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Introduction

From July 27 through August 24, 2009, the U.S. government sponsored a vehicle-scrappage program (Department of Transportation, 2009). The program—officially called the Car Allowance Rebate System (CARS)—was informally referred to as the “Cash for Clunkers” program. The program gave buyers a rebate when they traded in a vehicle while purchasing a new one. Generally, the trade-in vehicles must have had fuel economy of 18 mpg or less and be less than 25 years old. The rebate was either $3,500 or $4,500, depending on the difference between the fuel economy of the new and the trade-in vehicles.

Overall, about 690,000 vehicles were purchased (and traded in) under the program (Department of Transportation, 2009). This compares to a total of about 2,260,000 vehicles sold in July and August 2009 (Automotive News, 2009). This study evaluated the effect of the program on the average fuel economy of all vehicles purchased in July and August 2009.

Approach

To estimate the benefits of the program on the overall fuel economy of light-duty vehicles purchased, the expected fuel economy of purchased new vehicles without the program was calculated. To do this, we used the relationships between economic indicators and the fuel economy of purchased vehicles that we obtained in a recent study (Sivak and Schoettle, 2009). In that study, the fuel economy of purchased new vehicles in a given month was reasonably well predicted by knowing the corresponding unemployment rate and the price of gasoline. In the present study, we used the same approach to predict the fuel economy for July and August of 2009 without the program, and compared this baseline prediction with actual fuel economy observed for the same months.

In Sivak and Schoettle (2009), the relationship between economic indices and fuel economy was modeled on the data from October 2007 (the conventional first month of the 2008 model year) through May 2009. In the present study, the time period for the derivation of the model was extended through June 2009 (the last month before the start of the program).
The relationship was modeled in a regression analysis in which the dependent variable was the average sales-weighted fuel economy of purchased vehicles. This average was calculated from the monthly sales of individual models (Automotive News, 2009) and the official combined fuel-economy ratings for the respective models (Environmental Protection Agency, 2008; 2009). All vehicles purchased in October 2007 through September 2008 were assumed to be model year 2008, while all those purchased in or after October 2008 were assumed to be model year 2009. (The fuel-economy information was available for 99.9% of vehicles purchased.) The predictor variables were the unemployment rate (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2009) and the average retail price of regular gasoline (Energy Information Administration, 2009).

Results

The average fuel economy of purchased new light-duty vehicles is shown in Figure 1, as are the unemployment rate and the price of gasoline. The regression model was highly significant, $F (2, 20) = 12.38, p < .001$. Both the unemployment rate and the price of gasoline had significant effects on the average fuel economy of purchased vehicles in the expected (positive) direction ($t = 4.93$ and $3.64$, respectively). These two variables accounted for 58% of the variance in the average fuel economy.

The best-fitting regression equation was as follows:

$$\text{mpg} = 17.92 + (0.272 \times \text{unemployment rate}) + (0.424 \times \text{price of gasoline}) \quad (1)$$

where the unemployment rate is in percentages and the price of gasoline is in dollars.

Equation 1 (derived from the data for October 2007 through June 2009) was then used to predict what the fuel economy for July and August 2009 would have been without the program. To do that, we used the July and August 2009 unemployment rates (9.4 and 9.7%) and the prices of gasoline ($2.527$ and $2.616$). The predicted, baseline fuel economy is shown in Figure 1 in red.
Figure 1. Average fuel economy of purchased new light-duty vehicles (top panel), and the unemployment rate and the price of regular gasoline (bottom panel). The data through June 2009 were included in the regression analysis that formed the basis for predicting the fuel economy without the program for July and August 2009 (shown in red).
The predicted, baseline fuel economy and the actual fuel economy for July and August 2009 are compared in Table 1. The results show that the program increased the fuel economy of all purchased vehicles by 0.6 mpg in July 2009 and by 0.7 mpg in August 2009.

Table 1
Predicted fuel economy of all purchased new light-duty vehicles without the program and the actual fuel economy in July and August 2009.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Predicted, baseline fuel economy without the program (mpg)</th>
<th>Actual fuel economy (mpg)</th>
<th>Overall improvement in fuel economy due to the program (Δ mpg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>July 2009</td>
<td>21.55</td>
<td>22.11</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 2009</td>
<td>21.67</td>
<td>22.39</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary

This study evaluated the effects of the U.S. vehicle-scrappage program ("Cash for Clunkers") on the average fuel economy of new vehicles purchased in July and August 2009. The predicted, baseline fuel economy, without the existence of the program, was derived using a model obtained from a regression analysis performed on the data from October 2007 through June 2009. The regression used the unemployment rate and the price of gasoline as the predictors of the fuel economy. The results indicate that the program improved the average fuel economy of all vehicles purchased by 0.6 mpg in July 2009 and 0.7 mpg in August 2009.
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