FIBER-OPTIC MULTIPHOTON FLUORESCENCE SPECTROSCOPY FOR BIOSENSING AND IN VIVO FLOW CYTOMETRY

by

Yu-Chung Chang

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Electrical Engineering) in The University of Michigan 2009

Doctoral Committee:

Professor Theodore B. Norris, Chair Professor James R. Baker Jr. Assistant Professor Tal Eliezer Carmon Associate Research Scientist Jing Yong Ye

To my Mom

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DE	DICATION	ii
LIS	T OF FIGURES	vi
ABS	STRACT	xiv
CH/	APTER	
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Motivation	1
	1.2 Dissertation overview	4
	1.3 Reference	6
2	TWO-PHOTON OPTICAL FIBER FLUORESCENCE PROBE	9
	2.1 Introduction	9
	2.2 The experimental method and apparatus	10
	2.3 Delivery of femtosecond pulses through optical fibers	13
	2.4 Excitation and collection of two-photon-induced fluorescence through the same fiber	15
	2.4.1 Trade-off between excitation efficiency and collection efficiency	15
	2.4.2 Double-clad fibers	18
	2.4.3 Enhanced sensitivity with dual-clad fibers	19
	2.5 Time-correlated single-photon-counting and time-resolved measurements	22
	2.5.1 Time-resolved measurements	22
	2.5.2 Time Correlated Single Photon Counting (TCSPC)	23
	2.6 References	26

3	ENHANCED TWO-PHOTON FLUORESCENCE BIOSENSING USING A DOUBLE-CLAD OPTICAL FIBER PROBE	28
	3.1 Introduction	28
	3.2 Methods and materials	30
	3.2.1 The TPOFF system and the fibers	30
	3.2.2 Biocompatible dendrimer nanoparticles and conjugates	30
	3.2.3 Cell culture and in vitro studies:	35
	3.2.4 Animal model and <i>in vivo</i> studies:	35
	3.3 Results and discussions	36
	3.3.1 Enhanced sensitivity by double-clad fiber	36
	3.3.2 In vitro cell measurements	38
	3.3.3 In vivo targeted folic acid receptor-expressing tumor cells	41
	3.3.4 <i>In situ</i> quantification of fluorophores with different emission wavelength	42
	3.4 Conclusion	44
	3.5 References	45
4	FIBER-OPTIC TWO-PHOTON FLUORESCENCE CORRELATION SPECTROSCOPY	47
	4.1 Introduction	47
	4.2 Theory of two-photon excited fluorescence correlation spectroscopy	49
	4.3 Methods and materials	51
	4.3.1 Two-photon fiber FCS apparatus	51
	4.3.2 Enhanced FCS sensitivity by the double-clad fiber	52
	4.3.3 Sample preparation	53
	4.4 Diffusion measurements	54
	4.4.1 Two-photon fiber FCS measurements	54
	4.4.2 Accuracy of the measurements	56
	4.4.3 Sensitivity of the technique	57
	4.4.4 Reducing the background from the fiber	59
	4.4.5 Reduce the excitation volume by a micro-lens	60
	4.5 FCS in a flow system	61
	4.5.1 Flow velocity measurement	61
	4.5.2 Cell size measurement with FCS	62

	4.5.3 Use FCS to distinguish cells	63
	4.6 Conclusion	64
	4.7 References	65
5	FIBER-OPTIC INTRAVITAL TWO-PHOTON FLOW CYTOMETR	Y67
	5.1 Introduction	67
	5.2 Flow cytometry	69
	5.2.1 Conventional flow cytometry [13]	69
	5.2.2 two-photon flow cytometry	71
	5.2.3 Two-photon free-space in vivo flow cytometry	72
	5.3 In vitro Study	73
	5.3.1 Fiber-optic flow cytometry with single-cell resolution	73
	5.3.2 Detecting GFP-expressing cells in whole blood	80
	5.3.3 Dual- labeled fluorescent cells	86
	5.3.4 Dual-channel detection of dual labeled cells	89
	5.3.5 Detection efficiency on different fluorescent protein cells	96
	5.3.6 Effect of flow velocity on the detection rate	98
	5.3.7 Flow speed measurement with FCS	100
	5.4 In vivo study	102
	5.4.1 Methods of <i>in vivo</i> study	102
	5.4.2 Cell circulating dynamics monitored by fiber-optic <i>in vivo</i> cytometry	104
	5.4.3 Monitoring GFP-expressing cells in vivo	106
	5.5 Conclusions	110
	5.6 References	112
6	SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK	114
	6.1 Summary	114
	6.2 Future improvements and potential applications	119
	6.2.1 Miniaturized probe for in vivo microscopy and endoscopy	119
	6.2.2 FCS for cell measurements	120
	6.2.3 Use of low repetition rate, higher energy pulses to increase the two-photon fluorescence signal	121
	6.2.4 Compact ultrafast fiber lasers to reduce system size	122
	6.3 References	123

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 Experimental setup of two-photon excitation optical fiber fluorescence (TPOFF) probe.	11
Figure 2.2 Schematic diagram of the dispersion precompensator. The grating has a groove density of 2000 lines/mm. The achromatic lens has a focal length of 12.5 cm.	12
Figure 2.3 (a) Spectrogram of Mira output measured by GRENOUILLE. (b) Spectrogram of the pulse at the output of the TPOFF probe. (c) Spectrum of the pulses generated by the Mira, measured by a spectrometer (Ocean Optics Inc.). (d) Temporal pulse profile right after the Mira (red solid line) and at the output of the TPOFF probe (black dashed line), measured by GRENOUILLE	13
Figure 2.4 Power dependence of the TPF photon counts when dipping a SMF in 100nM 6T. The black squares are measured TPF. The dashed red line is the fit of the measurement using the points before 20mW. The deviation from the quadratic dependence law expected in two-photon-induced fluorescence is seen for laser power higher than 24mW.	15
Figure 2.5 A comparison of calculated TPF detection efficiency between a single-mode fiber and a step-index multimode fiber. The parameters used in the calculation are $\tau_{in}=80$ fs, $\beta 2=35000$ fs ² /m. The single-mode fiber has a mode field diameter of 4.1 μ m and NA of 0.16. The step-index multimode fiber has a core size of 200 μ m and NA of 0.48 [5].	17
Figure 2.6 Schematic diagram to illustrate the structure of a double-clad fiber (DCF) and the use of a DCF for simultaneous excitation light delivery (red) and fluorescence light collection (green). The excitation light is propagating from left to right in the core and the fluorophores are excited at the end of the fiber. The excited two-photon fluorescence can be collected back through both the core and the inner cladding of the fiber, as illustrated in the diagram by green arrows.	18
Figure 2.7 Geometry diagram for the calculation of collection efficiency.	19
Figure 2.8 Calculated enhancement of the detected TPF with a dual-clad fiber over a single-mode fiber as a function of the NA of the inner cladding of the DCF.	21
D_{C1}	_ 1

Figure 2.9 The two-photon fluorescence signals measured by single-mode fiber and double-clad fibers. The TPOFF counts were measured by inserting the fiber probe into 100-nM 6Tamra solutions	21
Figure 2.10 Detector signal for fluorescence detection at a pulse repetition rate of 80 MHz. (from BH handbook [22])	23
Figure 2.11 Principle of TCSPC (BH handbook)	24
Figure 2.12 (a) Instrument response function (IRF) of our system. (b) Fluorescence lifetime measurements on Alexa-Flour 594 and 660, black and blue lines respectively, with the TPOFF probe system.	25
Figure 3.1 Synthesis and structure of PAMAM dendrimer nanoparticles up to generation 3.	31
Figure 3.2 Synthetic scheme of a generation 5 PAMAM dendrimer (G5)-based nanoparticle containing folic acid (FA) as the targeting molecule and 6-TAMRA as the sensing fluorophore for targeting FA-receptor-expressing KB cells and tumors.	34
Figure 3.3 (a) TPOFF measurements of standard solutions of GF-6T-FA (triangles) and G5-6T (squares) using SMF and DCF probes. The TPOFF photon counts are measured by putting the fibers in the solutions directly. The data shown are the mean ± SE of four to five measurements for each concentration. (b) Data corrected for the detection background.	37
Figure 3.4 <i>In vitro</i> study of FA receptor-expressing KB cells targeted with fluorescent nanoparticles of (a) G5-6T-FA in comparison with non-targeted (b) G5-6T measured with confocal fluorescence microscopy. The blue color indicates DAPI-stained nuclei, and the red dots represent 6-TAMRA on the dendrimer conjugates, which shows localization of G5-6T-FA primarily in the cytosolic compartment.	38
Figure 3.5 Comparison of the targeting of G5-6T-FA in KB cells determined by SMF and DCF probes. The KB cells were incubated with different concentrations of the conjugates for 1 hour. The cells were then rinsed and collected as cell pellets. The TPOFF counts were taken by inserting the fiber probes into multiple locations of the cell pellets. p <0.05 for all DCF points versus SMF, except for at zero concentration.	39
Figure 3.6 Flow cytometry measurements of the uptake of targeted (G5-6T-FA) and non-targeted (G5-6T) dendrimer conjugate nanoparticles in KB cells. The cells were maintained in FA-free medium and incubated in different concentrations of the respective conjugates for 1 hour.	40

(green bars) and SMF (blue bars) TPOFF probes. The KB tumors were developed in SCID mice and were intravenously injected with 15 nmols each of the targeted conjugate G5-6T-FA, the non-targeting conjugate G5-6T or their vehicle PBS (control). After 15 hours, the tumors were isolated and the TPOFF counts were taken in different internal regions of the tumor using the DCF or SMF-TPOFF probes. The data shown are mean ± SE of counts taken in four or five different regions of four tumors for each condition. (b) The counts corrected for the background fluorescence of the PBS tumor (control)	41
Figure 3.8 <i>In situ</i> quantification of HER2. Xenograft tumors were developed in mice using either Control (C) or HER2-expressing (H) MCA-207 Cells. The mice were injected with HN-AF594, HN-AF660, or their mixture. After 18 h, the tumor fluorescence were measured using the DCF-TPOFF probe. The concentration of each conjugates in tumor when administered together (right panel) is only half the values of that obtained when individually administered (left panel) due to the equal competition between the two conjugates for receptor binding.	43
Figure 4.1 (a)Experimental setup for the fiber-optic fluorescence correlation spectroscopy. (b) Cross-section geometry of the double-clad fiber used in this study	52
Figure 4.2 Fluorescence intensity fluctuation time trace of different size beads. Each point shown is the number of photons detected in 1 ms. The average count rates are 7.2, 5.7, 3.5, 2.2 kcps for 100 nm, 50 nm, 18 nm, 12 nm beads respectively.	54
Figure 4.3 (a) Fluorescence correlation curves of quantum dots (cyan diamond) and fluorescent nanospheres of radii 12 nm (black square), 18 nm (red circle), 50 nm (green upward triangle), and 105 nm (blue downward triangle). The solid curves are the corresponding fits. (b) The measured mean diffusion times versus nominal particle sizes as measured with TEM. The solid line is the theoretical prediction from the Stokes-Einstein relation.	56
Figure 4.4 The power dependence of the background photon counts when the fiber tip was put into pure MilliQ water. The abscissa represents the total output power at the probe end of the DCF, and the ordinate represents the detected photon counts per second. The solid line is the linear fit on the loglog plot, and the slope was found to be 1.7.	59
Figure 4.5 Time-resolved fluorescence lifetime measurements. The red trace is the background signal when putting the fiber tip into pure de-ionized water. The green trace is the fluorescence lifetime measurement when putting the	

TPOFF probe into 100-nM 6-TAMRA solution. The fiber length was 60 cm. The bandpass filter in front of the PMT was removed to illustrate the effect of the background of the fiber. The laser repetition rate was 76 MHz, therefore the time duration in each period was 13 ns.	.60
Figure 4.6 (a) FCS measurements on 500 nm microspheres with different flow rates. The flow velocity was controlled by a syringe pump. (b) The mean dwell time of the particles in the probe volume at different flow velocity.	.62
Figure 4.7 FCS measurements of differently labeled cells. The black line is normal MCA-207 cell with DiD labeled on the membrane. The green line is GFP-expressing MCA-207 cell with GFPs inside the cell. The flow velocity was \sim 4.2 mm/s.	.63
Figure 5.1 Schematic layout of a conventional flow cytometer (from Purdue University Cytometry Laboratories)	.70
Figure 5.2 Schematic diagram of the free space two-channel two-photon flow cytometry setup for ratiometric measurement demonstration.	.71
Figure 5.3 Schematic diagram of in vivo flow cytometry, from [13]	.72
Figure 5.4 Absorption and emission spectra of DiD and DiI. (Data downloaded from Invitrogen.com)	.73
Figure 5.5 Two-photon autofluorescence of human whole blood with the emission wavelength of tested fluorophores marked on it.	.74
Figure 5.6 Absorption spectrum of whole blood measured by a spectrometer	.74
Figure 5.7 Representative raw data of fluorescent dye labeled cells flowing in a vessel monitored by TPOFF probe. (a) Fluorescence photon counting time trace of DiD-labeled (upper panel, blue) and unstained (lower panel, green) MCA-207 cells suspended in PBS flowing in a 250μL inner diameter tube. The flow rate was 100μL/minute. Each time point represents the number of photons detected by the photon counting module in 1 ms. (b) DiD cell suspension in a mixture of 50% bovine whole blood and 50% PBS. (c) Fluorescence photon counting time trace of DiI-labeled (upper panel, blue) and unstained (lower panel, green) MCA-207 cells suspended in PBS flowing in a 250μL inner diameter tube. (d) DiI cell suspension in a mixture of 50% bovine whole blood and 50% PBS.	75
Figure 5.8 Detection rate and event brightness of DiD-labeled cells and DiI-labeled cells. (a) Detected events per minute for control cells, DiD cells and DiI cells in PBS and in 50% bovine whole blood, respectively. (b) Mean	

photons per detected cell for DiD-labeled (blue bar) and DiI-labeled (green bar) cell suspensions in PBS and in blood, respectively.
Figure 5.9 Two-photon cross-section of DiI. Black dots are two-photon cross-section. (Reproduced from reference [16])
Figure 5.10 Distribution of total photons in each peak detected in DiD cell events. (a) Cells flow in PBS. (b) Cells flow in 50% whole blood
Figure 5.11 Peak maximum distribution of DiD labeled cells flowing in PBS (bottom) and whole blood (top)
Figure 5.12 Reduced signal strength in blood using free-space detection. (a) Free space detection photon counting trace for DiD-labeled cells flowing in PBS (upper panel) and in blood (lower panel). (b) Comparison of the detected peak intensity (total photon counts) for cell suspensions in PBS and in blood [13]
Figure 5.13 (a) Average numbers of detected DiD-labeled cell in PBS (blue bar) and in whole blood (red bar). (b) Average numbers of detected GFP-expressing cells in PBS (green bar) and in whole blood (red bar)
Figure 5.14 Two-photon fluorescence brightness of 100% whole blood (red bar) and cell pellets of unstained MCA-207 cells (control, light yellow bar), GFP-expressing MCA-207 cells (green bar), and DiD-stained MCA-207 cells (blue bar). The measurements were taken by putting the TPOFF probe into the cell pellets or the whole blood with 20mW output power from the fiber
Figure 5.15 (a) Peak energy distribution of the detected GFP-expressing cells in PBS. (b) Peak energy distribution of the detected GFP-expressing cells in blood.
Figure 5.16 Peak maximum distribution of the events for GFP-expressing cells flowing in (a) blood and (b) PBS. The mean photon counts for the background for the blood was 14 photons with a standard deviation of about 4 counts. The peak detection threshold for the peaks in the blood was 26 photons. The mean photon count of the background for PBS was 2.5 photons, with a standard deviation of 1.6 photons. The peak detection threshold for the cell suspension in PBS was 9 photons.
Figure 5.17 Detected number of events for the DiD emission wavelength range (680/30 nm) (blue bars) and the GFP emission wavelength (510/50 nm) for the dual-labeled cell suspension in PBS and in blood.
Figure 5.18 Conventional flow cytometry measurements of DiD labeled GFP-expressing MCA-207 cells.

Figure 5.19 (a) Comparison of the average peak maximum of GFP-pressing only (green bars) cell suspension and GFP/DiD-dual-labeled (blue bars) cell suspension in PBS and in blood. (b) Comparison of the average total photons in each detected peak for GFP-expressing cells (green bars) and dual-labeled GFP/DiD cells (blue bars) in PBS and in blood.	88
Figure 5.20 Experimental setup for the dual-channel detection.	89
Figure 5.21 (a) Representative two channel detection photon counting traces of dual-labeled GFP/DiD cells flowing in a 250-µm tube. The blue line represents photons collected in the long channel (680/30 nm, DiD) and the green line represents photons collected in the short channel (510/50 nm, GFP). (b) Magnified view of the dual-channel detection raw data. Upper panel show the peaks detected in the short channel (GFP), and the lower panel shows the peaks detected in the long channel.	90
Figure 5.22 Event time courses in long channel (red bars) and short channel (Green bars)	91
Figure 5.23 (a) Peak energy distribution of the peaks counted in the long Channel (DiD). (b) Peak energy distribution of the peaks counted in the short Channel (GFP).	92
Figure 5.24 Peak maximum distribution of the (a) Long channel (DiD) and (b) Short channel (GFP). The detecting background threshold for the long channel and short channel was 25 and 12 photons, respectively	92
Figure 5.25 Scatter plot of the peak energy of correlated events in the short and long channels.	93
Figure 5.26 (a) Peak width distribution of the peaks detected in the long channel (DiD) and the short channel (GFP). (b) Scatter plot on the correlation of the peak widths between the long and short channels.	94
Figure 5.27 Number of cells detected per minute when flowing MCA-207 dual-labeled cells in a 500-µm tube under dual-channel detection to evaluate the detection efficiency of GFP cells in (a) PBS and in (b) blood. The detected GFP transfected cells in PBS is 49.5% while 96.2% of the detected GFP cells are correlated with a DiD event within a 10-ms interval. When flowing in 50% whole blood, the detected GFP cells are 33.3% of the DiD cells while 90.0% of the events in the GFP channel correlated with a DiD event in the long channel.	94
Figure 5.28 Detection rate for different fluorescent protein cell lines. The error bar shown is the standard deviation of the measurements	96
vai showh is the standard deviation of the measurements	70

Figure 5.29 Two-photon cross-section of five common fluorescent proteins: enhanced GFP (green, square), RFP (red, downward triangle), CFP (cyan, circle), YFP (yellow, upward triangle), and wild type GFP (black, diamond). (Reprinted with permission [21].)	97
Figure 5.30 Two-photon fluorescence in the short channel measured on undiluted bovine whole blood and cell pellets of unstained MCA-207 cells (control), DiD-stained and unstained GFP-transfected MCA-207 cells, DiD-stained and unstained GFP- transfected KB cells, and DiD-stained and unstained RFP-transfected KB cells.	98
Figure 5.31 The detected number of cells per minute versus the flow rate. DiD-labeled GFP-transfected MCA-207 cells were flowed in a 500-μm plastic tube. The concentration of the cells is 1 x 10 ⁶ /mL. The red line is the predicted number of cells to be detected using the average flow velocity and the cell concentration. The blue squares represent the number of events detected in the long channel (DiD). The green triangles represent the number of events detected in the short channel (GFP). The error bars are the standard errors of the measurements.	99
Figure 5.32 (a) Fluorescence correlation curves of detected events in the long channel under flow rates of 50 µL/min (black square), 100µL/min (green triangle), and 200µL/min (blue filled circle). (b) The mean dwell time (the lag time at which the correlation factor equals to 0.5) of the correlation curve versus the inverse of the flow rate.	.101
Figure 5.33 (a) Control traces of two-channel two-photon intravital fiber-optic flow cytometry when inserting the fiber probe into the left liver lobe of a mouse without injecting labeled cells in the mouse. Each time point is the number of photons detected by the TCSPC module in 1 ms. The green line represents the short wavelength channel (corresponding to the GFP emission wavelength). The blue line represents the number of photons collected in the long wavelength channel (corresponding to the DiD emission wavelength). (b) Magnified view of the control traces. The fluctuation in the short channel is due to the autofluorescence of the blood and the tissue	.103
Figure 5.34 Two-photon fiber-optic flow cytometry photon counting traces. The fiber probe was put on the left liver lobe of the mouse and 50 μL of DiD-stained normal MCA-207 cells were injected into the spleen of the mouse. The blue line is the trace of the long channel, and the green line represents the short channel. DiD events can be clearly identified between the fluctuations in the short channel. (a) Representative photon-counting time trace for the <i>in vivo</i> measurements in a 30-second period; the actual measurements were	

taken for more than 3 minutes for each data set. (b) The same time trace but only showing a time period of 10 seconds to illustrate the DiD events between the green fluctuation.	104
Figure 5.35 The absorption and depletion time course when injecting DiD-stained MCA-207 cells into the spleen of the mice.	105
Figure 5.36 (a) Detected events time course in the long channel after injecting $100~\mu L$ of DiD-labeled MCA-207 cells at a concentration of $50~x10^6$ /mL into the tail vein. Each bar represents the number of peaks counted in 10 seconds. (b) The depletion dynamics of the cell clear out from the circulation	106
Figure 5.37 In vivo interrogating of dual-labeled cells in two channels.	107
Figure 5.38 Scatter plot of the energy distribution of correlated peaks in the short channel and the long channel. Each dot in this graph represents a correlated peak between the short channel and the long channel.	108
Figure 5.39 Scatter plot of the energy distribution of the correlated peaks	108
Figure 5.40 The number of detected events in the long channel (red bars, DiD) and in both channels (green bars, GFP-DiD) per minute in the <i>in vivo</i> measurements. The fiber probe was put on the left lobe of the liver and the dual-labeled cells were injected through different places: tail vein, right lobe of the liver, spleen, and the heart.	110
or the fiver, spreen, and the near	

ABSTRACT

FIBER-OPTIC MULTIPHOTON FLUORESCENCE SPECTROSCOPY

FOR BIOSENSING AND IN VIVO FLOW CYTOMETRY

by

Yu-Chung Chang

Chair: Theodore B. Norris

There is considerable interest in developing real-time diagnostic tools to detect

disease signatures and therapeutic responses in vivo; however, tissue scattering and

absorption limit the capability of traditional optical techniques for quantitative biosensing

in deep tissue. To address these limitations, we have developed a fiber optic two-photon-

excited fluorescence probe system to enable deep tissue quantitative measurements in situ.

A high sensitivity double-clad fiber (DCF) probe was used to measure targeted delivery

of biocompatible dendrimer nanoparticles into tumor cells. In addition to quantification

of specific uptake of dendrimers in the tumor, the DCF fiber has adequate sensitivity to

quantify low levels of non-specific binding of dendrimers or tissue autofluorescence.

Exploiting the ability of two-photon excitation to excite multiple fluorophores, we were

able to quantify few-nanomolar concentrations of different emission-wavelength antibody

conjugates in mouse tumors.

xiv

To enable time-resolved spectroscopic measurements, a time-correlated single-photon counting (TCSPC) module was incorporated into the system. Fluorescence lifetime changes due to quenching of the fluophores on the dendrimer conjugates were observed. In addition, fiber optic-based two-photon fluorescence correlation spectroscopy (FCS) was demonstrated for the first time with this system. Fluorescent nanoparticles as small as 7 nm in radius were measured. By inserting the fiber probe into a flow system, we demonstrated the technique's ability to measure the flow velocity of fluorescent species. When applying the technique to measure flow cells, distinct FCS curve behaviors were observed in differently labeled cells; this may enable cell differentiation by *in situ* FCS measurements.

The minimally invasive nature of the single-fiber probe geometry is suitable for *in vivo* long-term monitoring of circulating cells, which is critical in the understanding of cancer metastasis. We used the fiber probe to implement flow cytometry *in vivo* and *in vitro*. With dual-channel detection, we conducted quantitative ratiometric measurements on the detection efficiency of dual-labeled fluorescent protein-expressing cells. In the *in vitro* studies, our system showed about one order of magnitude higher detection sensitivity for green fluorescent protein (GFP)-expressing cells in whole blood when compared to the sensitivity of the free-space detection scheme. In the *in vivo* studies, cancer cells were injected into different locations in mice, and the cell circulation dynamics were monitored. Similar detection efficiency was observed for the GFP-expressing cells. The enhanced detection sensitivity of GFP-expressing cells *in vivo* may enable the study of cancer metastasis in mouse models by fluorescence techniques.