De novo metallopeptide design contributes significantly to the understanding of protein folding, protein–protein interactions, and metal-ion sites in biology. Within this rubric, studies in our research group have focused on the biochemistry of Cd^{II} thiolate sites. A class of peptides based on the parent peptide TRI, Ac-G(LKALEEK)_{6}-NH_{2}, was designed to assemble in aqueous solution into amphiphilic α-helices. These peptides aggregate to form three-stranded coiled coils above pH 5.5. Substitutions can be made to the interior of these coiled coils to generate metal-binding sites, potentially with adjacent cavities. One benefit of de novo design is that we are no longer limited to the 20 naturally coded amino acids. We demonstrated by two different strategies that it is possible to create a coordinatively unsaturated trigonal-planar CdS_{3} site by incorporating non-protein amino acids into the peptide sequence. The first approach was to replace the coordinating L-cysteine (L-Cys) residue with the bulkier nonprotein analogue L-penicillamine (L-Pen), in which the β-methylene hydrogen atoms have been replaced with larger methyl groups. Our second approach was to modify the chirality of the second-coordination-sphere ligands directly above the metal-binding plane (L-Leu → D-Leu). In this way, the Leu side chain was reoriented towards the C terminus and the metal-binding site. Presumably, this modification sterically inhibits the binding of a fourth ligand to the Cd^{II} center.

Although these accomplishments were significant, we soon realized that we neither understood how L-Pen was capable of enforcing a CdS_{3} coordination sphere, nor how the introduction of a single layer of L-amino acids in the interior of a coiled coil composed of L-amino acids would affect the structure. We also realized that we could now assess how metal binding would be perturbed by combining increased steric bulk and alternate chirality within the same amino acid. One might expect that the simple replacement of an L-amino acid with the bulkier nonprotein analogue L-Pen, shows a single resonance at δ = 683 ppm. This signal is almost identical to that reported previously for the TRI analogue (δ = 684 ppm) and thus confirms that Cd^{II} is bound as a trigonal-planar three-coordinate species. For the D-Pen analogue, a resonance of weaker intensity was observed at δ = 557 ppm, which is well outside the range associated with CdS_{3} (δ = 680–700 ppm) and more consistent with an S_{3}O_{2}-type (or possibly S_{3}O_{3}-type) first-coordination-sphere environment (see Figure S2 in the Supporting Information).

The binding of Cd^{II} to these constructs was also monitored by UV/Vis spectroscopy and was once again found to be different for the L- and D-Pen analogues (see Figure S3 in the Supporting Information). The characteristic ligand-to-metal charge transfer (LMCT) band for Cd^{II} bound to L-Pen at 227 nm, as Coil Ser behaves similarly to the TRI family, with which the majority of studies have been carried out,[12] yet has proven to be more readily crystallizable.[13,14]

First, we explored the interactions of these peptides in aqueous solution with Cd^{II}. The 1^{113}Cd NMR spectrum for Cd^{II} bound to the L-amino acid analogue of Pen, CSL161-Pen, shows a single resonance at δ = 683 ppm. This signal is almost identical to that reported previously for the TRI analogue (δ = 684 ppm) and thus confirms that Cd^{II} is bound as a trigonal-planar three-coordinate species.[8] For the D-Pen analogue, a resonance of weaker intensity was observed at δ = 557 ppm, which is well outside the range associated with CdS_{3} (δ = 680–700 ppm) and more consistent with an S_{3}O_{2}-type (or possibly S_{3}O_{3}-type) first-coordination-sphere environment (see Figure S2 in the Supporting Information).

To determine the pH dependence associated with Cd^{II} binding, we monitored absorbance as a function of pH value. The resulting pH titration curves (see Figure S4 in the Supporting Information) reveal that Cd^{II} binds to CSL161-Pen with a high pK_{a} value (16.3), which is consistent with the behavior of Cd^{II} binding to a three-coordinate site. However, no significant increase in absorbance was observed for CSL161-Pen until the pH value was greater than 9, at which point unfolding of the apo peptide contributes to the signal (see Figure S4 in the Supporting Information). This behavior is not consistent with Cd^{II} bound to three thiolate groups (either CdS_{3} or CdS_{3}O). However, it would be
consistent with a more sulfur poor coordination environment, such as CdSO₄ (or CdSO₃).

Although the first coordination sphere of the Cd²⁺ ion is not chiral when the ion is bound in the interior of these coiled coils, the peptide environment conveys chirality onto the metal, which results in distinct CD (circular dichroism) difference spectra. Similar transitions, both with negative intensity at 257 nm, are present in the CD difference spectra when Cd²⁺ is bound to CSL16-Pen or TRIL161-Pen.[17] No transition was observed in analogous experiments performed with CSL16d-Pen (see Figure S5 in the Supporting Information).

The combination of ¹¹¹Cd NMR, UV/Vis, and CD spectroscopy supports the conclusion that Cd²⁺ binds as a trigonal three-coordinate species to ℓ-Pen-substituted Coil Ser. In contrast, Cd²⁺ binds to d-Pen in a radically different manner: only a small fraction of Cd²⁺ binds to d-Pen-substituted Coil Ser, and it binds with a mixed first coordination sphere, which is probably four-coordinate CdS₂O₂ (each O is an exogenous water molecule).

Clearly, metal binding is very different upon inversion of the chirality of a layer of amino acid ligands in the interior of a three-stranded coiled coil. Before these data are interpreted fully, it is important to understand how an inversion of chirality changes the peptide construct in the absence of metal ions. CD spectra demonstrate that the construct with a layer of ℓ-Pen residues forms a well-folded coiled coil (negative maxima at 208 and 222 nm; > 90% folded). Although the ellipticity at 222 nm is still indicative of a right-handed α-helix when this ligand is replaced with d-Pen, it decreases in intensity, which suggests that CSL16d-Pen is less well folded (ca. 50%) than the ℓ-Pen analogue (see Figure S6A in the Supporting Information). Consistent with this conclusion, CSL16d-Pen is less stable toward denaturant (guanidine hydrochloride) than CSL161-Pen; the relative half points are at denaturant concentrations of approximately 0.4 and 1.5 M, respectively (see Figure S6B in the Supporting Information).

It has been reported that the introduction of a single d-Ala residue onto the solvent-exposed face of Coil Ser to form CSL14d-Ala destabilizes the helix in a two-stranded coiled coil by 0.95 kcal mol⁻¹.[18,19] Shorter HPLC retention times (by 0–10 min) for d-amino acid containing peptides relative to the retention times of the equivalent peptides composed only of ℓ-amino acids have been reported to correlate with the destabilizing effect of the substitution (HPLC retention times are related to the α-helical content of the peptide).[20–22] Consistent with this trend, we found that CSL16d-Pen (30 min) was eluted faster than CSL161-Pen (33 min). The helix destabilizing propensity of d-amino acids has been shown to be highly dependent on the size of the side chain, whereby β-branched amino acids (a category to which d-Pen would belong) are the most effective.[20,21] The substitution of d-Val in the center of a 19 amino acid α-helix results in a significantly less α-helical structure (50%) relative to that of the ℓ-Val analogue (> 90%),[23] whereas d-Leu residues (without β branches) are only medium helix destabilizers, in agreement with our previous observations.[9]

X-ray crystal structures of d-amino acid residues in sequences containing predominantly ℓ-amino acids are rare, especially in longer polypeptides of 20 residues or more.[24] Furthermore, such structures of peptides containing an ℓ–d–ℓ sequence offer the potential to evaluate conformations that would be accessible to a d-amino acid residue within a natural protein environment. Although heteromeric coiled coils consisting of bundles containing either all ℓ- or all d-helices have been reported,[25] to the best of our knowledge, no reports exist on structures of ℓ-amino acid coiled coils containing a single layer of d-amino acids. Despite the differences in stability, both CSL161-Pen and CSL16d-Pen crystallized in the C₂ space group. Their structures were solved to 1.86 and 1.71 Å resolution, respectively. Both structures are well-folded three-stranded coiled coils with the α-helices oriented parallel to one another (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Ribbon diagrams of the CSL16X-Pen trimer showing the orientation of the X-Pen side chain. Shown are the main chain atoms represented as helical ribbons (green) and the ℓ- and d-Pen side chain in stick form (ℓ-Pen pink; d-Pen blue) with the thiol group shown as a sphere (orange) in the interior of the parallel three-stranded coiled coil. Side views show the a) ℓ-Pen and b) d-Pen side chains oriented towards the N and C terminus, respectively. A stereo top-down view from the N terminus of the coiled coil is shown for c) ℓ-Pen (major rotamer, 95%) and d) d-Pen.

ℓ-Pen (β,β-dimethylcysteine), often used in de novo peptide design,[7,8,16] is considered to be a bulkier analogue of ℓ-Cys. To the best of our knowledge, ℓ-Pen has not been characterized crystallographically in a protein environment. Following our success in creating trigonal CdS₃ through bonding to ℓ-Pen,[15,16] we realized it was crucial to determine precisely what this nonprotein amino acid looks like within the interior of a coiled coil. To build the ℓ-Pen residue, we started from a model structure containing ℓ-Cys. After one round of refinement, electron density for the methyl groups of ℓ-Pen was clearly present in the Fᵦ–Fᵦ map (see Figure S7A
in the Supporting Information). The l-Pen ligand could then be built by using this density, by placing the methyl groups at the positions of the l-Cys β-methylene hydrogen atoms. Alternatively, one can envision l-Pen as a thiol-containing analogue of l-valine (l-Val) in which the single β-methylene hydrogen atom is replaced by a thiol group (β-mercaptovaline). When we used a rotamer of l-Val in the model, we observed electron density consistent with a thiol group with the methyl group in the F_e–F_o map (see Figure S7B in the Supporting Information). The resulting side chain of l-Pen is orientation towards the N terminus in the interior of the coiled coil (Figure 1A) in a similar manner to l-Cys. The thiol group of the major rotamer (95%) is similarly positioned towards the interior of the coiled coil (S–S distances of 3.7 Å) as if preorganized for metal binding (Figure 1C). Intriguingly, the methyl groups can be divided into two distinct classes: those situated above the plane (towards the N terminus) appear to be oriented away from the helical axis and towards the helical interfaces, whereas those positioned below the thiol-containing plane point towards the interior of the coiled coil and block this face. This structure suggests that the role of the methyl groups may be to enable the existence of a coordinatively unsaturated CdS_2 species by improving packing and preventing access to the site. In the lower-abundance conformation (5%), one of the thiol side arms is rotated so that the two methyl groups point towards the interior of the coiled coil and the sulfur atom towards the helical interface (see Figure S8 in the Supporting Information).

The structure of the d-Pen side chain was determined as described for l-Pen, by using a model based on d-Cys (or d-Val). The introduction of a single d-amino acid into an all l-amino acid coiled coil does not lead to a major perturbation of the α-helical backbone; thus, the two structures overlay very well (see Figure S9 in the Supporting Information). This observation is important, as d-amino acids are commonly used to induce turns or terminate designed α-helices. All non-glycine residues (including d-Pen) fall within the preferred region of the Ramachandran plot for α-helices (see Figure S10 in the Supporting Information). This observation is consistent with reported X-ray crystal structures of predominantly l-amino acid α-helices, which have been shown to tolerate up to three d-amino acids in a 19 amino acid sequence. Any energy penalty associated with misorientation of the side chain in a left-handed α-helix must be offset by favorable total hydrophobic-packing interactions and the intramolecular hydrogen bonds formed along the α-helical backbone. The (CSL16d-Pen), structure shows that switching of the chirality of an internal amino acid and reorientation of the d-Pen side chain towards the C terminus (Figure 1B) does not prevent the three-stranded coiled coil from assembling. The addition of at least one (sterically bulky) d-amino acid is well-tolerated in such constructs and is likely to be tolerated in analogous designed coiled coils.

The thiol group in d-Pen is oriented towards the C terminus, away from the interior, and directed towards the helical interface (S–S distances of 6.5 Å), whereas both methyl groups are now positioned within the hydrophobic interior of the coiled coil (Figure 1D). Although the major rotamer of l-Pen appears to be more closely related to ββ-dimethylcysteine, the d-Pen residue behaves more like the thiol-containing analogue of d-Val. In contrast to that of l-Pen (Figure 1C), this site is not preorganized for metal binding and is incapable of forming CdS_2 or CdS_2O forms within the coiled coil. However, there is evidence that a small amount of CdII is capable of binding, most likely as CdS_2O. This structure may be formed through the orientation of the thiol groups of two d-Pen ligands towards the same helical interface; CdII could then bind to the two thiol ligands as well as two molecules of solvent (water). The Pen side chain resembles a propeller with bulky blades (three groups on the β carbon atom), which may not rotate readily. In the case of d-Pen, which does not provide a preorganized metal-binding site, the energy penalty for repositioning the thiol groups so as to be favorable for metal binding may be too high. Scheme 1 illustrates the two probable metal-binding modes in l- and d-Pen.

The results of this study provide new strategies for protein design by highlighting the relationship between l- and d-Pen as analogues of Cys and Val. Previously, we showed that one can control CdII coordination geometry by modifying the chirality of a second-coordination-sphere ligand. Herein, we showed that a change in the chirality of the coordinating ligand can not only drastically alter the coordination environment of the metal within a protein, but may change the physical location of the metal ion within the construct.

X-ray crystallography suggests that metal-binding differences may arise because d-Pen may behave like a pseudo d-Val residue, whereas l-Pen behaves more like l-Cys (with a preorganized metal-binding site). We have shown that the incorporation of d-amino acids into predominantly l-amino acid coiled coils is well-tolerated; thus, the broad application of d-amino acids in the field of protein design should be possible.

**Experimental Section**

**Peptide synthesis:** Peptides were synthesized on an Applied Biosystem 433 A peptide synthesizer by using standard protocols and characterized by electrospray mass spectrometry. Peptides were purified by preparative reversed-phase C18 HPLC at a flow rate of 10 mL min⁻¹ with a solvent mixture altered with a linear gradient from 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) in water to 0.1% TFA in CH₃CN/H₂O (9:1) over 50 min. Stock solutions were prepared in CH₃CN/D₂O/H₂O (9:1:1) at concentrations of 5 mg mL⁻¹.
degassed doubly distilled water, and their concentrations were determined by using a previously described assay.[34]

195Cd NMR spectra were collected and data processed as reported previously.[35] CD and UV/Vis spectroscopy: CD and UV/Vis spectra were recorded in quartz cuvettes at 298 K on an AVIV 62DS spectrometer and Cary 100 Bio UV/Vis spectrometer, respectively. The observed CD ellipticity in millidegrees was converted into molar ellipticity, [θ], and is reported in units of deg dmol⁻¹ cm⁻¹. Difference spectra were obtained by subtracting the background spectrum of the peptide in the absence of a metal. pH titrations were performed as reported previously, and the experimental data for CSL16-Pen was fit to a model for the simultaneous release of two protons on the binding of Cd²⁺ to three thiolate groups.[36] Guantidine hydrochloride CD titrations were performed at pH 8.5 as described previously.[3]

Crystallography: Crystals of CSL16-Pen were grown by vapor diffusion at 20°C in a sitting drop with equal volumes of peptide (20 mg mL⁻¹, CSL16-Pen, 2.0 mM CdCl₂, 20 mM Zn(OAc)₂, 5 mM Tris buffer, pH 8.5) and precipitant (100 mM cacodylate, pH 6.5, 200 mM MgCl₂, 50% PEG 200)). Crystals of CSL16-Pen were grown by vapor diffusion at 20°C in a hanging drop with equal volumes of peptide (15.8 mg mL⁻¹, CSL16-Pen, 8.4 mM CdCl₂, 8.4 mM Zn(OAc)₂, 5 mM Tris buffer, pH 8.5) and precipitant (100 mM MES pH 6.0, 30% PEG 200, 5% PEG 3000).Crystals were frozen in their mother liquor for data collection.

Crystal-data collection: Data were collected at the Advanced Photon Source of the Argonne National Laboratory on the LS-CAT Beaml ine 21-ID equipped with a Mar 225 CCD detector (Mar USA, Evanston, IL). A total of 360 frames of data were collected at Beamline 21-ID equipped with a Mar 225 CCD detector (Mar USA, Photon Source of the Argonne National Laboratory on the LS-CAT/C0 and is reported in units of deg dmol⁻¹ cm⁻¹. Difference spectra were obtained by subtracting the background spectrum of the peptide in the absence of a metal. pH titrations were performed as reported previously, and the experimental data for CSL16-Pen was fit to a model for the simultaneous release of two protons on the binding of Cd²⁺ to three thiolate groups.[36] Guantidine hydrochloride CD titrations were performed at pH 8.5 as described previously.[3]
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