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## Chapter 1

## Overview of Triple Bond Metathesis, XXX Pincer Ligands, and Electronically Tunable Pincer Ligands

### 1.1 Triple Bond Metathesis Overview

Catalytic-triple bond metathesis is a growing field that has found application in both natural product and conjugated macrocycle synthesis. ${ }^{1-5}$ The most common form of triple-bond metathesis is alkyne cross metathesis (ACM), in which two organic alkyne fragments are interconverted to yield a new C-C triple bond (Scheme 1.1). A drawback to ACM is the need for the desired substrate to possess a preexisting alkyne functional group. As nitrile functional groups are typically more readily introduced than alkyne groups, ${ }^{6-8}$ nitrile alkyne cross metathesis (NACM) is an appealing process in which an organic nitrile and an alkyne are metathesized to give a new alkyne and a new nitrile species (Scheme 1.1). Both ACM and NACM are equilibrium processes, and so a driving force is required to achieve complete conversion to the desired product species.

## ACM

$R C \equiv C R \quad R^{\prime} C \equiv C R^{\prime} \longleftrightarrow 2 e q R C \equiv C R^{\prime}$

NACM $\mathrm{RC} \equiv \mathrm{CR}+2 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{R}^{\prime} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N} \rightleftarrows 2 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{RC} \equiv \mathrm{N}+\mathrm{R}^{\prime} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CR}^{\prime}$
Scheme 1.1. Triple-bond metathesis reactions.

ACM is typically catalyzed by Group $6(\mathrm{Mo}, \mathrm{W})$ alkylidyne species, which can be generated in situ or used as discrete complexes. Two plausible mechanisms have been proposed for ACM. In the first mechanism, the metal alkylidyne complex undergoes a $[2+2]$ cycloaddition with an alkyne to generate a metalacyclobutadiene intermediate; subsequent $[2+2]$ cycloreversion yields a new alkyne and a new alkylidyne complex (Scheme 1.2). ${ }^{9} \quad$ A second possible mechanism is the formation of a metalatetrahedrane intermediate, which then breaks up to give the new alkyne and alkylidyne complex. ${ }^{10}$


Mechanism 2: Metalatetrahedrane
Scheme 1.2. Possible ACM mechanisms.

Both metalacyclobutadiene ${ }^{11,12}$ and metalatetrahedrane ${ }^{10,13}$ complexes have been isolated; some examples of the former are active for ACM, while no examples of the latter have been shown to catalyze ACM. ${ }^{14}$ Theoretical calculations on the model system $\mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{MoCl}_{3}+\mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{CH}$ reveal that the parallel approach of the alkyne to the alkylidyne group is symmetry-allowed, leading to the formation of a metalacyclobutadiene
complex. ${ }^{15}$ However, the corresponding perpendicular approach leading to a metalatetrahedrane was found to be symmetry-forbidden, indicating that such a pathway would have a high energy barrier. ${ }^{15}$ The same study also found the metalatetrahedrane $\operatorname{Mo}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$ to be $52 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ more stable in energy than the corresponding metalacyclobutadiene $\operatorname{Mo}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$, ${ }^{15}$ suggesting that metalatetrahedrane complexes may be catalyst deactivation products in ACM systems. On the other hand, some metalacyclobutadienes are active ACM catalysts. As such, it is currently accepted that ACM proceeds through a metalacyclobutadiene intermediate.

Most ACM catalysts have the general formula $\mathrm{RC} \equiv \mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{OR}^{\prime}\right)_{3}$ with $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Mo}, \mathrm{W}$. For these catalysts, several important reactivity trends have been noted. First, a more Lewis acidic metal center corresponds to an increase in the rate of ACM. ${ }^{14}$ One manner of raising the metal acidity is to use a less donating alkoxide ligand. This effect is noted both computationally ${ }^{16}$ and experimentally, as $\mathrm{RC} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)_{3}$ is active for ACM while $\mathrm{RC} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{OCMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ is not. ${ }^{17}$ A second method to increase the metal acidity is to use a less electronegative metal while retaining the same alkoxide identity. For example, $\mathrm{RC} \equiv \mathrm{W}\left(\mathrm{OCMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ catalyzes ACM while the corresponding Mo complex does not. ${ }^{17,18}$ The lower acidity for Mo relative to W also results in Mo catalysts displaying a higher tolerance of polar functional groups. ${ }^{4}$ Finally, the decreased spatial extent of the Mo 4d orbitals relative to the W 5 d orbitals results in a higher activation barrier for metalacycle formation with Mo than with W given the same ligand set. ${ }^{16}$

Typically ACM is limited to internal alkyne substrates. In some instances terminal alkynes can undergo $\mathrm{ACM},{ }^{19}$ however the turnover numbers are very low. One proposed reason for the failure of terminal alkynes to undergo ACM is that degenerate
metathesis occurs, thus preventing productive ACM. ${ }^{17}$ A second obstacle is the formation of catalytically inactive deprotiometalacyclobutadiene species, of which a variety have been isolated for both Mo and W. ${ }^{17,20}$ Additionally, both terminal alkynes and internal alkynes can be polymerized by alkylidyne complexes. Steric factors are important in the polymerization side reaction, as both smaller alkoxide ligands and smaller alkynes lead to larger amounts of polymerization products. ${ }^{11,19}$ The composition of the poly(alkyne) products remain elusive, leading to uncertainty in the polymerization mechanism. Current theories for polymer formation include a ring expansion mechanism, ${ }^{21}$ a bimolecular mechanism, ${ }^{22}$ and the presence of trace alkylidene complexes, which are known to polymerize alkynes. ${ }^{19,23,24}$

Azametalacyclobutadiene species can also be formed as unobserved intermediates in a variety of reactions (Scheme 1.3). Complexes $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{W}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{3},{ }^{25}$ $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)_{3},{ }^{26}$ and $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{NCMe})^{26}$ have been shown to react with ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ labeled nitriles to generate the corresponding ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} \equiv[\mathrm{M}]$ complexes. The mechanism is assumed to proceed through a diazametalacyclobutadiene intermediate, which is not observed (Path A, Scheme 1.3). Monoazametalacyclobutadiene intermediates can be formed through reaction of either a metal nitride complex with an alkyne (Path B) ${ }^{27}$ or a metal alkylidyne complex with a nitrile (Path C). ${ }^{28-32}$ The ancillary ligand identity has been found to influence the relative thermodynamic stability of W and Mo nitride and alkylidyne complexes. Strong alkoxide donors typically favor the more oxidizing nitride ligand over the alkylidyne ligand. ${ }^{33}$ Conversely, weak alkoxide ligands favor the less oxidizing alkylidyne ligand over the nitride ligand. ${ }^{33}$ For a given ligand set, if there is a large energy difference between the alkylidyne and nitride complexes, then no
equilibrium between the two species can be established. However, if the energies of the alkylidyne and nitride complexes are close enough to allow reversible interconversion, then NACM is possible. ${ }^{34,35}$


Scheme 1.3. Formation of azametalacyclobutadiene species.

While a wide variety of metalacyclobutadienes have been isolated, there are no examples of Group 6 azametalacyclobutadiene complexes. In one case, an azametalacyclobutadiene (AMC) was formed and isolated from the reaction between a transient Ti alkylidyne complex with a nitrile (Scheme 1.4). ${ }^{36}$ An X-Ray structure could not be obtained to definitively exclude the possibility of an azametalatetrahedrane (AMT) structure. Support for the AMC geometry came from DFT calculations which found it to be the more stable isomer by $40 \mathrm{kcal} /$ mol. ${ }^{36}$ Additionally, the calculated ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ NMR chemical shift of $\delta 676 \mathrm{ppm}$ matched well to the experimental chemical shift of $\delta 672.6$ ppm (reference to $\mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{l})$ at 0 ppm$) .{ }^{36}$


Scheme 1.4. Formation of a Ti azametalacycle.

### 1.2 Early Hetero- and Homogeneous ACM catalysts

The first ACM catalysts were heterogeneous silica-supported tungsten oxide species. ${ }^{37,38}$ These systems operated in a continuous flow reactor over a temperature range of $100-500{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Large amounts of aromatic byproducts were formed through a cyclotrimerization pathway, making these heterogeneous catalysts impractical for selective alkyne formation. The active species in these systems were proposed to be surface metal alkylidyne species, though no evidence has been reported to support this claim.

In 1974, Mortreaux and Blanchard extended ACM to the homogeneous phase with the discovery that an in-situ combination of $\operatorname{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ and phenol gave an active ACM catalyst. ${ }^{39}$ Later studies indicated that more acidic phenols can be used to generate more reactive catalyst mixtures. ${ }^{1,40}$ The functional group tolerance of the system is high, with groups such as acetates, nitriles, amides, and sulfones being tolerated, among others. ${ }^{1,40}$ As the catalyst precursors are inexpensive, commercial, and can be weighed directly in air, the $\operatorname{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ system is quite convenient for use by organic chemists. However, low activity and high operating temperatures $\left(140-150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ somewhat limit the system's utility. Additionally, the inability to identify the actual catalyst species makes systematic optimization difficult.

Some years later, an analogous $\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ catalyst mixture was discovered. ${ }^{41}$ The tungsten system is less attractive for ACM as it requires higher catalyst loadings and operating temperatures than the molybdenum system. Furthermore, the range of compatible functional groups is greatly reduced in the tungsten system.

### 1.3 Tungsten Alkylidyne ACM Catalysts

The first well-defined ACM catalyst was reported by Schrock in 1981. ${ }^{18}$ Schrock's original synthesis, shown in Scheme 1.5, involved treatment of $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{CC} \equiv \mathrm{W}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with HCl in the presence of DME to give $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{CC} \equiv \mathrm{WCl}_{3}$ (DME). Subsequent treatment of $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{CC} \equiv \mathrm{WCl}_{3}(\mathrm{DME})$ with a variety of lithium alkoxides led to isolation of a variety of neopentylidyne complexes, $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{CC} \equiv \mathrm{W}(\mathrm{OR})_{3}$. An improved synthetic route was later discovered in which internal alkynes promote W-W triple-bond scission in $\mathrm{W}_{2}(\mathrm{OR})_{6}$ complexes (Scheme 1.5). ${ }^{42,43}$



Scheme 1.5. Synthesis of tungsten alkylidyne complexes.

The prototypical catalyst $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{CC} \equiv \mathrm{W}\left(\mathrm{OCMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$, which is now commercially available, is far more active than the early ill-defined ACM systems. At $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, unsymmetrical internal alkynes are metathesized to an equilibrium of the unsymmetrical alkyne and the corresponding symmetrical alkyne in less than 4 hours. ${ }^{18}$ Catalysts
containing fluorinated tert-butoxide ligands $\mathrm{OCMe}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{\mathrm{n}-1}$ display even faster rates of ACM , with a higher degree of fluorination corresponding to a higher rate of metathesis. ${ }^{17}$ The size of the alkoxide ligand was found to be important as ligands smaller than tertbutoxide yield higher amounts of alkyne polymerization products relative to ACM products. ${ }^{11}$ Despite the high catalytic activity, these alkylidyne complexes are highly sensitive to moisture, oxygen, and are incompatible with polar functional groups including thioethers, thiophenes, alcohols, amines, and crown ethers. ${ }^{2,4}$

More recently, Schrock has reported a class of tungsten alkylidyne complexes containing mixed alkyl-alkoxide ancillary ligands. ${ }^{44}$ As shown in Scheme 1.6, treatment of $\mathrm{WCl}_{3}(\mathrm{OAr})_{3}(\mathrm{Ar}=2,6$-diisopropylphenoxide $)$ with neopentyl Grignard leads to the formation of $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{CC} \equiv \mathrm{W}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{OAr})_{2}$. Subsequent treatment with $\mathrm{LiNPh}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and 1-adamantanol (HOAd) generates $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{CC} \equiv \mathrm{W}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{OAd})_{2}$.


Scheme 1.6. Synthesis of alkyl-alkoxide neopentylidyne complexes.

The bis-aryloxide complex is a poor ACM catalyst as it requires more than 24 hours at room temperature to reach an equilibrium mixture of ACM products and generates large amounts of alkyne polymerization products. In the presence of 3-hexyne, the aryloxide catalyst exists predominantly at a metalacyclobutadiene resting state. Sluggish cycloreversion from the metalacyclobutadiene could account for both the slow ACM rate and the observed alkyne polymerization. Conversely, the bis-adamantoxide complex undergoes more rapid ACM, with an equilibrium mixture of products being observed in less than three hours at room temperature. The adamantoxide catalyst has a propylidyne resting state, indicating that cycloreversion from the metalacycle is rapid. The effect of the alkyl ligand on the functional group compatibility has not been reported at the present time.

### 1.4 Molybdenum Alkylidyne ACM Catalysts

Shortly after his initial report on the synthesis of W neopentylidyne complexes, Schrock reported a similar synthesis for the lighter congener $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{CC} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right)_{3} .{ }^{45}$ Similar ligand substitutions allowed for the isolation of a variety of complexes with the form $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{CC} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{OR})_{3}$ (Scheme 1.7). Unlike the case of tungsten, the complex $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{CC} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{OCMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ reacts very slowly with internal alkynes at room temperature. Use of $-\mathrm{OCMe}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ as the alkoxide ligand improves the rate of metathesis for smaller alkynes, but the rates for larger alkynes such as 3-heptyne are still rather slow. Neopentylidyne complexes containing the alkoxide $-\mathrm{OCMe}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2}$ are even more active for ACM, with 3-heptyne being metathesized rapidly at room temperature. The complexes $\mathrm{RC} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{OAr})_{3}(\mathrm{OAr}=2,6$-diisopropylphenoxide) were also found to be
highly active ACM catalysts, with equilibrium mixtures formed in less than five minutes at room temperature. ${ }^{45}$ For $\mathrm{RC} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{OAr})_{3}$, only the neopentylidyne complex $(\mathrm{R}=$ $\mathrm{CMe}_{3}$ ) is a solid, while the propylidyne complex ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Et}$ ) is reported to be an oil. ${ }^{17}$



Scheme 1.7. Synthesis of molybdenum alkylidyne complexes.

Unlike the tungsten analogue, $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{CC} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ is not amenable to isolation on a large scale as the yields are low and purification is difficult. Dimolybdenum complexes $\mathrm{Mo}_{2}(\mathrm{OR})_{6}$ were originally thought to be unreactive towards triple-bond scission with alkynes, as no alkylidyne complexes were observed upon treatment with symmetrical internal alkynes. ${ }^{46}$ Recently, Geyer discovered that $\mathrm{Mo}_{2}(\mathrm{OR})_{6}$ complexes do indeed catalyze ACM of unsymmetrical alkynes at temperatures ranging from $25-45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{47}$ Heating the reaction mixtures to $75-85{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ allowed for the spectroscopic observation of the complexes $\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{OR})_{3}\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OCMe}_{3}, \mathrm{OCMe}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)$, though the pure alkylidynes could not be isolated (Scheme 1.8). When $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OCMe}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2}$, no buildup of an alkylidyne complex was observed, suggesting that only a trace amount of an alkylidyne complex is formed. The complexes $\mathrm{Mo}_{2}(\mathrm{OR})_{6}$ and $\mathrm{R}^{\prime} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{OR})_{3}$ were
concluded to exist in equilibrium, with more donating alkoxides displaying greater conversion to the benzylidyne complex. This trend makes intuitive sense as stronger alkoxide donors should facilitate the formal $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{III})$ to $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{VI})$ oxidation. Additionally, the equilibrium lies much further to the right for the analogous W system (Scheme 1.5), since W is less electronegative than Mo and therefore easier to oxidize.



Scheme 1.8. Molybdenum alkylidyne formation through $\mathrm{Mo} \equiv$ Mo bond scission.

When the propylidyne complex $\mathrm{EtC} \equiv \mathrm{MoCl}_{3}$ (DME) was treated with $\mathrm{LiOR}(\mathrm{R}=$ $\mathrm{OCMe}_{3}, \mathrm{OCMe}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ), complexes $\mathrm{EtC} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{OR})_{3}$ were formed and subsequently reacted bimolecularly at low temperatures to yield $\mathrm{Mo}_{2}(\mathrm{OR})_{6}($ Scheme 1.8$) .{ }^{47}$ No $\mathrm{Mo} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}$ bond formation was observed when more highly fluorinated alkoxides were employed, though the isolated alkylidynes retained a DME ligand that likely prevented further reaction. These observations illustrate the subtle influence that sterics can have on the reactivity of these complexes, as the neopentylidyne complex $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{CC} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{OCMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ has never been reported to undergo self-reaction to give $\mathrm{Mo}_{2}(\mathrm{OR})_{6}$.

### 1.5 Mo ACM Catalysts via $\operatorname{Mo}(\mathbf{N}[\mathbf{R}] \mathbf{A r})_{3}$

Other synthetic routes to molybdenum ACM catalysts have been reported. In 2000, Cummins reported a multi-step synthesis of $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{2} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{OAd})_{3}(\mathrm{OAd}=1-$ adamantoxide). ${ }^{48}$ Beginning with their previously reported molybdaziridine-hydride complex, ${ }^{49}$ they found that introduction of trimethylsilylacetylene formed a paramagnetic $\eta^{2}$-alkyne complex that was isolated as the $\left[\left(\eta^{2}-R C C R '\right) \operatorname{Mo}\left(N\left[{ }^{i} \operatorname{Pr}(\operatorname{Ar})_{3}\right][I]\right.\right.$ salt following oxidation by 0.5 equiv $\mathrm{I}_{2}$. Reduction with $\mathrm{LiHBEt}_{3}$ afforded an $\eta^{2}$-vinyl complex, which rearranged at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give an alkylidyne tris-amido complex. Finally, protonolysis with 1-adamantanol yielded the alkylidyne tris-adamantoxide complex (Scheme 1.9). The adamantoxide complex is active for ACM at room temperature, though few details on rates or substrate scope were given. ${ }^{48}$ Given the tedious synthesis and reactive nature of the molybdaziridine hydride (which reacts with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ ), ${ }^{49}$ Cummins' ACM catalyst has not found widespread use.


Scheme 1.9. Cummins' molybdenum alkylidyne synthesis.

Cummins' related molybdenum tris-anilide complex $\left.\operatorname{Mo}\left(\mathrm{N}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right] \mathrm{Ar}\right){ }_{3}{ }^{50}$ was later found by Furstner to give an active ACM mixture upon activation with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and other geminal dihalides. ${ }^{51,52}$ These ACM mixtures displayed good yields of metathesis products when heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A wide range of functional groups were tolerated, though secondary amides and substrates containing acidic protons remained problematic. ${ }^{52}$ The complexes $\left.\mathrm{ClMo}\left(\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right] \mathrm{Ar}\right)_{3}$ and $\mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{N}^{\left.\left.t^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right] \mathrm{Ar}\right)_{3} \text { were observed spectroscopically }{ }^{\text {a }} \text {. }}\right.$ in the in situ generated catalyst mixtures and their identities were confirmed through independent synthesis (Figure 1.1). Pure samples of $\mathrm{ClMo}\left(\mathrm{N}\left[{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right] \mathrm{Ar}\right)_{3}$ displayed catalytic activity comparable to the catalyst mixture, while the $\mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{N}\left[{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right] \mathrm{Ar}\right)_{3}$ surprisingly displayed very sluggish rates of $A C M$. When $\left.\operatorname{Mo}\left(\mathrm{N}^{[t} \mathrm{Bu}\right] \mathrm{Ar}\right)_{3}$ was activated with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in the presence of alkynes, complexes $\mathrm{Mo}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~N}^{t} \mathrm{BuAr}\right)_{4}$ and $\mathrm{MoCl}_{2}(\mathrm{NAr})\left(\mathrm{N}^{t} \mathrm{BuAr}\right)_{2}$ were isolated and crystallographically characterized (Figure 1.1). The former displayed no ACM activity, while the latter was slightly superior to the in situ generated catalyst mixture.




$\mathrm{R}={ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}, \mathrm{Ar}=3,5$-dimethylphenyl

Figure 1.1. Mo complexes observed or isolated from Furstner's ACM system.

Moore improved upon Furstner's system by introducing a "reductive recycle" strategy in which $\left.\operatorname{Mo}\left(\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right] \mathrm{Ar}\right)_{3}$ is activated with a geminal dihalide in the presence of
magnesium. ${ }^{53}$ Both $\mathrm{ClMo}\left(\mathrm{N}\left[{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right] \mathrm{Ar}\right)_{3}$ and $\mathrm{RC} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{N}\left[{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right] \mathrm{Ar}\right)_{3}$ are formed from the initial activation, but $\mathrm{ClMo}\left(\mathrm{N}\left[{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right] \mathrm{Ar}\right)_{3}$ is selectively reduced back to $\mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{N}\left[^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right] \mathrm{Ar}\right)_{3}$ (Scheme 1.10). In this way, the reaction could be driven to give high yields of the alkylidyne complexes. Subsequent alcoholysis gives in situ mixtures that are active catalysts for ACM. While Moore's system is appealing as a ready source of molybdenum alkylidyne species, the route suffers from irreproducibility as a variety of factors, such as Mg particle size, can have drastic effects on the success of the reaction.


Scheme 1.10. Moore's reductive recycle strategy.

Moore later made a heterogeneous ACM catalyst by impregnating $\mathrm{EtC} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{N}\left[{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right] \mathrm{Ar}\right)_{3}$ onto silica. ${ }^{22}$ The resulting catalyst was determined to have a surface composition of $\left.\mathrm{EtC} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right] \mathrm{Ar}\right)_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{OSi})_{3-\mathrm{n}}$ and was found to rapidly catalyze ACM at room temperature. Thiophenes and methyl benzoate groups were tolerated, though an extended functional group compatibility study was not reported. The catalyst is recyclable for at least three cycles and does not give alkyne polymerization products, which suggests a bimolecular mechanism for the polymerization reaction. Moore later reported homogeneous analogues using a variety of incompletely condensed polyhedral
oligomeric silsesquioxanes (POSS). ${ }^{54}$ A range of ACM activity was observed with different POSS's, though functional group tolerance was not reported.

### 1.6 Mo ACM catalysts via $\mathbf{N} \equiv$ [Mo] complexes

In 2006, our group reported that molybdenum alkylidyne complexes could be accessed from molybdenum nitride complexes $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{OR})_{3}\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CMe}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$ by treatment with 3-hexyne at $95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{27}$ Addition of DME to the reaction mixture containing $\mathrm{EtC} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{OCMe}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)_{3} \text { allowed for the isolation of the alkylidyne complex }}\right.$ as its DME adduct (Scheme 1.11). Later Geyer reported the similar preparation of the analogous benzylidyne complex by using 1-phenyl-1-butyne. ${ }^{47}$ Additionally, Geyer discovered that solutions of $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{OR})_{3}\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CMe}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$ effectively catalyze ACM at room temperature without prior generation of the alkylidyne ligand, presumably through formation of trace unobserved alkylidyne species.


Scheme 1.11. Reactions of Mo nitride complexes with alkynes.

As heavily fluorinated alkoxide ligands are quite expensive, catalysts utilizing less fluorinated alkoxides were desired. Geyer found that the combination of a Lewis
acid co-catalyst and $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{OR})_{3}\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CMe}_{3}, \mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)$ gave an active ACM catalyst (Scheme 1.11). ${ }^{47}$ A wide variety of Lewis acids were screened, with $\mathrm{MgBr}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{MgI}_{2}$ being among the most active. No buildup of alkylidyne complexes was observed, suggesting only trace alkylidyne formation. The role of the Lewis acid was not elucidated, but likely acts as a lone pair acceptor from either the nitride or alkoxide ligands.

The typical method for preparation of $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{OR})_{3}$ species involves the reaction of $\mathrm{MoCl}_{4}(\mathrm{NCMe})_{2}$ with an azide, such as $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$, to give an intermediate species $\left[\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{MoCl}_{3}(\mathrm{NCMe})\right]_{4}$. Subsequent treatment with LiOR leads to formation of the desired nitride complexes (Scheme 1.12). ${ }^{26,55,56}$ Seeking to avoid the use of potentially explosive azides, Furstner reported in 2009 the use of $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{OSiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{~N}\left[\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right]_{2}\right)$ as a precursor for ACM. ${ }^{57}$ The parent nitride complex, which is synthesized under relatively mild conditions (Scheme 1.12), underwent alcoholysis with a variety of fluorinated alcohols, phenols, and silanols to give an active in situ ACM catalyst mixture at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Of the alcohols screened, $\mathrm{HOSiPh}_{3}$ gave the best results, with the ring-closing alkyne metathesis (RCAM) of their benchmark dialkyne being complete within 1.5 hours. Though a mixture of molybdenum species were observed spectroscopically, only $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{OSiPh}_{3}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{py})$ could be isolated upon addition of pyridine to the in situ mixture. The pyridine adduct was only slightly less reactive than the in situ generated catalyst mixture. Additionally, the pyridine adduct was found to be tolerant of both pyridine and thiophene substrates, which are typically challenging functional groups in ACM.


Scheme 1.12. Routes to obtain Mo nitride complexes.

### 1.7 Applications of ACM

Though not as common as with olefin metathesis, ${ }^{58,59}$ applications for the construction of complex molecules using ACM have been of interest. One such tool is ring-closing alkyne metathesis (RCAM), in which a diyne substrate is metathesized to give a cyclic alkyne product (Scheme 1.13). The alkyne functionality can then be transformed further to other functional groups such as $Z$-alkenes (Lindlar reduction). ${ }^{52}$ Because the latter transformations proceed stereoselectively, RCAM offers an advantage over the corresponding ring-closing olefin metathesis reaction, which typically yields mixtures of $E$ - and $Z$-isomers.


Scheme 1.13. Tandem RCAM - Lindlar reduction to give cyclic Z-alkenes.

RCAM has been mediated by a variety of ACM catalysts. Original reports of RCAM employed $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{6} / \mathrm{ROH}$ and $\mathrm{RCW}\left(\mathrm{OCMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ catalysts. ${ }^{40,60-63}$ However, the usefulness of RCAM was attenuated by the limited functional group compatibility of the catalysts. Furstner later reported that his in situ $\mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{N}\left[{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right] \mathrm{Ar}\right)_{3} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ catalyst also efficiently mediated RCAM. ${ }^{4,}{ }^{64}$ Due to the higher functional group tolerance of $\left.\operatorname{Mo}\left(\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right] \mathrm{Ar}\right)_{3}$, Furstner was able to employ RCAM in a late stage step in the total synthesis of epothilone C (Scheme 1.14), which is a member of a family of chemotherapy drugs. ${ }^{52}$ This ring-closing is particularly interesting as the substrate contains challenging thiophene and pyridyl functional groups. As described previously, $\left.\operatorname{Mo}\left(N{ }^{t}{ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right] \mathrm{Ar}\right)_{3}$ is quite synthetically challenging, and so Furstner recently described the use of the less synthetically challenging but similarly functional group tolerant



Scheme 1.14. RCAM step in total synthesis of epothilone C.

A second application for ACM is in the synthesis of conjugated aryleneethynylene polymers and macrocycles. In the case of polymer synthesis, a 1,4-diyne (Scheme 1.15) undergoes ACM to yield a poly(arylene-ethynylene) (PAE), in which the polymer backbone is fully conjugated. PAE's display favorable optical properties that
make them attractive for use in applications such as LED displays. ${ }^{65}$ The most common method of PAE synthesis is via Pd-catalyzed cross coupling, which can lead to problems with end group ambiguity, defect structures, and separation of the PAE from Pd and P byproducts. ${ }^{66}$ Polymerizations via ACM using $\mathrm{RC} \equiv \mathrm{W}\left(\mathrm{OCMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{6} / \mathrm{ROH}$ were able to avoid the typical problems associated with PAE synthesis, and a variety of structures could be obtained. ${ }^{65,67,68}$
n


Scheme 1.15. Poly(arylene-ethynylene)'s via ACM.

ACM of diyne substrates can also give macrocyclic structures as shown in Figure $1.2 .^{3}$ A variety of ring sizes have been synthesized via $A C M$, depending on the substitution pattern of the diyne. Because ACM is an equilibrium process, macrocycle formation via ACM leads to formation of a thermodynamic product, which often allows for high conversions to a given macrocycle. ${ }^{69}$ Contrarily, Pd-catalyzed cross coupling reactions lead to kinetic products and subsequently very low yields of the desired macrocycle, as the C-C bond formation is irreversible. Initial ACM synthesis used the catalysts $\mathrm{RCW}\left(\mathrm{OCMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{6} / \mathrm{ROH}$, but still often gave low yields due to the lack of a driving force to force the equilibrium towards the desired product. ${ }^{69}$




Figure 1.2. Arylene-ethynylene macrocycles synthesized via ACM.

Using his reductive-recycle catalyst, Moore investigated a vacuum-driven strategy to remove 2-butyne as it was formed during the course of the ACM reaction. ${ }^{70}$ This approach led to successful high-yield syntheses of hexameric macrocycles (Figure 1.2) and the corresponding pentameric macrocycles. However, the vacuum-driven ACM strategy only worked well on a milligram scale. Moore next investigated a precipitationdriven ACM strategy in which the typical 1-propynyl group was replaced with a large benzoylbiphenyl acetylene group. ${ }^{70}$ The insoluble bis-benzoylbiphenyl acetylene product readily precipitates from the reaction solution, which allowed for high conversions to a tetrameric carbazole macrocycle (Scheme 1.16). Later Moore demonstrated that the carbazole tetramer could also be synthesized using the same strategy with his homogeneous Mo-POSS ACM catalyst. ${ }^{54}$ These macrocycles are potentially useful materials, as nanofibril films of the carbazole tetramer have been employed in the detection of explosives via fluorescence quenching. ${ }^{71}$



Scheme 1.16. Carbazole macrocycle synthesis via precipitation-driven ACM.

### 1.8 Nitrile-Alkyne Cross Metathesis

Schrock's alkylidyne complex $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{CC} \equiv \mathrm{W}\left(\mathrm{OCMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ is known to react irreversibly with nitriles to give the nitride complex $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{W}\left(\mathrm{OCMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ (Scheme 1.17). ${ }^{28}$ The discovery of reversible NACM was first made in our lab by Gdula, who demonstrated that $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{W}\left(\mathrm{OCMe}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{DME})$ will reversibly interconvert into the corresponding alkylidyne complex in the presence of alkynes at $95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{56}$ This observation allowed for the development of catalytic NACM. ${ }^{34,35}$ By employing a "sacrificial" alkyne, e.g. 3hexyne, nitriles RCN were transformed into their corresponding alkyne species RCCEt and RCCR.
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\mathrm{OR}=\mathrm{OCMe}_{3}
$$




$$
\mathrm{OR}=\mathrm{OCMe}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}
$$



$$
\mathrm{OR}=\mathrm{OCMe}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2}
$$

Scheme 1.17. Known W alkylidyne and nitride complex interconversions.

Further studies in our lab by Geyer demonstrated that $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{W}\left(\mathrm{OCMe}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{3}$ was also an active NACM catalyst. ${ }^{34,35,47}$ Mechanistic studies determined that the alkoxide ligand identity affected the position of the equilibrium between the nitride and alkylidyne complexes (Scheme 1.17). When $\mathrm{OR}=\mathrm{OCMe}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$, the nitride complex is favored over the alkylidyne complex. However, the alkylidyne complex was favored over the nitride complex when $\mathrm{OR}=\mathrm{OCMe}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2}$. Because the nitride ligand is more oxidizing than the alkylidyne ligand, ${ }^{33}$ decreasing the alkoxide donor strength has the net effect of stabilizing the alkylidyne complex relative to its corresponding nitride complex (Scheme 1.18). Therefore NACM is only observed within a limited range of ancillary ligand donor strengths, as both the nitride and alkylidyne complexes must be thermally accessible from each other.


Scheme 1.18. Effect of alkoxide donor strength on relative energies of W nitride and alkylidyne complexes.

Chapter 2 discusses the functional group tolerance of the current W NACM catalysts. As expected from a Lewis acidic W catalyst, a variety of functional groups will be shown to be problematic. Since Mo ACM displays a wider range of functional group tolerance than their W counterparts, one can reasonably expect a Mo NACM catalyst to exhibit the same trend. However, NACM with Mo poses several challenges. First, the requisite alkylidyne to nitride transformation has never been observed for Mo. ${ }^{47,56}$ This lack of reactivity is attributed both to the greater barrier to metalacycle formation for Mo relative to $\mathrm{W},{ }^{16}$ and to the greater electronegativity of Mo, which causes alkylidyne ligation to be more favorable than nitride ligation. ${ }^{33}$ Finally, the tendency of Mo alkylidyne complexes to form $\mathrm{Mo}_{2}(\mathrm{OR})_{6}$ species can lead to a deactivation pathway for NACM, as the dimolybdenum complexes do not react with nitriles. ${ }^{47}$ Therefore, discovery of a Mo NACM catalyst will require these obstacles to be overcome or avoided.

### 1.9. Trianionic (XXX) Pincer Ligands

As discussed in Chapter 3, our lab became interested in the use of trianionic (XXX) pincer ligands. At the time the current work was begun, only one bulky XXX pincer ligand had been reported, while several others have been reported since. The previously known example was an $\mathrm{H}_{3}\left[\mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{NR}\right)_{2}\right]$ triamido ligand from the Schrock group (Scheme 1.19). ${ }^{72}$ Metalation of $\mathrm{H}_{3}\left[\mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{NR}\right)_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)$ occurred with $\mathrm{TaMe}_{5}$ to give $\mathrm{Ta}\left[\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{NR}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{Me}_{2}$ (Scheme 1.19), in which the $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{NR}\right)_{2}$ ligand adopts a meridional pincer geometry. In the case of $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$, the intermediate complex $\mathrm{Ta}\left[\mathrm{HN}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{NR}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{Me}_{3}$ could be isolated prior to the final ligand deprotonation. The lack of rigidity in the pincer ligand allowed it to adopt a facial geometry upon reaction of the central amido group with $\mathrm{AlMe}_{3}\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)$. However, addition of a methyl group abstractor to $\mathrm{Ta}\left[\mathrm{HN}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{NR}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{Me}_{3}$ led to $\left[\mathrm{Ta}\left[\mathrm{HN}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{NR}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{Me}_{2}\right]^{+}$, in which the ligand retained a pincer geometry. Schrock also reported the closely related $\mathrm{Ta}\left[\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{NSiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{Me}_{2}$ complex, in which the ligand $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ linkers have been replaced by $1,2-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ linkers. ${ }^{72}$


Scheme 1.19. Schrock's XXX ligands.

In 2007, Bercaw reported an $[O C O]^{3-}$ ligand based on a 1,3-bis(2'hydroxyphenyl)benzene framework (Scheme 1.20). ${ }^{73,74}$ The ligand underwent $\sigma$-bond metathesis with $\mathrm{TaCl}_{2}(\mathrm{Me})_{3}$ to give the complex $\mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{OCO}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ with 3 equiv of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ as the byproduct. An intermediate complex preceding the CH activation was characterized and found to possess an agostic CH bond trans to the lone remaining methyl ligand. Heating the intermediate to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ led to the formation of the fully trianionic ligand. A second metalation route involved deprotonation of the phenols with KBn, followed by salt metathesis with $\mathrm{TaCl}_{2}(\mathrm{Me})_{3}$, with subsequent $\sigma$-bond metathesis leading to $\mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{OCO}) \mathrm{Me}_{2}$. In this instance, the CH activation occurred readily at room temperature, likely due to the presence of two cis methyl ligands in the proposed intermediate trialkyl species.


Scheme 1.20. Bercaw's XXX pincer ligand.

In 2008, Veige reported a similar phenolic ligand that was activated through reaction with $\mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)_{4}$ yielding $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{OCO})\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}\right)_{2} .{ }^{75}$ Subsequent treatment of this $\operatorname{Mo}(\mathrm{IV})$ complex lead to the formation of a dimeric molybdenum nitride complex
(Scheme 1.21). No triple bond metathesis activity was reported for the dimeric nitride complex, as the $-\mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ cis to the nitride ligand likely prevents approach of organic substrates. The dimer was later shown to be nucleophilic, with initial substitution occurring at the nitride ligand to generate imide complexes. ${ }^{76}$ Utilizing the nucleophilic nitride ligand, Veige reported that treatment of the dimer complex with acyl chloride substrates leads to the extrusion of a new nitrile and formation of a Mo oxo complex. ${ }^{76}$ Similar N -atom transfer reactions have previously been employed to synthesize nitriles, ${ }^{77,}$ ${ }^{78}$ with Cummins demonstrating a complete cycle for incorporation of dinitrogen into the product nitrile. ${ }^{79}$


Scheme 1.21. Veige's XXX pincer ligand.

Veige also reported a pair of hafnium complexes containing $\mathrm{NCN}^{3-}$ ligands as shown in Scheme 1.22. ${ }^{80}$ Each ligand was first isolated as the corresponding [ $\left.\mathrm{NCN}-\mathrm{Li}_{3}\right]_{2}$ complex prior to undergoing salt metathesis with $\mathrm{HfCl}_{4}$. Depending on the pendant aryl group identity, different structures were observed. When the group was bulky ( $\mathrm{Ar}=2,6-$ ${ }^{i} \mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ ), a single NCN ligand was coordinated to Hf, with the Ar groups nearly
perpendicular to the ligand backbone in the solid state. With the smaller group $\mathrm{Ar}=3,5-$ $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$, two NCN ligands were coordinated to Hf , and each ligand was nearly planar.




Scheme 1.22. Veige's $[\mathrm{NCN}]^{3-} \mathrm{Hf}$ complexes.

Heyduk has reported a redox-active pincer ligand with an ONO framework (Scheme 1.23). ${ }^{81}$ In its fully reduced state, the pincer is formally an XXX pincer ligand. The complex $\mathrm{TaCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{ONO}^{\text {red }}\right)$ underwent sequential one-electron oxidations with $\mathrm{PhICl}_{2}$ to give the complexes $\mathrm{TaCl}_{3}\left(\mathrm{ONO}^{\text {sq }}\right)$ and $\mathrm{TaCl}_{4}\left(\mathrm{ONO}^{q}\right)$ in which the ONO ligand exists in its semiquinone ( sq ) and quinone ( q ) oxidized states, respectively (Scheme 1.23). In the same study, the bridging imide complex $\left[\left(\mathrm{Ta}\left(\mathrm{ONO}^{\text {red }}\right)(\mathrm{py})-\mu-\mathrm{NAr}\right]_{2}\right.$ was found to extrude $\mathrm{ArN}=\mathrm{NAr}$ upon treatment with $\mathrm{PhICl}_{2}$. This latter transformation presumably proceeds through an initial oxidation of the ONO ligand as Ta is already in its highest oxidation state.


Scheme 1.23. Redox active ONO pincer ligand.

Shortly after his report of a redox active ONO pincer ligand, Heyduk reported a closely related NNN pincer ligand. ${ }^{82}$ Methoxy groups were introduced into the aryl backbone in order to increase the reducing ability of the ligand. Upon isolation of $\mathrm{TaCl}_{3}\left(\mathrm{NNN}^{\mathrm{sq}}\right)$ with the NNN ligand in its semiquinone form (Scheme 1.24 center), cyclic voltammetry studies revealed that reversible one-electron reduction and oxidation were both possible, giving the reduced and quinone forms respectively. The small potential gap $\left(\Delta \mathrm{E}^{\circ \prime}=0.5 \mathrm{~V}\right)$ relating the three oxidation states indicates that all three should be readily accessible. The redox-active NNN ligand then allowed for nitrene transfer from an organic azide to $\mathrm{Ta}(\mathrm{V})$, a process which requires an input of $2 \mathrm{e}^{-}$into the azide group.


Scheme 1.24. Redox active NNN pincer ligand.

### 1.10 Electronic Tuning of Pincer Ligands

As described previously in the current chapter, the ancillary ligand donor strength can have a direct and significant effect on the metathesis reactivity of Group 6 nitride and alkylidyne complexes. Pincer ligand donation strength is commonly tuned by introducing electron-withdrawing or electron-donating groups into the pincer backbone. This often requires the synthesis of a wide variety of ligand precursors, which can be tedious. ${ }^{83}$ A novel approach is to introduce a Lewis basic site into the pincer backbone that does not coordinate to the metal center. Subsequent interaction of the basic site with a Lewis acid can pull electron density away from the metal center, thus changing its reactivity. Examples of electronically tunable pincer ligands are sparse and currently limited to late transition metals.

Milstein introduced a PCP pincer ligand in which the central phenyl ring has been replaced with a 3,5-lutidine ring (Fig 1.3). ${ }^{84}$ The outer pyridyl nitrogen atom was able to react with Lewis acids, with the $\mathrm{BEt}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ adducts being reported. Incorporation of electron withdrawing para substituents is known to cause a downfield shift for the $\mathrm{C}_{i p s o}$ chemical shift in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum as a result of decreased electron density at the $\mathrm{C}_{\text {ipso }}$ atom. ${ }^{85,86}$ A similar effect is observed upon Lewis acid coordination to Milstein's PCP ligands, with both the $\mathrm{BEt}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ adducts displaying deshielded $\mathrm{C}_{\text {ipso }}$ chemical shifts relative to the parent complex. ${ }^{84}$ The reduction in electron density at $\mathrm{C}_{i p s o}$ is expected to make the arylide ring a weaker donor ligand, which in turn reduces the electron density at Pd. This effect is indeed observed, as Lewis acid coordination causes the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR resonances to shift downfield as a result of increased donation to Pd .

$\delta{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\text {ipso }}=170.89 \mathrm{ppm}$ $\delta{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}=33.07 \mathrm{ppm}$

$\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\text {ipso }}=177.06 \mathrm{ppm}$
$\delta{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}=34.55 \mathrm{ppm}$

$\delta^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\text {ipso }}=176.89 \mathrm{ppm}$
$\delta^{31} \mathrm{P}=34.74 \mathrm{ppm}$
$\delta{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}=34.74 \mathrm{ppm}$

Figure 1.3. Milstein's 3,5-lutidine PCP ligand.

Cauzzi recently reported a zwitterionic $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{SNS})(\mathrm{CO})$ complex as shown in Scheme $1.25 .{ }^{87}$ The imine-N atoms of the SNS pincer are available for Lewis acid interaction, and the sequential protonation of each was demonstrated. Increasing protonation was found to increase $v(\mathrm{CO})$ from $1953 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{SNS})(\mathrm{CO})$ to $2013 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\left[\mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SNS}\right)(\mathrm{CO})\right]^{2+}$. The change in CO stretching intensity corresponds to a reduction in electron density at Rh as a result of weaker donation from the thione ligand relative to the original thiolate ligand. Computationally derived Mulliken populations confirmed the decreasing Rh electron density (Scheme 1.25). The rate of oxidative addition of MeI by $\left[\mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SNS}\right)(\mathrm{CO})\right]^{1+}$ was found to be three orders of magnitude slower than the parent $\operatorname{Rh}(\mathrm{SNS})(\mathrm{CO})$. Double protonation led to an even slower rate of MeI oxidative addition by $\left[\mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SNS}\right)(\mathrm{CO})\right]^{2+}$, though the rate was not determined.


Scheme 1.25. Sequential protonation of $\operatorname{Rh}(\mathrm{SNS})(\mathrm{CO})$.

Williams has demonstrated that deprotonation of 2,6-bis-imidazolylpyridine pincer ligands in complexes $\left[\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{II}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCN}\right)_{2}\right]^{2+}$ leads to spontaneous metal oxidation, yielding complexes $\left[\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{III}}(\mathrm{NCN})_{2}\right]^{1-}($ Scheme 1.26$) .{ }^{88,89}$ A result of increased N -atom donor strength, the deprotonation-induced spontaneous oxidation was observed for Fe , Ru , and Co complexes, but not for Ni. Cyclic voltammetry indicated a large drop in the $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{II}} / \mathrm{M}^{\text {III }}$ oxidation potential, with the protonated complexes undergoing reversible oxidation at positive potential and the deprotonated complexes being reversibly oxidized at negative potentials. The protonated $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II})$ complex displayed spin-crossover behavior with $\mu_{\text {eff }}=3.6 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$ at room temperature and $\mu_{\mathrm{eff}} \approx 0 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$. at 200 K . Conversely, the deprotonated Fe complex was confirmed to be low spin $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{III})$ with $\mu_{\text {eff }}=1.9 \mu_{\mathrm{B}} .{ }^{89}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II}) / \mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{III})=+0.663 \mathrm{~V} \\
& \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II}) / \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{III})=+0.286 \mathrm{~V} \\
& \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II}) / \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{III}) \text { not observed }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II}) / \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{III})= & -0.460 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II}) / \mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{III})= & -0.619 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II}) / \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{III})= & -1.031 \mathrm{~V}(\text { red. }) \\
& -0.521 \mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{ox.})
\end{aligned}
$$

Scheme 1.26. Redox state switching induced through pincer ligand deprotonation.

### 1.11 Thesis Goals

The long-term goal of the current work is to develop NACM for use in organic synthesis. The first half of Chapter 1 will discuss the substrate scope of current W NACM catalysts, ${ }^{34,35}$ along with the application of NACM in the synthesis of conjugated macrocycles. ${ }^{35}$ In the second half of Chapter 2, the synthesis of thermally robust Mo nitride complexes is discussed, along with their concurrent NACM reactivity. Chapter 3 will describe the design and synthesis of XXX pincer ligands intended to facilitate NACM, as well as the challenges associated with their ligation to early transition metals. In Chapter 4, XXX-Zr complexes are utilized to investigate the ability of a new XXX ligand to undergo post-ligation electronic tuning. An understanding of the degree of electronic tuning possible will be useful to apply to future NACM catalysts containing similar XXX ligands.
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## Chapter 2:

## Nitrile-Alkyne Cross Metathesis with Group 6 Nitride Complexes

### 2.1. Introduction

Nitrile-alkyne cross metathesis (NACM) is a convenient method to form a new carbon-carbon triple bond from a pre-existing carbon-nitrogen triple bond. The actual synthetic utility of NACM is dependent on the tolerance of the catalyst for a diverse array of functional groups. In Chapter 1, NACM was successfully demonstrated with W nitride catalysts, but the functional group tolerance of the systems remained unknown. Therefore the substrate tolerance of the W catalysts will be addressed in this chapter, along with the application of NACM in the synthesis of arylene ethynylene macrocycles.

As described in Chapter 1, Mo-based ACM catalysts are typically tolerant of a wider variety of functional groups than W-based ACM catalysts. The inability of current Mo complexes to catalyze NACM will be addressed along with strategies to overcome the inherent obstacles associated with Mo. Finally, new complexes will be synthesized and tested for NACM activity.

### 2.2 Substrate Scope of [W] $\equiv$ N Catalysts

Previous studies have determined the optimized reaction conditions for NACM reactions with complexes $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ and $\mathbf{2 . 2}$ (Figure 2.1). ${ }^{1,2}$ Use of 3-hexyne as an inexpensive "sacrificial" alkyne allows for the facile initiation of the NACM cycle. For a given nitrile
substrate $\mathrm{RC} \equiv \mathrm{N}$, both the unsymmetrical alkyne $\mathrm{RC} \equiv \mathrm{Et}$ and the symmetrical alkyne $\mathrm{RC} \equiv \mathrm{CR}$ can be formed. If desired, mixtures of the alkyne products can be driven towards symmetrical alkyne formation through ACM following the in vacuo removal of 3-hexyne and propionitrile from the reaction solution.


2.2

Figure 2.1. W-NACM catalysts.

In conjunction with Dr. Andrea Geyer, a survey of nitrile substrates was completed to determine the functional group compatibility of $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ and $\mathbf{2 . 2}$ (Table 2.1). The reactions were catalyzed by the more active $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ unless otherwise noted. Substrates possessing halide, alkyl, tertiary aniline, and vinyl groups are tolerated. Nitriles containing electron-donating groups (-OMe) reach equilibrium much faster than those containing electron-withdrawing groups $\left(-\mathrm{Br},-\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)$. Thiophene substrates are also tolerated, which is surprising as thiophenes have been previously shown to be incompatible with tungsten-based ACM catalysts. ${ }^{3,4}$ Catalyst 2.1 shows good tolerance of methyl ester groups, but poor tolerance for acetals and ketals and is incompatible with tert-butyl esters. Better conversions of acetal and ketal substrates could be achieved by using 2.2, which also displayed a low tolerance for the tert-butyl ester group. The increased tolerance of $\mathbf{2 . 2}$ towards these functional groups is attributed to the decreased Lewis acidity of tungsten as a result of stronger electron donating alkoxide ancillary ligands.

Table 2.1. Nitrile substrates tolerated in NACM.


NMR conversions. Conditions: toluene $-d_{8}, 95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20$ equiv of nitrile, catalyst $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ unless otherwise noted. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Catalyst 2.2.

As seen in Table 2.2, a variety of polar functional groups were shown to be incompatible with NACM catalyzed by 2.1. Three modes of reaction failure were identified: deactivation of the tungsten nitride complex, deactivation of the alkylidyne complex, and failure to undergo NACM without catalyst deactivation. In all cases,
catalyst deactivation was determined through addition of anisonitrile (4methoxybenzonitrile) to the reaction mixture - lack of NACM reactivity with anisonitrile indicated catalyst deactivation. Ketone (entry 2), pyridyl (entry 6), and protic (entries 4, $7,9-11$ ) groups were found to immediately deactivate the nitride complex $\mathbf{2 . 1}$; in these reactions, no propionitrile formation was observed, indicating deactivation of the nitride complex prior to reaction with 3-hexyne. Various other substrates (entries $1,3,5,8,12$ ) led to deactivation of the alkylidyne complex, as a stoichiometric amount of propionitrile was formed. Bulky substrates (entries 13-14) proved too large to undergo metathesis, but did not cause catalyst deactivation.

Table 2.2. Catalyst deactivation modes (indicated by Y) with incompatible substrates.

| Entry | Starting Nitrile | Cat. D [W]N | omp. <br> [W]CEt | Entry | Starting Nitrile | Cat. De [W]N | omp. <br> [W]CEt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | N | Y | 8 |  | N | Y |
| 2 |  | Y |  | 9 |  | Y |  |
| 3 |  | N | Y | 10 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{\sim} \sim \mathrm{CN}$ | Y |  |
| 4 |  | Y |  | 11 |  | Y |  |
| 5 |  | N | Y | 12 |  | N | Y |
| 6 |  | Y |  | 13 |  | N | N |
| 7 |  | Y |  | 14 | $+\mathrm{CN}$ | N | N |

Overall, 2.1 displays a relatively low tolerance of polar functional groups, especially relative to Schrock's ACM catalyst $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{CC} \equiv \mathrm{W}\left(\mathrm{OCMe}_{3}\right)_{3} .{ }^{3,4}$ Some of the functional group intolerance likely stems from the Lewis acidic tungsten center, which tends to react with polar functional groups. Additionally, the high operating temperatures required for NACM likely led to more rapid catalyst deactivation.

### 2.3 Arylene Ethynylene Macrocycles via NACM

The utility of NACM in the preparation of arylene ethynylene macrocycles was next investigated, as these macrocycles often display interesting optical properties but can be challenging to make (Chapter 1). Initial studies focused on the dicyanobenzene substrates shown in Figure 2.2. NACM reactions of 1,2-dicyanobenzene (2.3) and 4,5dimethylphthalonitrile (2.4) were found to proceed very slowly with no discernible product being formed. The slightly larger substrate 4,5-dimethoxyphthalonitrile (2.5) did not display any NACM activity. Clearly these ortho-dinitriles are too sterically hindered to undergo efficient NACM.

2.3

2.4

2.5

2.6

Figure 2.2. Unsuccessful substrates for macrocycle formation.

The less hindered 5-tert-butylisophthalonitrile (2.6) was active for NACM catalyzed by 2.1. However, NACM with $\mathbf{2 . 6}$ was not selective for macrocycle formation,
as a large number of product peaks were observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum. Attempts to drive the reaction towards selective macrocycle formation through in vacuo removal of 3hexyne and propionitrile were unsuccessful.

The formation of a tetrameric carbazole-derived macrocycle was next targeted. As shown in Scheme 2.1, synthesis of the desired substrate was straightforward. Deprotonation of 3,6-dibromocarbazole with NaH followed by reaction with 1tetradecylbromide led to the formation of 3,6-dibromo- $N$-tetradecylcarbazole (2.7) in 86 \% isolated yield. Rosenmund-von Braun coupling of 2.7 with CuCN then gave the desired substrate, 3,6-dicyano-N-tetradecylcarbazole (2.8), in $54 \%$ isolated yield.



Scheme 2.1. Synthesis of an arylene ethynylene tetramer using NACM.

NACM reactions of $\mathbf{2 . 8}$ were found to proceed efficiently using $20 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathbf{2 . 1}$ and 2 equiv 3-hexyne in bromobenzene. After 20 hours of reaction time, a mixture of $\mathbf{2 . 9}$ and other oligomers was formed. Removal of volatile 3-hexyne and propionitrile followed by

ACM of the reaction mixture gave the desired tetrameric arylene ethynylene macrocycle 2.9 in good conversion. Macrocycle $\mathbf{2 . 9}$ could be isolated in $68 \%$ yield after workup. Its identity was confirmed through MALDI-TOF mass spectroscopy and comparison of its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra to reported values. ${ }^{5}$

Moore's precipitation-driven ACM strategy is the best reported method of synthesizing 2.9. The current synthesis gives an overall yield (32 \%) comparable to Moore's route $(42 \%)^{5}$ in three fewer steps; optimization of the reaction conditions to make substrate 2.8 would likely improve the current overall yield. Additionally, Moore's strategy relies on the formation of a large, insoluble alkyne byproduct to drive macrocycle formation, which is atom uneconomical relative to the current byproduct of 3-hexyne. Therefore, the current synthesis of 2.9 highlights that NACM can be more advantageous than ACM.

Given the successful synthesis of a tetrameric macrocycle, we next investigated the ability to form other tetrameric macrocycles via NACM. Synthesis of the siloxide substrate $\mathbf{2 . 1 0}$ was readily achieved by condensation of $p$-cyanophenol with diisopropyldichlorosilane in the presence of triethylamine (Scheme 2.2). Extraction of the crude product with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and subsequent removal of excess $p$-cyanophenol by sublimation afforded $\mathbf{2 . 1 0}$ as a yellow oil in 64 \% yield. Studies by Dr. Andrea Geyer indicated that $\mathbf{2 . 1 0}$ does participate in NACM, with both the trimeric (2.11) and tetrameric (2.12) macrocycles being formed in $33 \%$ conversion each. ${ }^{6}$ The lack of conversion to a single structure is likely the result of the flexibility of the siloxide unit. Preparations of $\mathbf{2 . 1 1}$ and $\mathbf{2 . 1 2}$ via ACM also do not occur selectively, and so the two macrocycles must be separated from each other. ${ }^{7}$




Scheme 2.2. Formation of silicon-containing macrocycles by NACM.

### 2.4 Design of Mo NACM Catalysts

As seen in Section 2.2, NACM catalysts $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ and $\mathbf{2 . 2}$ have limited functional group tolerance, making their utility somewhat limited. Because Mo ACM catalysts are often more functional group tolerant than W catalysts, we next sought to develop NACM catalysts based on Mo. Chapter 1 discussed some of the challenges in the design of Mo NACM catalysts, including 1) higher barriers to metalacycle formation for Mo relative to
$\mathrm{W},{ }^{8}{ }^{2}$ ) alkylidyne ligation being favored over nitride ligation, ${ }^{9}$ and 3) dimerization of $\mathrm{RC} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{OR}^{\prime}\right)_{3}$ complexes to give $\mathrm{Mo}_{2}\left(\mathrm{OR}^{\prime}\right)_{6}$ species. ${ }^{6}$

Given that the alkylidyne-to-nitride conversion has never been observed for Mo, the obvious solution is to heat the metathesis mixtures to increasingly higher temperatures until nitride formation occurs. With current Mo systems containing fluorinated alkoxides, this approach was expected to result in catalyst decomposition by either bimolecular decomposition to give $\mathrm{Mo}_{2}\left(\mathrm{OR}^{1}\right)_{6}$ species ${ }^{6}$ or alkoxide $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond scission resulting in Mo-oxo species. ${ }^{10}$ To prevent these deactivation pathways, we sought to use thermally robust ligands that possess enough steric bulk to prevent bimolecular decomposition.

Not only do the ancillary ligands need to be large and thermally robust, but the donating strength of the ligand must be appropriate to allow reversible interconversion between nitride and alkylidyne ligation. Given the successful conversion of $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)_{3}$ to $\mathrm{EtC} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)_{3},{ }^{11}$ we chose to use alcohols with a pKa near that of $\mathrm{HOC}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Me}\left(\mathrm{pKa}=13.3, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right){ }^{12} \quad$ Phenol $\left(\mathrm{pKa}=9.5, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; 18.0\right.$, DMSO $)^{13}$ and triphenylsilanol $(\mathrm{pKa}=16.6, \mathrm{DMSO})^{14}$ were estimated to have an appropriate pKa and therefore were of interest for use as ancillary ligands. Because phenol is rather small, we chose to use phenols containing bulky substituents in the 2,6positions. Additionally, silanols of the type $\mathrm{HOSiPh}_{2} \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{R}=$ alkyl $)$ were of interest, as the alkyl substitution would make the corresponding siloxide ligand a stronger donor ligand than triphenylsiloxide.

### 2.5 Protonolysis of $\mathbf{N} \equiv \mathbf{M o}\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)_{3}$

Protonolysis of Mo-NR 2 bonds was investigated for installation of the desired ancillary ligands. The known complex $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)_{3}(\mathbf{2} .13)^{15}$ seemed a good candidate for protonolysis. In a modification of the literature procedure, $\mathbf{2 . 1 3}$ was synthesized by reaction of $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{OCMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ with $\mathrm{Zr}\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)_{4}$. Complex $\mathbf{2 . 1 3}$ was subsequently isolated in $77 \%$ yield by precipitation from toluene / pentane. The literature procedure uses $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)_{4}$, which is purified by distillation and is flammable. Therefore, the advantage in the current synthesis of $\mathbf{2 . 1 3}$ is that $\mathrm{Zr}\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)_{4}$ is readily purified by crystallization.

2.13

Scheme 2.3. Synthesis of 2.13.

Addition of 2.1 equiv $\mathrm{HOSiPh}_{3}$ to a benzene solution of $\mathbf{2 . 1 3}$ led to the precipitation of $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{OSiPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}\right)(2.14)$, which was isolated in $93 \%$ yield (Scheme 2.4). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 . 1 4}$ displays two methyl resonances each for the $-\mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{NHMe} 2_{2}$ ligands, indicating that rotation about the $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds is hindered. No crosspeaks between the $-\mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}$ groups were observed in the 2D NOESY spectrum of 2.14, suggesting a square pyramidal geometry with trans siloxide ligands.


Scheme 2.4. Protonolysis of $\mathbf{2 . 1 3}$ with $\mathrm{HOSiPh}_{3}$.

Protonation of the final $-\mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ ligand proved more challenging. Ultimately, reaction between $\mathbf{2 . 1 3}$ and an excess of $\mathrm{HOSiPh}_{3}$ in THF at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ led to the formation of $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{OSiPh}_{3}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}\right)(\mathbf{2 . 1 5})$ as shown in Scheme 2.4. Complex 2.15 displayed low solubility in most solvents, except THF and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and hence $\mathbf{2 . 1 5}$ was isolated in $62 \%$ yield containing a small amount of an unknown impurity. A single $-\mathrm{OSiPh}_{3}$ environment was observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 . 1 5}$, indicating either fast exchange of the siloxide ligands or a geometry in which the $\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}$ ligand is trans to the nitride ligand.

Next investigated was $\mathrm{HOSiPh}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu},{ }^{16}$ since the corresponding siloxide ligand should be a stronger electron donor than $-\mathrm{OSiPh}_{3}$, and thus could affect the catalyst resting state in a NACM reaction. When $\mathbf{2 . 1 3}$ was treated with 3.2 equiv of $\mathrm{HOSiPh}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$, mixtures of $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{OSiPh}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}\right)(\mathbf{2 . 1 6})$ and $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{OSiPh}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}\right)$ (2.17) were formed. The third protonation step proved quite slow, with only $85 \%$ conversion to 2.17 being observed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy after heating the reaction mixture for 5 days at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For this reason, neither $\mathbf{2 . 1 6}$ nor $\mathbf{2 . 1 7}$ was isolated.


Scheme 2.5. Protonolysis of $\mathbf{2 . 1 3}$ with $\mathrm{HOSiPh}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$.

The structurally related alcohol $\mathrm{HOCPh}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ was found to react cleanly with $\mathbf{2 . 1 3}$ in THF at room temperature to give $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{OCPh}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}\right)$ (2.18) as an initial product (Scheme 2.6). However, upon multiple precipitations from toluene / pentane, the $\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}$ ligand was lost and $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{OCPh}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)_{3}$ (2.19) could be isolated in $21 \%$ yield. Unsurprisingly, $\mathbf{2 . 1 9}$ rapidly decomposed at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give 1,1-diphenylethene as the only product observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum.


Scheme 2.6. Protonolysis of $\mathbf{2 . 1 3}$ with $\mathrm{HOCPh}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$.

X-ray quality crystals of $\mathbf{2 . 1 8}$ were grown from a toluene / pentane solution at -35 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis revealed that $\mathbf{2 . 1 8}$ crystallizes in the triclinic space group $\mathrm{P} \overline{1}$. The dimethylamine ligand is rotationally disordered over two equally occupied positions, and was confirmed to be located trans to the nitride ligand. The MoN bond for $\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}$ is very long at $2.606(6) \AA$ and $2.584(6) \AA$ for the two sites.

Additionally, the $\mathrm{Mo} \equiv \mathrm{N}$ triple bond is quite long at $1.700(4) \AA$ due to the presence of a $\sigma$ donor $\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}$ trans to the nitride ligand. This distance is among the longest found for a terminal nitrido complex of Mo. ${ }^{17}$


Figure 2.3. $50 \%$ thermal ellipsoid plot of 2.18. The hydrogen atoms and $1 \mathrm{NHMe}_{2}$ are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) and angles (deg): Mo1-N2, 1.700(4); Mo1-O1, 1.883(3); Mo1-O2, 1.884(3); Mo1-O3, 1.883(3); Mo1-N1a, 2.606(6); N2-Mo1O1, 102.18(15); N2-Mo1-O2, 104.09(15); N2-Mo1-O3, 103.01(15); N2-Mo1-N1a, 175.5(2); O1-Mo1-O2, 113.64(12); O1-Mo1-O3, 117.53(12); O2-Mo1-O3, 113.91(12). Complete XRD data can be found in Appendix 1.

Complex 2.13 also reacted readily with bulky substituted phenols. Treatment of
2.13 with 2,6-di-tert-butylphenol resulted in a single protonation to give $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{OAr})\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)_{2}(\mathbf{2 . 2 0})$; the inclusion of excess phenol did not lead to further substitution (Scheme 2.7). Washing the crude mixture with cold pentane allowed for the isolation of $\mathbf{2 . 2 0}$ in $81 \%$ yield. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for $\mathbf{2 . 2 0}$ displays two broad peaks for the $-\mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ groups due to hindered rotation. Cooling a toluene $-d_{8}$ solution of $\mathbf{2 . 2 0}$ to $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ resulted in sharpening of the dimethylamido resonances.

2.13

5.0 equiv


THF

1) $\mathrm{THF}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
2) $\mathrm{MeCN},-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$


$$
\mathrm{Ar}=2,6-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}
$$

Scheme 2.7. Protonolysis of $\mathbf{2 . 1 3}$ with substituted phenols.

As 2,6-di-tert-butylphenol proved too large to achieve multiple substitutions, a slightly smaller phenol was next employed. Treatment of $\mathbf{2 . 1 3}$ with an excess of 2,6diisopropylphenol at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in THF led to the formation of both $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{OAr})_{3}\left(\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}\right)$ (2.21) and $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{OAr})_{2}\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}\right)$ (2.22) at intermediate reaction times (Scheme 2.7). After extended reaction periods ( $>20 \mathrm{hrs}$ ), ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy indicated that $\mathbf{2 . 2 2}$ had been consumed, with $\mathbf{2 . 2 1}$ being the only Mo compound observed. Separation of 2.21 from the remaining phenol proved difficult, as each is highly soluble in nonpolar solvents, including pentane. Ultimately, deep-red crystals of $\mathbf{2 . 2 1}$ were grown slowly from MeCN at $-35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $\mathbf{2 . 2 1}$ could be obtained in $85 \%$ yield. Addition of a seed crystal to the solution greatly facilitated the crystal growth of $\mathbf{2 . 2 1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of crystalline $\mathbf{2 . 2 1}$ revealed the presence of 0.4 equiv of HOAr and 0.1 equiv of $\mathbf{2 . 2 2}$. The presence of $\mathbf{2 . 2 2}$ in the isolated product was surprising, as no $\mathbf{2 . 2 2}$ was observed in the reaction mixture prior to crystallization. Apparently a mechanism for conversion of $\mathbf{2 . 2 1}$ to $\mathbf{2 . 2 2}$ exists under the crystallization conditions.

X-ray quality crystals of $\mathbf{2 . 2 1}$ were grown from an acetonitrile solution at $-35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis revealed that $\mathbf{2 . 2 1}$ crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{n}$. The Mo-N triple bond length is typical at $1.6509(10) \AA$, and the Mo$\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}$ bond length of $2.2859(11) \AA$ is much shorter than observed in $\mathbf{2 . 1 8}$ since the $\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}$ ligand is now bound cis to the nitride ligand rather than trans. The mutually trans aryloxide rings lie approximately in a plane containing the $\mathrm{Mo} \equiv \mathrm{N}$ bond, while the third aryloxide is approximately orthogonal to the plane. In contrast, only a single aryloxide environment is observed in the solution ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 . 2 1}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 2.4. $50 \%$ thermal ellipsoid plot of 2.21. The hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (A) and angles (deg): Mo1-N1, 1.6509(10); Mo1-O1, $1.9292(8) ; \mathrm{Mo1-O} 2,1.9206(8) ; \mathrm{Mo1-O} 3,1.9333(8) ; \mathrm{Mo} 1-\mathrm{N} 2,2.2859(11)$; N1-Mo1-O1, 103.93(4); N1-Mo1-O2, 109.65(4); N1-Mo1-O3, 102.35(5); N1-Mo1-N2, 92.79(5); O1-Mo1-O3, 95.12(4); O1-Mo1-N2, 81.34(4); O2-Mo1-O3, 92.97(3); O2-Mo1-N2, 81.49(4). Complete XRD data can be found in Appendix 2.

Of the $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{OR})_{3}\left(\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}\right)$ complexes isolated, only $\mathbf{2 . 1 8}\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CPh}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)$ was found to possess a labile $\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}$ ligand. The observed $\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}$ lability of $\mathbf{2 . 1 8}$ is likely a result of stronger $\sigma$-donation from the $-\mathrm{OCPh}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ ligand relative to $-\mathrm{OSiPh}_{3}(\mathbf{2} . \mathbf{1 5})$ and -
$\mathrm{O}-2,6-{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ (2.21). Therefore, the Mo center of $\mathbf{2 . 1 8}$ is more electron rich and the $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}$ bond is consequently weaker and more labile.

### 2.6 Protonolysis of $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{N}[\mathrm{R}] \mathrm{Ar})_{3}$

Expecting that a bound $\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}$ ligand could inhibit triple-bond metathesis reactions of $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{OR})_{3}\left(\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}\right)$ complexes, the corresponding base-free complexes $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{OR})_{3}$ were sought. In this regard, Cummins' complexes $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{N}[\mathrm{R}] \mathrm{Ar})_{3}(\mathrm{R}=$ $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu},{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr} ; \mathrm{Ar}=3,5-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)^{18,19}$ proved useful as the aniline products of protonolysis are likely too large to bind. The tert-butyl derivative $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{N}\left[{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right] \mathrm{Ar}\right)_{3}$ reacted readily with 3.5 equiv of $\mathrm{HOSiPh}_{3}$ to give $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{OSiPh}_{3}\right)_{3}$ (2.23) as the only observable product (Scheme 2.8). The reaction proceeded slowly at room temperature, but was complete within 6 hours at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in toluene. Separation from the aniline byproducts by precipitation from toluene / pentane afforded $\mathbf{2 . 2 3}$ as a white powder in $51 \%$ yield.


Scheme 2.8. Protonolysis of $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{N}\left[{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right] \mathrm{Ar}\right)_{3}$ with $\mathrm{HOSiPh}_{3}$.

The larger silanol $\mathrm{HOSiPh}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ reacted extremely slowly with $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{N}\left[{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right] \mathrm{Ar}\right)_{3}$, with only small amounts of substitution observed over 8 days at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Use of the smaller isopropyl derivative $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{N}\left[{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}\right] \mathrm{Ar}\right)_{3}$ facilitated the reaction greatly. Treatment
of $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{N}\left[{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}\right] \mathrm{Ar}\right)_{3}$ with 3.2 equiv of $\mathrm{HOSiPh}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ in toluene at room temperature led to the rapid formation of $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{OSiPh}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{~N}[\mathrm{Pr}] \mathrm{Ar})$ (2.24) as judged by ${ }^{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy (Scheme 2.9). Heating the reaction mixture at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ resulted in the appearance of $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{OSiPh}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{3}(\mathbf{2 . 2 5})$, with a $79 \%$ conversion to $\mathbf{2 . 2 5}$ observed after 3 hours. Further heating of the reaction mixture resulted in negligible change in the product composition. Cooling an acetonitrile solution of the crude mixture to $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ resulted in the precipitation of a white powder; several sequential precipitations followed by lyophilization from benzene allowed for the isolation of $\mathbf{2 . 2 5}$ as a yellow oil in $59 \%$ yield.


Scheme 2.9. Protonolysis of $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{N}\left[{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}\right] \mathrm{Ar}\right)_{3}$ with $\mathrm{HOSiPh}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$.

The reaction of 2,6-diisopropylphenol with both $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{N}\left[{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right] \mathrm{Ar}\right)_{3}$ and $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{N}\left[{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}\right] \mathrm{Ar}\right)_{3}$ was very slow. Multiple products were observed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy at all reaction times. Therefore, the 2,6-diisopropyl substitution pattern is shown to be too congested at the -OH group to allow efficient protonation of the bulky anilide ligands.

### 2.7 ACM Studies of Mo Nitride Complexes

The ACM activity of the new $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{OR})_{3}(\mathrm{~L})$ complexes was assessed prior to investigating their NACM activity. Catalytic reactions were performed in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ at a catalyst concentration of $5 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}$ with 20 equiv 1-phenyl-1-butyne as the substrate. As seen in Scheme 2.10, the ACM products of 1-phenyl-1-butyne are diphenylacetylene and 3 -hexyne. The reaction progress was readily monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy through integration of the Ph group resonances with respect to an internal standard of 1,3,5-trimethoxy benzene. At a statistical equilibrium mixture as shown in Scheme 2.10, the integrations for 1-phenyl-1-butyne and diphenylacetylene would be equivalent.


Figure 2.5. Statistical equilibrium mixture of ACM with 1-phenyl-1-butyne.

Of the five tri-alkoxide complexes synthesized, three were active for ACM. The highest activity was observed with $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{OSiPh}_{3}\right)_{3}$ (2.23), which catalyzes ACM at room temperature to a reaction equilibrium within 2 hours (Table 2.3). When the ACM mixture was heated to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, an $80 \%$ conversion to an alkylidyne complex was observed after 16 hours. The complexes $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{OSiPh}_{3}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}\right)(\mathbf{2 . 1 5})$ and $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{O}-2,6-$ $\left.{ }^{i} \mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}\right)$ (2.21), which each contain a basic $\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}$ ligand, were found to require elevated temperatures for ACM to occur. However, equilibrium mixtures were reached rapidly within $1.5-2.5$ hours at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, indicating sufficient lability of the $\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}$ ligand to allow interaction of the Mo center with incoming alkyne substrate.

While $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{OSiPh}_{3}\right)_{3}$ (2.23) was found to be a very active ACM catalyst, the related complex $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{OSiPh}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{3}(\mathbf{2 . 2 5})$ was not active for ACM even at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Steric effects of the larger $-\mathrm{OSiPh}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ likely increase the activation barrier for metalacycle formation for $\mathbf{2 . 2 5}$ relative to $\mathbf{2 . 2 3}$, though the magnitude of the effect is currently unknown. Secondly, inductive effects of the ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ group should render $-\mathrm{OSiPh}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ a stronger electron donor ligand than $-\mathrm{OSiPh}_{3}$, thus making nitride ligation more favorable than alkylidyne ligation for $\mathbf{2 . 2 5}$. A pKa value for $\mathrm{HOSiPh}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ or similarly substituted silanols has not been reported for comparison to $\mathrm{HOSiPh}_{3}$. However, the nearly identical pKa's of $\mathrm{HOSiPh}_{3}(\mathrm{pKa}=16.57, \mathrm{DMSO})^{14}$ and $\mathrm{HOCPh}_{3}(\mathrm{pKa}=16.97, \mathrm{DMSO})^{14}$ suggest that $-\mathrm{OSiPh}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ and its carbon analogues should have similar electron donor abilitites. Like 2.25, $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{OCPh}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)_{3}$ (2.19) was inactive for ACM and decomposed at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with 1,1 -diphenylethene being observed as a decomposition product. As $-\mathrm{OCPh}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ is smaller than $-\mathrm{OSiPh}_{3}$, electronic influences also play a role in the inability of $\mathbf{2 . 1 9}$ and 2.25 to catalyze ACM.

Table 2.3. Catalytic ACM of 1-phenyl-1-butyne. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Complex | $\mathrm{Temp} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{Time} / \mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | \% PhC $\equiv \mathrm{CEt}$ | \% $\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh}$ | \% EtC $\equiv \mathrm{CEt}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 . 1 5}$ | 75 | 1.5 | 50 | 25 | 25 |
| $\mathbf{2 . 1 9}$ | 75 | 21.0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{2 . 2 1}^{\mathbf{2 . 2 3}^{\mathrm{c}}}$ | 75 | $\mathrm{RT}^{\mathrm{d}}$ | 2.5 | 50 | 25 |
| $\mathbf{2 . 2 5}^{\mathbf{2 . 2}}$ | 90 | 9.0 | 50 | 25 | 25 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ NMR scale reactions with $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ catalyst at catalyst concentration of $10 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}$ in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$. Product compositions were determined from integration of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum resonances. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Time to reaction completion in hours. ${ }^{\text {c }} 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ catalyst. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{RT}=$ room temperature.

### 2.8 NACM Studies of Mo Nitride Complexes

In order to test for NACM activity, the complexes $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{OR})_{3}\left(\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}\right)(\mathbf{2 . 1 5}$, 2.21) and $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{OR})_{3}(\mathbf{2 . 2 3}, \mathbf{2 . 2 5})$ were heated in the presence of 10 equiv of 1-phenyl-1-butyne and 10 equiv of anisonitrile. Incorporation of a $p$-methoxyphenyl unit into any one of three possible alkyne products would be evidence for conversion of a metalalkylidyne species to its corresponding metal-nitride complex. Anisonitrile was chosen as the nitrile substrate for two reasons. First, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum resonances for both the $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ and ArH (ortho to MeO) are not typically obscured by other peaks. Secondly, in NACM reactions catalyzed by 2.1, anisonitrile is more reactive for NACM that most other nitrile substrates (Table 2.1).


Figure 2.6. Design of NACM test reaction.

During the course of the NACM survey, it was gratifying to discover that $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{OSiPh}_{3}\right)_{3}$ (2.23) was active for NACM at $180-185{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in solutions of $\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{5}$. Both 1-(but-1-ynyl)-4-methoxybenzene (A) and 1-methoxy-4-(phenylethynyl)benzene (B) were observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum and their identities were confirmed by GC-

MS and comparison to literature NMR shifts. As seen in Figure 2.7, increasing the catalyst concentration from $20 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}(22 \mathrm{mM})$ to $40 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}(42 \mathrm{mM})$ resulted in higher conversions to NACM products. At a concentration of $40 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}, \mathrm{NACM}$ is the most rapid and productive with $>20 \%$ conversion obtained over 8 hours. At all concentration levels, an alkylidyne species can be observed through appearance of a second $o-\mathrm{Ph} H$ resonance for the siloxide ligand. Catalyst deactivation occurs during the course of the reaction, and so a true equilibrium is never established. The alkylidyne form of the catalyst likely accounts for most of the decomposition, as $\mathbf{2 . 2 3}$ decomposes only slightly upon heating at $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 hours in $\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{5}$ solution.


Figure 2.7. Conversion to NACM products catalyzed by 2.23.

At low catalyst concentrations ( $20-30 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}$ ), productive NACM occurred over 12 hours, while at a higher concentration $\left(40 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}\right)$ NACM activity ceased after

8 hours. In all cases, the cessation of NACM activity occurs prior to complete catalyst decomposition, as both the nitride and alkylidyne species were observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the NACM-inactive reaction mixtures. The NACM inhibition may arise from the presence of decomposition products in the reaction mixture, though the mode of inhibition is currently unknown. The faster rate of catalyst deactivation at higher concentration is suggestive of an alkylidyne complex bimolecular decomposition pathway, possibly resulting in a $\mathrm{Mo}_{2}(\mathrm{OR})_{6}$ species. ${ }^{6}$ However, three separate reaction pathways (I-III) affect the alkylidyne concentration as shown in Figure 2.8, all of which are likely accelerated at increased initial concentrations. Therefore, at higher initial concentrations the nitride-alkylidyne equilibrium may lie further towards an alkylidyne species, thereby increasing the decomposition rate without invoking a bimolecular mechanism. Without a more detailed mechanistic study the factors influencing the mode of catalyst decomposition cannot be elucidated further.


Figure 2.8. Catalyst reaction pathways in NACM with 2.22.

The other ACM catalysts (2.15, 2.21, and 2.25) did not display any NACM activity under similar conditions $\left(185{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 40 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}\right.$ catalyst concentration). In the case of $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{OSiPh}_{3}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}\right)$ (2.15), complete complex deactivation occurred after 20 hours, though the decomposition products are unknown. The 2,6-
diisopropylphenoxide complex, $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{OAr})_{3}\left(\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}\right)(\mathbf{2} .21)$, was clearly unstable under the reaction conditions, as free HOAr was the only observable phenolic product after 4 hours of reaction. One possible mechanism for the decomposition of $\mathbf{2 . 2 1}$ would be formation of an aryloxide radical via homolytic Mo-O bond scission. The complex $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{OSiPh}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{3}(\mathbf{2 . 2 5})$ was very stable under the reaction conditions, with ACM occurring at the reaction temperature of $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No alkylidyne formation was observed, suggesting formation of only a trace amount of alkylidyne complex. Given the importance of alkylidyne concentration found for 2.22, it is not surprising that the trace amounts of alkylidyne species formed from $\mathbf{2 . 2 5}$ are not sufficient to promote NACM.

Other known complexes (Figure 2.9) were also investigated for NACM under the current reaction conditions; as expected, none of these complexes were active for NACM. Complexes 2.28-2.30 are all known ACM catalysts, ${ }^{6,11,20}$ and accordingly ACM was observed in the current NACM test reactions. The thermal stability of $\mathbf{2 . 2 8}$ and $\mathbf{2 . 2 9}$ was observed to be very low, as only small amounts of nitride complex remained after 4 hours at $185{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Decomposition of $\mathbf{2 . 3 0}$ was not readily ascertained from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, though the absence of additional ACM upon addition of excess 1-phenyl-1butyne suggests a lack of alkylidyne species in the reaction mixture. Complexes $\mathbf{2 . 2 6}$ and 2.27 were found to catalyze ACM under the test conditions, which is significant as neither has previously been demonstrated to catalyze ACM without a Lewis acid cocatalyst. ${ }^{6}$ In particular, 2.27 was quite stable under the reaction conditions, though no buildup of an alkylidyne complex was noted, explaining why NACM was not observed.

$2.26 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CMe}_{3}$
$2.27 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$
2.28 $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CMe}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2}$

2.30

Figure 2.9. Literature complexes tested for NACM.

### 2.9 Conclusions

Tungsten catalyst $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ was found to be an efficient catalyst for effecting NACM using a variety of nitrile substrates. Good functional group compatibility was observed in the absence of very polar groups and protic groups. In a few cases, the less Lewis acidic catalyst 2.2 gave better conversions for some functional groups, such as acetals and ketals. A tetrameric arylene ethynylene macrocycle (2.9) was successfully synthesized using NACM catalyzed by 2.1. Silicon-containing macrocycles (2.11, 2.12) could also be formed through NACM, though not selectively.

A variety of new Mo nitride complexes were synthesized by protonolysis of $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{N}[\mathrm{R}] \mathrm{R}^{\prime}\right)_{3}$ compounds. The size of the amido ligand was found to be important for several reasons. First, in most examples, protonolysis of the small amido ligand $-\mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ led to products containing a strongly bound $\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}$ group, while protonolysis of the larger amido groups allowed for the isolation of base-free complexes. Second, protonation of large amido groups was found to be sensitive to small changes in the amido group size. For example, $\mathrm{HOSiPh}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ was slow to protonate the $-\mathrm{N}\left[{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right] \mathrm{Ar}$ group, while protonation of the $-\mathrm{N}\left[{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}\right] \mathrm{Ar}$ group was faster.

Four of the new Mo nitride complexes were found to be active for ACM: 2.15, 2.21, 2.23, and 2.25. Complexes $\mathbf{2 . 1 5}$ and $\mathbf{2 . 2 1}$ required heating prior to the onset of ACM , as the bound $\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}$ ligand prevents ACM at room temperature. The $\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}-$ free complex 2.23, an analogue of 2.15, was found to rapidly catalyze ACM at room temperature. The rates of ACM for $\mathbf{2 . 1 5}, \mathbf{2 . 2 1}$, and $\mathbf{2 . 2 3}$ are faster than found for tertbutoxide derived complexes 2.26-2.29, ${ }^{6}$ though the challenging syntheses render them less practical. A catalyst with stronger siloxide donor ligands (2.25) requires excessive heating for ACM to occur (> $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), making it an unattractive ACM catalyst. The first example of Mo-catalyzed NACM was discovered using 2.23 as a catalyst at $185^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{5}$ solution. Increased catalyst concentration was found to result in greater conversions to NACM products. At a $40 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}(42 \mathrm{mM})$ concentration of 2.23, a 23 \% conversion to NACM products ( $>2$ turnovers with $10 \%$ 2.23) was achieved over 8 hours. Decomposition of the alkylidyne complex under the reaction conditions prevented the establishment of reaction equilibrium. Other Mo nitride complexes were found to be inactive for NACM under the same reaction conditions, emphasizing the importance of ancillary ligand identity in triple-bond metathesis.

### 2.10 Experimental

### 2.10.1 Methods

All reactions were performed in an atmosphere of dinitrogen, either in a nitrogenfilled MBRAUN Labmaster 130 glove box or by using standard air-free techniques. ${ }^{21}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded at $499.909 \mathrm{MHz}, 399.967 \mathrm{MHz}$, or or 300.075 MHz on a Varian Inova 500, Varian Inova 400, Varian MR400, or Varian Inova 300 spectrometer
and referenced to the residual protons in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(7.26 \mathrm{ppm})$, bromobenzene- $d_{5}$ (7.18 $\mathrm{ppm}), \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}(7.16 \mathrm{ppm}), \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5.32 \mathrm{ppm})$, or toluene- $d_{8}(2.09 \mathrm{ppm}) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectra were recorded at 282.384 MHz on a Varian Inova 300 spectrometer or 376.326 MHz on a Varian Inova 400 spectrometer and were referenced to an external standard of $\mathrm{CFCl}_{3}$ in CDCl3 ( 0.00 ppm ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded at 100.724 MHz on a Varian Inova 400 or Varian MR400 spectrometer and were referenced to naturally abundant ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ nuclei in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ( 77.16 ppm ), $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ (128.06 ppm), toluene- $d_{8}$ (125.49), or $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 54.00 ppm ). GC/MS data were collected on a Shimadzu GCMS-QP5000 with a Restek XTI-5 phase column ( $30 \mathrm{~m}, 0.25$ I.D., 0.25 D. F.). HRMS data were collected on a Micromass AutoSpec Ultima with electrospray ionization. MALDI-TOF MS data were collected on a Micromass TofSpec-2E, and EI-MS data were collected on a VG (Micromass) 70-250S magnetic sector mass spectrometer. Combustion analyses were performed either on a Perkin-Elmer 200 Series II Analyzer or by Midwest Microlabs, LLC.

### 2.10.2 Materials

All bulk solvents were obtained from VWR scientific. Benzene and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ were degassed and dried over $4 \AA$ molecular sieves, and all other solvents used were dried and deoxygenated by the method of Grubbs. ${ }^{22}$ 3,5-dimethylbenzonitrile, ${ }^{23}$ 4-(1(ethylenedioxy)ethyl)benzonitrile, ${ }^{24} \quad 4$-(1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)benzonitrile, ${ }^{25} \quad t$-butyl-4cyanobenzoate, ${ }^{26} 3$-iodopropionitrile, ${ }^{27} \mathrm{HOSiPh}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu},{ }^{16} \mathrm{Zr}\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right) 4,{ }^{28} \mathrm{NMo}\left[\mathrm{N}\left({ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)(3,5-\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)\right]_{3},{ }^{18} \quad \mathrm{NMo}\left[\mathrm{N}\left({ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}\right)\left(3,5-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)\right]_{3},{ }^{15} \quad \mathrm{NW}\left(\mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{DME}) \quad(\mathbf{2 . 1}),{ }^{1}$ $\mathrm{NW}\left(\mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Me}_{2}\right)_{3} \quad(\mathbf{2 . 2}),{ }^{1} \quad$ 4,5-dimethylphthalonitrile $\quad$ (2.4), ${ }^{29} \quad$ 4,5dimethoxyphthalonitrile $\quad(\mathbf{2 . 5}),{ }^{30} \quad$ 5-tert-butylisophthalonitrile $\quad(\mathbf{2 . 6}),{ }^{23} \quad \mathrm{NMo}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\dagger} \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{3}$
(2.26), ${ }^{31} \quad \mathrm{NMo}\left(\left(\mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Me}_{2}\right)_{3} \quad(\mathbf{2 . 2 7}),{ }^{32} \quad \mathrm{NMo}\left(\mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)_{3} \quad\right.$ (2.28), ${ }^{33}$ $\mathrm{NMo}\left(\mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{NCMe})(\mathbf{2 . 2 9}),{ }^{33}$ and $\mathrm{EtCMo}(\mathrm{OAd})_{3}\left(\mathrm{Ad}=1\right.$-adamantyl) $(\mathbf{2 . 3 0})^{20}$ were all made according to literature procedures. NMR solvents were obtained from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories and were dried over $4 \AA$ molecular sieves for at least 24 hours. 1-Bromotetradecane, sodium hydride ( $60 \%$ in mineral oil), bromobenzene, copper(I) cyanide, anisonitrile, 3-aminopropionitrile, $p$-aminobenzonitrile, $p$ dimethylaminobenzontrile, $\quad p$-nitrobenzonitrile, trimethylacetonitrile, $\quad p$ hydroxybenzonitrile, 2-cyanopyridine, p-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate, 4cyanostyrene, 4-bromostyrene, 4-nitrobenzonitrile, 3-(dimethylamino)propionitrile, 4acetylbenzonitrile, N -methyl- $\beta$-alaninenitrile, 3-hexyne, 1,2-dicyanobenzene, and 2,6-di-tert-butylphenol were obtained from Acros. 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzonitrile was obtained from Matrix Scientific. 1-phenyl-1-butyne was obtained from GFS Chemicals. 2-thiophenecarbonitrile was obtained from Oakwood Chemicals. Triethylamine, pbromobenzonitrile, 4-cyano-benzoic acid methyl ester, 2-thiopheneacetonitrile, 3,6dibromocarbazole, and 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene were obtained from Aldrich. 4cyanobenzaldehyde, p-toluenesulfonyl acetonitrile, and 2,6-diisopropylphenol were obtained from Alfa Aesar. 1,1-diphenylethanol was obtained from TCI. Triphenylsilanol and diisopropylchlorosilane were obtained from Gelest. All liquid nitriles and alkynes were dried for 24 hours using $4 \AA$ molecular sieves. 2-thiopheneacetonitrile was distilled prior to use. All other reagents were used as received.

### 2.10.3 Synthetic Procedures

3,6-dibromo-9-tetradecyl-9H-carbazole (2.7). In a glovebox, a solution of THF/DMF ( $30 \mathrm{~mL} / 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was measured into a 250 mL 3-neck flask fitted with a gas adapter, rubber septum, and a solid addition funnel containing NaH ( $60 \%$ in mineral oil, $0.48 \mathrm{~g}, 12.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.6$ equiv). Under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ flow on a Schlenk line, 3,6-dibromocarbazole $(2.51 \mathrm{~g}, 7.72 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.01$ equiv) was added to the flask, then 1-bromotetradecane ( 2.28 $\mathrm{mL}, 7.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added via syringe. The resulting solution was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then the NaH was added with stirring. The solution was allowed to warm overnight with stirring, then the volatiles were removed in vacuo. The remaining mixture was quenched with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The product was extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(75 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solvent was removed, and the resulting powder was washed with cold pentane ( $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and dried in vacuo, yielding white needles of 2.7 ( $3.45 \mathrm{~g}, 6.62 \mathrm{mmol}, 86 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $\delta 8.16(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{ArH}), 7.57\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.33\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 4.26(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{NCH}_{2},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.83\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH} 2\right), 1.23-1.53\left(\mathrm{~m}, 22 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{11}\right), 0.88(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 139.35,129.07$, 123.50, 123.31, 112.02, 110.46, 43.41, 32.08, 29.83, 29.80, 29.78, 29.73, 29.68, 29.60, 29.52, 29.47, 28.96, 27.34, 22.85, 14.29. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{NBr}_{2}$ : C, 59.90 ; $\mathrm{H}, 6.77$; $\mathrm{N}, 2.69$. Found: C, 60.06; H, 6.45; N, 2.65.

9-tetradecyl-9H-carbazole-3,6-dicarbonitrile (2.8). In a glovebox, dry DMF (30 mL ) was measured into a 100 mL 2-neck flask equipped with a rubber septum and gas adapter. Under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ flow on a Schlenk line, 2.7 ( $3.17 \mathrm{~g}, 6.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and copper(I) cyanide
$(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 22.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.7$ equiv) were added to the flask. A reflux condensor was fitted under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ flow and the solution heated to reflux with stirring for 26 h . The solution was cooled to room temp, then concentrated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. After stirring for 10 min, the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organics were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, then filtered through a plug of silica to remove solid impurities. The silica plug was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ until the filtrate ran colorless. The combined filtrate was concentrated to dryness. The resulting powder was washed with acetone, then dried in vacuo yielding $2.8(1.35 \mathrm{~g}, 3.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 54 \%)$ as a brown powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.79\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-}\right.$ $\left.{ }_{\mathrm{H}}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.52\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 4.35\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.83-$ $1.90\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH} 2\right), 1.22-1.33\left(\mathrm{~m}, 22 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{11}\right), 0.87\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 142.84,130.40,125.81,122.24,119.92,110.33,103.57,43.90$, 32.04, 29.78, 29.76, 29.74, 29.69, 29.60, 29.54, 29.48, 29.39, 28.98, 27.31, 22.81, 14.25. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ : C, 81.31; H, 8.53; N, 10.16. Found: C, 80.87; H, 8.41; N, 10.01.

Tetramer (2.9). In a glovebox, complex 2.1 ( $93.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.112 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2.8 $(250.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.606 \mathrm{mmol})$ were weighed into a bomb flask. Bromobenzene ( 37 mL ) and 3hexyne $(0.14 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.23 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added, then the bomb flask was sealed and placed in a $95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath. After 20 h , the solution was cooled and vacuum was pulled for 2 h to remove volatile products. The bomb flask was sealed and heated for an additional 13 h . The solution was cooled and washed through a plug of silica with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$. The filtrate was concentrated to dryness, then precipitated from $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} /$ pentane at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The mixture was filtered and the precipitate was dried in vacuo to give 2.9 ( 161.1 mg , $0.104 \mathrm{mmol}, 69 \%$ ) as an off-white powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR matched the literature values. ${ }^{5}$ MALDI-TOF $[\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{Z}]^{+}: 1542.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{112} \mathrm{H}_{140} \mathrm{~N}_{4}\right)$.

4,4'-(diisopropylsilanediyl)bis(oxy)dibenzonitrile (2.10). In a glovebox, 4cyanophenol ( $3.29 \mathrm{~g}, 27.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) was measured into a 100 mL 2-neck flask and dissolved in THF ( 40 mL ). Triethyl amine ( $3.86 \mathrm{~mL}, 27.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) was then added and the flask fitted with a gas adapter and a rubber septum. Under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ flow on a Schlenk line, a liquid addition funnel containing diisopropyldichlorosilane ( $2.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.1$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) was fitted and the flask cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The silane was added dropwise to the flask, causing a precipitate to form. After stirring overnight, the volatiles were removed in vacuo and the remaining residue was returned to a glovebox. The product was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$, filtered, and concentrated to dryness. Remaining 4cyanophenol was then removed via sublimation to give $\mathbf{2 . 1 0}(2.49 \mathrm{~g}, 7.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 64 \%)$ as a yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.55\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}$, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 1.30 (sep., $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 2.15(\mathrm{~d}, 12 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 158.10,134.29,120.51,118.80$, 105.74, 16.84, 12.53. MS $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]+=373$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si} 373.1348$, found 373.1349 .
$\mathbf{N M o}\left(\mathbf{N M e}_{2}\right)_{3}$ (2.13). Solid $\mathrm{Zr}\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)_{4}(1.8886 \mathrm{~g}, 7.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.85$ equiv) was added to a stirring solution of $\mathrm{NMo}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{3}(2.7373 \mathrm{~g}, 8.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in toluene $(110 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring for 2 hours, the solution was concentrated in vacuo to a volume
of ca. 25 mL . Pentane ( 40 mL ) was added, and the resulting precipitate was collected by vacuum filtration, washed with pentane, and dried in vacuo to give $\mathbf{2 . 1 3}$ ( $1.1844 \mathrm{~g}, 4.89$ mmol, $59 \%$ ) as a yellow powder. The filtrated was concentrated to dryness, then the residue was slurried in pentane $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was filtered and the solid was washed with pentane ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and dried in vacuo to give a second crop of $\mathbf{2 . 1 3}$ (0.3690 $\mathrm{g}, 1.52 \mathrm{mmol}, 18 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR matched the literature values. ${ }^{15}$
$\left.\left.\mathbf{N M o}\left(\mathbf{O S i P h}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{N M e}_{2}\right)\left(\mathbf{N H M e}_{\mathbf{2}}\right) \mathbf{( 2 . 1 4}\right)$. Solid $\mathrm{HOSiPh}_{3}(0.3728 \mathrm{~g}, 1.349 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.1 equiv) was added to a stirring solution of $\mathrm{NMo}\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)_{3}(0.1554 \mathrm{~g}, 0.642 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution immediately changed to a bright yellow color, which faded as a precipitate formed. After stirring 1 hour 15 minutes, pentane ( 10 mL ) was added to the mixture and the precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with pentane ( 5 mL ), and dried in vacuo to yield $2.14(0.4778 \mathrm{~g}, 0.597 \mathrm{mmol}, 93 \%)$ as a pale yellow powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $\delta 7.71-7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.34(\mathrm{~m}$, $16 \mathrm{H}), 3.68\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 2.88\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 2.33\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{3}\right), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{3}$ ), 2.27 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} H \mathrm{Me}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta 138.94,135.83,129.92$, 128.26, 62.40, 46.88, 40.90. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{MoN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}_{2}$ : C, 64.07; H, 5.78; N, 5.60. Found: C, 64.02; H, 5.75; N, 5.40.
$\mathbf{N M o}\left(\mathbf{O S i P h}_{3}\right)_{\mathbf{3}}\left(\mathbf{N H M e}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ (2.15). A solid mixture of $\mathrm{NMo}\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)_{3}(0.1343 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.555 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and $\mathrm{HOSiPh}_{3}(0.4756 \mathrm{~g}, 1.72 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.1$ equiv) was dissolved in THF ( 10 mL ) inside of a bomb flask. The flask was placed in a $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath and the reaction solution was stirred for 20 hours. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of an aliquot revealed the
presence of a small amount of remaining 2.14. Additional $\mathrm{HOSiPh}_{3}(0.2760 \mathrm{~g}, 1.00$ mmol, 1.8 equiv) was added to the reaction solution, which was then stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for an additional 18 hours. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of a second aliquot revealed the consumption of 2.14. The reaction solution was pipetted into toluene ( 60 mL ) with vigorous stirring, but no precipitate formed. The solution was concentrated in vacuo to a volume of ca. 10 mL , resulting in the precipitation of a powder. The solid was collected by vacuum filtration, washed with toluene ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and pentane ( 10 mL ), then dried in vacuo to yield $2.15(0.3363 \mathrm{~g}, 0.343 \mathrm{mmol}, 62 \%)$ as a white powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis revealed the presence of a small amount of $\mathrm{HOSiPh}_{3}$. The first crop of $\mathbf{2 . 1 5}$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, then $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the solution cooled to $-35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, resulting in the precipitation of a white powder. The powder was collected by vacuum filtration, washed with toluene ( $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and pentane ( $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), then dried in vacuo to afford $2.15(0.2512 \mathrm{~g}, 0.256 \mathrm{mmol}, 46 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the second crop revealed no improvement in purity over the first crop of $\mathbf{2 . 1 5}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $\delta 7.58$ (br s, $15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.29 (br s, $8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} H$ ), 7.13 (br s, 15 H ), 2.54 (br s, 1 H , $\left.\mathrm{N} H \mathrm{Me}_{2}\right), 1.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta 136.85,136.06,130.14$, 128.23, 41.77. $\mathrm{EI} / \mathrm{MS}[\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{Z}]^{+}: 936.9\left(\mathrm{NMo}\left(\mathrm{OSiPh}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$.
$\mathbf{N M o}\left(\mathbf{O C P h}_{2} \mathbf{M e}\right)_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{( 2 . 1 9 )}$. Solid 1,1-diphenylethanol ( $0.4588 \mathrm{~g}, 2.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.2$ equiv) was added to a stirring solution of $\mathrm{NMo}\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)_{3}(0.1745 \mathrm{~g}, 0.721 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in THF ( 12 mL ). The solution was stirred for 20 hours, then the volatiles were removed in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in toluene ( 2 mL ), then pentane ( 6 mL ) was added to the solution which was then cooled to $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 2 days, small colorless
crystals of $\mathbf{2 . 1 8}$ formed on the sides of the crystallization vial, while several large amber blocks had grown at the bottom of the vial. The amber blocks were removed from the mother liquor and rinsed with pentane $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, then they were re-dissolved in a solution of toluene $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and pentane ( 4 mL ), which was then cooled to $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Colorless clusters precipitated from the solution. The mother liquor was removed via pipet and the solid dried in vacuo to yield $2.19(0.1062 \mathrm{~g}, 0.151 \mathrm{mmol}, 21 \%)$ as white flakes. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta 7.32-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 7.09-7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 2.03\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta 148.54,128.28,127.19,126.88,87.74,29.93$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{MoNO}_{3}$ : C, 71.89; H, 5.60; N, 2.00. Found: C, 71.93; H, 5.56; N, 1.84.
$\left.\mathbf{N M o}\left(\mathbf{O}-\mathbf{2 , 6}-{ }^{\mathbf{t}} \mathbf{B u}_{2} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)\left(\mathbf{N M e}_{2}\right)_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{( 2 . 2 0}\right)$. Solid 2,6-di-tert-butylphenol ( 0.2783 g , $1.349 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) was added to a stirring solution of $\mathrm{NMo}\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)_{3}(0.3017 \mathrm{~g}, 1.246$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF ( 12 mL ). After stirring for 5 hours 30 minutes, the volatiles were removed in vacuo, then the residue was slurried in cold pentane $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was filtered and the solid was washed with cold pentane ( $3 \times 1$ $\mathrm{mL})$ and dried in vacuo to give $2.20(0.4065 \mathrm{~g}, 1.008 \mathrm{mmol}, 81 \%)$ as a pale yellow powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{8},-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta 7.36\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.96(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ArH},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 2.83\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) \cdot{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{8},-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 164.68,138.62,120.19,60.25,44.20,35.11$, 31.15. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{182} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{MoN}_{3} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 53.59 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.25$; N, 10.42. Found: C, $53.21 ; \mathrm{H}$, 8.02; N, 10.22.
$\left.\mathbf{N M o}\left(\mathbf{O}-\mathbf{2 , 6}-\mathbf{P r}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}}\left(\mathbf{N H M e}_{\mathbf{2}}\right) \mathbf{( 2 . 2 1}\right) . \quad \mathrm{NMo}\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)_{3}(0.4150 \mathrm{~g}, 1.71 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) was dissolved in THF ( 20 mL ) inside of a bomb flask. Neat 2,6diisopropylphenol ( $2.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.58 \mathrm{mmol}$, 5.0 equiv) was added to the THF solution. The bomb flask was sealed and heated at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring for 11 hours 30 minutes. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of an aliquot indicated complete conversion to $\mathbf{2 . 2 1}$. The solution was concentrated to dryness, then the residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeCN}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 14 days no crystals had formed. A seed crystal of $\mathbf{2 . 2 1}$ was added and the solution cooled to $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 23 days the mother liquor was removed via pipet leaving behind deep red crystals. The crystals were dissolved in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the solution frozen and lypholized in vacuo to give crude 2.21 ( $0.4712 \mathrm{~g}, 1.456 \mathrm{mmol}, 85 \%$ ) as a deep red powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis indicated the presence of 0.1 equiv $\mathrm{NMo}(\mathrm{O}-2,6-$ $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}\right)$ (2.22) and 0.4 equiv 2,6-diisopropylphenol. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta 7.14\left(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ArH}(\mathbf{2} .21),{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.02\left(\mathrm{~d}, 0.9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}(\mathrm{HOAr}),{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-}\right.$ $\left.{ }_{\mathrm{H}}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.98\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ArH}(\mathbf{2} .21),{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.92\left(\mathrm{t}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ArH}(\mathrm{HOAr}),{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=\right.$ 7.6 Hz ), 4.31 ( $\mathrm{s}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{HOAr})$ ), $3.94\left(\mathrm{~s}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}(\mathbf{2} .22)\right.$ ), 3.87 (br s, $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHMe}{ }_{2}$ (2.21)), 2.93 (sep, $\left.0.7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHMe} \mathrm{C}_{2}(\mathbf{2} .22),{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 2.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}(\mathbf{2 . 2 2})\right)$, 2.39 (sep, $0.7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHMe} \mathrm{C}_{2}(\mathrm{HOAr}),{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.02\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{3}(\mathbf{2} .21)\right.$ ), 2.01 ( s , $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{3}(\mathbf{2 . 2 1})\right), 1.37\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}(\mathbf{2 . 2 2}),{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.35\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right.$ (2.22), $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.29\left(\mathrm{~d}, 36 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}(\mathbf{2} .21),{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.14(\mathrm{~d}, 4.6 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ (HOAr), $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 2.21\right): ~ \delta 161.09,138.75,124.65$, 123.81, 42.63, 27.75, 24.81. EI/MS [M/Z] ${ }^{+}: 643.8\left(\mathrm{NMo}\left(\mathrm{O}-2,6-{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$.
$\left.\mathbf{N M o}\left(\mathbf{O S i P h}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathbf{( 2 . 2 3}\right) . \quad$ Solid $\mathrm{NMo}\left[\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right)\left(3,5-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)\right]_{3}(0.2889 \mathrm{~g}, 0.452$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) and $\mathrm{HOSiPh}_{3}(0.4392 \mathrm{~g}, 1.589 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.5$ equiv) were dissolved in toluene ( 20 mL ) inside of a bomb flask. The flask was heated in a $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath for 5 hours 30 minutes, then cooled. Pentane ( 25 mL ) was added to the solution with vigorous stirring, then the solution was allowed to settle. No precipitate formed over 10 minutes, so additional pentane ( 5 mL ) was added. The solution became cloudy and was allowed to settle overnight. The powder was then collected by vacuum filtration, washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and dried in vacuo to afford $2.22(0.2146 \mathrm{~g}, 0.229 \mathrm{mmol}, 51 \%$ ) as a white powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta 7.67\left(\mathrm{~d}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.16(\mathrm{~m}$, $\mathrm{ArH}), 7.06\left(\mathrm{t}, 17 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta 135.87,134.80$, 130.45, 128.29. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{54} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{MoNO}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 69.28 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.85 ; \mathrm{N}, 1.50$. Found: C, 69.04; H, 4.84; N, 1.47.
$\left.\mathbf{N M o}\left(\mathbf{O S i P h}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathbf{B u}\right)_{3} \mathbf{( 2 . 2 5}\right) . \quad$ Solid $\mathrm{NMo}\left[\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}\right)\left(3,5-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)\right]_{3}(0.2777 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.465 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and $\mathrm{HOSiPh}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}(0.3837 \mathrm{~g}, 1.496 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.2$ equiv) were dissolved in toluene ( 15 mL ) inside of a bomb flask. The flask was heated in a $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath for 10 hours, then the flask was cooled and the volatiles removed in vacuo. The resulting oil was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeCN}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $-35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A semi-solid mass precipitated over several days, then the mother liquor was removed via pipet and the solid rinsed with cold $\mathrm{MeCN}(2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The solid was re-dissolved in MeCN , and the precipitation procedure was repeated twice more. The resulting solid was dissolved in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$, then the solution was frozen, lyopholized, and dried in vacuo to yield $\mathbf{2 . 2 5}$ ( $242.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.276 \mathrm{mmol}, 59 \%$ ) as a dark yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta 7.84-$
$7.82(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.14(\mathrm{~m}), 7.13-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~s}, 27 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right)$ : $\delta \quad 135.71,134.63,130.12,128.15, \quad 27.11$, 20.63. $\mathrm{EI} / \mathrm{MS} \quad[\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{Z}]^{+}: 819.9$ $\left[\mathrm{NMo}\left(\mathrm{OSiPh}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{3}-\mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right]$.

### 2.10.4 Substrate Compatibility Studies with 2.1

General Procedure: Complex 2.1 and all solid substrates (20 equiv) were added to a J. Young tube and dissolved in toluene- $d_{8}$ or bromobenzene- $d_{5}$ to give a concentration of 5 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ based on 2.1. Then 3-hexyne (10 equiv) and liquid substrates ( 20 equiv) were added to the reaction mixture. An internal standard of 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene was introduced. The J. Young tube was placed in an oil bath at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction was monitored by NMR spectroscopy. Additional 3-hexyne and/or 2.1 were added as necessary to each reaction.

2-thiophenecarbonitrile (Table 2.1, entry \#4)
Following the general procedure: Complex $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ ( $3.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0045 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2thiophenecarbonitrile ( $8.75 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.094 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 3-hexyne ( $5.25 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.046 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in toluene- $d_{8}(0.74 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 4 h of heating, additional 3-hexyne ( $5.25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.046 mmol ) was added. The reaction was further heated for 4 h , at which point ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy indicated conversion to symmetric alkyne, 1,2-di(thiophen-2-yl)ethyne ( $26 \%$ ), and asymmetric alkyne, 2-(but-1-ynyl)thiophene ( $47 \%$ ). The volatiles were then removed in vacuo. The resulting residue was dissolved in toluene- $\mathrm{d}_{8}(0.74 \mathrm{~mL})$ and heated for 4 h , at which point ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy indicated conversion to 1,2-di(thiophen-2-yl)ethyne (41\%) and 2-(but-1-ynyl)thiophene (19\%). The resulting reaction
mixture was washed through a plug of alumina with chloroform and concentrated in vacuo. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data agreed with the literature values. ${ }^{34,35} \mathrm{GC} / \mathrm{MS}[\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{Z}]+: 136\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~S}\right.$, Rt 4.6 min$), 190\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right.$, Rt 9.8 min$)$.

3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzonitrile (Table 2.1, entry \#5)
Following the general procedure: Complex $2.1(3.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0036 \mathrm{mmol})$, 3 ,5bis(trifluoromethyl)benzonitrile ( $12.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.071 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 3-hexyne (4.25 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.037$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in toluene- $d_{8}(0.60 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 10 h of heating, additional 3-hexyne (4.25 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.037 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The reaction was further heated for 11 h , at which point ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy indicated conversion to symmetric alkyne, 1,2-bis(3,5bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)ethyne (20\%), and asymmetric alkyne, 1-(but-1-ynyl)-3,5bis(trifluoromethyl)benzene ( $80 \%$ ). The volatiles were then removed in vacuo. The resulting residue was dissolved in toluene- $d_{8}(0.60 \mathrm{~mL})$ and heated for 4 h , at which point ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \quad$ NMR spectroscopy indicated conversion to 1,2-bis(3,5bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)ethyne (95\%) and 1-(but-1-ynyl)-3,5bis(trifluoromethyl)benzene (5\%). The resulting reaction mixture was washed through a plug of alumina with chloroform and concentrated in vacuo. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, symm.): $\oint 8.00(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, m-\mathrm{Ar} H), 7.89(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, o-\mathrm{Ar} H) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{CD}_{3}\right.$, asymm.): $\delta 7.56(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, m-\mathrm{ArH}), 7.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, o-\mathrm{Ar} H), 2.08\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{RCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.6\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 0.99\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{RCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{symm}.\right): \delta-63.45(\mathrm{~s}) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{CD}_{3}$, asymm.): $\delta-63.48(\mathrm{~s}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, symm.): $\delta 132.22(\mathrm{q}, m-$ $\left.\mathrm{ArCCF}_{3},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=33.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 131.6\left(\mathrm{q}, o-\mathrm{ArC},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 124.17(\mathrm{~s}, \operatorname{ArC}), 122.57(\mathrm{q}$,
$\left.C \mathrm{~F}_{3},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=273.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 89.18(-C \equiv C-) . \mathrm{GC} / \mathrm{MS}[\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{Z}]+: 266\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~F}_{6}\right.$, Rt 3.6 min$), 450$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{12}\right.$, Rt 6.5 min$)$.

## 3,5-dimethylbenzonitrile (Table 2.1, entry \#6)

Following the general procedure: Complex $2.1(5.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0064 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3,5dimethylbenzonitrile ( $17.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.130 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 3-hexyne ( $7.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.066 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in toluene $-d_{8}(1.06 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 6 h of heating, additional 3-hexyne $(7.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.066 mmol ) was added. The reaction was further heated for 18 h , at which point ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy indicated conversion to symmetric alkyne, 1,2-bis(3,5dimethylphenyl)acetylene (37\%), and asymmetric alkyne, 1-(but-1-ynyl)-3,5dimethylbenzene ( $63 \%$ ). The volatiles were then removed in vacuo. The resulting residue was dissolved in toluene- $d_{8}(1.06 \mathrm{~mL})$ and heated for 3 h , at which point ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy indicated conversion to 1,2-bis(3,5-dimethylphenyl)acetylene ( $\geq 95 \%$ ) . The resulting reaction mixture was washed through a plug of alumina with chloroform and concentrated in vacuo. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data were consistent with the literature values. ${ }^{36}$ GC/MS [M/Z]+ : $131\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}\right.$, Rt 5.0 min$), 234\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18}\right.$, Rt 13.7 min$)$.

3-iodopropionitrile (Table 2.1, entry \#7)
Following the general procedure: Complex $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ ( $3.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0043 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3iodopropionitrile ( $7.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.085 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 3-hexyne ( $5.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.044 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in toluene- $d_{8}(0.72 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). After 4 h of heating, additional 3-hexyne ( $5.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.044 mmol ) was added. Further heating for 3 h did not result in further conversion as indicated by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. Additional $2.1(5.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0065 \mathrm{mmol})$ and toluene-
$d_{8}(0.36 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. The reaction was further heated for 2 h , at which point ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy indicated conversion to symmetric alkyne, 1,6-diiodohex-3-yne (33\%), and asymmetric alkyne, 1-iodohex-3-yne (40\%). The resulting reaction mixture was washed through a plug of silica with chloroform and concentrated in vacuo, yielding solely 1,6-diiodohex-3-yne. The product's identity was further confirmed through independent synthesis according to a literature procedure. ${ }^{37}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 3.22\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{ICH}_{2},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 2.74\left(\mathrm{t},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \equiv,{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta$ 81.07, 24.15, 2.15. GC/MS [M/Z]+ : $334\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{I}_{2}\right.$, Rt 8.1 min$)$.
t-butyl-4-cyanobenzoate (Table 2.1, entry \#11)
Following the general procedure: Complex 2.1 ( $3.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0040 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tert-butyl-4cyanobenzoate ( $16.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.080 \mathrm{mmol}, 20.0$ equiv), and 3-hexyne ( $4.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.040 \mathrm{mmol}$, 10.0 equiv) were dissolved in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 4 h of heating, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy indicated the presence of a molar quantity of propionitrile equal to the molar quantity of 2.1 used. The volatiles were vacuum transferred into a separate J. Young tube. Isobutene was identified as a component of the volatiles by analysis of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum.

4-acetylbenzonitrile (Table 2.2, entry \#2)
Following the general procedure: Complex $\mathbf{2 . 1}(5.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0060 \mathrm{mmol})$, 4acetylbenzonitrile ( $17.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.123 \mathrm{mmol}, 20.5$ equiv), and 3-hexyne ( $7.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.062$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 10.3$ equiv) were dissolved in toluene- $d_{8}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 4 h of heating, no metathesis products were observed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy.

4-nitrobenzonitrile (Table 2.2, entry \#3)
Following the general procedure: Complex $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ ( $5.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0060 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4nitrobenzonitrile ( $18.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.125 \mathrm{mmol}$, 20.8 equiv), and 3-hexyne ( $7.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.062 \mathrm{mmol}$, 10.3 equiv) were dissolved in toluene $-d_{8}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 4 h of heating, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy indicated the presence of a molar quantity of propionitrile equal to the molar quantity of $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ used.
p-toluenesulfonyl acetonitrile (Table 2.2, entry \#5)
Following the general procedure: Complex $\mathbf{2 . 1}(5.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0060 \mathrm{mmol})$, $p$ toluenesulfonylacetonitrile ( $24.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.124 \mathrm{mmol}, 20.7$ equiv), and 3-hexyne ( $7.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.062 \mathrm{mmol}, 10.3$ equiv) were dissolved in toluene- $d_{8}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 6 h of heating, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy indicated the presence of a molar quantity of propionitrile equal to the molar quantity of 2.1 used.

2-cyanopyridine (Table 2.2, entry \#6)
Following the general procedure: Complex 2.1 ( $5.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0060 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-cyanopyridine ( $11.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.123 \mathrm{mmol}, 20.5$ equiv), and 3-hexyne ( $7.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.062 \mathrm{mmol}, 10.3$ equiv) were dissolved in toluene- $d_{8}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 4 h of heating, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy indicated the presence of a molar quantity of propionitrile equal to the molar quantity of 2-DME used.

4-hydroxybenzonitrile (Table 2.2, entry \#7)
Following the general procedure: Complex $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ ( $5.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0064 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4hydroxybenzonitrile ( $15.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.133 \mathrm{mmol}$, 20.8 equiv), and 3-hexyne ( $7.25 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.0638$ mmol, 10.0 equiv) were dissolved in toluene- $d_{8}(1.06 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 2 h of heating, no metathesis products were observed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy.

3-aminopropionitrile (Table 2.2, entry \#10)
Following the general procedure: Complex 2.1 ( 5.0 mg , 0.0060 mmol ), 3aminopropionitrile ( $8.9 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.122 \mathrm{mmol}, 20.3$ equiv), and 3-hexyne ( $6.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.060 \mathrm{mmol}$, 10.0 equiv) were dissolved in toluene- $d_{8}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 4 h of heating, no metathesis products were observed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy.
$N$-methyl- $\beta$-alaninenitrile (Table 2.2, entry \#11)
Following the general procedure: Complex $\mathbf{2 . 1}(5.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0060 \mathrm{mmol})$, N -methyl $-\beta-$ alaninenitrile ( $11.3 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 20.0$ equiv), and 3-hexyne ( $6.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.060 \mathrm{mmol}$, 10.0 equiv) were dissolved in toluene- $d_{8}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 4 h of heating, no metathesis products were observed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy.

3-(dimethylamino)propionitrile (Table 2.2, entry \#12)
Following the general procedure: Complex $\mathbf{2 . 1}(5.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0060 \mathrm{mmol})$, 3(dimethylamino)propionitrile ( $13.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 3-hexyne ( $6.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.060$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in toluene $-d_{8}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 13 h of heating, only stochiometric
conversion of the catalyst to produce propionitrile was observed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. At this point, the catalyst had been destroyed.
trimethylacetonitrile (Table 2.2, entry \#14)
Following the general procedure: Complex $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ (4.3 mg, 0.0052 mmol$)$, trimethylacetonitrile ( $11.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.104 \mathrm{mmol}, 20.0$ equiv), and 3-hexyne ( $6.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.053$ mmol, 10.2 equiv) were dissolved in toluene- $d_{8}(0.86 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 70 h of heating, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy indicated the presence of a molar quantity of propionitrile equal to the molar quantity of $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ used.

## 1,2-dicyanobenzene (2.3)

Following the general procedure: Complex $\mathbf{2 . 1}(3.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0045 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathbf{2 . 3}(5.3 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.0414 \mathrm{mmol}, 9.2$ equiv), and 3-hexyne ( $4.7 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.0414 \mathrm{mmol}, 9.2$ equiv) were dissolved in bromobenzene- $d_{5}(0.74 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 60 h of heating, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy indicated 79 \% remaining 2.3.

## 1,2-dimethylphthalonitrile (2.4)

Following the general procedure: Complex $\mathbf{2 . 1}(2.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0029 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathbf{2 . 4}(4.4 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.0282 \mathrm{mmol}, 10.1$ equiv), and 3-hexyne ( $6.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.0594 \mathrm{mmol}, 20.6$ equiv) were dissolved in toluene- $d_{8}(0.80 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 37 h of heating, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy indicated $67 \%$ remaining 2.4.

## 4,5-dimethoxyphthalonitrile (2.5)

Following the general procedure: Complex $2.1(7.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0042 \mathrm{mmol}), 2.5(7.9 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.0420 \mathrm{mmol}, 10.0$ equiv), and 3-hexyne ( $9.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.0836 \mathrm{mmol}, 19.9$ equiv) were dissolved in bromobenzene- $d_{5}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 4 h of heating, no metathesis products were observed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy.

## 5-tert-butylisophthalonitrile (2.6)

Following the general procedure: Complex 2.1 ( $6.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0081 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathbf{2 . 6}$ ( 14.4 mg , $0.0782 \mathrm{mmol}, 9.7$ equiv), and 3-hexyne ( $8.9 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.0783 \mathrm{mmol}, 9.7$ equiv) were dissolved in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}(1.3 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 23 h of heating, a large number of tert-butyl containing products were observed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. The volatiles were then removed in vacuo. The resulting residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}(1.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and heated for 19 h , at which point 1 H NMR spectroscopy indicated the formation of at least 8 resonances corresponding to a tert-butyl group.

Substrate studies with the following nitriles and with 2.2 were completed by Andrea Geyer and are reported elsewhere: ${ }^{1,2,6}$ anisonitrile, p-bromobenzonitrile, 2thiopheneacetonitrile, 4-cyanostyrene, 4-cyano-benzoic acid methyl ester, 4-(1(ethylenedioxy)ethyl)benzonitrile, 4-(1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)benzonitrile, pdimethylaminobenzontrile, 4 -cyanobenzaldehyde, $\quad p$-aminobenzonitrile, $\quad N-(4-$ cyanophenyl)acetamide, $o$-tolunitrile, $\mathbf{2 . 1 0}$.

### 2.10.5 Additional Protonolysis Reactions

## With $\mathrm{HOSiPh}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$

$\operatorname{NMo}\left(N M e_{2}\right)_{3}:$ A solid mixture of $\mathrm{NMo}(\mathrm{NMe} 2) 3(11.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0479 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and $\mathrm{HOSiPh}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ ( $39.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.153 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.2$ equiv) was dissolved in THF ( 1 mL ) and the solution was transferred to a J. Young tube. The solution was placed in a $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath for 16 hours, then the volatiles were removed in vacuo. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the residue $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right)$ indicated a conversion to $\mathbf{2 . 1 6}(74 \%)$ and $\mathbf{2 . 1 7}(26 \%)$. The $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ solution was placed in a $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath and the reaction progress monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. After 17 hours, the product makeup was $58 \% \mathbf{2 . 1 6}$ and $42 \%$ 2.17. After 17 hours, the product makeup was $41 \% 2.16$ and $59 \%$ 2.17. After 17 hours, the product makeup was $15 \%$ 2.16 and $85 \%$ 2.17. Reaction progress was monitored with the following distinctive ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR resonances: $\delta 3.82$ (s, 2.16, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), 2.80 (s, 2.16, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), 1.26 (s, 2.16, $\left.18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.19\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathbf{2 . 1 7}, 27 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$.
$\operatorname{NMo}\left[N\left(\mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right)\left(3,5-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)\right]_{3}: \quad$ A solid mixture of $\mathrm{NMo}\left[\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right)(3,5-\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)\right]_{3}\left(6.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0102 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0\right.$ equiv) and $\mathrm{HOSiPh}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}(8.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0332 \mathrm{mmol}$, 3.3 equiv) was dissolved in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}(0.8 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the solution was transferred to a J. Young tube. The solution was placed in a $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath and the reaction progress monitored by ${ }^{1}$ H NMR. After 24 hours, a $35 \%$ conversion to $\mathbf{2 . 2 5}$ was observed. After 8 days, a $50 \%$ conversion to 2.25 was observed.
$\operatorname{NMo}\left[N\left(\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}\right)\left(3,5-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)\right]_{3}:$ A solid mixture of $\mathrm{NMo}\left[\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}\right)(3,5-\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)\right]_{3}\left(9.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0163 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0\right.$ equiv) and $\mathrm{HOSiPh}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}(13.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0519 \mathrm{mmol}$, 3.2 equiv) was dissolved in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}(0.7 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the solution was transferred to a J . Young
tube and the reaction progress monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. After 10 minutes at room temperature, a $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ conversion to $\mathbf{2 . 2 4}$ was observed. The reaction solution was placed in a $95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath and the monitoring of the reaction progress by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR was continued. After 3 hours, the product makeup was $21 \% 2.24$ and $79 \%$ 2.25. Compound 2.24 was identified through the following distinctive ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR resonances $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 400\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}): \delta 6.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} H), 6.42(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 4.53$ (sep., $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHMe} \mathrm{C}_{2},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.49\left(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.24\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$.

## With 2,6-diisopropylphenol

$\operatorname{NMo}\left[N\left(\mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right)\left(3,5-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)\right]_{3}$ : Neat 2,6-diisopropylphenol (5.0 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.0270$ mmol, 3.1 equiv) was added to a solution of $\mathrm{NMo}\left[\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right)\left(3,5-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)\right]_{3}(5.5 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.00880 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}(0.7 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was transferred to a J . Young tube and heated in a $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath. After 20 hours, 2 major reaction products were observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum containing at least five $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ resonances. After heating for an additional 23 h , no significant change in the product composition had occurred.
$\mathrm{NMo}\left[\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}\right)\left(3,5-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)\right]_{3}$ : Neat 2,6-diisopropylphenol (15.0 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.0596$ mmol, 3.2 equiv) was added to a solution of $\mathrm{NMo}\left[\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}\right)\left(3,5-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)\right]_{3}(11.0 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.0184 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv $)$ in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}(0.7 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was transferred to a J . Young tube, heated in a $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath, and the reaction progress was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. After 3 hours, five major reaction products were observed by the $\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ resonance. After heating overnight, no significant change in the product composition had occurred.

### 2.10.6 ACM Studies

General Procedure: [Mo] ( 5.0 mg ) and an internal standard of 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene were dissolved in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}(0.50 \mathrm{~mL})$ to give a catalyst concentration of $10 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}$. The solution was transferred to a J. Young tube and 1-phenyl-1-butyne (20 equiv) was added via syringe. The sample was frozen and the overlying atmosphere was removed in vacuo. The J. Young tube was heated at the desired temperature and the reaction was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy.
$\mathbf{N M o}\left(\mathbf{O S i P h}_{3}\right)_{\mathbf{3}}\left(\mathbf{N H M e}_{\mathbf{2}}\right) \mathbf{( 2 . 1 5 ) : ~ F o l l o w i n g ~ t h e ~ g e n e r a l ~ p r o c e d u r e ~ a t ~} 75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : $\mathbf{2 . 1 5}$ (5.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.0051 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1-phenyl-1-butyne ( $14.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.102 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After 1.5 hours, a $25 \%$ conversion to diphenylacetylene was observed.
$\mathbf{N M o}\left(\mathbf{O C P h}_{2} \mathbf{M e}\right)_{3} \mathbf{( 2 . 1 9 )}$. Following the general procedure at $75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : $\mathbf{2 . 1 9}(5.1 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.00743 mmol ), 1-phenyl-1-butyne ( $21.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.148 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After 21 hours, no metathesis products were observed and $\mathbf{2 . 1 9}$ had completely decomposed.
$\mathbf{N M o}\left(\mathbf{O}-\mathbf{2 , 6}{ }^{-}{ }^{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{P r}_{2} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}}(\mathbf{N H M e} \mathbf{2})(\mathbf{2 . 2 1})$. Following the general procedure at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}: \mathbf{2 . 2 1}$ ( $5.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0073 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1-phenyl-1-butyne ( $20.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.141 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After 2.5 hours, a 25 \% conversion to diphenylacetylene was observed.
$\mathbf{N M o}\left(\mathbf{O S i P h}_{3}\right)_{\mathbf{3}}$ (2.23). Complex 2.23 ( $6.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0072 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and an internal standard of 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene were dissolved in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}(0.7 \mathrm{~mL})$, then the solution was transferred to a J. Young tube. Neat 1-phenyl-1-butyne ( $5.1 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.036 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$
equiv) was added and the reaction was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy at room temperature. After 5 hours, a $25 \%$ conversion to diphenylacetylene was observed.
$\left.\mathbf{N M o}\left(\mathbf{O S i P h}_{2}{ }^{\mathbf{t}} \mathbf{B u}\right)_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{( 2 . 2 5}\right)$. Following the general procedure at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}: \mathbf{2 . 2 5}(20.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a $0.25 \mathrm{mg} \mu \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ stock solution in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 0.0057 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1-phenyl-1-butyne ( $16.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.113$ mmol ). After 9 hours, no metathesis products were observed.

### 2.10.7 NACM Concentration Studies with $\mathbf{2 . 2 3}$

General Procedure: Complex 2.23, anisonitrile (10 equiv) and an internal standard of 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene were dissolved in bromobenzene- $d_{5}$ at the desired concentration of 2.23, then the solution was transferred to a J. Young tube. Then 1-phenyl-1-butyne (10 equiv) was introduced via syringe and the overlying atmosphere was removed in vacuo. The reaction was heated at $180-185{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. The results are reported in Table 2.4.
$\underline{20 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}}$ Following the general procedure: $\mathbf{2 . 2 3}$ ( $10.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), anisonitrile ( $14.6 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1-phenyl-1-butyne ( $15.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), bromobenzene- $d_{5}(5.0 \mathrm{~mL})$.
$\underline{30 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}}$ Following the general procedure: $\mathbf{2 . 2 3}$ ( $15.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.016 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), anisonitrile ( $21.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1-phenyl-1-butyne ( $23.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), bromobenzene- $d_{5}(5.0 \mathrm{~mL})$.
$40 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}$ Following the general procedure: $\mathbf{2 . 2 3}$ ( $20.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.021 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), anisonitrile ( $28.0 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1-phenyl-1-butyne ( $30.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), bromobenzene- $d_{5}(5.0 \mathrm{~mL})$.

Table 2.4. NACM studies with $\mathbf{2 . 2 3}$.

| Concentration <br> $\left(\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}\right)$ | Time <br> $\mathrm{h})$ | ArCCPh $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $+/-$ | $\mathrm{ArCCEt}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $+/-$ | Total | $+/-$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 4 | 5.33 | 0.62 | 3.67 | 0.85 | 9.00 | 1.47 |
|  | 8 | 7.33 | 0.62 | 5.33 | 0.62 | 12.66 | 1.24 |
|  | 12 | 8.50 | 0.00 | 5.50 | 0.00 | 14.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 4 | 6.83 | 1.18 | 2.83 | 1.43 | 9.66 | 2.61 |
|  | 8 | 8.83 | 0.94 | 6.50 | 0.71 | 15.33 | 1.65 |
|  | 12 | 11.00 | 1.78 | 7.50 | 0.41 | 18.50 | 2.19 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 | 4 | 10.67 | 1.18 | 7.00 | 0.41 | 17.67 | 1.59 |
|  | 8 | 15.50 | 1.47 | 7.83 | 0.47 | 23.33 | 1.94 |
|  | 12 | 14.50 |  | 8.50 |  | 23.00 |  |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{Ar}=4-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$.

### 2.10.8 Attempted NACM with [Mo] Complexes

General Procedure: [Mo] ( 20.0 mg ), anisonitrile (10 equiv), and an internal standard of 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene were dissolved in bromobenzene- $d_{5}(5.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ at a concentration of $40 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}$, then the solution was transferred to a J. Young tube. Then 1-phenyl-1butyne (10 equiv) was introduced via syringe and the overlying atmosphere was removed in vacuo. The reaction was heated at $180-185{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. ACM products were observed in all cases, but are indicated only for those complexes that have not been previously been shown to catalyze ACM.
$\mathbf{N M o}\left(\mathbf{O S i P h}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}}\left(\mathbf{N H M e}_{\mathbf{2}}\right) \mathbf{( 2 . 1 5 )}$. Following the general procedure: $\mathbf{2 . 1 5}$ (20.3 mg, 0.021 mmol ), anisonitrile ( $26.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 1-phenyl-1-butyne ( $29.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After 20 h , no NACM products were observed. The fate of $\mathbf{2 . 1 5}$ was unclear, and so additional 1-phenyl-1-butyne ( $87.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction heated at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1 h , no additional ACM products were observed, indicating decomposition of $\mathbf{2 . 1 5}$.
$\mathbf{N M o}\left(\mathbf{O}-\mathbf{2 , 6}{ }^{\mathbf{j}} \mathbf{- P r}_{2} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{( \mathbf { N H M e }} \mathbf{2}$ )(2.21). Following the general procedure: $\mathbf{2 . 1 9}$ (19.6 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.029 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), anisonitrile ( $39.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 1-phenyl-1-butyne ( $41.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.29 mmol ). After 20 h , no NACM products were observed with 2,6-diisopropylphenol being the only phenolic species present.
$\left.\mathbf{N M o}\left(\mathbf{O S i P h}_{2}{ }^{\mathbf{t}} \mathbf{B u}\right)_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{( 2 . 2 5}\right)$. Following the general procedure: $\mathbf{2 . 2 5}(80.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a 0.25 mg $\mu \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ stock solution in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 0.023 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), anisonitrile ( $30.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $1-$ phenyl-1-butyne ( $32.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After 8 h , no NACM products were observed.
$\left.\mathbf{N M o}\left(\mathbf{O}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathbf{B u}\right)_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{( 2 . 2 6}\right)$. Following the general procedure: $\mathbf{2 . 2 6}$ ( $20.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.062 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), anisonitrile ( $80.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 1-phenyl-1-butyne ( $86.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After 4 h, no NACM products were observed, but ACM had occurred to give a mixture of 1-phenyl-1-butyne ( $50 \%$ ), diphenylacetylene ( $25 \%$ ), and 3-hexyne ( $25 \%$ ). After 16 h , no NACM was observed and $\mathbf{2 . 2 6}$ had decomposed.
$\left.\mathbf{N M o}\left(\mathbf{O C M e} \mathbf{2}_{2} \mathbf{C F}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathbf{( 2 . 2 7}\right)$. Following the general procedure: $\mathbf{2 . 2 7}$ ( $20.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.042$ mmol ), anisonitrile ( $56.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 1-phenyl-1-butyne ( $58.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After 4 h, no NACM products were observed, but 82 \% 2.27 remained and ACM had occurred to give a mixture of 1-phenyl-1-butyne (50 \%), diphenylacetylene (25 \%), and 3-hexyne (25 \%). After 16 h, no NACM was observed and 51 \% 2.27 remained.
$\left.\mathbf{N M o}\left(\mathbf{O C}\left(\mathbf{C F}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathbf{M e}\right)_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{( 2 . 2 8}\right)$. Following the general procedure: $\mathbf{2 . 2 8}(22.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.034$ mmol ), anisonitrile ( $40.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 1-phenyl-1-butyne ( $40.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After 4 h, no NACM was observed and $\mathbf{2 . 2 8}$ had largely decomposed.
$\left.\mathbf{N M o}\left(\mathbf{O C}\left(\mathbf{C F}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{( N C M e}\right)$ (2.29). Following the general procedure: $\mathbf{2 . 2 9}(20.7 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.024 mmol ), anisonitrile ( $31.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 1-phenyl-1-butyne ( $33.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.23$ mmol). After 4 h , no NACM was observed and $\mathbf{2 . 2 9}$ had largely decomposed.
$\operatorname{EtCMo}(\mathbf{O A d})(\mathbf{A d}=\mathbf{1}$-adamantyl) (2.30). Following the general procedure: 2.30 (20.4 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.035 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), anisonitrile ( $46.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 1-phenyl-1-butyne ( $48.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.34 mmol ). After 16 h , no NACM products were observed. The fate of $\mathbf{2 . 3 0}$ was unclear, and so additional 1-phenyl-1-butyne ( $144.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.01 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction heated at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1 h , no additional ACM products were observed, indicating decomposition of $\mathbf{2 . 3 0}$.

### 2.11 References

1. Geyer, A. M.; Gdula, R. L.; Wiedner, E. S.; Johnson, M. J. A., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 3800-3801.
2. Geyer, A. M.; Wiedner, E. S.; Gary, J. B.; Gdula, R. L.; Kuhlmann, N. C.; Johnson, M. J. A.; Dunietz, B. D.; Kampf, J. W., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 8984-8999.
3. Zhang, W.; Moore, J. S., Advanced Synthesis \& Catalysis 2007, 349, 93-120.
4. Furstner, A.; Davies, P. W., Chem. Commun. 2005, 2307-2320.
5. Zhang, W.; Moore, J. S., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 12796-12796.
6. Geyer, A. M. Development and Investigation of $\mathrm{NW}(\mathrm{OR})_{3}, \mathrm{NMo}(\mathrm{OR})_{3}$, and $\mathrm{Mo}_{2}(\mathrm{OR})_{6}$ Complexes for Triple-Bond Metathesis. University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, 2009.
7. Pschirer, N. G.; Fu, W.; Adams, R. D.; Bunz, U. H. F., Chem. Commun. 2000, 87-88.
8. Zhu, J.; Jia, G.; Lin, Z., Organometallics 2006, 25, 1812-1819.
9. Nugent, W. A.; Mayer, J. M., Metal-Ligand Multiple Bonds. John Wiley \& Sons: New York, 1988; p 26-26
10. Mayer, J. M., Polyhedron 1995, 14, 3273-3292.
11. Gdula, R. L.; Johnson, M. J. A., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 9614-9615.
12. Schrock, R. R., Polyhedron 1995, 14, 3177-3195.
13. Bordwell, F. G.; McCallum, R. J.; Olmstead, W. N., J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 14241427.
14. Steward, O. W.; Fussaro, D. R., J. Organomet. Chem. 1977, 129, C28-C32.
15. Johnson, M. J. A.; Lee, P. M.; Odom, A. L.; Davis, W. M.; Cummins, C. C., Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1997, 36, 87-91.
16. Mullen, D. G.; Barany, G., J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 5240-5248.
17. An analysis of Cambridge Crystallographic Database (September 2009) revealed 60 structures with a Mo nitrido ligand (both terminal and oligomeric), with an average Mo-N triple bond length of $1.662(33)$ Á.
18. Laplaza, C. E.; Johnson, M. J. A.; Peters, J. C.; Odom, A. L.; Kim, E.; Cummins, C. C.; George, G. N.; Pickering, I. J., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 8623-8638.
19. Tsai, Y. C.; Johnson, M. J. A.; Mindiola, D. J.; Cummins, C. C.; Klooster, W. T.; Koetzle, T. F., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 10426-10427.
20. Tsai, Y. C.; Diaconescu, P. L.; Cummins, C. C., Organometallics 2000, 19, 52605262.
21. Shriver, D. G.; Sailor, M. J., The Manipulations of Air-sensitive Compounds. 2 ed.; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1986.
22. Pangborn, A. B.; Giardello, M. A.; Grubbs, R. H.; Rosen, R. K.; Timmers, F. J., Organometallics 1996, 15, 1518-1520.
23. Zanon, J.; Klapars, A.; Buchwald, S. L., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 2890-2891.
24. Cole, J. E.; Walker, J.; Robins, P. A.; Johnson, W. S., J. Chem. Soc. 1962, 244-\&.
25. Herre, S.; Steinle, W.; Ruck-Braun, K., Synthesis-Stuttgart 2005, 3297-3300.
26. Stanton, M. G.; Gagne, M. R., Journal of Organic Chemistry 1997, 62, 8240-8242.
27. Yasui, K.; Fugami, K.; Tanaka, S.; Tamaru, Y., J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 1365-1380.
28. Bradley, D. C.; Thomas, I. M., J. Chem. Soc. 1960, 3857-3861.
29. Kovshev, E. I.; Solov'eva, L. I.; Mikhalenko, S. A.; Luk'yanets, E. A., Zhurnal Vsesoyuznogo Khimicheskogo Obshchestva im. D. I. Mendeleeva 1976, 21, 465-6.
30. Metz, J.; Schneider, O.; Hanack, M., Inorg. Chem. 1984, 23, 1065-1071.
31. Chan, D. M. T.; Chisholm, M. H.; Folting, K.; Huffman, J. C.; Marchant, N. S., Inorg. Chem. 1986, 25, 4170-4174.
32. Gdula, R. L. Design and Synthesis of Highly Active Group 6 Metal Catalysts for use in Triple-Bond Metathesis. University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, 2006.
33. Gdula, R. L.; Johnson, M. J. A.; Ockwig, N. W., Inorg. Chem. 2005, 44, 9140-9142.
34. Zhang, W.; Kraft, S.; Moore, J. S., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 329-335.
35. Jafarpour, L.; Heck, M. P.; Baylon, C.; Lee, H. M.; Mioskowski, C.; Nolan, S. P., Organometallics 2002, 21, 671-679.
36. Rudenko, A. P.; Vasilev, A. V., Zh. Org. Khim. 1995, 31.
37. Pohnert, G.; Boland, W., Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 1821.

## Chapter 3:

## Design and Synthesis of Trianionic (XXX) Pincer Ligands

### 3.1 Introduction

In Chapter 2, it was demonstrated that NACM is possible with Mo catalysts. However, the Mo catalysts are short-lived at the high temperatures required to overcome the activation barrier for the Mo alkylidyne-to-nitride conversion. The high operating temperatures and low substrate conversions make the Mo-based NACM impractical for widespread use in organic synthesis. A Mo complex possessing a lower energy barrier for azametallacyclobutadiene formation would likely operate at lower temperatures, and therefore would be appealing as a functional catalyst. In the azametallacyclobutadiene transition state for NACM, the alkoxide ligands adopt a meridional geometry as shown in Figure 3.1. ${ }^{1}$ Substitution of the alkoxide ligands with a trianionic (XXX) pincer ligand would reduce the amount of ligand re-organization required to form an azametallacyclobutadiene, thereby reducing the activation barrier as desired.


Figure 3.1. Monodentate vs. tridentate ligand coordination in NACM transition state.

A second application for an XXX pincer ligand would be to support high oxidation state ruthenium alkylidyne species previously synthesized in our lab. ${ }^{2}$ Alkylidyne complexes of the form $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{CAr})(\mathrm{PCy})_{3} \mathrm{X}_{3}$ are not active for alkyne crossmetathesis (ACM), ${ }^{3}$ presumably due to the absence of an open coordination site for an incoming alkyne substrate. Replacement of the halide ligands by a bulky XXX ligand would likely prevent coordination of the large $\mathrm{PCy}_{3}$ ligand, thereby allowing for isolation of a Ru species containing either a weakly bound ligand or an open coordination site cis to the $\mathrm{Ru}=\mathrm{CR}$ bond (Figure 3.2). Approach of an alkyne to the $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{C}$ triple bond could be possible, making such a complex interesting as a potential Ru ACM catalyst.


Figure 3.2. Comparison of known and proposed Ru alkylidyne complexes.

For these reasons, XXX pincer ligands are expected to facilitate the triple-bond metathesis reactions of interest to our lab. Given the dearth of reported bulky XXX pincer ligands at the time the current work was begun (see Chapter 1), the synthesis of new XXX pincer ligands was a primary research objective. The design, synthesis, and metallation of trianionic pincer ligands containing imidazoles will be discussed below.

### 3.2 Bis-Imidazole Ligand

Upon searching the literature, we found a report of a variety of 1,3-bis(4,5-diaryl$1 H$-imidazol-2-yl)benzene structures that we envisioned could serve as a precursor to a trianionic pincer ligand (Fig 3.3). ${ }^{4}$ Such a structure is attractive as an XXX ligand precursor for two reasons. First, the molecules are readily synthesized by condensing a benzil derivative with commercially available isophthalaldehyde. Secondly, the ligand steric profile can be adjusted through variation of the pendant aryl groups on the imidazole rings. In this chapter, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}$ will denote the phenyl carbon atom that is ortho to both imidazole rings, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{B}}$ will denote the pyrrolic nitrogen atoms, and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}$ will denote the pyridyl nitrogen atoms as shown in Figure 2.3.


Figure 3.3. Proposed use of 1,3-bis(4,5-diaryl-1H-imidazol-2-yl)benzene molecules as XXX pincer ligands.

Upon condensing $3,3^{\prime}, 5,5^{\prime}$-tetramethylbenzil (3.1) ${ }^{5}$ with isophthalaldehyde (3.2) and excess ammonium acetate in refluxing acetic acid, imidazole $\mathrm{NCN}-\mathrm{H}_{3}$ (3.3) could be obtained upon precipitation with water (Scheme 3.1). The $m$-xylyl group was chosen for its moderate steric protection and the simplicity of its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum. Compound $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ displays low solubility in a variety of solvents. Therefore, it was purified readily by washing the crude product with excess ethanol, resulting in an $83 \%$ yield of $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ upon
drying in vacuo. Ligand precursor $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ could now be tested for activation of $C_{A}$ and $N_{B}$ by Mo and Ru complexes.


Scheme 3.1. Synthesis of imidazole 3.3.

The activation of $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ to give an XXX complex was investigated using $\mathrm{NMo}\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)_{3}, \mathrm{NMo}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\prime} \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{3}, \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$, and $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{NCEt}_{3}\right.$. It was anticipated that the $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}-\mathrm{H}$ bond of 3.3 would be deprotonated by the basic ligands $-\mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ and $-\mathrm{O}^{\prime} \mathrm{Bu}$, or activated by $\mathrm{Mo}(0)$ precursors. However, the $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}-\mathrm{H}$ remained intact under all conditions tested as judged by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. The activation of $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ with $\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}$ and $\left[\operatorname{RuCl}_{2}(p \text {-cymene })\right]_{2}$ was also investigated, but again CH activation was not observed. This was not unexpected, as examples of pincer ligand CH activation by Ru are rare. ${ }^{6}$ Furthermore, addition of $\mathrm{KO}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}$ to $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ did not facilitate $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}-\mathrm{H}$ activation by Ru .


Scheme 3.2. Known pincer ligand transmetalation from Zr to Rh .

The literature Zr complex 3.4 (Scheme 3.2), which contains a pincer ligand that is structurally similar to $\mathbf{3 . 3}$, has been shown to transmetallate its pincer ligand to Rh to afford 3.5. ${ }^{7}$ Hoping to employ a similar strategy, $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ was found to react cleanly with $\mathrm{Zr}\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)_{4}$ to yield $\mathrm{Zr}(\mathrm{NCN})\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}\right)(3.6)$ in $93 \%$ yield as shown in Scheme 3.3. Complex $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ is insoluble in nonpolar solvents and has a low solubility in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and THF. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ displays broadened peaks for the $\mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}$ resonances, indicating a ligand exchange process. Upon cooling the NMR sample to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the broadened peaks sharpened significantly in the spectrum. The $\mathrm{NH} \mathrm{Me}_{2}$ resonance appears far downfield at $\delta 17.77 \mathrm{ppm}$, which suggests that the exchange process may involve hydrogen bonding. The tridentate nature of the imidazole ligand of 3.6 was confirmed by the deshielded ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR resonance of $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}$ at $\delta 184.05$ ppm, which is indicative of metal coordination. However, X-ray quality crystals of $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ could not be grown due to its low solubility, and so its precise geometry remains unknown. Given the successful formation of 3.6, activation of $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ was also attempted with the lighter congener $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)_{4}$. Reaction of $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)_{4}$ with $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ clearly resulted in deprotonation at $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{B}}$, but the $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}-\mathrm{H}$ remained intact as observed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy.



3.6

Scheme 3.3. Zr mediated activation of $\mathbf{3 . 3}$.

Complex 3.6 was tested for pincer ligand transmetalation to a variety of substrates. In most cases, no discernible product was observed via ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ or ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectroscopy. Reaction of $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ with $\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}$ initially seemed promising, as combination of the two complexes in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ led to generation of a new peak in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum at $\delta 62.67 \mathrm{ppm}$ in a $2: 1$ ratio with free $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, which suggests a structure of the form $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{NCN})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$(Scheme 3.4). However, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the mixture was quite complex, and repeated attempts to isolate a clean product proved unsuccessful. Attempted cation exchange of the unknown product with TBACl or PNPCl did not result in a shift of the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR signal. Introduction of 4,4'-di-tert-butyl-2,2'bipyridyl led to the gradual formation of $\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left({ }^{( } \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right.$-bipy $)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$, which was confirmed independently through reaction of 4,4'-di-tert-butyl-2,2'-bipyridyl directly with $\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}$ (Scheme 3.4). It is not likely that a bidentate ligand would displace a XXX pincer ligand. Therefore, the reaction of $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ with $\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}$ probably does not result in XXX transmetalation as originally believed. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR peak at $\delta 62.67 \mathrm{ppm}$ may indicate the formation of a weak adduct, which is then broken up through addition of bipyridine.


Scheme 3.4. Attempted XXX transmetalation from 3.6.

### 3.3 Trianion Generation

In Chapter 1 it was seen that formation of an $\mathrm{NCN}-\mathrm{Li}_{3}$ complex allowed for the formation of $\operatorname{Hf}(\mathrm{XXX})$ complexes through salt elimination. ${ }^{8}$ Therefore, we next investigated whether tri-lithium or tri-magnesium salts of $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ could be generated. As determined by $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ quenching experiments, treatment of $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ with alkyllithium reagents did not result in deprotonation of $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}$. We sought to replace $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}-\mathrm{H}$ with $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}-\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{X}=$ halogen) in order to generate an arylide species via lithium- or magnesium-halogen exchange. The imidazole compound $\mathrm{NCN}-\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (3.8) was readily synthesized by condensation of 2-bromoisophthalaldehyde (3.7) ${ }^{9}$ with 2 equiv of $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ (Scheme 3.5), and upon purification was isolated in $68 \%$ yield. In our hands, the published procedure for synthesizing 3.7 was not consistently reproducible and did not scale very readily. Therefore, readily obtained 2 -iodoisophthalonitrile (3.9) ${ }^{10}$ was reduced with 2 equiv of DIBAL-H, leading the formation of 2-iodoisophthalaldehyde (3.10) after acidic workup
(Scheme 3.5). Unoptimized reaction conditions gave a crude mixture of $\mathbf{3 . 9}$ that was not purified. Subsequent condensation of impure $\mathbf{3 . 1 0}$ with 2 equiv of $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ generated NCN-I$\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (3.11), which could be readily isolated due to its low solubility. The synthesis of $\mathbf{3 . 1 1}$ could be performed on a multigram scale in $20 \%$ yield from 3.9.


Scheme 3.5. Synthesis of imidazoles $\mathrm{NCN}-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{H}_{2}$.

With ligand precursors $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 1 1}$ in hand, investigations into the generation of trilithium salts commenced. In the test reactions, $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ or $\mathbf{3 . 1 1}$ were treated with 3 equiv $n$ BuLi in thawing solvent and the resulting solution was quenched with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Scheme 3.6). In all cases, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the quenched reaction mixtures indicated a sole product having chemical shifts identical to $\mathrm{NCN}-\mathrm{H}_{3}$ (3.3), except that a single xylyl-group environment was observed on the NMR timescale. Therefore, dianionic species 3.12.H(D) was assigned as the reaction product, suggesting that tri-lithiation was successful.


Scheme 3.6. Tri-lithiation followed by deuterium quenching.

While tri-lithiation was successful, the subsequent deuteration at $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}$ was not complete and varying amounts of protonation were observed as shown in Table 3.1. Protonation of $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}$ suggests that the $\mathrm{XXX}-\mathrm{Li}_{3}$ complex is somewhat unstable with respect to proton abstraction from the solvent. The least amount of proton incorporation (24 \%) was observed upon lithiation of $3.8(X=B r)$ in thawing $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ followed by $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ quenching after 15 minutes. Under identical reaction conditions, the iodo derivative $\mathbf{3 . 1 1}$ yielded a greater percentage of proton incorporation ( $68 \%$ ), likely due to a more rapid rate of $\mathrm{Li}-\mathrm{I}$ exchange ${ }^{11}$ followed by decomposition. Performing the reactions in thawing THF resulted in greater amounts of protonation for both 3.8 and $\mathbf{3 . 1 1}$, despite the decreased reaction times prior to quenching. These data indicate that while tri-lithiation is facile, the resulting species are not very stable with respect to protonation at $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}$, thus limiting their synthetic utility.

Table 3.1. Results of tri-lithiation reactions.

| Compound | Solvent | Temperature | Time / $\mathbf{m i n}$ | \% H $^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | thawing | 15 | 24 |
| $\mathbf{3 . 1 1}$ | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | thawing | 15 | 68 |
| $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ | THF | thawing | 5 | 36 |
| $\mathbf{3 . 1 1}$ | THF | thawing | 5 | 97 |

[^0]We next investigated the reactivity of Grignard reagents in the hope of generating a more stable tri-anionic species that would persist in solution long enough to react with metal substrates. Treatment of $\mathbf{3 . 1 1}$ with 2 equiv of EtMgBr in THF- $d_{8}$ resulted in rapid deprotonation of the imidazole rings to give $\mathbf{3 . 1 3}$ as judged by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy (Scheme 3.7). Addition of a third equivalent of EtMgBr followed by heating to $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ resulted in a complicated ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum containing large numbers of broad resonances. Upon quenching with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum revealed the presence of 3.12.H, suggesting the intermediate formation of an XXX-( MgBr$)_{3}$ (3.14) complex as desired.



Scheme 3.7. Tri-anion formation using a Grignard reagent.

Complex 3.14 was generated in situ and then treated with a variety of metal compounds. The Mo complexes $\mathrm{NMo}\left(\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{3}, \quad \mathrm{NMo}\left(\mathrm{OCMe}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{3}$, and $\mathrm{EtCMoCl}_{3}$ (DME) did not give any identifiable reaction products with $\mathbf{3 . 1 4}$ in THF- $d_{8}$; the
corresponding ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra matched the $\mathbf{3 . 1 4}$ spectrum in complexity. Reaction of 3.14 with $\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}$ in THF generated free $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ as observed by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectroscopy, as well as a very broad peak at $\delta \sim 60 \mathrm{ppm}$. However, a ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ showed a large number of NCN -containing products as judged by the xylyl group region of the spectrum. Dissolution of the reaction mixture in pyridine- $d_{5}$ resulted in the formation of multiple products in both the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra. Therefore, it seems that $\mathbf{3 . 1 4}$ is not suitable for metalation of transition metal complexes. One possible explanation for the lack of reactivity is the formation of imidazole- Mg oligomers that prevent the required approach with a metal substrate.

### 3.4 Monoanion Generation

We thought it interesting to determine whether lack of acidic protons would affect the formation and stability of the $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}$ lithium arylide species. Therefore the dimethyl imidazoles $\mathrm{NCN}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Me}_{2}$ (3.15) and $\mathrm{NCN}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{Me}_{2}$ (3.16) were also synthesized from the corresponding parent imidazoles $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 1 1}$ as shown in Scheme 3.8. Upon metalation, these compounds would be structurally similar to a variety of known monoanionic (LXL) NCN pincer ligands. ${ }^{6}$ Additionally, we anticipated that the corresponding LXL ligand would be a good ancillary ligand for Ru alkylidyne ${ }^{12}$ and carbide ${ }^{13-16}$ complexes of interest to our group.


Scheme 3.8. Synthesis of potential LXL precursors $\mathrm{NCN}-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Me}_{2}$.

Precursor 3.15 was found to be deprotonated by $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ at $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}$ to give $\mathrm{NCN}-\mathrm{Li}-$ $\mathrm{Me}_{2}$ (3.17) as desired (Scheme 3.9). However, a large number of unidentifiable byproducts were also formed in the reaction. Cleaner conversion was achieved by Li-I exchange between $\mathbf{3 . 1 6}$ and $n$-BuLi and allowed for the isolation of $\mathbf{3 . 1 7}$ as an off-white powder in 70 \% yield. Solid 3.17 was found to be rapidly protonated in THF solution even at low temperatures, and so reactions of $\mathbf{3 . 1 7}$ were typically performed in either $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ or $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$.

Compound 3.17 was treated with the substrates $\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3},\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(p-\right.$ cymene $)]_{2}, \mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{C}-p-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}\right)\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl},{ }^{12}$ and $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{CS})\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} .{ }^{14}$ Under all of the conditions tested, either no reaction was observed or the reaction was sluggish and yielded multiple products. The poor reactivity is possibly due to the large size of the NCN ligand, which could inhibit its approach towards Ru substrates. Because the use of 3.15 and 3.16 as LXL pincer ligand precursors was not expected to advance the primary research interest of triple-bond metathesis, investigations of these ligands were not pursued further.

3.15

3.17

Scheme 3.9. Lithiation to give an LXL precursor 3.17.

### 3.5 Synthesis of XXX Precursors Containing Three Acidic Protons

Given the difficulty encountered in activation of $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ and its derivatives, we reasoned that an XXX ligand precursor possessing three acidic protons would be easier to ligate via protonolysis or salt elimination pathways. To this end we targeted a 2,5-bisimidazole-pyrrole framework, in which the central phenyl ring of $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ has been replaced with a pyrrole ring. A closely related molecule was synthesized through the condensation of benzil with 1-methyl-1 $H$-pyrrole-2,5-dicarbaldehyde. ${ }^{17}$ Therefore, we targeted a similar route to obtain the desired molecules (Scheme 3.10). Attempts to condense 3,3 ',5,5'-tetramethylbenzil (3.1), pyrrole-2,5-dicarbaldehyde (3.18), ${ }^{18}$ and
$\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}$ in refluxing acetic acid resulted in the isolation of a black solid. The only identifiable compound observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum was 3.1 , indicating that the pyrrole component was unstable under the reaction conditions. The pyrrole $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ was observed to be thermally unstable, with significant decomposition of $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ being qualitatively observed even upon storing $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ at low temperatures in the solid-state. Therefore, the instability of $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ in refluxing acetic acid was not entirely surprising.


Attempt with NH-pyrrole


Scheme 3.10. Attempted synthesis of desired 2,5 -bisimidazole-pyrrole.

Seeking other synthetic routes, we turned our attention to the Paal-Knorr synthesis of pyrroles, in which a 1,4-diketone substrate is condensed with an amine to form a pyrrole ring. For example, use of 1,4-diphenylbutane-1,4-dione as the substrate leads to the formation of 2,5-diphenylpyrrole. ${ }^{19}$ Therefore, known 1-methyl-4,5diphenylimidazole (3.19) ${ }^{20}$ was used as a model substrate to test the feasibility of the synthetic route. Imidazole rings are known to be incompatible with Friedel-Crafts chemistry, ${ }^{21}$ and so $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ could not be acylated via electrophilic aromatic substitution. Consequently, the required 1,4-dione 3.21 was synthesized by lithiation at the 2 -position
of $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ followed by nucleophilic addition into $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ '-dimethoxy-N,N'dimethylsuccinamide (3.20), ${ }^{22}$ as shown in Scheme 3.11. Upon workup, dione (3.21) was isolated in 59 \% yield. In a test reaction, $\mathbf{3 . 2 1}$ was condensed with excess $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}$ in refluxing acetic acid. The desired 2,5-bisimidazole-pyrrole species $\mathbf{3 . 2 2}$ was precipitated upon quenching the reaction with water. Compound $\mathbf{3 . 2 2}$ was identified through its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and MS spectra, indicating the applicability of the Paal-Knorr synthesis to the current systems.


3.22

3.21

Scheme 3.11. Paal-Knorr synthesis of 3.12.

Having determined that the Paal-Knorr synthesis was feasible for construction of the desired imidazole-pyrrole scaffolds, we turned to a system employing N-protecting groups on the parent imidazole. Synthesis of the parent 4,5-bis(3,5dimethylphenyl)imidazole $\mathbf{3 . 2 3}$ was achieved by condensing $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ with hexamethylenetetramine (HMTA) in refluxing acetic acid, with $\mathbf{3 . 2 3}$ being isolated in 64 \% yield. Deprotonation of $\mathbf{3 . 2 3}$ with NaH followed by treatment with dimethylsulfamoyl chloride gave imidazole $\mathbf{3 . 2 4}$ in $64 \%$ yield after purification. Lithiation of $\mathbf{3 . 2 4}$ with $n$ -

BuLi followed by treatment with $\mathrm{XC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{X}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{OMe}) \mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{OMe}, \mathrm{Cl})$ did not result in acylation of the imidazole ring. Starting imidazole $\mathbf{3 . 2 4}$ was the only imidazolecontaining product observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the crude reaction mixtures. A deuterium quenching experiment under similar conditions revealed only partial deuteration at the imidazole $(\mathrm{CH}=60 \%)$, suggesting that H -atom abstraction from the solvent is relatively slow. Therefore, the low reactivity of the lithiated imidazole species with acyl substrates could be due either to favorable lithium chelation by the sulfamoyl group, or to steric hindrance associated with the sulfamoyl group.


Scheme 3.12. Attempted dione formation with an N-protected imidazole.

Other protecting groups for the imidazole nitrogen were considered in the hope of increasing the reactivity of the lithiated imidazole. However, a judicious choice of protecting groups was necessary, as a variety of common imidazole N-protecting groups
are not compatible with organolithium reagents. For example, imidazole N-trimethylsilyl and N-benzyl groups are known to react with alkyllithium reagents. ${ }^{21}$ Facile lithiation of N -methoxymethyl (MOM) protected imidazoles is known; however, the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{MOM}$ protecting group can be difficult to remove. ${ }^{21}$ Fortunately the dimethylaminomethyl group has been shown to be suitable for lithiation chemistry and can be removed easily. ${ }^{23}$ However due to the hydrophobicity of $\mathbf{3 . 2 3}$, attempts to install a dimethylaminomethyl group failed under the typical aqueous conditions for the Mannich reaction.

Due to the difficulty in finding an appropriate protecting group for the Paal-Knorr synthetic route, we returned to the previously attempted imidazole condensation pathway. Unlike the other heterocyclic substrates tested, the compound 3,6-di-tert-butyl-9H-carbazole-1,8-dicarbaldehyde $(\mathbf{3 . 2 5})^{24}$ was found to readily condense with $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ to give $\mathrm{NNN}-\mathrm{H}_{3}$ (3.26) as shown in Scheme 3.13. Upon purification of the reaction mixture, 3.26 was obtained in $55 \%$ yield as a yellow powder. Unlike 3.3, imidazole $\mathbf{3 . 2 6}$ is soluble in a wide range of solvents due to the presence of the tert-butyl groups on the carbazole ring. Future investigations into metallation of $\mathbf{3 . 2 6}$ will be discussed further in Chapter 5.


Scheme 3.13. Synthesis of an $\mathrm{NNN}-\mathrm{H}_{3}$ ligand precursor.

### 3.6 Conclusions

Two new rare XXX ligands have been developed and synthesized. The NCN ligand (3.3) was found to be activated by $\mathrm{Zr}\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)_{4}$ to give a $\mathrm{Zr}(\mathrm{XXX})$ complex (3.6). The activation of 3.1 with other metal substrates was found to be challenging, which is not surprising given the limited scope of other XXX ligand precursors that contain only two acidic protons (see Chapter 1). Upon synthesis of the appropriate imidazole substrates, tri-lithiation of the NCN ligand could be achieved, though the species was unstable with respect to protonation at $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}$. An XXX $-(\mathrm{MgBr})_{3}(\mathbf{3 . 1 4})$ species could also be generated in situ and was found to be more stable than the $\mathrm{XXX}-\mathrm{Li}_{3}$ species. However, $\mathbf{3 . 1 4}$ did not react cleanly with metal substrates, possibly due to the large size of the ligand. A monoanionic NCN-Li species (3.17) could be formed, but was found to have a low reactivity with metal substrates.

It was hypothesized that the difficulties encountered in metalation of the NCN ligand could be remedied by synthesizing a derivative containing three acidic protons.

Formation of a model 2,5-bis-imidazole-pyrrole compound (3.22) demonstrated the feasibility of the Paal-Knorr synthesis for making imidazole-pyrrole compounds. Difficulties were encountered in making the requisite dione intermediate when using protecting groups other than the N -methyl group. This obstacle was bypassed by designing a new target ligand containing a carbazole backbone via condensation of a benzil derivative (3.1) with a substituted carbazole-1,8-dicarbaldehyde (3.24) to give a new imidazole-carbazole compound (3.26). Imidazole 3.26 is a rare example of an XXXpincer ligand precursor containing three acidic protons.

### 3.7 Experimental

### 3.7.1 Methods

All reactions were performed in an atmosphere of dinitrogen, either in a nitrogenfilled MBRAUN Labmaster 130 glove box or by using standard air-free techniques unless indicated otherwise. ${ }^{25}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded at $499.909 \mathrm{MHz}, 399.967$ MHz , or or 300.075 MHz on a Varian Inova 500, Varian Inova 400, Varian MR400, or Varian Inova 300 spectrometer and referenced to the residual protons in pyridine- $d_{5}$ (8.79 $\mathrm{ppm}), \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ( 7.26 ppm ), $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ( 7.16 ppm ), $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (5.32 ppm), THF- $d_{8}(2.09 \mathrm{ppm})$, $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}(2.05 \mathrm{ppm})$, or $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(1.94 \mathrm{ppm}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded at 100.724 MHz on a Varian Inova 400 or Varian MR400 spectrometer and were referenced to naturally abundant ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ nuclei in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (77.16 ppm), $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 54.00 ppm ), or $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}(39.52 \mathrm{ppm})$. HRMS data were collected on a Micromass AutoSpec Ultima with electrospray ionization. LCT-MS data were collected on a Micromass LCT Time-
of-Flight mass spectrometer. Combustion analyses were performed on a Perkin-Elmer 200 Series II Analyzer.

### 3.7.2 Materials

All bulk solvents were obtained from VWR scientific. Benzene and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ were degassed and dried over $4 \AA$ molecular sieves, and all other solvents used were dried and deoxygenated by the method of Grubbs. $\mathrm{Zr}\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)_{4},{ }^{26} \quad \mathrm{NMo}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{3},{ }^{27}$ $\mathrm{NMo}\left(\mathrm{OCMe}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{3},{ }^{28} \quad \mathrm{EtCMoCl}_{3}(\mathrm{DME}),{ }^{29} \quad \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(\mathrm{NCEt})_{3},{ }^{30} \quad \mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)_{4},{ }^{26}$ $\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3},{ }^{31} \quad\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(p-\mathrm{cymene})\right]_{2},{ }^{32} \quad\left[\mathrm{RuI}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{n},{ }^{33} \quad\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{n}},{ }^{34} \quad \mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{C}-p-$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}\right)\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl},{ }^{12} \quad \mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{CS})\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},{ }^{14} \quad 3,3$, $, 5,5$ ' -tetramethylbenzil $\quad(\mathbf{3 . 1}),{ }^{5} \quad 2-$ bromoisophthalaldehyde (3.7), ${ }^{9}$ iodoisophthalonitrile (3.9), ${ }^{10}$ pyrrole-2,5-dicarbaldehyde (3.18), ${ }^{18} \quad \mathrm{~N}$-methyl-4,5-diphenylimidazole (3.19), ${ }^{20} \quad \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{~N}$ '-dimethoxy-N,N'dimethylsuccinamide (3.20), ${ }^{22}$ and 3,6-di-tert-butyl-9H-carbazole-1,8-dicarbaldehyde $(3.29)^{24}$ were synthesized according to literature procedures. Ammonium acetate, methyl iodide, sodium hydride ( $60 \%$ dispersion in mineral oil), hexamethylenetetramine, dimethylsulfamoyl chloride, dimethylamine hydrochloride, 1.0 M EtMgBr in hexanes, dimethyl succinate, succinyl chloride, and 4,4'-di-t-butyl-2,2'-bipyridyl were obtained from Acros. Acetic acid, acetone, methanol, 2-propanol, aqueous ammonia, HCl , and formaldehyde ( $37 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ aqueous) were obtained from Fisher. $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}, \mathrm{PNPCl}, 1.6 \mathrm{M}$ $n$-BuLi in hexanes, and 3.0 M EtMgBr in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were obtained from Strem. Isophthalaldehyde was obtained from TCI, and 1.0 M DIBAL-H in hexanes was obtained from Alfa Aesar. TBACl was obtained from Fluka. All reagents were used as received.

### 3.7.3 Synthetic Procedures

$\mathbf{N C N}-\mathrm{H}_{3}$ (3.3). In 250 mL round bottom flask equipped with a reflux condensor open to air, a suspension of isophthaldehyde (3.2) $(1.80 \mathrm{~g}, 13.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzil (3.1) ( $7.29 \mathrm{~g}, 27.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), and ammonium acetate $(16.6 \mathrm{~g}, 215 \mathrm{mmol}, 16$ equiv) in acetic acid $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ was brought to reflux for 4 hours. After cooling, the solution was poured into $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(250 \mathrm{~mL})$, causing a light powder to precipitate. The solid was collected by filtration and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 250 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solid was stirred in EtOH ( 300 mL ) for 20 minutes, then filtered and washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. Addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ to the $\mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ filtrate yielded a second crop of product, which was collected by filtration. The crops were combined and heated at $145{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours to remove traces of acetic acid, yielding $3.3(6.97 \mathrm{~g}, 11.1 \mathrm{mmol}$, $82.8 \%)$ as a light cream powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right): \delta 12.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.77$ (pseudo $\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $8.07\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right.$ ), $7.56\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.9\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.24(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.17(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ArH}), 7.00(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ArH}), 6.86(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 2.27(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 2.21\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right): \delta 145.05,137.40$, $137.24,136.81,135.04,130.93,130.89,129.05,128.91,128.44,127.96,126.07,125.04$, 124.90, 122.29, 20.97, 20.88. Anal. Cacld for $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 84.31 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.75$; N, 8.94. Found C, 84.25; H, 6.41; N, 8.87.
$\mathbf{Z r}(\mathbf{N C N})\left(\mathbf{N M e}_{2}\right)\left(\mathbf{N H M e}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ (3.6). Compound 3.3 ( $6.4611 \mathrm{~g}, 10.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and $\mathrm{Zr}\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)_{4}\left(2.8990 \mathrm{~g}, 10.84 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05\right.$ equiv) were suspended in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (175 mL ). The mixture was stirred vigorously for 5 hrs , then diluted with pentane ( 75 mL ). The solid was collected by vacuum filtration, washed with pentane ( $3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), then
dried in vacuo to yield $3.6(7.7428 \mathrm{~g}, 9.63 \mathrm{mmol}, 93 \%)$ as a cream colored powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta 17.77\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHMe}_{2}\right), 7.80\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 6.97(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 6.95(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.92(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ArH}), 6.90(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.62(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $2.68\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.41\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.28\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 1.92(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 184.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {ipso }}\right), 158.38,140.08,137.66$, 137.40, 135.31, 132.91, 130.14, 129.27, 127.56, 127.43, 125.40, 120.73, 38.58, 37.86, 21.56, 21.03. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{Zr}: \mathrm{C}, 71.69 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.52$; N, 10.45. Found C, 71.22; H, 6.37; N, 9.99.
$\mathbf{N C N}-\mathrm{Br}^{-\mathrm{H}_{2}}$ (3.8). In 500 mL round bottom flask equipped with a reflux condensor open to air, a suspension of 2-bromoisophthalaldehyde (3.7) (1.70 g, 7.98 mmol, 1.0 equiv), $3,3^{\prime}, 5,5$ '-tetramethylbenzil (3.1) ( $4.27 \mathrm{~g}, 16.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), and ammonium acetate ( $19.7 \mathrm{~g}, 256 \mathrm{mmol}$, 32 equiv) in acetic acid ( 150 mL ) was brought to reflux for 3 hours 15 minutes. After cooling, the solution was poured into $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(300 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the resulting mixture made alkaline with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$. The solid was collected by filtration and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(400 \mathrm{~mL})$. The product was purified by multiple precipitations from THF / hexane at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with the crops collected by filtration, washed with hexane, and dried in vacuo to yield 3.8 ( $3.84 \mathrm{~g}, 5.44 \mathrm{mmol}, 68 \%$ ) as an off-white powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 12.77(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.72\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=\right.$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.59\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.23(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ArH}), 7.16(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.99(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.86(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 2.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 2.21\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (( $\left.\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right): \delta 144.86,137.46,136.78,136.46,135.12,134.09,131.99,130.86$,
$128.95,127.87,127.64,127.15,125.72,124.99,122.56,20.98,20.89 . \operatorname{MS}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+=$ 705; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} 705.2593$, found 705.2604.

2-iodoisophthalaldehyde (3.10). In a glovebox, iodoisophthalonitrile (3.9) (6.44 $\mathrm{g}, 25.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and dry $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ were measured into a 500 mL 3 -neck flask fitted with a gas adapter and rubber septum. An addition funnel containing DIBALH (1.0 M in hexane, $53 \mathrm{~mL}, 53 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.1 equiv) was attached, then the flask was placed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ on a Schlenk line and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The DIBAL-H solution was added slowly over 10 minutes, then the mixture was allowed to gradually warm overnight with stirring. The mixture was then cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and quenched with $25 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ aqueous HCl ( 100 mL ). The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, then the combined organics were filtered to remove particulates and the volatiles removed in vacuo, yielding 4.87 g of solid. The crude material contained $\sim 50 \% \mathbf{3 . 1 0}$ as judged by comparison to the reported ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum. ${ }^{35}$ The remainder of the material consisted of mostly 2iodoisophthalonitrile (3.9). The crude mixture proved suitable to carry on without purification.

NCN-I-H2 (3.11). In 500 mL round bottom flask equipped with a reflux condensor open to air, a suspension of crude $\mathbf{3 . 1 0}(\sim 50 \%, 4.87 \mathrm{~g}, \sim 9.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $3,3^{\prime}, 5,5$ '-tetramethylbenzil ( $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ ) ( $5.11 \mathrm{~g}, 19.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), and ammonium acetate $(12.6 \mathrm{~g}, 164 \mathrm{mmol}, 17$ equiv) in acetic acid $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was brought to reflux for 4 hours. After cooling, the solution was poured into $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$. The precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, then suspended in $\mathrm{EtOH}(300 \mathrm{~mL})$ and
stirred vigorously for 20 minutes. The mixture was then filtered and the solid washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The white solid was then dried in vacuo at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to yield $3.11\left(3.87 \mathrm{~g}, 5.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \%\right.$ from 3.9) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 12.58(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.58-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.24(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH})$, 7.17 (s, 4H, ArH), 6.99 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ArH}$ ), 6.86 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ArH}$ ), 2.27 ( $\mathrm{s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}$ ), 2.21 ( s , $\left.12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right): \delta 147.45,138.51,137.44,136.75,136.14$, 135.23, 131.02, 130.96, 128.88, 127.80, 127.61, 127.30, 125.68, 125.00, 102.29, 20.99, 20.89. MS $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+=753$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{IN}_{4} 753.2454$, found 753.2484 .

NCN-H-Me $\mathbf{2}_{\text {(3.15). }}$ THF ( 30 mL ) was added via cannula to a solid mixture of $\mathrm{NCN}^{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}(1.5184 \mathrm{~g}, 2.42 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and $\mathrm{NaH}(60 \%$ in mineral oil, $0.2162 \mathrm{~g}, 5.37$ mmol, 2.2 equiv) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred 30 minutes at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then 2 hours 15 minutes at room temperature. The solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then a solution of MeI ( $0.30 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.82 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) was added via cannula. The solution was stirred overnight, then quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, causing a white powder to precipitate. The powder was collected by filtration, washed with excess $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and dried in vacuo to yield $3.15(1.4431 \mathrm{~g}, 2,21 \mathrm{mmol}, 91.3 \%)$ as a white powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta$ $8.07\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.82\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.61$ $\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{JHH}_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.24(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.09(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.05(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.80$ (s, 2H, ArH), $3.53\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 2.37\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 2.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 146.94,138.38,137.52,137.28,134.51,131.58,131.11,130.83$, 130.19, 129.63, 129.34, 128.84, 128.55, 127.89, 124.53, 33.15, 21.32, 21.27. Anal. Cacld for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ : C, 84.36; H, 7.08; N, 8.56. Found C, 84.10; H, 6.73; N, 8.12.

NCN-I-Me $\mathbf{2}_{\mathbf{2}}$ (3.16). A mixture of THF ( 100 mL ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added via cannula to a solid mixture of $\mathrm{NCN}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{H}_{2}(8.43 \mathrm{~g}, 11.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and NaH $\left(0.6781 \mathrm{~g}, 28.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.6\right.$ equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred for 3 hours at room temperature, then a solution of $\mathrm{MeI}\left(1.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 22.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0\right.$ equiv) in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(80 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added via cannula. The suspension was stirred overnight, then quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 200 mL ). The mixture was filtered, the solid was washed with excess $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and dried in vacuo to yield $3.16(7.40 \mathrm{~g}, 9.48 \mathrm{mmol}, 85 \%)$ as a white powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}): \delta 7.64-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.22(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.08(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.04(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, ArH ), 6.79 ( $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 3.27 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), 2.36 ( $\mathrm{s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}$ ), 2.19 ( $\mathrm{s}, 12 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 148.39,138.82,138.55,137.50,136.95,134.66$, 132.86, 131.03, 130.37, 129.85, 128.72, 128.55, 128.01, 124.69, 106.51, 32.52, 21.45, 21.422. Anal. Cacld for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{IN}_{4}$ : C, $70.76 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.81 ; \mathrm{N}, 7.18$. Found C, 70.17; H, 5.41; N, 6.97.

NCN-Li-Me 2 (3.17). Method A: A 2.5 M hexane solution of $n$ - BuLi ( 0.18 mL , $0.450 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) was added to a stirring suspension of $\mathbf{3 . 9}(0.3188 \mathrm{~g}, 0.408 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred for 35 minutes, then the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(7.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the solution was frozen and lyopholized in vacuo. The resulting powder was washed with pentane ( $3 \times 10$ mL ) and dried in vacuo to yield $\mathbf{3 . 1 0}$ ( $0.1888 \mathrm{~g}, 0.286 \mathrm{mmol}, 70 \%$ ) as an off-white powder. Small amounts of an unknown byproduct were observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.68\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.58\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=\right.$
$7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ArH}), 7.12(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ArH}), 6.75(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ArH}), 6.62(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ArH}), 6.53(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{ArH}), 3.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 1.96\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 1.93\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right)$. Method B: A 2.5 M hexane solution of $n-\operatorname{BuLi}(6.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.0160 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added to a stirring suspension of $\mathbf{3 . 8}\left(10.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0160 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0\right.$ equiv) in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$, resulting in an immediate color change to dark purple. The mixture was stirred for 30 minutes, then the solvent removed in vacuo and the residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}(0.7 \mathrm{~mL}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis indicated the formation of $\mathbf{3 . 1 0}$ and a large number of impurity peaks in the spectrum baseline.

## 1,4-bis(1-methyl-4,5-diphenyl-1H-imidazol-2-yl)butane-1,4-dione

 was measured into a 100 mL flask, dissolved in dry THF ( 25 mL ), and cooled to near freezing. $n-\operatorname{BuLi}(1.6 \mathrm{M}$ in hexanes, $2.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.84 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.1 equiv) was then added via syringe and the solution stirred at ambient temperature for 20 minutes. $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}^{\prime}$-dimethoxy$\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylsuccinamide ( $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ ) ( $0.37 \mathrm{~g}, 1.83 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added as a solid and the mixture stirred overnight. The reaction was then quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Br}$ solution, then the solution was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and the organics were concentrated to dryness. The solid residue was slurried in acetone ( 15 mL ), then cooled in the freezer. The solid precipitate was collected via suction filtration, washed with cold acetone ( $2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and dried in vacuo to give 3.21 ( $0.59 \mathrm{~g}, 1.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 59 \%$ ) as a yellow powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.47-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.31-$ $7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.18-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 3.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 3.77\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta$ 192.04, 142.35, 139.13, 134.94, 134.03, 130.84, 129.80,129.41, 129.29, 128.36, 127.31, 127.13, 33.99, 33.86. MS $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]+=573 ;$ HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{NaO}_{2} 573.2266$, found 573.2249.
2.5-bis(1-methyl-4,5-diphenyl-1H-imidazol-2-yl)-1H-pyrrole (3.22). In a 15 mL round bottom flask equipped with a reflux condensor open to air, a suspension of 3.25 ( $0.05 \mathrm{~g}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 2.6 \mathrm{mmol}$, 29 equiv) in AcOH ( 5 mL ) was brought to reflux with stirring. After refluxing for 5 hours, the blue mixture was poured into $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, causing a precipitate to form. The green solid was collected by suction filtration and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The solid was then extracted through a sintered glass frit with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, then the green filtrate was concentrated to dryness. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and MS analysis of the crude mixture indicated the successful formation of $\mathbf{3 . 2 2}$ as the major product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, distinctive resonances): $\delta 10.60(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, pyrrole-NH), $6.67(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, pyrrole- CH ), $3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, imidazole $\left.\mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right)$. LCT-MS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=532.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{5}\right)$.

4,5-bis(3,5-dimethylphenyl)-1H-imidazole (3.23). In a 250 mL round bottom flask equipped with a reflux condensor open to air, a suspension of hexamethylenetetramine ( $1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 9.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.3$ equiv), $3,3^{\prime}, 5,5^{\prime}$ 'tetramethylbenzil (3.1) ( $7.64 \mathrm{~g}, 28.7$ mmol, 1.0 equiv), and ammonium acetate ( $18.2 \mathrm{~g}, 236 \mathrm{mmol}, 8.2$ equiv) in acetic acid ( 170 mL ) was brought to reflux. After 1 h , the solution was cooled and poured into $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 600 mL ). The aqueous solution was cooled in an ice bath and slowly neutralized with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$, resulting in the precipitation of a powder. The powder was collected by suction filtration, washed with copious $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. Upon drying in
vaсиo, $\mathbf{3 . 2 3}(5.08 \mathrm{~g}, 18.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 64 \%)$ was obtained as a cream colored powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ((CD $\left.\left.)_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 12.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH})$, $7.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 2.23\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 2.18(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right) . \mathrm{MS}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+=277 ;$ HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} 277.1705$, found 277.1705.

## 4,5-bis(3,5-dimethylphenyl)-N,N-dimethyl-1H-imidazole-1-sulfonamide

(3.24). In a glovebox, 3.23 ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 3.64 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and $\mathrm{NaH}(0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 7.25 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.0 equiv) were measured into a 100 mL flask and suspended in dry THF ( 50 mL ) with stirring. After 1 hour of reaction, the mixture appeared brown. Dimethylsulfamoylchloride ( $0.43 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) was added via syringe, causing the solution to turn light red. After stirring overnight, the mixture was quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organics were extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, then the volatiles were removed in vacuo. The solid residue was dissolved in a mixture of $\mathrm{MeOH}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and acetone $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, then a solid was precipitated with the addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The precipitate was collected via suction filtration, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and dried in vacuo to yield $3.29(0.89 \mathrm{~g}, 2.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 64 \%)$ as an off-white powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}): \delta 8.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.07(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.06(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.83$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 2.45\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.34\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 2.18\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 140.18,138.51,138.20,137.62,132.99,131.15,129.58,129.00$, 128.91, 126.35, 124.88, 37.10, 21.40, 21.30. MS [M+Na]+ = 406; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NaO}_{2} \mathrm{~S} 406.1565$, found 406.1561.
$\mathbf{N N N}-\mathbf{H}_{3}$ (3.26). In 50 mL round bottom flask equipped with a reflux condensor open to air, a suspension of 3,6-di-tert-butyl-9 H -carbazole-1,8-dicarbaldehyde (3.29) $\left(0.54 \mathrm{~g}, 1.61 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0\right.$ equiv), $3,3^{\prime}, 5,5^{\prime}$-tetramethylbenzil ( $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ ) $(0.93 \mathrm{~g}, 3.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2$ equiv), and $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}(2.4 \mathrm{~g}, 31.0 \mathrm{mmol}$, 19 equiv) in acetic acid ( 100 mL ) was brought to reflux for 5 hours. Upon cooling, the solution was poured into $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ causing a yellow powder to precipitate. The powder was collected by suction filtration, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(6 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dried in vacuo. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis revealed the presence of small impurities. The solid was extracted through a sintered glass frit with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, then the filtrate was concentrated to $c a .10 \mathrm{~mL}$ in volume, diluted with pentane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and cooled to $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting powder was collected by suction filtration, rinsed with cold pentane, and dried in vacuo to afford $3.30(0.73 \mathrm{~g}, 0.88 \mathrm{mmol}, 55 \%)$ as a yellow powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ : $\delta 11.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, carbazole NH$), 9.38(\mathrm{~s}$, 2 H , imidazole NH ), $8.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ArH}), 7.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ArH}), 7.40(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ArH}), 7.22(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, ArH ), $7.00(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.63(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 2.32\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 1.99\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right)$, $1.19\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 145.33,142.02,138.52,138.21$, 137.30, 136.37, 134.18, 131.72, 129.74, 128.28, 127.05, 126.23, 125.09, 124.27, 119.36, 117.13, 113.01, 35.00, 32.29, 21.39, 21.22. MS [M+Na]+ = 828; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{58} \mathrm{H}_{63} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{Na} 828.5005$, found 828.5037.

### 3.7.4 Other Reactions

## Attempts of $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ activation

$N M o\left(N M e_{2}\right)_{3}$. Solid $\mathbf{3 . 3}(19.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.031 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added to a solution of $\mathrm{NMo}\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)_{3}(7.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.031 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv $)$ in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ with stirring, causing
an immediate color change from yellow to orange-red. After 1.5 hours, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis revealed a large number of resonances that could not be identified. After 5 hours, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum was no cleaner.
$\operatorname{NMo}\left(O^{t} B u\right)_{3 .} \quad$ Method A: Solid $3.3(10.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.017 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) and $\mathrm{NMo}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{3}\left(4.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.015 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0\right.$ equiv) were rinsed into a J . young tube with $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The overlying atmosphere was evacuated for 20 seconds, then the tube was heated in a $95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath and the reaction progress monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. 1 hour: a large number of unidentifiable peaks was observed. 6 hours: no improvement was observed. Method B: Solid 3.3 ( $49.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.080 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.9$ equiv) and $\mathrm{NMo}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{3}(5.3$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.016 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) were rinsed into a J . young tube with $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The overlying atmosphere was evacuated for 20 seconds, then the tube was heated in a $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath and the reaction progress monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. 1 hour: $\mathrm{NMo}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{3}$ was observed, but not $\mathrm{HO}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}$. 6 hours: no change was observed. Method C: A solid mixture of 3.3 ( $20.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.032 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and $\mathrm{NMo}\left(\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{3}(10.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.032$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) were dissolved in THF ( 1.0 mL ) with stirring. After stirring for 4 hours 30 minutes, no color change was noted. The solution was transferred to a J. Young tube and placed in a $65{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath. After heating 18 hours, the solution was cooled, the solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue taken up in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}(0.8 \mathrm{~mL}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis indicated that no reaction had occurred.
$\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ Solid 3.3 ( $9.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.015 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(4.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.016$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) were measured into a J . Young tube and suspended in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$.

The tube was irradiated with a longwave UV lamp and the reaction progress monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. 1 hour: 1 major $\mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{N}$ environment was observed with a single $\mathrm{ArCH}_{3}$ resonance, which suggests no coordination to Mo. 18 hours: no change had occurred. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 10.1(\mathrm{v} \mathrm{br}, 0.2 \mathrm{H}), 9.18(\mathrm{v} \mathrm{br}, 0.2 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH})$, 7.55 (br s, 4H, ArH), $7.22(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ArH}), 6.70(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ArH}), 2.05(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.24 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right)$.
$\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(\mathrm{NCEt})_{3}$. Method A: Solid $3.3(14.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.024 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added to a solution of $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(\mathrm{NCEt})_{3}(8.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.024 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv $)$ in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}(0.8$ mL ) with stirring. After stirring 1 hour 30 minutes, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis indicated one $\mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{N}$ environment with a single $\mathrm{ArCH}_{3}$ resonance. Method B: Solid 3.3 (14.0 mg, $0.022 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added to a solution of $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(\mathrm{NCEt})_{3}(7.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.023$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF ( 1.0 mL ) with stirring. After stirring 2 hours 30 minutes, the solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}(0.8 \mathrm{~mL}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis indicated one $\mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{N}$ environment with a single $\mathrm{ArCH}_{3}$ resonance.
$\operatorname{Ti}\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)_{4}$. Method A: Solid $3.3(10.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.016 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added to a stirring solution of $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)_{4}(9.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.044 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.7$ equiv $)$ in $\mathrm{THF}(0.8 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring for 1 hour, the solvent was removed and the residue dissolved in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}(0.7 \mathrm{~mL})$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis indicated a single $\mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{N}$ environment with no imidazole NH signals visible. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 8.84$ (pseudo-t, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{H}\right), 8.06\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.56(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.38\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 6.79(\mathrm{~s}$, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 3.12\left(\mathrm{~s}, 79 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, 30 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 24 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right)$.

Method B: Solid 3.3 ( $15.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added to a stirring solution of $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)_{4}$ ( $13.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.062 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(0.8 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring for 1 hour, the solvent was removed and the residue dissolved in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}(0.7 \mathrm{~mL})$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum was similar to Method A. Method C: A solution of $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)_{4}(12.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.055$ mmol, 3.0 equiv) in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}(0.8 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a $\mathbf{J}$. Young tube, followed by solid $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ ( $11.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.018 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv). The reaction was heated in a $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath for 2 hours. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis indicated a large number of unidentifiable products had formed.
$\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}:$ Method A: A solid mixture of $3.3(11.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.018 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and $\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}\left(17.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.018 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0\right.$ equiv) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(1.0$ mL ), then the solution was transferred to a J. Young tube and placed in an $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath. After heating for 3 hours, 2 peaks were visible in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum at $\delta 30.12$ (s, 68 $\%)$ and $-4.52\left(\mathrm{~s}, 32 \%, \mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy indicated no activation of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{H}$ or $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{H}$ with resonances at $\delta 12.67\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{H}\right), 9.72\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{H}\right), 2.24\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right)$, $2.17\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right)$. Method B: A solid mixture of $\mathbf{3 . 3}(7.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}\left(10.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0\right.$ equiv), and $\mathrm{KO}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}(3.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.033$ mmol, 3.0 equiv) was supended in THF ( 1.0 mL ), transferred to a J. Young tube, and heated at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an oil bath. The reaction was monitored by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectroscopy. 11 hours: $\delta 40.7(20 \%), 35.7(42 \%), 24.83(5 \%),-4.6(34 \%) .21$ hours: not much change. The volatiles were removed in vacuo and the residue dissolved in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis revealed a large number of very broad peaks. The volatiles were removed in vacuo and the residue dissolved in pyridine- $d_{5} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis revealed no improvement in the sample clarity. Method C: A solid mixture of $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ ( $6.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0090$
mmol, 1.0 equiv), $\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}\left(9.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0096 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0\right.$ equiv), and $\mathrm{KO}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}(9.7 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.0864 mmol , 9.0 equiv) was supended in THF ( 1.0 mL ), transferred to a J. Young tube, and heated at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an oil bath. The reaction was monitored by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectroscopy. 11 hours: $\delta 63.0\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PP}}=49.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 31 \%\right), 51.2\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PP}}=43.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 31 \%\right)$, 24.76 (s, $8 \%$ ), $-4.62(\mathrm{~s}, 13 \%),-16.3(\mathrm{~s}, 17 \%) .21$ hours: no significant change was observed.
$\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\text { p-cymene })\right]_{2}$. A solid mixture of $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ ( $21.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0337 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(p \text {-cymene })\right]_{2}\left(10.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0167 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5\right.$ equiv) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$, then the solution was transferred to a J . Young tube and placed in an $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath. After heating for 3 hours, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy revealed peaks for $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{H}(11.83$ $\mathrm{ppm})$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{H}(9.18 \mathrm{ppm})$. No change was observed after 20 hours.

## Transmetalation Reactions

$N M o\left(O^{t} B u\right)_{3}$. Solid $3.6(8.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added to a solution of $\mathrm{NMo}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{3}$ ( $3.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.8 \mathrm{~mL})$, causing the solution to turn yellow. After stirring 1 hour, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis revealed a large number of products. After reacting overnight, no improvement in the product composition was observed. The volatiles were removed in vacuo and the residue dissolved in pyridine- $d_{5}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis indicated $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{H}$ protonation for the major NCN product.
$\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}$. Method A: A solid mixture of $3.6(7.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0098 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and $\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}\left(9.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0099 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0\right.$ equiv) were dissolved in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.7$
mL ). The solution underwent an immediate color change to green-yellow. After stirring 45 minutes, ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR indicated a new peak at $\delta 62.72 \mathrm{ppm}$ and free $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ in a $2: 1$ ratio, while ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR indicated a large number of products. Method B: Solid $3.6(9.5 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.012 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added to a solution of $\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}(11.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.012 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) and $\mathrm{TBACl}\left(4.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.015 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3\right.$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The solution underwent an immediate color change to green-yellow. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectroscopy revealed a new peak at $\delta 62.72 \mathrm{ppm}$ and free $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ in a $2: 1$ ratio. The solvent was removed and the residue taken up in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis indicated a large number of products. Method C (861): Solid 3.6 ( $28.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.036 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}(34.1 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.036 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), and $\operatorname{PNPCl}(21.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.037 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) were dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ to give a green solution. The solution was stirred 1.5 hours, then 4,4'-di- $t$-butyl-2,2'-bipyridyl ( $9.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.036 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added as a solid, causing the solution to turn red-brown. After $20 \mathrm{~min},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR analysis indicated the original product at $\delta 62.8 \mathrm{ppm}(32 \%)$ and a small new peak at $\delta 25.7 \mathrm{ppm}(6 \%)$. After 50 min , the peak at 62.8 ppm decreased intensity ( $22 \%$ ) and the peak at 25.7 ppm was larger in intensity (14 \%). Control reaction: Solid 4,4'-di- $t$-butyl-2,2'-bipyridyl ( $3.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.013$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added to a solution of $\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}(12.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.013 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.8 \mathrm{~mL})$, resulting in an immediate color change to dark red-orange. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectroscopy revealed a peak at $\delta 25.6 \mathrm{ppm}$ in a $2: 1$ ratio with free $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$.
$\left[\mathrm{RuI}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{n}$. Solid 3.6 ( $12.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.016 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added to a suspension of $\left[\operatorname{RuI}_{2}(\mathrm{PPh} 3) 2\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(14.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.016 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in THF $(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred for 50 minutes, then transferred to a J. Young Tube and placed in a
$60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath. After 25 minutes, ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectroscopy revealed peaks at $\delta 69.3$ (s, 36 $\%), 58.1$ (s, $54 \%$ ), and $-4.6(\mathrm{~s}, 10 \%)$. The mixture was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight, then ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR analysis revealed a broad peak at $\delta 58.23 \mathrm{ppm}$ that accounted for $83 \%$ of the total signal intensity in the spectrum. The solvent was removed and the residue taken up in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.8 \mathrm{~mL})$. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum was unchanged, while ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis revealed $\mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{N}$ as the major NCN product $\left(\delta 8.87, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{H}\right)$.
$\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]_{n}:$ Solid $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(3.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added to a solution of $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ ( $9.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.012 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.7 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred and slowly changed color to a darker shade of brown. After 20 hours, a large number of broad resonances were observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum. The volatiles were removed in vacuo and the residue dissolved in pyridine- $d_{5} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis indicated the formation of multiple unidentifiable products.

## Tri-lithiation reactions

General procedure. In a glovebox, solvent ( 1 mL ) was added to solid NCN-X-H2 $(3.8,3.11)$ and the was mixture frozen in a cold well. Immediately upon thawing, $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ (1.6M in hexane, 3.0 equiv) was added to the reaction mixture with vigorous stirring at ambient temperature. After 15 minutes $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ or 5 minutes (THF), the solution was quenched with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ outside of the glovebox. The solution was concentrated and the residue was analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. In all cases the clean formation of 3.12.H(D) was observed, with the $\% \mathrm{H}$ incorporation determined by integration of $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{H}$ relative to $\mathrm{ArCH}_{3}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{3 . 1 2 . H ( D )}\right): \delta 8.67\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{H}\right), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}$,
$\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.29\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ArH}\right), 7.21(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.77(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, ArH ), 2.21 ( $\mathrm{s}, 24 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}$ ).
3.8: In $E t_{2} O$ : Following the general procedure with $\mathbf{3 . 8}(8.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.012 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and $n$-BuLi ( $23.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.037 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.1$ equiv). $24 \% \mathrm{H}$ incorporation was observed. In THF: Following the general procedure with $\mathbf{3 . 8}(7.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and $n$ - $\mathrm{BuLi}(21.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.034 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.1$ equiv). $36 \% \mathrm{H}$ incorporation was observed.
3.11: In $E t_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : Following the general procedure with $\mathbf{3 . 1 1}(8.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) and $n$-BuLi ( $22.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.035 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.1$ equiv). $68 \% \mathrm{H}$ incorporation was observed. In THF: Following the general procedure with $\mathbf{3 . 1 1}(11.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.015 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) and $n$-BuLi ( $29.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.046 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.1$ equiv). $97 \% \mathrm{H}$ incorporation was observed.

## Tri-magnesium reactions

Formation of 3.14: Solid $\mathbf{3 . 1 1}$ ( $13.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.018 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was measured into a J. Young tube and dissolved in THF- $d_{8}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$. The sample was heated slightly to dissolve 3.11, then an initial ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum was obtained. A 3.0 M solution of EtMgBr in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $11.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.035 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) was added via syringe, causing the solution to turn yellow. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis indicated the clean formation of $\mathbf{3 . 1 3}$. Additional $\mathrm{EtMgBr}\left(5.9 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.018 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0\right.$ equiv) was added to the $\mathrm{THF}-d_{8}$ solution via syringe. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis indicated no reaction had occurred. The sample was
heated in a $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath for 30 minutes, then cooled. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis revealed a large number of unidentifiable peaks (presumably 3.14). The solution was quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2 drops), at which point ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy revealed the formation of 3.12.H. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (THF- $\left.d_{8}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{3 . 1 1}\right): \delta 11.64(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.61\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right)$, $7.51\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.39(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.21(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.95(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH})$, $2.29\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 2.23\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (THF- $d_{8}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{3 . 1 3}$ ): $\delta 7.57$ $\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.42\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.32(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.27(\mathrm{~s}$, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.87$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 6.62 (s, 2H, ArH), 2.33 ( $\mathrm{s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}$ ), 2.14 ( $\mathrm{s}, 12 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{ArCH}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (THF- $d_{8}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ 3.12.H): $\delta 8.79$ (pseudo-t, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 8.06 (dd, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz} 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.48\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.28(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}$, ArH ), 6.88 ( $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $2.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right)$.

Reaction between 3.14 and $\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(P \mathrm{Ph}_{3}\right)_{3}$ : Solid $\mathbf{3 . 1 1}(11.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.016 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was measured into a J. Young tube and dissolved in THF ( 0.7 mL ). A 3.0 M solution of EtMgBr in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(11.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.035 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) was added via syringe and the sample placed in a $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath for 30 minutes. Solid $\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}(14.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.015$ mmol, 0.9 equiv) was rinsed into the J. Young tube with THF ( 0.3 mL ), causing the solution to immediately turn red-purple in color. After 25 minutes, ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectroscopy revealed 2 broad peaks at $\delta 60 \mathrm{ppm}$ and 40 ppm along with free $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$. After reacting overnight, a brown precipitate had formed in the reaction tube. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue dissolved in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.7 \mathrm{~mL}) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectroscopy revealed peaks at $\delta 58.4 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $-5.1 \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis indicated the formation of multiple unidentifiable products. The volatiles were removed in vacuo and the residue
dissolved in pyridine- $d_{5}$. No improvement was noted in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, and the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum showed peaks at $\delta 55.2,54.4,49.3,36.8,30.1$, and -5.1 ppm .

Reaction between 3.14 and $\operatorname{NMo}\left(O^{t} B u\right)_{3}$ : Solid 3.11 ( $10.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.015 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was measured into a J. Young tube and dissolved in THF- $d_{8}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$. A 1.0 M solution of EtMgBr in THF ( $44.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.044 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) was added via syringe, then the sample was heated in a $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath for 2 hours. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy indicated a large number of peaks presumably corresponding to 3.14. $\operatorname{Solid} \mathrm{NMo}\left(\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{3}(5.1 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.016 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was rinsed into the J . Young tube with $\mathrm{THF}-d_{8}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the reaction progress was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. 20 minutes (rt): no apparent reaction. $1 \mathrm{~h} 10 \mathrm{~min}\left(\right.$ at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): no apparent reaction. $10 \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{rt})$ : no apparent reaction.

Reaction between 3.14 and $\mathrm{NMo}\left(\mathrm{OCMe}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{3}$ : Solid 3.11 ( $14.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.019$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) was measured into a J . Young tube and dissolved in THF- $d_{8}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$. A 1.0 M solution of EtMgBr in $\operatorname{THF}(57.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.057 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) was added via syringe, then the sample was heated in a $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath for 2 hours. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy indicated a large number of peaks presumably corresponding to 3.14. Solid $\mathrm{NMo}\left(\mathrm{OCMe}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{3}(9.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.019 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was rinsed into the J . Young tube with THF- $d_{8}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the reaction progress was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. 20 minutes (rt): no apparent reaction. $1 \mathrm{~h} 10 \mathrm{~min}\left(\right.$ at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): no apparent reaction. $10 \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{rt}):$ no apparent reaction.

Reaction between 3.14 and $\mathrm{EtCMoCl}_{3}(D M E)$ : Solid 3.11 ( $12.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.016 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) was measured into a J. Young tube and dissolved in THF- $d_{8}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$. A 1.0 M solution of EtMgBr in $\mathrm{THF}(49.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.049 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) was added via syringe, then the sample was heated in a $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath for 2 hours. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy indicated a large number of peaks presumably corresponding to 3.14. Solid $\mathrm{EtCMoCl}_{3}$ (DME) ( $5.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.016 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was rinsed into the J . Young tube with THF- $d_{8}$ ( 0.6 mL ), causing the yellow solution to change color to purple. The reaction progress was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. 20 minutes ( rt ): no apparent reaction. $1 \mathrm{~h} 10 \mathrm{~min}\left(\right.$ at $\left.60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ : no apparent reaction. $10 \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{rt})$ : no apparent reaction.

## Reaction of $\mathbf{3 . 1 7}$ with Ru substrates

$\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(P \mathrm{Ph}_{3}\right)_{3}: \quad$ Method A: THF $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was frozen in a cold well, then immediately upon thawing was added to a solid mixture of $\mathbf{3 . 1 7}(5.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0082 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) and $\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}(7.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0081 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) with stirring. The solution was stirred inside a cold well for 30 minutes, then the volatiles were removed in vacuum and the residue dissolved in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}(0.7 \mathrm{~mL})$. $\mathrm{A}{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum showed several signals $\delta 53.03$ (s), 50.66 (s), 50.33 (s), 49.75 (s), 47.11 (s), $-4.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$. Method B: A solid mixture of $\mathbf{3 . 1 7}\left(6.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0095 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0\right.$ equiv) and $\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}$ ( $9.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0098 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was supsended in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ with vigorous stirring. The mixture was stirred overnight, then the volatiles were removed in vacuo and the residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}(0.7 \mathrm{~mL}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis indicated $\mathbf{3 . 1 5}$ as the only NCN product. Method C: A solid mixture of $\mathbf{3 . 1 7}(7.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.012 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and $\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}\left(10.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0\right.$ equiv) was dissolved in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ with
stirring. After stirring for 1 hour, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis indicated a $9.6: 1$ ratio of $\mathbf{3 . 1 7}$ : 3.15. The solution was transferred to a J. Young tube and heated in a $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath. After heating for 3 hours, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis indicated a $2.8: 1$ ratio of $\mathbf{3 . 1 7} \mathbf{: 3 . 1 5}$. Only $\operatorname{RuCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}\left(\delta 41 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$, br s) and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\left(\delta-4.80 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$, s) were observed in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum.
$\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2} \text { (p-cymene) }\right]_{2}$ : A solid mixture of $\mathbf{3 . 1 7}$ ( $10.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.017 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) and $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(p \text {-cymene })\right]_{2}\left(5.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0083 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0\right.$ equiv) was dissolved in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}(1.0$ mL ) with stirring. After stirring 2.5 hours, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis revealed mostly 3.17 with a variety of other products visible.
$R u\left(C-p-C_{6} H_{4} M e\right)\left(P C y_{3}\right)_{2} C l: \quad$ A solid mixture of $\mathbf{3 . 1 7}(4.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0071 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) and $\mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{C}-p-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}\right)\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}(5.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0067 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}(0.7 \mathrm{~mL})$ and transferred to a J . Young tube. The solution was heated in a $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath and the reaction progress monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectroscopy. 13 hours: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy indicated no reaction of $\mathbf{3 . 1 7},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectroscopy showed peaks at $\delta 82.13 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 4 \%), 42.42(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SM}, 44 \%), 40.46$ (s, $38 \%$ ), and 10.51 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{PCy}_{3}$, $14 \%) .24$ hours: no significant change was observed.
$\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{CS})\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ : A solid mixture of $\mathbf{3 . 1 7}(7.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{CS})\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(8.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0\right.$ equiv) was dissolved in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ with stirring. The reaction progress was monitored by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectroscopy. 24 hours: Peaks at $\delta 31.1$ (s), 30.8 (s), 30.3 (s). 60 hours: No change was observed.

## Attempted condensation of $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ with $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$

In a 100 mL round bottom flask equipped with a reflux condensor open to air, a suspension of $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}(0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.81 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $\mathbf{3 . 1}(0.46 \mathrm{~g}, 1.73 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.1$ equiv), and $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}(1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 17 \mathrm{mmol}, 21$ equiv) in $\mathrm{AcOH}(55 \mathrm{~mL})$ was brought to reflux. The solution changed color to dark purple-red, then to black. After 4 h 30 min , the solution was cooled and poured into $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$, causing a dark precipitate to form. The solid was collected by suction filtration, washed with into $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dried in vacuo to yield 0.46 g of a grey solid. Benzil 3.1 was the only compound observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the crude product.

## Attempted acylation of $\mathbf{3 . 2 4}$

General procedure: $n$-BuLi (1.6 M in hexanes, 2.0 equiv) was added via syringe to a $-35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ solution of $\mathbf{3 . 2 4}$ ( 2.0 equiv) in THF ( 1.0 mL ) to give an orange solution. After stirring the solution for 20 minutes, $\mathrm{XC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{X}$ (1.0 equiv) was added to the mixture, which was then stirred overnight. The reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, then the solution was concentrated to dryness and the residue analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy.
$\underline{\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{OMe}) \mathrm{Me}: ~ F o l l o w i n g ~ t h e ~ g e n e r a l ~ p r o c e d u r e ~ w i t h ~} \mathbf{3 . 2 4}$ (11.1 mg, 0.029 mmol), $n$-BuLi ( $18.1 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.029 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $3.20(2.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.014 \mathrm{mmol})$. The only observed imidazole-containing product was 3.24
$\underline{\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{OMe}: ~ F o l l o w i n g ~ t h e ~ g e n e r a l ~ p r o c e d u r e ~ w i t h ~} \mathbf{3 . 2 4}(7.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.020 \mathrm{mmol}), n-$ $\operatorname{BuLi}(12.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.020 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{MeOC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OMe}(1.3 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.001 \mathrm{mmol})$. The only observed imidazole-containing product was 3.24.
$\underline{X=C l}$ : Following the general procedure with $3.24(35.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.093 \mathrm{mmol})$, $n-$ BuLi ( $58.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.093 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{ClC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Cl}(5.1 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.046 \mathrm{mmol})$. The only observed imidazole-containing product was 3.24.

Control reaction: $n$ - BuLi ( 1.6 M in hexanes, $15.0 \mu \mathrm{~L} 1.0$ equiv) was added via syringe to a $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ solution of $\mathbf{3 . 2 4}(9.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.024 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in THF ( 1.0 mL ) to give an orange solution. After stirring overnight, the orange solution was quenched with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, resulting in a color change to yellow. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis indicated recovery of $\mathbf{3 . 2 4}$ with an integration of 0.6 H for the imidazole CH resonance.

## Attempted installation of a dimethylaminomethyl protecting group on $\mathbf{3 . 2 3}$

In a 100 mL round bottom flask open to the air, a solid mixture of $\mathbf{3 . 2 3}(1.50 \mathrm{~g}$, $5.43 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and dimethylamine hydrochloride ( $0.45 \mathrm{~g}, 5.52 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was suspended in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and concentrated $\mathrm{HCl}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. Compound $\mathbf{3 . 2 3}$ aggregated into clumps of solid on top of the aqueous solution. Isopropanol ( 20 mL ) was added, resulting in the dissolution of 3.23. Aqueous formaldehyde ( $37 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 0.46 \mathrm{~g}$, 5.67 mmol ) was added to the reaction solution. After stirring overnight, the solution was made strongly alkaline through the addition of $20 \% \mathrm{KOH}_{(\mathrm{aq})}$ solution, resulting in the precipitation of a solid. The mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the
organics were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and concentrated to dryness. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the residue indicated $\mathbf{3 . 2 3}$ as the only imidazole component.
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## Chapter 4:

## Synthesis and Theoretical Investigations of $\mathbf{Z r}$ Complexes Containing a Charge-Versatile XXX Pincer Ligand

### 4.1 Introduction

In Chapter 3, the synthesis of new XXX pincer ligands was demonstrated. These formally XXX ligands possess nonbonding peripheral nitrogen atoms that are available for interaction with Lewis acids as depicted in Scheme 4.1. This mode of interaction is rare and opens up the possibility for charge and electron donor strength tuning as previously seen in Chapter 1.


Scheme 4.1. Interaction of XXX ligand with Lewis acids (LA).

When Lewis acid-base adducts of $N$-methylimidazole are formed (acid $=\mathrm{BH}_{3}$, $\mathrm{BF}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}$), the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR resonances for the $N$-methyl group are shifted downfield from the parent $N$-methylimidazole. ${ }^{1}$ The degree of $N$-methyl group deshielding correlates with the group electronegativity ${ }^{2}$ of the free Lewis acid, which suggests that stronger LA's result in a weaker N-C bond. A similar interaction with the
current XXX pincer ligands could allow for tuning of the electron donor strength (Scheme 4.1), with more electronegative LA's leading to a decrease in pincer electron donor strength.

The goal of Chapter 4 is to explore the scope of Lewis acids that can react in a manner similar to that shown in Scheme 4.1. Of particular interest are Lewis acids that can be selectively introduced to and removed from the remote N atom sites under mild conditions. Such reversibility could eventually serve as an "on/off" switch for catalytic systems. Finally, the effect of the Lewis acid identity on the donor strength will be investigated, as a greater range of accessible electron donor strengths could lead to a wider range of molecular reactivity.

### 4.2 Ancillary Ligand Substitution

$\mathrm{Zr}(\mathrm{XXX})\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}\right)(4.1)$ proved a useful entry point into a study of Lewis acid interaction with the XXX pincer ligand (Scheme 4.2). The monodentate ancillary ligands first needed to be replaced, as the $\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}$ and $-\mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ ligands could also react with incoming Lewis acids. Addition of tetra- $n$-butylammonium chloride ([TBA]Cl) to a suspension of 4.1 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ led to rapid dissolution, presumably forming $[\mathrm{TBA}]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Zr}(\mathrm{XXX})\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]$ (4.2) (Scheme 4.2). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 4.2 was not clear, likely due to the existence of multiple isomers. Treatment of in situ generated 4.2 with either HCl or $\left[\mathrm{HN}\left({ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right] \mathrm{Cl}$ yielded $[\mathrm{TBA}]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Zr}(\mathrm{XXX}) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}\right](4.3)$ as a bright orange solid which could be isolated in $86 \%$ yield via crystallization from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The pincer ligand in 4.3 remains bound in an XXX fashion, as indicated by the arylide ipso-C ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR chemical shift of $\delta 190.49 \mathrm{ppm}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Other chloride sources such as
$[\mathrm{PNP}] \mathrm{Cl},[\mathrm{TMA}] \mathrm{Cl}$, and $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}$ displayed the same reactivity as $[\mathrm{TBA}] \mathrm{Cl}$, but the corresponding analogues of $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ did not crystallize readily, thus making purification difficult. Therefore, $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ was the only complex isolated for further investigation.


Scheme 4.2. Ancillary ligand substitution of 4.1.

X-ray quality crystals of 4.3 were grown from a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution at $-35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis revealed that $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $\mathrm{C} 2 / \mathrm{c}$ and confirmed the tridentate nature of the pincer ligand. As indicated in Figure 4.1, dianionic 4.3 is monomeric in the solid state and possesses a pseudooctahedral geometry with a $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{C}$ bond angle of $71.38(9)^{\circ}$. The two halves of the molecule are related by a crystallographic two-fold rotation axis coincident with the $\mathrm{Zr} 1-$ Cl 2 bond. The solid-state structure displays $\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{N}$ distances of 2.229(6) and 2.280(3) A, respectively.


Figure 4.1. $50 \%$ thermal ellipsoid plot of the molecular anion of 4.3. The hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) and angles (deg): Zr1-C1, 2.229(6); Zr1-N1, 2.280(3); Zr1-Cl1, 2.4530(11); Zr1-Cl2, 2.5139(18); C1-Zr1-N1, 71.38(9); C1-Zr1-Cl1, 91.78(3); N1-Zr1-Cl2, 108.62(9); N1-Zr1-Cl1, 91.14(9); Cl1-Zr1Cl2, 88.22(3). Full XRD data can be found in Appendix 3.

The $\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}$ ligand of 4.1 could also be displaced through the addition of 2 equiv of tetra- $n$-butylammonium bromide; subsequent treatment with 1 equiv of $\left[\mathrm{HN}\left({ }^{i} \operatorname{Pr}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right] \mathrm{Br}$ led to the formation of $[\mathrm{TBA}]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Zr}(\mathrm{XXX}) \mathrm{Br}_{3}\right]$ (4.4) (Scheme 4.3). Two crystallizations of the crude reaction mixture allowed for the isolation of 4.4 as a deep red solid in $32 \%$ yield. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 4.4 is similar to $\mathbf{4 . 3}$, but reveals the presence of a small byproduct that is not removed upon crystallization. As the $\left[\mathrm{ZrBr}_{3}\right]^{+}$fragment is more acidic than $\left[\mathrm{ZrCl}_{3}\right]^{+}$, the XXX pincer of $\mathbf{4 . 4}$ is expected to be a stronger electron donor than in 4.3. Accordingly, the arylide ipso-C of 4.4 is observed at $\delta 191.68 \mathrm{ppm}$ in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum, which is slightly downfield from that observed for 4.3.

4.1



Scheme 4.3. Synthesis of 4.4.

X-ray quality crystals of 4.4 were grown from a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis revealed that $\mathbf{4 . 4}$ crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $\mathrm{C} 2 / \mathrm{c}$ with a crystallographic two-fold rotation axis coincident along the Zr Br 2 axis (Figure 4.2). The $\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{N}$ distances of 2.239(4) and 2.278(3) $\AA$ are not statistically different from the corresponding distances in the solid state structure of 4.3.


Figure 4.2. $50 \%$ thermal ellipsoid plot of the molecular anion of 4.4. The hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) and angles (deg): Zr1-C1, 2.239(4); Zr1-N1, 2.278(3); Zr1-Br1, 2.6007(4); Zr1-Br2, 2.6789(6); C1-Zr1-N1, 71.59(7); C1-Zr1-Br1, 93.341(12); N1-Zr1-Br2, 108.41(7); N1-Zr1-Br1, 89.46(7); Br1$\mathrm{Zr} 1-\mathrm{Br} 2,86.569(12)$. Full XRD data can be found in Appendix 4.

Unlike chloride and bromide, iodide ion was unable to displace the $\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}$ ligand from 4.1, and so a triiodide complex could not be formed using [TBA]I and $\left[\mathrm{HN}\left({ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right] \mathrm{I}$. No attempts were made at direct fluoride substitution with 4.1, as the fluoride ion is notoriously hygroscopic. Therefore, a trifluoride complex was targeted via halide metathesis reactions with 4.3. The reagents $\mathrm{AgPF}_{6}, \mathrm{AgBF}_{4},[\mathrm{TBA}]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$, and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnF}$ were all screened against $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ for halide metathesis, but led to either no reaction or decomposition of the reaction mixture. Ultimately treatment of 4.3 with 4.3 equiv of $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiF}_{2}$ resulted in the formation of $[\mathrm{TBA}]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Zr}(\mathrm{XXX}) \mathrm{F}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right](4.5)$ as shown in Scheme 4.4. In order to isolate pure 4.5, the crude product must first be washed with THF to remove a majority of the more soluble Ti-containing byproducts. Subsequent addition of THF to a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of the remaining product precipitates $\mathbf{4 . 5}$ as a white powder in $48 \%$ yield. Interestingly, use of an excess of $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiF}_{2}$ does not lead to formation of a trifluoride species.


Scheme 4.4. Halide metathesis to give 4.5.

The ${ }^{19}$ F NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{4 . 5}$ displays a single resonance at $\delta+35.2 \mathrm{ppm}$. This could indicate a discrete isomer in which the fluorides are mutually trans, or it could indicate that the halogens are rapidly interconverting. If the former were true, then the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum would be expected to contain a triplet for the arylide ipso-C.

However, no resonance for the arylide ipso-C is observed, which suggests that the signal is severely broadened by the exchanging fluorides. Unlike with 4.3 and 4.4, attempts to crystallize 4.5 were unsuccessful.

### 4.3 Peripheral $N$-atom Alkylation

Due to its ease of synthesis and purification, $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ was chosen to investigate the peripheral N -atom interactions with Lewis acids. Accordingly, it was found that 4.3 reacted cleanly with 2.1 equiv of MeOTf in thawing $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ or with 5.0 equiv of MeI in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give $\mathrm{Zr}\left(\mathrm{LXL}-\mathrm{Me}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$ (4.6) as shown in Scheme 4.5. As MeOTf and MeI both serve as $\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}$synthons, the pincer ligand of $\mathbf{4 . 6}$ is formally monoanionic (LXL) and the overall complex is neutral. Depending on the alkylating agent, $\mathbf{4 . 6}$ could be isolated in $65 \%$ (MeOTf) or $85 \%$ (MeI) yield as a white powder after inducing precipitation from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ via the addition of pentane. The imidazole $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ groups of 4.6 display a ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shift of $\delta 3.86 \mathrm{ppm}$ and a ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR chemical shift of $\delta 34.35 \mathrm{ppm}$, which are comparable to related $N, N^{\prime}$-dimethylimidazolium ions. ${ }^{3}$


Scheme 4.5. $N$-atom methylation to give 4.6.

X-ray quality crystals of $\mathbf{4 . 6}$ were grown from a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution at $-35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis revealed that 4.6 crystallizes in the triclinic space group $\mathrm{P} \overline{1}$ and confirmed the LXL nature of the pincer framework as shown in Figure 4.3. The solid state structure of $\mathbf{4 . 6}$ displays a distorted octahedral geometry, with a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond angle of $163.10(5)^{\circ}$ for the chloride trans to the arylide, and a $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond angle of $169.607(18)^{\circ}$ for the mutually trans chlorides. The chloride distortion is not attributed to an electronic effect from the LXL pincer, but rather to steric interaction of the five $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solvate molecules with the pendant xylyl groups and the chlorides. The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{C}$ bond angles are observed to be $70.83(6)^{\circ}$ and $70.11(6)^{\circ}$ with $\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{N}$ distances of 2.2698(16) and 2.2732(15) $\AA$ and a $\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{C}$ distance of $2.2776(18) \AA$.


Figure 4.3. $50 \%$ thermal ellipsoid plot of 4.6. The hydrogen atoms and 4 of $5 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solvate molecules are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) and angles (deg): Zr1-C1, 2.2776(18); Zr1-N1, 2.2732(15); Zr1-N3, 2.2698(16); Zr1-Cl1, 2.4371(5); Zr1Cl2, 2.4550(5); Zr1-Cl3, 2.4415(5); N2-C10, 1.463(2), N4-C30, 1.461(2); C1-Zr1-N1, 70.11(6); C1-Zr1-N3, 70.83(6); C1-Zr1-Cl2, 84.86(5); C1-Zr1-Cl3, 94.61(5); N1-Zr1Cl1, 95.57(4); N3-Zr1-Cl1, 123.78(4); N1-Zr1-Cl2, 97.57(4); N1-Zr1-Cl3, 91.98(4); N3$\mathrm{Zr} 1-\mathrm{Cl} 2$, 83.64(4); $\mathrm{Cl1}-\mathrm{Zr} 1-\mathrm{Cl} 2,88.35(1) ; \mathrm{Cl1}-\mathrm{Zr} 1-\mathrm{Cl} 3,94.816(18)$. Full XRD data can be found in Appendix 5.

Fluoride complex 4.5 was treated with the weaker methylating agent MeI at room temperature. At short reaction times, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectroscopy indicated the partial formation of a mono-methylated product. However, over extended reaction periods extensive decomposition occurred. Alternatively, halide metathesis was attempted by treating 4.6 with $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiF}_{2}$. However, this approach again led to decomposition of the reaction mixtures. Therefore, it appears that a fluoride analogue of 4.6 is unstable.

### 4.4 Peripheral $N$-atom Borylation

Given the successful alkylation of 4.3, we decided to investigate whether other Lewis acids would display similar chemistry. The B-N bond is generally quite strong, ${ }^{4}$ and so Lewis acids of the type $\mathrm{BR}_{3}$ were next explored. Treatment of 4.3 with 2.1 equiv of $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ led to the formation of $[\mathrm{TBA}]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Zr}\left(\mathrm{LXL}-\left(\mathrm{BH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}\right](4.7)$ as shown in Scheme 4.6. Removal of the volatiles and drying of the residue allowed for isolation of 4.7 as a bright yellow solid in $91 \%$ yield. Resonances for $\mathrm{NBH}_{3}$ are not visible in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, as is common for pyridyl-borane adducts. ${ }^{5}$ Both the ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ and ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of 4.7 display a broad resonance at -19.5 ppm with $\Delta v_{1 / 2} \approx$ 700 Hz , which is similar to the spectrum of $N$-methylimidazole- $N$-borane. ${ }^{1}$ Despite repeated attempts, X-ray quality crystals of 4.7 could not be grown.


Scheme 4.6. $N$-atom borylation with $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ to give 4.7.

The difluoride complex 4.5 reacted similarly with $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ to give $[\mathrm{TBA}]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Zr}\left(\mathrm{LXL}-\left(\mathrm{BH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right) \mathrm{ClF}_{2}\right]$ (4.8) (Scheme 4.6). Complex 4.8 displays ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectra that are nearly identical to 4.7. A single resonance at $\delta+41.8 \mathrm{ppm}$ is observed in the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectrum of 4.8 , which is 6.6 ppm downfield from that observed in the spectrum of 4.5. Such a downfield shift is suggestive of weaker donation of $\mathrm{NCN}-\left(\mathrm{BH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ to Zr , resulting in the observed fluoride donation. However, other factors may also influence the fluoride chemical shift, such as changes in the xylyl group orientation, halide site occupation, or proximity of the TBA cation to the Zr fragment.

Treatment of 4.3 with 2.1 equiv of $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ resulted in rapid formation of a new product (4.9, Scheme 4.7) in which the two halves of the pincer ligand were equivalent on the NMR time scale, as judged by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. A variety of byproducts were also formed in the reaction, possibly stemming from halide exchange due to the strong $\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{F}$ bond. Performing the reaction in thawing $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ for 5 minutes followed by removal of the volatile components led to only a slight decrease in the amount of byproduct formation, which was insufficient to allow for isolation of 4.9. The ${ }^{19}$ F NMR spectrum of the crude mixture displayed a 1:1:1:1 quartet at $\delta-137.16 \mathrm{ppm}$ with ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{B}}=$ 17.3 Hz. This quartet accounted for $77 \%$ of the total ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR signal intensity and was
assigned to the major product 4.9. Upon obtaining the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\}$ spectrum, the quartet collapsed into a broad singlet located at $\delta-137.16 \mathrm{ppm}$ and was accompanied by the observation of surrounding resonances derived from coupling to the naturally abundant ${ }^{10}$ B nucleus. The ${ }^{11}$ B NMR spectrum of crude 4.9 displayed a pseudo-quartet located at $\delta$ 0.16 ppm with ${ }^{1} J_{11 \mathrm{~B}-19 \mathrm{~F}}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$; a minor peak at $\delta-1.02 \mathrm{ppm}$ was also observed. The discrepancy in the value of the one-bond ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}-{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ coupling constant likely arises from error in accurately assigning the chemical shift for the broadened ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR peak. Attempts to obtain a ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right\}$ NMR spectrum were unsuccessful. Given the above spectral analysis, it is reasonable to assign 4.9 as $[\mathrm{TBA}]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Zr}\left(\mathrm{LXL}-\left(\mathrm{BF}_{3}\right)_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}\right]$, depicted in Scheme 4.7.


Scheme 4.7. $N$-atom borylation with $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give 4.9.

When 4.3 was treated with 2 equiv of $\mathrm{BCl}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, the reaction mixture rapidly decomposed, possibly due to halide abstraction to generate $\mathrm{BCl}_{4}^{-}$salts. Interestingly, 4.3 displayed no reactivity when treated with 2 equiv of either $\mathrm{BEt}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}$, suggesting that there is a size constraint for the Lewis acids that can be employed. Addition of the stronger Lewis acid $\mathrm{AlEt}_{3}$ to 4.3 led to prompt decomposition.

### 4.5 Peripheral $N$-atom Silylation

We next investigated the feasibility of $N$-silylation of $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ and $\mathbf{4 . 5}$ using a variety of trimethylsilyl halides (TMSX). It quickly became apparent that halide metathesis was kinetically competitive with $N$-silylation, leading to the formation of TMSCl and TMSF. However, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the reaction mixtures were quite clear, and so reaction progress could be monitored readily. Distinctive spectral features included the arylide $m$ - and $p$-protons, the xylyl methyl groups, and the TMS region.

When a $-35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ was treated with 2.1 equiv of TMSI, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy indicated the formation of $\mathrm{Zr}\left(\mathrm{LXL}-\mathrm{TMS}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$ (4.10) as the major product (Scheme 4.8, left pathway). A variety of unidentified byproducts were also formed in the reaction. Attempts to scale the reaction up led to a larger percentage of byproducts, even when the reaction was performed at lower temperatures.

By employing 5 equiv of TMSI, $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ could be transformed into a mixture of $\mathbf{4 . 1 0}$ and its mixed halide congeners containing either 2 chlorides and 1 iodide (4.11) or 1 chloride and 2 iodides (4.12) as shown in Scheme 4.8 (right pathway). After 25 minutes of reaction time, the product distribution was observed to consist of $13 \%$ 4.10, $43 \%$ 4.11, and $43 \% 4.12$ in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum. Also observed were the 1.3 equiv TMSCl required for the assigned product distribution. After 3 hours 45 minutes of reaction time, the product mixture contained $17 \%$ 4.11, $83 \%$ 4.12, and only trace amounts of 4.10. As before, the 1.8 eq TMSCl required for the assigned product distribution were observed. No change in the product distribution was noted at longer reaction times, and no evidence of a triiodide analogue was observed, despite the presence of remaining TMSI in the
reaction mixture. Treatment of $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ with 10 equiv of TMSI in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ at $95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ again resulted in the formation of $\mathbf{4 . 1 2}$ as the major product.


Scheme 4.8. $N$-atom silylation of 4.3 with TMSI.

Attempts to isolate pure $\mathbf{4 . 1 2}$ proved unsuccessful due to the similar solubilities of the reaction byproducts. After separating $\mathbf{4 . 1 2}$ from a majority of the salt byproducts, a solution of impure $\mathbf{4 . 1 2}$ in toluene containing a trace of benzene at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ yielded a small quantity of X-ray quality crystals of 4.12. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis revealed that $\mathbf{4 . 1 2}$ crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$. The peripheral imidazole nitrogens are shown to be silylated, and the halides are site-substitution disordered with contributions from both chloride and iodide. Refinement of the X-ray data revealed the halide composition to be $\mathrm{Cl}_{0.43} \mathrm{I}_{2.57}$ instead of the expected composition
of $\mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{I}_{2}$. Because the halides are unequally distributed over the three sites, the molecular distances and angles cannot be discussed with a sufficient degree of accuracy. However, given the solid state iodide content of 2.57 , it can reasonably be assumed that $\mathbf{4 . 1 2}$ undergoes intermolecular halogen exchange in solution. This makes the inability to fully replace the chlorides with iodides even more surprising.


Figure 4.4. $50 \%$ thermal ellipsoid plot of 4.12. The hydrogen atoms and solvate molecules are omitted for clarity. Bond lengths and angles are not listed due to uncertainty resulting from halide site substitution disorder. Full XRD data are reported in Appendix 6.

Closer inspection of the solid state structure for 4.12 reveals that the pincer backbone is significantly distorted from planarity, while 4.3, 4.4, and 4.6 all display nearly planar pincer backbones in the solid state (Figure 4.5). Additionally, the $-\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ groups of $\mathbf{4 . 1 2}$ are significantly bent away from the imidazole rings. The backbone distortions likely result from unfavorable steric interactions between the bulky $-\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ groups and the rest of the pincer ligand. Furthermore, the observed steric impact of
introducing $-\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ groups explains the lack of observed reaction between 4.3 and $\mathrm{BEt}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}$.



Figure 4.5. $50 \%$ thermal ellipsoid plots of the front views showing the planarity of 4.6 and the non-planarity of $\mathbf{4 . 1 2}$. Hydrogen atoms, halide atoms, pendant aryl rings, and solvate molecules are emitted for clarity.

When 4.3 was treated with 5 equiv of TMSOTf, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy indicated the formation of two products in a $1: 1$ ratio at 20 minutes of reaction time, along with 1 equiv of TMSCl. Both products are presumed to be isomers of $\mathrm{Zr}\left(\mathrm{LXL}-\mathrm{TMS}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{OTf}$ (4.13) as shown in Scheme 4.9; after 3 hours 40 minutes, only a single isomer of $\mathbf{4 . 1 3}$ was observed. At longer reaction times, $\mathbf{4 . 1 3}$ continued to react with the remaining TMSOTf to generate $\mathrm{Zr}\left(\mathrm{LXL}^{2}-\mathrm{TMS}_{2}\right) \mathrm{ClOTf}_{2}$ (4.14). For example, $11 \% 4.14$ was observed after 6 hours, while $38 \% 4.14$ was observed after 2 days of reaction. By treating 4.5 with 5 equiv of TMSOTf, 4.14 could be generated as the sole Zr -containing product in 20 minutes, along with the formation of 2 equiv of TMSF. Upon concentrating the solution and redissolving in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, significant decomposition occurred, possibly through a bimolecular pathway.


Scheme 4.9. $N$-atom Silylation with TMSOTf.

Reactions employing TMSBr were more difficult to interpret; treating 4.3 with 5 equiv of TMSBr led to the formation of at least 2 products in a nearly $1: 1$ ratio, as well as TMSCl resulting from halogen exchange. Inspection of the xylyl methyl region suggested one product was mono-silylated (4 equal intensity singlets) and the other product was di-silylated (2 equal intensity singlets). While the trimethylsilyl region agreed with this assessment, only a single arylide $m$-proton resonance was observed which integrated to only $66 \%$ of the expected intensity relative to the $\mathrm{ArCH}_{3}$ resonances. Therefore, the product identities cannot be confirmed. The reaction was complete within 20 minutes, as no additional change was noted in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum at longer reaction times. Treatment of $\mathbf{4 . 5}$ with 5 equiv of TMSBr yielded similar results.

It was reasoned that the TMS groups could be removed by introducing a strong enough nucleophile to cleave the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Si}$ bond. Because the $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond is very strong, ${ }^{4}$ it
was anticipated that addition of chloride ion would lead to the desired bond scission. When a solution of 4.12 in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was treated with 2 equiv of $[\mathrm{TBA}] \mathrm{Cl},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ analysis revealed the formation of multiple products. The major product was $\mathbf{4 . 1 0}$, which was formed via halide metathesis with free chloride ion. When an excess of [TBA]Cl was added to 4.12, halide metathesis and the desired silyl group cleavage occurred to give 4.3, with TMSCl being observed as a byproduct (Scheme 4.10).


Scheme 4.10. Silyl group cleavage with [TBA]Cl.

### 4.6 Other Silylation Pathways

Given that a bis- $N$-silylated complex could not be isolated in pure form from 4.3, other routes of synthesis were investigated. Treatment of $\mathbf{4 . 1}$ with an excess of TMSI in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ initially appeared to cleanly produce $\mathrm{Zr}\left(\mathrm{NCN}-\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right) \mathrm{I}_{3}(\mathbf{4 . 1 5})$ after lyophilization to
remove excess TMSX species (Scheme 4.11). However, large quantities of an insoluble byproduct were formed during the course of the reaction, and complex $\mathbf{4 . 1 5}$ could only be recovered impurely in very small yields by this route. In a separate NMR-scale reaction, $\left[\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiN}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{Me}_{2}\right] \mathrm{I}$ was observed as a byproduct of the reaction between 4.1 and TMSI, presumably through direct silylation of $\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}$. Therefore, it is possible that decomposition of $\mathbf{4 . 1 5}$ during attempted purification is due to the presence of an acidic proton in the crude reaction mixture.


Scheme 4.11. Alternative silylation pathway.

In order to obtain 4.15 cleanly, the removal of the acidic proton in 4.1 was investigated. The $\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}$ proton was found to be deprotonated with 1.01 equiv of NaH and 1.02 equiv of 15 -crown-5 to afford $[\mathrm{Na}(15$-crown- 5$)]\left[\mathrm{Zr}(\mathrm{XXX})\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]$ (4.16), which was isolated in $68 \%$ yield (Scheme 4.12). The $-\mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ ligands of 4.16 were equivalent on the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR timescale, suggesting net trigonal bipyramidal geometry in
solution. Satisfyingly, $\mathbf{4 . 1 6}$ did react cleanly with 15 equiv of TMSI to form $\mathbf{4 . 1 5}$ as desired; however, $\mathbf{4 . 1 5}$ could not be separated from the crown ether products. Attempts to deprotonate 4.1 by NaH without using a crown ether were unsuccessful, indicating that the crown ether facilitates the deprotonation step.

### 4.7 Computational Investigations


4.18

4.19
$\chi_{p}\left(\mathrm{BH}_{3}\right)=2.07$

4.20
$\chi_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}{ }^{+}\right)=2.60$

4.21
$\chi_{p}\left(\mathrm{BF}_{3}\right)=3.06$

4.22
$\chi_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right)=3.36$

4.23
$\chi_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}{ }^{+}\right)=4.80$

4.24
$\chi_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)=6.52$

Figure 4.6. Structures modeled by computation. Full atomic coordinates can be found in Appendix 7.

Given the successful interaction of $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ with a variety of Lewis acids, we sought to gain a better understanding of the changes in NCN electron donor strength as a function
of Lewis acid identity. As the Zr complexes lacked a suitable spectroscopic handle, we turned to computational investigation to better understand the electronic structure of these molecules. Figure 4.6 displays the model structures employed in these studies; complexes 4.18 - $\mathbf{4 . 2 2}$ were modeled after complexes discussed previously, while the hypothetical complexes 4.23 and 4.24 were modeled for additional insight. Also listed in Figure 4.6 are the group electronegativities $\left(\chi_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$ for each $\mathrm{N} R$ group, which were determined on the Mulliken scale and converted into the entry in Pauling values. ${ }^{2}$ For ease of comparison, compounds 4.19-4.24 have been ordered with increasing $\chi_{\mathrm{p}}$.

Table 4.1. Calculated Gibbs energy of $C_{1}$ and $C_{2 v}$ structures.

| Structure | $\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right) / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}\right) / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{a}} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4 . 1 8}$ | -1322885.94 | -1322888.17 | -2.23 |
| $\mathbf{4 . 1 9}$ | -1356348.67 | -1356348.67 | 0.00 |
| $\mathbf{4 . 2 0}$ | -1836434.59 | -1836435.47 | -0.88 |
| $\mathbf{4 . 2 1}$ | -1730426.64 | -1730426.29 | 0.34 |
| $\mathbf{4 . 2 2}$ | -1372884.96 | -1372884.73 | 0.23 |
| $\mathbf{4 . 2 3}$ | -1746682.62 | -1746678.63 | 3.99 |
| $\mathbf{4 . 2 4}$ | -1323574.94 | -1323574.64 | 0.30 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \Delta \mathrm{G}=\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}\right)-\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$.

Using the Jaguar 7.0 program, ${ }^{6}$ the geometries of 4.18-4.24 were optimized with no symmetry constraints ( $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ symmetry) using the LACV3P* $+{\text { basis } \operatorname{set}^{7} \text { and the B3LYP }}^{\text {a }}$ a density functional. ${ }^{8-10}$ All structures minimized to a ground state with the exception of 4.21, which was found to have a single imaginary frequency. Some of the optimized geometries were found to deviate significantly from an octahedral geometry as a result of halide distortions. Anticipating that large geometry variations might influence the calculated electronic properties, each of the structures was also optimized within $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$
symmetry constraints, giving structures 4.18.S-4.24.S, which all minimized to a ground state. The calculated Gibbs energy was found to differ only slightly between the $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ structures (Table 4.1). Two compounds, $\mathbf{4 . 1 8}$ and 4.20, were found to minimize to a lower energy within $C_{2 v}$ symmetry constraints, while the other $C_{2 v}$ structures were found to be $0-4 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ less stable than their $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ counterparts.

Table 4.2. Natural charges $q$ of the inner coordination sphere calculated by NPA.

| Compound | Zr | C | $\mathrm{N}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathrm{Eq}}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathrm{Ax}}{ }^{1}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathrm{Ax}}{ }^{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4 . 1 8}$ | 1.638 | -0.366 | -0.669 | -0.671 | -0.579 | -0.508 | -0.504 |
| 4.18.S | 1.639 | -0.367 | -0.671 | -0.671 | -0.581 | -0.504 | -0.504 |
| 4.19 | 1.604 | -0.360 | -0.638 | -0.638 | -0.555 | -0.480 | -0.480 |
| 4.19.S | 1.598 | -0.377 | -0.638 | -0.638 | -0.547 | -0.480 | -0.480 |
| 4.20 | 1.507 | -0.252 | -0.590 | -0.599 | -0.453 | -0.430 | -0.428 |
| 4.20.S | 1.507 | -0.253 | -0.596 | -0.596 | -0.439 | -0.428 | -0.428 |
| 4.21 | 1.575 | -0.357 | -0.622 | -0.634 | -0.543 | -0.470 | -0.469 |
| 4.21.S | 1.583 | -0.374 | -0.633 | -0.633 | -0.537 | -0.470 | -0.470 |
| 4.22 | 1.502 | -0.315 | -0.585 | -0.586 | -0.429 | -0.420 | -0.421 |
| 4.23.S | 1.502 | -0.335 | -0.587 | -0.587 | -0.429 | -0.421 | -0.421 |
| 4.23 | 1.478 | -0.246 | -0.571 | -0.559 | -0.433 | -0.406 | -0.402 |
| 4.23.S | 1.480 | -0.319 | -0.579 | -0.579 | -0.416 | -0.408 | -0.408 |
| 4.24 | 1.500 | -0.300 | -0.581 | -0.582 | -0.422 | -0.415 | -0.415 |
| 4.24.S | 1.501 | -0.300 | -0.582 | -0.582 | -0.422 | -0.415 | -0.415 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.3 $^{\text {a }}$ | 1.547 | -0.355 | -0.655 | -0.655 | -0.520 | -0.466 | -0.466 |
| 4.6 $^{\text {a }}$ | 1.469 | -0.343 | -0.589 | -0.587 | -0.438 | -0.421 | -0.413 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ NPA was performed on the XRD coordinates.

The natural atomic charges $(q)$ were determined through Natural Population Analysis (NPA) ${ }^{11}$ of the model structures. Table 4.2 lists the charges of Zr and each atom within the inner coordination sphere. Differences in structural symmetry ( $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ vs. $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ ) were found to have little effect on all values of $q$. The natural atomic charge on $\mathrm{Zr}, q(\mathrm{Zr})$, can be used to describe the amount of electron density on the Zr nucleus, with a smaller
$q(\mathrm{Zr})$ corresponding to a more electron-rich Zr atom. Examination of the data reveals that introduction of a Lewis acid to give an LXL ligand leads to a decrease in $q(\mathrm{Zr})$ such that $\Delta q(\mathrm{Zr})=q\left(\mathrm{Zr}_{\mathrm{XXX}}\right)-q\left(\mathrm{Zr}_{\mathrm{LXL}}\right)>0$. This observation is opposite that which is expected, and can be rationalized by noting the increased chloride donation for the LXL complexes (4.19-4.24) relative to the XXX complex 4.18 (Table 4.2). As seen in Figure 4.7, $\Delta q(\mathrm{Zr})$ has only a very weak correlation with $\chi_{\mathrm{p}}$ (group), and so no definitive relationship can be established between the two parameters. Natural Population Analysis was also performed for molecular 4.3 (the molecular dianion only) and $\mathbf{4 . 6}$ in their solidstate structures (Table 4.2). The $q(\mathrm{Zr})$ values for $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ and $\mathbf{4 . 6}$ are slightly lower than for the corresponding model compounds 4.18 and 4.22. As in the model system, $q(\mathrm{Zr})$ of $\mathbf{4 . 6}$ (LXL ligand) is less than $q(\mathrm{Zr})$ of 4.3 (XXX ligand).


Figure 4.7. Effect of $\chi_{\mathrm{P}}$ on $\Delta q(\mathrm{Zr})$.

Some question existed as to the significance of the calculated $q_{\mathrm{Zr}}$ differences, especially with respect to potential Mo-based triple-bond metathesis catalysts. In a recent study by Chisholm, a series of Group $6 \mathrm{NM}(\mathrm{OR})_{3}$ complexes was investigated both spectroscopically and computationally. ${ }^{12}$ Mulliken charges were calculated for $\mathrm{NMo}\left(\mathrm{OCMe}_{3}\right)_{3}$ and $\mathrm{NMo}\left(\mathrm{OCMe}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{3}$, with the charge at Mo differing by 0.08 between the two structures. As seen in Chapter 1, Group 6 complexes containing - $\mathrm{OCMe}_{3}$ differ substantially in triple-bond metathesis reactions than analogous complexes containing $\mathrm{OCMe}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ as a ligand. Therefore, the current variations in $q_{\mathrm{Zr}}(\Delta 0.03-0.16)$ are considered to be significant with respect to potential Mo-based triple-bond metathesis catalysts.

Natural Bond Orbital (NBO) analysis ${ }^{13,14}$ was next performed in order to gain a better understanding of the electronic differences arising from R-group identity. Due to the high ionic character of the Zr -ligand bonds, the NBO program describes each Zr ligand bond as a ligand-centered lone-pair (lp) of electrons and a Zr -centered non-Lewis lone-pair (lp*) of electrons. From this bonding description, the Donor-Acceptor (D-A) stabilization energy ( $\Delta E_{i \rightarrow j^{*}}{ }^{(2)}$ ) is calculated by the NBO program and gives a measure of the energetic stabilization arising from ligand-to-metal $\mathrm{lp} \rightarrow \mathrm{lp*}$ donation as seen in Figure 4.8. ${ }^{15}$


Figure 4.8. Pictorial description of the D-A stabilization energy $\left(\Delta E_{i \rightarrow j j^{*}}{ }^{(2)}\right)$.

In order to have a common frame of reference from which to compare the calculated D-A energies, all compounds were first defined as having the Lewis structure depicted in Figure 4.9. While this structure may not be the "best" structure to describe the bonding, it is one of many valid resonance forms for these highly delocalized compounds. The calculated D-A energies therefore will not correspond to an absolute bond strength, but will give an indication of the inherent ability of each ligand to stabilize the Zr center. In addition to providing a common frame of reference for 4.18-4.24, the chosen Lewis structure allows for easy determination of both $N(\sigma)$ and $N(\pi)$ effects on the D-A energies due to the presence of both $\sigma$ and $\pi \mathrm{lp}$ 's on the N -ligand.


Figure 4.9. Assigned Lewis structure for NBO calculations.

The calculated D-A stabilization energies are tabulated below in Table 4.3 for each $\mathrm{N}-\sigma, \mathrm{N}-\pi$, and $\mathrm{C}-\sigma$ interaction. Upon examination of the data, it is seen that the $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ models display large amounts of scatter relative to the $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ models, especially amongst the energies of the $\mathrm{N} \sigma$-lp's. Therefore, the analysis was completed using the $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ dataset. The change in D-A stabilization energy, $\Delta \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{LXL}}-\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{Xxx}}$, was plotted as a function of the group $\chi_{\mathrm{P}}$ for the total N -stabilization $\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$, the $\mathrm{C} \sigma$-lp stabilization, and the total NCN stabilization $\left(\mathrm{NCN}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$ as shown in Figure 4.9. As expected, the D-A stabilization energy for both $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\mathrm{NCN}_{\mathrm{T}}$ was found to decrease with increasing $\chi_{\mathrm{P}}$. There is a significant amount of scatter to the data $\left(R^{2}=0.6-0.7\right)$, and so determination of $\chi_{\mathrm{P}}$ for a particular group is not sufficient to make accurate predictions about changes the D-A stabilization energy upon coordination of a specific Lewis acid. The scatter for the $\mathrm{C} \sigma-\mathrm{lp}$ is very large $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.06\right)$, indicating that electronic changes in the imidazole rings have little effect on the $\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{C}$ bonding interaction.


Figure 4.10. Effect of $\chi_{\mathrm{P}}$ on $\Delta \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{A}$ stabilization energy.

Table 4.3. D-A stabilization energies in units of $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.

| Compound | $\mathrm{N}^{1} \sigma$ | $\mathrm{~N}^{2} \sigma$ | $\mathrm{~N}^{1} \pi$ | $\mathrm{~N}^{2} \pi$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{T}}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\mathrm{C} \sigma$ | $\mathrm{NCN}_{\mathrm{T}}{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4 . 1 8}$ | 117.31 | 109.32 | 30.24 | 13.46 | 270.33 | 255.29 | 525.62 |
| 4.19 | 92.22 | 92.57 | 20.48 | 20.63 | 225.90 | 244.88 | 470.78 |
| 4.20 | 106.83 | 105.28 | 16.92 | 19.07 | 248.10 | $1 \mathrm{p}^{*} \mathrm{c}$ | -- |
| 4.21 | 106.23 | 113.75 | 17.06 | 20.75 | 257.79 | 235.18 | 492.97 |
| 4.22 | 88.72 | 86.23 | 16.49 | 16.43 | 207.87 | 230.42 | 438.29 |
| 4.23 | 100.14 | 98.47 | 16.95 | 13.32 | 228.88 | 73.42 | 302.30 |
| 4.24 | 89.09 | 81.70 | 15.64 | 15.56 | 201.99 | 228.45 | 430.44 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.18.S | 92.85 | 92.85 | 22.66 | 22.66 | 231.02 | 237.79 | 468.81 |
| 4.19.S | 92.34 | 92.34 | 20.67 | 20.67 | 226.02 | 238.52 | 464.54 |
| 4.20.S | 88.97 | 88.97 | 18.26 | 18.26 | 214.46 | 253.78 | 468.24 |
| 4.21.S | 94.16 | 94.16 | 20.18 | 20.18 | 228.68 | 237.04 | 465.72 |
| 4.22.S | 87.02 | 87.02 | 16.47 | 16.47 | 206.98 | 243.33 | 450.31 |
| 4.23.S | 87.38 | 87.38 | 16.41 | 16.41 | 207.58 | 244.22 | 451.80 |
| 4.24.S | 84.27 | 84.27 | 15.62 | 15.62 | 199.78 | 247.28 | 447.06 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{N}^{1} \sigma+\mathrm{N}^{2} \sigma+\mathrm{N}^{1} \pi+\mathrm{N}^{2} \pi .{ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{NCN}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{T}}+\mathrm{C} \sigma .{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ This lp was described as a non-Lewis $\mathrm{lp} *$ orbital by the NBO program and did not result in stabilization of the Zr lp* orbitals.

### 4.8 Conclusions

The complex $[\mathrm{TBA}]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Zr}(\mathrm{XXX}) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}\right]$ (4.3) was found to react with a variety of Lewis acids, resulting in formation of complexes $[T B A]_{n}\left[Z r(L X L) C l_{3}\right]^{n-}(n=0,2)$. Methyl cation synthons (MeI, MeOTf) readily formed the neutral complex (4.6). Trimethylsilylation of 4.3 could also be achieved to afford silyl analogues of 4.6. Halide metathesis was found to be kinetically competitive with silylation, and so mixed halide complexes of the form $\mathrm{Zr}\left(\mathrm{LXL}-\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right) \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \mathrm{X}^{\prime \prime}{ }_{2}$ were obtained. X-ray diffraction analysis of $\mathrm{Zr}\left(\mathrm{LXL}-\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right) \mathrm{ClI}_{2}$ (4.12) revealed that substitution by the large trimethylsilyl group causes the pincer ligand to distort from planarity. The silyl groups of $\mathbf{4 . 1 2}$ were readily removed under mild conditions through addition of chloride ion.

Use of the neutral Lewis acids $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ led to the formation of zwitterionic complexes $[\mathrm{TBA}]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Zr}\left(\mathrm{LXL}-\left(\mathrm{BR}_{3}\right)_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}\right]$ (4.7, 4.9). When $[\mathrm{TBA}]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Zr}(\mathrm{XXX}) \mathrm{ClF}_{2}\right]$ (4.5) was treated with a $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ source, the complex $[\mathrm{TBA}]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Zr}\left(\mathrm{LXL}-\left(\mathrm{BH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right) \mathrm{ClF}_{2}\right]$ (4.8) was formed. The ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR resonance for $\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{F}$ was found to shift downfield by 6.6 ppm upon formation of 4.8. This chemical shift suggests that the $\mathrm{LXL}-\left(\mathrm{BH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ is a weaker electron donor than the parent XXX ligand, though at this point steric factors cannot be ruled out.

DFT calculations support the hypothesis that coordination of a Lewis acid decreases the electron donor strength of the NCN ligand. The highly ionic Zr-ligand bonds were best described as a ligand-centered lone pair and a Zr -centered non-Lewis lone pair. Subsequent determination of the donor-acceptor stabilization energy ( $1 \mathrm{p} \rightarrow \mathrm{lp*}$ ) revealed a gradual decrease in the D-A energy that loosely correlated with an increase in the Lewis acid electronegativity.

### 4.9 Experimental

### 4.9.1 Methods

All reactions were performed in a nitrogen-filled MBRAUN Labmaster 130 glove box. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded at $499.909 \mathrm{MHz}, 399.967 \mathrm{MHz}$, or 300.075 MHz on a Varian Inova 500, Varian Inova 400, Varian MR400, or Varian Inova 300 spectrometer and referenced to the residual protons in pyridine- $d_{5}(8.79 \mathrm{ppm}), \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}(7.16$ $\mathrm{ppm})$, or $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5.32 \mathrm{ppm}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded at 100.724 MHz on a Varian Inova 400 or Varian MR400 spectrometer and were referenced to naturally abundant ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ nuclei in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 54.00 ppm ). Combustion analyses were performed by Midwest Microlabs or on a Perkin-Elmer 200 Series II Analyzer.

### 4.9.2 Materials

All bulk solvents were obtained from VWR scientific. Benzene and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ were degassed and dried over $4 \AA$ molecular sieves, and all other solvents used were dried and deoxygenated by the method of Grubbs. ${ }^{16} \mathrm{CpTiF}_{2}$ was prepared according to the literature procedure, ${ }^{17}$ which was found to require the use of "wet" acetone. $\left[\mathrm{HN}\left({ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right] \mathrm{X}$ were prepared in analogy to a literature procedure for the preparation of lutidinium iodide. ${ }^{18} \mathrm{Zr}(\mathrm{XXX})\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}\right)(4.1)$ was prepared according to Section 3.9.3. NMR solvents were obtained from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories and were dried over $4 \AA$ molecular sieves for at least 24 hours. Methyl triflate (MeOTf), methyl iodide (MeI), $94 \% \mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}$, iodotrimethylsilane (TMSI), trimethylsilyl triflate (TMSOTf), chlorotrimethylsilane (TMSCl), NaH ( $60 \%$ in mineral oil), tetra- $n$ butylammonium bromide ( TBABr ) and $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were purchased from Acros. Tetra-nbutylammonium chloride was purchased from Fluka. Bromotrimethylsilane (TMSBr), tetra-n-butylammonium iodide (TBAI), $\mathrm{BCl}_{3}$ ( 1.0 M in heptane), $\mathrm{BEt}_{3}$ (1.0 M in hexane), and $\mathrm{AlEt}_{3}$ ( 1.0 M in hexane) were purchased from Aldrich. $\mathrm{AgPF}_{6}, \mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$, tetra- $n$ butylammonium hexafluorophosphate $\left(\mathrm{TBAPF}_{6}\right)$, triphenyltin fluoride $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnF}\right)$, and $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}$ were purchased from Strem. All TBA-halides were dried by heating to > 100 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under vacuum for at least 12 hours. All other reagents were used as received.

### 4.9.3 Synthetic Procedures

$\left[\mathbf{Z r}(\mathbf{N C N}) \mathbf{C l}_{3}\right] \mathbf{T B A}_{\mathbf{2}}$ (4.3). Solid TBACl ( $1.4186 \mathrm{~g}, 5.104 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.05$ equiv) was added to a suspension of 4.1 ( $2.0033 \mathrm{~g}, 2.491 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ with
stirring. The mixture was stirred for 10 minutes, at which point the solution was clear yellow in appearance. Solid $\left[\mathrm{HN}\left({ }^{i} \operatorname{Pr}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right] \mathrm{Cl}(0.4133 \mathrm{~g}, 2.494 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv $)$ was added and the solution stirred for 3.5 hours. The solution was concentrated in vacuo to ca. 20 mL in volume, then the orange solid was immediately collected by vacuum filtration and washed with cold $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 8 \mathrm{~mL})$ and pentane $(1 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis indicated that the solid contained approximately 13 \% TBACl. The solid was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(90 \mathrm{~mL})$, then $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the solution cooled to $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The orange solid that formed was collected by vacuum filtration, washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dried in vacuo to yield $4.3(2.0973 \mathrm{~g}, 1.606 \mathrm{mmol}, 65 \%)$ as a bright orange powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.35\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.32$ $(\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.18(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.05\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 6.86(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.69$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $2.72(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TBA}), 2.27\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 2.19\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 1.31(\mathrm{~m}$, $16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TBA}), 1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TBA}), 0.84\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 24 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TBA}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta 190.49\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{C}_{i p s o}\right), 162.57,142.98,138.24,137.31,136.99,136.79,136.42$, 135.93, 129.03, 128.14, 128.04, 126.13, 125.37, 117.77, 58.46, 24.21, 21.49, 21.43, 19.87, 13.79. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{76} \mathrm{H}_{111} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{Zr}$ : C, 69.88; H, 8.56; N, 6.43. Found C, 68.93; H, 8.55; N, 6.14.
$\left[\mathbf{Z r}(\mathbf{N C N}) \mathbf{B r}_{3}\right] \mathbf{T B A}_{\mathbf{2}}$ (4.4). Solid $\operatorname{TBABr}(0.4127 \mathrm{~g}, 1.280 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) was added to a suspension of $4.1\left(0.5113 \mathrm{~g}, 0.636 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0\right.$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ with stirring. The mixture was stirred for 15 minutes, at which oint the solution was clear yellow in appearance. Solid $\left[\mathrm{HN}\left({ }^{i} \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right] \mathrm{Br}(0.1337 \mathrm{~g}, 0.636 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added and the solution stirred for 5 hours. The orange residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$
$(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, then $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the solution cooled to $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The red crystals were collected by vacuum filtration, washed with $\mathrm{Et} 2 \mathrm{O}(3 \times 4 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dried in vacuo. The solid was then recrystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Collection by vacuum filtration and drying in vacuo yielded $4.4(0.2922 \mathrm{~g}, 0.203 \mathrm{mmol}, 32 \%)$ as a deep red solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis indicated that the solid contained approximately $13 \%$ of an NCN-containing impurity. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.34\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $\operatorname{ArH}), 7.34(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.18(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.07 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 6.86$ (s, 2H, ArH), 6.68 (s, 2H, ArH), 2.77 (m, 16H, TBA), 2.27 (s, 12H, ArCH3), 2.18 (s, $\left.12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 1.33(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TBA}), 1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TBA}), 0.86 \mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 24 \mathrm{H}$, TBA). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, impurity $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 8.15\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.1 \mathrm{H}\right)$, $7.40-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~s}, 0.3 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1.7 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 53.80\right): \delta$ 191.68 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Zr}^{-\mathrm{C}_{i p s o}}$ ), 162.41, 142.51, 138.06, 137.52, 136.93, 136.81, 136.32, 135.48, 129.61, 128.31, 126.14, 125.26, 117.84, 58.69, 24.28, 21.50, 19.96, 13.80. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{76} \mathrm{H}_{111} \mathrm{Br}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{Zr}: \mathrm{C}, 63.40 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.77$; N, 5.84. Found C, 64.03; H, 7.95; N, 5.74.
$\left[\mathbf{Z r}(\mathbf{N C N}) \mathbf{C I F}_{2}\right] \mathbf{T B A}_{\mathbf{2}}$ (4.5). Solid $\mathrm{CpTiF}_{2}(0.1127 \mathrm{~g}, 0.579 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.3$ equiv) was added to a solution of $4.3\left(0.1768 \mathrm{~g}, 0.135 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0\right.$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ with stirring. The orange solution was stirred for 2 hours, then concentrated to dryness. The residue was slurried in THF ( 10 mL ) and stirred vigorously for 20 minutes. The resulting precipitate was collected by vacuum filtration, rinsed with THF ( $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and dried in vacuo. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis indicated that the solid contained approximately 0.15 equiv $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiX}_{2}$. The crude solid was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 2 mL ), diluted with THF (11 mL ), and cooled to $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting powder was collected by vacuum filtration,
rinsed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dried in vacuo to yield $4.5(0.0821 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0645 \mathrm{mmol}, 48 \%)$ as a white powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.36\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right)$, $7.28(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.20(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.04\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 6.82(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH})$, $6.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TBA}), 2.27\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 2.21\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right)$, $1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TBA}), 1.12(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TBA}), 0.81\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 24 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TBA}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 53.80\right): \delta 162.92,144.74,138.92,137.36,137.25,137.08,137.02$, $136.79,127.95,127.43,127.38,126.01,125.60,117.43,58.28,24.06,21.47,21.40$, 19.68, 13.83. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR: $\delta 35.19$ (s). Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{76} \mathrm{H}_{111} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{FN}_{6} \mathrm{Zr}: \mathrm{C}, 71.68$; H, 8.79; N, 6.60. Found C, 71.66; H, 8.61; N, 6.45.
$\mathbf{Z r}\left(\mathbf{N C N}-\mathrm{Me}_{\mathbf{2}}\right) \mathbf{C l}_{\mathbf{3}}$ (4.6). Method A: A solution of $4.3(604.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.463 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was frozen. Immediately upon thawing, MeOTf (107.4 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.949 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added via syringe. After stirring at ambient temperature for 1.5 hours, the solution was pipetted into cold $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The precipitate was collected by vacuum filtration, rinsed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, then dried in vacuo to yield 4.6 (256.8 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.302 \mathrm{mmol}, 65 \%)$ as a white powder. Method B: A solution of $4.3(211.5 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.162 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was transferred to a bomb flask (with equal solution volume and head space volume). $\mathrm{MeI}(50.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.803 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv ) was added in the dark, then the bomb flask was sealed and the overlying atmosphere briefly evacuated. The solution was heated for 18 hours in a $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath. The solution was then cooled, returned into the glovebox, and concentrated to dryness. The remaining solid was then re-dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the solution was slowly diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(6.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ with stirring. The precipitate was collected by vacuum filtration, rinsed
with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL})$, then dried in vacuo to yield $4.6(116.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.137 \mathrm{mmol}, 85 \%)$ as a white powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.75\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.43(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.20(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ArH}), 7.09(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.99(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.92(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 3.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 2.33\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 2.22\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $\delta 192.99\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{C}_{i p s o}\right)$, 154.45, 138.87, 138.32, 138.16, 135.76, 131.98, $131.43,131.34,130.41,129.49,128.83,128.43,127.72,123.37,34.35,21.35,21.31$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Zr}$ : C, 64.89 ; H, 5.33; N, 6.58. Found C, 64.08; H, 5.31; N, 6.45.
$\left[\mathbf{Z r}\left(\mathbf{N C N}-\left(\mathbf{B H}_{3}\right)_{2}\right) \mathbf{C l}_{\mathbf{3}}\right] \mathbf{T B A}_{\mathbf{2}}$ (4.7). Complex $4.3(0.2287 \mathrm{~g}, 0.175 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, then $94 \% \mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}(38.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.372 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.1 equiv) was added via syringe with stirring. After 4 hours of stirring, the volatiles were removed in vacuo to yield $4.7(0.2116 \mathrm{~g}, 0.159 \mathrm{mmol}, 91 \%)$ as a bright yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 8.74\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.22\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=\right.$ $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ArH}$ ), 7.15 (s, 4H, ArH), 6.92 ( $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ArH}$ ), 6.89 (s, 4H, ArH), 6.81 ( $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, ArH ), 2.73 (m, 16H, TBA), 2.27 ( $\mathrm{s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}$ ), 2.20 ( $\mathrm{s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}$ ), 1.40 (m, 16H, TBA), $1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}$, TBA $), 0.93\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 24 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TBA}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ : $\delta 192.57$ (s, Zr-C ipso ), 158.34, 139.98, 137.38, 137.19, 136.57, 136.19, 134.13, 133.78, $130.39,129.47,129.04,128.70,127.35,125.56,58.82,24.36,21.60,21.55,21.47,20.07$, 14.01. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ : -19.46 (br s, $\left.\Delta \mathrm{v}_{1 / 2} \approx 700 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{76} \mathrm{H}_{117} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{Zr}$ : C, 68.43; H, 8.84; N, 6.30. Found C, 68.20; H, 8.69; N, 6.01.
$\mathbf{Z r}\left(\mathbf{N C N}-\left(\mathbf{S i M e}_{3}\right)_{2}\right) \mathbf{I}_{2} \mathbf{C l}$ (4.12). Trimethylsilyl iodide $(0.11 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.77 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) was added to a stirring solution of $4.3(201.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.154 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution stirred for 4 hours, the the volatiles were removed in vacuo. The residue was extracted through Celite with toluene until the filtrate ran colorless. The filtrate was concentrated to dryness, then the residue was slurried in pentane ( 8 mL ) and stirred vigorously for 10 minutes. The suspension was filtered, then the solid was rinsed with pentane ( $3 \times 4 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and dried in vacuo to give crude 4.11 (117.9 $\mathrm{mg}, c a .0 .1 \mathrm{mmol}, c a .66 \%)$ as a bright yellow solid. NMR analysis indicated that the solid contained approximately $9 \%$ of an NCN -containing impurity. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$, $500 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta 7.62\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.45\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.30(\mathrm{~s}$, 4H, ArH), 7.08 (s, 4H, ArH), 7.02 (s, 2H, ArH), 6.90 (s, 2H, ArH), 2.30 (s, 12H, $\mathrm{ArCH}_{3}$ ), $2.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 0.39\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, impurities $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ : $\delta 7.34(\mathrm{~s}, 0.2 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1.2 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 0.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TBA}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1.2 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{~m}$, $0.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TBA}), 1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TBA}), 1.02(\mathrm{t}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TBA}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta$ 193.95 (s, $\mathrm{Zr}^{-\mathrm{C}_{i p s o}}$ ), 163.00, 140.16, 138.54, 137.98, 136.52, 135.75, 131.76, 131.24, $131.00,130.97,130.82,129.28,126.85,125.93,21.60,21.49,3.31$.
$\left[\operatorname{Zr}(\mathbf{N C N})\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)_{2}\right] \mathbf{N a}(\mathbf{1 5}$-crown-5) (4.17). A solid mixture of 4.1 (1.5105 g, $1.878 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and $\mathrm{NaH}(45.4 \mathrm{mg}, 1.892 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was suspended in THF ( 45 mL ) with stirring. After 3 hours, 15 -crown- 5 ( $0.38 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.913 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added, causing the cloudy mixture to clear. After stirring an additional 25 minutes, the solution was concentrated to dryness under vacuum. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, then pentane ( 40 mL ) was added, resulting in precipitation of a white
powder. The powder was collected by vacuum filtration, rinsed with excess pentane, and then dried in vacuo to yield $\left[\mathrm{Zr}(\mathrm{NCN})\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{Na}(15$-crown-5) (1.3354 g, 1.276 mmol , $67.9 \%)$ as a white powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.40\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $\mathrm{ArH}), 7.16\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{JH}_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.15(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.89(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, overlapping ArH), 6.68 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 3.32 ( $\mathrm{s}, 20 \mathrm{H}, 15$-crown-5), 2.46 ( $\mathrm{s}, 12 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ ), 2.29 (s, 12H, $\left.\mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 2.17\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 53.80\right): \delta 183.53\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{C}_{i p s o}\right)$, $161.98,143.51,138.16,137.99,137.63,137.34,137.20,136.82,128.72,128.40,127.74$, 126.30, 124.87, 117.97, 68.47, 38.43, 21.68, 21.47. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{58} \mathrm{H}_{71} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{NaO}_{5} \mathrm{Zr}$ : C, 66.57; H, 6.84; N, 8.03. Found C, 65.51; H, 6.51; N, 7.29.

In-situ complexes
$\left[\mathbf{Z r}\left(\mathbf{N C N}-\left(\mathbf{B H}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)_{2}\right) \mathbf{C l F}_{\mathbf{2}}\right] \mathbf{T B A}_{\mathbf{2}}$ (4.8). Solid 4.5 ( $8.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0065 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then $94 \% \mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}(1.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.014 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.2 equiv) was added via syringe to the stirrin solution. After stirring for 20 minutes, the volatiles were removed and the residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.7 \mathrm{~mL})$. NMR analysis indicated the formation of $\mathbf{4 . 8}$ as the major product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 500\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}): \delta 8.66\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.21\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ArH}\right), 7.05(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, ArH), 6.92 (s, 6H, ArH), 6.79 (s, 2H, ArH), 2.70 (m, 19H, TBA), 2.28 (s, 12H, $\mathrm{ArCH}_{3}$ ), $2.18\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 1.33(\mathrm{~m}, 19 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TBA}), 1.17(\mathrm{~s}, 19 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TBA}), 0.89\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right):-19.97\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \Delta v_{1 / 2} \approx 700 \mathrm{~Hz}\right){ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR: $\delta 44.77$ (s).
$\left[\mathbf{Z r}\left(\mathbf{N C N}-\left(\mathbf{B F}_{3}\right)_{2}\right) \mathbf{C l}_{\mathbf{3}}\right] \mathbf{T B A}_{\mathbf{2}}$ (4.9). A solution of $4.3(14.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was frozen. Immediately upon thawing, $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2.9 \mu \mathrm{~L}$,
$0.023 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.1$ equiv) was added via syringe to the stirring solution. The yellow color of the solution faded to colorless in ca. 2 minutes. After 5 minutes of stirring, the volatiles were removed in vacuo. NMR analysis of the resulting residue indicated that $\left[\mathrm{Zr}\left(\mathrm{NCN}-\left(\mathrm{BF}_{3}\right)_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}\right] \mathrm{TBA}_{2}(4.8)$ was the major product, with a variety of other peaks being observed that corresponded to various NCN byproducts ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 400\right.$ MHz): $\delta 8.23\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.18\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.11(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.92(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}),, 6.89(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.83(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 2.78$ (m, TBA), 2.25 (s, 12H, $\left.\mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 2.19\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 1.42(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{TBA}), 1.27(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{TBA}), 0.95\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, TBA). ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta-137.16\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{B}}=17.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 77 \%\right.$ of total ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ signal). ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta-137.16(\mathrm{~s}) .{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta 0.16$ (pseudo-q, ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{F}}=$ $15.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

### 4.9.4 Silylation Reactions

Silylation reactions of 4.3:
2 equiv TMSI.
Method A: Neat TMSI ( $3.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.024 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2$ equiv) was added to a stirring $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ solution of 4.3 ( $14.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv). After stirring for 1 h at ambient temperature, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis indicated the formation of $\mathrm{Zr}\left(\mathrm{NCN}-\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}(\mathbf{4 . 1 0})$ as the major product with other impurities observed. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.62$ $\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.37\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.19(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.04(\mathrm{~s}$, $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $6.91(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 2.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 2.23\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 0.40(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$.

Method B: Neat TMSI ( $29.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.204 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) was added to a stirring just-thawed solution of $4.3\left(0.1334 \mathrm{~g}, 0.102 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0\right.$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ inside of a 20 mL glass vial. The solution was stirred at ambient temperature for 2 minutes, then moved to a $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ freezer. The reaction vial was periodically removed and stirred for $c a .20$ seconds, at which point the vial was returned to the freezer. After 1 hour, the solvent was removed in vacuo, then the orange-yellow residue was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (5 x 10 mL ) and filtered through a sintered glass frit. The filtrate was concentrated to dryness and was determined contain several NCN products and TBA cation as judged by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. The $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-insoluble filter cake was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ to give an orange solution, which was then diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to -35 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, resulting in the formation of a white precipitate. The mixture was filtered through a sintered glass frit and the filtrate was concentrated to dryness. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the residue revealed the presence of 2-3 unidentified products as judged by the $\mathrm{ArCH}_{3}$ resonances.

## 5 equiv TMSI.

Method A: Neat TMSI ( $4.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.031 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.1$ equiv) was added via syringe to a solution of 4.3 ( $8.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0061 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) inside of a J. Young tube. The solution was mixed well and the reaction progress was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. 25 minutes: $13 \%$ 4.10, $43 \%$ 4.11, $43 \%$ 4.12, and 1.38 equiv TMSCl relative to the total $\mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ integrations of 4.10-4.12. 3 hours 45 minutes: trace 4.10, 17 \% 4.11, 83 \% 4.12, and 1.71 equiv TMSCl. 6 hours: no change in the reaction composition was observed. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 4.11\right): \delta 7.63\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$,
$2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.42\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.06(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.03(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}) 6.92(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 2.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 2.24\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 0.39(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$.

Method B: Solid 4.3 ( $8.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0068 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was measured into a J. Young tube and suspended in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}(0.8 \mathrm{~mL})$. Neat TMSI $(9.7 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.068 \mathrm{mmol}, 10.0$ equiv) was added to the suspension via syringe. The reaction was placed in a $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath for 15 hours. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy indicated the clean formation of 4.12 and 2 equiv TMSCl. The solution was frozen and the overlying atmosphere was removed in vacuo, then the reaction was placed in a $95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath for 6 hours. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy revealed no change in the product composition. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 500\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}): \delta 7.75(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.61\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.32\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$, ArH ), $6.84(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.78(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.58(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 2.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right)$, 1.99 (s, 12H, $\mathrm{ArCH}_{3}$ ), $0.16\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$.

## 5 equiv TMSOTf.

Neat TMSOTf ( $7.9 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.044 \mathrm{mmol}$, 5.1 equiv) was added via syringe to a solution of 4.3 ( $11.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0087 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.7 \mathrm{~mL})$ inside of a J . Young tube. The solution was mixed well and the reaction progress was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. 20 minutes: 2 products in a $1: 1$ ratio, 1.0 equiv TMSCl. Distinctive features of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.65\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.45\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.41\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $\mathrm{ArH}), 0.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 0.37\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) .3$ hours 40 minutes: 4.13 and 1
equiv TMSCl. 6 hours: $89 \%$ 4.13, $11 \%$ 4.14, 1.3 equiv TMSCl. 2 days: $62 \%$ 4.13, 38 \% 4.14, 1.5 equiv TMSCl. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 4.13\right): \delta 7.65\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{JHH}_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.45\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.20(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.09(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.01$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $6.86(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 2.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 2.21\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 0.37(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 4.14\right): \delta 7.65\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right)$, $7.49\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right.$ ), $7.07(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.06$ (br s, 4H, ArH), $7.02(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ArH ), 6.86 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 2.30 ( $\mathrm{s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}$ ), 2.20 (s, $12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}$ ), 0.38 (s, 18H, $\left.\mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$.

## 5 equiv TMSBr.

Neat TMSBr ( $5.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.039 \mathrm{~mol}, 5.0$ equiv) was added via syringe to a solution of 4.3 ( $10.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0078 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.7 \mathrm{~mL})$ inside of a J . Young tube, and the reaction progress was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. 30 min : at least 2 products seen with distinctive ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR resonances at $\delta 7.3\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right)$, $2.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 11.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 2.29$ (s, 6.4H, $\mathrm{ArCH}_{3}$ ), 2.26 ( $\mathrm{s}, 4.9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}$ ), 2.24 ( $\mathrm{s}, 4.8 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{ArCH}_{3}$ ), 2.23 ( $\mathrm{s}, 12.0 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}$ ), 2.21 ( $\mathrm{s}, 5.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}$ ), 0.58 ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, 24.7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TMSBr}\right) 0.43$ ( s , $26.6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{TMSCl}), 0.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 0.37\left(\mathrm{~s}, 7.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) .2$ h $40 \mathrm{~min}:$ a slight change in the product ratios was observed. 9 h 30 min : no change was observed.

## Silylation of 4.5:

## TMSOTf

Neat TMSOTf ( $6.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.034 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.1$ equiv) was added via syringe to a solution of $4.5\left(8.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0067 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0\right.$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.7 \mathrm{~mL})$ inside of a J . Young
tube, causing an immediate color change from colorless to bright yellow. After 20 minutes, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis indicated clean conversion to 4.14 and 2 equiv TMSF. The volatiles were removed in vacuo, then the residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.7 \mathrm{~mL}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis revealed partial decomposition to unknown products.

## TMSBr

Neat TMSBr ( $3.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.026 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) was added via syringe to a solution of $4.5\left(6.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0052 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0\right.$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.7 \mathrm{~mL})$ inside of a J . Young tube, causing an immediate color change from colorless to bright yellow. After $30 \mathrm{~min},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy indicated a similar spectrum to that observed for $\mathbf{4 . 3}+\mathrm{TMSBr}$, with a product ratio near 1:1. 4 h : no change was observed.

## Silylation of 4.1:

Method A: Neat TMSI ( $23.1 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 14.8$ equiv) was added to a stirring solution of 4.1 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring overnight, the clear yellow solution was concentrated to dryness and the residue dried in vacuo. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the residue indicated the clean formation of $\mathrm{Zr}\left(\mathrm{NCN}-\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$ (4.15) and $\left[\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiN}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{Me}_{2}\right] \mathrm{I}$ in a $1: 1$ ratio. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 4.15\right): \delta 7.62\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=\right.$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.45\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.31(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.08(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH})$, $7.02(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.90(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 2.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 2.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 0.39$ (s, $\left.18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 500 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiN}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{Me}_{2}\right] \mathrm{I}\right): \delta 9.41$ (br s, 1 H , NH ), 2.57 (br s, $\left.6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 0.54$ (br s, $\left.9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$.

Method B: Neat TMSI ( $0.68 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.79 \mathrm{mmol}, 15.0$ equiv) was added via syringe to a suspension of $4.1\left(0.2572 \mathrm{~g}, 0.320 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0\right.$ equiv) in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring for 22 hours, the solution was lyophilized and dried in vacuo. The resulting bright yellow solid was extracted through sintered glass frit with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and the filtrate was concentrated to dryness. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the residue indicated significant decomposition.

## Silylation of 4.16:

Neat TMSI ( $0.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.81 \mathrm{mmol}, 14.9$ equiv) was added via syringe to a stirring solution of 4.16 ( 0.1979 g , ( $0.189 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was stirred overnight, then the volatiles were removed in vacuo. The residue was washed with excess $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and dried in vacuo. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy identified the yellow solid as 4.15 containing a large signal for a 15 -crown- 5 product at $\delta 3.73 \mathrm{ppm}$. Analysis of the filtrate revealed the presence of both 4.15 and 15-crown-5.

### 4.9.5 Other Reactions

## Reaction of $\mathbf{4 . 1 2}$ with TBACl

Method A: Solid TBACl ( $7.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.9$ equiv) was added to a solution of 4.12 ( $15.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.013 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.7 \mathrm{~mL})$ with stirring. After 35 minutes, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis indicated the formation of $\mathbf{4 . 1 0}$ in a 2:1 ratio with a second unidentified product. Only trace amounts of TMSCl were observed at $\delta 0.43 \mathrm{ppm}$.

Method B: A solid mixture of $\mathbf{4 . 1}(7.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0061 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and TBACl ( $12.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.044 \mathrm{mmol}, 7.2$ equiv) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.7 \mathrm{~mL})$ with stirring. After 15 minutes, 1H NMR analysis indicated the clean formation of 4.3 with TMSCl as the only observable TMS-containing product.

## Reaction between 4.1, TBAI, and $\left[\mathrm{HN}^{i} \mathrm{Pr}_{2} \underline{\underline{E t}] \text { I }}\right.$

Solid TBAI ( $8.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.022 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) was added to a stirring solution of 4.1 ( $8.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. After five minutes the solution color had changed from near colorless to yellow. Solid $\left[\mathrm{HN}^{1} \mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right] \mathrm{I}(2.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.011$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added to the solution, causing a color change to orange. After stirring 4 h 30 m , the volatiles were removed in vacuo. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the residue indicated 5 broad overlapping peaks in the xylyl region of $\delta 1.90-2.30 \mathrm{ppm}$.

## Attempts at flourine exchange of 4.3.

$A g P F_{6}$ : In the dark, a solid mixture of 4.3 ( $17.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.014 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and $\mathrm{AgPF}_{6}$ ( $3.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.013 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ with stirring. After 3 hours, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis indicated extensive decomposition to unknown products.

3 equiv $\mathrm{AgPF}_{6}$ : In the dark, a solid mixture of $4.3(16.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.013 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and $\mathrm{AgPF}_{6}$ ( $10.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.040 \mathrm{mmol}$, 3.2 equiv) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 1.0 mL ) with stirring. After 30 minutes, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis indicated extensive decomposition to unknown products. Only $\mathrm{PF}_{6}-$ was observed in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectra.
$A g B F_{4}:$ In the dark, a solid mixture of $4.3(20.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.016 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}\left(3.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.018 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1\right.$ equiv) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ with stirring. After 3 hours, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis indicated extensive decomposition to unknown products.

3 equiv [TBA][ $\left.P F_{6}\right]$ : A solid mixture of $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ ( $10.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0083 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and [TBA][ $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ ] ( $9.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.8 \mathrm{~mL})$ with stirring. After 30 minutes, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis indicated no reaction had occurred. The solution was transferred to a J. Young tube and heated in a $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath overnight. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis again indicated no reaction had occurred.

3 equiv $P h_{3} S n F:{\underline{I n ~} \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}}^{2}$ : Solid $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \operatorname{SnF}(11.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.032 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.2$ equiv) was added to a solution of $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ ( $13.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.8 \mathrm{~mL})$ with stirring. Polymeric $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnF}$ was gradually dispersed during the course of the reaction. After 2.5 hours, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR analysis indicated the formation of multiple unknown products. No improvement was observed after 6 hours. ${\underline{I n ~} \mathrm{CH}_{2}}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ / THF: A solution of 4.3 ( $9.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0074 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a suspension of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{SnF}$ ( $8.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.024 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.2$ equiv) in THF ( 1.0 mL ). The mixture was mixed well by pipet and stirred. After 2.5 hours, no signal was observed in the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectrum. The mixture was stirred overnight, then the volatiles were removed in vacuo. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the residue displayed a single $\mathrm{ArCH}_{3}$ at $\delta 1.83 \mathrm{ppm}$, suggesting that the NCN ligand was no longer coordinated to Zr .

Method A: Neat MeI ( $1.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.026 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) was added to a solution of 4.5 ( $6.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0051 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.7 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring for 45 minutes, the volatiles were removed in vacuo. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR analysis indicated the presence of 4.5 and a monomethylated product in a 1:2.5 ratio. Identifying peaks of new product: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) : $\delta 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{ArH}), 3.78\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ : 44.70. Additional MeI ( $2.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.0321 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.3$ equiv) was added to the solution. After stirring 3 hours, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis indicated extensive decomposition.

Method B: Solid $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiF}_{2}(4.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.7$ equiv) was added to a solution of 4.6 ( $7.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0093 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.7 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 45 minutes of stirring, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR indicated extensive decomposition.

## Attempted reactions between 4.3 and $\mathrm{BX}_{3} / \mathrm{AlEt}_{3}$

$\mathrm{BCl}_{3}$ : A solution of $\mathrm{BCl}_{3}(1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in heptane, $20.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.020 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) was added to a solution of $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ ( $13.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 15 minutes, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis indicated extensive decomposition.
$B E t_{3}$ : A solution of $\mathrm{BEt}_{3}(1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane, $14.7 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.015 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) was added to a solution of 4.3 ( $9.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0074 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.8 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 30 minutes, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis indicated that no reaction had occurred.
$B(O M e)_{3}$ : Neat $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}(1.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.012 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) was added to a solution of 4.3 ( $7.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0060 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.8 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 30 minutes, ${ }^{1}$ H NMR analysis indicated that no reaction had occurred.

AlEt 3 : A solution of $\mathrm{AlEt}_{3}(1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane, $15.3 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.015 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) was added to a solution of $4.3(10.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0077 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv $)$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.8 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 30 minutes, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis indicated extensive decomposition.

### 4.9.6 Computational Details

Calculations were carried out at the DFT level, employing the commonly used B3LYP functional ${ }^{8-10}$ and the LACV3P*+ basis set. ${ }^{7}$ The Jaguar 7.0 package ${ }^{6}$ was used to implement the calculations. Coordinates for all atoms in the optimized structures are detailed in the Appendix 7. All geometry optimizations ground state structures were confirmed by calculation of the vibrational frequencies. All structures were found to be at a ground state with the sole exception of $\mathbf{4 . 2 1}$, which possessed 1 imaginary frequency at $-8.25 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. All $\Delta G$ values were corrected for zero-point energies. The NBO 5.0 program, ${ }^{14}$ included with Jaguar 7.0, was used for the NPA analysis and the determination of the donor-acceptor stabilization energies. The listed D-A energies were tabulated by the summation of all lp donations into $\mathrm{Zr} \mathrm{lp} *$ and $\mathrm{Ry*}$ orbitals.
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## Chapter 5

## Conclusions and Future Directions

### 5.1 Conclusions

This work has focused on the development of nitrile-alkyne cross-metathesis (NACM) as a methodology for the construction of organic molecules. The utility of NACM was demonstrated in the synthesis of arylene ethynylene macrocycles, but the limited functional group tolerance of the W-based catalyst limited its practical application. These limitations led to the synthesis of a variety of new Mo nitride complexes and the discovery of the first Mo-based NACM catalyst. A new XXX pincer ligand was developed in order to lower the activation barrier for NACM, but full activation of the ligand proved challenging. Zirconium complexes containing an XXX ligand were found to undergo an unusual and rare charge-switching reaction when treated with Lewis acid synthons. Such charge-switching could ultimately allow for tuning of triple-bond metathesis catalyst reactivity. In an effort to extend XXX pincer ligation to Mo, a new XXX ligand precursor was developed and is anticipated to be more facilely bound to Mo complexes.

### 5.2 Nitrile-Alkyne Cross Metathesis

Previous work detailed investigations of the mechanism of NACM catalyzed by $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{W}\left(\mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{DME})(\mathbf{5 . 1})$ and $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{W}\left(\mathrm{OCMe}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{3}$ (5.2). ${ }^{1,2}$ A substrate survey was undertaken in order to ascertain the tolerance of $\mathbf{5 . 1}$ and $\mathbf{5 . 2}$ for various functional groups. Catalyst sensitivity to protic and very polar groups was discovered, though thiophene substrates were surprisingly tolerated by the catalysts. Different modes of catalyst deactivation were observed for different substrates, with deactivation of either the nitride or alkylidyne complex occurring. Sterically hindered dinitrile substrates reacted very sluggishly with $\mathbf{5 . 1}$, but the use of unhindered dinitrile substrates led to the formation of arylene ethynylene macrocycles. A carbazole-derived tetramer could be synthesized in three fewer steps than the best alternative route, which employs alkyne cross-metathesis (ACM). ${ }^{3}$

Molybdenum-based catalysts were proposed as a means of expanding the range of functional groups tolerated in NACM. In order to overcome the higher activation barrier to metalacycle formation that is associated with $\mathrm{Mo},{ }^{4}$ thermally robust ancillary ligands were chosen for investigation. Amido complexes of the form $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{NR}^{\prime} \mathrm{R}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{3}$ were found to undergo protonolysis with bulky alcohols (HOR; $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{SiPh}_{3}, \mathrm{SiPh}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}, 2,6$ $\left.{ }^{i} \mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ to afford a variety of tris-alkoxide complexes $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{OR})_{3}(\mathrm{~L})\left(\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}\right.$, vacant coordination site). Steric constraints were found to play a role in the protonolysis reactions, as subtle changes in size affected whether the desired complexes were formed.

Upon investigating the NACM activity of the new nitride complexes, $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{OSiPh}_{3}\right)_{3}$ (5.3) was found to mediate NACM at $180{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Two observations indicated the importance of catalyst concentration. First, the conversions to NACM
products increased at higher catalyst concentrations. Second, NACM activity was observed to cease prior to complete catalyst decomposition. Even when performing NACM at a catalyst concentration of $40 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}$, only slightly more than two catalyst turnovers were achieved. Most importantly, other nitride and alkylidyne complexes were not active for NACM under similar conditions, which indicates that the triphenylsiloxide ligand has both a proper electron donor strength and thermal stability to allow NACM to occur.

### 5.3 XXX Pincer Ligand Development

A means to drastically lower the operating temperature of Mo-catalyzed NACM was desired in order to make NACM more practical. It was anticipated that a trianionic (XXX) pincer ligand would lower the energy barrier for metalacycle formation by tethering the ancillary ligands into the geometry required for azametalacyclobutadiene formation. ${ }^{2}$ To this end, an $\mathrm{NCN}-\mathrm{H}_{3}$ (5.4) ligand precursor was designed and prepared. Activation of $\mathbf{5 . 4}$ by Mo complexes proved challenging and was not achieved. A variety of ligand derivatives were prepared, allowing for the in-situ formation of an XXX- $\mathrm{Mg}_{3}$ (5.5) species. Unexpectedly, the reactivity of $\mathbf{5 . 5}$ with metal substrates was found to be very low. One hypothesis is that oligomeric $(\mathrm{XXX}-\mathrm{Mg}-)_{\mathrm{n}}$ species form which are too sterically hindered to allow the approach of metal substrates. A second possibility is that the hard-hard $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Mg}$ coordination of $\mathbf{5 . 5}$ is relatively favorable, thus limiting the desired metal substitution.
$\mathrm{Zr}\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)_{4}$ was discovered to successfully activate 5.4. Upon proper ancillary ligand transformation, $[\mathrm{TBA}]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Zr}(\mathrm{XXX}) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}\right]$ (5.6) could be obtained. X-ray diffraction
analysis confirmed the tridentate coordination of the NCN ligand. An interesting reactivity of 5.6 was observed, in which the XXX ligand could be directly transformed into an LXL ligand through interaction of the peripheral imidazole N -atom with a Lewis acid. A formal charge-switching transformation could be effected using synthons of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}, \mathrm{BH}_{3}, \mathrm{BF}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}{ }^{+}$. The trimethylsilyl group was found to be removed under mild conditions through the addition of chloride ion, returning an XXX ligand from an LXL ligand.

An investigation of the change in pincer ligand electron donor strength as a function of LXL identity was undertaken. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectroscopy was anticipated to be a useful spectroscopic means of investigation. Therefore $[\mathrm{TBA}]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Zr}(\mathrm{XXX}) \mathrm{ClF}_{2}\right]$ (5.7) was synthesized through halide metathesis of 5.6. The presence of the fluoride ligands greatly limited the scope of the charge-switching transformation. However, the complex $[\mathrm{TBA}]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Zr}\left(\mathrm{LXL}-\left(\mathrm{BH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right) \mathrm{ClF}_{2}\right](\mathbf{5 . 8})$ was successfully formed through reaction of 5.7 with $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}$. The ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{5 . 8}$ displayed a fluoride resonance that was deshielded by $\Delta(\delta) 6.6 \mathrm{ppm}$ relative to 5.7 , which suggests less electron donation from the LXL- $\left(\mathrm{BH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ ligand as compared to the XXX ligand. This trend was corroborated by DFT analysis of model complexes, though the exact effects of the Lewis acid identity on the electron donor strength could not be ascertained. Therefore, though some degree of electron donor strength fine-tuning may be possible, at present any variations would need to be examined on an individual basis.

At this point, research efforts were returned to the primary goal of using an XXXpincer ligand to facilitate the NACM reaction. As the challenges encountered in activation of $\mathbf{5 . 4}$ often related to the necessary CH activation, it was expected that an

XXX- $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ precursor with three acidic protons would facilitate XXX ligation with a variety of metals. Two separate synthetic routes to a bis-imidazole-pyrrole ligand were devised, but problems with reactivity and stability of key synthetic intermediates prevented the formation of the desired ligand precursor. An $\mathrm{NNN}-\mathrm{H}_{3}$ (5.9) ligand precursor was successfully obtained, with the backbone consisting of an imidazole-carbazole-imidazole linkage. Time constraints precluded investigations into the ligation of $\mathbf{5 . 9}$, which remains for future work.

### 5.4 Future Directions

With the XXX-precursor 5.9 in-hand, immediate future studies should focus on the installation of $\mathbf{5 . 9}$ onto metal complexes. Both protonolysis and salt elimination pathways can be investigated. For a protonolysis pathway, $\mathbf{5 . 9}$ could be treated with $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)_{3}, \quad \mathrm{~N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{N}\left[{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}\right] \mathrm{Ar}\right)_{3}, \quad$ or $\quad \mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{N}\left[{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right] \mathrm{Ar}\right)_{3} \quad\left(\mathrm{Ar}=3,5-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$. Difficulties that may be encountered through this method include dimethylamine coordination or steric inhibition of the protonation, both of which were observed in Chapter 2 for monodentate ligands.

Salt elimination is perhaps a better method for the installation of $\mathbf{5 . 9}$ as an XXX ligand. Because of the three acidic $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds, an $\mathrm{NNN}-\mathrm{Tl}_{3}$ complex should be readily formed from reaction of $\mathbf{5 . 9}$ with 3 equiv of TIOEt (Scheme 5.1). Metalation reactions of $\mathrm{NNN}-\mathrm{Tl}_{3}$ are expected to proceed readily due to the unfavorable hard-soft combination of $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Tl}$ and to the precipitation of insoluble TlX products. Both $\mathrm{EtC} \equiv \mathrm{MoCl}_{3}(\mathrm{DME})$ and $\left[\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{MoCl}_{3}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$ generated in situ are good candidates for ligation of a $\mathrm{NNN}-\mathrm{Tl}_{3}$ species. Additionally, this route could be extended to Ru alkylidyne species of the form
$\mathrm{Ru}(\equiv \mathrm{CAr})\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right) \mathrm{X}_{3}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I})$ to afford new Ru alkylidyne complexes for ACM investigations.
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Scheme 5.1. Use of $\mathrm{NNN}-\mathrm{Tl}_{3}$ as a pincer ligand transfer reagent.

Utilization of an XXX ligand in triple-bond metathesis may lead to an isolable metalacycle with a high energy barrier for cycloreversion. This situation would be unfavorable as it could lead to slower rates of NACM or no NACM at all. A potential remedy would be to increase the size of the pincer ligand, which would destabilize the metalacycle through steric pressure and make cycloreversion more favorable (Scheme 5.2). Given the route of synthesis for $\mathbf{5 . 9}$ (Chapter 3), it would be relatively simple to employ a larger benzil derivative to make a larger version of $\mathrm{NNN}-\mathrm{H}_{3}$.



Scheme 5.2. Possible effect of NNN size on metathesis reactivity.
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Appendices

## Appendix 1

## Crystallographic Data for $\mathbf{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{OCPh}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}\right)$



Figure A.1. $50 \%$ thermal ellipsoid plot of $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{OCPh}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}\right)$.

## Structure Determination.

Colorless plates of ew1352 were grown from a toluene/pentane solution at -35 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A crystal of dimensions $0.26 \times 0.14 \times 0.12 \mathrm{~mm}$ was mounted on a Bruker SMART APEX CCD-based X-ray diffractometer equipped with a low temperature device and fine focus Mo-target X-ray tube ( $\lambda=0.71073 \mathrm{~A}$ ) operated at 1500 W power $(50 \mathrm{kV}, 30 \mathrm{~mA})$. The X-ray intensities were measured at $85(1) \mathrm{K}$; the detector was placed at a distance 5.055 cm from the crystal. A total of 3690 frames were collected with a scan width of $0.5^{\circ}$ in $\omega$ and $0.45^{\circ}$ in phi with an exposure time of $30 \mathrm{~s} /$ frame. The integration of the data yielded a total of 40336 reflections to a maximum $2 \theta$ value of $53.08^{\circ}$ of which 8251 were independent and 6223 were greater than $2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$. The final cell constants (Table 1) were based on the xyz centroids of 9312 reflections above $10 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$. Analysis of the data showed negligible decay during data collection; the data were processed with SADABS and corrected for absorption. The structure was solved and refined with the Bruker SHELXTL (version 2008/3) software package, using the space group P1bar with $\mathrm{Z}=2$ for the formula $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Mo} \bullet\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)_{0.5}$. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically with the hydrogen atoms placed in idealized positions. The dimethylamine group is rotationally disordered over two equally occupied positions. The toluene solvate is located at an inversion center and is also disordered. Full matrix leastsquares refinement based on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ converged at $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0673$ and $\mathrm{wR} 2=0.1519$ [based on I $>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})], \mathrm{R} 1=0.0948$ and $\mathrm{wR} 2=0.1651$ for all data . Sheldrick, G.M. SHELXTL, v. 2008/3; Bruker Analytical X-ray, Madison, WI, 2008. Sheldrick, G.M. SADABS, v. 2008/1. Program for Empirical Absorption Correction of Area Detector Data, University of Gottingen: Gottingen, Germany, 2008.

Saint Plus, v. 7.53a, Bruker Analytical X-ray, Madison, WI, 2008.

Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for ew 1352.

| Identification code | ew1352 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C47.50 H50 Mo N2 O3 |
| Formula weight | 792.84 |
| Temperature | 85(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system, space group | Triclinic, P-1 |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=10.9987(16) \mathrm{A} \text { alpha }=87.778(2) \mathrm{deg} . \\ & \mathrm{b}=12.6721(18) \mathrm{A} \text { beta }=85.186(2) \mathrm{deg} . \\ & \mathrm{c}=14.715(2) \mathrm{A} \quad \text { gamma }=78.835(2) \text { deg. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Volume | 2004.5(5) A^3 |
| Z, Calculated density | 2, $1.314 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 3$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.371 \mathrm{~mm}^{\wedge}-1$ |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 830 |
| Crystal size | $0.26 \times 0.14 \times 0.12 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 2.12 to 26.54 deg. |
| Limiting indices | $-13<=\mathrm{h}<=13,-15<=\mathrm{k}<=15,-18<=1<=18$ |
| Reflections collected / unique | $40336 / 8251[R($ int $)=0.0863]$ |
| Completeness to theta | $=26.54 \quad 99.1 \%$ |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.9569 and 0.9098 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{\wedge} 2$ |


| Data / restraints / parameters | $8251 / 167 / 547$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{\wedge} 2$ | 1.057 |
| Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0673, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1519$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0948, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1651$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 1.082 and -1.319 e. $\mathrm{A}^{\wedge}-3$ |

Table 2. Atomic coordinates ( x 10^4) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\mathrm{A}^{\wedge} 2 \times 10^{\wedge} 3$ ) for ew1352. $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized Uij tensor.

|  | x | y | z | U(eq) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo(1) | 6190(1) | 3143(1) | 7226(1) | 27(1) |
| N (1A) | 6351(7) | 3207(6) | 8980(4) | 52(2) |
| C(1A) | 5628(10) | 4151(8) | 9501(7) | 61(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | 7608(7) | 2962(9) | 9321(7) | 57(2) |
| N(1B) | 6329(9) | 3352(6) | 8953(4) | 52(2) |
| C(1B) | 7096(9) | 4053(7) | 9323(7) | 54(2) |
| C(2B) | 6314(12) | 2385(7) | 9543(7) | 61(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 4594(3) | 3082(2) | 7773(2) | 32(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 6635(3) | 4497(2) | 7304(2) | 31(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 7482(3) | 1973(2) | 7445(2) | 28(1) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 6000(3) | 3039(3) | 6101(3) | 30(1) |
| C(2) | 3443(4) | 3011(3) | 7385(3) | 29(1) |
| C(3) | 3077(4) | 4018(3) | 6777(3) | 37(1) |
| C(4) | 2459(4) | 3041(3) | 8183(3) | 32(1) |
| C(5) | 2425(4) | 3757(4) | 8881(3) | 44(1) |
| C(6) | 1525(5) | 3822(4) | 9605(4) | 52(1) |
| C(7) | 663(5) | 3153(4) | 9641(4) | 50(1) |
| C(8) | 684(4) | 2453(4) | 8955(3) | 45(1) |
| C(9) | 1581(4) | 2391(3) | 8236(3) | 37(1) |
| C(10) | 3625(4) | 1962(3) | 6870(3) | 32(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 4155(4) | 1007(3) | 7312(4) | 38(1) |
| C (12) | 4289(4) | 31(4) | 6877(4) | 45(1) |
| C(13) | 3917(5) | -9(4) | 6022(4) | 52(2) |
| C(14) | 3396(5) | 930(4) | 5575(4) | 54(2) |
| C(15) | 3252(4) | 1916(4) | 6001(4) | 41(1) |


| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $6647(4)$ | $5452(3)$ | $6733(3)$ | $28(1)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $7147(4)$ | $5157(3)$ | $5765(3)$ | $33(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $5303(4)$ | $6082(3)$ | $6792(3)$ | $30(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $4673(4)$ | $6436(3)$ | $6037(3)$ | $33(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $3427(4)$ | $6950(3)$ | $6118(4)$ | $38(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $2823(4)$ | $7106(4)$ | $6973(4)$ | $41(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $3453(4)$ | $6781(4)$ | $7740(4)$ | $45(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $4686(4)$ | $6270(4)$ | $7651(4)$ | $40(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $7545(4)$ | $6034(3)$ | $7149(3)$ | $28(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $7348(4)$ | $7153(3)$ | $7189(3)$ | $32(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $8214(5)$ | $7653(4)$ | $7530(3)$ | $42(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $9301(4)$ | $7063(4)$ | $7834(3)$ | $36(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $9519(4)$ | $5951(4)$ | $7792(3)$ | $39(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $8645(4)$ | $5455(3)$ | $7461(4)$ | $37(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $8279(4)$ | $1171(3)$ | $6873(3)$ | $27(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $7447(4)$ | $466(3)$ | $6509(3)$ | $29(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $8961(4)$ | $1734(3)$ | $6116(3)$ | $28(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $9471(4)$ | $2606(3)$ | $6338(3)$ | $30(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $10093(4)$ | $3140(3)$ | $5662(4)$ | $35(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $10247(4)$ | $2815(4)$ | $4766(4)$ | $37(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)$ | $9765(4)$ | $1926(4)$ | $4558(3)$ | $36(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)$ | $9124(4)$ | $1398(3)$ | $5223(3)$ | $32(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(38)$ | $9170(4)$ | $503(3)$ | $7519(3)$ | $27(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)$ | $8692(4)$ | $196(3)$ | $8372(3)$ | $30(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(40)$ | $9473(4)$ | $-417(3)$ | $8974(3)$ | $33(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)$ | $10718(4)$ | $-708(3)$ | $8739(3)$ | $36(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(42)$ | $11200(4)$ | $-416(3)$ | $7892(4)$ | $36(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(43)$ | $10423(4)$ | $185(3)$ | $7280(3)$ | $29(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(44)$ | $4265(7)$ | $246(6)$ | $9705(5)$ | $55(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(45)$ | $3758(8)$ | $1218(6)$ | $10116(5)$ | $58(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(46)$ | $4454(8)$ | $1703(7)$ | $10661(6)$ | $59(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(47)$ | $5666(8)$ | $1207(7)$ | $10785(7)$ | $59(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(48)$ | $6147(8)$ | $240(7)$ | $10402(7)$ | $62(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(49)$ | $5476(8)$ | $-242(7)$ | $9849(7)$ | $59(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(50)$ | $6134(14)$ | $-1275(11)$ | $9419(11)$ | $82(4)$ |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |

Table 3. Bond lengths [A] and angles [deg] for ew1352.

| $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $1.700(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.883(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $1.883(3)$ |


| $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 1.884(3) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1 \mathrm{~B})$ | 2.584(6) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | 2.606(6) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | 1.482(7) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | 1.501(7) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ | 1.475(7) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~B})$ | 1.485(7) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.451(5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $1.448(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | 1.451(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.525(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.526(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.538(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 1.382(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 1.389(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 1.386(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 1.385(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 1.366(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 1.378(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 1.383(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 1.398(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 1.390(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 1.362(8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 1.384(8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 1.395(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 1.517(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | 1.520(6) |
| C(16)-C(18) | $1.535(6)$ |
| C(18)-C(19) | 1.373(6) |
| C(18)-C(23) | 1.389(7) |
| C(19)-C(20) | 1.396(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 1.375(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 1.380(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 1.383(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | 1.391(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $1.395(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 1.378(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | 1.380(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | 1.385(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $1.376(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $1.522(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(38)$ | 1.534(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $1.536(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | 1.384(6) |
| C(32)-C(33) | 1.394(6) |
| C(33)-C(34) | 1.389(6) |


| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $1.383(7)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | $1.389(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | $1.385(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(43)$ | $1.378(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(39)$ | $1.392(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(40)$ | $1.394(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{C}(41)$ | $1.366(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(42)$ | $1.377(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(43)$ | $1.395(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{C}(49)$ | $1.385(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{C}(45)$ | $1.389(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(46)$ | $1.390(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(46)-\mathrm{C}(47)$ | $1.383(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(47)-\mathrm{C}(48)$ | $1.360(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(48)-\mathrm{C}(49)$ | $1.377(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(49)-\mathrm{C}(50)$ | $1.502(15)$ |


| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $102.18(15)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $103.01(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $117.53(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $104.09(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $113.64(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $113.91(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1 \mathrm{~B})$ | $176.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1 \mathrm{~B})$ | $74.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1 \mathrm{~B})$ | $80.1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1 \mathrm{~B})$ | $76.07(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | $175.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | $74.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | $77.07(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | $79.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | $4.0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{N}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | $106.9(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{N}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | $117.8(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{N}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | $119.5(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{N}(1 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~B})$ | $110.5(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{N}(1 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | $117.1(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{N}(1 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | $123.2(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | $131.7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | $137.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | $134.3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $106.5(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $109.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $111.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $108.0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $108.3(3)$ |


| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $113.3(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $118.3(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $122.4(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $119.3(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $120.7(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $119.6(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $120.1(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $120.1(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $121.2(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $119.0(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $122.7(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $118.3(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $119.9(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $120.8(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $119.9(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $120.0(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $120.3(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $110.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $104.8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $108.6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $105.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $112.9(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $113.3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $118.7(4)$ |


| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $123.1(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $118.2(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $121.4(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $119.1(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $120.2(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $120.1(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $120.5(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $117.3(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $120.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $122.4(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $120.7(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $121.0(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $119.2(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $119.6(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $122.1(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $109.3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(38)$ | $104.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(38)$ | $112.2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $107.3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $112.9(4)$ |


| $\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $109.9(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $118.7(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $122.8(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $118.5(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $119.9(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $121.6(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | $118.0(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $120.9(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | $120.8(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(39)$ | $118.8(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $122.5(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $118.7(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(40)$ | $120.2(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{C}(39)$ | $120.4(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(42)$ | $119.8(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(43)$ | $120.2(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(42)$ | $120.4(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(49)-\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{C}(45)$ | $119.2(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(46)$ | $120.7(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(47)-\mathrm{C}(46)-\mathrm{C}(45)$ | $119.0(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(48)-\mathrm{C}(47)-\mathrm{C}(46)$ | $120.1(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(47)-\mathrm{C}(48)-\mathrm{C}(49)$ | $121.5(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(48)-\mathrm{C}(49)-\mathrm{C}(44)$ | $119.4(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(48)-\mathrm{C}(49)-\mathrm{C}(50)$ | $116.6(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{C}(49)-\mathrm{C}(50)$ | $123.9(9)$ |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:

Table 4. Anisotropic displacement parameters ( $\mathrm{A}^{\wedge} 2 \times 10^{\wedge} 3$ ) for ew1352.
The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form:
$-2 \mathrm{pi}^{\wedge} 2\left[\mathrm{~h}^{\wedge} 2 \mathrm{a}^{*}{ }^{*} 2 \mathrm{U} 11+\ldots+2 \mathrm{hk} \mathrm{a}^{*} \mathrm{~b}^{*} \mathrm{U} 12\right]$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | U11 | U22 | U33 | U23 | U13 | U12 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mo(1) | $28(1)$ | $10(1)$ | $46(1)$ | $-4(1)$ | $-4(1)$ | $-6(1)$ |
| N(1A) | $63(3)$ | $38(3)$ | $60(3)$ | $-16(2)$ | $1(3)$ | $-23(2)$ |
| C(1A) | $72(4)$ | $50(4)$ | $59(4)$ | $-14(4)$ | $1(4)$ | $-3(3)$ |
| C(2A) | $63(3)$ | $41(4)$ | $67(4)$ | $-8(4)$ | $0(4)$ | $-12(3)$ |
| N(1B) | $62(3)$ | $37(3)$ | $62(3)$ | $-15(2)$ | $4(3)$ | $-25(2)$ |
| C(1B) | $62(4)$ | $38(3)$ | $66(4)$ | $-4(3)$ | $-1(4)$ | $-24(3)$ |


| $\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ | $81(5)$ | $39(4)$ | $68(5)$ | $-10(3)$ | $14(5)$ | $-30(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $34(2)$ | $13(1)$ | $49(2)$ | $-4(1)$ | $-4(1)$ | $-8(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $31(2)$ | $10(1)$ | $52(2)$ | $-2(1)$ | $-8(1)$ | $-6(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $28(1)$ | $13(1)$ | $43(2)$ | $-6(1)$ | $-4(1)$ | $-3(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $31(2)$ | $20(2)$ | $44(2)$ | $-3(2)$ | $-17(2)$ | $-8(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $28(2)$ | $14(2)$ | $48(3)$ | $-2(2)$ | $-5(2)$ | $-7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $38(2)$ | $22(2)$ | $51(3)$ | $-1(2)$ | $-4(2)$ | $-8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $33(2)$ | $20(2)$ | $43(3)$ | $-6(2)$ | $-1(2)$ | $-6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $47(3)$ | $33(2)$ | $55(3)$ | $-11(2)$ | $1(2)$ | $-18(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $65(3)$ | $40(3)$ | $51(3)$ | $-15(2)$ | $3(3)$ | $-12(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $53(3)$ | $45(3)$ | $52(3)$ | $-3(2)$ | $11(3)$ | $-14(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $45(3)$ | $30(2)$ | $61(3)$ | $-6(2)$ | $11(3)$ | $-12(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $41(2)$ | $22(2)$ | $51(3)$ | $-7(2)$ | $2(2)$ | $-12(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $27(2)$ | $20(2)$ | $50(3)$ | $-8(2)$ | $4(2)$ | $-7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $34(2)$ | $20(2)$ | $60(3)$ | $-6(2)$ | $3(2)$ | $-10(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $34(2)$ | $20(2)$ | $82(4)$ | $-9(2)$ | $11(3)$ | $-10(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $45(3)$ | $34(3)$ | $82(4)$ | $-33(3)$ | $17(3)$ | $-20(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $54(3)$ | $47(3)$ | $64(4)$ | $-26(3)$ | $5(3)$ | $-20(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $40(3)$ | $32(2)$ | $54(3)$ | $-11(2)$ | $1(2)$ | $-11(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $29(2)$ | $6(2)$ | $48(3)$ | $0(2)$ | $-2(2)$ | $-4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $29(2)$ | $20(2)$ | $50(3)$ | $-7(2)$ | $-1(2)$ | $-4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $29(2)$ | $15(2)$ | $46(3)$ | $-6(2)$ | $-3(2)$ | $-8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $32(2)$ | $18(2)$ | $49(3)$ | $-8(2)$ | $-2(2)$ | $1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $32(2)$ | $22(2)$ | $57(3)$ | $-7(2)$ | $-8(2)$ | $1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $30(2)$ | $24(2)$ | $67(3)$ | $-12(2)$ | $-2(2)$ | $-1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $33(2)$ | $53(3)$ | $49(3)$ | $-12(2)$ | $8(2)$ | $-6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $33(2)$ | $39(3)$ | $48(3)$ | $-3(2)$ | $0(2)$ | $-7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $30(2)$ | $13(2)$ | $42(3)$ | $-5(2)$ | $0(2)$ | $-3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $36(2)$ | $14(2)$ | $48(3)$ | $-5(2)$ | $0(2)$ | $-7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $61(3)$ | $22(2)$ | $46(3)$ | $-7(2)$ | $3(2)$ | $-15(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $41(2)$ | $31(2)$ | $43(3)$ | $-6(2)$ | $3(2)$ | $-22(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $37(2)$ | $30(2)$ | $52(3)$ | $-9(2)$ | $-3(2)$ | $-11(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $31(2)$ | $18(2)$ | $63(3)$ | $-11(2)$ | $-5(2)$ | $-5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $26(2)$ | $14(2)$ | $40(2)$ | $-5(2)$ | $-4(2)$ | $-3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $24(2)$ | $18(2)$ | $48(3)$ | $-3(2)$ | $-4(2)$ | $-8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $21(2)$ | $16(2)$ | $49(3)$ | $-2(2)$ | $-5(2)$ | $-3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $26(2)$ | $18(2)$ | $46(3)$ | $0(2)$ | $-4(2)$ | $-3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $27(2)$ | $20(2)$ | $60(3)$ | $3(2)$ | $0(2)$ | $-7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $26(2)$ | $28(2)$ | $55(3)$ | $6(2)$ | $2(2)$ | $-3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)$ | $29(2)$ | $37(2)$ | $39(3)$ | $-3(2)$ | $-1(2)$ | $-2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)$ | $29(2)$ | $21(2)$ | $46(3)$ | $0(2)$ | $-6(2)$ | $-4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(38)$ | $27(2)$ | $11(2)$ | $45(3)$ | $-7(2)$ | $-5(2)$ | $-8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)$ | $30(2)$ | $20(2)$ | $44(3)$ | $-6(2)$ | $-2(2)$ | $-10(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(40)$ | $41(2)$ | $22(2)$ | $40(3)$ | $-2(2)$ | $-4(2)$ | $-14(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)$ | $40(2)$ | $21(2)$ | $51(3)$ | $-4(2)$ | $-13(2)$ | $-8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(42)$ | $31(2)$ | $13(2)$ | $64(3)$ | $-2(2)$ | $-4(2)$ | $-6(2)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\mathrm{C}(43)$ | $29(2)$ | $12(2)$ | $48(3)$ | $-4(2)$ | $-2(2)$ | $-7(2)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(44)$ | $53(4)$ | $46(4)$ | $70(4)$ | $10(4)$ | $-7(4)$ | $-21(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(45)$ | $52(4)$ | $49(4)$ | $70(5)$ | $13(4)$ | $-8(4)$ | $-7(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(46)$ | $57(4)$ | $50(4)$ | $71(5)$ | $-3(4)$ | $-3(4)$ | $-10(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(47)$ | $56(4)$ | $55(4)$ | $69(5)$ | $-6(4)$ | $-10(4)$ | $-14(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(48)$ | $59(4)$ | $53(4)$ | $75(5)$ | $-2(4)$ | $-10(4)$ | $-12(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(49)$ | $55(4)$ | $44(4)$ | $78(5)$ | $-1(4)$ | $3(4)$ | $-15(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(50)$ | $83(7)$ | $54(6)$ | $110(9)$ | $-25(6)$ | $36(7)$ | $-32(5)$ |

Table 5. Hydrogen coordinates ( x 10^4) and isotropic displacement parameters ( $\mathrm{A}^{\wedge} 2 \times 10^{\wedge} 3$ ) for ew1352.

|  | X | y | Z | $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H(1A) | 5990 | 2637 | 9210 | 62 |
| H(1A1) | 5682 | 4004 | 10157 | 92 |
| H(1A2) | 4756 | 4273 | 9361 | 92 |
| H(1A3) | 5974 | 4794 | 9327 | 92 |
| H(2A1) | 8180 | 3300 | 8913 | 85 |
| H(2A2) | 7904 | 2181 | 9339 | 85 |
| H(2A3) | 7571 | 3242 | 9936 | 85 |
| H(1B) | 5537 | 3742 | 9110 | 62 |
| H(1B1) | 7137 | 3913 | 9980 | 80 |
| H(1B2) | 6721 | 4809 | 9213 | 80 |
| H(1B3) | 7938 | 3901 | 9020 | 80 |
| H(2B1) | 6728 | 2454 | 10096 | 92 |
| H(2B2) | 6752 | 1747 | 9214 | 92 |
| H(2B3) | 5452 | 2314 | 9710 | 92 |
| H(3A) | 3704 | 4016 | 6262 | 55 |
| H(3B) | 3026 | 4664 | 7134 | 55 |
| H(3C) | 2267 | 4017 | 6546 | 55 |
| H(5A) | 3025 | 4208 | 8861 | 53 |
| H(6A) | 1500 | 4321 | 10075 | 62 |
| H(7A) | 57 | 3182 | 10143 | 60 |
| H(8A) | 80 | 2007 | 8973 | 55 |
| H(9A) | 1595 | 1892 | 7768 | 44 |
| H(11A) | 4424 | 1025 | 7907 | 45 |
| H(12A) | 4645 | -616 | 7182 | 54 |
| H(13A) | 4015 | -681 | 5732 | 63 |


| H(14A) | 3136 | 903 | 4978 | 65 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H(15A) | 2895 | 2559 | 5692 | 50 |
| H(17A) | 8006 | 4761 | 5768 | 50 |
| H(17B) | 7126 | 5814 | 5388 | 50 |
| H(17C) | 6633 | 4705 | 5515 | 50 |
| H(19A) | 5093 | 6328 | 5448 | 40 |
| H(20A) | 3002 | 7189 | 5590 | 45 |
| H(21A) | 1970 | 7439 | 7037 | 49 |
| H(22A) | 3038 | 6908 | 8329 | 55 |
| H(23A) | 5115 | 6047 | 8180 | 48 |
| H(25A) | 6609 | 7574 | 6980 | 39 |
| H(26A) | 8061 | 8415 | 7556 | 51 |
| H(27A) | 9892 | 7414 | 8068 | 44 |
| H(28A) | 10267 | 5534 | 7991 | 47 |
| H(29A) | 8797 | 4692 | 7444 | 45 |
| H(31A) | 6881 | 897 | 6096 | 44 |
| H(31B) | 6963 | 187 | 7021 | 44 |
| H(31C) | 7966 | -137 | 6178 | 44 |
| H(33A) | 9393 | 2835 | 6951 | 36 |
| H(34A) | 10421 | 3744 | 5819 | 42 |
| H(35A) | 10669 | 3188 | 4308 | 44 |
| H(36A) | 9876 | 1677 | 3950 | 43 |
| H(37A) | 8792 | 798 | 5064 | 38 |
| H(39A) | 7829 | 404 | 8545 | 36 |
| H(40A) | 9138 | -634 | 9552 | 40 |
| H(41A) | 11251 | -1110 | 9157 | 44 |
| H(42A) | 12064 | -625 | 7725 | 43 |
| H(43A) | 10760 | 376 | 6695 | 35 |
| H(44) | 3788 | -80 | 9329 | 66 |
| H(45) | 2926 | 1554 | 10024 | 69 |
| H(46) | 4103 | 2366 | 10944 | 71 |
| H(47) | 6163 | 1541 | 11138 | 71 |
| H(48) | 6965 | -111 | 10520 | 74 |
| H(50A) | 7022 | -1263 | 9309 | 122 |
| H(50B) | 5787 | -1353 | 8838 | 122 |
| H(50C) | 6020 | -1882 | 9829 | 122 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix 2

## Crystallographic Data for $\mathbf{N} \equiv \mathbf{M o}(\mathbf{O A r})_{3}\left(\mathbf{N H M e}_{2}\right)\left(\mathbf{A r}=\mathbf{2 , 6}{ }^{-}{ }^{-} \mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$



Figure A.2. $50 \%$ thermal ellipsoid plot of $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{OAr})_{3}\left(\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{Ar}=2,6-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$.

## Structure Determination.

Purple blocks of ew1743 were grown from an acetonitrile solution at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A crystal of dimensions $0.38 \times 0.32 \times 0.23 \mathrm{~mm}$ was mounted on a Bruker SMART APEX CCD-based X-ray diffractometer equipped with a low temperature device and fine focus Mo-target X-ray tube ( $\lambda=0.71073 \mathrm{~A}$ ) operated at 1500 W power $(50 \mathrm{kV}, 30 \mathrm{~mA})$. The X-ray intensities were measured at $85(1) \mathrm{K}$; the detector was placed at a distance 5.055 cm from the crystal. A total of 5190 frames were collected with a scan width of $0.5^{\circ}$ in $\omega$ and $0.45^{\circ}$ in phi with an exposure time of $15 \mathrm{~s} /$ frame. The integration of the data yielded a total of 190801 reflections to a maximum $2 \theta$ value of $60.22^{\circ}$ of which 11005 were independent and 10231 were greater than $2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$. The final cell constants (Table 1 ) were based on the xyz centroids of 9793 reflections above $10 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$. Analysis of the data showed negligible decay during data collection; the data were processed with SADABS and corrected for absorption. The structure was solved and refined with the Bruker SHELXTL (version 2008/4) software package, using the space group P2(1)/n with $\mathrm{Z}=4$ for the formula $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{58} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Mo} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically with the hydrogen atoms placed in idealized positions except for the dimethylamino hydrogen which was allowed to refine isotropically. Full matrix leastsquares refinement based on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ converged at $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0261$ and $\mathrm{wR} 2=0.0721$ [based on I $>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})], \mathrm{R} 1=0.0286$ and $\mathrm{wR} 2=0.0747$ for all data.

Sheldrick, G.M. SHELXTL, v. 2008/4; Bruker Analytical X-ray, Madison, WI, 2008. Sheldrick, G.M. SADABS, v. 2008/4. Program for Empirical Absorption Correction of Area Detector Data, University of Gottingen: Gottingen, Germany, 2008. Saint Plus, v. 7.53a, Bruker Analytical X-ray, Madison, WI, 2008.

Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for ew 1743.

| Identification code | ew1743 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C40 H61 Mo N3 O3 |
| Formula weight | 727.86 |
| Temperature | 85(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system, space group | Monoclinic, P2(1)/n |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=17.0283(9) \mathrm{A} \quad \text { alpha }=90 \text { deg. } \\ & \mathrm{b}=13.2624(7) \mathrm{A} \quad \text { beta }=114.780(1) \text { deg } . \\ & \mathrm{c}=19.0848(10) \mathrm{A} \quad \text { gamma }=90 \text { deg. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Volume | 3913.2(4) A^3 |
| Z, Calculated density | 4, $1.235 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 3$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.374 \mathrm{~mm}^{\wedge}-1$ |
| $F(000)$ | 1552 |
| Crystal size | $0.38 \times 0.32 \times 0.23 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.35 to 29.61 deg. |
| Limiting indices | $-23<=\mathrm{h}<=23,-18<=\mathrm{k}<=18,-26<=1<=26$ |
| Reflections collected / unique | $190801 / 11005[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0538]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=29.61$ | 100.0 \% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.9190 and 0.8711 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{\wedge} 2$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 11005 / 0 / 461 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{\wedge} 2$ | 1.072 |


| Final R indices [I>2sigma $(\mathrm{I})]$ | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0261, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0721$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0286, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0747$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.794 and -0.560 e. $\mathrm{A}^{\wedge}-3$ |

Table 2. Atomic coordinates ( $\times 10^{\wedge} 4$ ) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\mathrm{A}^{\wedge} 2 \times 10^{\wedge} 3$ ) for ew 1743 . $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized Uij tensor.

|  | $x \quad y$ | z | $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo(1) | 7187(1) | 1179(1) | 4185(1) | 16(1) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 7020(1) | 1582(1) | 3315(1) | 23(1) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 8525(1) | 1907(1) | 4742(1) | 23(1) |
| N(3) | 5680(1) | -1089(1) | 572(1) | 36(1) |
| N(3A) | 4150(3) | 964(4) | -116(4) | 41(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 6898(1) | 2325(1) | 4653(1) | 21(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 7874(1) | -27(1) | 4420(1) | 20(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 6133(1) | 447(1) | 3989(1) | 19(1) |
| C(1) | 6792(1) | 2746(1) | 5256(1) | 19(1) |
| C(2) | 6867(1) | 2175(1) | 5905(1) | 20(1) |
| C(3) | 6745(1) | 2669(1) | 6498(1) | 25(1) |
| C(4) | 6556(1) | 3692(1) | 6455(1) | 29(1) |
| C(5) | 6480(1) | 4239(1) | 5812(1) | 27(1) |
| C(6) | 6589(1) | 3784(1) | 5199(1) | 22(1) |
| C(7) | 7015(1) | 1045(1) | 5946(1) | 21(1) |
| C(8) | 6149(1) | 490(1) | 5689(1) | 28(1) |
| C(9) | 7654(1) | 680(1) | 6743(1) | 29(1) |
| C(10) | 6500(1) | 4383(1) | 4494(1) | 27(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 7292(1) | 5036(2) | 4666(1) | 56(1) |
| C(12) | 5671(1) | 5017(1) | 4168(1) | 45(1) |
| C(13) | 8121(1) | -969(1) | 4318(1) | 18(1) |
| C(14) | 8207(1) | -1229(1) | 3639(1) | 21(1) |
| C(15) | 8403(1) | -2234(1) | 3548(1) | 25(1) |
| C(16) | 8533(1) | -2943(1) | 4119(1) | 28(1) |
| C(17) | 8482(1) | -2662(1) | 4800(1) | 24(1) |
| C(18) | 8277(1) | -1677(1) | 4914(1) | 20(1) |
| C(19) | 8056(1) | -460(1) | 3009(1) | 25(1) |
| C(20) | 7164(1) | -562(2) | 2367(1) | 48(1) |


| $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $8743(1)$ | $-495(1)$ | $2694(1)$ | $36(1)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $8260(1)$ | $-1351(1)$ | $5672(1)$ | $22(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $7942(1)$ | $-2166(1)$ | $6058(1)$ | $31(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $9167(1)$ | $-1002(1)$ | $6224(1)$ | $31(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $5368(1)$ | $203(1)$ | $3391(1)$ | $19(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $4713(1)$ | $930(1)$ | $3062(1)$ | $21(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $3925(1)$ | $622(1)$ | $2475(1)$ | $25(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $3780(1)$ | $-369(1)$ | $2225(1)$ | $25(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $4429(1)$ | $-1080(1)$ | $2562(1)$ | $23(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $5230(1)$ | $-814(1)$ | $3144(1)$ | $20(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $4833(1)$ | $2020(1)$ | $3331(1)$ | $24(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $4851(1)$ | $2700(1)$ | $2693(1)$ | $33(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $4121(1)$ | $2358(1)$ | $3574(1)$ | $37(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $5920(1)$ | $-1599(1)$ | $3534(1)$ | $24(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $5914(1)$ | $-2476(1)$ | $3009(1)$ | $31(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)$ | $5836(1)$ | $-2003(1)$ | $4251(1)$ | $33(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)$ | $8894(1)$ | $1914(1)$ | $5596(1)$ | $31(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(38)$ | $9174(1)$ | $1517(1)$ | $4481(1)$ | $36(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)$ | $5365(1)$ | $-544(2)$ | $837(1)$ | $29(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(40)$ | $4988(1)$ | $178(2)$ | $1197(1)$ | $45(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(39 \mathrm{~A})$ | $4545(3)$ | $652(4)$ | $489(4)$ | $36(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(40 \mathrm{~A})$ | $4988(1)$ | $178(2)$ | $1197(1)$ | $45(1)$ |

Table 3. Bond lengths [A] and angles [deg] for ew1743.

| $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $1.6509(10)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1.9206(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.9292(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $1.9333(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $2.2859(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | $1.4809(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(38)$ | $1.4819(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(39)$ | $1.137(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(39 \mathrm{~A})$ | $1.142(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.3572(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1.3573(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $1.3634(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.4120(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.4127(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.3960(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.5165(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.3892(18)$ |


| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.384(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.3971(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.5140(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.5313(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.5329(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.519(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.533(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1.4066(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $1.4128(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $1.4029(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $1.5142(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $1.383(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $1.392(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $1.5199(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $1.506(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $1.5231(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $1.5288(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $1.5320(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $1.4071(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $1.4155(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $1.4008(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $1.5186(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $1.3855(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $1.3883(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $1.3958(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $1.5117(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $1.5251(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $1.5349(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $1.5297(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | $1.5321(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $1.474(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(40)$ |  |


| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $109.65(4)$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $103.93(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $142.79(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $102.35(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $92.97(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $95.12(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $92.79(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $81.49(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $81.34(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $164.85(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(38)$ | $109.89(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | $113.31(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | $115.75(8)$ |


| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | 150.14(8) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | 158.04(8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | 139.91(7) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 121.89(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 116.79(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 121.32(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 118.02(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 120.06(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 121.79(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 121.38(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 119.82(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 121.40(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 118.06(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 121.29(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 120.65(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 113.34(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 109.92(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 110.71(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 110.99(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 112.41(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 110.97(14) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 121.10(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 117.44(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 121.46(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 118.01(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | 120.76(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | 121.19(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 121.03(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 120.09(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 121.12(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 118.19(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 121.46(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 120.30(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 111.25(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 110.84(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 112.99(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 114.12(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | 109.00(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | 109.91(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 120.76(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | 118.16(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | 120.96(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | 118.15(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | 119.67(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | 122.17(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 121.61(12) |


| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $119.54(11)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $121.29(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $118.44(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $121.09(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $120.39(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $109.82(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $111.62(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $110.53(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | $109.73(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $114.15(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $110.00(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(40)$ | $177.9(2)$ |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:

Table 4. Anisotropic displacement parameters ( $\mathrm{A}^{\wedge} 2 \times 10^{\wedge} 3$ ) for ew1743. The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \mathrm{pi}^{\wedge} 2\left[\mathrm{~h}^{\wedge} 2 \mathrm{a}^{* \wedge} 2 \mathrm{U} 11+\ldots+2\right.$ h k a* ${ }^{*}$ U12 ]

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | U 11 | U 22 | U 33 | U 23 | U 13 | U 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | $21(1)$ | $12(1)$ | $16(1)$ | $1(1)$ | $9(1)$ | $1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $29(1)$ | $22(1)$ | $23(1)$ | $6(1)$ | $14(1)$ | $5(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $26(1)$ | $19(1)$ | $28(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $14(1)$ | $-2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $38(1)$ | $32(1)$ | $30(1)$ | $-2(1)$ | $5(1)$ | $3(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3 \mathrm{~A})$ | $35(2)$ | $33(2)$ | $48(3)$ | $0(2)$ | $9(2)$ | $10(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $27(1)$ | $15(1)$ | $24(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $14(1)$ | $1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $24(1)$ | $15(1)$ | $21(1)$ | $1(1)$ | $11(1)$ | $4(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $22(1)$ | $16(1)$ | $17(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $7(1)$ | $-1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $20(1)$ | $15(1)$ | $25(1)$ | $-4(1)$ | $12(1)$ | $-2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $21(1)$ | $18(1)$ | $24(1)$ | $-4(1)$ | $12(1)$ | $-4(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $29(1)$ | $26(1)$ | $26(1)$ | $-5(1)$ | $15(1)$ | $-3(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $32(1)$ | $27(1)$ | $31(1)$ | $-11(1)$ | $18(1)$ | $-1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $30(1)$ | $18(1)$ | $36(1)$ | $-7(1)$ | $16(1)$ | $1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $23(1)$ | $15(1)$ | $29(1)$ | $-3(1)$ | $13(1)$ | $-1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $28(1)$ | $18(1)$ | $23(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $15(1)$ | $-2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $37(1)$ | $23(1)$ | $28(1)$ | $-5(1)$ | $18(1)$ | $-10(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $34(1)$ | $28(1)$ | $27(1)$ | $3(1)$ | $15(1)$ | $3(1)$ |


| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $32(1)$ | $16(1)$ | $35(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $17(1)$ | $0(1)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $57(1)$ | $63(1)$ | $46(1)$ | $6(1)$ | $19(1)$ | $-32(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $57(1)$ | $35(1)$ | $52(1)$ | $16(1)$ | $31(1)$ | $23(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $17(1)$ | $16(1)$ | $20(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $7(1)$ | $2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $20(1)$ | $22(1)$ | $20(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $8(1)$ | $2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $24(1)$ | $24(1)$ | $28(1)$ | $-7(1)$ | $10(1)$ | $2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $26(1)$ | $18(1)$ | $37(1)$ | $-5(1)$ | $12(1)$ | $2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $23(1)$ | $17(1)$ | $31(1)$ | $3(1)$ | $10(1)$ | $3(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $18(1)$ | $18(1)$ | $22(1)$ | $1(1)$ | $7(1)$ | $1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $28(1)$ | $28(1)$ | $22(1)$ | $1(1)$ | $14(1)$ | $5(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $31(1)$ | $67(1)$ | $35(1)$ | $20(1)$ | $3(1)$ | $-5(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $36(1)$ | $39(1)$ | $41(1)$ | $3(1)$ | $24(1)$ | $1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $22(1)$ | $22(1)$ | $20(1)$ | $4(1)$ | $8(1)$ | $3(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $33(1)$ | $33(1)$ | $25(1)$ | $9(1)$ | $10(1)$ | $-2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $28(1)$ | $37(1)$ | $25(1)$ | $-5(1)$ | $10(1)$ | $-5(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $20(1)$ | $21(1)$ | $16(1)$ | $1(1)$ | $8(1)$ | $-1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $24(1)$ | $22(1)$ | $19(1)$ | $4(1)$ | $10(1)$ | $2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $22(1)$ | $30(1)$ | $22(1)$ | $5(1)$ | $9(1)$ | $3(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $21(1)$ | $33(1)$ | $21(1)$ | $1(1)$ | $7(1)$ | $-3(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $23(1)$ | $26(1)$ | $22(1)$ | $-3(1)$ | $9(1)$ | $-4(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $21(1)$ | $20(1)$ | $19(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $9(1)$ | $-1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $27(1)$ | $20(1)$ | $26(1)$ | $5(1)$ | $12(1)$ | $5(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $42(1)$ | $25(1)$ | $40(1)$ | $8(1)$ | $24(1)$ | $1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $50(1)$ | $26(1)$ | $50(1)$ | $2(1)$ | $35(1)$ | $3(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $22(1)$ | $18(1)$ | $28(1)$ | $-4(1)$ | $7(1)$ | $-1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $37(1)$ | $21(1)$ | $35(1)$ | $-5(1)$ | $14(1)$ | $1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)$ | $41(1)$ | $28(1)$ | $23(1)$ | $3(1)$ | $6(1)$ | $6(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)$ | $25(1)$ | $37(1)$ | $28(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $9(1)$ | $0(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(38)$ | $32(1)$ | $37(1)$ | $49(1)$ | $-12(1)$ | $27(1)$ | $-10(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)$ | $27(1)$ | $31(1)$ | $26(1)$ | $6(1)$ | $6(1)$ | $-2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(40)$ | $43(1)$ | $61(1)$ | $43(1)$ | $19(1)$ | $28(1)$ | $16(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(39 \mathrm{~A})$ | $28(2)$ | $30(3)$ | $56(4)$ | $5(2)$ | $23(2)$ | $5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(40 \mathrm{~A})$ | $43(1)$ | $61(1)$ | $43(1)$ | $19(1)$ | $28(1)$ | $16(1)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5. Hydrogen coordinates ( $\mathrm{x} 10^{\wedge} 4$ ) and isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\mathrm{A}^{\wedge} 2 \mathrm{x}\right.$ $10^{\wedge} 3$ ) for ew1743.

|  | X | y | Z | $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H(2A) | 8416(11) | 2537(14) | 4600(10) | 31(4) |
| $\mathrm{H}(3 \mathrm{~A})$ | 6793 | 2298 | 6940 | 31 |
| H(4A) | 6479 | 4015 | 6866 | 35 |
| H(5A) | 6351 | 4938 | 5788 | 33 |
| H(7A) | 7264 | 872 | 5570 | 26 |
| H(8A) | 5900 | 623 | 6059 | 41 |
| H(8B) | 6243 | -236 | 5668 | 41 |
| H(8C) | 5752 | 729 | 5177 | 41 |
| H(9A) | 8209 | 1027 | 6889 | 43 |
| H(9B) | 7740 | -48 | 6727 | 43 |
| H(9C) | 7423 | 829 | 7123 | 43 |
| H(10A) | 6465 | 3889 | 4086 | 32 |
| H(11A) | 7344 | 5532 | 5064 | 85 |
| H(11B) | 7233 | 5388 | 4195 | 85 |
| H(11C) | 7810 | 4611 | 4850 | 85 |
| H(12A) | 5171 | 4584 | 4077 | 68 |
| H(12B) | 5606 | 5326 | 3680 | 68 |
| H(12C) | 5706 | 5547 | 4537 | 68 |
| H(15A) | 8446 | -2432 | 3087 | 30 |
| H(16A) | 8659 | -3623 | 4045 | 33 |
| H(17A) | 8587 | -3150 | 5193 | 29 |
| H(19A) | 8090 | 222 | 3244 | 30 |
| H(20A) | 7116 | -1209 | 2104 | 72 |
| H(20B) | 7061 | -10 | 1997 | 72 |
| H(20C) | 6733 | -533 | 2582 | 72 |
| H(21A) | 8690 | -1128 | 2412 | 54 |
| H(21B) | 9318 | -456 | 3122 | 54 |
| H(21C) | 8662 | 76 | 2344 | 54 |
| H(22A) | 7862 | -760 | 5562 | 26 |
| H(23A) | 7355 | -2371 | 5707 | 46 |
| H(23B) | 7939 | -1898 | 6535 | 46 |
| H(23C) | 8329 | -2750 | 6178 | 46 |
| H(24A) | 9566 | -1575 | 6358 | 46 |
| H(24B) | 9149 | -727 | 6694 | 46 |
| H(24C) | 9366 | -479 | 5974 | 46 |
| H(27A) | 3479 | 1106 | 2242 | 30 |
| H(28A) | 3240 | -562 | 1827 | 31 |
| H(29A) | 4326 | -1761 | 2392 | 28 |


| $\mathrm{H}(31 \mathrm{~A})$ | 5403 | 2079 | 3788 | 29 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{H}(32 \mathrm{~A})$ | 5327 | 2495 | 2563 | 50 |
| $\mathrm{H}(32 \mathrm{~B})$ | 4938 | 3401 | 2872 | 50 |
| $\mathrm{H}(32 \mathrm{C})$ | 4302 | 2642 | 2235 | 50 |
| $\mathrm{H}(33 \mathrm{~A})$ | 3558 | 2318 | 3129 | 56 |
| $\mathrm{H}(33 \mathrm{~B})$ | 4230 | 3055 | 3761 | 56 |
| $\mathrm{H}(33 \mathrm{C})$ | 4122 | 1917 | 3986 | 56 |
| $\mathrm{H}(34 \mathrm{~A})$ | 6494 | -1257 | 3711 | 28 |
| $\mathrm{H}(35 \mathrm{~A})$ | 5405 | -2900 | 2903 | 47 |
| $\mathrm{H}(35 B)$ | 6440 | -2879 | 3266 | 47 |
| $\mathrm{H}(35 \mathrm{C})$ | 5893 | -2209 | 2523 | 47 |
| $\mathrm{H}(36 \mathrm{~A})$ | 5892 | -1445 | 4605 | 50 |
| $\mathrm{H}(36 B)$ | 6293 | -2500 | 4509 | 50 |
| $\mathrm{H}(36 \mathrm{C})$ | 5269 | -2323 | 4097 | 50 |
| $\mathrm{H}(37 \mathrm{~A})$ | 8953 | 1220 | 5787 | 46 |
| $\mathrm{H}(37 B)$ | 8511 | 2291 | 5766 | 46 |
| $\mathrm{H}(37 \mathrm{C})$ | 9465 | 2236 | 5800 | 46 |
| $\mathrm{H}(38 \mathrm{~A})$ | 9715 | 1893 | 4737 | 54 |
| $\mathrm{H}(38 B)$ | 8956 | 1601 | 3920 | 54 |
| $\mathrm{H}(38 \mathrm{C})$ | 9278 | 801 | 4612 | 54 |
| $\mathrm{H}(40 \mathrm{~A})$ | 5397 | 296 | 1734 | 68 |
| $\mathrm{H}(40 B)$ | 4449 | -97 | 1185 | 68 |
| $\mathrm{H}(40 \mathrm{C})$ | 4868 | 817 | 913 | 68 |
| $\mathrm{H}(40 \mathrm{D})$ | 4573 | -73 | 1388 | 68 |
| $\mathrm{H}(40 \mathrm{E})$ | 5380 | 662 | 1568 | 68 |
| $\mathrm{H}(40 \mathrm{~F})$ | 5324 | -387 | 1135 | 68 |

Table 6. Hydrogen bonds for ew 1743 [A and deg.].

| $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{H} \ldots . \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{H})$ | $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{H} . . . \mathrm{A})$ | $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{D} \ldots \mathrm{A})$ | $<(\mathrm{DHA})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{~A}) \ldots \mathrm{N}(3 \mathrm{~A}) \# 1$ | $0.875(18)$ | $2.289(19)$ | $2.990(5)$ | $137.1(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{~A}) \ldots \mathrm{N}(3) \# 2$ | $0.875(18)$ | $2.494(18)$ | $3.153(2)$ | $132.6(15)$ |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:
$\# 1 \mathrm{x}+1 / 2,-\mathrm{y}+1 / 2, \mathrm{z}+1 / 2 \quad \# 2-\mathrm{x}+3 / 2, \mathrm{y}+1 / 2,-\mathrm{z}+1 / 2$

## Appendix 3

## Crystallographic Data for [ $\left.\mathbf{T B A}_{\mathbf{2}}\right]\left[\mathbf{Z r}(\mathbf{X X X}) \mathbf{C l}_{3}\right]$



Figure A.3. $50 \%$ thermal ellipsoid plot of $[\mathrm{TBA}]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Zr}(\mathrm{XXX}) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}\right]$.

## Structure Determination

Orange block-like crystals of ew1061 were crystallized from a dichloromethane solution at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A crystal of dimensions $0.17 \times 0.11 \times 0.10 \mathrm{~mm}$ was mounted on a standard Bruker SMART APEX CCD-based X-ray diffractometer equipped with a lowtemperature device and fine- focus Mo-target X-ray tube ( $\lambda=0.71073 \mathrm{~A}$ ) operated at 2000 W power ( $50 \mathrm{kV}, 30 \mathrm{~mA}$ ). The X-ray intensities were measured at $85(2) \mathrm{K}$; the detector was placed at a distance 5.055 cm from the crystal. A total of 2610 frames were collected with a scan width of $0.5^{\circ}$ in $\omega$ and $0.45^{\circ}$ in $\phi$ with an exposure time of 30 s/frame. Indexing was performed by use of the CELL_NOW program which indicated that the crystal was a three-component, non-merohedral twin. The frames were integrated with the Bruker SAINT software package with a narrow frame algorithm. The integration of the data yielded a total of 129400 reflections to a maximum $2 \theta$ value of $46.74^{\circ}$ of which 5790 were independent and 4507 were greater than $2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$. The final cell constants (Table 1) were based on the xyz centroids of 4877 reflections above $10 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$. Analysis of the data showed negligible decay during data collection; the data were processed with TWINABS and corrected for absorption. The second twin domain is related by a rotation of 179.4 degrees about the direct and reciprocal [ $\left.\begin{array}{lll}0 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right]$ axis while a third domain is related by a rotation of 176.3 degrees about the same axis. For this refinement, single reflections from component one as well as composite reflections containing a contribution from this component were used. Merging of the data was performed in TWINABS and an HKLF 4 format file used for refinement. The structure was solved and refined with the Bruker SHELXTL (version 2008/3) software package, using the space group $\mathrm{C} 2 / \mathrm{c}$ with $\mathrm{Z}=4$ for the formula $2\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}\right)$,
$\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{Zr} \cdot 2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. The Zr -complex lies on a two-fold rotation axis of the crystal lattice. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically with the hydrogen atoms placed in idealized positions. Full-matrix least-squares refinement based on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ converged at $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0673$ and $\mathrm{wR} 2=0.1299$ [based on $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ], $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0943$ and $w R 2=0.1393$ for all data.

Sheldrick, G.M. SHELXTL, v. 2008/3; Bruker Analytical X-ray, Madison, WI, 2008.
Saint Plus, v. 7.34, Bruker Analytical X-ray, Madison, WI, 2006.
Sheldrick, G.M. CELL_NOW, v. 2008/2, Program for Indexing Twins and Other Problem Crystals, University of Gottingen: Gottingen, Germany, 2008.

Sheldrick, G.M. TWINABS, v. 2008/1. Program for Empirical Absorption Correction of Area Detector Data, University of Gottingen: Gottingen, Germany, 2008.

Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for ew 1061.

| Identification code | ew1061 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Empirical formula | C 78 H 115 Cl 7 N 6 Zr |
| Formula weight | 1476.13 |
| Temperature | $85(2) \mathrm{K}$ |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system, space group | $\mathrm{Monoclinic}, \mathrm{C} 2 / \mathrm{c}$ |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{b}=17.758(2) \mathrm{A}$ alpha $=90 \mathrm{deg}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=31.237(4) \mathrm{A}$ gamma $=90 \mathrm{deg}$. |
| Volume | $7977.3(18) \mathrm{A} \wedge 3$ |


| Z, Calculated density | $4,1.229 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.416 \mathrm{~mm}^{\wedge}-1$ |
| $\mathrm{~F}(000)$ | 3136 |
| Crystal size | $0.17 \times 0.11 \times 0.10 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.82 to 23.37 deg. |
| Limiting indices | $-19<=\mathrm{h}<=19,-16<=\mathrm{k}<=16,-34<=1<=34$ |
| Reflections collected / unique | $129400 / 5790[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0742]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=23.37$ | $99.6 \%$ |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.9596 and 0.9326 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on F^2 |
| Data / restraints / parameters | $5790 / 0 / 425$ |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{\wedge} 2$ | 1.109 |
| Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0673$, wR2 $=0.1299$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0943$, wR2 $=0.1393$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.629 and -0.522 e. $\mathrm{A}^{\wedge}-3$ |

Table 2. Atomic coordinates ( $\times 10^{\wedge} 4$ ) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\mathrm{A}^{\wedge} 2 \times 10^{\wedge} 3$ ) for ew1061. $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized Uij tensor.

|  | x | y | z | $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)$ | 0 | $3764(1)$ | 7500 | $14(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $1355(1)$ | $3816(1)$ | $7422(1)$ | $22(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | 0 | $5494(1)$ | 7500 | $31(1)$ |


| $\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | 9335(1) | 537(1) | 9487(1) | 55(1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(4)$ | 10352(1) | -698(1) | 9101(1) | 74(1) |
| N(1) | 306(2) | 3263(2) | 8195(1) | 16(1) |
| N(2) | 594(2) | 2073(2) | 8664(1) | 17(1) |
| N(3) | 7280(2) | 6280(3) | 7015(1) | 21(1) |
| C(1) | 0 | 2229(4) | 7500 | 13(1) |
| C(2) | 168(2) | 1732(3) | 7886(1) | 16(1) |
| C(3) | 160(2) | 773(3) | 7890(1) | 19(1) |
| C(4) | 0 | 301(4) | 7500 | 18(2) |
| C(5) | 356(2) | 2329(3) | 8259(1) | 15(1) |
| C(6) | 547(2) | 3625(3) | 8609(1) | 16(1) |
| C(7) | 708(2) | 2889(3) | 8887(1) | 18(1) |
| C(8) | 595(2) | 4613(3) | 8706(1) | 16(1) |
| C(9) | 33(3) | 5220(3) | 8546(1) | 21(1) |
| C(10) | 70(3) | 6151(3) | 8656(1) | 26(1) |
| C(11) | 689(3) | 6455(3) | 8946(1) | 26(1) |
| C(12) | 1263(3) | 5859(3) | 9122(1) | 23(1) |
| C(13) | 1225(3) | 4951(3) | 8984(1) | 21(1) |
| C(14) | 952(2) | 2852(3) | 9360(1) | 19(1) |
| C(15) | 725(3) | 3501(3) | 9642(1) | 23(1) |
| C(16) | 956(3) | 3441(4) | 10088(1) | 31(1) |
| C(17) | 1390(3) | 2689(4) | 10245(2) | 31(1) |
| C(18) | 1617(3) | 2018(4) | 9975(1) | 28(1) |
| C(19) | 1406(2) | 2129(3) | 9533(1) | 21(1) |
| C(20) | -549(3) | 6807(3) | 8473(2) | 35(1) |
| C(21) | 1898(3) | 6179(4) | 9463(2) | 33(1) |
| C(22) | 735(3) | 4189(4) | 10382(2) | 40(1) |
| C(23) | 2089(3) | 1204(4) | 10156(2) | 36(1) |
| C(24) | 6978(2) | 6186(3) | 7450(1) | 23(1) |
| C(25) | 7460(3) | 5619(4) | 7787(1) | 31(1) |
| C(26) | 7182(3) | 5699(3) | 8221(1) | 28(1) |
| C(27) | 7625(3) | 5115(4) | 8570(2) | 34(1) |
| C(28) | 8075(2) | 6689(3) | 7074(1) | 24(1) |
| C(29) | 8162(3) | 7597(4) | 7306(2) | 41(1) |
| C(30) | 8964(3) | 7975(4) | 7303(2) | 36(1) |
| C(31) | 9095(3) | 8358(3) | 6871(2) | 33(1) |
| C(32) | 6718(3) | 6898(3) | 6741(1) | 24(1) |
| C(33) | 6910(3) | 7155(3) | 6297(1) | 26(1) |
| C(34) | 6284(3) | 7765(3) | 6064(2) | 29(1) |
| C(35) | 6453(3) | 8083(4) | 5624(2) | 45(2) |
| C(36) | 7359(2) | 5349(3) | 6804(1) | 22(1) |
| C(37) | 6645(3) | 4774(3) | 6708(2) | 32(1) |
| C(38) | 6755(3) | 4033(3) | 6373(2) | 35(1) |
| C(39) | 7375(3) | 3350(3) | 6513(2) | 32(1) |
| C(40) | 10255(3) | 386(4) | 9343(2) | 45(2) |

Table 3. Bond lengths [A] and angles [deg] for ew1061.

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $2.229(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1) \# 1$ | $2.280(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $2.280(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $2.4530(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1) \# 1$ | $2.4530(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $2.5139(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(3)-\mathrm{C}(40)$ | $1.770(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(4)-\mathrm{C}(40)$ | $1.765(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.372(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.407(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.327(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.376(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $1.514(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $1.518(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | $1.519(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $1.536(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2) \# 1$ | $1.401(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.401(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.394(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.454(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.392(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3) \# 1$ | $1.392(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.380(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.468(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1.480(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.372(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1.405(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.395(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.392(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $1.505(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.389(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1.386(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $1.511(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $1.387(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $1.388(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $1.398(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $1.386(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $1.5127)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $1.387(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $1.387(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $1.511(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $1.504(6)$ |
|  |  |
|  |  |


| C(25)-C(26) | 1.514(6) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | 1.510(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | 1.502(7) |
| C(29)-C(30) | $1.528(7)$ |
| C(30)-C(31) | 1.508(7) |
| C(32)-C(33) | 1.520 (6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | 1.522(6) |
| C(34)-C(35) | 1.519(6) |
| C(36)-C(37) | 1.513(6) |
| C(37)-C(38) | 1.531(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(39)$ | 1.500(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1) \# 1$ | 71.38(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 71.38(9) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1) \# 1-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 142.75(18) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | 91.78(3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1) \# 1-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | 91.14(9) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | 90.00(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1) \# 1$ | 91.78(3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1) \# 1-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1) \# 1$ | 90.00(9) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1) \# 1$ | 91.14(9) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1) \# 1$ | 176.45(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | 180.000(1) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1) \# 1-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | 108.62(9) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | 108.62(9) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | 88.22(3) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1) \# 1-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | 88.22(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 103.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)$ | 117.2(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)$ | 139.2(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 104.2(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | 111.4(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | 112.2(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | 105.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | 105.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | 111.2(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | 111.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2) \# 1-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 117.8(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2) \# 1-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)$ | 121.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)$ | 121.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 121.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 126.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 112.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 118.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3) \# 1$ | 121.1(6) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 114.9(4) |

$\left.\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(2) & 127.0(4) \\ \mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(2) & 118.0(3) \\ \mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{N}(1) & 107.3(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(8) & 128.9(4) \\ \mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(8) & 123.8(4) \\ \mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6) & 110.3(3) \\ \mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(14) & 118.4(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(14) & 131.3(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(13) & 118.3(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(6) & 122.5(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(6) & 119.1(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10) & 121.8(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9) & 118.2(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(20) & 120.9(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(20) & 120.9(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(10) & 121.8(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11) & 118.0(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(21) & 120.6(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(21) & 121.3(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(8) & 121.6(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15) & 118.3(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(7) & 119.1(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(7) & 111.7(3) \\ \mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16) & 116.7 .9(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15) & 121.2(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(22) & 118.2(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(22) & 122.0(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18) & 119.8(5) \\ \mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19) & 122.3(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(23) & 117.6(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(23) & 121.1(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(18) & 121.3(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{N}(3) & 122.3(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26) & 115.7(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25) & 111.1(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{N}(3) & 113.6(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(30) & 115.6(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(29) & 110.1(4) \\ \mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33) & 113.6(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34) & \mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(33) \\ \mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{N}(3) & \mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(38) \\ \mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(37) & \mathrm{Cl}(4)-\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{Cl}(3)\end{array}\right)$

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:
\#1-x,y,-z+3/2
Table 4. Anisotropic displacement parameters ( $\mathrm{A}^{\wedge} 2 \times 10^{\wedge} 3$ ) for ew1061. The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \mathrm{pi}^{\wedge} 2\left[\mathrm{~h}^{\wedge} 2 \mathrm{a}^{* \wedge} 2 \mathrm{U} 11+\ldots+2 \mathrm{hk} \mathrm{a}^{*} \mathrm{~b}^{*} \mathrm{U} 12\right]$

## U11 U22 U33 U23 U13 U12

| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)$ | $14(1)$ | $15(1)$ | $12(1)$ | 0 | $2(1)$ | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $15(1)$ | $25(1)$ | $25(1)$ | $-2(1)$ | $3(1)$ | $-2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $42(1)$ | $16(1)$ | $40(1)$ | 0 | $21(1)$ | 0 |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | $46(1)$ | $70(1)$ | $49(1)$ | $10(1)$ | $7(1)$ | $-6(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(4)$ | $79(1)$ | $54(1)$ | $89(1)$ | $-11(1)$ | $4(1)$ | $6(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $12(2)$ | $20(2)$ | $16(2)$ | $-4(2)$ | $4(1)$ | $-1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $16(2)$ | $18(2)$ | $15(2)$ | $4(2)$ | $1(1)$ | $1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $16(2)$ | $24(2)$ | $23(2)$ | $-3(2)$ | $4(2)$ | $-1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $5(3)$ | $14(3)$ | $21(3)$ | 0 | $5(2)$ | 0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $11(2)$ | $17(3)$ | $20(2)$ | $2(2)$ | $3(2)$ | $0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $14(2)$ | $27(3)$ | $15(2)$ | $4(2)$ | $-1(2)$ | $2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $17(3)$ | $13(3)$ | $24(3)$ | 0 | $0(3)$ | 0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $14(2)$ | $19(3)$ | $13(2)$ | $-1(2)$ | $3(2)$ | $2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $13(2)$ | $19(3)$ | $16(2)$ | $-6(2)$ | $1(2)$ | $1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $15(2)$ | $22(3)$ | $15(2)$ | $1(2)$ | $2(2)$ | $0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $16(2)$ | $23(3)$ | $11(2)$ | $1(2)$ | $6(2)$ | $-2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $22(3)$ | $27(3)$ | $14(2)$ | $-2(2)$ | $1(2)$ | $2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $29(3)$ | $23(3)$ | $26(2)$ | $1(2)$ | $7(2)$ | $4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $37(3)$ | $14(3)$ | $28(3)$ | $-3(2)$ | $6(2)$ | $-8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $26(3)$ | $29(3)$ | $14(2)$ | $1(2)$ | $4(2)$ | $-11(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $22(3)$ | $28(3)$ | $13(2)$ | $3(2)$ | $4(2)$ | $-3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $17(2)$ | $25(3)$ | $16(2)$ | $4(2)$ | $2(2)$ | $-6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $20(3)$ | $32(3)$ | $17(2)$ | $3(2)$ | $-1(2)$ | $-5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $27(3)$ | $43(3)$ | $22(3)$ | $1(2)$ | $4(2)$ | $-8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $31(3)$ | $43(3)$ | $16(2)$ | $9(2)$ | $-3(2)$ | $-11(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $21(3)$ | $39(3)$ | $21(3)$ | $8(2)$ | $-4(2)$ | $-10(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $21(3)$ | $18(3)$ | $23(2)$ | $2(2)$ | $1(2)$ | $-7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $35(3)$ | $25(3)$ | $44(3)$ | $-3(2)$ | $1(2)$ | $2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $38(3)$ | $33(3)$ | $30(3)$ | $-4(2)$ | $8(2)$ | $-11(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $50(3)$ | $54(4)$ | $18(3)$ | $-8(2)$ | $8(2)$ | $-5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $32(3)$ | $48(3)$ | $26(3)$ | $14(2)$ | $-6(2)$ | $-7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $21(2)$ | $31(3)$ | $19(2)$ | $0(2)$ | $8(2)$ | $-1(2)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $27(3)$ | $44(3)$ | $21(2)$ | $-1(2)$ | $2(2)$ | $9(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $30(3)$ | $26(3)$ | $29(3)$ | $2(2)$ | $9(2)$ | $-1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $29(3)$ | $42(3)$ | $29(3)$ | $-1(2)$ | $1(2)$ | $-4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $16(2)$ | $32(3)$ | $24(2)$ | $-7(2)$ | $8(2)$ | $-1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $30(3)$ | $45(3)$ | $53(3)$ | $-27(3)$ | $22(2)$ | $-19(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $28(3)$ | $41(3)$ | $42(3)$ | $-19(2)$ | $15(2)$ | $-14(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $28(3)$ | $22(3)$ | $49(3)$ | $-3(2)$ | $2(2)$ | $-2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $20(3)$ | $23(3)$ | $30(3)$ | $4(2)$ | $4(2)$ | $4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $21(3)$ | $28(3)$ | $30(3)$ | $1(2)$ | $7(2)$ | $-1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $26(3)$ | $29(3)$ | $33(3)$ | $7(2)$ | $7(2)$ | $7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $39(3)$ | $61(4)$ | $36(3)$ | $23(3)$ | $12(2)$ | $18(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)$ | $25(3)$ | $23(3)$ | $21(2)$ | $-4(2)$ | $5(2)$ | $3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)$ | $27(3)$ | $29(3)$ | $42(3)$ | $-7(2)$ | $10(2)$ | $-5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(38)$ | $27(3)$ | $30(3)$ | $47(3)$ | $-9(2)$ | $1(2)$ | $-7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)$ | $42(3)$ | $27(3)$ | $29(3)$ | $-2(2)$ | $12(2)$ | $-4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(40)$ | $40(3)$ | $48(4)$ | $44(3)$ | $2(3)$ | $0(3)$ | $-15(3)$ |

Table 5. Hydrogen coordinates ( $x 10^{\wedge} 4$ ) and isotropic displacement parameters ( $\mathrm{A}^{\wedge} 2 \times 10^{\wedge} 3$ ) for ew1061.

|  | X | y | Z | U(eq) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H(3A) | 263 | 446 | 8156 | 23 |
| H(4) | 0 | -353 | 7500 | 22 |
| H(9A) | -393 | 5001 | 8354 | 26 |
| H(11A) | 720 | 7086 | 9025 | 32 |
| H(13A) | 1635 | 4548 | 9081 | 25 |
| H(15A) | 405 | 3995 | 9529 | 28 |
| H(17A) | 1538 | 2631 | 10548 | 37 |
| H(19A) | 1579 | 1694 | 9342 | 25 |
| H(20A) | -454 | 7413 | 8607 | 53 |
| H(20B) | -1042 | 6575 | 8531 | 53 |
| H(20C) | -555 | 6861 | 8160 | 53 |
| H(21A) | 1762 | 6056 | 9751 | 50 |
| H(21B) | 1978 | 6842 | 9430 | 50 |
| H(21C) | 2367 | 5848 | 9429 | 50 |
| H(22A) | 187 | 4156 | 10394 | 60 |
| H(22B) | 860 | 4791 | 10271 | 60 |
| H(22C) | 1014 | 4103 | 10674 | 60 |
| H(23A) | 2282 | 876 | 9920 | 54 |


| H(23B) | 1773 | 788 | 10301 | 54 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H(23C) | 2518 | 1421 | 10364 | 54 |
| H(24A) | 6924 | 6810 | 7569 | 28 |
| H(24B) | 6464 | 5909 | 7396 | 28 |
| H(25A) | 7995 | 5830 | 7813 | 37 |
| H(25B) | 7443 | 4966 | 7695 | 37 |
| H(26A) | 7216 | 6351 | 8314 | 33 |
| H(26B) | 6640 | 5516 | 8188 | 33 |
| H(27A) | 7560 | 4463 | 8492 | 51 |
| H(27B) | 7436 | 5225 | 8845 | 51 |
| H(27C) | 8165 | 5277 | 8599 | 51 |
| H(28A) | 8428 | 6242 | 7237 | 29 |
| H(28B) | 8235 | 6767 | 6785 | 29 |
| H(29A) | 8067 | 7516 | 7608 | 49 |
| H(29B) | 7784 | 8040 | 7162 | 49 |
| H(30A) | 9060 | 8467 | 7523 | 43 |
| H(30B) | 9336 | 7476 | 7386 | 43 |
| H(31A) | 9009 | 7874 | 6651 | 50 |
| H(31B) | 9619 | 8582 | 6890 | 50 |
| H(31C) | 8741 | 8868 | 6790 | 50 |
| H(32A) | 6665 | 7472 | 6904 | 29 |
| H(32B) | 6216 | 6588 | 6701 | 29 |
| H(33A) | 6959 | 6591 | 6126 | 31 |
| H(33B) | 7402 | 7487 | 6329 | 31 |
| H(34A) | 5799 | 7420 | 6026 | 35 |
| H(34B) | 6221 | 8310 | 6245 | 35 |
| H(35A) | 6933 | 8428 | 5660 | 67 |
| H(35B) | 6040 | 8481 | 5490 | 67 |
| H(35C) | 6496 | 7547 | 5439 | 67 |
| H(36A) | 7563 | 5451 | 6529 | 27 |
| H(36B) | 7742 | 4987 | 6995 | 27 |
| H(37A) | 6210 | 5172 | 6595 | 39 |
| H(37B) | 6531 | 4479 | 6977 | 39 |
| H(38A) | 6270 | 3695 | 6300 | 42 |
| H(38B) | 6866 | 4341 | 6107 | 42 |
| H(39A) | 7863 | 3672 | 6574 | 48 |
| H(39B) | 7398 | 2901 | 6281 | 48 |
| H(39C) | 7268 | 3032 | 6774 | 48 |
| H(40A) | 10354 | 877 | 9139 | 54 |
| H(40B) | 10639 | 442 | 9605 | 54 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix 4

## Crystallographic Data for $[\mathbf{T B A}]_{2}\left[\mathbf{Z r}(\mathbf{X X X}) \mathbf{B r}_{3}\right]$



Figure A.4. $50 \%$ thermal ellipsoid plot of $[\mathrm{TBA}]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Zr}(\mathrm{XXX}) \mathrm{Br}_{3}\right]$.

## Structure Determination.

Orange plates of ew1170 were grown from a dichloromethane solution at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A crystal of dimensions $0.20 \times 0.16 \times 0.13 \mathrm{~mm}$ was mounted on a Bruker SMART APEX CCD-based X-ray diffractometer equipped with a low temperature device and fine focus Mo-target X-ray tube ( $\lambda=0.71073 \mathrm{~A}$ ) operated at 1500 W power $(50 \mathrm{kV}, 30 \mathrm{~mA})$. The X-ray intensities were measured at $85(1) \mathrm{K}$; the detector was placed at a distance 5.055 cm from the crystal. A total of 3855 frames were collected with a scan width of $0.5^{\circ}$ in $\omega$ and $0.45^{\circ}$ in phi with an exposure time of $25 \mathrm{~s} /$ frame. The integration of the data yielded a total of 137132 reflections to a maximum $2 \theta$ value of $56.76^{\circ}$ of which 10356 were independent and 8599 were greater than $2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$. The final cell constants (Table 1) were based on the xyz centroids of 9278 reflections above $10 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$. Analysis of the data showed negligible decay during data collection; the data were processed with SADABS and corrected for absorption. The structure was solved and refined with the Bruker SHELXTL (version 2008/3) software package, using the space group $\mathrm{C} 2 / \mathrm{c}$ with $\mathrm{Z}=4$ for the formula $2\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Br} \mathrm{Z}_{3} \mathrm{Zr}\right) \cdot\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)_{1.5}$. The Zr -complex lies on a twofold axis in the crystal lattice. The tetrabutylammomium cations are disordered as well as the dichloromethane solvates. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically with the hydrogen atoms placed in idealized positions. Full matrix least-squares refinement based on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ converged at $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0588$ and $\mathrm{wR} 2=0.1595[$ based on $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})], \mathrm{R} 1=$ 0.0712 and $w R 2=0.1692$ for all data .

Sheldrick, G.M. SHELXTL, v. 2008/3; Bruker Analytical X-ray, Madison, WI, 2008.
Sheldrick, G.M. SADABS, v. 2008/1. Program for Empirical Absorption Correction of Area Detector Data, University of Gottingen: Gottingen, Germany, 2008.

Saint Plus, v. 7.53a, Bruker Analytical X-ray, Madison, WI, 2008.

Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for ew1170.

| Identification code | ew1170 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C86.50 H113 Br3 Cl3.50 N6 Zr |
| Formula weight | 1691.85 |
| Temperature | 85(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system, space group | Monoclinic, C2/c |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=17.6952(18) \mathrm{A} \text { alpha }=90 \mathrm{deg} . \\ & \mathrm{b}=15.2772(15) \mathrm{A} \text { beta }=99.520(2) \text { deg. } . \\ & \mathrm{c}=31.048(3) \mathrm{A} \quad \text { gamma }=90 \mathrm{deg} . \end{aligned}$ |
| Volume | 8277.7(14) A^3 |
| Z, Calculated density | $4,1.358 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 3$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $1.741 \mathrm{~mm}^{\wedge}-1$ |
| $F(000)$ | 3514 |
| Crystal size | $0.20 \times 0.16 \times 0.13 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.77 to 28.38 deg. |
| Limiting indices | $-23<=\mathrm{h}<=23,-20<=\mathrm{k}<=20,-41<=1<=41$ |
| Reflections collected / unique | $137172 / 10356[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0455]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=28.38$ | 99.7 \% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.8053 and 0.7222 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{\wedge} 2$ |


| Data / restraints / parameters | $10356 / 53 / 543$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{\wedge} 2$ | 1.052 |
| Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0588, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1595$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0712, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1692$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 1.014 and $-1.022 \mathrm{e} . \mathrm{A}^{\wedge}-3$ |

Table 2. Atomic coordinates ( $\times 10^{\wedge} 4$ ) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\mathrm{A}^{\wedge} 2 \times 10^{\wedge} 3$ ) for ew 1170 .
$\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized Uij tensor.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | x | y | z | $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)$ | 0 | $3789(1)$ | 7500 | $22(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Br}(1)$ | $1452(1)$ | $3888(1)$ | $7456(1)$ | $42(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Br}(2)$ | 0 | $5542(1)$ | 7500 | $39(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $304(2)$ | $3318(2)$ | $8203(1)$ | $30(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $545(2)$ | $2178(2)$ | $8679(1)$ | $42(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $7335(2)$ | $6498(3)$ | $6994(1)$ | $54(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 0 | $2323(3)$ | 7500 | $33(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $155(2)$ | $1862(2)$ | $7890(1)$ | $41(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $155(3)$ | $946(3)$ | $7895(2)$ | $58(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 0 | $508(4)$ | 7500 | $71(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $326(2)$ | $2418(2)$ | $8271(1)$ | $36(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $544(2)$ | $3653(2)$ | $8620(1)$ | $33(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $682(2)$ | $2951(3)$ | $8907(1)$ | $41(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $645(2)$ | $4591(2)$ | $8724(1)$ | $33(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $89(2)$ | $5205(2)$ | $8571(1)$ | $32(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $189(2)$ | $6081(2)$ | $8688(1)$ | $38(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $846(2)$ | $6321(3)$ | $8978(1)$ | $45(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1396(2)$ | $5722(3)$ | $9144(1)$ | $45(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1307(2)$ | $4862(3)$ | $9005(1)$ | $41(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $915(2)$ | $2924(3)$ | $9387(1)$ | $48(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $711(2)$ | $3570(3)$ | $9657(1)$ | $49(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $944(2)$ | $3547(4)$ | $10111(1)$ | $59(1)$ |


| $\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $1365(2)$ | $2830(4)$ | $10286(2)$ | $72(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $1570(2)$ | $2164(4)$ | $10027(2)$ | $73(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $1349(2)$ | $2219(3)$ | $9578(2)$ | $59(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $-408(3)$ | $6744(3)$ | $8512(1)$ | $47(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $2077(3)$ | $5968(4)$ | $9487(1)$ | $60(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $739(3)$ | $4274(4)$ | $10392(1)$ | $74(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $2021(3)$ | $1363(4)$ | $10221(2)$ | $90(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $7039(3)$ | $6431(3)$ | $7431(1)$ | $52(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $7533(4)$ | $5895(4)$ | $7782(2)$ | $95(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $7231(4)$ | $5935(4)$ | $8207(2)$ | $67(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $7591(5)$ | $5387(5)$ | $8564(2)$ | $56(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27 \mathrm{~A})$ | $7321(6)$ | $6810(9)$ | $8430(3)$ | $55(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $8125(2)$ | $6916(4)$ | $7050(2)$ | $73(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $8201(3)$ | $7804(6)$ | $7259(2)$ | $107(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $9001(3)$ | $8215(6)$ | $7252(2)$ | $94(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $9138(3)$ | $8518(4)$ | $6824(2)$ | $76(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $6755(2)$ | $7062(3)$ | $6701(1)$ | $39(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $6934(2)$ | $7285(4)$ | $6257(1)$ | $64(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $6312(2)$ | $7859(4)$ | $6010(1)$ | $55(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $6486(5)$ | $8201(7)$ | $5569(2)$ | $92(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(35 \mathrm{~A})$ | $6523(15)$ | $8690(12)$ | $6184(7)$ | $85(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)$ | $7419(4)$ | $5619(3)$ | $6793(2)$ | $76(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)$ | $6691(7)$ | $5099(5)$ | $6740(3)$ | $99(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(38)$ | $6977(7)$ | $4298(5)$ | $6471(3)$ | $80(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)$ | $6349(8)$ | $3654(6)$ | $6439(3)$ | $143(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(37 \mathrm{~A})$ | $6776(13)$ | $4937(11)$ | $6745(7)$ | $91(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(38 \mathrm{~A})$ | $6525(9)$ | $4248(11)$ | $6375(5)$ | $60(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(39 \mathrm{~A})$ | $7127(8)$ | $3526(7)$ | $6523(4)$ | $42(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $4332(3)$ | $4885(3)$ | $5840(1)$ | $116(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $5799(2)$ | $5459(2)$ | $5626(1)$ | $71(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(40)$ | $4849(5)$ | $5712(7)$ | $5643(4)$ | $58(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | $4332(3)$ | $4885(3)$ | $5840(1)$ | $116(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | $5799(2)$ | $5459(2)$ | $5626(1)$ | $71(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(40 \mathrm{~A})$ | $5158(10)$ | $5524(17)$ | $5971(8)$ | $28(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(4)$ | $6235(2)$ | $6951(3)$ | $4820(1)$ | $115(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | $5593(2)$ | $5706(2)$ | $5356(1)$ | $60(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)$ | $5376(4)$ | $6561(5)$ | $4990(3)$ | $48(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(6)$ | $2970(4)$ | $4895(5)$ | $5738(3)$ | $115(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(5)$ | $4374(5)$ | $4091(11)$ | $5693(6)$ | $190(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(42)$ | $3334(4)$ | $3851(5)$ | $5571(2)$ | $46(2)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3. Bond lengths [A] and angles [deg] for ew1170.

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $2.239(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1) \# 1$ | $2.278(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $2.278(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Br}(1)$ | $2.6007(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Br}(1) \# 1$ | $2.6007(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Br}(2)$ | $2.6789(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.389(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.392(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.316(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.378(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | $1.498(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $1.521(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $1.522(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $1.536(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2) \# 1$ | $1.389(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.389(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.400(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.446(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.384(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3) \# 1$ | $1.384(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.389(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.472(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1.480(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.385(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1.404(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.390(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.397(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $1.499(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.373(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1.384(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $1.517(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $1.382(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $1.396(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $1.401(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $1.383(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $1.494(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $1.381(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $1.583(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $1.520(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $1.504(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ |  |
|  |  |


| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(27 \mathrm{~A})$ | 1.501(14) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | 1.501(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | 1.552(8) |
| C(30)-C(31) | 1.466(8) |
| C(32)-C(33) | 1.503(6) |
| C(33)-C(34) | $1.515(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35 \mathrm{~A})$ | 1.41(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)$ | 1.543(8) |
| C(36)-C(37) | 1.499(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(37 \mathrm{~A})$ | 1.531(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(38)$ | $1.609(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(39)$ | 1.475(12) |
| C(37A)-C(38A) | 1.567(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(38 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(39 \mathrm{~A})$ | 1.551(16) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{C}(40)$ | 1.729(11) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2)-\mathrm{C}(40)$ | 1.734(9) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(4)-\mathrm{C}(41)$ | 1.791(9) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(3)-\mathrm{C}(41)$ | 1.733(8) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(6)-\mathrm{C}(42)$ | 1.827(10) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(5)-\mathrm{C}(42)$ | 1.853(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1) \# 1$ | 71.59(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 71.59(7) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1) \# 1-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 143.18(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Br}(1)$ | 93.341(12) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1) \# 1-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Br}(1)$ | 92.65(7) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Br}(1)$ | 89.46(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Br}(1) \# 1$ | 93.341(12) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1) \# 1-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Br}(1) \# 1$ | 89.46(7) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Br}(1) \# 1$ | 92.65(7) |
| $\operatorname{Br}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Br}(1) \# 1$ | 173.32(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Br}(2)$ | 180.000(1) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1) \# 1-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Br}(2)$ | 108.41(7) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Br}(2)$ | 108.41(7) |
| $\operatorname{Br}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Br}(2)$ | 86.659(12) |
| $\operatorname{Br}(1) \# 1-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Br}(2)$ | 86.659(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 103.2(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)$ | 116.9(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)$ | 139.7(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 104.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | 105.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | 111.2(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | 110.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | 112.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | 111.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | 105.7(3) |


| $\mathrm{C}(2) \# 1-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $119.1(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(2) \# 1-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)$ | $120.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)$ | $120.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $121.0(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $113.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $125.4(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $118.3(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3) \# 1$ | $122.2(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $114.7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $127.7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $117.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $107.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $127.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $124.6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $109.6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $119.3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $131.1(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $119.0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $122.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $118.8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $120.8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $118.5(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $120.3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $121.2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $122.0(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $118.6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $122.0(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $119.4(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $120.9(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $118.4(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $122.3(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $119.3(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $121.9(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $117.7(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $121.8(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $120.5(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | C |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $122.0(4)$ |


| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $122.1(5)$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $119.0(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $121.1(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $115.5(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $111.2(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(27 \mathrm{~A})$ | $99.3(6)$ |


| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $118.1(6)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(27 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $114.4(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $116.3(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $112.1(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $115.3(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $116.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $110.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(35 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $101.7(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(35 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $87.6(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $114.3(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | $112.2(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(37 \mathrm{~A})$ | $121.8(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(37 \mathrm{~A})$ | $10.9(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(38)$ | $97.0(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | $104.2(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(37 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(38 \mathrm{~A})$ | $130.1(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(39 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(38 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(37 \mathrm{~A})$ | $99.4(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $114.9(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(3)-\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{Cl}(4)$ | $109.7(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(6)-\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{Cl}(5)$ | $98.9(7)$ |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:
\#1 -x,y,-z+3/2

Table 4. Anisotropic displacement parameters ( $\mathrm{A}^{\wedge} 2 \times 10^{\wedge} 3$ ) for ew1170. The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \mathrm{pi}^{\wedge} 2\left[\mathrm{~h}^{\wedge} 2 \mathrm{a}^{* \wedge} 2 \mathrm{U} 11+\ldots+2 \mathrm{hk} \mathrm{a}^{*} \mathrm{~b}^{*} \mathrm{U} 12\right]$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U11 | U 22 | U 33 | U 23 | U 13 | U 12 |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)$ | $20(1)$ | $16(1)$ | $32(1)$ | 0 | $10(1)$ | 0 |
| $\operatorname{Br}(1)$ | $26(1)$ | $41(1)$ | $63(1)$ | $-12(1)$ | $19(1)$ | $-4(1)$ |
| $\operatorname{Br}(2)$ | $63(1)$ | $18(1)$ | $41(1)$ | 0 | $28(1)$ | 0 |
| $\mathrm{~N}(1)$ | $26(1)$ | $26(1)$ | $37(1)$ | $5(1)$ | $6(1)$ | $-4(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $29(1)$ | $40(2)$ | $55(2)$ | $20(1)$ | $2(1)$ | $-4(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $55(2)$ | $74(2)$ | $30(2)$ | $-11(2)$ | $-2(1)$ | $38(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $29(2)$ | $19(2)$ | $51(3)$ | 0 | $11(2)$ | 0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $37(2)$ | $22(2)$ | $64(2)$ | $7(2)$ | $8(2)$ | $-3(1)$ |


| C(3) | $63(3)$ | $22(2)$ | $87(3)$ | $14(2)$ | $5(2)$ | $-5(2)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $80(5)$ | $18(2)$ | $110(6)$ | 0 | $4(4)$ | 0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $28(2)$ | $28(2)$ | $52(2)$ | $12(1)$ | $7(1)$ | $-4(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $24(1)$ | $40(2)$ | $34(2)$ | $9(1)$ | $1(1)$ | $-6(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $25(2)$ | $51(2)$ | $44(2)$ | $20(2)$ | $-1(1)$ | $-6(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $31(2)$ | $44(2)$ | $24(1)$ | $5(1)$ | $2(1)$ | $-12(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $35(2)$ | $38(2)$ | $24(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $3(1)$ | $-12(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $49(2)$ | $39(2)$ | $26(2)$ | $-3(1)$ | $10(1)$ | $-15(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $62(2)$ | $49(2)$ | $25(2)$ | $-8(1)$ | $12(2)$ | $-29(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $44(2)$ | $69(2)$ | $20(1)$ | $0(2)$ | $4(1)$ | $-30(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $35(2)$ | $59(2)$ | $26(2)$ | $8(2)$ | $2(1)$ | $-19(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $29(2)$ | $65(2)$ | $44(2)$ | $28(2)$ | $-6(2)$ | $-11(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $34(2)$ | $74(3)$ | $35(2)$ | $26(2)$ | $-5(1)$ | $-15(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $40(2)$ | $98(3)$ | $37(2)$ | $32(2)$ | $-1(2)$ | $-21(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $38(2)$ | $121(4)$ | $50(2)$ | $58(3)$ | $-8(2)$ | $-22(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $29(2)$ | $100(4)$ | $82(3)$ | $67(3)$ | $-10(2)$ | $-14(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $32(2)$ | $73(3)$ | $68(3)$ | $39(2)$ | $-6(2)$ | $-10(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $64(3)$ | $36(2)$ | $44(2)$ | $-8(2)$ | $12(2)$ | $-8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $59(2)$ | $92(3)$ | $27(2)$ | $-1(2)$ | $0(2)$ | $-46(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $72(3)$ | $124(5)$ | $24(2)$ | $19(2)$ | $4(2)$ | $-17(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $39(2)$ | $114(4)$ | $109(4)$ | $83(4)$ | $-13(2)$ | $-11(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $67(3)$ | $57(2)$ | $30(2)$ | $-8(2)$ | $2(2)$ | $30(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $147(5)$ | $99(4)$ | $34(2)$ | $-8(2)$ | $-5(3)$ | $86(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $83(4)$ | $61(3)$ | $53(3)$ | $15(2)$ | $4(2)$ | $7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $55(4)$ | $59(4)$ | $47(4)$ | $11(3)$ | $-11(3)$ | $3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27 \mathrm{~A})$ | $42(5)$ | $89(9)$ | $33(5)$ | $2(5)$ | $2(4)$ | $19(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $33(2)$ | $143(5)$ | $40(2)$ | $-12(3)$ | $-2(2)$ | $41(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $32(2)$ | $211(7)$ | $81(3)$ | $-88(4)$ | $16(2)$ | $-10(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $25(2)$ | $200(8)$ | $58(3)$ | $-43(4)$ | $8(2)$ | $-6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $77(3)$ | $75(3)$ | $71(3)$ | $-18(3)$ | $-1(3)$ | $30(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $30(2)$ | $50(2)$ | $36(2)$ | $-4(2)$ | $3(1)$ | $16(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $44(2)$ | $114(4)$ | $33(2)$ | $-2(2)$ | $2(2)$ | $43(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $42(2)$ | $81(3)$ | $42(2)$ | $4(2)$ | $3(2)$ | $25(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $84(5)$ | $146(8)$ | $50(4)$ | $38(4)$ | $21(3)$ | $73(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(35 \mathrm{~A})$ | $123(18)$ | $50(9)$ | $80(13)$ | $16(9)$ | $16(12)$ | $34(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)$ | $123(4)$ | $67(3)$ | $36(2)$ | $-10(2)$ | $4(2)$ | $57(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)$ | $222(9)$ | $21(3)$ | $76(5)$ | $-14(3)$ | $86(6)$ | $7(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(38)$ | $145(8)$ | $48(4)$ | $57(4)$ | $-12(3)$ | $46(5)$ | $-11(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)$ | $288(14)$ | $74(5)$ | $90(6)$ | $-54(4)$ | $96(8)$ | $-101(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(37 \mathrm{~A})$ | $177(14)$ | $27(7)$ | $70(11)$ | $21(6)$ | $22(13)$ | $45(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(38 \mathrm{~A})$ | $46(8)$ | $94(11)$ | $43(8)$ | $8(7)$ | $18(6)$ | $-2(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(39 \mathrm{~A})$ | $66(8)$ | $32(5)$ | $28(5)$ | $-8(4)$ | $10(5)$ | $-31(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $137(3)$ | $115(3)$ | $110(3)$ | $-46(2)$ | $62(2)$ | $-41(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $52(1)$ | $86(2)$ | $71(2)$ | $-16(1)$ | $3(1)$ | $16(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(40)$ | $58(5)$ | $61(6)$ | $55(6)$ | $-23(5)$ | $12(5)$ | $21(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | $137(3)$ | $115(3)$ | $110(3)$ | $-46(2)$ | $62(2)$ | $-41(3)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\mathrm{Cl}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | $52(1)$ | $86(2)$ | $71(2)$ | $-16(1)$ | $3(1)$ | $16(1)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(40 \mathrm{~A})$ | $28(9)$ | $18(9)$ | $32(9)$ | $-9(6)$ | $-11(6)$ | $4(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(4)$ | $89(2)$ | $136(3)$ | $109(3)$ | $57(2)$ | $-18(2)$ | $-31(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | $59(1)$ | $57(1)$ | $64(1)$ | $7(1)$ | $10(1)$ | $-4(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)$ | $39(4)$ | $33(4)$ | $69(5)$ | $-8(3)$ | $2(3)$ | $14(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(6)$ | $98(4)$ | $118(4)$ | $147(6)$ | $-70(4)$ | $73(4)$ | $-54(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(5)$ | $74(4)$ | $205(12)$ | $303(18)$ | $-8(13)$ | $64(7)$ | $-30(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(42)$ | $33(3)$ | $69(4)$ | $34(3)$ | $26(3)$ | $2(3)$ | $-1(3)$ |

Table 5. Hydrogen coordinates ( $\times 10^{\wedge} 4$ ) and isotropic displacement parameters ( $\mathrm{A}^{\wedge} 2 \times 10^{\wedge} 3$ ) for ew 1170 .

|  | x | y | z | U(eq) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H(3A) | 259 | 633 | 8163 | 70 |
| H(4) | 0 | -114 | 7500 | 85 |
| $\mathrm{H}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | -365 | 5026 | 8385 | 39 |
| H(11A) | 915 | 6918 | 9062 | 54 |
| H(13A) | 1700 | 4449 | 9102 | 49 |
| H(15A) | 403 | 4045 | 9532 | 59 |
| H(17A) | 1519 | 2795 | 10593 | 86 |
| H (19A) | 1495 | 1770 | 9397 | 71 |
| $\mathrm{H}(20 \mathrm{~A})$ | -301 | 7296 | 8672 | 71 |
| H(20B) | -914 | 6528 | 8550 | 71 |
| H (20C) | -397 | 6842 | 8202 | 71 |
| H(21A) | 1995 | 5753 | 9773 | 90 |
| H(21B) | 2132 | 6607 | 9497 | 90 |
| $\mathrm{H}(21 \mathrm{C})$ | 2543 | 5704 | 9412 | 90 |
| $\mathrm{H}(22 \mathrm{~A})$ | 203 | 4213 | 10430 | 111 |
| H(22B) | 809 | 4837 | 10252 | 111 |
| H(22C) | 1071 | 4250 | 10678 | 111 |
| H(23A) | 2288 | 1101 | 10000 | 135 |
| H(23B) | 1667 | 933 | 10312 | 135 |
| $\mathrm{H}(23 \mathrm{C})$ | 2395 | 1541 | 10474 | 135 |
| $\mathrm{H}(24 \mathrm{~A})$ | 6991 | 7030 | 7544 | 62 |
| H(24B) | 6520 | 6171 | 7375 | 62 |


| H(25A) | 7543 | 5278 | 7685 | 115 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| H(25B) | 8065 | 6121 | 7827 | 115 |
| H(26A) | 7267 | 6550 | 8309 | 80 |
| H(26B) | 6679 | 5783 | 8146 | 80 |
| H(26C) | 6681 | 5776 | 8151 | 80 |
| H(26D) | 7502 | 5491 | 8407 | 80 |
| H(27A) | 7426 | 4780 | 8509 | 83 |
| H(27B) | 7443 | 5590 | 8837 | 83 |
| H(27C) | 8149 | 5423 | 8586 | 83 |
| H(27D) | 7192 | 6758 | 8724 | 83 |
| H(27E) | 6978 | 7235 | 8260 | 83 |
| H(27F) | 7853 | 7008 | 8450 | 83 |
| H(28A) | 8493 | 6517 | 7227 | 88 |
| H(28B) | 8279 | 6962 | 6758 | 88 |
| H(29A) | 8115 | 7755 | 7565 | 128 |
| H(29B) | 7801 | 8196 | 7102 | 128 |
| H(30A) | 9067 | 8718 | 7456 | 113 |
| H(30B) | 9397 | 7776 | 7363 | 113 |
| H(31A) | 9034 | 8040 | 6612 | 114 |
| H(31B) | 9672 | 8705 | 6845 | 114 |
| H(31C) | 8798 | 9012 | 6728 | 114 |
| H(32A) | 6255 | 6756 | 6660 | 47 |
| H(32B) | 6693 | 7616 | 6856 | 47 |
| H(33A) | 6977 | 6740 | 6090 | 77 |
| H(33B) | 7432 | 7594 | 6289 | 77 |
| H(34A) | 6232 | 8367 | 6195 | 66 |
| H(34B) | 5827 | 7522 | 5956 | 66 |
| H(34C) | 6320 | 7839 | 5692 | 66 |
| H(34D) | 5799 | 7676 | 6064 | 66 |
| H(35A) | 6970 | 8526 | 5617 | 138 |
| H(35B) | 6071 | 8588 | 5435 | 138 |
| H(35C) | 6528 | 7705 | 5374 | 138 |
| H(35D) | 6259 | 8805 | 6432 | 127 |
| H(35E) | 6378 | 9138 | 5959 | 127 |
| H(35F) | 7078 | 8708 | 6283 | 127 |
| H(36A) | 7830 | 5288 | 6980 | 91 |
| H(36B) | 7574 | 5699 | 6504 | 91 |
| H(36C) | 7486 | 5717 | 6486 | 91 |
| H(36D) | 7901 | 5356 | 6946 | 91 |
| H(37A) | 6254 | 5417 | 6569 | 119 |
| H(37B) | 6559 | 4911 | 7023 | 119 |
| H(38A) | 7058 | 4491 | 6178 | 96 |
| H(38B) | 7461 | 4049 | 6629 | 96 |
| H(39A) | 6225 | 3544 | 6730 | 215 |
| H(39B) | 6510 | 3106 | 6317 | 215 |
| H(39C) | 5896 | 3884 | 6248 | 215 |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| $\mathrm{H}(37 \mathrm{C})$ | 6306 | 5277 | 6763 | 109 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{H}(37 \mathrm{D})$ | 6879 | 4589 | 7018 | 109 |
| $\mathrm{H}(38 \mathrm{C})$ | 5995 | 4038 | 6375 | 72 |
| $\mathrm{H}(38 \mathrm{D})$ | 6569 | 4482 | 6083 | 72 |
| $\mathrm{H}(39 \mathrm{D})$ | 7643 | 3765 | 6530 | 62 |
| $\mathrm{H}(39 \mathrm{E})$ | 7046 | 3034 | 6318 | 62 |
| $\mathrm{H}(39 \mathrm{~F})$ | 7073 | 3322 | 6816 | 62 |
| $\mathrm{H}(40 \mathrm{~A})$ | 4833 | 6236 | 5829 | 69 |
| $\mathrm{H}(40 B)$ | 4593 | 5865 | 5345 | 69 |
| $\mathrm{H}(40 \mathrm{C})$ | 5000 | 6144 | 5987 | 34 |
| $\mathrm{H}(40 \mathrm{D})$ | 5419 | 5354 | 6266 | 34 |
| $\mathrm{H}(41 \mathrm{~A})$ | 5131 | 7042 | 5130 | 57 |
| $\mathrm{H}(41 B)$ | 5012 | 6359 | 4733 | 57 |
| $\mathrm{H}(42 \mathrm{~A})$ | 3160 | 3731 | 5257 | 55 |
| $\mathrm{H}(42 B)$ | 3191 | 3357 | 5748 | 55 |

## Appendix 5

Crystallographic Data for $\mathbf{Z r}\left(\mathbf{L X L}-\mathbf{M e}_{2}\right) \mathbf{C l}_{3}$


Figure A.5. $50 \%$ thermal ellipsoid plot of $\mathrm{Zr}\left(\mathrm{LXL}-\mathrm{Me}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$

## Structure Determination

Yellow blocks of ew1194 were grown from a dichloromethane solution at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A crystal of dimensions $0.29 \times 0.23 \times 0.17 \mathrm{~mm}$ was mounted on a Bruker SMART APEX CCD-based X-ray diffractometer equipped with a low temperature device and fine focus Mo-target X-ray tube $(\lambda=0.71073 \mathrm{~A})$ operated at 1500 W power $(50 \mathrm{kV}, 30 \mathrm{~mA})$. The X-ray intensities were measured at $85(1) \mathrm{K}$; the detector was placed at a distance 5.055 cm from the crystal. A total of 3830 frames were collected with a scan width of $0.5^{\circ}$ in $\omega$ and $0.45^{\circ}$ in phi with an exposure time of $20 \mathrm{~s} /$ frame. The integration of the data yielded a total of 93521 reflections to a maximum $2 \theta$ value of $56.72^{\circ}$ of which 14215 were independent and 12308 were greater than $2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$. The final cell constants (Table 1 ) were based on the xyz centroids of 9643 reflections above $10 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$. Analysis of the data showed negligible decay during data collection; the data were processed with SADABS and corrected for absorption. The structure was solved and refined with the Bruker SHELXTL (version 2008/3) software package, using the space group P1bar with $\mathrm{Z}=2$ for the formula $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{Zr} \bullet(\mathrm{CHCl})_{5}$. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically with the hydrogen atoms placed in idealized positions. Full matrix leastsquares refinement based on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ converged at $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0366$ and $\mathrm{wR} 2=0.0915$ [based on I $>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})], \mathrm{R} 1=0.0450$ and $\mathrm{wR} 2=0.0993$ for all data. Sheldrick, G.M. SHELXTL, v. 2008/3; Bruker Analytical X-ray, Madison, WI, 2008. Sheldrick, G.M. SADABS, v. 2008/1. Program for Empirical Absorption Correction ofArea Detector Data, University of Gottingen: Gottingen, Germany, 2008. Saint Plus, v. 7.53a, Bruker Analytical X-ray, Madison, WI, 2008.

| Identification code | ew1194 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C51 H55 Cl13 N4 Zr |
| Formula weight | 1276.06 |
| Temperature | 85(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system, space group | Triclinic, P-1 |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=13.7969(12) \mathrm{A} \text { alpha }=69.925(1) \mathrm{deg} . \\ & \mathrm{b}=13.8694(12) \mathrm{A} \text { beta }=89.886(1) \mathrm{deg} . \\ & \mathrm{c}=15.9048(14) \mathrm{A} \text { gamma }=85.1320(1) \text { deg. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Volume | 2847.0(4) A^3 |
| Z, Calculated density | 2, $1.489 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 3$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.842 \mathrm{~mm}^{\wedge}-1$ |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 1300 |
| Crystal size | $0.29 \times 0.23 \times 0.17 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.69 to 28.36 deg. |
| Limiting indices | $-18<=\mathrm{h}<=18,-18<=\mathrm{k}<=18,-21<=1<=21$ |
| Reflections collected / unique | $93521 / 14215[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0276]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=28.36$ | 99.8\% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.8701 and 0.7923 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{\wedge} 2$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 14215 / 0 / 632 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{\wedge} 2$ | 1.049 |
| Final R indices $[\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0366, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0915$ |

R indices (all data)
Largest diff. peak and hole
$\mathrm{R} 1=0.0450, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0993$
1.572 and -1.062 e. $\mathrm{A}^{\wedge}-3$

Table 2. Atomic coordinates ( x $10^{\wedge} 4$ ) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\mathrm{A}^{\wedge} 2 \times 10^{\wedge} 3$ ) for ew 1194.
$\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized Uij tensor.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | x | y | z | $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)$ | $2592(1)$ | $4164(1)$ | $3830(1)$ | $15(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $3550(1)$ | $2575(1)$ | $3909(1)$ | $21(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $4038(1)$ | $4707(1)$ | $4381(1)$ | $21(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | $1211(1)$ | $3863(1)$ | $3024(1)$ | $22(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(4)$ | $528(1)$ | $7153(1)$ | $729(1)$ | $36(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(5)$ | $-546(1)$ | $5375(1)$ | $943(1)$ | $43(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(6)$ | $7240(1)$ | $4618(1)$ | $2889(1)$ | $62(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(7)$ | $6388(1)$ | $6582(1)$ | $2950(1)$ | $58(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(8)$ | $3062(1)$ | $1059(1)$ | $2102(1)$ | $48(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(9)$ | $1727(1)$ | $2855(1)$ | $1123(1)$ | $38(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(10)$ | $8336(1)$ | $3046(1)$ | $1546(1)$ | $42(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(11)$ | $8764(1)$ | $1915(1)$ | $3431(1)$ | $60(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(12)$ | $5769(1)$ | $1725(1)$ | $6791(1)$ | $84(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(13)$ | $6431(1)$ | $2772(1)$ | $4980(1)$ | $62(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $1881(1)$ | $3490(1)$ | $5172(1)$ | $17(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $1114(1)$ | $3605(1)$ | $6358(1)$ | $16(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $2639(1)$ | $5678(1)$ | $2678(1)$ | $17(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)$ | $2434(1)$ | $7367(1)$ | $2072(1)$ | $19(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1766(1)$ | $5448(1)$ | $4202(1)$ | $16(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1740(1)$ | $6468(1)$ | $3614(1)$ | $16(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1270(1)$ | $7274(1)$ | $3842(1)$ | $18(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $836(1)$ | $7045(2)$ | $4671(1)$ | $20(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $855(1)$ | $6043(2)$ | $5270(1)$ | $18(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1324(1)$ | $5247(1)$ | $5026(1)$ | $16(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1422(1)$ | $4140(1)$ | $5541(1)$ | $16(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1365(1)$ | $2563(1)$ | $6522(1)$ | $17(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1832(1)$ | $2499(1)$ | $5784(1)$ | $17(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $548(1)$ | $4027(2)$ | $6951(1)$ | $20(1)$ |


| C(11) | $1136(1)$ | $1739(2)$ | $7350(1)$ | $18(1)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1435(1)$ | $1743(2)$ | $8190(1)$ | $20(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1222(1)$ | $960(2)$ | $8967(1)$ | $21(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $698(1)$ | $176(2)$ | $8899(1)$ | $21(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $391(1)$ | $150(2)$ | $8076(1)$ | $20(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $625(1)$ | $935(2)$ | $7301(1)$ | $19(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $1587(2)$ | $935(2)$ | $9869(1)$ | $29(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $-187(2)$ | $-701(2)$ | $8018(2)$ | $27(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $2235(1)$ | $1560(1)$ | $5622(1)$ | $19(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $3140(2)$ | $1082(2)$ | $5992(1)$ | $21(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $3552(2)$ | $230(2)$ | $5799(1)$ | $26(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $3021(2)$ | $-150(2)$ | $5265(2)$ | $29(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $2108(2)$ | $299(2)$ | $4900(1)$ | $27(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $1719(2)$ | $1168(2)$ | $5079(1)$ | $23(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $4567(2)$ | $-231(2)$ | $6140(2)$ | $33(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $1555(2)$ | $-125(2)$ | $4305(2)$ | $38(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $3093(1)$ | $5965(2)$ | $1862(1)$ | $18(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $2977(1)$ | $7015(2)$ | $1478(1)$ | $18(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $2246(1)$ | $6546(1)$ | $2784(1)$ | $17(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $2107(2)$ | $8447(2)$ | $1906(1)$ | $26(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $3600(1)$ | $5208(2)$ | $1517(1)$ | $19(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $4302(1)$ | $4469(2)$ | $2048(1)$ | $20(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $4772(2)$ | $3731(2)$ | $1737(2)$ | $24(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $4524(2)$ | $3751(2)$ | $884(2)$ | $26(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $3828(2)$ | $4475(2)$ | $340(1)$ | $25(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)$ | $3372(2)$ | $5209(2)$ | $662(1)$ | $22(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)$ | $5535(2)$ | $2938(2)$ | $2309(2)$ | $32(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(38)$ | $3555(2)$ | $4462(2)$ | $-572(2)$ | $33(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)$ | $3451(1)$ | $7700(2)$ | $691(1)$ | $20(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(40)$ | $2976(2)$ | $8177(2)$ | $-139(1)$ | $23(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)$ | $3471(2)$ | $8800(2)$ | $-864(1)$ | $26(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(42)$ | $4435(2)$ | $8938(2)$ | $-732(2)$ | $28(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(43)$ | $4923(2)$ | $8472(2)$ | $92(2)$ | $28(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(44)$ | $4422(2)$ | $7849(2)$ | $803(1)$ | $24(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(45)$ | $2973(2)$ | $9309(2)$ | $-1771(2)$ | $34(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(46)$ | $5975(2)$ | $8624(2)$ | $212(2)$ | $39(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(47)$ | $611(2)$ | $5843(2)$ | $823(2)$ | $34(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(48)$ | $6477(2)$ | $5235(2)$ | $3475(2)$ | $41(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(49)$ | $2531(2)$ | $2280(2)$ | $2059(2)$ | $34(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(50)$ | $9098(2)$ | $2908(2)$ | $2480(2)$ | $39(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(51)$ | $5469(2)$ | $2671(2)$ | $5730(2)$ | $47(1)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3. Bond lengths [A] and angles [deg] for ew1194.

| - |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $2.2698(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $2.2732(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $2.2776(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $2.4371(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | $2.455(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $1.765(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(4)-\mathrm{C}(47)$ | $1.760(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(5)-\mathrm{C}(47)$ | $1.760(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(6)-\mathrm{C}(48)$ | $1.759(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(7)-\mathrm{C}(48)$ | $1.767(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(8)-\mathrm{C}(49)$ | $1.768(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(9)-\mathrm{C}(49)$ | $1.768(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(10)-\mathrm{C}(50)$ | $1.752(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(11)-\mathrm{C}(50)$ | $1.764(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(12)-\mathrm{C}(51)$ | $1.351(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(13)-\mathrm{C}(51)$ | $1.392(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.345(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.389(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.463(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.342(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.386(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $1.345(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $1.394(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $1.461(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $1.394(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $1.400(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.399(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.466(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.393(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $1.387(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.400(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.465(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.364(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.472(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.393(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.401(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $1.388(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $1.389(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.310(391(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | C |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ |  |
|  |  |


| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $1.395(3)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $1.507(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $1.392(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $1.392(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $1.395(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $1.383(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $1.509(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $1.391(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $1.396(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $1.511(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $1.367(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $1.470(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(39)$ | $1.478(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $1.393(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | $1.397(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $1.395(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $1.389(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | $1.505(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $1.388(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | $1.394(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(38)$ | $1.507(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(40)$ | $1.393(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(44)$ | $1.393(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{C}(41)$ | $1.399(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(42)$ | $1.386(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(45)$ | $1.507(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(43)$ | $1.391(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(44)$ | $1.390(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(46)$ | $1.505(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $140.63(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $70.83(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $70.11(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $123.78(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $95.57(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | $163.10(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | $86.38(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | $91.98(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | $94.61(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $94.816(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $83.64(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $97.57(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $84.86(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(3)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $88.351(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $169.607(18)$ |
|  | $106.50(15)$ |
|  |  |


| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)$ | 118.66(12) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)$ | 134.74(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 108.24(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 126.42(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 125.19(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | 107.07(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)$ | 118.62(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)$ | 133.91(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | 108.32(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | 127.32(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | 124.31(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 118.88(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)$ | 121.27(13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)$ | 119.76(13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 120.83(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | 127.19(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | 111.99(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 118.69(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 121.82(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 118.55(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 121.23(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 110.83(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 127.94(17) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 109.93(16) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 131.29(16) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 118.77(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 106.49(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 129.78(17) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 123.73(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 108.81(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | 127.77(17) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | 123.41(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 119.29(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 119.75(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 120.95(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 120.58(18) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 118.90(18) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 120.62(19) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 120.44(18) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 121.91(18) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 118.40(18) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 121.05(18) |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 120.55(18) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 120.89(18) |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | 120.09(18) |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 119.76(18) |


| $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 120.13(18) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 120.5(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | 118.3(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | 121.4(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | 120.3(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 122.4(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | 118.5(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 121.1(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 120.4(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 120.2(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 108.81(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | 128.87(17) |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | 122.33(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | 106.01(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(39)$ | 129.45(17) |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(39)$ | 123.67(17) |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | 109.79(16) |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 118.58(16) |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 131.60(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | 119.32(18) |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | 120.29(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | 120.38(18) |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | 120.80(19) |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | 118.5(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | 120.82(19) |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | 120.7(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | 122.12(19) |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | 118.50(19) |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(38)$ | 121.0(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(38)$ | 120.5(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | 120.80(19) |
| $\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(44)$ | 120.01(18) |
| $\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | 123.00(18) |
| $\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | 116.99(18) |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{C}(41)$ | 120.3(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(40)$ | 118.4(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(45)$ | 120.58(19) |
| $\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(45)$ | 121.0(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(43)$ | 122.25(19) |
| $\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(42)$ | 118.5(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(46)$ | 120.5(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(46)$ | 121.0(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{C}(39)$ | 120.5(2) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(5)-\mathrm{C}(47)-\mathrm{Cl}(4)$ | 111.02(14) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(7)-\mathrm{C}(48)-\mathrm{Cl}(6)$ | 111.36(15) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(8)-\mathrm{C}(49)-\mathrm{Cl}(9)$ | 112.06(13) |


| $\mathrm{Cl}(11)-\mathrm{C}(50)-\mathrm{Cl}(10)$ | $111.02(15)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(13)-\mathrm{C}(51)-\mathrm{Cl}(12)$ | $112.00(16)$ |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:

Table 4. Anisotropic displacement parameters ( $\mathrm{A}^{\wedge} 2 \times 10^{\wedge} 3$ ) for ew1194.
The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form:
$-2 \mathrm{pi}^{\wedge} 2\left[\mathrm{~h}^{\wedge} 2 \mathrm{a}^{* \wedge} \mathrm{~A}^{\mathrm{U}} 11+\ldots+2 \mathrm{hka} \mathrm{a}^{*} \mathrm{~b}^{*} \mathrm{U} 12\right]$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | U 11 | U 22 | U 33 | U 23 | U 13 | U 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)$ | $16(1)$ | $15(1)$ | $13(1)$ | $-5(1)$ | $3(1)$ | $0(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $24(1)$ | $17(1)$ | $22(1)$ | $-6(1)$ | $6(1)$ | $2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $19(1)$ | $24(1)$ | $22(1)$ | $-11(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $-1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | $21(1)$ | $25(1)$ | $22(1)$ | $-12(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $-1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(4)$ | $33(1)$ | $39(1)$ | $35(1)$ | $-12(1)$ | $9(1)$ | $-6(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(5)$ | $46(1)$ | $60(1)$ | $31(1)$ | $-21(1)$ | $11(1)$ | $-24(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(6)$ | $37(1)$ | $121(1)$ | $56(1)$ | $-64(1)$ | $15(1)$ | $-15(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(7)$ | $57(1)$ | $69(1)$ | $43(1)$ | $-9(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $-22(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(8)$ | $43(1)$ | $53(1)$ | $58(1)$ | $-33(1)$ | $12(1)$ | $1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(9)$ | $47(1)$ | $42(1)$ | $32(1)$ | $-17(1)$ | $4(1)$ | $-16(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(10)$ | $36(1)$ | $57(1)$ | $34(1)$ | $-14(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $-7(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(11)$ | $97(1)$ | $53(1)$ | $28(1)$ | $-11(1)$ | $15(1)$ | $4(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(12)$ | $107(1)$ | $40(1)$ | $87(1)$ | $1(1)$ | $-65(1)$ | $-1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(13)$ | $40(1)$ | $44(1)$ | $116(1)$ | $-49(1)$ | $-7(1)$ | $7(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $20(1)$ | $16(1)$ | $15(1)$ | $-6(1)$ | $4(1)$ | $-1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $18(1)$ | $17(1)$ | $14(1)$ | $-6(1)$ | $4(1)$ | $-2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $17(1)$ | $18(1)$ | $15(1)$ | $-6(1)$ | $4(1)$ | $0(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)$ | $21(1)$ | $18(1)$ | $16(1)$ | $-5(1)$ | $5(1)$ | $1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $15(1)$ | $18(1)$ | $15(1)$ | $-7(1)$ | $2(1)$ | $-1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $15(1)$ | $18(1)$ | $16(1)$ | $-6(1)$ | $3(1)$ | $-1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $20(1)$ | $16(1)$ | $19(1)$ | $-5(1)$ | $3(1)$ | $0(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $21(1)$ | $18(1)$ | $21(1)$ | $-9(1)$ | $4(1)$ | $0(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $20(1)$ | $21(1)$ | $16(1)$ | $-8(1)$ | $5(1)$ | $-2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $16(1)$ | $18(1)$ | $15(1)$ | $-6(1)$ | $2(1)$ | $-2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $16(1)$ | $18(1)$ | $16(1)$ | $-7(1)$ | $3(1)$ | $-1(1)$ |


| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $19(1)$ | $17(1)$ | $16(1)$ | $-7(1)$ | $3(1)$ | $-2(1)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $19(1)$ | $17(1)$ | $15(1)$ | $-5(1)$ | $3(1)$ | $-2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $22(1)$ | $20(1)$ | $19(1)$ | $-8(1)$ | $7(1)$ | $-1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $18(1)$ | $18(1)$ | $16(1)$ | $-4(1)$ | $4(1)$ | $1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $20(1)$ | $22(1)$ | $18(1)$ | $-6(1)$ | $4(1)$ | $-2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $20(1)$ | $26(1)$ | $16(1)$ | $-6(1)$ | $4(1)$ | $0(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $21(1)$ | $22(1)$ | $18(1)$ | $-2(1)$ | $6(1)$ | $-1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $20(1)$ | $19(1)$ | $21(1)$ | $-6(1)$ | $7(1)$ | $0(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $20(1)$ | $19(1)$ | $16(1)$ | $-6(1)$ | $3(1)$ | $1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $29(1)$ | $40(1)$ | $16(1)$ | $-6(1)$ | $3(1)$ | $-9(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $33(1)$ | $23(1)$ | $27(1)$ | $-9(1)$ | $9(1)$ | $-8(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $26(1)$ | $15(1)$ | $16(1)$ | $-4(1)$ | $8(1)$ | $-2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $27(1)$ | $18(1)$ | $17(1)$ | $-4(1)$ | $6(1)$ | $-1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $32(1)$ | $19(1)$ | $21(1)$ | $-2(1)$ | $11(1)$ | $2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $41(1)$ | $18(1)$ | $28(1)$ | $-9(1)$ | $16(1)$ | $-2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $38(1)$ | $23(1)$ | $24(1)$ | $-11(1)$ | $12(1)$ | $-10(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $28(1)$ | $21(1)$ | $21(1)$ | $-9(1)$ | $7(1)$ | $-5(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $36(1)$ | $28(1)$ | $29(1)$ | $-4(1)$ | $10(1)$ | $9(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $52(2)$ | $35(1)$ | $38(1)$ | $-24(1)$ | $10(1)$ | $-14(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $18(1)$ | $19(1)$ | $14(1)$ | $-5(1)$ | $4(1)$ | $-1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $18(1)$ | $20(1)$ | $16(1)$ | $-6(1)$ | $3(1)$ | $2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $17(1)$ | $18(1)$ | $16(1)$ | $-5(1)$ | $2(1)$ | $-1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $35(1)$ | $16(1)$ | $22(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $10(1)$ | $3(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $20(1)$ | $18(1)$ | $18(1)$ | $-6(1)$ | $7(1)$ | $-3(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $20(1)$ | $20(1)$ | $20(1)$ | $-7(1)$ | $6(1)$ | $-3(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $23(1)$ | $19(1)$ | $29(1)$ | $-7(1)$ | $9(1)$ | $-2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $30(1)$ | $22(1)$ | $30(1)$ | $-13(1)$ | $15(1)$ | $-6(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $31(1)$ | $26(1)$ | $23(1)$ | $-12(1)$ | $12(1)$ | $-10(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)$ | $24(1)$ | $22(1)$ | $18(1)$ | $-6(1)$ | $6(1)$ | $-4(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)$ | $31(1)$ | $24(1)$ | $39(1)$ | $-9(1)$ | $7(1)$ | $5(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(38)$ | $45(1)$ | $36(1)$ | $24(1)$ | $-17(1)$ | $10(1)$ | $-10(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)$ | $24(1)$ | $17(1)$ | $18(1)$ | $-5(1)$ | $8(1)$ | $0(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(40)$ | $22(1)$ | $23(1)$ | $19(1)$ | $-2(1)$ | $6(1)$ | $3(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)$ | $30(1)$ | $22(1)$ | $20(1)$ | $-2(1)$ | $10(1)$ | $6(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(42)$ | $34(1)$ | $21(1)$ | $26(1)$ | $-5(1)$ | $16(1)$ | $-4(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(43)$ | $27(1)$ | $29(1)$ | $30(1)$ | $-13(1)$ | $11(1)$ | $-7(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(44)$ | $25(1)$ | $25(1)$ | $22(1)$ | $-8(1)$ | $6(1)$ | $-4(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(45)$ | $35(1)$ | $36(1)$ | $20(1)$ | $3(1)$ | $10(1)$ | $11(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(46)$ | $32(1)$ | $50(2)$ | $39(1)$ | $-18(1)$ | $12(1)$ | $-19(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(47)$ | $31(1)$ | $38(1)$ | $31(1)$ | $-12(1)$ | $4(1)$ | $-3(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(48)$ | $31(1)$ | $67(2)$ | $36(1)$ | $-29(1)$ | $12(1)$ | $-15(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(49)$ | $35(1)$ | $42(1)$ | $34(1)$ | $-22(1)$ | $6(1)$ | $-9(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(50)$ | $47(2)$ | $38(1)$ | $35(1)$ | $-16(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $-6(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(51)$ | $48(2)$ | $30(1)$ | $60(2)$ | $-11(1)$ | $-23(1)$ | $6(1)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5. Hydrogen coordinates ( $x 10^{\wedge} 4$ ) and isotropic displacement parameters ( $\mathrm{A}^{\wedge} 2 \times 10^{\wedge} 3$ ) for ew1194.

|  | X | y | Z | $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H}(3 \mathrm{~A})$ | 1248 | 7965 | 3439 | 22 |
| H(4A) | 517 | 7589 | 4831 | 24 |
| H(5A) | 557 | 5900 | 5834 | 22 |
| H(10A) | 959 | 4422 | 7188 | 30 |
| H(10B) | 314 | 3461 | 7448 | 30 |
| H(10C) | -9 | 4479 | 6614 | 30 |
| H(12A) | 1788 | 2287 | 8228 | 24 |
| H(14A) | 546 | -357 | 9431 | 26 |
| H(16A) | 433 | 922 | 6732 | 22 |
| H(17A) | 2205 | 503 | 10030 | 43 |
| H(17B) | 1107 | 649 | 10322 | 43 |
| H(17C) | 1685 | 1637 | 9842 | 43 |
| H(18A) | 2 | -1335 | 8521 | 40 |
| H(18B) | -55 | -818 | 7453 | 40 |
| H(18C) | -883 | -504 | 8041 | 40 |
| H(20A) | 3479 | 1338 | 6378 | 25 |
| H(22A) | 3290 | -740 | 5143 | 35 |
| H(24A) | 1100 | 1493 | 4830 | 28 |
| H(25A) | 4689 | -898 | 6057 | 49 |
| H(25B) | 4630 | -327 | 6778 | 49 |
| H(25C) | 5041 | 235 | 5807 | 49 |
| H(26A) | 1798 | 132 | 3696 | 57 |
| H(26B) | 861 | 99 | 4296 | 57 |
| H(26C) | 1648 | -879 | 4538 | 57 |
| H(30A) | 1395 | 8543 | 1847 | 39 |
| H(30B) | 2387 | 8880 | 1353 | 39 |
| H(30C) | 2319 | 8644 | 2408 | 39 |
| H(32A) | 4463 | 4468 | 2629 | 24 |
| H(34A) | 4842 | 3252 | 667 | 32 |
| H(36A) | 2900 | 5717 | 295 | 26 |
| H(37A) | 5405 | 2254 | 2311 | 49 |
| H(37B) | 5519 | 2946 | 2922 | 49 |
| H(37C) | 6179 | 3098 | 2066 | 49 |
| H(38A) | 4132 | 4244 | -844 | 49 |
| H(38B) | 3294 | 5154 | -953 | 49 |
| H(38C) | 3059 | 3978 | -513 | 49 |
| H(40A) | 2313 | 8079 | -213 | 28 |


| H(42A) | 4774 | 9365 | -1220 | 33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H(44A) | 4743 | 7523 | 1371 | 28 |
| H(45A) | 2963 | 10060 | -1941 | 51 |
| H(45B) | 2304 | 9115 | -1745 | 51 |
| H(45C) | 3328 | 9084 | -2215 | 51 |
| H(46A) | 6130 | 8457 | 850 | 59 |
| H(46B) | 6089 | 9344 | -115 | 59 |
| H(46C) | 6390 | 8171 | -22 | 59 |
| H(47A) | 912 | 5765 | 282 | 41 |
| H(47B) | 1032 | 5432 | 1348 | 41 |
| H(48A) | 5821 | 4988 | 3507 | 50 |
| H(48B) | 6739 | 5052 | 4094 | 50 |
| H(49A) | 3052 | 2738 | 2031 | 41 |
| H(49B) | 2171 | 2205 | 2614 | 41 |
| H(50A) | 9782 | 2754 | 2344 | 47 |
| H(50B) | 9052 | 3563 | 2603 | 47 |
| H(51A) | 4885 | 2494 | 5475 | 57 |
| H(51B) | 5310 | 3347 | 5804 | 57 |

## Appendix 6

Crystallographic Data for $\mathbf{Z r}\left(\mathbf{L X L}-\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right) \mathbf{C I I}_{2}$




Figure A.6. $50 \%$ thermal ellipsoid plot of $\mathrm{Zr}\left(\mathrm{LXL}-\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right) \mathrm{ClI}_{2}$.

## Structure Determination.

Orange plates of ew1705 were crystallized from a toluene solution with a trace of benzene at $-35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A crystal of dimensions $0.42 \times 0.32 \times 0.22 \mathrm{~mm}$ was mounted on a standard Bruker SMART APEX CCD-based X-ray diffractometer equipped with a lowtemperature device and fine- focus Mo-target X-ray tube ( $\lambda=0.71073$ A) operated at 1500 W power ( $50 \mathrm{kV}, 30 \mathrm{~mA}$ ). The X-ray intensities were measured at $225(2) \mathrm{K}$; the detector was placed at a distance 6.055 cm from the crystal. A total of 5190 frames were collected with a scan width of $0.5^{\circ}$ in $\omega$ and $0.45^{\circ}$ in $\phi$ with an exposure time of 10 s/frame. Indexing was performed by use of the CELL_NOW program which indicated that the crystal was a two-component, non-merohedral twin. The frames were integrated with the Bruker SAINT software package with a narrow frame algorithm. The integration of the data yielded a total of 437278 reflections to a maximum $2 \theta$ value of $57.06^{\circ}$ of which 18123 were independent and 15077 were greater than $2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$. The final cell constants (Table 1) were based on the xyz centroids of 9322 reflections above $10 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$. Analysis of the data showed negligible decay during data collection; the data were processed with TWINABS and corrected for absorption. The domains are related by a rotation of 171.6 degrees about the reciprocal $\left[\begin{array}{lll}0 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right]$ axis. For this refinement, reflections from the dominant component as well as composite reflections were used. Merging of the data was performed in TWINABS and an HKLF 4 format file used for refinement. The structure was solved and refined with the Bruker SHELXTL (version 2008/3) software package, using the space group $\mathrm{P} 2(1) / \mathrm{c}$ with $\mathrm{Z}=4$ for the formula $\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{57} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{ZrCl}_{0.43} \mathrm{I}_{2.57} \cdot 2.25\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right) \cdot 0.25\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically with the hydrogen atoms placed in idealized positions. The halide
sites are site substitution disordered with contributions of both chloride and iodide. Fullmatrix least-squares refinement based on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ converged at $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0614$ and $\mathrm{wR} 2=0.1709$ [based on $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ], $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0738$ and $\mathrm{wR} 2=0.1779$ for all data.

Sheldrick, G.M. SHELXTL, v. 2008/3; Bruker Analytical X-ray, Madison, WI, 2008.

Saint Plus, v. 7.34, Bruker Analytical X-ray, Madison, WI, 2006.

Sheldrick, G.M. CELL_NOW, v. 2008/2, Program for Indexing Twins and Other Problem Crystals, University of Gottingen: Gottingen, Germany, 2008.

Sheldrick, G.M. TWINABS, v. 2008/1. Program for Empirical Absorption Correction of Area Detector Data, University of Gottingen: Gottingen, Germany, 2008.

Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for ew1705.

| Identification code | ew1705 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C67.25 H76.50 Cl0.43 I2.57 N4 Si2 Zr |
| Formula weight | 1429.60 |
| Temperature | 225(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system, space group | Monoclinic, P2(1)/c |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{a}=29.112(6) \mathrm{A} & \text { alpha }=90.00(3) \mathrm{deg} . \\ \mathrm{b}=11.854(2) \mathrm{A} & \text { beta }=99.39(3) \mathrm{deg} . \\ \mathrm{c}=21.331(4) \mathrm{A} & \text { gamma }=90.00(3) \mathrm{deg} . \end{array}$ |
| Volume | 7263(3) A^3 |
| Z, Calculated density | 4, $1.307 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 3$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $1.330 \mathrm{~mm}^{\wedge}-1$ |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 2878 |


| Crystal size | $0.42 \times 0.32 \times 0.22 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Theta range for data collection | 2.20 to 28.53 deg. |
| Limiting indices | $-38<=\mathrm{h}<=39,-15<=\mathrm{k}<=15,-28<=1<=28$ |
| Reflections collected / unique | $437278 / 18123[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0905]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=28.53$ | $98.2 \%$ |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.7585 and 0.6051 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{\wedge} 2$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | $18123 / 297 / 802$ |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{\wedge} 2$ | 1.145 |
| Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0614, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1709$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0738, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1779$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 1.031 and -0.754 e. $\mathrm{A}^{\wedge}-3$ |

Table 2. Atomic coordinates ( $\times 10^{\wedge 4}$ ) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\mathrm{A}^{\wedge} 2 \times 10^{\wedge} 3\right)$ for ew 1705 . $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized Uij tensor.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| x | y | z | $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)$ | $7627(1)$ | $7054(1)$ | $2970(1)$ | $35(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{I}(1)$ | $8248(1)$ | $5502(1)$ | $2583(1)$ | $54(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{I}(2)$ | $6830(1)$ | $8350(1)$ | $3139(1)$ | $56(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{I}(3)$ | $7636(1)$ | $6039(1)$ | $4174(1)$ | $54(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $8248(1)$ | $5502(1)$ | $2583(1)$ | $54(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $6830(1)$ | $8350(1)$ | $3139(1)$ | $56(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | $7636(1)$ | $6039(1)$ | $4174(1)$ | $54(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Si}(1)$ | $6347(1)$ | $7842(1)$ | $596(1)$ | $45(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Si}(2)$ | $8440(1)$ | $11645(1)$ | $2997(1)$ | $76(1)$ |


| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $6804(2)$ | $5616(4)$ | $1909(2)$ | $38(1)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $6555(2)$ | $5847(4)$ | $1325(2)$ | $39(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $7085(2)$ | $7166(3)$ | $1574(2)$ | $36(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $7424(2)$ | $8076(4)$ | $1577(2)$ | $37(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $7532(2)$ | $8639(4)$ | $1049(2)$ | $46(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $7876(2)$ | $9455(4)$ | $1134(2)$ | $47(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $8101(2)$ | $9740(4)$ | $1740(2)$ | $46(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $7990(2)$ | $9176(4)$ | $2267(2)$ | $38(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $7666(2)$ | $8293(4)$ | $2189(2)$ | $36(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $8211(2)$ | $9283(4)$ | $2932(2)$ | $36(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $8607(2)$ | $9768(4)$ | $3862(2)$ | $39(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $8460(1)$ | $8681(4)$ | $3896(2)$ | $36(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $6749(2)$ | $4650(4)$ | $2325(2)$ | $42(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $6699(2)$ | $4799(5)$ | $2951(3)$ | $51(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $6628(3)$ | $3875(5)$ | $3332(3)$ | $66(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $6604(2)$ | $2802(5)$ | $3064(3)$ | $69(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $6647(2)$ | $2636(4)$ | $2439(3)$ | $62(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $6724(2)$ | $3560(4)$ | $2065(3)$ | $50(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $6546(4)$ | $4074(8)$ | $4003(4)$ | $103(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $6602(3)$ | $1470(5)$ | $2127(4)$ | $92(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $6201(2)$ | $5161(4)$ | $922(2)$ | $41(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $5812(2)$ | $4779(5)$ | $1151(2)$ | $49(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $5467(2)$ | $4169(5)$ | $764(3)$ | $59(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $5526(2)$ | $3958(5)$ | $141(3)$ | $65(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $5912(2)$ | $4315(5)$ | $-95(3)$ | $56(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $6255(2)$ | $4915(4)$ | $302(2)$ | $45(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $5039(3)$ | $3776(8)$ | $1015(4)$ | $91(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $5973(3)$ | $4074(7)$ | $-769(3)$ | $82(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $5740(2)$ | $7507(6)$ | $663(4)$ | $70(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $6439(3)$ | $7714(6)$ | $-227(3)$ | $75(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $6463(3)$ | $9282(5)$ | $924(4)$ | $80(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $8608(2)$ | $7883(4)$ | $4425(2)$ | $38(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $8812(2)$ | $6867(4)$ | $4313(2)$ | $44(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $8962(2)$ | $6116(4)$ | $4811(2)$ | $49(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $8906(2)$ | $6425(5)$ | $5419(2)$ | $52(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)$ | $8709(2)$ | $7456(4)$ | $5548(2)$ | $47(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)$ | $8559(2)$ | $8167(4)$ | $5041(2)$ | $43(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(38)$ | $9170(3)$ | $5001(5)$ | $4689(4)$ | $86(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)$ | $8663(3)$ | $7814(6)$ | $6208(3)$ | $72(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(40)$ | $8926(2)$ | $10448(4)$ | $4330(2)$ | $47(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)$ | $8770(2)$ | $10902(5)$ | $4863(3)$ | $60(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(42)$ | $9072(3)$ | $11593(6)$ | $5275(3)$ | $79(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(43)$ | $9514(3)$ | $11787(6)$ | $5159(3)$ | $81(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(45)$ | $9678(2)$ | $11330(5)$ | $4636(3)$ | $67(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(46)$ | $8374(2)$ | $10652(5)$ | $4220(3)$ | $56(1)$ |
| $13(4)$ | $12111(9)$ | $5846(4)$ | $133(4)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| C(47) | $10166(3)$ | $11537(8)$ | $4521(4)$ | $95(3)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(48)$ | $8499(5)$ | $12580(5)$ | $3689(4)$ | $133(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(49)$ | $8940(4)$ | $11842(10)$ | $2571(5)$ | $143(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(50)$ | $7863(4)$ | $11943(6)$ | $2524(4)$ | $109(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(51)$ | $7435(7)$ | $2922(12)$ | $5578(7)$ | $217(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(52)$ | $7476(4)$ | $1742(8)$ | $5340(5)$ | $115(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(53)$ | $7415(4)$ | $501(10)$ | $4672(5)$ | $126(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(54)$ | $7425(4)$ | $474(10)$ | $4469(6)$ | $119(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(55)$ | $7494(3)$ | $-402(9)$ | $4864(7)$ | $120(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(56)$ | $7568(3)$ | $-219(9)$ | $5535(6)$ | $113(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(57)$ | $7562(4)$ | $828(10)$ | $5743(6)$ | $114(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(58)$ | $9447(4)$ | $8043(11)$ | $2972(6)$ | $140(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(59)$ | $9761(4)$ | $8632(10)$ | $2668(6)$ | $121(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(60)$ | $9765(5)$ | $8512(14)$ | $2063(6)$ | $161(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(61)$ | $10088(5)$ | $9222(18)$ | $1726(7)$ | $194(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(62)$ | $10381(7)$ | $9808(17)$ | $2046(8)$ | $205(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(63)$ | $10411(5)$ | $9828(13)$ | $2678(7)$ | $152(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(64)$ | $10145(4)$ | $9232(10)$ | $3029(7)$ | $125(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(65)$ | $4678(11)$ | $9510(30)$ | $250(20)$ | $68(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(66)$ | $4974(16)$ | $10270(30)$ | $600(13)$ | $69(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(67)$ | $5325(13)$ | $10840(20)$ | $370(20)$ | $77(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(65 \mathrm{~A})$ | $4607(12)$ | $9220(30)$ | $-20(30)$ | $77(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(66 \mathrm{~A})$ | $793(14)$ | $9830(40)$ | $513(17)$ | $70(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(67 \mathrm{~A})$ | $5168(14)$ | $10540(30)$ | $495(17)$ | $71(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $7129(1)$ | $6464(3)$ | $2067(2)$ | $37(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $6725(1)$ | $6863(3)$ | $1113(2)$ | $38(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $8198(1)$ | $8380(3)$ | $3315(2)$ | $35(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)$ | $8442(1)$ | $10166(3)$ | $3242(2)$ | $42(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(68)$ | $5478(14)$ | $7220(30)$ | $2498(17)$ | $128(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(69)$ | $5314(10)$ | $8400(30)$ | $2350(16)$ | $118(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(70)$ | $4802(10)$ | $8400(30)$ | $2217(16)$ | $119(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(71)$ | $4616(10)$ | $9380(30)$ | $2205(14)$ | $103(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(72)$ | $4757(10)$ | $10420(30)$ | $2312(12)$ | $100(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(73)$ | $5262(10)$ | $10480(30)$ | $2449(12)$ | $100(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(74)$ | $5471(10)$ | $9480(30)$ | $2388(15)$ | $109(7)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3. Bond lengths [A] and angles [deg] for ew1705.

| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $2.238(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $2.319(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $2.320(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{I}(1)$ | $2.7966(11)$ |


| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{I}(3)$ | 2.8337(10) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{I}(2)$ | 2.8540(11) |
| Si(1)-C(30) | 1.824(7) |
| $\mathrm{Si}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 1.838(4) |
| Si(1)-C(29) | 1.839(7) |
| Si(1)-C(31) | 1.855(6) |
| $\mathrm{Si}(2)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | 1.830(4) |
| Si(2)-C(48) | 1.831(8) |
| $\mathrm{Si}(2)-\mathrm{C}(50)$ | 1.845(8) |
| $\mathrm{Si}(2)-\mathrm{C}(49)$ | $1.855(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.365(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 1.384(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 1.473(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 1.405(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 1.473(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 1.332(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 1.364(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 1.460(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 1.389(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 1.403(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 1.384(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 1.392(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.392(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 1.401(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 1.464(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 1.350(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | 1.357(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 1.363(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | 1.411(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(40)$ | 1.486(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $1.392(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | 1.482(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 1.379(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 1.403(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 1.399(8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 1.392(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | 1.508(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 1.372(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 1.395(8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | 1.531(8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 1.380(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 1.388(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 1.392(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $1.390(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | 1.510(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | 1.372(9) |


| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $1.395(7)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | 1.504(8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $1.380(7)$ |
| C(32)-C(37) | $1.386(6)$ |
| C(33)-C(34) | $1.400(7)$ |
| C(34)-C(35) | 1.385(8) |
| C(34)-C(38) | $1.493(8)$ |
| C(35)-C(36) | $1.396(8)$ |
| C(36)-C(37) | $1.385(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(39)$ | $1.498(7)$ |
| C(40)-C(45) | 1.383(8) |
| C(40)-C(41) | 1.397(8) |
| C(41)-C(42) | 1.402(8) |
| C(42)-C(43) | $1.369(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(46)$ | $1.529(12)$ |
| C(43)-C(44) | $1.392(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{C}(45)$ | 1.401(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{C}(47)$ | 1.501(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(51)-\mathrm{C}(52)$ | $1.500(15)$ |
| C(52)-C(57) | 1.379(14) |
| C(52)-C(53) | $1.437(15)$ |
| C(53)-C(54) | 1.294(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(54)-\mathrm{C}(55)$ | $1.333(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(55)-\mathrm{C}(56)$ | $1.429(15)$ |
| C(56)-C(57) | 1.319(14) |
| C(58)-C(59) | $1.392(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(59)-\mathrm{C}(60)$ | $1.299(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(59)-\mathrm{C}(64)$ | $1.438(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(60)-\mathrm{C}(61)$ | $1.526(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(61)-\mathrm{C}(62)$ | 1.220 (18) |
| C(62)-C(63) | 1.34(2) |
| C(63)-C(64) | $1.359(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(65)-\mathrm{C}(66)$ | $1.380(9)$ |
| C(65)-C(67)\#1 | $1.387(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(66)-\mathrm{C}(67)$ | 1.381(9) |
| C(67)-C(65)\#1 | $1.387(7)$ |
| C(65A)-C(67A)\#1 | 1.33(4) |
| C(65A)-C(66A) | 1.377(9) |
| C(66A)-C(67A) | 1.384(9) |
| C(67A)-C(65A)\#1 | 1.33(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(68)-\mathrm{C}(69)$ | 1.49(2) |
| C(69)-C(74) | 1.36(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(69)-\mathrm{C}(70)$ | 1.47(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(70)-\mathrm{C}(71)$ | 1.28(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(71)-\mathrm{C}(72)$ | 1.31(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(72)-\mathrm{C}(73)$ | 1.45(2) |


| $\mathrm{C}(73)-\mathrm{C}(74)$ | 1.34(2) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 70.73(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 71.41(14) |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 141.80(13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{I}(1)$ | 95.62(12) |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{I}(1)$ | 94.33(10) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{I}(1)$ | 84.42(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{I}(3)$ | 163.65(11) |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{I}(3)$ | 95.69(9) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{I}(3)$ | 122.50(9) |
| $\mathrm{I}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{I}(3)$ | 94.43(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{I}(2)$ | 83.23(12) |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{I}(2)$ | 98.59(10) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{I}(2)$ | 81.81(10) |
| $\mathrm{I}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{I}(2)$ | 65.82(3) |
| $\mathrm{I}(3)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{I}(2)$ | 90.11(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{Si}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 110.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{Si}(1)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | 110.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Si}(1)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | 107.8(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{Si}(1)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | 113.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Si}(1)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | 107.4(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{Si}(1)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | 106.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{Si}(2)-\mathrm{C}(48)$ | 110.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{Si}(2)-\mathrm{C}(50)$ | 107.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(48)-\mathrm{Si}(2)-\mathrm{C}(50)$ | 106.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{Si}(2)-\mathrm{C}(49)$ | 107.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(48)-\mathrm{Si}(2)-\mathrm{C}(49)$ | 109.9(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(50)-\mathrm{Si}(2)-\mathrm{C}(49)$ | 115.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 108.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 127.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 123.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 107.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 129.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 122.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 111.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 118.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 129.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 121.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 126.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 112.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 119.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 120.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 119.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 120.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 128.2(4) |

$\left.\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(10) & 111.1(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(4) & 118.3(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{Zr}(1) & 121.2(3) \\ \mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{Zr}(1) & 119.5(3) \\ \mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{N}(4) & 112.2(4) \\ \mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(8) & 117.8(4) \\ \mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(8) & 130.0(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(4) & 107.8(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(40) & 130.0(4) \\ \mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(40) & 121.9(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{N}(3) & 109.0(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(32) & 126.2(4) \\ \mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(32) & 124.3(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(18) & 119.6(5) \\ \mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(1) & 121.5(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(1) & 118.8(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15) & 120.8(5) \\ \mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14) & 118.6(6) \\ \mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(19) & 121.9(6) \\ \mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(19) & 119.3(6) \\ \mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15) & 121.6(5) \\ \mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18) & 119.5(5) \\ \mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(20) & 122.4(6) \\ \mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(20) & 118.1(6) \\ \mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(13) & 119.9(5) \\ \mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(26) & 119.9 .3(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(2) & 119.7(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(2) & 120.7(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23) & 119.5(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(22) & 121.0(5) \\ \mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(27) & 118.0(5) \\ \mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(27) & 121.4(5) \\ \mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(23) & 120.5(6) \\ \mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26) & 122.2(5) \\ \mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(28) & 118.8(5) \\ \mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(28) & 121.7(5) \\ \mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25) & 119.5(6) \\ \mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(37) & 120.3(5) \\ \mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(12) & 119.4(4) \\ \mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(12) & \mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34) \\ \mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(33) & \mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(38) \\ \mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(36) & \mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(35)\end{array}\right)$

| $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(39)$ | 119.8(5) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(39)$ | 122.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | 121.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{C}(41)$ | 120.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 118.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 120.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(42)$ | 118.7(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(41)$ | 119.8(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(46)$ | 121.8(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(46)$ | 118.3(8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(44)$ | 122.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{C}(45)$ | 117.8(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{C}(47)$ | 121.7(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{C}(47)$ | 120.6(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(44)$ | 120.7(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(57)-\mathrm{C}(52)-\mathrm{C}(53)$ | 116.3(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(57)-\mathrm{C}(52)-\mathrm{C}(51)$ | 122.6(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(53)-\mathrm{C}(52)-\mathrm{C}(51)$ | 121.1(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(54)-\mathrm{C}(53)-\mathrm{C}(52)$ | 120.8(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(53)-\mathrm{C}(54)-\mathrm{C}(55)$ | 122.0(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(54)-\mathrm{C}(55)-\mathrm{C}(56)$ | 119.9(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(57)-\mathrm{C}(56)-\mathrm{C}(55)$ | 118.0(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(56)-\mathrm{C}(57)-\mathrm{C}(52)$ | 122.8(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(60)-\mathrm{C}(59)-\mathrm{C}(58)$ | 121.3(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(60)-\mathrm{C}(59)-\mathrm{C}(64)$ | 117.1(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(58)-\mathrm{C}(59)-\mathrm{C}(64)$ | 120.6(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(59)-\mathrm{C}(60)-\mathrm{C}(61)$ | 120.8(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(62)-\mathrm{C}(61)-\mathrm{C}(60)$ | 118.7(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(61)-\mathrm{C}(62)-\mathrm{C}(63)$ | 119.8(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(62)-\mathrm{C}(63)-\mathrm{C}(64)$ | 126.7(13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(63)-\mathrm{C}(64)-\mathrm{C}(59)$ | 115.1(13) |
| C(66)-C(65)-C(67)\#1 | 129(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(65)-\mathrm{C}(66)-\mathrm{C}(67)$ | 124(3) |
| C(66)-C(67)-C(65)\#1 | 107(3) |
| C(67A)\#1-C(65A)-C(66A) | 110(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(65 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(66 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(67 \mathrm{~A})$ | 120(3) |
| C(65A)\#1-C(67A)-C(66A) | 130(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 106.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)$ | 115.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)$ | 136.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 105.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Si}(1)$ | 125.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Si}(1)$ | 121.8(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 105.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)$ | 115.8(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)$ | 135.8(3) |


| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $105.3(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{Si}(2)$ | $128.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{Si}(2)$ | $125.0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(74)-\mathrm{C}(69)-\mathrm{C}(70)$ | $110(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(74)-\mathrm{C}(69)-\mathrm{C}(68)$ | $141(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(70)-\mathrm{C}(69)-\mathrm{C}(68)$ | $108(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(71)-\mathrm{C}(70)-\mathrm{C}(69)$ | $114(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(70)-\mathrm{C}(71)-\mathrm{C}(72)$ | $137(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(71)-\mathrm{C}(72)-\mathrm{C}(73)$ | $111(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(74)-\mathrm{C}(73)-\mathrm{C}(72)$ | $114(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(73)-\mathrm{C}(74)-\mathrm{C}(69)$ | $133(2)$ |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:
\#1 -x+1,-y+2,-z

Table 4. Anisotropic displacement parameters (A^2 x 10^3) for ew 1705. The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: -2 pi^2 [ $\mathrm{h}^{\wedge} 2 \mathrm{a}^{* \wedge} 2 \mathrm{U} 11+\ldots+2$ hka* b* U12 ]

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | U11 | U22 | U33 | U23 | U13 | U12 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zr(1) | $43(1)$ | $32(1)$ | $28(1)$ | $3(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $-7(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{I}(1)$ | $65(1)$ | $45(1)$ | $49(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $4(1)$ | $12(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{I}(2)$ | $68(1)$ | $50(1)$ | $53(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $16(1)$ | $10(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{I}(3)$ | $51(1)$ | $74(1)$ | $36(1)$ | $19(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $-16(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $65(1)$ | $45(1)$ | $49(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $4(1)$ | $12(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $68(1)$ | $50(1)$ | $53(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $16(1)$ | $10(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | $51(1)$ | $74(1)$ | $36(1)$ | $19(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $-16(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Si}(1)$ | $50(1)$ | $40(1)$ | $40(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $-9(1)$ | $3(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Si}(2)$ | $120(2)$ | $37(1)$ | $57(1)$ | $11(1)$ | $-31(1)$ | $-24(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $37(2)$ | $33(2)$ | $40(2)$ | $-4(2)$ | $-3(2)$ | $-3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $43(2)$ | $34(2)$ | $38(2)$ | $-2(2)$ | $1(2)$ | $-5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $44(2)$ | $32(2)$ | $31(2)$ | $-3(2)$ | $-1(2)$ | $-1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $44(2)$ | $36(2)$ | $29(2)$ | $1(2)$ | $0(2)$ | $-4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $63(3)$ | $43(2)$ | $29(2)$ | $4(2)$ | $-4(2)$ | $-5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $63(3)$ | $45(3)$ | $34(2)$ | $8(2)$ | $7(2)$ | $-7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $56(3)$ | $39(2)$ | $40(2)$ | $6(2)$ | $3(2)$ | $-12(2)$ |


| C(8) | 47(2) | 34(2) | 31(2) | O(2) | O(2) | -6(2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C(9) | 43(2) | 35(2) | 28(2) | 2(2) | 0(2) | -8(2) |
| C(10) | 40(2) | 33(2) | 34(2) | 2(2) | -2(2) | -9(2) |
| C(11) | 40(2) | 38(2) | 35(2) | 0(2) | -4(2) | -3(2) |
| C(12) | 34(2) | 39(2) | 33(2) | 2(2) | -2(2) | -5(2) |
| C(13) | 40(2) | 39(2) | 43(2) | 2(2) | -2(2) | -8(2) |
| C(14) | 61(3) | 43(3) | 50(3) | -2(2) | 7(2) | -11(2) |
| C(15) | 89(4) | 56(3) | 54(3) | 7(3) | 14(3) | -15(3) |
| C(16) | 86(4) | 46(3) | 76(4) | 17(3) | 18(3) | -16(3) |
| C(17) | 71(4) | 34(2) | 78(4) | 6(2) | 5(3) | -7(2) |
| C(18) | 55(3) | 38(2) | 53(3) | 2(2) | 0(2) | -3(2) |
| C(19) | 162(9) | 87(5) | 67(5) | 11(4) | 39(5) | -24(6) |
| C(20) | 131(7) | 36(3) | 107(6) | -1(3) | 11(5) | -12(4) |
| C(21) | 40(2) | 37(2) | 42(2) | -2(2) | -4(2) | 1(2) |
| C(22) | 47(3) | 53(3) | 45(3) | -3(2) | -2(2) | -4(2) |
| C(23) | 50(3) | 60(3) | 61(3) | -2(3) | -4(2) | -13(2) |
| C(24) | 52(3) | 66(4) | 69(4) | -25(3) | -11(3) | -13(3) |
| C(25) | 55(3) | 59(3) | 50(3) | -18(2) | -6(2) | 1(2) |
| C(26) | 42(2) | 43(2) | 49(3) | -12(2) | 2(2) | 0(2) |
| C(27) | 64(4) | 115(7) | 92(5) | 7(5) | 4(4) | -33(4) |
| C(28) | 80(4) | 103(6) | 62(4) | -41(4) | 2(3) | -5(4) |
| C(29) | 56(3) | 66(4) | 85(4) | 9(3) | -1(3) | 15(3) |
| C(30) | 96(5) | 79(4) | 46(3) | 10(3) | -6(3) | 4(4) |
| C(31) | 79(4) | 46(3) | 103(5) | -15(3) | - 25(4) | 15(3) |
| C(32) | 35(2) | 40(2) | 35(2) | 3(2) | -4(2) | -9(2) |
| C(33) | 52(3) | 40(2) | 38(2) | 2(2) | -2(2) | -8(2) |
| C(34) | 57(3) | 38(2) | 48(3) | 3(2) | -5(2) | -6(2) |
| C(35) | 58(3) | 52(3) | 42(2) | 14(2) | -8(2) | -11(2) |
| C(36) | 53(3) | 51(3) | 35(2) | 0(2) | 3(2) | -12(2) |
| C(37) | 45(2) | 47(2) | 35(2) | 0(2) | 0(2) | -8(2) |
| C(38) | 122(6) | 45(3) | 83(5) | 2(3) | -7(4) | 24(4) |
| C(39) | 96(5) | 81(4) | 35(3) | -3(3) | 2(3) | -10(4) |
| C(40) | 57(3) | 37(2) | 41(2) | 3(2) | -10(2) | -14(2) |
| C(41) | 75(4) | 54(3) | 48(3) | -11(2) | 0(3) | -15(3) |
| C(42) | 111(6) | 69(4) | 52(3) | -14(3) | -7(3) | -27(4) |
| C(43) | 98(5) | 76(4) | 57(4) | -3(3) | -20(3) | -49(4) |
| C(44) | 67(4) | 64(3) | 60(3) | 16(3) | -20(3) | -31(3) |
| C(45) | 59(3) | 58(3) | 43(3) | 9(2) | -11(2) | -22(2) |
| C(46) | 196(12) | 123(8) | 77(6) | -56(6) | 7(6) | -45(8) |
| C(47) | 77(5) | 111(6) | 88(5) | 18(5) | -16(4) | -54(5) |
| C(48) | 252(13) | 32(3) | 84(5) | -4(3) | -66(7) | -5(5) |
| C(49) | 161(10) | 155(9) | 99(7) | 60(7) | -17(6) | -110(8) |
| C(50) | 155(8) | 55(4) | 92(6) | -7(4) | -53(6) | 31(5) |
| C(51) | 360(20) | 111(7) | 163(13) | -55(8) | -24(15) | $5(13$ |
| C(52) | 135(8) | 89(5) | 108(6) | -22(4) | -25(6) | 7(6) |
| C(53) | 138(8) | 106(6) | 114(6) | -21(5) | -33(6) | -5(6) |


| C(54) | $97(6)$ | $124(7)$ | $132(7)$ | $-52(5)$ | $8(6)$ | $-3(6)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(55)$ | $64(5)$ | $93(5)$ | $202(9)$ | $-44(6)$ | $20(6)$ | $-12(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(56)$ | $63(4)$ | $97(5)$ | $180(8)$ | $22(6)$ | $24(6)$ | $1(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(57)$ | $95(6)$ | $120(6)$ | $119(7)$ | $-5(5)$ | $-5(5)$ | $2(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(58)$ | $141(9)$ | $139(10)$ | $153(10)$ | $-32(8)$ | $59(8)$ | $-29(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(59)$ | $136(8)$ | $125(8)$ | $105(6)$ | $-28(6)$ | $24(6)$ | $-30(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(60)$ | $153(10)$ | $227(14)$ | $96(6)$ | $-12(8)$ | $2(7)$ | $-77(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(61)$ | $157(11)$ | $312(18)$ | $107(7)$ | $-1(10)$ | $0(7)$ | $-102(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(62)$ | $211(15)$ | $247(16)$ | $152(9)$ | $-30(12)$ | $16(11)$ | $-114(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(63)$ | $155(10)$ | $152(10)$ | $144(8)$ | $-50(9)$ | $8(8)$ | $-54(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(64)$ | $106(7)$ | $106(7)$ | $155(8)$ | $-38(6)$ | $-1(6)$ | $-11(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(65)$ | $72(13)$ | $62(14)$ | $70(16)$ | $8(12)$ | $10(13)$ | $48(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(66)$ | $68(15)$ | $59(13)$ | $81(12)$ | $24(10)$ | $17(11)$ | $37(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(67)$ | $69(15)$ | $68(14)$ | $96(15)$ | $47(12)$ | $18(12)$ | $45(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(65 \mathrm{~A})$ | $79(13)$ | $72(14)$ | $77(17)$ | $13(12)$ | $1(13)$ | $60(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(66 \mathrm{~A})$ | $71(14)$ | $67(14)$ | $74(13)$ | $18(10)$ | $15(11)$ | $40(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(67 \mathrm{~A})$ | $68(16)$ | $63(14)$ | $86(13)$ | $33(11)$ | $27(11)$ | $47(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $42(2)$ | $33(2)$ | $32(2)$ | $-1(1)$ | $-4(1)$ | $-4(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $43(2)$ | $35(2)$ | $33(2)$ | $-3(1)$ | $-4(1)$ | $-1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $39(2)$ | $34(2)$ | $30(2)$ | $-1(1)$ | $-2(1)$ | $-7(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)$ | $48(2)$ | $37(2)$ | $36(2)$ | $-1(2)$ | $-5(2)$ | $-12(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(68)$ | $146(19)$ | $168(14)$ | $76(17)$ | $-29(17)$ | $33(16)$ | $-2(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(69)$ | $127(12)$ | $169(12)$ | $64(13)$ | $-15(14)$ | $31(13)$ | $2(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(70)$ | $127(12)$ | $162(14)$ | $61(13)$ | $-20(15)$ | $-3(14)$ | $-23(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(71)$ | $98(12)$ | $164(14)$ | $47(11)$ | $11(14)$ | $11(11)$ | $-19(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(72)$ | $109(12)$ | $154(13)$ | $41(11)$ | $19(13)$ | $21(11)$ | $-12(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(73)$ | $107(12)$ | $156(13)$ | $41(10)$ | $28(12)$ | $23(11)$ | $-21(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(74)$ | $98(13)$ | $174(13)$ | $59(13)$ | $10(15)$ | $25(12)$ | $-13(10)$ |

Table 5. Hydrogen coordinates ( $\mathrm{x} 10^{\wedge} 4$ ) and isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\mathrm{A}^{\wedge} 2 \mathrm{x}\right.$ $10^{\wedge} 3$ ) for ew1705.

|  | X | y Z | U(eq) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H(5A) | 7374 | 8467 | 640 | 56 |
| H(6A) | 7959 | 9822 | 778 | 57 |
| H(7A) | 8328 | 10311 | 1793 | 55 |
| H(14A) | 6712 | 5530 | 3124 | 62 |
| H(16A) | 6557 | 2176 | 3316 | 83 |
| H(18A) | 6760 | 3452 | 1639 | 60 |
| H(19A) | 6414 | 3400 | 4161 | 155 |
| H(19B) | 6331 | 4699 | 4009 | 155 |
| H(19C) | 6839 | 4252 | 4271 | 155 |
| H(20A) | 6592 | 898 | 2450 | 138 |
| H(20B) | 6867 | 1334 | 1915 | 138 |
| H(20C) | 6318 | 1438 | 1819 | 138 |
| H(22A) | 5779 | 4933 | 1574 | 59 |
| H(24A) | 5294 | 3558 | -127 | 78 |
| H(26A) | 6524 | 5155 | 150 | 54 |
| H(27A) | 4802 | 3554 | 662 | 137 |
| H(27B) | 4921 | 4386 | 1247 | 137 |
| H(27C) | 5117 | 3137 | 1296 | 137 |
| H(28A) | 5704 | 3665 | -984 | 124 |
| H(28B) | 6251 | 3623 | -769 | 124 |
| H(28C) | 6004 | 4779 | -989 | 124 |
| H(29A) | 5535 | 8073 | 439 | 106 |
| H(29B) | 5705 | 7499 | 1107 | 106 |
| H(29C) | 5660 | 6771 | 478 | 106 |
| H(30A) | 6341 | 6971 | -387 | 113 |
| H(30B) | 6767 | 7817 | -248 | 113 |
| H(30C) | 6258 | 8285 | -484 | 113 |
| H(31A) | 6724 | 9607 | 758 | 121 |
| H(31B) | 6536 | 9242 | 1384 | 121 |
| H(31C) | 6190 | 9749 | 803 | 121 |
| H(33A) | 8849 | 6676 | 3897 | 53 |
| H(35A) | 9003 | 5924 | 5757 | 63 |
| H(37A) | 8420 | 8859 | 5116 | 52 |
| H(38A) | 9491 | 4975 | 4901 | 129 |
| H(38B) | 9159 | 4902 | 4235 | 129 |
| H(38C) | 8994 | 4403 | 4850 | 129 |
| H(39A) | 8801 | 8555 | 6293 | 107 |


| H(39B) | 8822 | 7276 | 6511 | 107 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H(39C) | 8336 | 7844 | 6249 | 107 |
| H(41A) | 8468 | 10746 | 4943 | 72 |
| H(43A) | 9714 | 12244 | 5442 | 97 |
| H(45A) | 9474 | 10333 | 3863 | 67 |
| H(46A) | 8667 | 11610 | 5984 | 200 |
| H(46B) | 8750 | 12834 | 5727 | 200 |
| H(46C) | 9153 | 12217 | 6190 | 200 |
| H(47A) | 10185 | 12280 | 4336 | 143 |
| H(47B) | 10250 | 10971 | 4231 | 143 |
| H(47C) | 10379 | 11494 | 4921 | 143 |
| H(48A) | 8298 | 12318 | 3979 | 200 |
| H(48B) | 8411 | 13341 | 3552 | 200 |
| H(48C) | 8820 | 12576 | 3903 | 200 |
| H(49A) | 8830 | 12133 | 2148 | 215 |
| H(49B) | 9094 | 11124 | 2539 | 215 |
| H(49C) | 9158 | 12373 | 2802 | 215 |
| H(50A) | 7641 | 11386 | 2621 | 163 |
| H(50B) | 7884 | 11908 | 2076 | 163 |
| H(50C) | 7762 | 12689 | 2628 | 163 |
| H(51A) | 7583 | 3441 | 5322 | 326 |
| H(51B) | 7587 | 2970 | 6017 | 326 |
| H(51C) | 7109 | 3117 | 5550 | 326 |
| H(53A) | 7366 | 2097 | 4378 | 151 |
| H(54A) | 7382 | 342 | 4028 | 143 |
| H(55A) | 7495 | -1139 | 4702 | 144 |
| H(56A) | 7620 | -828 | 5821 | 136 |
| H(57A) | 7618 | 957 | 6183 | 137 |
| H(58A) | 9142 | 8387 | 2871 | 210 |
| H(58B) | 9550 | 8068 | 3428 | 210 |
| H(58C) | 9431 | 7265 | 2830 | 210 |
| H(60A) | 9566 | 7982 | 1830 | 193 |
| H(61A) | 10061 | 9208 | 1281 | 233 |
| H(62A) | 10587 | 10244 | 1848 | 246 |
| H(63A) | 10639 | 10304 | 2903 | 183 |
| H(64A) | 10208 | 9213 | 3475 | 150 |
| H(65) | 4447 | 9195 | 456 | 82 |
| H(66) | 4933 | 10406 | 1022 | 82 |
| H(67) | 5529 | 11374 | 596 | 93 |
| H(65A) | 4358 | 8703 | -39 | 93 |
| H(66A) | 4665 | 9761 | 888 | 84 |
| H(67A) | 5282 | 10900 | 880 | 85 |
| H(68A) | 5374 | 6974 | 2885 | 192 |
| H(68B) | 5816 | 7204 | 2557 | 192 |
| H(68C) | 5352 | 6732 | 2150 | 192 |
| H(70) | 4626 | 7733 | 2147 | 142 |


| $\mathrm{H}(71)$ | 4291 | 9342 | 2087 | 123 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{H}(72)$ | 4558 | 11048 | 2302 | 120 |
| $\mathrm{H}(73)$ | 5427 | 11144 | 2569 | 120 |
| $\mathrm{H}(74)$ | 5790 | 9550 | 2368 | 131 |

## Appendix 7

## Atomic Coordinates for Geometry Optimized Computational Structures

## Coordinates for 4.18

| angstroms |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| atom | x | y |  |
| Zr1 | 0.0000000000 | 0.0000000000 | -0.8823561943 |
| C2 | 0.0000000000 | 0.0000000000 | 1.4099289479 |
| C3 | 0.0000000000 | 0.0000000000 | 4.1968827216 |
| C4 | 0.0000000000 | 1.2123378404 | 2.1102336309 |
| C5 | 0.0000000000 | -1.2123378404 | 2.1102336309 |
| C6 | 0.0000000000 | -1.2198854790 | 3.5119964268 |
| C7 | 0.0000000000 | 1.2198854790 | 3.5119964268 |
| H8 | 0.0000000000 | -2.1622203237 | 4.0539582761 |
| H9 | 0.0000000000 | 2.162203237 | 4.0539582761 |
| H10 | 0.0000000000 | 0.0000000000 | 5.2861555206 |
| C11 | 0.0000000000 | 2.3869596231 | 1.2358106649 |
| C12 | 0.0000000000 | -2.3869596231 | 1.2358106649 |
| N13 | 0.0000000000 | 2.1404978516 | -0.1175405091 |
| N14 | 0.0000000000 | 3.6820599537 | 1.5433578761 |
| N15 | 0.0000000000 | -2.1404978516 | -0.1175405091 |
| N16 | 0.0000000000 | -3.6820599537 | 1.5433578761 |
| C17 | 0.0000000000 | 3.3848401988 | -0.6967721886 |
| H18 | 0.0000000000 | 3.5137149802 | -1.7700268459 |
| C19 | 0.0000000000 | 4.3158740447 | 0.3219434154 |
| H20 | 0.0000000000 | 5.3972459112 | 0.2467058445 |
| C21 | 0.0000000000 | -3.3848401988 | -0.6967721886 |
| H22 | 0.0000000000 | -3.5137149802 | -1.7700268459 |
| C23 | 0.0000000000 | -4.3158740447 | 0.3219434154 |
| H24 | 0.0000000000 | -5.3972459112 | 0.2467058445 |
| C125 | 2.5143418143 | 0.0000000000 | -1.0200041157 |
| C126 | 0.0000000000 | 0.0000000000 | -3.5052382059 |
| C127 | -2.5143418143 | 0.0000000000 | -1.0200041157 |

## Coordinates for 4.18.S

angstroms

| atom | x | y | z |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zr1 | 0.0000000000 | 0.0000000000 | -0.8823561943 |
| C2 | 0.0000000000 | 0.0000000000 | 1.4099289479 |
| C3 | 0.0000000000 | 0.0000000000 | 4.1968827216 |
| C4 | 0.0000000000 | 1.2123378404 | 2.1102336309 |
| C5 | 0.0000000000 | -1.2123378404 | 2.1102336309 |
| C6 | 0.0000000000 | -1.2198854790 | 3.5119964268 |
| C7 | 0.0000000000 | 1.2198854790 | 3.5119964268 |
| H8 | 0.0000000000 | -2.1622203237 | 4.0539582761 |
| H9 | 0.0000000000 | 2.1622203237 | 4.0539582761 |
| H10 | 0.0000000000 | 0.0000000000 | 5.2861555206 |
| C11 | 0.0000000000 | 2.3869596231 | 1.2358106649 |
| C12 | 0.0000000000 | -2.3869596231 | 1.2358106649 |
| N13 | 0.0000000000 | 2.1404978516 | -0.1175405091 |
| N14 | 0.0000000000 | 3.6820599537 | 1.5433578761 |
| N15 | 0.0000000000 | -2.1404978516 | -0.1175405091 |
| N16 | 0.0000000000 | -3.6820599537 | 1.5433578761 |
| C17 | 0.0000000000 | 3.3848401988 | -0.6967721886 |
| H18 | 0.0000000000 | 3.5137149802 | -1.7700268459 |
| C19 | 0.0000000000 | 4.3158740447 | 0.3219434154 |
| H20 | 0.0000000000 | 5.3972459112 | 0.2467058445 |
| C21 | 0.0000000000 | -3.3848401988 | -0.6967721886 |
| H22 | 0.0000000000 | -3.5137149802 | -1.7700268459 |
| C23 | 0.0000000000 | -4.3158740447 | 0.3219434154 |
| H24 | 0.0000000000 | -5.3972459112 | 0.2467058445 |
| C125 | 2.5143418143 | 0.0000000000 | -1.0200041157 |
| C126 | 0.0000000000 | 0.0000000000 | -3.5052382059 |
| C127 | -2.5143418143 | 0.0000000000 | -1.0200041157 |

## Coordinates for 4.19

## angstroms

| atom | x | y | z |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zr1 | -0.0028929943 | 0.0004120173 | -2.2675708844 |
| C2 | -0.0027393522 | -0.0005373487 | 0.0274096637 |
| C3 | -0.0018420441 | -0.0004060689 | 2.8134312532 |
| C4 | 0.0023992750 | -1.2134881049 | 0.7321312720 |
| C5 | -0.0073590622 | 1.2127086626 | 0.7319466940 |
| C6 | -0.0064038900 | 1.2198472302 | 2.1339364381 |
| C7 | 0.0023149560 | -1.2207628893 | 2.1341225920 |


| H8 | -0.0092200215 | 2.1544621338 | 2.6801433019 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H9 | 0.0056063208 | -2.1553345261 | 2.6803790976 |
| H10 | -0.0014811148 | -0.0002734938 | 3.9009940424 |
| C11 | 0.0083062205 | -2.3802850319 | -0.1553062478 |
| C12 | -0.0142999210 | 2.3800478557 | -0.1549207846 |
| N13 | 0.0194819147 | -2.1383505179 | -1.4945231098 |
| N14 | -0.0003577481 | -3.7043589253 | 0.0960437403 |
| N15 | -0.0318520416 | 2.1392457184 | -1.4938461980 |
| N16 | -0.0004102438 | 3.7042061677 | 0.0971664013 |
| C17 | 0.0164361157 | -3.3658352812 | -2.1098219840 |
| H18 | 0.0221302872 | -3.4647956149 | -3.1845364385 |
| C19 | 0.0046657164 | -4.3267921374 | -1.1365037026 |
| H20 | -0.0003821396 | -5.4023031916 | -1.2040489760 |
| C21 | -0.0280636912 | 3.3666200921 | -2.1085648940 |
| H22 | -0.0375727346 | 3.4667369707 | -3.1832009224 |
| C23 | -0.0092072511 | 4.3271974532 | -1.1348985769 |
| H24 | -0.0009579621 | 5.4026943355 | -1.2021381935 |
| B25 | -0.0150458786 | -4.5075409632 | 1.4730139865 |
| H26 | 0.9880210248 | -4.2305073230 | 2.1117683221 |
| H27 | -0.0229798016 | -5.6936324476 | 1.1775438963 |
| H28 | -1.0201954903 | -4.2135506094 | 2.1003500709 |
| B29 | 0.0256570623 | 4.5070013357 | 1.4741424182 |
| H30 | 1.0316959292 | 4.2065525042 | 2.0968247658 |
| H31 | -0.9764418352 | 4.2364499691 | 2.1174380948 |
| H32 | 0.0397431976 | 5.6930708431 | 1.1786412519 |
| C133 | -2.5004636433 | -0.0496028521 | -2.3742480314 |
| C134 | 0.0210771296 | -0.0289325371 | -4.8627996391 |
| C135 | 2.4953110418 | 0.0789683306 | -2.3437926551 |

## Coordinates for 4.19.S

angstroms

| atom | x | y | z |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zr 1 | 0.0000000000 | 0.0000000000 | -1.0795634613 |
| C 2 | 0.0000000000 | 0.0000000000 | 1.2151538541 |
| C 3 | 0.0000000000 | 0.0000000000 | 4.0011779344 |
| C 4 | 0.0000000000 | 1.2131927453 | 1.9197764562 |
| C5 | 0.0000000000 | -1.2131927453 | 1.9197764562 |
| C6 | 0.0000000000 | -1.2202867690 | 3.3217913326 |
| C7 | 0.0000000000 | 1.2202867690 | 3.3217913326 |
| H8 | 0.0000000000 | -2.1547657004 | 3.8681460815 |
| H9 | 0.0000000000 | 2.1547657004 | 3.8681460815 |
| H10 | 0.0000000000 | 0.0000000000 | 5.0887411162 |


| C11 | 0.0000000000 | 2.3807987367 | 1.0331599163 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C12 | 0.0000000000 | -2.3807987367 | 1.0331599163 |
| N13 | 0.0000000000 | 2.1415053022 | -0.3064905253 |
| N14 | 0.0000000000 | 3.7048642756 | 1.2866693769 |
| N15 | 0.0000000000 | -2.1415053022 | -0.3064905253 |
| N16 | 0.0000000000 | -3.7048642756 | 1.2866693769 |
| C17 | 0.0000000000 | 3.3696782593 | -0.9195670341 |
| H18 | 0.0000000000 | 3.4709578340 | -1.9941121982 |
| C19 | 0.0000000000 | 4.3292101864 | 0.0552953882 |
| H20 | 0.0000000000 | 5.4048276027 | -0.0106765960 |
| C21 | 0.0000000000 | -3.3696782593 | -0.9195670341 |
| H22 | 0.0000000000 | -3.4709578340 | -1.9941121982 |
| C23 | 0.0000000000 | -4.3292101864 | 0.0552953882 |
| H24 | 0.0000000000 | -5.4048276027 | -0.0106765960 |
| B25 | 0.0000000000 | 4.5061579195 | 2.6639471214 |
| H26 | -1.0034166783 | 4.2185285929 | 3.2975038761 |
| H27 | 0.0000000000 | 5.6930215503 | 2.3718783124 |
| H28 | 1.0034166783 | 4.2185285929 | 3.2975038761 |
| B29 | 0.0000000000 | -4.5061579195 | 2.6639471214 |
| H30 | -1.0034166783 | -4.2185285929 | 3.2975038761 |
| H31 | 1.0034166783 | -4.2185285929 | 3.2975038761 |
| H32 | 0.0000000000 | -5.6930215503 | 2.3718783124 |
| C133 | 2.5002091320 | 0.0000000000 | -1.1518325776 |
| C134 | 0.0000000000 | 0.0000000000 | -3.6574993737 |
| C135 | -2.5002091320 | 0.0000000000 | -1.1518325776 |

## Coordinates for $\mathbf{4 . 2 0}$

angstroms

| atom | X | y | Z |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Zr1 | -0.0037425528 | -0.0095120414 | -2.1464446799 |
| C2 | 0.0026111835 | -0.0106778576 | 0.1575893184 |
| C3 | -0.0080894809 | -0.0033957721 | 2.9326882170 |
| C4 | -1.2318423516 | -0.0405811624 | 0.8456856721 |
| C5 | 1.2265603658 | 0.0216941112 | 0.8563015497 |
| C6 | 1.2167509993 | 0.0248585608 | 2.2579594443 |
| C7 | -1.2269741454 | -0.0356107405 | 2.2476406242 |
| H8 | 2.1326700477 | 0.0530518086 | 2.8403530313 |
| H9 | -2.1464715106 | -0.0586566646 | 2.8241715502 |
| H10 | -0.0128662988 | 0.0003100271 | 4.0179673130 |
| C11 | -2.4091479432 | -0.0891940440 | -0.0695585964 |
| C12 | 2.3973740596 | 0.0683959318 | -0.0661485754 |
| N13 | -2.1230151183 | -0.1310403222 | -1.3757180503 |
| N14 | -3.7902853352 | -0.1114453125 | 0.0814766228 |
| N15 | 2.0952678475 | 0.1111528729 | -1.3738249312 |
| N16 | 3.7746352110 | 0.0965865695 | 0.0714605387 |


| C17 | -3.2817950763 | -0.1908406000 | -2.0912451933 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H18 | -3.2984034725 | -0.2359863839 | -3.1689672545 |
| C19 | -4.3128541151 | -0.1814893053 | -1.2161630210 |
| H20 | -5.3676983540 | -0.2175247516 | -1.4087307404 |
| C21 | 3.2531201395 | 0.1803194738 | -2.1001721670 |
| H22 | 3.2446183749 | 0.2249278983 | -3.1757897064 |
| C23 | 4.2877733376 | 0.1745979876 | -1.2323744897 |
| H24 | 5.3413584696 | 0.2166303137 | -1.4310540953 |
| Si25 | -5.0476732532 | -0.0082888754 | 1.4144694401 |
| Si26 | 5.0463083885 | -0.0168782346 | 1.3908940043 |
| C127 | -0.3975489650 | 2.4292722183 | -2.0128815255 |
| C128 | 0.8472742946 | 0.0502279787 | -4.4856894025 |
| Cl29 | -0.1164737349 | -2.4757239535 | -2.0269739873 |
| C30 | 6.7217506780 | -0.0667321117 | 0.5269859600 |
| H31 | 6.8374365382 | -0.9209619805 | -0.1462545660 |
| H32 | 7.4917366974 | -0.1680795722 | 1.2995788193 |
| H33 | 6.9559096348 | 0.8462264222 | -0.0278780153 |
| C34 | 4.8127602208 | -1.6234458000 | 2.3256283346 |
| H35 | 4.8748182000 | -2.4771881669 | 1.6440652906 |
| H36 | 3.8582412262 | -1.6964072442 | 2.8526047649 |
| H37 | 5.6064396661 | -1.7407907516 | 3.0695936609 |
| C38 | 4.9682746582 | 1.5277398101 | 2.4487922668 |
| H39 | 4.0290495832 | 1.6411945658 | 2.9957933657 |
| H40 | 5.0964271593 | 2.4232769376 | 1.8318303716 |
| H41 | 5.7780646054 | 1.5209617070 | 3.1857978951 |
| C42 | -4.9317417604 | -1.5327160594 | 2.4962171711 |
| H43 | -5.0470497677 | -2.4405674989 | 1.8966287656 |
| H44 | -5.7368311478 | -1.5279982638 | 3.2379724264 |
| H45 | -3.9884175495 | -1.6194898857 | 3.0374055857 |
| C46 | -4.8366364099 | 1.6138743344 | 2.3249311409 |
| H47 | -3.8780449534 | 1.7120562517 | 2.8400368526 |
| H48 | -5.6253197966 | 1.7272402600 | 3.0757064514 |
| H49 | -4.9202043141 | 2.4562616083 | 1.6333854677 |
| C50 | -6.7341214181 | -0.0052052034 | 0.5714881631 |
| H51 | -6.9492757455 | -0.9236983875 | 0.0200517485 |
| H52 | -6.8834108382 | 0.8440598528 | -0.0990420493 |
| H53 | -7.4965115528 | 0.0750446693 | 1.3541261985 |

## Coordinates for 4.20.S

angstroms

| atom | X | y | Z |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1 | 0.0000000000 | 0.0000000000 | 0.6068359567 |
| C2 | 0.0000000000 | 0.0000000000 | 3.3844022636 |
| C3 | 0.0000000000 | 1.2254002599 | 1.3023206378 |


| C4 | 0.0000000000 | -1.2254002599 | 1.3023206378 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C5 | 0.0000000000 | -1.2206926192 | 2.7035053611 |
| C6 | 0.0000000000 | 1.2206926192 | 2.7035053611 |
| H7 | 0.0000000000 | -2.1371974361 | 3.2836940784 |
| H8 | 0.0000000000 | 2.1371974361 | 3.2836940784 |
| H9 | 0.0000000000 | 0.0000000000 | 4.4695369965 |
| C10 | 0.0000000000 | 2.3939027555 | 0.385801665 |
| C11 | 0.0000000000 | -2.3939027555 | 0.3815801665 |
| N12 | 0.0000000000 | 2.1088243400 | -0.9295637969 |
| N13 | 0.0000000000 | -2.1088243400 | -0.9295637969 |
| C14 | 0.0000000000 | 3.2776714945 | -1.6398460474 |
| H15 | 0.0000000000 | 3.2946117288 | -2.7182826265 |
| C16 | 0.0000000000 | -3.2776714945 | -1.6398460474 |
| H17 | 0.0000000000 | -3.2946117288 | -2.7182826265 |
| N18 | 0.0000000000 | -3.7671650315 | 0.5376604375 |
| C19 | 0.0000000000 | 4.3029636603 | -0.7571505221 |
| H20 | 0.0000000000 | 5.3613481569 | -0.9382186851 |
| C21 | 0.0000000000 | -4.3029636603 | -0.7571505221 |
| H22 | 0.0000000000 | -5.3613481569 | -0.9382186851 |
| N23 | 0.0000000000 | 3.7671650315 | 0.5376604375 |
| Zr24 | 0.0000000000 | 0.0000000000 | -1.7072211783 |
| C125 | 2.4665492786 | 0.0000000000 | -1.5148858347 |
| C126 | -2.4665492786 | 0.0000000000 | -1.5148858347 |
| C127 | 0.0000000000 | 0.0000000000 | -4.1947350828 |
| Si28 | 0.0000000000 | 4.9724586528 | 1.9187545425 |
| C29 | 1.5786256522 | 4.7679895174 | 2.9054868321 |
| H30 | 1.6239185477 | 5.5193401871 | 3.7007924601 |
| H31 | 2.4528974156 | 4.9175968166 | 2.2653895800 |
| H32 | 1.6845991634 | 3.7875084927 | 3.3744492646 |
| C33 | -1.5786256522 | 4.7679895174 | 2.9054868321 |
| H34 | -1.6239185477 | 5.5193401871 | 3.7007924601 |
| H35 | -1.6845991634 | 3.7875084927 | 3.3744492646 |
| H36 | -2.4528974156 | 4.9175968166 | 2.2653895800 |
| C37 | 0.0000000000 | 6.6844270730 | 1.1353410604 |
| H38 | 0.0000000000 | 7.4233316839 | 1.9439187623 |
| H39 | -0.8864064497 | 6.8835691436 | 0.5278952201 |
| H40 | 0.8864064497 | 6.8835691436 | 0.5278952201 |
| Si41 | 0.0000000000 | -4.9724586528 | 1.9187545425 |
| C42 | 0.0000000000 | -6.6844270730 | 1.1353410604 |
| H43 | -0.8864064497 | -6.8835691436 | 0.5278952201 |
| H44 | 0.0000000000 | -7.4233316839 | 1.9439187623 |
| H45 | 0.8864064497 | -6.8835691436 | 0.5278952201 |
| C46 | -1.5786256522 | -4.7679895174 | 2.9054868321 |
| H47 | -2.4528974156 | -4.9175968166 | 2.2653895800 |
| H48 | -1.6845991634 | -3.7875084927 | 3.3744492646 |
| H49 | -1.6239185477 | -5.5193401871 | 3.7007924601 |
|  |  |  |  |


| C50 | 1.5786256522 | -4.7679895174 | 2.9054868321 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H51 | 2.4528974156 | -4.9175968166 | 2.2653895800 |
| H52 | 1.6239185477 | -5.5193401871 | 3.7007924601 |
| H53 | 1.6845991634 | -3.7875084927 | 3.3744492646 |

## Coordinates for $\mathbf{4 . 2 1}$

| angstroms |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| atom | x | y |  |
| Zr1 | -0.0250000000 | -0.0030000000 | -2.1550000000 |
| C2 | -0.0070000000 | 0.0000000000 | 0.1700000000 |
| C3 | 0.0270000000 | 0.0010000000 | 2.8950000000 |
| C4 | -0.0040000000 | -1.2270000000 | 0.8220000000 |
| C5 | 0.0100000000 | 1.2280000000 | 0.8210000000 |
| C6 | 0.0260000000 | 1.2270000000 | 2.2060000000 |
| C7 | 0.0120000000 | -1.2250000000 | 2.2080000000 |
| H8 | 0.0400000000 | 2.1600000000 | 2.7690000000 |
| H9 | 0.0150000000 | -2.1570000000 | 2.7720000000 |
| H10 | 0.0400000000 | 0.0020000000 | 3.9850000000 |
| C11 | -0.0180000000 | -2.3640000000 | -0.1010000000 |
| C12 | 0.0090000000 | 2.3630000000 | -0.1050000000 |
| N13 | -0.0300000000 | -2.1250000000 | -1.4170000000 |
| N14 | -0.0200000000 | -3.6920000000 | 0.0240000000 |
| N15 | -0.0060000000 | 2.1210000000 | -1.4200000000 |
| N16 | 0.0210000000 | 3.6910000000 | 0.0190000000 |
| C17 | -0.0400000000 | -3.2780000000 | -2.1480000000 |
| H18 | -0.0500000000 | -3.2420000000 | -3.2210000000 |
| C19 | -0.0340000000 | -4.2910000000 | -1.2240000000 |
| H20 | -0.0380000000 | -5.3640000000 | -1.2650000000 |
| C21 | -0.0040000000 | 3.2730000000 | -2.1520000000 |
| H22 | -0.0150000000 | 3.2360000000 | -3.2260000000 |
| C23 | 0.0130000000 | 4.2870000000 | -1.2300000000 |
| H24 | 0.0220000000 | 5.3610000000 | -1.2720000000 |
| B25 | -0.0110000000 | -4.3730000000 | 1.1940000000 |
| F26 | 0.9840000000 | -4.0960000000 | 1.8070000000 |
| F27 | -0.0190000000 | -5.5950000000 | 1.0040000000 |
| F28 | -0.9900000000 | -4.0860000000 | 1.8280000000 |
| B29 | 0.0400000000 | 4.3740000000 | 1.1870000000 |
| F30 | 1.0310000000 | 4.0840000000 | 1.8010000000 |
| F31 | -0.9430000000 | 4.1010000000 | 1.8220000000 |
| F32 | 0.0490000000 | 5.5940000000 | 0.9970000000 |
| C133 | -2.4460000000 | 0.0260000000 | -2.3870000000 |
| C134 | -0.0350000000 | 0.0020000000 | -4.5880000000 |
| C135 | 2.3930000000 | -0.0110000000 | -2.4160000000 |
| C |  |  |  |

## Coordinates for 4.21.S

| atom | x |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Zr1 | 0.0000000000 | 0.0000000000 | -1.6593602078 |
| C2 | 0.0000000000 | 0.0000000000 | 0.6360227852 |
| C3 | 0.0000000000 | 0.0000000000 | 3.4171489624 |
| C4 | 0.0000000000 | 1.2164638177 | 1.3387690018 |
| C5 | 0.0000000000 | -1.2164638177 | 1.3387690018 |
| C6 | 0.0000000000 | -1.2216707365 | 2.7395047888 |
| C7 | 0.0000000000 | 1.2216707365 | 2.7395047888 |
| H8 | 0.0000000000 | -2.1506228306 | 3.2955372190 |
| H9 | 0.0000000000 | 2.1506228306 | 3.2955372190 |
| H10 | 0.0000000000 | 0.0000000000 | 4.5039200366 |
| C11 | 0.0000000000 | 2.3851407557 | 0.4412741023 |
| C12 | 0.0000000000 | -2.3851407557 | 0.4412741023 |
| N13 | 0.0000000000 | 2.1293561438 | -0.8883815375 |
| N14 | 0.0000000000 | 3.7243922551 | 0.6556049021 |
| N15 | 0.0000000000 | -2.1293561438 | -0.8883815375 |
| N16 | 0.0000000000 | -3.7243922551 | 0.6556049021 |
| C17 | 0.0000000000 | 3.3412818826 | -1.5353863398 |
| H18 | 0.0000000000 | 3.4119794245 | -2.6120178055 |
| C19 | 0.0000000000 | 4.3245480063 | -0.5927517525 |
| H20 | 0.0000000000 | 5.3962297114 | -0.6845177535 |
| C21 | 0.0000000000 | -3.3412818826 | -1.5353863398 |
| H22 | 0.0000000000 | -3.4119794245 | -2.6120178055 |
| C23 | 0.0000000000 | -4.3245480063 | -0.5927517525 |
| H24 | 0.0000000000 | -5.3962297114 | -0.6845177535 |
| B25 | 0.0000000000 | 4.6023815811 | 1.9810014456 |
| B26 | 0.0000000000 | -4.6023815811 | 1.9810014456 |
| C127 | 2.4953409219 | 0.0000000000 | -1.6832452344 |
| C128 | 0.0000000000 | 0.0000000000 | -4.2302627253 |
| C129 | -2.4953409219 | 0.0000000000 | -1.6832452344 |
| F30 | -1.1509325298 | -4.3272338123 | 2.7318065112 |
| F31 | 1.1509325298 | -4.3272338123 | 2.7318065112 |
| F32 | 0.0000000000 | -5.9523657360 | 1.5676426569 |
| F33 | -1.1509325298 | 4.3272338123 | 2.7318065112 |
| F34 | 1.1509325298 | 4.3272338123 | 2.7318065112 |
| F35 | 0.0000000000 | 5.9523657360 | 1.5676426569 |
|  |  |  |  |

## Coordinates for $\mathbf{4 . 2 2}$

| atom | X | y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zr 1 | -0.0002875215 | -0.0012335840 | -2.2843189387 |
| C2 | 0.0003211737 | -0.0032979507 | 0.0399995832 |
| C3 | 0.0002350603 | -0.0008542995 | 2.8351366060 |
| C4 | 1.2095651755 | 0.0020876690 | 0.7477727763 |
| C5 | -1.2090798118 | -0.0065393728 | 0.7476546686 |
| C6 | -1.2144014846 | -0.0064264490 | 2.1507025473 |
| C7 | 1.2149299499 | 0.0040213987 | 2.1508182222 |
| H8 | -2.1306787064 | -0.0113915244 | 2.7281737858 |
| H9 | 2.1311637927 | 0.0098801027 | 2.7283616704 |
| H10 | 0.0001743873 | -0.0001264094 | 3.9199702439 |
| C11 | 2.3645272102 | 0.0066682484 | -0.1533091414 |
| C12 | -2.3643178561 | -0.0101675863 | -0.1531299899 |
| N13 | 2.1648805169 | 0.0146615263 | -1.4827093085 |
| N14 | 3.7021608498 | 0.0016053118 | 0.0930020892 |
| N15 | -2.1651915431 | -0.0208773473 | -1.4824632645 |
| N16 | -3.7019464791 | -0.0003376819 | 0.0934693036 |
| C17 | 3.3955064080 | 0.0151054274 | -2.0910478663 |
| H18 | 3.5024027265 | 0.0207616143 | -3.1638529548 |
| C19 | 4.3586810224 | 0.0068888164 | -1.1284578621 |
| H20 | 5.4342268521 | 0.0042187614 | -1.1910678267 |
| C21 | -3.3958244237 | -0.0190590081 | -2.0905254734 |
| H22 | -3.5031433282 | -0.0265033991 | -3.1632968142 |
| C23 | -4.3587454645 | -0.0060451484 | -1.1277670692 |
| H24 | -5.4342674365 | -0.0002051610 | -1.1902685149 |
| C25 | 4.3778105021 | -0.0105727409 | 1.3842079837 |
| H26 | 4.1289673583 | 0.8815662577 | 1.9601491033 |
| H27 | 5.4519265077 | -0.0214911732 | 1.2088995565 |
| H28 | 4.1097193917 | -0.9018943211 | 1.9524671830 |
| C29 | -4.3772811597 | 0.0186334958 | 1.3846884134 |
| H30 | -4.1032735049 | 0.9093340320 | 1.9510348575 |
| H31 | -4.1342202458 | -0.8739289266 | 1.9624535976 |
| H32 | -5.4513012907 | 0.0362626795 | 1.2094189095 |
| Cl33 | 0.0267235239 | -2.4606655445 | -2.1489816556 |
| Cl34 | 0.0132351940 | 0.0108423514 | -4.7675792292 |
| Cl 35 | -0.0398907256 | 2.4574927365 | -2.1352348787 |

## Coordinates for 4.22.S

| angstroms |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| atom | x | y | z |
| Zr1 | 0.0000000000 | 0.0000000000 | -1.1420938878 |
| C2 | 0.0000000000 | 0.0000000000 | 1.1828753089 |
| C3 | 0.0000000000 | 0.0000000000 | 3.9784332868 |
| C4 | 0.0000000000 | 1.2092614701 | 1.8908090723 |
| C5 | 0.0000000000 | -1.2092614701 | 1.8908090723 |
| C6 | 0.0000000000 | -1.2146142188 | 3.2939009593 |
| C7 | 0.0000000000 | 1.2146142188 | 3.2939009593 |
| H8 | 0.0000000000 | -2.1308130121 | 3.8715176199 |
| H9 | 0.0000000000 | 2.1308130121 | 3.8715176199 |
| H10 | 0.0000000000 | 0.0000000000 | 5.0632789270 |
| C11 | 0.0000000000 | 2.3645127085 | 0.9900643216 |
| C12 | 0.0000000000 | -2.3645127085 | 0.9900643216 |
| N13 | 0.0000000000 | 2.1646919413 | -0.3397217950 |
| N14 | 0.0000000000 | 3.7018955848 | 1.2365063496 |
| N15 | 0.0000000000 | -2.1646919413 | -0.3397217950 |
| N16 | 0.0000000000 | -3.7018955848 | 1.2365063496 |
| C17 | 0.0000000000 | 3.3960811283 | -0.9477238362 |
| H18 | 0.0000000000 | 3.5032078299 | -2.0206075852 |
| C19 | 0.0000000000 | 4.3586973668 | 0.0154862818 |
| H20 | 0.0000000000 | 5.4342294048 | -0.0468793307 |
| C21 | 0.0000000000 | -3.3960811283 | -0.9477238362 |
| H22 | 0.0000000000 | -3.5032078299 | -2.0206075852 |
| C23 | 0.0000000000 | -4.3586973668 | 0.0154862818 |
| H24 | 0.0000000000 | -5.4342294048 | -0.0468793307 |
| C25 | 0.0000000000 | 4.3773801481 | 2.5277958544 |
| H26 | -0.8917185537 | 4.1190278833 | 3.1000685192 |
| H27 | 0.0000000000 | 5.4515757592 | 2.3527778949 |
| H28 | 0.8917185537 | 4.1190278833 | 3.1000685192 |
| C29 | 0.0000000000 | -4.3773801481 | 2.5277958544 |
| H30 | -0.8917185537 | -4.1190278833 | 3.1000685192 |
| H31 | 0.8917185537 | -4.1190278833 | 3.1000685192 |
| H32 | 0.0000000000 | -5.4515757592 | 2.3527778949 |
| C133 | 2.4607622045 | 0.0000000000 | -1.0016946927 |
| C134 | 0.0000000000 | 0.0000000000 | -3.6255751756 |
| C135 | -2.4607622045 | 0.0000000000 | -1.0016946927 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Coordinates for 4.23

| angstroms |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| atom | x | y | Z |
| Zr1 | -0.0265728637 | 0.0007622980 | -2.2540706883 |
| C2 | -0.0112556621 | 0.0160440005 | 0.0620349353 |
| C3 | -0.0120062864 | 0.0108613469 | 2.8569375364 |
| C4 | 1.1979735555 | 0.0056672723 | 0.7761056835 |
| C5 | -1.2279185850 | 0.0234234620 | 0.7713262249 |
| C6 | -1.2263233810 | 0.0244038291 | 2.1737142561 |
| C7 | 1.2041965796 | 0.0005648763 | 2.1773983748 |
| H8 | -2.1394393635 | 0.0374044320 | 2.7521773577 |
| H9 | 2.1187590748 | -0.0105377101 | 2.7539770708 |
| H10 | -0.0142894522 | 0.0085954320 | 3.9411515357 |
| C11 | 2.3520574476 | 0.0024743257 | -0.1339673583 |
| C12 | -2.4003415210 | 0.0381847894 | -0.1193849678 |
| N13 | 2.1301823910 | -0.0041326159 | -1.4511424064 |
| N14 | 3.7199444429 | 0.0118380577 | 0.0597133470 |
| N15 | -2.2142102315 | 0.0725375911 | -1.4344708526 |
| N16 | -3.7710305096 | 0.0244099995 | 0.1087451377 |
| C17 | 3.3412505638 | 0.0030629072 | -2.1085920948 |
| H18 | 3.3909479798 | 0.0008151904 | -3.1849607803 |
| C19 | 4.3406285029 | 0.0124404770 | -1.1988030100 |
| H20 | 5.4099491046 | 0.0183752917 | -1.3039050678 |
| C21 | -3.4359772067 | 0.0881450629 | -2.0627187204 |
| H22 | -3.5285349552 | 0.1201273303 | -3.1360238210 |
| C23 | -4.4175415247 | 0.0584589192 | -1.1321515095 |
| H24 | -5.4888045039 | 0.0563788220 | -1.2138245163 |
| C25 | 4.4608561131 | 0.0187354938 | 1.2845222055 |
| C26 | -4.4897217035 | -0.0456211192 | 1.3437648061 |
| C127 | -0.4916241396 | -2.4081742518 | -2.1708958826 |
| C128 | 1.0033065191 | -0.1321714886 | -4.5018978347 |
| C129 | -0.3206246908 | 2.4326431800 | -2.3024153878 |
| F30 | -4.2605472545 | 1.0264954233 | 2.1165555778 |
| F31 | -4.1536968220 | -1.1355626761 | 2.0480968705 |
| F32 | -5.8031085187 | -0.1008025280 | 1.0927512291 |
| F33 | 4.1742290322 | 1.0943852276 | 2.0314629070 |
| F34 | 4.2030503940 | -1.0698137526 | 2.0238412879 |
| F35 | 5.7693496702 | 0.0397164799 | 1.0115632292 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Coordinates for 4.23.S

| atom | x | y | z |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zr1 | 0.0000000000 | 0.0000000000 | -1.6564473246 |
| C2 | 0.0000000000 | 0.0000000000 | 0.6603482122 |
| C3 | 0.0000000000 | 0.0000000000 | 3.4441318717 |
| C4 | 0.0000000000 | 1.2190342917 | 1.3631041702 |
| C5 | 0.0000000000 | -1.2190342917 | 1.3631041702 |
| C6 | 0.0000000000 | -1.2204002722 | 2.7653296922 |
| C7 | 0.0000000000 | 1.2204002722 | 2.7653296922 |
| H8 | 0.0000000000 | -2.1359777099 | 3.3443842363 |
| H9 | 0.0000000000 | 2.1359777099 | 3.3443842363 |
| H10 | 0.0000000000 | 0.0000000000 | 4.5284596077 |
| C11 | 0.0000000000 | 2.3752059014 | 0.4422306370 |
| C12 | 0.0000000000 | -2.3752059014 | 0.4422306370 |
| N13 | 0.0000000000 | 2.1373583891 | -0.8706013214 |
| N14 | 0.0000000000 | 3.7555212180 | 0.6007306608 |
| N15 | 0.0000000000 | -2.1373583891 | -0.8706013214 |
| N16 | 0.0000000000 | -3.7555212180 | 0.6007306608 |
| C17 | 0.0000000000 | 3.3278469992 | -1.5575672763 |
| H18 | 0.0000000000 | 3.3641176530 | -2.6348874118 |
| C19 | 0.0000000000 | 4.3460497569 | -0.6712846654 |
| H20 | 0.0000000000 | 5.4123374966 | -0.8008051906 |
| C21 | 0.0000000000 | -3.3278469992 | -1.5575672763 |
| H22 | 0.0000000000 | -3.3641176530 | -.6348874118 |
| C23 | 0.0000000000 | -4.3460497569 | -0.6712846654 |
| H24 | 0.0000000000 | -5.4123374966 | -0.8008051906 |
| C25 | 0.0000000000 | 4.5528599332 | 1.7914988777 |
| C26 | 0.0000000000 | -4.5528599332 | 1.7914988777 |
| C127 | 2.4510830465 | 0.0000000000 | -1.4380350632 |
| C128 | 0.0000000000 | 0.0000000000 | -4.1288037946 |
| C129 | -2.4510830465 | 0.0000000000 | -1.4380350632 |
| F30 | -1.0825526008 | -4.3197816609 | 2.5452522187 |
| F31 | 1.0825526008 | -4.3197816609 | 2.5452522187 |
| F32 | 0.0000000000 | -5.8486877511 | 1.4521444510 |
| F33 | -1.0825526008 | 4.3197816609 | 2.5452522187 |
| F34 | 1.0825526008 | 4.3197816609 | 2.5452522187 |
| F35 | 0.0000000000 | 5.8486877511 | 1.4521444510 |
|  |  | 0 |  |

## Coordinates for 4.24

| atom | x |  | y |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Zr1 | -0.0024653149 | -0.0233913954 | -2.2274004216 |
| C2 | 0.0001231212 | -0.0225509700 | 0.0938390331 |
| C3 | 0.0002617404 | -0.0250010524 | 2.8942792252 |
| C4 | 1.2020212696 | -0.0259633540 | 0.8054174219 |
| C5 | -1.2021326738 | -0.0209952260 | 0.8053262355 |
| C6 | -1.2143021121 | -0.0215375167 | 2.2056073068 |
| C7 | 1.2149193216 | -0.0275766399 | 2.2056238423 |
| H8 | -2.1416540943 | -0.0195184408 | 2.7737170116 |
| H9 | 2.1424030310 | -0.0309768329 | 2.7735980097 |
| H10 | 0.0002712090 | -0.0258348917 | 3.9788465886 |
| C11 | 2.3536148570 | -0.0272380554 | -0.0884966178 |
| C12 | -2.3555297559 | -0.0204251471 | -0.0864279338 |
| N13 | 2.1851021884 | -0.0250960505 | -1.4183622202 |
| N14 | 3.6790994824 | -0.0279800892 | 0.1846322309 |
| N15 | -2.1910587975 | -0.0199683676 | -1.4165440963 |
| N16 | -3.6804191230 | -0.0254495748 | 0.1902863744 |
| C17 | 3.4367626209 | -0.0228313170 | -1.9940020473 |
| H18 | 3.5694429240 | -0.0194821957 | -3.0638468774 |
| C19 | 4.3801871532 | -0.0249976934 | -1.0101839815 |
| H20 | 5.4564192591 | -0.0255684059 | -1.0448839165 |
| C21 | -3.4442091559 | -0.0266413471 | -1.9886145202 |
| H22 | -3.5809844894 | -0.0287777131 | -3.0579165447 |
| C23 | -4.3847129838 | -0.0294703504 | -1.002821820 |
| H24 | -5.4609255707 | -0.0327127004 | -1.0343332000 |
| C125 | -0.0175611689 | -2.4780393758 | -2.0752238386 |
| C126 | 0.0699757108 | -0.0233977361 | -4.7054405916 |
| C127 | -0.0168386467 | 2.4315910794 | -2.0784233643 |
| H28 | -4.0862208215 | -0.0273471103 | 1.1118030420 |
| H29 | 4.0872606265 | -0.0289601210 | 1.1053864328 |

## Coordinates for 4.24.S

angstroms

| atom | x | y | z |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Zr1 | 0.0000000000 | 0.0000000000 | -0.9729966652 |
| C2 | 0.0000000000 | 0.0000000000 | 1.3478387209 |
| C3 | 0.0000000000 | 0.0000000000 | 4.1477357766 |
| C4 | 0.0000000000 | 1.2020284135 | 2.0586416224 |
| C5 | 0.0000000000 | -1.2020284135 | 2.0586416224 |
| C6 | 0.0000000000 | -1.2146922257 | 3.4589508747 |
| C7 | 0.0000000000 | 1.2146922257 | 3.4589508747 |
| H8 | 0.0000000000 | -2.1420841266 | 4.0272490794 |
| H9 | 0.0000000000 | 2.1420841266 | 4.0272490794 |
| H10 | 0.0000000000 | 0.0000000000 | 5.2323888513 |
| C11 | 0.0000000000 | 2.3540827615 | 1.1655479268 |
| C12 | 0.0000000000 | -2.3540827615 | 1.1655479268 |
| N13 | 0.0000000000 | 2.1879151155 | -0.1646133343 |
| N14 | 0.0000000000 | 3.6794143067 | 1.4409991078 |
| N15 | 0.0000000000 | -2.1879151155 | -0.1646133343 |
| N16 | 0.0000000000 | -3.6794143067 | 1.4409991078 |
| C17 | 0.0000000000 | 3.4402822944 | -0.7382164645 |
| H18 | 0.0000000000 | 3.5756759345 | -1.8077752722 |
| C19 | 0.0000000000 | 4.3826722666 | 0.2471007217 |
| H20 | 0.0000000000 | 5.4592415103 | 0.2139246676 |
| C21 | 0.0000000000 | -3.4402822944 | -0.7382164645 |
| H22 | 0.0000000000 | -3.5756759345 | -1.8077752722 |
| C23 | 0.0000000000 | -4.3826722666 | 0.2471007217 |
| H24 | 0.0000000000 | -5.4592415103 | 0.2139246676 |
| C125 | 2.4543468665 | 0.0000000000 | -0.8157162078 |
| C126 | 0.0000000000 | 0.0000000000 | -3.4521083645 |
| C127 | -2.4543468665 | 0.0000000000 | -0.8157162078 |
| H28 | 0.0000000000 | -4.0864002344 | 2.3626214984 |
| H29 | 0.0000000000 | 4.0864002344 | 2.3626214984 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Determined by integration of the $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{H}$ signal in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum.

