Potential traumatic events (PTE), such as disasters, physical and sexual abuse or assault, motor vehicle accident, or unexpected/sudden death of a loved one, occur frequently and embody a distinctive set of stressors that have effects on many levels such as basic needs, functional impairment, physical health, and mental health (Kessler, Sonnega, Bromet, Hughes, & Nelson, 1995; Resnick, Kilpatrick, Dansky, Saunders, & Best, 1993). A growing literature has investigated the psychiatric correlates of PTEs (Galea, Nandi, & Vlahov, 2005; Kessler et al., 1995; Kilpatrick et al., 2003; Norris et al., 2002; Resnick et al., 1993), generally concluding that PTE exposure increases the risk for a variety of psychiatric phenotypes including posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and that risk is affected by a number of factors, such as severity of exposure (Acierno et al., 2007), interpersonal victimization (Resnick et al., 1993), and lack of social support (Brewin, Andrews, & Valentine, 2000). Further, most individuals who experience traumatic stressors never develop PTSD (Bonanno, 2004), and it is therefore also important to elucidate mechanisms of risk and resilience. Although considerable effort has been given to identify variables (e.g., individual difference variables and aspects of the environment) that are related to risk, a high percentage of variance remains unexplained (Bonanno, 2004). Following, there has been a growing interest in examining genetic determinates of PTSD (Lee et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2006); however, modern studies of genetic risk factors for PTSD remain few in number.

Most extant genetic research is guided by a “main effects” model that examines direct effects of either genotype or environment upon manifestation of mental disorders; in fact, out of the 11 case–control candidate gene studies conducted to date on PTSD, 9 have used this model, with mixed success (Koenen, 2007). As noted by Moffitt and colleagues “… it seems reasonable to suggest that whenever there is variation among human's psychological reactions to a major environmental pathogen for mental disorder, [gene-environment interactions] must be expected to some degree” (p. 473; Moffitt, Caspi, & Rutter, 2005). The gene by environment (G × E) interaction model posits that the effects of environmental stressors on mental disorder phenotypes are moderated by genotype. In contrast to the “main effect” model, the interaction model proposed by Moffitt et al. (2005) provides a new paradigm for the study of phenotypic expression that is highly suited for PTSD research, given that a criterion of the disorder is exposure to an environmental stressor.

Polymorphisms in the RGS2 (regulator of G-protein signaling 2) gene were found to be associated with anxious behavior in mice and anxiety in humans. We examined whether rs4606, a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) of RGS2, and social support moderated risk for PTSD in an epidemiologic sample. The study examines 607 adults from the 2004 Florida Hurricanes study who returned buccal DNA samples via mail. rs4606 was associated with increased symptoms of posthurricane PTSD symptoms under conditions of high hurricane exposure and low social support (P < .05). Further, this polymorphism was associated with lifetime PTSD symptoms under conditions of lifetime exposure to a potentially traumatic event, and low social support (P < .001). These gene by environment interactions remained significant after adjustment for sex, ancestry, and age. RGS2 rs4606 modifies risk of postdisaster and lifetime PTSD symptoms under conditions of high stressor exposure. This is the first demonstration of gene–environment interaction for this locus.
Two G × E studies of PTSD have been published. Binder et al. (2008) found that childhood sexual abuse interacted with FKBP5 to predict PTSD in adults. Kilpatrick et al. (2007) analyses of the 5-HTTLPR polymorphism replicated, and extended to PTSD, Caspi et al.’s (2003) model of a G × E effect, specifically the interaction between environmental variables (severity of disaster exposure and level of social support) and genotype afforded more power in the prediction of major depression and PTSD than did the main effect analyses. The present study examines an additional gene, regulator of G-protein signaling 2 (RGS2), utilizing the same sample.

G-protein coupled receptors comprise one of the largest groups of signaling proteins (Hollmann, Strumper, Serroder, & Durieux, 2005). G-protein coupled receptors are extracellular ligand proteins that alert the cell to certain changes in the intercellular environment through changes in their conformation (Hollinger & Helper, 2002). First, the binding induces an alteration in the conformation of the receptor. Second, coupling and activation of one (or more) G-proteins occurs. Third, these G-proteins then regulate events in the intercellular environment. G-proteins get their name because their primary action is with interactions of the guanine nucleotide (Hollmann et al., 2005). G-protein activation signals a cascade in vascular smooth muscle cell receptors that causes in increase in Ca2+ which then leads to vasoconstriction (Hollinger & Helper, 2002). Regulators of G-protein signaling bind to Go subunits and increase their GTPase activity which then attenuates downstream signaling (Hollinger & Helper, 2002). RGS2, a potent regulator that reduces G-protein activity, selectively inhibits Gqα (Heximer, 2004), thereby increasing GTPase activity and decreasing vasoconstriction (and lowering blood pressure). The RGS2 gene has been associated with anxiety in animal models and in human correlational studies. RGS2 knockout mice, both hetero- and homozygous, have increased hypertension (Heximer et al., 2003), increased excitability in CA1 neurons in the hippocampus (Oliviera-dos-Santos et al., 2000), and anxiety (Yalcin et al., 2004). A targeted genome screen found modest evidence for linkage between markers including RGS2 and anxiety disorder proneness (Smoller et al., 2001). Recently, Leygraf et al. (2006) found that polymorphisms in RGS2 were associated with panic disorder in humans, with the strongest association being observed for a haplotype containing SNPs rs4606 (C as risk allele) and rs3767488. Smoller et al. (2008) also found significant associations between rs4606 and anxiety-related temperament, personality, and brain function, but this paper found G to be the risk allele. Cui et al. (2007) found a significant difference in the distribution of rs4606 genotypes in suicide victims versus controls. Variation in rs4606 is of particular interest because it is associated with variation in RGS2 mRNA expression (Semplicini et al., 2006).

The present study examined the association between rs4606, a polymorphism in RGS2, and PTSD in an epidemiologic sample of adults exposed to the 2004 Florida hurricanes. Following previous research (Leygraf et al., 2006), we hypothesized that the C allele, in comparison to the G allele, of RGS2 would increase risk for PTSD symptoms under conditions of high environmental stress (high disaster/PTE exposure and low social support).

1. Method

1.1. Materials and methods

1.1.1. Data collection and sample

This study examines data from 607 participants in the 2004 Florida Hurricanes Study who completed structured telephone interviews and provided saliva samples that yielded genotype data for the rs4606 polymorphism. Methodological details for the Florida Hurricanes Study are provided elsewhere (Acierno, Ruggiero, Kilpatrick, Resnick, & Galea, 2006; Galea et al., 2006; Kilpatrick et al., 2007). Demographic characteristics of the 607 sample participants were as follows: 64.9% women; 35.1% men; 22.6% ≤59 years old; 77.4% ≥60 years old; 90% European-American (EA), 3.9% African-American (AA), 3.9% Hispanic, 1.7% other,.5% missing self-report race/ethnicity data. Participants provided verbal consent and they were sent letters documenting the elements of verbal consent and providing them with contact information for the principal investigator. Participants received $20 for completion of the interview and saliva sample.

1.1.2. Assessment procedure

A probability sample of adults from telephone households in 38 counties in Florida within 6–9 months of the 2004 hurricane season, between April 5 and June 12, 2005 completed telephone interviews. Sample selection and telephone interviewing via random-digit-dial procedures were performed by Schulman, Ronca, Bucuvalas, Inc., an experienced research firm (Galea et al., 2002). Assessments were conducted via highly structured interview using computer-assisted telephone interview (CATI) methodology that allows for considerable quality control.

PTSD was assessed with the National Women’s Study (NWS) PTSD module, an often used measure in population-based research. This module has concurrent validity and reliability (e.g., temporal stability, internal consistency, diagnostic reliability; Ruggiero, Rheingold, Resnick, Kilpatrick, & Galea, 2006). The NWS PTSD module was validated in the DSM-IV PTSD field trial against the structured clinical interview for DSM (SCID), yielding an interrater κ coefficient of .85 for the diagnosis of PTSD and comparisons between scores on the NWS PTSD module and the SCID yielded a κ coefficient of .71 for current and .77 for lifetime PTSD (Kilpatrick et al., 1998). Research also has found considerable correspondence between telephone and in-person administration of this module (Acierno, Resnick, Kilpatrick, & Stark-Riener, 2003). We assessed both lifetime PTSD symptoms and PTSD symptoms since the hurricanes, both of which had excellent reliability (.86 and .87, respectively).

Stressor exposure (hurricane exposure for posthurricane PTSD and PTE exposure for lifetime PTSD) and social support were previously found to be associated with PTSD symptom level in this sample (Acierno et al., 2007) and were therefore included as covariates in the current regression models of posthurricane symptom count.

Hazard exposure was assessed with indicators identified in previous research (Freedy, Saladin, Kilpatrick, Resnick, & Saunders, 1994) on the basis of relation to posthurricane psychological functioning: (1) being personally present during hurricane-force winds or major flooding; (2) 1 week or more of lack of adequate access to food, water, electricity, telephone, or clothing; (3) losses or significant damage in two or more of five predefined categories of hurricane-related loss (i.e., furniture, meaningful possessions, automobile, pets, crops, trees, and garden); (4) 1 week or longer home displacement; (5) un-reimbursed losses of $1000 or more. High hurricane exposure was defined as having experienced two or more of these indicators (45.8% of sample).

PTE exposure was measured using behaviorally specific language that asked if participants had been exposed to five types of events and during this exposure feared that they would be killed or seriously injured: (1) natural disaster (other than 2004 hurricanes); (2) serious work accident; (3) attacked with a gun; (4) attacked without a weapon; (5) military combat or being in a war zone.

Social support during the 6 months prior to the hurricanes was measured via a modified version of the Medical Outcomes Study module (Sherbourne & Stewart, 1991) that assesses emotional,
we tested all higher order interactions between among those who provided valid genotype data for rs4606 in 2. Results for lifetime symptom count). for posthurricane symptoms and social support and PTE exposure stress exposure variables (social support and hurricane exposure between adjusting for sex, age, ancestral proportion scores, social support and PTSD symptoms). Details on response rate and associations of participation are described elsewhere (Galea et al., 2006).

1.2. Collection of DNA samples

A mouthwash protocol was used to obtain saliva samples that were returned via mail to the Yale University laboratory for DNA extraction and analyses. Samples were provided by 651 participants (42.2% response rate). Valid genetic ancestry data were available for 623 cases (95.7%), and valid genotype data were available for 607 cases (93.2%). The likelihood of submitting a saliva sample did not differ in relation to key variables (i.e., hurricane exposure, sex, social support, and PTSD symptoms). Details on response rate and associations of participation are described elsewhere (Galea et al., 2006).

1.3. Genotyping

PUREGENE kits (Genta Systems, Minneapolis) were used to extract DNA from saliva. rs4606 was genotyped with a fluorogenic 5’ nuclease assay method (“TaqMan”) via the ABI PRISM 7900 Sequence Detection System (ABI, Foster City, CA, USA). Genotypes were assayed twice and discordant genotypes were discarded.

Further, 36 markers were genotyped to yield ancestry information (Stein, Schork, & Gelernter, 2004; Yang, Zhao, Kranzler, & Gelernter, 2005a, 2005b). One additional highly informative SNP marker, SLC24A5 (Lamason et al., 2005), was added to the panel.

1.4. Ancestry proportion scores

Ancestry proportion scores were created to control for spurious associations that can occur from variation in allele frequency and prevalence of trait by population. Bayesian cluster analysis was used to estimate participants’ ancestries with the marker panel described above on the procedures and STRUCTURE software developed by Falush, Stephens, and Pritchard (2003) and Pritchard and Rosenberg (1999). For the STRUCTURE analysis, the “admixture” and “allele frequencies correlated” models were specified and we utilized 100,000 burn-in and 100,000 Markov chain Monte Carlo iterations.

1.5. Statistical analyses

The prevalence of posthurricane PTSD diagnosis in this sample was 3.6%. Due to the relatively low prevalence of PTSD and to maximize power for interaction analyses, we used symptom counts as our outcome variable. We used correlational analyses to test whether the rs4606 polymorphism in RGS2 was associated with PTSD symptoms. \( \chi^2 \) analyses determined if genotype was related to any of the stressor variables. Linear regression analyses determined whether any observed association persisted after adjusting for sex, age, ancestral proportion scores, social support and stress exposure. To determine whether the association between RGS2 and PTSD was modified by level of stress exposure, we tested all higher order interactions between RGS2 genotype and stress exposure variables (social support and hurricane exposure for posthurricane symptoms and social support and PTE exposure for lifetime symptom count).

2. Results

Average number of posthurricane PTSD symptoms reported among those who provided valid genotype data for rs4606 in RGS2 (N = 607) was 1.62 (S.D. = 2.76), and the average number of lifetime PTSD symptoms reported was 3.16 (S.D. = 3.61). Thirty-seven percent of individuals (N = 224) endorsed low social support, and was associated with posthurricane and lifetime PTSD symptoms (\( r^2 = .24, .28 \), respectively, \( P \lt .001 \)). Similarly, stress exposure was associated with PTSD symptom counts. High hurricane exposure was reported by 44.6% of participants with valid RGS2 data (N = 271), and was related to posthurricane PTSD symptoms (\( r = .14, P = .001 \)). PTE exposure was reported by 45% of participants (N = 273), and was related to lifetime PTSD symptoms (\( r = .40, P = .001 \)).

RGS2 SNP rs4606 genotype frequencies were in Harvey–Weinberg equilibrium. Genotype frequencies were similar to those reported by Leygraf et al. (2006): GG\( N = 273 \), and was related to lifetime PTSD symptoms (\( r = .39, P < .05 \)).

As expected, there was no association between RGS2 genotype and either exposure variable (low social support: \( \chi^2 = .03, df = 1, ns \); high hurricane exposure: \( \chi^2 = 1.44, df = 1, ns \); PTE exposure: \( \chi^2 = .12, df = 1, ns \ ).

Ancestral proportion scores were weakly correlated with posthurricane symptom count, \( r = .09, P < .05 \), and therefore ancestral proportion was controlled for in regression analyses. There was no significant correlation between ancestral proportion score and lifetime PTSD symptom count, \( r = .06, ns \), thus, even without correction based on ancestry coefficients, population stratification is not a potential cause of false positive findings for lifetime count.

The final regression models for posthurricane PTSD symptoms and lifetime PTSD symptoms are shown in Table 1. Neither of the

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>( \beta )</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>( \beta )</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>.77</td>
<td>.44</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>.83</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age less than 60 years</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.59</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.82</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ancestral proportion score</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>.89</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stressor (hurricane or PTE)</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>.83</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low social support</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>.93</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>.86</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RGS2 genotype</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>.89</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RGS2 by stressor</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.20</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Low risk group is those with ‘G/ C’ genotype, low social support, and high PTE. Medium risk group is those with ‘C/ C’ genotype, low social support, and low PTE. High risk group is those with ‘C/ C’ genotype, low social support, high PTE. This is the same pattern found for posthurricane symptoms.
two-way interactions (genotype by hurricane exposure and genotype by social support) was a significant predictor of posthurricane or lifetime PTSD symptoms. The three-way interaction (genotype by hurricane exposure by social support), however, was significantly predictive in both models (posthurricane PTSD symptoms: $\beta = .58, t = 2.18, P = .029$; lifetime PTSD symptoms: $\beta = 1.12, t = 3.71, P < .001$) (see Fig. 1). The same pattern of results was found when conducting these analyses with the sample restricted to Caucasian participants, and when controlling for the effects of the SHTTPLR genotype.

3. Discussion

Our results demonstrate that rs4606, a polymorphism in the RGS2 gene, moderates the risk of PTSD symptom severity under high environmental stress conditions of low social support and high hurricane exposure (or PTE). To our knowledge, this is the first study to date that examines high hurricane exposure (or PTE). To our knowledge, this is the first RGS2 gene, moderates the risk of PTSD symptom severity under...


