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EYEING THE SUMMIT

Perspectives on the Geneva talks

In the fall 1985 issue of Foreign Affairs, Richard Nixon writes that in our era, when the American and Soviet superpowers face each other with roughly equal means of destroying each other and the world, summit meetings between their leaders "have become essential if peace is to be preserved."

When President Ronald Reagan and Communist Party General Secretary Mikhail Gorbachev meet November 19 at the Geneva Summit, Mr. Nixon continues, they will bear in mind that despite the opposed ideological interests they represent, their two countries "have one major goal in common: survival."

As a participant in several historic meetings between U.S. and Soviet leaders from the 1950s through the 70s, the former president observes that "the purpose of summit meetings is to develop rules of engagement that could prevent our profound differences from bringing us into armed conflict that could destroy the world."

As a participant in several historic meetings between U.S. and Soviet leaders from the 1950s through the 70s, the former president observes that "the purpose of summit meetings is to develop rules of engagement that could prevent our profound differences from bringing us into armed conflict that could destroy the world."

These "rules of engagement" are the hub uniting the many separate issues circumscribing the relationship between the two countries. The issue of the arms race — and particularly questions concerning the US Strategic Defense Initiative, or Star Wars, program — has commanded most of the pre-summit attention. But other, non-military issues and relationships with other countries will also play important roles on the summit stage.

As Mr. Nixon puts it, "Even a good [arms control] agreement will not prevent war if political differences lead to armed conflict," and "if political differences escalate into war, it is no comfort to know that each side has the capacity to destroy the other only two times rather than 20 times."

In light of this admonition against isolating the issue of arms control, the former president contends that the summit agenda "should have as its first priority not arms control but the potential flash points for US-Soviet conflicts." Reduction of political tensions, he maintains, "can lead to a better climate for reaching an arms control agreement that is fair to both sides."

A defender of the Reagan administration's Strategic Defense Initiative (SDI), Mr. Nixon calls it "indispensable to arms control" as an incentive for the Soviet Union to limit its offensive weapons. Although it would take a "virtually leak-proof" system to protect the entire US population from an all-out Soviet attack or counterattack, he writes, "in view of the dramatic scientific breakthroughs made in my lifetime, I do not contend, as some do, that this is impossible. But [it could] not be ready for full deployment until the next century."

We quote the former president at some length not as an endorsement of his opinions, but simply because, as the most experienced US politician in face-to-face negotiations with top leaders of the Soviet Union, he illuminates the many facets of the approaching summit meeting.

Many University of Michigan faculty members specialize in disciplines that examine these same facets of the complex US-Soviet relationship, including arms control and the political questions that are subject to varying degrees of tension. Michigan Today is presenting the views of six of these scholars to enrich the context within which readers may follow and appraise the Geneva summit.

The summit issues discussed by U-M authorities cover a wide range: diplomatic techniques of bargaining, the SDI (Star Wars) as a bargaining chip, US-Soviet people-to-people contacts, the impact on West European politics and East European independence, the Soviet economy, how well the West knows Mr. Gorbachev and other matters.

The scholars who discuss these issues are Harold Jacobson and William Zimmerman of the Department of Political Science, Roman Szporluk and Geoffrey H. Eley of the Department of History, Martin B. Einhorn of the Department of Physics and Barbara A. Anderson of the Department of Sociology.

There is no pretense that this discussion covers all issues that the summit comprises, nor that the views of these half dozen scholars represent either departmental or University policies. They are personal opinions intended to inform rather than to sway our readers' opinions.

Nevertheless, we hope that this mini-symposium on the nuances of summit politics stimulates and deepens understanding of an historic event in international relations that will greatly affect us all.
Compete in Peace

Harold Jacobson, Jesse Siddal Reeves Professor of Political Science and Research Scientist, Institute for Social Research

Summits are significant simply because they occur. There is enormous centralization of power in the Soviet Union, and that is now combined with Mr. Gorbachev, and there are also great powers in our presidency. So whatever results from a meeting of these two leaders will be significant whether a lot happens or nothing.

Summits always present a greater public relations problem to an open society than to a closed one. The Soviet leaders can say whatever they want in advance of the summit without fear of open criticism from their own people; they can play on this disparity between the two systems and portray the U.S. as the side that is making an agreement difficult to reach.

The most significant discussion at the Summit would be that of Mr. Reagan's Strategic Defense Initiative (SDI), the so-called Star Wars. It's not clear what would be agreed to on this subject, but there has been revulsion in the West and in the Soviet bloc toward a future that leaves all of us vulnerable to nuclear weapons.

President Reagan's reasons for advancing SDI continue to be confirmed in the statements by the Catholic bishops who said that the policy of holding each other's cities hostage by nuclear deterrence is morally justifiable only as a short-term approach to national security. Reagan is talking about that; he's addressing public uneasiness about this prospect in a way not unlike the bishops. If one doesn't want to live forever under the threat of nuclear destruction, one must explore other possibilities.

This summit may have the significance of the 1955 meeting between Eisenhower and Khrushchev in which the decisive event proved to be their exchange of information about the strength of hydrogen weapons. This helped lead to a different way of thinking about these weapons; it strengthened both sides' resolve to avoid nuclear war.

The SALT I agreement left the U.S. with numerical inferiority in some classes of nuclear missiles. Those advisers of Mr. Reagan's who are painted as hardliners and talk-wreckers may simply want to reach agreements that will rectify what they see as weaknesses that the U.S. has developed under earlier agreements.

The task is to devise restraints that affect both sides equally. It's technically difficult to do that because the two military forces are so different. There are no clear mathematical answers to this. So when either party does something that brings an accusation that it is violating a treaty, it's not always a matter of having acted in bad faith.

It's not obvious to me that the Soviet Union has displayed an interest in arms control that goes beyond seeking its own advantage. It may proclaim because the two military forces are so different. Both are the nature of a just society. When these disagreements are lessened, conflict will lessen. Both are proelysizing societies and feel they have the right system to organize the world. In many ways, the world benefits from this clash of our two ideologies because both have features that are attractive, and the competition between us can provide useful ideas to third countries.

Our objective should be to pursue this competition between the systems without resorting to violence. We've done this for 40 years so far; maybe we can do it for another 40, and by then the ideological clash may have been transformed.

Risk of Anti-Americanism

Geoffrey H. Eley, Associate Professor of History

To my homeland Great Britain, to the Low Countries, West Germany, Scandinavia and others, the U.S. government's apparent acceptance of Star Wars simply confirms what the peace movements of those countries have always identified as the worst tendencies of the Reagan administration.

The most important thing for Americans to understand is the seriousness of the peace movement in Western Europe. It is very broadly based, not a consensus, among the public. The notion spread by the Reagan administration and some of the U.S. mass media that this peace movement is not a legitimate expression of Western European public opinion — that it is duped by the Soviets — is not only inaccurate but plain unwise in terms of the feeling toward the United States that it fosters among the public there.

Anti-American sentiment is real and popular in Western Europe now. It's been regalvanized by the experience of the U.S.'s recent nuclear arms policy. Most Americans don't appreciate how angry people over there are about this. The public statements by the U.S. that castigate their peace movements because there are a Communists in them are incredibly short-sighted. In most cases, the Communist parties or individuals in these groups have, like the Italian Communist Party, distanced themselves from the Soviet party. These are not marginal, duped groups manipulated by conniving Soviets.

At the same time, one must note that even though the public and the mainstream political left weighed in strongly against the deployment of the U.S. cruise missiles in Western Europe, a great many people in West Germany, Scandinavia and other Western European countries, West Germany, Scandinavia and other Western European countries have always identified as the worst tendencies of the Reagan administration.

The Thatcher and Kohl (West German) governments are soft-pedaling the arms control issue. Various West European governments on the right are uninterested in opening up public areas of
disagreement between themselves and Washington. They've supported NATO even when there are rampart unilateral moves by the U.S. — even up to actions like the U.S. invasion of Grenada, which brought only mild criticism from the Thatcher government.

These rightist governments are on the run electorally because of difficulties over domestic issues, their economies, and in each case the main opposition parties have opened themselves to the peace movement. Thus the main importance of the Geneva Summit to these countries will be whether its results shift public opinion further to the left. And left governments like those in Spain and Greece could be strengthened if Geneva is a flop and the U.S. is seen as the culprit.

If Star Wars is not stopped in Geneva, all of the Soviet-American trends are likely to continue. Any accord will have to be pretty unambiguous in its wording of tension if it's to ally the criticism and concern of the public toward Washington. A package deal that leaves SDI and other arms-expansion commitments intact would be perceived cynically unless a highly significant withdrawal of missiles were agreed to.

And, of course, there is a significant section of opinion, by no means confined to the traditional left, for whom this kind of package would not be enough. For this section of opinion, an agreement would have to include movement toward neutralization of East and West Europe.

The summit will be observed with interest by the people of Poland, Hungary, East Germany and Poland. As we are thinking about the summit, we should hope that the issue of the normalization of the status of East European nations will somehow be raised at Geneva. Just as in the Western alliance the United States does not speak automatically for France, Britain or West Germany, so it would be good for the cause of peace if the nations of Eastern Europe—Poland, Czechoslovakia and Hungary—could be free enough to have a voice of their own on the international arena.

As of now, it is assumed, both in the East and the West, that any change in the status of those nations, whether for better or worse, depends on the U.S. In this spectrum, but you can tell research from development, and if we don't bend on SDI, Geneva will go nowhere.

The President is simply not free to make his own interpretation of what research is. He's obliged by our laws to uphold past treaty obligations. The Soviet Union is far from being isolated in its research, and if we don't bend on SDI, the President would violate the treaty.

The whole issue of verification is actually a simple one. The things banned under the ABM treaty are not hard to verify. We know the Soviets have never launched a missile banned by the treaty. Field-testing of space systems is easy to detect, and many tests would be required for an SDI. If we'd announce in Geneva that we will not go beyond the ABM treaty, we could continue with our research.

Some observers say the SDI has been advanced only as a bargaining chip at the Geneva summit, but I don't see the President as a devious negotiator. I have no reason to doubt the sincerity of his statements about why he favors SDI, although it's conceivable that someone on his staff could persuade him that his fantasy could be used as a bargaining chip. But I think he's honest, straightforward and has good intentions. Of course that's what the road to hell is paved with.

What's going on is like the fairy tale of the emperor's new clothes. Mr. Reagan may think, 'The nuclear mess and saw that all of us don't want to accept this as a way to live permanently. We all wear it for a radical solution — we fight more nuclear weapons is widespread and becoming increasingly so.

So Reagan advances SDI and none of his courtiers has the President's beliefs. No one agrees with him that the concept of defending one's population in a nuclear war makes sense.

One agreement among the anti-American crowd is that the President's anti-Americanism, the conscious Star Wars knows-how should be shared with the Soviet Union. SDI's high cost of both high tech and the U.S. already has machines to keep much less technology from the Soviets.
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...
AMBITS AND JOKERS

WILLIAM ZIMMERMANN,
Professor of Political Science and Research Scientist, Center for Russian and East European Studies.

Throughout the history of US-Soviet negotiations the American side has been disadvantaged in getting a good bargaining position because our leaders must represent a coalition and theirs don't need to.

As President Kennedy put it 25 years ago, assimilating the elements of a coalition leaves the American initial position more moderate — that is, nearer to the Soviet's final position — than is the Soviet's initial position.

The Soviet style is to propose very big changes. Essentially, it's a public relations play because they know their grandiose initial position will be totally unacceptable to the States. But it's also a bargaining play in which the Soviets maneuver so that any compromise is in a range rather nearer their initial position than a midpoint. Let's say the sides are negotiating over a range of 1 to 100. If the American first offer is 35 and the Soviet's 100, splitting the difference ends up at around 70. The Soviet stance is: "That's ours or ours, what's yours is negotiable."

Of course our negotiators are now well aware of this situation. So an American stunt is to have a joker in the deck. We may make a seemingly reasonable offer that involves the elimination or great reduction of something they have lots of resources in or are better in. Recently, we've focused on reducing intercontinental ballistic missiles; three-fourths of their missiles are that type.

These bargaining games go on at multiple levels. There are the genuine negotiations, and then there are various games for the attentive publics — particularly, today, in Western Europe. These may at times be contradictory games, but it's interesting that they can set in motion a drive to make the bargaining more serious and to reach genuine agreements just to keep these publics happy. You have to show you're serious, and the next thing you know, you have an agreement whether you wanted one or not.

If we assume, and this is a challengeable assumption, that some members of the Reagan administration are in support of Shevardnadze, this is potentially negotiable, but as tacked on it would eliminate SDI research.

The administration won't go for that and the Russians know it.

There is a lot of opposition to SDI in the Pentagon, however, where it's assumed that the years of military budget growth of inflation-plus-5 percent are gone. That means they, particularly the Army, worry about where SDI dollars would come from. They suspect the funds would come from the usual source — conventional arms.

It's politically difficult to pay for an increase in conventional arms in our country. People in Washington are afraid to be out front before the electorate on that. The need for increasing our military spending tends to be for big things that go boom in the night.

But on the other level, Star Wars has already been defeated. Ever since Reagan's March 1983 Star Wars speech, SDI has come to be seen merely as something that strengthens deterrence rather than as an alternative to it, which was its original selling point.

Nevertheless, SDI is likely to remain with us in some form — perhaps as a peaceful colonization-of-outerspace program — because in addition to being a bargaining device it's partly a way to keep a lot of engineers employed and partly a safety valve out of the condition of nuclear deterrence.

It's hard to know what the American side wants from the summit. It's a case of not knowing what you want till you know what you can get. Of course we'd strongly like to see a withdrawal of the Soviet SS-20 missiles. They're a real menace to our policy of saying we would use nuclear weapons to prevent a victory over Western European troops by Soviet ground forces. The presence of the SS-20s makes that a less credible statement. If the Soviets negotiated to get rid of half of their SS-20s, that could lead to a major agreement.

Although a major summit agreement on arms control would surprise me, agreements in four other areas are quite likely: Pacific air routes, consular relations, the restoration of Aeroflot (the Soviet airline service) and resumption of cultural exchanges.

THE SOVIET ECONOMY

BARBARA A. ANDERSON,
Professor of Sociology and Research Scientist, Population Studies Center.

The Soviet leaders want very much to reduce their defense spending or control its increase, so their main goal is to reach an agreement that would halt our SDI program. They are afraid that matching SDI would force them to drastically increase defense spending and prevent them from lifting the living standard of the Soviet people as fast as they'd like.

The USSR has a lot of wealth, but by many standards it's not a rich country. The domestic product per capita is about the same as Greece's. Some say that Soviet citizens can obtain only about a third of the consumer goods that Americans can.

Avoiding an SDI race would let the Soviets improve their working conditions, their consumer goods and the availability of health care. I've studied Soviet immigrants with my colleague Brian Silver, who is my fellow demographic researcher at the Center and also a professor of political science at Michigan State University. We found that even when they were satisfied with housing and jobs in the Soviet Union, they thought there was a disappointing shortage of goods.

The Soviets also have a severe shortage of skilled labor. After the recent baby boom, their birthrate dipped sharply; the impact is just being felt now with their 18-year-olds. This shortage affects their domestic and military industries. Their defense-related industries have always recruited the best talent. They'd like to decrease their military production and send more of these top workers, planners and managers into domestic industries.

In view of these needs and objectives, some might speculate that the Soviets would either refuse to compete in Star Wars, even if the U.S. goes ahead, or, feeling they were over a barrel at Geneva, sign an arms control agreement that clearly disadvantaged them.

I think they would do neither. Even if SDI has only a 10 percent chance of working, they'd decide it's too risky not to match the program. Many Americans don't realize that the Soviets' concern for preventing war is not purely a propaganda ploy. The impact of World War II is still strongly and personally by their citizens. If you visit there, at least once a day you'll hear someone talking about the war, about close relations who died during it, as if it happened yesterday.

These motives for a summit agreement may differ from American leaders, but the Soviets aren't stupid or irrational in this concern for their national security, and they're frank in saying that if push comes to shove, they'll take money out of domestic programs and fund their military. Defending their top priority They'd hate to do it, but if they had to, they'd lower their standard of living or slow the pace of improving their standard of living to match our SDI program.

Some American strategists see SDI, therefore, as a way to "bleed the Soviets dry." I don't see how that would be possible while the United States. If people become poor, angry and desperate, it seems to me they're more likely to do something dangerously unwise.

There is no doubt that this summit has tremendous implications for the short-term future of how Soviet society tackles these and other domestic problems. At the base of these problems is the labor shortage, but they also want to train people for advanced technology, develop work incentives and increase capital expenditures to raise labor productivity, and to improve labor discipline in such areas as tardiness and drunkenness.

But the details of working out an arms control agreement are hairy. The two sides' geography, troops, potential targets and weapons systems are as different as apples and oranges. In these bargaining or trading games there are no rules. As Brian Silver puts it, reaching an agreement is like figuring out an end game in chess after only a few opening moves; introducing any new technology, not just Star Wars, is like suddenly putting a new piece on the board that has a new way of moving. And even when the parties agree to something in principle, they later find it hard to agree on what they agreed to.

So it's best for the negotiations if every new issue or proposal is not broadcast day in and day out. I'm not defending secret diplomacy, but I think the less we hear in advance of the summit, the more likely something good will happen.

Even without a Star Wars agreement, there could be agreements on emigration rights, treatment of dissidents, reunification of families, trade status and volume, and similar questions.
Athletes get training in catching an education, too

By John Woodford

"IN MANY major universities, there is a gladiatorial class, insulated from other students. They are enrolled in the athletic department. In the revenue sports that generate income for the University — particularly such cash cows as football and basketball — the so-called student-athletes (at least they should be called athlete-students) are generally darker and poorer than most others on campus....It is often a shock for non-athletes to find that these jocks are not only brighter and more sensitive than expected, but socially timid, sexually inexperienced, financially and politically naive and academically malnourished." — Robert Lipsyte, sports journalist.

"I don't know what he means by 'inexperienced,'" says Paul Jokisch, a senior football player with one more year of athletic eligibility, after reading Lipsyte's comments, "...but as for the player with one more year of athletic eligibility, experienced,'" says Paul Jokisch, a senior football player with one more year of athletic eligibility, after reading Lipsyte's comments, "he may devote to collegiate "revenue sports"; I'm in the College of Literature, Science, and the Arts right now. I'm thinking about going into marketing. Grad student tutors in the Program helped me a lot. My hardest challenge was scheduling my time effectively. I also had to improve my knowledge of grammar, my study skills and my writing. The course that helped me the most wasn't the tutoring but the required freshman composition course taught by Brenda Flanagan. She taught me how to adjust to the larger class, insulated from other students. They are enrolled in the academic department. In the revenue sports that generate income for the University — particularly such cash cows as football and basketball — the so-called student-athletes (at least they should be called athlete-students) are generally darker and poorer than most others on campus....It is often a shock for non-athletes to find that these jocks are not only brighter and more sensitive than expected, but socially timid, sexually inexperienced, financially and politically naive and academically malnourished." — Robert Lipsyte, sports journalist.
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Nicholas Delbanco has advice for would-be writers:

'THROW AWAY YOUR FIRST MILLION WORDS'

By Gil Goodwin

Nicholas Delbanco, the new director of the English department's graduate creative writing program, has produced 10 novels and a book of short stories in two decades. Prolific as he is, Delbanco has some sobering advice for the writers-to-be: "I prepared to throw away your first million words."

Within the hyperbole of that assessment is a truth known to all professional writers. Writing is difficult work — a lonely, demanding, humbling craft that most writers spend years trying to master because they cannot possibly give it up.

How has Delbanco, at age 43, managed to write all of those successful novels, teach, and also find time for two non-fiction books, the screenplay for a movie and a wide variety of critical articles? "The only way it can be done," he explains. "I put in my hours. And it means getting up early in the morning to work. One reason I've published so many books is that I got lucky and started early by publishing a book at 25, 'The Martian's Tale.' I don't waste much time when I am writing. I don't wait long between projects, but keep working away at something."

Industry and discipline are probably two of the most important lessons Delbanco can impart to the two dozen graduate students enrolled in the program, just as it was in a similar program he directed at Bennington College the last two years. His decision to come to Michigan was both personal and professional.

"It was partly a family decision," Delbanco says. "My wife, two daughters and I had lived in the hills of Vermont long enough. A great university such as Michigan, once its resources are mustered, can mount a writing program of true distinction and consequence. I had spent much of my career teaching undergraduates. Now with access to more advanced students, I am preaching to the already converted. I have not been sorry I came."

Delbanco and poet Richard Tillinghast are central figures in the Michigan writing program. Other faculty members involved include Stephen Dunning, Alice Fulton, Laurence Goldstein, Lennel Johnson and Milan Stitt, along with visiting professors Alan Cheuse, William Hol linger and Janet Kauffman.

Some critics maintain that writing classes are not effective. Delbanco, unsurprisingly, does not agree, though he acknowledges the spirit of the criticism: "I don't think that writing can be taught — to the world in which they find themselves and a personal distaste can have an equal and opposite effect. Therefore, it is easier to imagine thinking of one's colleagues as distant or dead, to fashion a community of writers in absurdist form.

The truth is that most of one's masters are dead or distant anyway. Homer and Dante and Dickens are unavailable for drinks. They inhabit the apparatus of retention: tape and film. But Cervantes's words remain, as do those of Laurence Sterne or Lady Murasaki, and the purposely random nature of this list should prove just how present those past masters are to the achievement of more or less celebrated contemporaries. It's the sort of disease that a writer calls health to think that his work is mediocre when he writes it and every word to come will prove superb."

He wants to write a masterpiece and is haunted by suspicions that his work is mediocre; he wants to write a masterpiece and is haunted by suspicions that it is nothing but the stuff of legend once their performance is done. "It is a craft that must be learned. Our function as teachers is to provide willing witness, to encourage students as they learn more rapidly and more efficiently than they otherwise might."

Admission requirements for the creative writing program are predicated on displayed interest and accomplishment: the applicant must demonstrate aptitude and background for graduate literature courses, provide letters of recommendation from writers or teachers of writing who attest to their writing and critical skills and present a writing sample "which should consist of 30 or 12 poems, or three or four short stories or part of a novel."

The writing sample is the best evidence that student applicants share in what Delbanco thinks is characteristic of nearly all successful writers: "Good writers usually display a love for and attention to language, a willingness to bear witness to the world in which they find themselves and a drive to act as self-impaneled judges on its operational components and events."

A tall order for the artist, perhaps, but Delbanco, like most writers and readers, sets high standards for literature. "Literature," he says, "should entertain and instruct us, I suppose, but mostly it should cause us to consider anew what we have taken for granted and to value newly what we might not have noticed before."

As might be expected for a writer who does not waste time, Delbanco is already at work on his eleventh novel, a story of what happens to friendships as people grow older.

One critic described The Martlet's Tale, Delbanco's first novel published in 1966, as "Holden Caulfield coming of age in contemporary Greece." He called it a book that moves with classical cadences "in and out of time and place" with "ability to the beauty of land and seascape and the ambiguity of mind and heart."

Subsequent Delbanco novels have dealt with personal and professional issues as people grow older. "I prefer to read biographies, letters, all varieties of non-fiction. Even a detective story is not of the sort of reading I do," he says. "I try to read biographies, letters, all varieties of non-fiction. Even a detective story is not all that bad."

Delbanco speaks with the fluid precision one would expect of a professional writer. The impression he gives of thinking as an American and speaking as an Englishman is no affectation for he was born in London in 1942, the son of Kurt Delbanco, a writer who now resides in New York City, and Barbara Bernstein Delbanco. A United States citizen, Nicholas Delbanco holds degrees from Harvard and Columbia.

"I came to the United States for the first time at the age of 6," he recalls. "We were back and forth many times; it took some years before I knew on which side of the Atlantic I belonged."

Delbanco's international background is reflected in the list of authors who most inspire him: "Tolstoy, Dickens, Melville, Thackeray — the great story tellers." He tries, however, not to read the novels of these or other writers while working on one of his own. "To avoid influence then," he says, "I prefer to read biographies, letters, all varieties of non-fiction. Even a detective story is not all that bad."

From Group Portrait by Nicholas Delbanco (William Morrow & Co., 1983.)

Homer and Dante and Dickens are unavailable for drinks'

In the context of the library, colleagueship extends to those one has met — to the writers one admires. Friendship sometimes intensifies; it causes one to make more of one's own acquaintances than sheer object plus personal assessment by the writers one admires. Friendship sometimes intensifies; it causes one to make more of one's own associates than sheer object assessment would have it. Personal taste can have an equal and opposite effect. Therefore, it is easier to imagine thinking of one's colleagues as distant or dead, to fashion a community of writers in absentia. The truth is that most of one's masters are dead or distant anyway. Homer and Dante and Dickens are unavailable for drinks. We all are apprenticed to a fast-vanishing guild, and time has little to do with membership therein. The dancer cannot pattern his work closely on Ni- Christmas's, nor can the cellist on Boccherini's. Since those legendary performers are the stuff of legend once their performance is done. They inhabit the apparatus of retention: tape and film. But Cervantes's words remain, as do those of Laurence Sterne or Lady Murasaki, and the purposely random nature of this list should prove just how present those past masters are. Every writer, in short, works with a double standard. The first is comparability. This applies both to the self-perception on the part of the writer and to the achievement of more or less celebrated contemporaries. It's the sort of disease that a writer calls health to think that his work is mediocre when he writes it and every word to come will prove superb. He wants to write a masterpiece and is haunted by suspicions that his work is mediocre. He wants to write a masterpiece and is haunted by suspicions that it is nothing but the stuff of legend once their performance is done. They inhabit the apparatus of retention: tape and film. But Cervantes's words remain, as do..."
James McDivitt '59: from Gemini to Apollo to Rockwell

By Pat Roessle Materka

Some people look for a niche in the world. Jim McDivitt finds an orbit.

From test pilot to astronaut to space program manager to executive vice president of one of the world's largest companies, his career might be depicted as so many concentric circles, each spreading out and deeper into successively greater spheres.

"Take advantage of every opportunity that presents itself." That's the motto that took the 1959 U-M engineering grad to all parts of the continent as well as outer space. "Every job I've ever held has added new dimensions to my experience."

James A. McDivitt is now executive vice president of Rockwell International Corporation's Defense Electronics Operations (DEO), based in Anaheim, California. At DEO, he oversees thousands of contracts for defense electronics equipment, tactical weapons systems, electro-optics and shipboard electronics.

He has come full circle from his first contact with electronic weaponry, hands-on, as an Air Force fighter pilot. Joining the service at the outbreak of the Korean War, McDivitt flew 145 combat missions and won two distinguished service medals, four distinguished flying crosses, five air medals and a South Korean Medal. Upon his return, the Air Force provided a full tuition scholarship through U-M's aeronautical engineering program, followed by test pilot school.

Advancing from test pilot to astronaut was "a logical progression," McDivitt states modestly. He commanded the four-day Gemini IV mission in 1965 and the Apollo IX mission in 1969, establishing six world records for space flight.

But he says that he found even greater satisfaction and challenge as manager of the Apollo Spacecraft Program from 1969 to 1972. On the ground, McDivitt had overall responsibility for Apollo 12 through 16, overseeing all of the lunar landings except the final one.

He looks back at his association with NASA (the National Aeronautical and Space Administration) with pride but not nostalgia. "It was an exciting time to be part of the space program," he acknowledges. Astronauts were heroes in the 60s. Thousands of citizens lined a motorcade route to cheer McDivitt and a fellow astronaut and U-M alumnus, the late Edward H. White, when they came to Ann Arbor in 1965 after the historic Gemini 4 spacewalk.

But the mystique was beginning to fade during the early 70s. "People would say, 'How can you spend all that money on reaching the moon when we haven't solved the problems here on earth like poverty and cancer?'" he recalls. "They would talk as if we were taking a bag of gold up to the moon and leaving it there. But in fact, we did spend the money in the United States, creating jobs and advancing the state of technology. Even if the space program had stopped, we wouldn't have necessarily solved the other problems."

"The publicity was good for the program when it started," McDivitt continues. "It gained credibility for the program, drew public support and motivated many young people to take an interest in science. It was certainly better than keeping it a secret."

"But treating the people who were flying as if they were some kind of gods — that was probably a little misplaced," Lindbergh flew across the Atlantic and the country made a big deal about it, but look how many people fly across the Atlantic today? It's time for the hoopla to go away, and let the space program survive on its merits. It will find its natural niche among other national priorities."

McDivitt retired from the Air Force as a brigadier general and has spent the past 13 years in private industry. He was named executive vice president and a director of Consumers Power Co. in Jackson, Michigan, in 1972, moved to a similar position at Fullman Inc. of Chicago in 1975 and joined Rockwell in 1981. He served as senior vice president of science and technology and senior vice president of the strategic management before he was appointed head of DEO in 1984.

His high-pressured career hasn't precluded civic involvement. McDivitt recently agreed to serve as the first national chairman of the U-M College of Engineering's Annual Fund. "The Fund has seen significant growth in recent years," notes Engineering Dean James J. Durderstadt. "The reputation of the College is reflected in the achievements of outstanding alumni like Jim McDivitt. We are honored that he accepted the role of national chairman."

McDivitt explains his service to the U-M by saying, "I received an excellent education at the College of Engineering, owing not only to the faculty and administration but to the support of alumni who preceded me. I am happy to contribute to this important effort."

A former board member of the U-M Alumni Association, McDivitt also serves on the advisory councils of the University of Notre Dame College of Engineering and Miami University of Ohio School of Business Administration, and the Boy Scouts of America.

His large wood-paneled office in Anaheim bears only a few reminders of his space career: the green aluminum handles from his Gemini space seat; a piece of cable from the lunar module; the charred ends of the space capsule's heat shield. They stand alongside the shark carved by his son, Patrick, and other family mementos.

His four children are all pursuing varied careers: Michael, the oldest, has a business degree from Central Michigan University; Ann graduated in education from U-M, where she captained the women's swimming and diving teams. Patrick is studying law at Loyola University. Only Katie, the youngest, is following him in the field of engineering as a student at University of California-Berkeley.

"SPACE HOOPLA" and astronaut-worship have given way to a time when manned flights will have to survive on their own merits, says McDivitt, who commanded Gemini IV and Apollo IX missions.

Overseeing complex military electronics projects at Rockwell International Corp. has taken School of Engineering grad James A. McDivitt to new frontiers.

"Take advantage of every opportunity that presents itself. That's the motto that took the 1959 U-M engineering grad to all parts of the continent as well as outer space. "Every job I've ever held has added new dimensions to my experience."

His high-pressured career hasn't precluded civic involvement. McDivitt recently agreed to serve as the first national chairman of the U-M College of Engineering's Annual Fund. "The Fund has seen significant growth in recent years," notes Engineering Dean James J. Durderstadt. "The reputation of the College is reflected in the achievements of outstanding alumni like Jim McDivitt. We are honored that he accepted the role of national chairman."

A former board member of the U-M Alumni Association, McDivitt also serves on the advisory councils of the University of Notre Dame College of Engineering and Miami University of Ohio School of Business Administration, and the Boy Scouts of America.

His large wood-paneled office in Anaheim bears only a few reminders of his space career: the green aluminum handles from his Gemini space seat; a piece of cable from the lunar module; the charred ends of the space capsule's heat shield. They stand alongside the shark carved by his son, Patrick, and other family mementos.

His four children are all pursuing varied careers: Michael, the oldest, has a business degree from Central Michigan University; Ann graduated in education from U-M, where she captained the women's swimming and diving teams. Patrick is studying law at Loyola University. Only Katie, the youngest, is following him in the field of engineering as a student at University of California-Berkeley.

"SPACE HOOPLA" and astronaut-worship have given way to a time when manned flights will have to survive on their own merits, says McDivitt, who commanded Gemini IV and Apollo IX missions.

McDivitt and his wife Judy enjoy hiking and hunting. From his panoramic sixth-floor office window, he points to the far horizon. "Just out there," he says with a trace of wonder, "are Mount San Antonio and Mount Baldy. In an hour's drive, you can be in the high desert, where there are jackrabbits and quail. Drive for another hour, and you've left civilization behind."

Former astronaut James A. McDivitt has re-discovered outer space in the California wilderness.
A NEW MOON RISING

Art of the Tabwa people

By John Woodford

The Tabwa people who live in the Zairian village of Mpala beside Lake Tanganyika still talk about "Bwana Boma," (Mr. Fort), a fair-skinned visitor who established the first colonial presence among them in the 1880's and collected some of their most magnificent art.

Bwana Boma was a Belgian adventurer named Emile Storms. He became a blood brother of Chief Mpala, built a fort in Mpala village, conquered neighboring people with his European and Tabwa troops and founded a little "empire." In his art collecting and colonizing, Storms acted on his belief that "all authority which is not based upon force is null and illusory." But two years after his arrival in Mpala, he, himself, was forced under terms of the historic 1885 Berlin Conference of European colonial states to surrender his empire to European missionaries. He complied, though not before shipping specimens of the Tabwa's finest art to Belgium, where it is now housed in the Royal Museum of Central Africa.

Today, a century later, the University of Michigan Museum of Art is organizing the first international exhibition of Tabwa art, "The Rising of a New Moon: A Century of Tabwa Art." The 100 rarely seen objects will include some from Storms's collections and many others from public and private collections in the U.S. and Europe.

The exhibition will open in January 1986 at the Smithsonian Institution's National Museum of African Art in Washington, D.C. Later, it will travel to the University of Michigan Museum of Art, then to Belgium.

(Continued on page 10.)
and ugly things to endanger human beings — lions, snakes and other insiders or "things people don’t like to talk about." The.Tabwa turned to tribalism, from evil spells, unfriendly spirits or, in the social realm, from the harmful and hidden nature of human beings, even to one’s own nature.

To the Tabwa, Roberts says, the moon presents "two faces of the same reality." This duality of the moon, and its cyclic phases, sustains them in contemplating the duality of human nature, good and evil. People must learn to cope with the duality, or "two-facedness" of man and nature, the Tabwa believe; they must do so with magic, and the advice of oracles, practitioners of magic or chiefs, informed by reflection and moral discipline as well.

"The seemingly artless lunar metaphor we see in the designs of 'classical' pre-colonial and early-colonial Tabwa objects," the social anthropologist sums up, "helps them consider both positive and negative values, like guidance, generosity and restraint, and their inevitable dialectic opposites, like greed, despair and excess."

Other seemingly simpler decorations and symbols on special or ordinary objects are ideostones of equally complex ideas among Tabwa.

"There is an 'endless' line of symmetry that figures in Tabwa imagination and art," Roberts says. "These lines, called mulambo, represent, both abstractly and concretely, such diverse phenomena as the path of the Milky Way, the tunnel through which Mwamba the Aardvark brought Man and Woman from the underworld to the Earth's surface in the Tabwa creation myth, the line by which humans will travel from this present world to divinity and, the Continental Divide that runs north-to-south through their homeland.

Vertical mulambo midlines were also "drawn" through scarification [raised keloidal bumps] on the human body or carved onto the torsos of wooden figurines.

"Over their history as an identifiable, but certainly not rigidly definable, group," Roberts continues, "the Tabwa people have developed rich metaphors on their bodies, sculpture or utensils. When they contemplate these patterns — and, as with us, the consciousness and depth with which these reflections are carried out vary with individuals — the motif is a reference for a complex set of cosmological principles that can, like many Tabwa symbols or ideas, 'hide in plain sight.'" As the colonizers established their dominance over the region, hiding things in plain sight became a survival skill for the Tabwa. "The missionaries and their forces suppressed the Tabwa's artistic-religious practices that conflicted with Christianity," Roberts says. "To facilitate their administrative functions, the colonizers introduced practices that defined a Tabwa territory as a region where several groups previously had more multiple and flexible ethnic identities. For their part, the Tabwa and other peoples might have assumed a more fixed 'tribal' identity because it helped them unify in resistance to the colonizers, and to adapt to the new conditions of a colonial existence."

In the artistic realm, colonization meant wooden sculptures and other obviously "devilish and heretical" objects were seized and their further production was forcibly discouraged. "As our exhibition shows, however," Roberts observes, "the Tabwa developed an 'underground' art. They put their metaphorical decorations on baskets, mats and other utensils. Baskets that contained apparent trinkets were in reality receptacles for objects that were significant in their divination ceremonies because the objects were carefully chosen metaphors for predicaments or troubled states of mind of particular individuals."

Roberts, Maurer and the entire U-M Museum of Art staff have worked hard to ensure that viewers of the exhibition of Tabwa art will enjoy a dynamic and illuminating experience. "We've crafted the exhibition so that it will deepen the viewers' knowledge of the purposes of art in general," Roberts declares. "We want them to appreciate the thought, the mode of life, the troubled history of triumphs and the present-day challenges of the Tabwa and, through them, of all groups in world culture."
STUDYING IN THE DORM PROVES TO BE THE NORM

The stereotype says undergraduate residence halls are rowdy "animal houses, the last place for concentrated study. But when the U-M Housing Division tested this assumption it found that three of four freshmen do most of their studying in residence halls.

In its survey, Housing asked students to assess the quality of the residence halls as places to study. Students were also asked to describe their hall's impact on their social and intellectual lives outside their classroom.

Begun in July 1983 by Rob Quinn, Ph.D., and Stan Pressor, Ph.D., of the University's Survey Research Center, the study asked a representative group of 569 incoming freshman what they expected from life in the residence halls. Then, in the winter term of 1984, these students were asked their impressions after living in the halls for one term.

The study resulted in the following data:

- 78% of the freshman indicated that the residence hall was a satisfactory place to study.
- 82% of the students complete their written assignments in the residence hall.
- 77% of the students do most of their thinking in the residence hall.
- 74% of the students complete their reading assignments in the residence hall.
- 69% of the students do their group studying in the residence hall.
- 56% of the students study in their residence hall rooms and 16% in other parts of the residence hall.
- 65% of the students intended to use the libraries for studying but only 26% of the students wound up doing so. The following reasons were given for studying in the residence hall:
  - 49% said the hall is quiet or has quiet hours
  - 22% cited convenience as the key factor.
  - 18% said the study areas in the halls are available and adequate.
  - 6% named privacy.

Among the factors that shape student preconceptions about residence halls are the Housing Office publications and views of family and friends who previously attended the University.

The more students depended on the Housing publications for information, the more they expected to be satisfied. Those who relied mainly on the advice of friends and family were less likely to expect good study conditions in residence halls.

Edward C. Salowitz, director of Housing's residence program, found the survey results "gratifying and useful in assessing the changing role of campus housing in relation to student needs." He expects five more reports from the survey data, touching on the halls' judiciary system, student lifestyles and the intellectual climate within the housing communities.

At this point, Salowitz said, the survey indicates that freshmen expectations for residence hall life are generally met, that freshmen are exposed to new ideas while living in the halls and receive most of their intellectual stimulation from fellow students, and that most students favor a judiciary system in which both students and staff share the responsibility for the determination and adjudication of rules.

ALL U-M STUDENTS GET ACCESS TO COMPUTERS, PAY USERS FEE

The U-M Regents, at their Sept. 19-20 meeting, approved a campuswide student fee that greatly increased general student access to computers and other information technology.

Computers no longer are just for scientists and engineers, and the Ann Arbor campus will have "a significant student need for access in which to learn and to perform research," said Douglas E. Van Houweling, vice provost for information technology. "It offers us the opportunity to demonstrate the same kind of leadership which has been a hallmark of the University throughout its history."

All students enrolled for credit in degree programs will pay a $50 computer fee in the winter 1986 term, which will be increased to $100 per term beginning in the spring/summer 1986 term. All students will gain access to personal computers and networks which provide access to other U-M computers and "electronic mail."

Through the student computer fee and an increased commitment of U-M funds, the number of workstations for general student use will increase seven-fold, from 250 now to 1,750 by fall of 1988. The current total does not include the 430 workstations now dedicated to engineering and business administration students.

Overall, the plan calls for an increase in the University's central academic computing budget from $8.3 million in 1984-85 to $16.5 million in 1986-87.

Van Houweling said that the University rejected the possibility of requiring individual students to purchase their own computers. "It's more costly," he explained. "To get computing power comparable to what we get through workstations connected to the U-M computing system would be very difficult in any but the most expensive desktop computers."
LETTERS

Snowflakes' disappointments

I CONSIDER myself a fairly knowledgeable reader of science and research; I subscribe to a number of the popular magazines such as Science, and even to the U-M's Research News, which I enjoy. To date, however, I've been disappointed with much of Michigan Today's presentation of research and scholarship at the U-M. What prompts this letter is especially poor showing in your August article, "Chases to the Mystery of the Snowflake.

I stuck with the story to the bitter end, forced to frequently re-read sections because so much jargon was included. In other things I read about science, more care is taken to de-mystify, not the reverse. And the link made between Prof. Ben-Jacob's work and "universality" was thin; it may be there, but the writer didn't show it. It was hard to see why the article was printed, unless you were dying to print some pretty pictures of snowflakes. (If so, you should have done that and spared us the rest.)

Stick to your breezy "people" stories; they are nicely done. I'll go elsewhere to learn what the faculty is up to.

Dwight Grant
Ann Arbor

Reader Grant will be pleased to learn that the U-M News and Information Office has added a science writer, Frank Blanchard, to its staff. The quality of our science stories should prove much more satisfactory to him and other readers beginning with our next issue — Ed.

More about Gypsies

THE EXCERPT from the doctoral thesis of William Lockwood on Gypsies is hilarious! They amused him into contributing $500. Only a Gypsy could get by with that ploy.

Yes, "you Gypsies are as good as any other ethnic group" must have amused them. In areas they care about, they know they are superior! Ah me — scholars are the worst sort of suckers! What Lockwood needs is a course in "Street Smarts" — right?

Rachel Welch
Saint Augustine, Florida

ANTHROPOLOGIST William G. Lockwood and his wife, Yvonne (the couple on the right) visited their Gypsy friends Halil and Hamze Salikhanovic in Banjevo, France, last year.

IT IS AN UNFAILING trait of native folk in the academic world that they become (or are born) oblivious to reality. So Dr. Lockwood becomes enamored of Gypsies and in his view they shed their long-developed skills in flim flam, theft, killing and simple skullduggery.

Dr. Lockwood reminds me of the typical academic nerd who goes to a foreign country and comes back wearing native garb, eating with chopsticks or whatever — totally smitten with the "culture.

Note enclosed clippering on crime suspects described as Gypsies — Ed.

But more close to home — my personal encounter in my own garage on 10 August with a Gypsy father and son trying to work a scam on me.

Robert M. Harris
Berkeley, Michigan

Prof. William G. Lockwood replies to the above letters that discussion of "Gypsy crime" smears an entire ethnic group because of the actions of a few.

"There is no other ethnic group in America that media and public malign with such careless disregard," he says. "And they get away with it because Gypsies lack the organization of other groups and hesitate to come forward as individuals for fear of being tarred with the same brush." — Ed.

'May U-M's stewardship grow'

HAVING JUST finished reading your August issue from front to back, may I congratulate you for such an excellent informative publication. Although a bit chagrined that the issue contained no article about civil engineering (I'm a '46 CE graduate), I found the article about architectural Professor James Chaffers' Community Design Studio very enlightening. May I remind your readers and Professor Chaffers with a little jest that such urban renewal projects cannot come to fruition without the nuts and bolts of civil and structural engineering.

As a very casual weekly reader of newspapers, Michigan Today, in contrast, offers thorough, thought-provoking articles in a wide and most interesting variety of subjects. The very fact that so many of our faculty and students are deeply involved in the real world is most gratifying. When one considers the number of graduates privileged to attend Michigan who are professionals and businesspeople today, one begins to have a little inkling as to the impact that the University has on society. May our stewardship continue to grow as it obviously is now.

Having relocated from Michigan to Texas some three years ago, we have discovered here a sizable number of Michigan transplants. In fact, a few of them remain annually at a get-together in Dallas. One comes to encounter native Texans infuriated by but can unapologetically recognize them on the highways by their erratic driving habits, even worse than Michiganders, in my humble opinion.

Norman L. Rabbers '46E
Grand Prairie, Texas

Forgotten astronaut

In the article about Michigan astronauts (August 1985) you listed the other people who went on to fame or glory or both. I believe, however, that you left out one. He was nameless, C. Freeman, an Air Force officer like myself who attended the Rackham Graduate School. I didn't recall his military grade, nor the class in which he graduated. He was, however, selected for astronaut training after graduation. Unfortunately, he was subsequently killed in an aircraft accident before he had participated in any space missions. In my view, he should be included among the Michigan astronauts.

Donald S. Sammis Jr.
Palm Bay, Florida

'Hooby for new cheerleaders'

"HISTORIC" new cheerleading squad indeed!— this is what we've always called the pom-pom squad at U-M stadium. While other college squads were limping up and down in the traditional "pom-pom girl" style. I always wondered why there weren't any women with more athletic frames who decided to Texas some three years ago, we we be permitted to be out on the field displaying their agility in gymnastics along with a thought-provoking ornament to look at. I thought there had to be at least one such woman. Now there are six! Hooyay! Out of all the years that I have been attending U-M football games, the cheerleading squad was always an embarrassment. U-M seemed about 10 years behind all of the other Big Ten colleges in having co-ed squads which enabled these women to display some REAL athletic ability. I am elated that U-M has finally caught up with the rest of the world.

Norman Miller Lit '42
Palm Springs, California

You are free, of course, to edit my, "You Gypsies are as good as any other ethnic group" — Ed. But more close to home — my personal encounter in my own garage on 10 August with a Gypsy father and son trying to work a scam on me.

Robert M. Harris
Berkeley, Michigan

Prof. William G. Lockwood replies to the above letters that discussion of "Gypsy crime" smears an entire ethnic group because of the actions of a few.

"There is no other ethnic group in America that media and public malign with such careless disregard," he says. "And they get away with it because Gypsies lack the organization of other groups and hesitate to come forward as individuals for fear of being tarred with the same brush." — Ed.
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HAVING JUST finished reading your August issue from front to back, may I congratulate you for such an excellent informative publication. Although a bit chagrined that the issue contained no article about civil engineering (I'm a '46 CE graduate), I found the article about architectural Professor James Chaffers' Community Design Studio very enlightening. May I remind your readers and Professor Chaffers with a little jest that such urban renewal projects cannot come to fruition without the nuts and bolts of civil and structural engineering.

As a very casual weekly reader of newspapers, Michigan Today, in contrast, offers thorough, thought-provoking articles in a wide and most interesting variety of subjects. The very fact that so many of our faculty and students are deeply involved in the real world is most gratifying. When one considers the number of graduates privileged to attend Michigan who are professionals and businesspeople today, one begins to have a little inkling as to the impact that the University has on society. May our stewardship continue to grow as it obviously is now.
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In the article about Michigan astronauts (August 1985) you listed the other people who went on to fame or glory or both. I believe, however, that you left out one. He was nameless, C. Freeman, an Air Force officer like myself who attended the Rackham Graduate School. I didn't recall his military grade, nor the class in which he graduated. He was, however, selected for astronaut training after graduation. Unfortunately, he was subsequently killed in an aircraft accident before he had participated in any space missions. In my view, he should be included among the Michigan astronauts.

Donald S. Sammis Jr.
Palm Bay, Florida
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"HISTORIC" new cheerleading squad indeed!— this is what we've always called the pom-pom squad at U-M stadium. While other college squads were limping up and down in the traditional "pom-pom girl" style. I always wondered why there weren't any women with more athletic frames who decided to Texas some three years ago, we we be permitted to be out on the field displaying their agility in gymnastics along with a thought-provoking ornament to look at. I thought there had to be at least one such woman. Now there are six! Hooyay! Out of all the years that I have been attending U-M football games, the cheerleading squad was always an embarrassment. U-M seemed about 10 years behind all of the other Big Ten colleges in having co-ed squads which enabled these women to display some REAL athletic ability. I am elated that U-M has finally caught up with the rest of the world.
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We enjoy receiving readers' letters and print almost all we receive. We regret that we must omit a few, especially some and acknowledge very few in reply. Our readers are also welcome to submit stories or stories for Michigan Today. Such submissions will be acknowledged but not returned — Ed.
COLLEGE LABS ARE CRUMBLING; IMPACT ON RESEARCH STUDIED

Crumbling buildings and state-of-the-art research don’t mix, according to University researchers who are trying to determine the impact of antiquated laboratories on research.

University research facilities nationwide need an estimated $5 to $20 billion worth of renovations and replacements. Yet many universities, faced with reduced funding and increased equipment costs, find they must continue to postpone lab repairs.

It is this dilemma that U-M and Cornell University researchers hope to address in a joint project sponsored by the National Science Foundation.

The researchers, directed by Robert E. Johnson, U-M associate professor of architecture, are working on a model that would determine the long-term impact of outmoded buildings on the quality and quantity of research in the nation’s universities.

“Buildings are very durable,” Johnson says. “They don’t fall apart tomorrow if you don’t do something today. So there is often a tendency to defer building maintenance in the hope that you can play ‘catch-up’ a little later. But sometimes, the inclination to catch up in a couple of years drifts to 10 years, and what began as a nuisance grows into a major problem.”

By studying laboratory conditions and allocations for improvements at universities nationwide, Johnson hopes to recommend procedures for better management.

Johnson also is interested in how a building affects the effectiveness of experiments. “Environmental control is crucial to research,” he says. “Power outages and plumbing failures can devastate long-term experiments, which then must be repeated.”

Many experiments are ongoing, so it is important to maintain a consistent atmosphere in the research laboratory, he adds. And as equipment becomes more sophisticated and complex, the place to put it becomes more complicated. In addition, old buildings can hamper recruiting efforts since top-notch faculty and students may not be attracted to deteriorating facilities. The two-year study ends in August 1986.
Regent Thomas A. Roach, BA ’51, JD ’53, has been named chairman of special gifts of the University’s Campaign for Michigan.

Former President Gerald R. Ford, honorary chairman of the Campaign, announced that Roach will lead volunteer efforts to secure commitments of $10,000 to $100,000. Roach, a senior member of the Detroit law firm of Donovan Hammon and Ziegelman Roach & Sotiroff, P.C., has been a Regent since 1975. Launched in 1983, the Campaign has so far raised more than $104 million, 90 percent of its $160 million goal. The initial efforts have been targeted at “major gifts” — those totaling $100,000 or more.

The special gifts initiative marks phase two of the three-tiered Campaign for Michigan. Under Roach’s leadership, volunteers will begin soliciting individual gifts of $10,000 and higher through the close of the Campaign in 1987.

Over the summer, Roach recruited five National Campaign Committee members to serve as local chairs for the fall special gifts efforts. They are Julius H. Beers, BS ’46, for Traverse City, Michigan; Sumner J. Foster, BA ’52, for Boston; Richard Katcher, BA ’41, JD ’43, for Cleveland; Regent Emeritus David Laro, BA ’64, for Flint, Michigan; and Thomas G. Van Gessel, BA ’57, for Fairfield, Connecticut.

Between now and early December, the University’s volunteer leaders will recruit additional volunteers and kick off special gift campaigns in their cities. Ultimately, volunteer networks will be organized in 30 to 40 cities across the country. The final phase, beginning in 1986, will include direct solicitation of all U-M alumni and friends.

The Campaign has two primary goals: to increase the University’s endowment for faculty support and student financial aid and to fund capital construction and renovation for teaching, research and service.

President Ford said that he was “delighted to welcome Tom Roach to this important position within the Campaign. "As a U-M Regent for more than a decade,” President Ford continued, “Tom has earned a reputation for dedication, hard work, and a commitment to maintaining the University’s high standards of excellence.”
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The Campaign has two primary goals: to increase the University’s endowment for faculty support and student financial aid and to fund capital construction and renovation for teaching, research and service.
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Between now and early December, the University’s volunteer leaders will recruit additional volunteers and kick off special gift campaigns in their cities. Ultimately, volunteer networks will be organized in 30 to 40 cities across the country. The final phase, beginning in 1986, will include direct solicitation of all U-M alumni and friends.

The Campaign has two primary goals: to increase the University’s endowment for faculty support and student financial aid and to fund capital construction and renovation for teaching, research and service.
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OLDER, HAPPIER AND WISER
Some students find age is to their advantage

Johanne Descoteaux pours tea and raises her voice to be heard over the sound of children playing.

"All my life, from the time I could hold a pencil, I've been interested in drawing," she says. "It was always understood in my family that I would go to art school." But after her parents divorced, there was no more talk of college. Right after high school, she married, then had two children.

Descoteaux, 30, is an alumna of the School of Art's class of '84 and now a graduate student there. She is one of the "non-traditional" students on campus — those at least three years older than their 18- to 21-year-old fellow students. These are students who, because they have experienced more of life, tend to have "found" themselves, know the jobs they want and have the discipline to reach their goals. They usually make high marks and are regarded as mentors by younger students. In recent years, they were officially recognized for the first time when the admissions office drew up new guidelines for older students.

Descoteaux enrolled in a community college seven years ago as a high school and, like most non-traditional students, transferred to the University of Michigan. "I didn't know if it was a silly idea, because I didn't know how I'd make a living with an art degree," she says. But he wanted to try, and this spring he received his bachelor of fine arts degree. After locating a studio he plans to begin graduate studies.

Eleanor M. Hendershot, a senior admissions counselor, says the U-M does not actively recruit non-traditional students because each year there are more qualified recent high school graduates than the University can admit. "The U-M has always encouraged the student body to be reflective of the community in general, and we have never recruited non-traditional students," she says. "The number of older, returning students has remained around 10 percent throughout the years, and we don't see that changing."

U-M PULLED OUT ALL THE STOPS IN GETTING NEW BACH ORGAN

By Anne Raeter

When the University organist Marilyn Mason sits down at the U-M's new baroque-style pipe organ, she is at the controls of a complex musical instrument so large that it can be entered, like a room, from a small door to her right. Some 1,858 pieces, including wood and tin pipes in orderly rows, rise above her, framed by highly ornamented gold and green cabinetry.

Mason determines which pipes will sound in two ways: by playing different notes on the organ's two manuals, or by pulling one or more of the 27 "stop" valves at the end of a given pipe. As "full and round in quality of sounds produced with manuals," the organ sound, as "full and round in their sound. The flute stops are velvety and mellow." In this new organ, the valves open as a result of mechanical action, just as they do in organs built in Bach's day. In a mechanical action instrument, striking and releasing a key moves a series of connected levers and rods, which open and close the end of a given pipe. These, known for producing the classic organ sound, are "full and round in their sound. The flute stops are velvety and mellow."

NOTE: The new organ and the ways
THE ‘TODAY’ SHOW came to the Michigan Diag on the chilly morning of Oct. 17. The NBC-TV program’s host, Bryant Gumbel, interviewed U-M President Harold T. Shapiro, who obviously weathered the make-up preparations quite well. The program focused on higher education at large public institutions like the U-M and smaller private schools typified by Brown University, where ‘Today’ hostess Jane Pauley talked with U-M counterparts.
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