet diameter here measured is at least twice that estimated before.

2. The critical value of the Weber number

\[
\text{We} = \frac{\rho \cdot \nu^2 \cdot D_{\text{max}}}{\sigma}
\]

has been estimated as follows:

\[
M = 0.35 \quad \text{We} \approx 30 \\
M = 0.5; 0.75; \quad \text{We} \approx 45 \quad \text{(Fig. 14)}
\]

3. The most probable droplet size to appear in the aerodynamic wake, in the vicinity of the trailing edge \((x = 2.5 \text{ to } 4 \text{ mm})\), according to the size distribution function is:

\[
M = 0.35; \quad d \approx 250 \, \mu\text{m} \\
M = 0.55; \quad d \approx 133 \, \mu\text{m}
\]

and according to the mass distribution function:

\[
M = 0.35; \quad d \approx 500 \, \mu\text{m} \\
M = 0.55; \quad d \approx 475 \, \mu\text{m}
\]

4. There is no significant influence of (water) liquid film flow rate (up to \( \dot{q} = 10 \, \text{cm}^3/\text{cm.s} \)) on the maximum droplet size \( D_{\text{max}}' \), and on the droplet size and mass distribution function \( F(d), R(m) \).
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