Consistent and High Rates of Gene Transfer Can Be Obtained Using Flow-Through Transduction over a Wide Range of Retroviral Titers
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ABSTRACT

Flow-through transduction methods have been developed to overcome physical limitations imposed by Brownian motion on retroviral delivery. This method uses net fluid flow of retroviral supernatants through a porous membrane on which the target cells are placed. It is shown that in comparison to static transduction methods, flow-through transductions have the following advantages: (i) flow-through transductions lead to transduction rates that exceed those obtained by static transduction; (ii) flow-through transductions lead to high transduction rates even at low viral concentrations, eliminating many of the concerns associated with the production of high-titer virus supernatants; (iii) flow-through transductions are insensitive to viral titers, eliminating the need to produce consistently retroviral supernatants at given virus concentrations; (iv) flow-through transductions can be carried out without the use of polycations, such as polybrene; and (v) the volume of viral supernatants needed for gene transfer can be sharply reduced. Taken together, these advantages of flow-through transductions are likely to lead to their widespread use for gene transfer work, both in research and clinical settings.

OVERVIEW SUMMARY

Flow-through transduction provides a means by which high rates of gene transfer can occur without using high titers of virus vector. Reproducibly high numbers of transduced cells can be obtained with a wide range of virus titers, thus relaxing the requirement of set (high) titers within a transduction protocol. Incorporating flow-through transductions within clinical applications of gene therapy may also obviate the need for large volumes of high-titer virus produced by vector producer cell line cultures.

INTRODUCTION

RETROVIRUSES ARE THE CURRENT VEHICLE OF CHOICE for stable gene delivery and expression in target cells (Ausubel, 1993), especially for the purpose of gene therapy (Crystal, 1995; Hodgson, 1995; Miller, 1992a; Mulligan, 1993). Retrovirus-mediated gene transfer is typically carried out using static transduction protocols, where a liquid layer containing the retrovirus is placed on top of a bed of target cells. The physics of this transduction system may be described by three processes occurring simultaneously (Fig. 1): (i) Brownian motion of the retrovirus, (ii) decay of the retrovirus, and (iii) adsorption, or capture, of the retrovirus by the target cell. A retrovirus is a colloidal particle with a density similar to that of tissue culture medium, 1.16–1.18 g/ml (Lowy, 1985). Its root mean square displacement (l) by Brownian motion over time (t) can be described by (Einstein, 1905):

\[ l = \sqrt{2Dt} \]  

where \( D \) is the diffusion coefficient. The numerical value of the diffusion constant for a retrovirus can be estimated from the Stokes-Einstein equation (e.g., Cussler, 1984) to be approximately \( 6.5 \times 10^{-8} \) cm²/sec using a viral diameter of 100 nm (Dubois-Dalq et al., 1984).

Retroviral half-lives \( (t_{0.5}) \) are generally short (Levin and
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cell lines. With the advent of new techniques for efficiently con-

centrating a retrovirus solution (Paul et al., 1993; Kotani et al.,

1994), the number of infectious retrovirus particles per unit vol-

tume of solution can be increased up to 10-30-fold. However,

there remains the significant problem of variable viral titers pro-

duced by most producer cell lines (Miller, 1992b; Paul et al.,

1993), making it difficult to reproduce yields from different

preparations of virus solution. This variability may be addressed

on a case-by-case basis using virus concentration methods, but

combined with the large volumes of virus vector needed for

clinical trials (Kotani et al., 1994), and the time consumed by

adjusting the concentrations, the variability in virus yield makes

the quality of virus solution very difficult to achieve from a

virus production standpoint.

The limitations of Brownian motion can be overcome by di-

recting the motion of the virus toward the target cells. Such a

directed motion can be achieved by fluid flow, where retrovirus

solvent is passed through a porous membrane that supports the

target cells, leading to significant increases in the number of

successful gene transfer events. This procedure is termed "flow-

through transduction." Using this mode of transduction, the suc-

cess of retroviral delivery is no longer dependent on high viral

titers, and thus will not necessarily be limited by low retrovi-

tal titers. In the present study, the two methods of virus expo-

sure, static and flow-through (Fig. 2A, B), were compared in

their ability to deliver the virus to the target cells such that suc-

cessful gene transfer occurred. Using static transduction meth-

ods, the number of successfully transduced cells is expected to

depend on the virus concentration (Belmont et al., 1988; Lynch

and Miller, 1991; Hughes et al., 1992; Buchschacher, 1993;

Kotani et al., 1994), the number of available target cells (Lynch

The importance of distance between the virus particle and

target is depicted in Fig. 1, which shows a retrovirus particle's

Brownian motion close to the target cell bed (d1) and far from

the target cell bed (d2). The retroviruses close to the target bed

are able to strike it many times, greatly increasing the chance

of being captured by a target cell receptor. The retroviruses far

away from the target may travel the same mean distance (l),

but decay before being adsorbed by the target cells. Thus, by

relying on Brownian motion to deliver the retrovirus to the tar-

get cells, as in static transductions, the rate of virus delivery is

in large part determined by the proximity of the available

vires to the target cell, and the time in which the majority of

vires are still active (the half-life).

Under such physical limitations, the number of virions reach-
ing the cell bed can be increased by increasing the retroviral

titers. However, high retroviral titers have proven difficult to

obtain from retroviral vector producer cell lines (Belmont et al.,

1988; Bodine et al., 1990; Lynch and Miller, 1991; Crystal,

1995), and low retroviral titers are generally believed to be a

major limitation of retrovirus-mediated gene transfer. Until re-

cently, these low virus titers were limited by the concentration

of virus that could be produced by retroviral vector producer
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and Miller, 1991), and the polybrene level (Kaplan et al., 1967; Cornetta and Anderson, 1989). Polycations such as polybrene are found to be necessary for static retroviral transduction to take place. polybrene, especially at high levels, may be toxic to some target cells, and thus has not been approved for clinical use. Thus, it is desirable to reduce the dependence of retroviral-mediated gene transfer on polybrene. The effects of these factors on gene transfer rates in static and flow-through transduction protocols need to be studied and contrasted. We present such a study herein.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture

The target cell line NIH-3T3 was seeded at 3,000 cells/cm^2 in either six-well plates (Costar, Cambridge, MA) or tissue culture membranes 1 day prior to transduction. Collagen-coated membranes (Transwell-COL™ cell culture inserts from Costar) were used. The Transwell-COL™ membranes are of the depth (filter) membrane type with a pore size rating of 0.4 μm, a diameter of 24.5 mm, and a neutral charge. The estimated porosity of the Transwell-COL™ membrane is ~50–60%. The producer cell line used was kindly provided by Dr. James Wilson (construction of a similar vector is described in Wilson et al., 1988) and was produced by transfecting a pMFG vector containing a lacZ gene into 2/CRIP (Danos and Mulligan, 1988). The retrovirus yielded from the producer cell line belonged to the murine leukemia virus (MuLV) family. All cell lines were grown with 10% calf serum supplement (GIBCO, Grand Island, NY) in DMEM and were cultured at 37°C and 5% CO2.

Retrovirus supernatant

Replication-defective retrovirus producer cells were thawed every 6 weeks, grown in 10-ml tissue culture dishes (Falcon, Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ), and cultured as described above. Medium that was conditioned for 24 hr by a confluent monolayer of producer cells was filtered through 0.4-μm pore-sized filters (low protein binding Sterile Acrodisc®, Gelman, Ann Arbor, MI). The retroviral vector used did not contain a secondary marker gene (such as one providing for neomycin resistance), and virus concentration was based on virus medium harvested from producer cells (grown as described above), which was given a unitless concentration value of 1.0. Variable retroviral concentrations were achieved by diluting this virus medium (relative retroviral concentration = 1.0) with growth medium. polybrene (Aldrich, Milwaukee, WI) was added to 0.105 liter gave a relative retroviral concentration of 14.3. The concentrated retroviral supernatant was also filtered through 0.4-μm pore-sized filters (Gelman, Ann Arbor, MI). Variable retroviral concentrations were achieved by diluting the concentrated virus supernatant (relative retroviral concentration = 14.3) with growth medium (10% serum). Because some virus activity was lost upon concentration (i.e., recovery rates were usually less than 100% and typically 50%), the viral activity of the solution diluted from a concentrated stock may not be the same as that from unconcentrated virus solution. For example, the activity of a solution diluted to relative retroviral concentration of 1.0 from 14.3 may not be the same as the original virus harvest (relative retroviral concentration designated as 1.0). Thus, the virus solutions used for each concentration value were derived from the same stock of solution (here, the relative retroviral concentration was 14.3). Polybrene (Aldrich) was added to the solutions at 4 μg/ml.

Static transductions

Medium was removed from target cell cultures and replaced by 2 ml of virus supernatant. (A schematic of the procedure shown in Fig. 2A.) The cultures were then incubated for the determined transduction time (8–9 hr, as specified in the legend), after which static transduction was stopped by removing retrovirus solution and adding fresh growth medium. Cultures were assayed for expression of transduced gene 3–4 days later. Because there was no significant difference between the growth of transduced cells and mock-transduced cells (Chuck, 1995), the transduction efficiencies were expected to remain the same throughout the period of culture.

Flow-through transductions

Virus medium flowed through the seeded Transwell-COL™ membranes at ~1 ml/hr for up to 9 hr at 37°C. Gravity was used to induce the flow. (Schematic shown in Fig. 2B.) (Flow times are specified in legend). All transductions were done in parallel with static transductions. Flow-through transductions were stopped by removing retrovirus solution from the reser-
voir above the target cell bed and adding fresh growth medium. Cultures were left to incubate (without media flow) for 3–4 days until the time of assay.

Flow cytometry

The product of the transduced gene, β-galactosidase (β-Gal), reacts with fluorescein di-β-o-galactopyranoside (FDG) to form a product that is detectable using flow cytometry. To determine the percentage of cells infected, the cells were prepared in the following manner. Each cell culture insert was washed three times with 2 ml of Hanks’ balanced salt solution (HBSS) and the cells removed by 1 ml of trypsin (GIBCO) exposure. Fresh growth medium was then used to resuspend and wash the cells. Reagents from the FluoReporter lacZ gene detection kit from Molecular Probes (Eugene, OR) were used to prepare and stain the cells. The cells were incubated in a 37°C water bath for 5 min and then loaded with substrate by hypotonic shock as follows: 50 μl of 2 mM FDG was added to each tube at 37°C and left to incubate for 90 sec. The tubes were then immersed into ice, and 450 μl of ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) with human IgG (Sigma) and 1 mg/ml propidium iodide (PI) was added. Samples tubes were kept in ice until time of assay.

A Coulter EPICS flow cytometer was used to measure percentage of cells transduced. The following three selection criteria were used. First, single cells were selected from a 90LS-FALS 2D dot plot and used to create a red (PI) fluorescence histogram. Second, this PI histogram was used to select live cells based on their low PI signal. Next, β-Gal expression from these live cells was indicated by amount of signal on a fluorescein fluorescence histogram (Fig. 3), where the fluorescence channel number indicates the degree of fluorescein fluorescence. In transduced cell populations, these fluorescein histograms usually consisted of two peaks: one representing cells positive for β-Gal expression, the other representing cells negative for β-Gal expression. The location of the lower fluorescence peak corresponded to the single peak observed with the autofluorescence (no FGD staining) and the mock transduction controls. The third and final selection was to measure percentage of cells in the positive peak (Fig. 3), calculated by dividing the area in the positive fluorescence (transduction) peak by the total histogram area. Transduction efficiency is denoted by percentage of cells transduced. All samples were assayed within 6 hr after staining. Replicate samples were run in the latter half of this period, and early samples were rerun at the end. No differences in fluorescein signals were observed as a function of time of assay.

RESULTS

Important to retrovirus vector use, we show that increased virus concentration will not always lead to increased transduction rate. Rather, there is an optimal retroviral concentration for gene transfer, after which gains in transduction no longer occur with increased virus concentration. Second, we show the number of cells transduced depends on viral titers and polybrene levels. These dependencies are compared using the two methods of transduction, flow-through and static.

Using static transduction methods, the number of cells transduced was found to depend strongly on the virus concentration (Fig. 4). As expected, starting with low virus concentration, the number of transduced cells increased with increasing virus concentration. However, this increase did not continue as the virus concentration reached higher levels. Rather, the number of transduced cells reached a maximum and declined thereafter with increasing virus concentration. Thus, over the virus concentration range examined there was an “optimal” virus concentration that resulted in the maximal number of transduced cells.

Transduction efficiency as a function of virus concentration was also found to depend strongly on polybrene concentration (Fig. 4). The polybrene concentrations used ranged from 0 to 22 μg/ml. Except in the absence of polybrene where no transduction occurred, the gene transfer rates showed the same dependence on viral concentration (as evidenced by the similar shaped profiles): An apparent peak in the number of successfully transduced cells occurred at 0.5 relative viral concentra-
FIG. 4. Static transduction: Effect of virus and polybrene concentrations. Gene transfer as indicated by percentage of cells transduced (% Transduced) was measured as a function of virus concentration and polybrene levels. Polybrene concentrations ranged from 0 to 22 μg/ml: (□) 0 μg/ml, (■) 4.4 μg/ml, (○) 13.2 μg/ml, (▲) 22 μg/ml. Only the static method of transduction was used here, and the transduction period was 8.5 hr. Each data point represents the average of two independent samples.

The percentage of cells transduced using both the flow-through and static methods of transduction was measured as a function of virus concentration (Fig. 5A). The virus concentrations used spanned a thousand-fold range. Using either method, an apparent peak occurred in each of the profiles of number of transduced cells (Fig. 5A). These apparent peak points represent the maximum number of transduced cells achieved with each delivery method, and can each be associated with "optimal" virus concentrations. Unlike the results shown in Fig. 4, it is not clear whether these apparent peaks in Fig. 5 represent the beginnings of plateaus or true peaks.

There was a 10-fold difference between the optimal virus concentrations using the two different modes of transduction (Fig. 5): 0.6 relative concentration units for flow-through compared with 6 relative concentration units for the static transductions. There was also an approximately 1.5-fold increase in the maximum number of transduced cells: 90% of the cells were transduced using flow-through and 58% for static. It should be noted that different batches of virus were used in the experiments described in Figs. 4 and 5. Although the virus solution for each experiment was prepared as described in Materials and Methods, the activity of each viral preparation can vary, and relative concentration values may not correspond in absolute activities between experiments. The greater than 10-fold difference between static transduction peak locations in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 was attributed to variation in virus activity between the viral preparations used.

For a given virus concentration and target cell number, the number of cells transduced using the flow-through method was always higher than using the static method (Fig. 5). The enhancement in the number of transduced cells was greatest at the lowest virus concentrations used (Fig. 5B). Importantly, the flow-through method resulted in a consistently high rate of transduction over a wide range (more than two logs) of virus concentration. No differences in cell growth among the different virus concentration samples or between the methods of transduction were observed at time of assay (3–4 days post-transduction).

Not only was the flow-through transduction method relatively insensitive to virus concentration, but unlike the static method, it did not require polybrene to obtain high rates of gene
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of polybrene levels, but higher polybrene levels became much less efficacious. As ex­
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It has been documented that the number of transduced cells
depends linearly on retrovirus concentration at low titers (e.g.,
Lauffenburger and Linderman, 1993). In such cases, the ligand
concentration is expected to affect the degree of receptor cross­
linking (Wickham et al., 1990; Lauffenburger and Linderman,
1993). In other ligand-binding systems, different degrees of cross­
linking have been observed to lead to different cellular responses
(e.g., MacGlashan et al., 1985; Baird et al., 1988). Further, it has
been proposed that the number of receptors available for bind­
ing is determined by the relative rates of receptor internaliza­tion
and subsequent receptor recovery (Zigmond et al., 1982). The
extent of receptor recovery in polymorphonuclear leukocyte cells
was found to increase, peak, then decrease with ligand concen­
tration (Zigmond et al., 1982). Although the mechanism(s) by
which a retrovirus binds and internalizes has not been fully de­
\_fined, optimum relationships found in multivalent ligand bind­
ing systems may apply to retroviral entry, and thus determine the
probability of successful gene transfer.

The availability of receptors on the cell determines the
amount of ligand, or viruses, that can bind. Polybrene is be­
lieved to act to reduce electrostatic repulsion between the neg­
atively charged bilipid layers on the virus and the target cell
(Coelen et al., 1983; Aubin et al., 1994). The effect of poly­
brene on static transductions was found to be significant (Fig.

FIG. 6. A. Flow-through and static transduction: Transduction
kinetics in the absence of polybrene. Transduction efficiency
( % Transduced) as a function of virus solution exposure
time (Transduction Time) for flow-through (●) and
static (○) transductions. No polybrene was used in the virus so­
lution. Each data point represents the average of two indepen­
dent samples. B. Polybrene dose response. Transduction effi­
ciency as a function of polybrene concentration was measured
for flow-through (●) and static (○) transductions. The trans­
duction period was 9 hr. Each data point represents the average
of two independent samples.
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4, 6B). These results suggest that polybrene acts by increasing the receptor availability of target cells to transduction. In using flow-through to reduce the distance the virus must travel to the target cells, the contact frequency of the virus and target cells can be increased (e.g., Berg, 1983). Thus, a greater number of cell receptors may be apparent to the virus. In using flow-through to achieve an enhanced contact frequency, the dependence on polybrene to make receptors available is offset. This mode of virus delivery is, in principle, also concentration dependent because virus encounter with the target cell is dependent on fluid mechanics rather than random Brownian motion. Consistent with this expectation, the change in virus delivery method was found to reduce significantly the dependence of gene transfer on virus concentration (Fig. 5). Further, the adsorption characteristics of the virus to the porous membrane have been found to determine the likelihood of successful gene transfer (Chuck and Palsson, 1996). More importantly, the flow-through method does not rely on the use of polybrene. It has been shown that the virus can adsorb directly to the (neutral-charged) porous membrane in the absence of polybrene, enabling the target cells to encounter the adsorbed virus so that virus entry can subsequently take place (Chuck and Palsson, 1996).

The characteristics of the flow-through method of transduction may have significant implications for the use of retrovirus-mediated gene transfer in gene therapy protocols. Flow-through transductions lead to transduction rates not achievable by static transduction. The ability to obtain high transduction rates even at low viral concentrations eliminates most of the concerns associated with the production of high-titer virus solutions. The insensitivity to viral titers eliminates the need to produce consistently retroviral supernatants at given virus concentrations. Flow-through transductions can be carried out without the use of polycations such as polybrene. Although no long-term effects on cell growth were observed with either the polybrene or retroviral concentration ranges used, it should be noted that any possible toxic effects associated with these solutions can be minimized using flow-through transductions. Last, the volume of viral supernatants needed for transduction can be sharply reduced. Taken together, these advantages of flow-through transductions are likely to lead to their widespread use for gene transfer work, both in research and clinical settings.
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