Special Paper

Oxidant Enhancement in Martian Dust Devils and Storms: Storm Electric Fields and Electron Dissociative Attachment
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ABSTRACT

Laboratory studies, numerical simulations, and desert field tests indicate that aeolian dust transport can generate atmospheric electricity via contact electrification or “triboelectricity.” In convective structures such as dust devils and dust storms, grain stratification leads to macroscopic charge separations and gives rise to an overall electric dipole moment in the aeolian feature, similar in nature to the dipolar electric field generated in terrestrial thunderstorms. Previous numerical simulations indicate that these storm electric fields on Mars can approach the ambient breakdown field strength of $\sim 25$ kV/m. In terrestrial dust phenomena, potentials ranging from $\sim 20$ to $160$ kV/m have been directly measured. The large electrostatic fields predicted in martian dust devils and storms can energize electrons in the low pressure martian atmosphere to values exceeding the electron dissociative attachment energy of both CO$_2$ and H$_2$O, which results in the formation of the new chemical products CO/O$^-$ and OH/H$^-$, respectively. Using a collisional plasma physics model, we present calculations of the CO/O$^-$ and OH/H$^-$ reaction and production rates. We demonstrate that these rates vary geometrically with the ambient electric field, with substantial production of dissociative products when fields approach the breakdown value of $\sim 25$ kV/m. The dissociation of H$_2$O into OH/H$^-$ provides a key ingredient for the generation of oxidants; thus electrically charged dust may significantly impact the habitability of Mars. Key Words: Mars—Dust storm—Dust devil—Electric field—Oxidant—Habitability. Astrobiology 6, 451–462.
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INTRODUCTION

A central question relevant to the habitability of Mars relates to the origin and presence of oxidants in the atmosphere and soil (Klein, 1998), which may have sterilized the surface and hence led to the failure of the Viking life sciences experiments to detect organics (Oyama et al., 1977). Photochemical processes have been invoked to explain the presence of oxidants on Mars, with hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) the most likely product (Krasnopolsky, 1993, 1995; Atreya and Gu, 1994; Nair et al., 1994; Clancy and Nair, 1996). While the recent discovery of H₂O₂ at 20–40 parts per billion volume on Mars (Encrenaz et al., 2004) is consistent with production by photochemical processes in the atmosphere, the soil reactivity implied by the Viking results indicate levels ranging from at least 1 part per million (Zent and McKay, 1994) up to ~250 parts per million (Mancinelli, 1989). The short lifetime of H₂O₂ in the atmosphere relative to its rate of diffusion into the soil makes the production of the inferred levels of oxidants difficult to explain from photochemical processes alone, and thus additional sources should be explored. Mills (1977) was one of the first to consider an alternative production mechanism when he suggested that the electrification in dust storms may be an added physiochemical energy source that has the ability to create a number of new species, including the oxidant H₂O₂. Oyama and Berdahl (1979) described the possible creation of an oxygen plasma from dust electrification on Mars, while Ballou et al. (1978) showed that oxygen plasmas can create oxidants when exposed to basalts.

Here we investigate the implications of the ubiquitous presence of strong electric fields on Mars for atmospheric chemical processes relevant to oxidant production, which result from the ionization and dissociation of H₂O by energized electrons in the martian atmosphere. Large electrostatic fields created by dust devils and storms or other aeolian processes can lead to the creation and energization of electrons as an ambient core population is accelerated and the ionization of CO₂ occurs. Using a detailed numerically based plasma physics model, we calculate the electron energy distribution for electric fields ranging from small values (~5 kV/m) to levels near the breakdown potential (~25 kV/m) that would be present in a given dust storm event. We then study the impact of this process on the local atmospheric chemistry with an emphasis on products relevant to subsequent oxidant formation. Under these conditions we find that the dissociation of H₂O via electron collisions produces negative ions at rates that vary strongly with the applied electric field and become greater than photochemical rates by several orders of magnitude. The generation of OH/H⁻ will lead to the subsequent production of H₂O₂ at rates greater than photochemical processes (Atreya et al., 2006). This process would be common in the lower atmosphere down to the surface and predominant when high atmospheric dust loads attenuate photochemical processes. This ubiquitous, rapid source of oxidants would provide strong support for the interpretation of the Viking results as indicative of a chemically reactive, oxidant-rich soil. This mechanism also has implications for the lifetime and spatial distribution of methane, recently measured in the atmosphere of Mars (Formisano et al., 2004; Krasnopolsky et al., 2004; Mumma et al., 2004).

THE ELECTRIC DUST STORM

The dynamic martian atmosphere is characterized by ubiquitous aeolian activity, with significant dust lofting and transport occurring over a wide dynamic range of spatial and temporal scales. Global dust storms can envelope a significant fraction of the planet, and appear to be seasonally dependent in that a large number of these storms occur between southern spring and summer, around perihelion (Martin and Zurek, 1993). Over 780 local to regional (10²–10⁶ km²) dust storms during the 1999 storm season have been cataloged by Cantor et al. (2001), who studied the geographic and seasonal dependencies of these events. The most common dust activity on Mars occurs at the smallest scale; warm-cored, convective vortices such as dust devils are likely present to varying extents during most seasons (Newman et al., 2002; Fisher et al., 2005). Dust devils with diameters between 100 m and 1 km, and heights of up to 5–10 km, are frequently observed on Mars (Thomas and Gierasch, 1985; Fisher et al., 2005). Based on their Mars Global Surveyor-observed tracks, Mars’ dust devils are found at nearly all locations on the planet, and because of their dynamic nature, they are continuously removing dust from the surface and maintaining the bulk atmospheric dust opacity during non-
FIG. 1.  a: Terrestrial electric field measurements of dust devils such as this event near Eloy, AZ. Maximum electric fields occur near the core of the events, in many cases saturating the instrument with readings below ~20 kV/m.  b: Models for both macro- and microelectrification in a dust devil. Larger dust storm electrification is envisioned to occur in a similar manner.
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storm seasons (Ryan and Lucich, 1983; Smith and Lemon, 1999; Ferri et al., 2003).

Experimental and theoretical investigations of frictional charging mechanisms in both small- and large-scale meteorological phenomena suggest that Mars very likely possesses an electrically active atmosphere as a result of dust-lifting processes of all scales, including dust devils and dust storms. Naturally occurring dust activity is nearly always associated with significant electrification via the process of triboelectricity—the frictional charging of dust grains in contact with one another or the surface as they are transported by wind or convective circulations. In terrestrial systems, early studies clearly demonstrated the presence of electric fields in the kilovolt/meter range within about 100 m of dust devils (Freier, 1960; Crozier, 1964, 1970). More recently, electric fields ranging from \(-3\) kV/m to greater than 20 kV/m have been measured within dust devils, as shown in Fig. 1a (Farrell et al., 2003, 2004; Renno et al., 2004). Surface processes can also generate significant electrification. In saltating sand, in which impacts from sand particles on approximately centimeter-scale ballistic trajectories generate lofted dust, fields in excess of 160 kV/m have been measured within dust devils, as seen in Fig. 1a (Farrell et al., 2003, 2004; Renno et al., 2004). Surface processes can also generate significant electrification. In saltating sand, in which impacts from sand particles on approximately centimeter-scale ballistic trajectories generate lofted dust, fields in excess of 160 kV/m have been measured within dust devils, as shown in Fig. 1a (Farrell et al., 2003, 2004; Renno et al., 2004).

DUST STORM-DRIVEN ELECTRON ENERGIZATION

The martian troposphere may be considered as a tenuous electron plasma due to the presence of a high-density neutral background dominated by electron-neutral collisional processes. Based on the penetration of ionizing radiation from cosmic rays and radioactive elements in the martian crust, a representative core population electron density near the surface is \(n_e \sim 5 \times 10^9/m^3\) (Whitten et al., 1971). These electrons interact with the ambient CO\(_2\) via a multitude of collisional processes with cross sections that vary strongly with energy. At low energies (<1 eV), elastic scattering and momentum transfer determine the electron drift velocities through the medium. In the range of 1–10 eV, CO\(_2\) possesses large cross sections for vibrational and electronic excitation through electron collisions.

An important process in this energy range is dissociative attachment, in which an electron attaches to a CO\(_2\) molecule, which then rapidly dissociates into a negative ion and a neutral molecule. Electron attachment processes are an important part of the chemistry of the terrestrial atmosphere, where they are the dominant means by which negative ions are produced from O\(_2\) (Viggiano and Arnold, 1995). In dissociative attachment, the following reaction occurs:

\[
e + AB \rightarrow A^- + B
\]  

A second process, associative electron attachment, involves a third body:

\[
e + AB + M \rightarrow AB^- + M
\]  

In the lower terrestrial atmosphere, the three-body process dominates. However, at higher altitudes and under an appreciable electric field, electron dissociative attachment is the dominate mechanism for free electron removal and negative ion formation, particularly near discharge events in the vicinity of thunderstorm activity.

The behavior of tenuous electron plasmas in the presence of a neutral CO\(_2\) background was investigated numerically by Nighan (1970), who considered a range of electron–CO\(_2\) collisional
processes in the presence of an electric field for parameters relevant to Mars. In this approach the electron distribution is described by the Boltzmann equation:

\[- \frac{eE}{m_e} \nabla_v f(v) = \left[ \frac{\partial f(v)}{\partial t} \right]_c \] (3)

where \(e\) is the electron charge, \(m_e\) is the electron mass, \(E\) is the applied electric field, and \(f(v)\) is the electron distribution as a function of the three-dimensional velocity vector \(\vec{v}\). The subscript \(c\) on the right-hand side of Eq. 3 denotes effects due to electron collisions and is a sum over all relevant collisional cross sections describing electron–CO\(_2\) interactions, including momentum transfer, vibrational and electronic excitations, dissociative attachment, and impact ionization. Figure 2 shows graphically some of these interactions, i.e., electron impact ionization and dissociation of both CO\(_2\) and H\(_2\)O, including the relevant electron energies. In Eq. 3, the acceleration

![Diagram of electron-impact processes](image)

**FIG. 2.** Electron–CO\(_2\) and –H\(_2\)O impact processes. Cross-section data shown for CO\(_2\) ionization and dissociation were compiled by Itikawa (2002); H\(_2\)O dissociation cross-section data were provided by Itikawa and Mason (2005).
of the electrons via the electric force is offset by energy-depleting interactions with the CO$_2$ molecule, which occur on spatial scales of the mean free path; the combination of these effects can create a statistical electron energy distribution that varies substantially from a Maxwellian distribution. The average electron energy increases with electric field strength, which results in the development of a high-energy tail in the electron energy distribution.

Numerical solutions to Eq. 3 can be found based on the Pitchford, O’Neil, and Rumble (POR) technique (Pitchford et al., 1981). For the electron–CO$_2$ interactions on the right-hand side of Eq. 3, we consider the vibrational, excitation, attachment, and ionization processes shown in Table 1. The values of electric field $E$ we use range from ~5 kV/m to near the theoretical breakdown potential of ~25 kV/m for Mars. Solutions to Eq. 3 are greatly facilitated by the transformation to the new variable $u = m_e v^2 / 2e$, the electron energy in eV; we then define a new normalization for the distribution function $f(u)$ such that $\int u^{3/2} f(u) du = 1$. Figure 3 shows the results of solving Eq. 3 for $f(u)$, where the effect of an increasing electric field on the electron distribution is dramatic and produces significant high-energy tails for fields between 8 and 25 kV/m compared with the lower field cases. It is important to note that solutions to Eq. 3 do not represent an actual large-scale discharge process (i.e., martian lightning); instead, we have estimated the energization of a thermal core of electrons in the presence of a charging field $E$ that interacts with the ambient CO$_2$ atmosphere prior to a catastrophic release of electron current in a full breakdown scenario. By far the most important implication of Eq. 3 is that the solutions are remarkably non-Maxwellian. In most cases, a Maxwellian distribution will not be a good approximation to the electron distribution for the range of electric field values we consider here (Nighan, 1970). The distribution $f(u)$ is in fact heavily modified by its interaction with CO$_2$ and thus must be explicitly calculated based on the relevant physical parameters for Mars, which are primarily governed by $E/N$, the ratio of the electric field to the neutral density.

### PRODUCTION OF NEGATIVE IONS AND NEUTRALS

Once produced, the enhanced populations of electrons at higher energies will then have a markedly increased probability of impacts with other trace atmospheric constituents through a variety of interaction cross sections. While ionization processes are important, many additional reactions will occur at energies less than the ionization potentials of ambient molecules, particularly in the 4–12 eV range where dissociative attachment processes come into play. Motivated by the expected correlation between the presence of H$_2$O and H$_2$O$_2$ (Encrenaz et al., 2002), we now direct our attention to the impact of the electron distribution $f(u)$ on H$_2$O. For the enhanced electron population we see in $f(u)$ above 4 eV, the breakdown of H$_2$O via dissociative electron attachment will be a significant possibility. These reactions are outlined in Table 2. In this process, three negative ion species are possible: H$^-$, OH$^-$, and O$^-$. The cross section for the production of H$^-$ is greater than that of the other negative ions by one or more orders of magnitude (Itikawa and Mason, 2005) and will be the dominant product considered here. The production rate of a prod-

---

**Table 1. CO$_2$–Electron Interactions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Cross sections and energy range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO$_2$–electron collisions</td>
<td>Momentum transfer</td>
<td>$-4 \times 10^{-16}$ cm$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO$_2$ vibrational excitation</td>
<td>Symmetric, asymmetric, and bending modes</td>
<td>$&lt; 3 \times 10^{-16}$ cm$^2$, 0.1–20 eV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO$_2$–electronic excitation</td>
<td>Various electronic transitions ($^2\Sigma^+<em>{u}$, $^1\Sigma^+</em>{u}$, $^1\Delta_u$... )</td>
<td>0.01–6 $\times 10^{-16}$ cm$^2$, 0.1–100 eV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO$_2$→CO$_2^+$</td>
<td>Impact ionization</td>
<td>$&lt; 4 \times 10^{-16}$ cm$^2$, 13.7–100 eV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO$_2$→CO/O$^-$ dissociation</td>
<td>Electron dissociative attachment</td>
<td>1.5 $\times 10^{-19}$ cm$^2$ at 4.3 eV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After Itikawa (2002).
where \( f(u) \) is the electron distribution function we have determined using Eq. 3, \( u \) is the electron energy in eV, and \( \sigma(u) \) is the energy-dependent cross section for electron–H\(_2\)O dissociative attachment.

The calculation of \( n_o \), the bulk electron density in the presence of an electric field, follows the Townsend formalism (Llewellyn-Jones, 1981), in which \( n_e \) is given by

\[
    n_e = n_o e^{\alpha x}
\]

where \( n_o \) is the initial seed electron density (due to steady-state conditions at the surface of Mars outside the influence of electric fields), \( \alpha \) is the Townsend first ionization coefficient, and \( x \) is a physical length scale over which the electric fields persist. The Townsend coefficient \( \alpha \) is determined similarly as with the rate constant \( k \)

\[
    \alpha = \frac{n_{CO_2}}{v_d} \left( \frac{2e}{m_e} \right)^{1/2} \int_{13.8 \text{ eV}}^{\infty} \sigma(u)uf(u)du
\]

where \( n_{CO_2} \) is the density of CO\(_2\), \( \sigma(u) \) is the ionization cross section for CO\(_2\) above \( \sim 13.8 \text{ eV} \), and \( v_d \) is the electron drift velocity:

\[
    v_d = -\frac{E}{3N} \left( \frac{2e}{m_e} \right)^{1/2} \int u \frac{d\sigma}{du} \frac{1}{\sigma(u)} du
\]

The constant \( \alpha \) then describes the growth of electrons via electron–CO\(_2\) ionization as suggested by Eq. 6. The choice of \( x \) in Eq. 6 is usually given by the electrode spacings in laboratory experiments designed to study ionization processes. In our case, we consider \( x \) to be the length scale of coherent electric fields occurring in dust events. Though \( x \) may be considerably larger, we made a conservative estimate of \( x < 1 \text{ m} \), based on mea-
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**TABLE 2. H\(_2\)O DISSOCIATIVE ATTACHMENT PROCESSES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dissociation product</th>
<th>Energy (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{O}_2(3\text{P}) + \text{H}_2\text{(X)} )</td>
<td>5.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{OH}(\text{X}) + \text{H}(n = 1) )</td>
<td>5.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{OH}(1\text{D}) + \text{H}_2\text{(X)} )</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{OH}(\text{A}) + \text{H}(n = 1) )</td>
<td>9.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{O}(1\text{S}) + \text{H}_2\text{(X)} )</td>
<td>9.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{O}(3\text{P}) + 2\text{H} )</td>
<td>9.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{O}(3\text{S}) + \text{H}_2\text{(X)} )</td>
<td>14.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{OH}(\text{X}) + \text{H}(n = 2) )</td>
<td>15.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{OH}(\text{X}) + \text{H}(n = 3) )</td>
<td>17.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compiled by Itikawa and Mason (2005).
measurements taken in terrestrial dust devils (Delory et al., 2002; Farrell et al., 2003) and consistent with the desire to keep \( n_e < < n_{CO_2} \) such that the energetic electrons remain a perturbation against the neutral background, with a degree of ionization \(<10^{-5}\).

The cross sections for dissociative attachment of \( H_2O \) were compiled by Itikawa and Mason (2005) and based on measurements conducted by Melton (1972) and Compton and Christophorou (1967). The highest cross sections for negative ion production from dissociative electron attachment of \( H_2O \) are for \( H^- \), peaking at \( \approx 6.37 \times 10^{-13} \) cm\(^2\) near \( \approx 6.4 \) eV, and also \( \approx 1.16 \times 10^{-13} \) cm\(^2\) near \( \approx 8.2 \) eV, while cross sections for \( OH^- \) and \( O^- \) production peak at values \( \approx 1 \times 10^{-19} \) and \( \approx 5.8 \times 10^{-19} \) cm\(^2\), respectively. Using these cross sections and an \( H_2O \) column density of \( \approx 20 \) pr-\( \mu \)m (where pr-\( \mu \)m represents “precipitable micron,” and 1 pr-\( \mu \)m = 10\(^{-4}\) g/cm\(^2\) of \( H_2O \) = \( 3.35 \times 10^{18} \) cm\(^2\) of \( H_2O \) molecules, corresponding to \( \approx 0.03\% \) of the neutral number density at the surface), we calculate the production rates of these products as a function of electric field in Table 3. Results of similar calculations for dissociation of \( CO_2 \) into \( CO \) and \( O^- \) are also listed in Table 3. Figure 4 shows the corresponding parameters for the electron energetics and \( CO_2 \) ionization, where \( n_e, \nu_d, \alpha \), and the rates of \( CO/O^- \) and \( OH/H^- \) production are plotted as a function of electric field \( E \) in kV/m.

**DISCUSSION AND SIGNIFICANCE**

Using a rigorous plasma physics approach, we arrive at a physical model, as discussed below, that reveals the impact of dust devil- and storm-generated electric fields on the atmospheric chemistry of Mars.

During virtually any dust activity arising from the ubiquitous saltation processes in dust devils or the larger dust storms, significant triboelectric charging of dust grains occurs. Subsequent lofting followed by vertical stratification of these charge carriers results in large-scale electric fields. Acting under the influence of the electric field, a population of preexisting ambient electrons becomes energized and impacts atmospheric \( CO_2 \) via a multitude of elastic, vibrational, electronic excitation, ionization, and dissociative attachment processes. The electron density \( n_e \) grows substantially as ionization of the \( CO_2 \) atmosphere occurs. The mediation between energy gained through the electric field versus the energy lost due to \( CO_2 \) interactions is expressed by the final solution to the electron distribution function \( f(u) \) in Fig. 3. These distributions are remarkably non-Maxwellian, with increasing high-energy tails becoming prominent with increasing electric field. Once derived, the electron distribution \( f(u) \) enables the calculation of the production rates of other chemical species. In this case, because of the importance of \( H_2O \) in the formation of \( H_2O_2 \), we examine the impact of \( f(u) \) on the stability of atmospheric \( H_2O \) and find that dissociative attachment from the energized electrons leads primarily to the production of \( OH/H^- \), followed by \( O^- \) (and either 2H or \( H_2 \) and \( OH/H^- \).

It is important to make the distinction between a full discharge process and the electron energization that we model here. In particular, we note that the electron density expression in Eq. 6 also possesses a denominator of the form \( 1 - (\omega/\alpha)(\alpha^{ax} - 1) \) (Uman, 1969; Llewellyn-Jones, 1981), which is ignored in our current calculation. The variable \( \omega \) is the growth rate of secondary electrons produced by the gas (electrons produced by processes other than electron/molecule impact ionization), with \( \omega/\alpha \) often referred to as Townsend’s second coefficient, and \( x \) is the electrode/anode distance. If a substantial number of secondary electrons are produced in the plasma, this denominator can go to zero, thereby making

**Table 3. \( CO_2 \) and \( H_2O \) Dissociation Rates**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electric field (kV/m)</th>
<th>( CO_2 \rightarrow CO/O^- ) ( (m^{-3}s^{-1}) )</th>
<th>( H_2O \rightarrow OH/H^- ) ( (m^{-3}s^{-1}) )</th>
<th>( H_2O \rightarrow O^- ) ( (m^{-3}s^{-1}) )</th>
<th>( H_2O \rightarrow OH^-/H ) ( (m^{-3}s^{-1}) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>( 4.17 \times 10^9 )</td>
<td>( 1.31 \times 10^7 )</td>
<td>( 5.30 \times 10^5 )</td>
<td>( 2.77 \times 10^5 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>( 7.75 \times 10^{11} )</td>
<td>( 3.17 \times 10^9 )</td>
<td>( 1.83 \times 10^8 )</td>
<td>( 7.63 \times 10^7 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>( 7.53 \times 10^{12} )</td>
<td>( 3.27 \times 10^{10} )</td>
<td>( 2.85 \times 10^9 )</td>
<td>( 9.30 \times 10^8 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>( 1.45 \times 10^{14} )</td>
<td>( 6.24 \times 10^{11} )</td>
<td>( 6.54 \times 10^{10} )</td>
<td>( 1.93 \times 10^{10} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>( 3.38 \times 10^{17} )</td>
<td>( 1.42 \times 10^{15} )</td>
<td>( 1.75 \times 10^{14} )</td>
<td>( 4.75 \times 10^{13} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the electron density tend toward infinity (all bound electrons are free). This situation of complete gas breakdown defines a “filamentary” discharge like the one that occurs in terrestrial cloud-to-ground lightning. Secondary electron generation processes are difficult to quantify, and their behavior is usually a strong function of the boundary conditions imposed on the plasma. While filamentary discharge and complete gas breakdown is possible in a low-density CO₂ gas with secondaries (Llewellyn-Jones, 1981), we choose to ignore secondary processes and discharges for our martian dust storm model given the high degree of uncertainty that would then affect the reliability of the derived chemical rates for OH/H⁻ and other negative ion production.

Hence, by not including secondary electron generation processes (ω = 0), we rule out chemistry from filamentary, ionized discharges. However, our calculations show that in pre-breakdown conditions, significant chemical reaction rates are generated even in the case where the plasma electron density is relatively small compared with the ambient density and the electron drift velocities vₑ are <10⁶ m/s. These drift velocities describe a slow-moving, mildly ionized plasma that is very different from a typical discharge event, such as those that occur in terrestrial cloud-to-ground lightning stroke where ionization is nearly 100% and the electron speed is 0.1–0.3c (Uman, 1969). The plasma in the martian dust storms may be more reminiscent of that in terrestrial sprites: transient mesosphere luminous emissions (Rowland, 1998; Sentman et al., 1995; Sentman and Wescott, 1995) that are also mildly ionized (a few percent) and relatively slow moving (Pasko et al., 1995, 1997). Further, the 30–50-km region of the terrestrial atmosphere has similar pressures as the lower martian atmosphere, which suggests similar atmospheric environments for the two plasmas.Sprites result when lightning-related post-discharge electrostatic fields between the cloudtops and ionosphere become large, and create electric field-driven elec-
tron energization that acts to initiate excitation of mesospheric gas. In the martian dust devil/storm case, we are considering electrostatic fields that form between the analogous ground and dust storm top that also give rise to electric field-driven electron energization. Certainly for the case of filamentary discharges and large electron densities, Eqs. 4 and 5 indicate greater production of CO, OH, and the negative ions. However, substantial reaction rates still occur in the low-density, slow-moving corona-like plasma we have modeled here (see Fig. 4).

The rates calculated for the production of OH/H- are particularly significant in terms of the subsequent generation of H2O2 (Atreya et al., 2006). OH/H- production from photochemical processes alone is estimated to be $\sim 10^{10} \text{m}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1},$ while our calculations indicate that electric field-driven OH/H- rates become equal to photochemical rates in the presence of $\sim 10 \text{kV/m}$ fields and grow exponentially to orders of magnitude greater values by 20–25 kV/m. As shown by Atreya et al. (2006), the rates of OH/H- production listed in Table 3 will enable the creation of H2O2 at rates of up to $\sim 200$ times typical photochemical rates. While the impact of electrified dust on oxidant production is potentially substantial, this process may also be limited by several key factors. First and foremost, the production of OH/H- is clearly dependent on the amount of water vapor present, which could be the limiting factor for the subsequent generation of H2O2. Thus, we expect a wide variability in the efficiency of our electrochemical model, depending on the distribution and amount of H2O available during dust events. The spatial extent and degree of electrification within martian dust storms and devils will also ultimately define limits. While discharges are common in terrestrial storm systems, macroscopic electric fields rarely exceed 400 kV/m (Winn et al., 1974), a fraction of the atmospheric breakdown potential of $\sim 3,000 \text{kV/m.}$ This discrepancy represents an unresolved issue in terrestrial thunderstorm electrification and may indicate that breakdown potentials are highly spatially localized within a given storm and difficult to measure in situ. Thus, if the electrification of martian dust storms is analogous to terrestrial thunderstorms, these events may only reach some fraction of the martian breakdown potential over most of the storm volume. However, details of the charging process are likely different for dust storms on Mars compared with analogous terrestrial processes. While large-scale, macroscopic electric fields may be limited on Mars as in the terrestrial case, numerous models do admit the possibility for higher fields that approach breakdown levels on large scales (Melnik and Parrot, 1998; Farrell et al., 2003). Pending a verification of these electric fields using measurements from a future instrument on Mars, we include the full range of likely potentials that may be encountered within a martian dust storm such that all likely production rates can be considered.

Despite these potential limitations, there is reason to believe that dust storm-driven electrochemistry on Mars could be a compelling explanation for the degree of chemical reactivity and resulting sterility of martian soil as implied by the Viking lander results. Even if larger-scale fields are limited on Mars, reaction rates on the order of photochemical contributions will still occur for fields of $\sim 10 \text{kV/m}$, less than half of the theoretical breakdown. We have also modeled predischarge conditions only; subsequent electrical breakdown and the possibility of runaway electrons in analogy with terrestrial sprites may introduce new and more energetic electrochemical processes, albeit over short temporal and spatial scales. Additional aspects of our model relevant to enhanced oxidant production include the fact that these processes occur close to the surface, which results in a higher probability for any H2O condensate to enter the regolith before significant re-vaporization. The near-surface location of electric field-driven ion production also translates into the availability of a larger amount of ambient H2O compared with what is available for photochemical processes at higher altitudes, which results in enhanced production of H2O2.

**CONCLUSION**

Theory and experiment provide ample evidence that dynamic dust events are nearly always significantly electrified. Using a collisional plasma physics model, we have shown that electrons acting under the influence of these electric fields in the martian atmosphere can significantly enhance the creation of chemical products that are important precursors to the production of the oxidant H2O2. Measurements of electric fields on Mars, obtained simultaneously with the characterization of any trace species production using a gas analyzer, would provide some observational...
constraints on the importance of the electrochemical process we have outlined. Assuming that electrochemical processes in martian dust storms remain a possibility, future work will include an examination of the direct impact of energized electrons on other trace atmospheric constituents on Mars, such as methane and any organic compounds. Additionally, there is the question of the global and historical impact of atmospheric electricity on atmospheric chemistry, which depends on the spatial and temporal coverage of these events as well as their degree of large-scale electrification.
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