UM-HSRI-78-12-3

Appendices

IMPROVED PASSENGER CAR BRAKING PERFORMANCE

R.D. Ervin J.D. Campbell M. Sayers H. Bunch

Contract Number DOT-HS-6-01368 Contract Amount: \$193,655

March, 1978

Highway Safety Research Institute . The University of Michigan

Prepared for:

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration U. S. Department of Transportation

Technical Report Documentation Page

			-	-
1. Report No. UM-HSRI-78-12-3	. Gevernment Acces	sion No.	3. Recipient's Catalog P	10.
4. Title and Subside			5 Report Date	
			March 1070	
IMPROVED PASSENGER CA	R BRAKING P	ERFORMANCE	March 1978	C 1-
Appendices			o. renonking Urgonizeli	01 2004
			5. Performing Organizati	an Report No.
7. Author's) Ervin, R.D., Campb	ell, J.D.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		10 0
Savers, M., and Bunch	, Н.		UM-MSK1-78-	12-3
9. Performing Organization Name and Address		*****	10. Work Unit No.	
Highway Safety Research In	stitute			
The University of Michigan			11. Contract or Grant No).
Huron Parkway & Baxter Roa	d		DOT-HS-6-013	68
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109			13. Type of Report and F	Period Covered
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address National Highway Traffic S	afoty Admin	ictration	Final	
I S Depentment of Theres	arely Admin	13 11 4 11011	6176-21	70
U. S. Department of Transp	Urtation		0/10-2/	10
Wasnington, D.C. 20590			14. Sponsoring Agency (lade .
	•			
the stopping distance requ Systems." Conditions of i as well as straight-line b test programs were conduct the examination of the can all of the study activitie the measure for evaluating methods.	nterest inc nterest inc oraking and ed and vari didate test s, only sto the utilit	FMVSS 105-75 luded low and braking-in-a- ous analytica conditions a pping distand y of the cand	, "Hydraulic B I split frictio turn maneuvers I efforts were and methods. T e performance Hidate conditio	rake n surfaces . Two large applied to hroughout was taken as ns and
dition constitutes a viabl 75. It was also found tha significantly from stoppin Further, stopping distance generally useful as charac	e extension t stopping d distances s on split terizations	of the stopp distances in measured in friction surf of vehicle s	oing requiremen a turn do not straight-line faces do not ap safety quality.	ts of 105- differ braking. pear
			•	
17. Key Words		18. Distribution State	nen!	
friction, braking, split f	riction,			
vehicles, stopping distance	ce,			
standards		UNLIMI	TED	
ci. Security Classic, (of this report)	a), Security Class	ul, (of this page)	21. Na. ut Poges	22, Puc.
NONE	NONE			1

۱.

Prepared for the Department of Transportation, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration under Contract No. DOT-HS-01368. The opinions, findings, and conclusions expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.

÷

2 9

:

1

 \dot{i}

TABLE OF CONTENTS

APPENDIX A - Data from Twelve-Car Survey Test Program Vehicle Descriptions and Data Summaries	-	•	•	•	•	1
APPENDIX B - Calculated Results of a Quasi-Static Analysis	•	•	•	•	•	39
APPENDIX C - Data from In-Depth Test Program on Five Cars - Vehicle Descriptions and Data Summaries .	•	•	•	•	•	71
APPENDIX D - Simulation Results Using Dynamic Braking Model	•	•	•	•	•	111
APPENDIX E - A Look at the Accuracy of Simplified Methods for Computing Reference Vehicle Ideal Stopping Distance for the Braking Efficiency Test Technique	•	•	•	•	•	167
APPENDIX F - Antilock Braking Model	•	•	•	•	•	177
APPENDIX G - Test Sequence and Procedure	•	•	•	•	•	191

APPENDIX A

DATA FROM TWELVE CAR SURVEY TEST PROGRAM VEHICLE DISCRIPTIONS AND DATA SUMMERIES.

VEHICLE INFORMATION SHEET Vehicle: Make: CHEVETTE NHTSA NO. Model: 2 DR. SEDNIN GUNR: 2790 Model Year: 1976 Manufacture Date: 03/76 V.I.N. 1 BOSE 6 Y 22 31 24 Wheelbase: <u>94.311</u> Engine Type: <u>4 CLY</u> Displacement: <u>98 in Hp: 60</u> Engine Idle Speed: _____ Engine Timing: **Transmission:** Type: AVTO Speeds: 3 No. of Axles: ____ Ratio(s) ___ GAWR: Front: 1297 Rear: 1493 Size: P155/80013 HEr: GUDDYEAR . Tires: Sype: 2 PLY POLYESTER MINICUSTUM 68 Recommended Pressure at GVWR: 24 psi front • <u>24</u> psi rear) Drum (/) Disc Diam:_ Brakesi Front: (**(**) Bonded (] Riveted Friction Surface Width: _____ Length: _____ Rear: (1/) Drum () Disc Diam:) Bonded () Riveted Triction Surface Width: Length: Variable Proportioning System: Yes _____ No Brake Power Assist Unit: Yes No Brake Power Unit W/Accumulator:Yes No Power Assist or Power Unit **₩/**Backup: Yes No Antiskid Devica: Yes :lo Kfr ____ Parking Mechanism: (see definition) Yos ____ No

Brakes (contd): Friction-type Parking Brake: Hand Operated ()

Foot Operated ()

Wonservice Drake Type Parking Brake: Hand Operated ()

.

· •

Foot Operated ()

• Master Cylinder Diameter:

Wheel Cylinder Diameter:

•

Describe Hydraulic Circuit Split:

Will adjusters be locked out for this test series?

Yes No V

..

Vchicle •

Vehicle:	Makes PINTO NHTSA NO
• •	Model: STH. WHG. GVWR: 3923
	Model Year: 1976 Manufacture Date: 2176
•	V.I.N.: 6x12y208/75 Wheelbase: 94.811.
· .	Engine Type: <u>4 CYL.</u> Displacement: <u>1401</u> , Hp: <u>92</u>
	Engine Idle Speed: Engine Timing:
	Transmission: Type: <u>AVTO</u> Speeds: <u></u>
	No. of Axles: 2 Ratio(s)
•	GAWR: Front: 1833 Rear: 2140
Tires:	Size: B-78-13 HEr: 6000 YEAP
	Sype: POWER CUSTUM POLYESTER.
•	Recommended Pressure at GVWR: -24 psi front
•	28 psi rear
Brakes:	Front: () Drum (/) Disc Diam:
• • •	() Bonded () Riveted
	Friction Surface Width: Length:
	Rear: (/) Drum () Disc Diam:
•	() Bonded () Riveted
•	Friction Surface Width: Length:
•	Variable Proportioning System: Yes No
	Brake Power Assist Unit: Yes No
•	Brake Power Unit W/Accumulator:Yes No
	Power Assist or Power Unit W/Backup: YcsNo
•	Antiskid Device: Yes No
•	Parking Mechanism: Kfr No

۰.

:

4

contd):	Friction-type Parking Brake: Hand Operated (1/	
.•.	• Foot Operated ()	
•	Nonservice Drake Type Parking Brake: Hand Operated	()
• •	Foot Operated	()
••••	Naster Cylinder Diameter:	
•	Wheel Cylinder Diameter:	
	Doscribe Hydraulic Circuit Split:	
•	FRONT/PEAR	
•••		
	NIII adjusters be locked out for this test series?	

No

VEHICLE INFORMATION SHEET Wehicle: Make: ANIC FREMILIN MATSA NO. -Model: 2 DR. SEDAN GVWR: 3911 Hodel Year: 1976 Manufacture Date: 5/76 V.I.N. : A6114656742485 Wheelbase: 96.0 11 Engine Type: 6 CYL. Displacement: 258 IN. Hp: 95 Engine Idle Speed: ____ Engine Timing: ___ **Transmission:** Type: AVTV Speeds: 3 No. of Axles: ____ Ratio(s) ____ GAWR: Front: 2076 Rear: 1836 Size: 6.95-14 MEr: FIRESTONE . **fires**: SYPC: PELVXE CHAMPION POLYESTER. Recommended Pressure at GVWR: 24 psi front · 24 psi rear) Drum (//) Disc Diam: Front: (Brakes: () Bonded () Riveted Friction Surface Width: _____ Length: _____ Rear: (/) Drum () Disc Diam:) Bonded () Riveted Triction Surface Width: Length: Variable Proportioning System: Yes _____ No Brake Power Assist Unit: Yes _____ No ____ Brake Power Unit W/Accumulator:Yes No Power Assist or Power Unit No V/Backup: Yes Antiskid Device: Yes :10 Kfr_ Parking Mechanism: (see definition) Yas No

..

Brakes (contd): Friction-type Parking Brake: Hand Operated ()

Foot Operated ()

Wonservice Drake Type Parking Brake: Hand Operated ()

: Foot Operated ()

• Haster Cylinder Diameter:

Mheel Cylinder Diameter:

Describe Hydraulic Circuit Split:

Tes _____

FRONTIREHR

Will adjusters be locked out for this test series?

•

No /

...

Vehicles	Hake: NOVA NHTSA NO.	
. • •	Model: 2 DR. SEDAN GVWR: 4604	
·	Model Year: 1976 Manufacture Date: 317	5
	V.I.N.: 1×2706W185015 Wheelbase: 111.01N	
	Engine Type: 6 CVL Displacement: 250 W Hp: 105	
	Engine Idle Speed: Engine Timing:	
•••	Transmission: Type: AVTO Speeds:	
••••	No. of Axles: Ratio(s)	
· ·	CAWR: Front: 2132 Rear: 2472	
Tirest	Size: FR-78-14 HEr: UNIROYAL	
•	SYPC: STEEL RELTED RADIAL .	
	Recommended Pressure at GVWR: $\frac{24}{5}$ psi front	
• •	· 24 psi rear	
Brakess	Front: () Drum (\checkmark) Disc Diam:	
•	() Bonded () Riveted	
	Friction Surface Width: Length:	
	Rear: (/) Drum () Disc Diam:	
	() Bonded () Riveted	
	Friction Surface Width: Length:	
:. ·	Variable Proportioning System: Yes No	•
•••	Brake Power Assist Unit: Yes No V	
	Brake Power Unit W/Accumulator:Yes No	
•	Pover Assist or Power Unit V/Backup: YcsNO	
•	Antiskid Device: Yes No	
• • •	Parking Hechanism: Mfr (see definition) Yes	
	• •	

ſ.

Brakes (contd):	Friction-type Parking Brake: Hand Ope	rated	()	
	. Foot Ope	rated	4	
• •	Nonservice Drake Type Parking Brake:	Hand	Operated	(
•		Foot	Operated	(
•	Raster Cylinder Diameter:	•		
•	Wheel Cylinder Diameter:			
	Describe Hydraulic Circuit Split:			
• • • •	FRONTPEAR			
•••				

Will adjusters be locked out for this test series?

Yes

NO /

VEHICLE INFORMATION SHEET Vehicles Makes AINC PACER MITSA NO. Model: 2 DK. SEDNIN GVWR: 4378 Nodel Year: 1976 Manufacture Date: 1/76 V.I.N.: A6196676271877 Wheelbase: 100.011. Engine Type: 6 CYL, Displacement: 258 M Hp: 98 Engine Idle Speed: _____ Engine Timing: ____ **Transmission:** Type: <u>AUTO</u> Speeds: <u></u> No. of Axles: 2 Ratio(s) -GAWR: Front: 2166 Rear: 2214 Size: 6.95-14 HEr: GUDYEAR . Tires: SYPE: POWER CUSHION POLYESTER. Recommended Pressure at GVWR: 26 psi front . 24 psi rear Brakess Front: () Drum (/) Disc Diam: Ċ Bonded () Riveted • • • Friction Surface Width: _____ Length: _____ Rear: (/) Drum (] Disc Diam: _) Bonded () Riveted Triction Surface Width: _____ Length: _____ Variable Proportioning System: Yes No \checkmark Yes No Brake Power Assist Unit: Brake Power Unit W/Accumulator:Yes _____ No Power Assist or Power Unit W/Backup: ____No Yos Antiskid Device: 30 Yca Kfr ____ Parking Mechanism: (see definition) Yas _ No

Brakes (contd):	Friction-type Parking Brake: Hand Operated ()
••	• Foot Operated ()
	Nonservice Drake Type Parking Brake: Hand Operated ()
· · ·	Foot Operated ()
• • •	Master Cylinder Diameter:
•	Wheel Cylinder Diameter:
	Describe Hydraulic Circuit Split:
•	FRONT/REAR
•	
	Nill adjusters be locked out for this test series?
•	Yes No

×27	VEHICLE INFORMATION SHEET
Vchicles	Make: VOLVO 244DL MITSA NO.
• •	Nodel: 4 DR. SEDHIN GUNR: 4030
·	Model Years 1976 Hanufacture Dates 9-75
•	V.I.N.: VC 2445152092348 Wheelbase:
	Engine Type: 4CYL. Displacement: Hp:
	Engine Idle Speed: Engine Timing:
• • •	Transmission: Type: <u>AVTo</u> Speeds: <u>3</u> ?
	No. of Axles: Ratio(s)
	CAWR: Front: 1885 Rear: 2180
Tires:	Size: 175-14 MIR: MICHELIN
	Sype: R19171192
	Recommended Pressure at GVWR: 26 psi front
	· <u>28</u> psi rear
Brakess	Front: () Drum (1/) Disc Diam:
	() Bonded () Riveted
	Friction Surface Hidth: Length:
	Rear: () Drum (//) Disc Diam:
	() Bonded () Riveted
•	Friction Surface Width: Length:
	Variable Proportioning System: Yes No
•••	Brake Power Assist Unit: Yes No
•	Brake Power Unit W/Accumulator:Yes No
	Power Assist or Power Unit V/Backup: Yos No
••••••	Antiskid Device: Yes
	Parking Hechanism: Hfr
••••	(see definition) Yes No

VEHICLE	INFORMATION	SHEET
---------	-------------	-------

	Friction-type Parking Brake	: Hand Ope	rated ()
· .	•	Foot Ope	rated ()
•	Nonservice Drake Type Parki	ng Brake:	Hand Operated (1)
•	•		Foot Operated ()
' , •	Master Cylinder Diameter:		
•	Wheel Cylinder Diameters		
	Describe Hydraulic Circuit	Split:	
•	TRINNELE SPL	IT	
•••		•	
•			
•	Will adjusters be locked ou	t for this	test series?
	Will adjusters be locked ou Yes	t for this	test series?
	Will adjusters be locked ou Yes	t for this	test series?
	Will adjusters be locked ou Yes	t for this No	test series?
	Will adjusters be locked ou Yes	t for this	test series?

	• VEHICLE INFORMATION SHEET
lclei	Make: MONTE CHPLO MITSA NO
• •	Kodel: 2 DR. SPORT COUPE GUR: 5587
	Nodel Year: 1976 Manufacture Date: 7/76
· · .	V.I.N. 1 <u>H57V61505255</u> Wheelbase: 116 IN.
•	Engine Type: V-8 Displacement: 400 IN. Hp: 175
. · ·	Engine Idle Speed: Engine Timing:
•: •	Transmission: Type: <u>AUTU</u> Speeds:
•	No. of Axles: Ratio(s)
• .	CAVR: Front: 2749 Rear: 2838
cs:	Size: GRJD-15 Hfr: UNIROYAL
•	Sype: STEEL BELTED PADIAL .
	Becommended Pressure at GVWR: 2.9 psi front
•	· <u>28</u> psi rear
kes:	Front: () Drum (1) Disc Diam:
•	() Bonded () Riveted
	Friction Surface Width: Length:
	Rear: (1) Drum () Disc Diam:
	() Bonded () Riveted
	Friction Surface Width: Length:
•	Variable Proportioning System: Yes No
	Brake Power Assist Unit: Yes No
•	Brake Power Unit W/Accumulator:Yes No
• •	V/Dackup: Yes No
•	Antiskid Device: Yes No
	Parking Mechanism: Mfr
• • •	

[.]

:•

Vch

۴i

Bra

	VEHICLE INFORMATION SHEET
Vehicles	Make: PLYNIUTH FULY MITSA NO
	Nodel: 4 11. 527111 GVWR: 5750
·	Nodel Year: 1977 Manufacture Date: 9/76
	V.I.N.: R141N7A119804 Wheelbase: 117.4
•	Engine Type: <u>V-S</u> Displacement: <u>36018</u> Hp: <u>155</u>
· · · · ·	Engine Idle Speed: Engine Timing:
•.•	Transmission: Type: AVTO Speeds: 3
••••	No. of Axles: Ratio(s)
	CAWR: Front: 2855 Rear: 2945
Tires:	Size: <u>GR70-15</u> HIr: <u>GDDDYEAR</u>
•	Sype: CVSTOM STEELGARD .
•	Recommended Pressure at GVWR: <u>26</u> psi front
• •	· <u>26</u> psi rear
Brakess	Front: () Drum () Disc Diam:
•••	() Bonded () Riveted
,	Friction Surface Hidth: Length:
•	Rear: (1) Drum () Disc Diam:
	() Bonded () Riveted
•	friction Surface Width: Length:
•••••	Variable Proportioning System: Yes V No
•	Brake Power Assist Unit: Yes V No
	Brake Power Unit W/Accumulator:Yes No
•	V/Backup: Yos No
•	Antiskid Device: Yes No
•	Parking Mechanism: Kir
• • • . •	

1.0

 Brakes
 (contd):
 Friction-type Parking Brake: Hand Operated ()

 Foot Operated ()
 Foot Operated ()

 Nonservice Drake Type Parking Brake: Hand Operated ()

 Foot Operated ()

 Naster Cylinder Diameter:

 Wheel Cylinder Diameter:

 Describe Hydraulic Circuit Split:

 Front/PEAR

Will adjusters be locked out for this test series?

Yes _____ No ____

•

.

12.1	VEHICLE INFORMATION SHEET
Vehicles	Make: FURD TORINO NHTSA NO.
• •	Nodel: 4 DR. SEIDHN GWR: 5957
•	Model Year: 1976 Manufacture Date: 3/76
	V.I.N.: 6H25H167254 Wheelbase: 118.011
•	Engine Type: V-8 Displacement: 25/1118 Hp: 154
	Engine Idle Speed: Engine Timing:
••	Transmission: Type: <u><i>HUTO</i></u> Speeds: <u>3</u>
•••••	No. of Axles: Ratio(s)
	CAWR: Front: _ 3016 Rear: _ 2991
Tirest	Size: <u>HR-78-14</u> MIR: <u>UNIROYAL</u>
•	TYPE: STEEL BELTED RADIAL .
	Recommended Pressure at GVWR: 24 psi front
	· <u>24</u> psi rear
Brakes:	Front: () Drum (1) Disc Diam:
	() Bonded () Riveted
	Friction Surface Width: Length:
	Rear: (/) Drum () Disc Diam:
	() Bonded () Riveted
	Friction Surface Width: Length:
	Variable Proportioning System: Yes No
•	Brake Power Assist Unit: Yes No
	Brake Power Unit W/Accumulator:Yes No
	V/Backup: Yes No
	Antiskid Device: Yes No
	Parking Mechanism: Nfr
••••	

18

ł

	Enlation-tune Dark	the Drokes Hand O	
oncer i	reaction-type rais	ing braker hand U	perated ()
	•	foot O	perated ()
• •	Nonservice Drake 1	Type Parking Brake	: Hand Operated ()
• •	•		Foot Operated ()
	Master Cylinder Di	lameter:	-
•	Wheel Cylinder Dia	ameter:	
•••	Doscribe Hydraulic	: Circuit Split:	
	FRO	NT/REAR	
•	· •		
•			
	·		
	••••••		
•	Nill adjusters be	locked out for th	is test series?
	Nill adjusters be	locked out for th	is test series?
	Nill adjusters be	locked out for th	is test series?
	Nill adjusters be Yes	locked out for th	is test series?
	Will adjusters be Yes	locked out for th	is test series?
	Nill adjusters be Yes	locked out for th	is test series?
	Nill adjusters be Yes	locked out for th	is test series?
	Nill adjusters be Yes	locked out for th	is test series?
	Nill adjusters be Yes	locked out for th	is test series?
	Nill adjusters be Yes	locked out for th	is test series?

	VEHICLE INFORMATION SHEET
Vehicles	Makes BUICK LESAARE NHTSA NO
• •	Rodel: 2 DR SEDHN CVWR: 6140
	Rodel Year: 1976 Manufacture Date: 3/76
	V.I.N.: 4P695641519155 Wheelbase: 124 1N.
	Engine Type: V-8 Displacement: 350 IN. Hp: 135
	Engine Idle Speed: Engine Timing:
	Transmission: Type: <u>AVTU</u> Speeds:
• •	No. of Axles: Ratio(s)
	CANR: Front: 2984 Rear: 3156
Tires:	Size: <u>HR-78-15</u> KIr: UNIROYAL
•	Sype: STEEL RELTED PAPIAL
	Recommended Pressure at GVWR: 24 psi front
	· <u>24</u> psi rear
Brakess	Front: () Drum (1) Disc Diam:
	() Bonded () Riveted
	Friction Surface Hidth: Length:
•	Rear: () Drum () Disc Diam:
	() Bonded () Riveted
	Friction Surface Width: Length:
•••	Variable Proportioning System: Yes No
•	Brake Power Assist Unit: Yes No
•	Brake Power Unit w/Accumulator:Yes No
	Power Assist or Power Unit V/Backup: Yes No /
•	Antiskid Device: Yes
•	Parking Mechanism: Mfr
••••	* * * * * NO

:•

Brakes

(contd): Friction-type Parking Brake: Hand Operated ()

Foot Operated (4

Nonservice Drake Type Parking Brake: Hand Operated () Foot Operated () .

.

· .

• Master Cylinder Diameter:

Wheel Cylinder Diameter:

Describe Hydraulic Circuit Split:

FRONT/PEAR

Yes _____ No ____

Nill adjusters be locked out for this test series?

VEHICLE INFORMATION SHEET Vehicles Makes FURP LTD MHTSA NO. ____ Hodel: 4 Dr SEDAN CVWR: 6384 Nodel Year: : 1976 Manufacture Date: 3/76 V.I.N. 16 B6 3H194520 Wheelbase: /21.DIN. Engine Type: 1-8 Displacement: 35111. Hp: 112 Engine Idle Speed: _____ Engine Timing: ____ Transmission: Type: AVTO Speeds: 3 No. of Axles: 2 Ratio(s) -GAWR: Front: 3177 Rear: 3266 Size: HR-78-15 HEr: UNIROYAL . Tiress Type: STEEL BELTED RADIAL Recommended Pressure at GVWR: 26 psi front . 28 psi rear) Drum () Disc Diam: Brakes: Front: () Bonded () Riveted Friction Surface Width: _____ Length: Rear: (//) Drum () Disc Diam:) Bonded () Riveted Friction Surface Width: Length: Variable Proportioning System: Yes ____ No Brake Power Assist Unit: Yes V No Brake Power Unit w/Accumulator:Yes _____ No ____ Power Assist or Power Unit No W/Backup: Yos Antiskid Devices Yes Kfr ____ Parking Mechanism: (see definition) Yes _ No

VEHICLE INFORMATION SHEET

Brakes (contd): Friction-type Parking Brake: Hand Operated () Foot Operated (1) Nonservice Drake Type Parking Brake: Hand Operated () Foot Operated () • Master Cylinder Diamater: Wheel Cylinder Diameter: Describe Hydraulic Circuit Split: FRONTIPEHR Will adjusters be locked out for this test series? No Yes _

	· · ·
×	VEHICLE INFORMATION SHEET
chicle:	Hake: DODGE NIUNACO MITSA NO
. • •	Nodel: 4 DP. SEDIN GVWR: 6265
	Nodel Year: 1977 Manufacture Date: 6-76
•	V.I.N.: <u>DH41K60213815</u> Wheelbase: 117.4 11
	Engine Type: 1-8 Displacement: 360 14 Hp: 155
•••	Engine Idle Speed: Engine Timing:
•	Transmission: Type: <u>AUTO</u> Speeds: <u>3</u>
· · · ·	No. of Axles: Ratio(s)
	CAVR: Front: 3055 Rear: 3260
ires:	Size: <u>HR-78-15</u> HEr: MICHELIN
•	Sype: STREL BELTER RADIAL
	Recommended Pressure at GVWR: <u>30</u> psi front
•	· <u>30</u> psi rear
rakess	Front: () Drum () Disc Diam:
	() Bonded () Riveted
	Priction Surface Hidth: Length:
	Rear: (/) Drum () Disc Diam:
	() Bonded () Riveted
•	Triction Surface Width: Length:
•••	Variable Proportioning System: Yes No
•	Brake Power Assist Unit: Yes No
	Brake Power Unit W/Accumulator:Yes No
•	Power Assist or Power Unit V/Backup: Yos No
•	Antiskid Device: Yes No
•	Parking Mechanism: Mfr (see definition) Yes

(.

.....

ł

•

v

 Brakes (contd):
 Friction-type Parking Brake: Hand Operated ()

 Foot Operated ()

 Nonservice Drake Type Parking Brake: Hand Operated ()

 Foot Operated ()

 Naster Cylinder Diameter:

 Wheel Cylinder Diameter:

 Doscribe Hydraulic Circuit Split:

 Frent / TK PP

 Nill adjusters be locked out for this test series?

 Yes

 No







An Experimental Measure of Stopping Distance vs. Brake Pressure Build Up Time.

A brief experiment was conducted with the 1977 Dodge Monaco to observe the change in stopping distance from 40 m.p.h. on wet jennite vs. brake line pressure build up time. Figure A.3. shows the result. Stopping distance is seen to increase linearly with increasing pressure build up time with a slope of about 42 feet/second.

i





Table A.1. Minimum Stopping Distances on Dry Concrete, Straight Line and In-A-Turn. Initial Velocity = 60 m.p.h. Turn Radius = 801 feet. Initial Lateral Acceleration = 0.3g. Surface Skid No. SN40 = 80. Nominal Peak Friction = 0.95.

VEHICLE	STRAIGHT		RIGHT TURN	TURN AVERAGE
Chevette	171 ft.	183 ft.	190 ft.	186 ft.
Pinto Wagon	157	166	171	169
Gremlin	184	174	185	179
Nova	177	186	203	194
Pacer	202	225	219	222
Volvo 244	162	164	174	169
Monte Carlo	154	158	159	158
Fury	171	175	167	171
Torino	152	156	154	155
Buick Lesabre	162	163	166	164
Ford LTD	173	182	169	175
Dodge Monaco	165	164	171	167

Table A.2. Minimum Stopping Distances on Wet Jennite, Straight Line and In-A-Turn. Initial Velocity = 40 m.p.h. Turn Radius = 535 feet. Initial Lateral Acceleration = 0.2g. Surfact Skid No. SN = 30. Nominal Peak Friction = 0.70.

VEHICLE	STRAIGHT		RIGHT TURN	TURN AVERAGE
Chevette	114 ft.	120 ft.	111 ft.	115 ft.
Pinto Wagon	116	102	109	105
Gremlin	125	134	134	134
Nova	110	112	106	109
Pacer	150	151	152	151
Volvo 244	129	122	126	124
Monte Carlo	87	114	91	102
Fury	100	105	103	104
Torino	117	114	117	115
Buick Lesabre	95	102	100	101
Ford LTD	100	105	116	110
Dodge Monaco	98	103	105	104

31

÷

ľ,

Table A.3. Differences Between Left and Right Turning Stopping Distances on High Coefficient (Dry Concrete) and Low Coefficient (Wet Jennite) Surfaces.

Ş.

VEHICLE	HIGH COEFFICIENT DIFFERENCE FEET (%)		LOW COEFFICIENT DIFFERENCE FEET (%)	
Chevette	7	(3.8)	9*	(8.1)
Pinto Wagon	5	(3.0)	7	(6.9)
Gremlin	11	(6.3)	0	(0.0)
Nova	. 17	(9.1)	6*	(5.7)
Pacer	6*	(2.7)	1	(0.6)
Volvo 244	10	(6.1)	4	(3.3)
Small Car Average	9.3 ft.	(5.2)	4.5 ft.	(4.1)
Monte Carlo]	(0.6)	23*	(25.)
Fury	8*	(4.8)	2*	(1.9)
Torino	2*	(1.2)	3	(2.6)
Buick Lesabre	3	(1.8)	2*	(2.0)
Ford LTD	13*	(7.7)	11	(10.)
Dodge Monaco	7	(4.3)	2	(1.9)
Larg e Car Average	5.6 ft.	(3.4)	7.1 ft.	(7.3)
Overall Average	7.5 ft	(4.3)	5.8 ft.	(5.7)

* Right Turning Stopping Distance Shorter Than Left Turning Stopping Distance.

32

:

Ì

`.
Table A.4. Differences Between Straight Line and Braking-In-A-Turn Stopping Distances on High Coefficient (Dry Concrete) and Low coefficient (Wet Jennite) Surfaces.

VEHICLE	HIG COEFFI DIFFER FEET	H CIENT ENCE (%)	LOW COEFFICIENT DIFFERENCE FEET (%)		
Chevette	15	(8.8)	J	(0.9)	
Pinto Wagon	12	(7.6)	11*	(9.4)	
Gremlin	5*	(2.7)	9	(7.2)	
Nova	17	(9.6)] *	(0.9)	
Pacer	20	(9.9)	1	(0.7)	
Volvo 244	7	(4.3)	5*	(3.9)	
Small Car Average	12.7 ft.	(7.2)	4.7 ft.	(3.8)	
Monte Carlo	4	(2.6)	15	(17.2)	
Fury	0	(0.0)	4	(4.0)	
Torino	3	(2.0)	2*	(1.7)	
Buick Lesabre	· 2	(1.2)	6	(6.3)	
Ford LTD	2	(1.2)	10	(10.0)	
Dodge Monaco	2	(1.2)	6	(6.1)	
Large Car Average	2.2 ft.	(1.4)	7.2 ft.	(7.6)	
Overall Average	7.4 ft.	(4.3)	5.9 ft.	(5.7)	

۰.

i

4

* In-A-Turn Stopping Distance Shorter Than Straight Line Stopping Distance.

Table A.5. Repeatability of Experimental Stopping Distance Measurements Expressed as the Percentage Difference Over Three Stops Made at the Same Pedal Force.

۰.

.

1

1

3

	HIGH		LOW COFFEICIENT			
VEHICLE	ST	LŢ	RT	ST	LT	RT
Chevette	2.4	3.8	5.8	2.6	5.0	5.4
Pinto Wagon	4.5	6.0	2.9	6.0	15.7	9.2
Gremlin	2.2	7.5	7.6	6.4	4.5	2.2
Nova	7.3	1.6	4.4	6.4	4.5	12.3
Pacer	1.5	4.9	4.1	5.3	10.0	5.9
Volvo 244	1.9	6.1	3.4	6.2	4.9	7.1
Small Car Average	3.3%	5.0%	4.7%	5.5%	7.4%	7.0%
Monte Carlo	4.5	3.8	8.8	1.1	4.4	12.0
Fury	1.2	3.4	0.6	5.0	2.9	3.9
Torino	2.0	1.3	6.5	5.1	2.6	11.1
Buick Lesabre	5.6	7.4	4.2	4.2	8.8	12.0
Ford LTD	3.5	1.6	4.7	12.0	4.8	2.6
Dodge Monaco	3.0	1.8	4.7	2.0	1.9	1.9
Large Car Average	3.3%	3.2%	4.9%	4.9%	4.2%	7.2%
Overall Average	3.3%	4.1%	4.8%	5.2%	5.8%	7.1%
	Ave rage High Co efficient			Average Low Coefficient		

A		٩	~	
4		- 8	7.	
ч	٠		N	

6.0%

34

.

Surface Friction Dynamometer Calibration Check

The load cell in the Surface Friction Dynamometer provides for measurement of the vertical tire load, F_z , and the longitudinal force, F_x , exerted at the tire/road interface. Calibration of the SFD load cell was checked by applying input forces through a calibrated reference load cell and recording both the reference cell output and the SFD load cell output. The results are tabulated in Tables A.6 through A.8.

Ì

REFERENCE LOAD CELL OUTPUT, F _Z	SFD LOAD CELL Fz	. OUTPUT F _X
0 lbs.	0 1bs.	0 1bs.
341	340	6
478	481	7
510	512	8
560	562	10
700	703	14
852	856	17
1,000	1,003	20
1,200	1,204	24
1,402	1,404	30
1,603	. 1,604	35
1,810	1,809	39
1,995	1,991	42
1,807	1,805	39
1,600	1,600	35
1,394	1,395	30
1,198	1,203	26
1,000	1,004	22
795	799	17
602	605	13
549	550	13
499	502	11
450	451	10
397	398	9
0	0	0

i

ł

Table A.6. SFD Loan Cell Calibration Check October 12, 1976. F_z Calibration, F_X Offset (Cross Talk) Due to F_z at $F_X = 0$.

Table A.7. SFD Load Cell Calibration Check October 12, 1976. F_X Calibration, F_Z Offset (cross Talk) Due to F_X at $F_Z = 0$. F_X Applied Through Center Line of the Load Cell.

REFERENCE LOAD CELL OUTPUT, F _X	SFD LOAD CE F _X	LL OUTPUT Fz
0 1bs.	0 1bs.	0 lbs.
180	180	7
316	314	11
512	506	16
783	777	23
1,074	1,065	32
1,356	1,346	40
1,600	1,589	48
1,810	1,800	56
1,530	1,520	45
1,220	1,214	38
952	938	29
812	810	24 .
460	462	15
227	229	9
1	1	3

37

Ì

Table A.8. SFD Loan Cell Calibration Check October 12, 1976. F_x Calibration, F_z Offset (Cross Talk) Due to F_x at $F_z = 0$. F_x Applied at Approximately 12 Inches from Cell Center Line.

REFERENCE LOAD CELL OUTPUT, F _X	SFD LOAD CELL F _X	. OUTPUT Fz
0 lbs.	0 1bs.	0 1bs.
90	86	0
289	283	1
646	637	3
966	957	1
1,110	1,106	2

i

١,

ĺ

APPENDIX B

CALCULATED RESULTS OF A QUASI-STATIC ANALYSIS

The purpose of this appendix is to document the quasi-static analysis of straight- and curved-path braking which was conducted for this study. The intent of the analysis was to observe the behavior of an automobile with conventional brakes (no antilock systems) while braking under a broad range of conditions. These conditions include loaded and unloaded vehicles, surfaces having high and low friction characteristics, as well as different friction levels on the right- and left-hand sides of the vehicle (that is, the split friction condition), and finally, the lateral acceleration condition which is present at the initiation of a braking-in-a-turn maneuver.

Since only the first-order effects were desired, the number of parameters needed to describe the maneuvers was kept as small as possible. The parameters which were required are all listed and defined in Table B.1.

The maximum braking force which can be applied before a wheel locks is constrained by the peak traction coefficient, designated μ_p . If lockup occurs, the braking force is limited to the product of the normal force and the sliding traction coefficient, μ_s . On split coefficient surfaces, of course, the values of μ_p and μ_s will differ between the right- and left-hand sides of the car.

This simple model of the tire traction constraints, together with a purely kinematic representation of the vehicle itself utilizing the remaining parameters in Table B.1, allows a first-order look into the sensitivity of braking performance to the described condition variables.

39

Í

Table B.1. Vehicle Parameter Definitions

μ _p]	Peak traction coefficient on left side of car
^µ s1	Sliding traction coefficient on left side of car
^μ p2	Peak traction coefficient on right side of car
^µ s2	Sliding traction coefficient on right side of car
A/L	Fraction of wheelbase in front of vehicle mass center
H/L	Ratio of mass center height to wheelbase
H/W	Ratio of mass center height to track width
P .	Fraction of total braking torque which acts on front axle
C _¢	Fraction of lateral load transfer that occurs on the front axle
Ay	Constant lateral acceleration
_	

i

ţ

Constant lateral acceleration

40

ţ

B.1 Describing Equations

i

ł

The different wheels are identified by the subscripts RF, LF, LR, and RR, which represent right front, left front, left rear, and right rear, respectively. Since none of the parameters depend upon absolute force levels, it is convenient to normalize all forces to the weight of the automobile.

Upon initiating the calculation sequence, a braking force, proportional to brake torque, is assumed for the RF wheel. The actual braking forces are calculated according to the relations

$$F_{BLF} = F_{BRF} = F_{F}^{i}$$

$$F_{j} \leq \mu_{p}F_{zi}$$

$$(B.1)$$

$$F_{BRR} = F_{BLR} = F_{R}^{i} = F_{F}^{i}(\frac{1}{p} - 1)$$

$$F_{Bi} = \mu_{s}F_{zi} \qquad \begin{cases} F_{j}^{i} > \mu_{p}F_{zi} \\ i = RF...RR \\ j = F,R \end{cases}$$
(B.2)

where F_{zi} refers to the loading at wheel i. The deceleration in g's is then:

 $A_x = \Sigma F_{Bi}$ i = RF...RR (B.3)

The normal loads, used in Equations (B.1) and (B.2), are calculated by summing the pertinent component of static weight distribution, the load transfer due to longitudinal deceleration, and the load transfer due to lateral acceleration. The static, longitudinally-transferred and laterally-transferred load quantities are are given by Equations (B.4), (B.5), and (B.6).

$$C_1 = A_x \cdot H/L + 1 - A/L$$
 (B.4)

$$C_{F} = A_{y} \cdot C_{\phi} \cdot H/W \qquad (B.5)$$

$$C_{R} = A_{y} \cdot (1 - C_{\phi}) \cdot H/W \qquad (B.6)$$

Individual wheel loads then are expressed by:

$$F_{zRF} = C_F + \frac{1}{2}C_1$$
 (B.7)

$$F_{zLF} = -C_F + \frac{1}{2}C_1$$
 (B.8)

$$F_{zLR} = -C_R + \frac{1}{2} (1 - C_1)$$
 (B.9)

$$F_{zRR} = C_R + \frac{1}{2} (1 - C_1)$$
 (B.10)

(Note: C_1 must be between 0 and 1. If Equation (B.4) gives a value greater than 1, the value 1 is used. Also, none of the normal forces can be negative. If any of Equations (B.7)-(B.10) do give a negative value, 0 is used instead and the normal load on the other axle is adjusted to C_1 for the front axle or $(1-C_1)$ for the rear.)

The vehicle deceleration can be computed by combining Equations (B.1)-(B.10) for any selected $F_{\rm F}^+$ (front braking force proportional to torque). Stopping distance may also be calculated, as

$$x = \frac{V^2}{2A_x \cdot g}$$
(B.11)

where V is the initial velocity and g is a gravitational constant.

B.2 Computational Procedure

The braking deceleration, defined by Equations (B.1)-(B.10), involves eight unknowns (the four normal and four braking forces)

which can be calculated by the numerical method summarized as follows:

- Select F' value small enough that no lockup will occur.
- 2) Calculate trial braking forces on each tire, based on F_{F}^{L} .
- 3) Calculate the four normal forces.
- Check the ratio of braking force to normal force for each wheel, for comparison with frictional limits. Change the braking forces to the sliding limit if peak traction has been exceeded.
- 5) If any changes were made in (4), go back to (3) and repeat. Otherwise, check for axle lockup. If there is no axle lockup, increment F_F and go to (2). If an axle has locked up, output best performance, defined by maximum deceleration.

This procedure was followed with the aid of a digital computer.

ï

ł.

ů

Braking performance results are represented in this study by braking efficiency (BE) which is calculated according to the relationship

$$BE = \frac{A_x}{A_{xmax}} \times 100$$
 (B.12)

where A_{xmax} is the maximum deceleration of which a vehicle is capable in straight-line braking, with "optimal" proportioning for the surface being considered. One hundred percent efficiency is realized under a single coefficient surface condition when the front/ rear proportioning is set so that the front and rear axles are both on the verge of lockup. The deceleration then is simply

43

٠.

$A_{xmax} = \mu_p \tag{B.13}$

The 100% efficiency condition is not so easily calculated on a split coefficient surface, where optimal braking may involve the locking of one, two (not on the same axle), or no wheels. A_{xmax} is therefore found by incrementally varying p from 0 to 1 and noting the largest value of A_x encountered. This value is then used as the normalizing deceleration, A_{xmax} .

B.3 Study of Braking Efficiency Sensitivities

1

Due to the lack of a loading sensitivity in the assumed tire/ road friction properties, the vehicle weight and wheelbase are not needed, and therefore all of the parameters listed in Table B.1 are dimensionless. Two distinct sets of baseline parameter values were chosen to be representative of basic car geometries, and are given in Table B.2. Car Number 1 is typical of most compact and subcompacts where the engine is the most significant component determining center-of-gravity location. Due to shorter wheelbase and narrower width, the vehicle exhibits high values of the load transfer gains, H/L and H/W. The opposite tendencies are apparent in the values selected for Car Number 2: the center of gravity is located slightly behind the center of the wheelbase, and the reaction to external accelerations is not so pronounced.

Differences between loaded and unloaded conditions are not directly evidenced in the parameter values shown. However, when limited by the assumptions made in this analysis, the only change between a driver-only and GVW condition involves the value of A/L.

In the calculations to be reported here, the interest was in examining the significance, over many braking conditions, of a fixed selection of brake proportioning. Clearly, this is the problem which has traditionally confronted the brake engineer. This examination was implemented, however, through a numerical calculation scheme



;

ļ

ţ

Figure B.1

1

Ì

Table B.2. Baseline Car Type and Surface Characteristics.

Car Type

i

;

.

Car	No.	1:	A/L	=	.34
			H/L	=	.227
			H/W	2	.45
Car	No.	2:	A/L	=	.54
			H/L	=	.185
			H/W	=	.36

Surface Type, Given as $\mu = \mu p / \mu_s$

Surface	А	μ	=	.95/.90			
Surface	В	μ	:	.60/.40			
Surface	С	μ	Ξ	.45/.30			
Surface	D	μ٦	Ξ	.70/.45	^µ 2	=	.50/.35
Surface	E	μl	=	.75/.50	μ ₂	=	.45/.30
Surface	F	μ	=	.80/.55	μ ₂	=	.60/.40

which varied proportioning in a stepwise fashion over the entire range, for the cited vehicles. Results are displayed, then, showing braking performance levels as a function of proportioning for the various surface friction conditions of interest.

Accordingly, along with two car types, the six surfaces described in Table B.2 were used throughout the simulations. Surfaces A, B, and C represent the range of "single coefficient" surfaces, while surfaces D, E, and F are used to simulate "split coefficient" surfaces in which the right and left sides of the vehicle contact pavements with different frictional properties. It should be noted that the average values of surfaces D and E are identical, although they clearly represent different split conditions.

Qualitatively, the overall sensitivity matrix is given in Figure B.1, where the nonvaried, baseline parameters are in circles and parameters to be varied are in rectangles.

i

B.3.1 Straight-Line Braking Study. Braking performance in a straight line was examined by taking the twelve combinations of the two car types and six surfaces from Table B.2 and, for each combination, varying the front-rear proportioning, p, continuously over the range of possible design values. Figure B.2 illustrates the relationship between proportioning and the limit deceleration capabilities of Car No. 1. In all cases, the curve shows the limit braking performance achievable without accruing lockup of both wheels on an axle. The curves for the homogeneous surfaces A, B, and C are shown as solid lines, indicating that no lockup has occurred, since symmetry requires that no single wheel could lock alone, in straight braking on a uniform friction condition. Each curve has a "peak" at which the proportioning is optimal for that combination of car type and surface. At the peak, the deceleration (in g's) is equal to the coefficient of peak friction, $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{D}.$ At proportioning values less than the optimal, performance is limited by a tendency of the rear wheels to lock prematurely, negating the opportunity



46 1320

IN C KEUFFEL & ESSEN CO, MADE IN USA

ì

for more utilization of front tire traction potential. (While pedal force is not actually calculated, it is often referred to in this appendix, as a common reference. When increasing the pedal force is mentioned, the implied meaning is that the braking forces of the unlocked wheels are increased by a common ratio. In the computerized version of the analysis, the variable F'_F serves as the reference force.)

At proportioning values greater than the optimal, performance is limited because the front wheels are on the verge of lockup. Since the load transfer to the front axle increases linearly with the longitudinal deceleration, A_x , the optimal proportioning varies with A_y along the line shown.

An examination of the plots of the vehicle performance on the split coefficient surfaces D, E, and F clearly indicates a greater complexity. The limit braking usually involves one or two locked wheels, as greater retardation is typically achieved by increasing the brake forces on the unlocked wheels, even at the expense of the reduced braking capabilities of the locked wheel(s).

The curves from Figure B.2 are shown mainly to indicate the method of determining the maximum possible deceleration in straightline braking. Once found, this value is used to normalize the performance in terms of braking efficiency (BE). The curves from Figure B.2 are re-plotted in terms of BE in Figures B.3 and B.4.

The nature of the performance curves shown in these and subsequent figures may be clarified somewhat by "walking" through one curve and carefully examining the mechanisms responsible for the behavior. For example, the curve representing surface E in Figure B.4 shows that limit performance involves one locked wheel at very low proportionings. The rear wheel on the low coefficient side is locked, and the other rear wheel limits performance by being on the threshold of lockup. As the proportioning increases, more braking force can be applied at the front axle (by increasing pedal force)

49





50

Į



Í

i

Straight-line efficiency as a function of proportioning for Car No. 1 on split coefficient surfaces. Figure B.4.

to increase efficiency, until the first local maximum is reached at p = 66%. At this point, both rear wheels and the front wheel on the low coefficient surface are producing braking forces at their respective peak frictional limits. As p is further increased, BE is limited by the front wheel on the low coefficient side. Increasing the pedal force in this domain, to the level at which the other rear wheel is again near lockup, would reduce the BE because of the lost braking force at the front wheel on the low coefficient side. Thus the pedal force must be reduced, and the smaller braking force of the unlocked rear wheel results in a lower efficiency. At the point where p = 71%, the same efficiency is attainable with two different pedal force levels, each with a different lockup combination. Along with the condition considered in the region .66 , thereis the condition in which the pedal force is increased, locking both wheels on the low coefficient side, and putting the other rear wheel at its peak braking limit. As p increases from this point, the latter condition is more efficient. One hundred percent BE is realized at p = 77%, when both wheels on the high coefficient side are on the verge of lockup. As p increases further, the pedal force must be reduced, otherwise the second front wheel would lock. Then, at p = 85%, the same BE can be obtained in two ways; one of these is the condition just described, and the other results when the pedal force is reduced until the rear wheel on the low coefficient side is unlocked and providing more braking force. This condition provides higher BE in the small range of 85% < p < 86%. At 86% proportioning, both the rear wheel on the low coefficient side and the front wheel on the high coefficient side are near lockup. As p increases to 100%, BE is limited by the front wheel on the high coefficient side which is braking at its frictional limit.

The trend in all of the curves of Figures B.3 and B.4 is for BE to increase with p when a rear wheel is at the lockup point, and for BE to decrease when a front wheel is at the limit. The added

complexity of the curves for split coefficient surfaces clearly stems from the varied possibilities of lockup. Curve has three lockup combinations, each of which is optimal over a certain region of p.

We can see that, in general, the split surfaces show the same type of behavior over small variations in p as seen for the single coefficient surfaces. However, the overall sensitivity is less for the split, because of the multitude of lockup possibilities, each of which is best over a definite region.

The straight-line BE of Car No. 2, on the same six surfaces, is also plotted as a function of proportioning in Figures B.5 and B.6.

B.3.2 <u>Braking in a Turn</u>. As complex as the BE versus p presentation becomes for straight-line, we find another dimension of complication for the cases of braking in a turn. As in the example of Figure B.7, the explanation of discontinuity points in the curves for braking efficiency in a turn require the tracking of right/left differences in tire load, as distributed according to the roll stiffness coefficient, C_{ϕ} , as well as accounting for all previously mentioned factors. As seen in this example, BE levels for in-a-turn stopping on a split friction surface offer the possibility of exceeding 100%. This occurs due to the straight-line reference condition for computing braking efficiency in a turn and also due to the improved utilization of adhesion levels when the more heavily loaded tires in a turn run on the higher friction surface.

The quasi-static simulation study proceeded from this format of examination to evaluate certain selected sensitivities using only a few representative values of proportioning.

Proportioning values were chosen to offer contrast in vehicle performance levels, and still be realistic. The values picked are the optimum proportioning figures for the high coefficient and midcoefficient surfaces. For Car No. 1, these values are p = 80% and p = 87%. The case where p = 80% gives high values of performance for



;

Straight-line efficiency as a function of proportioning for Car No. 2 on uniform surfaces. Figure B.5.

54

ţ



Straight-line efficiency as a function of proportioning for Car No. 2 on split coefficient surfaces. Figure B.6.



Efficiency as a function of proportioning for Car No. 1 while braking in a turn on split coefficient surfaces. Figure B.7.

56

Í

mid-coefficient surfaces, rear axle limited performance on higher coefficient surfaces, and front axle limited performance on lower coefficient surfaces. A value of p = 87% could represent conditions such as

- optimized performance for high coefficient surfaces such as is required by FMVSS 105,
- 2) intentionally front-biased proportioning to assure that rear axle lockup will not occur on normal surfaces (as is a common design philosophy in Europe).

Similarly, values of p = 57% and p = 64% were chosen for Car No. 2.

Car braking performance in a turn depends on all of the vehicle/ road parameters involved in the case of straight-line braking, along with the additional parameters, C_{ϕ} , H/W, and A_y . Reasonable choices for representative values of these variables were made more easily after observing the BE sensitivity involved with each of them. The methodology is similar to that in the last section, where BE is plotted as a continuous function of one variable, and discrete values for it are chosen on the basis of the curves.

Roll Stiffness Proportioning Sensitivity.

The sensitivity of BE to roll stiffness proportioning, C_{ϕ} , is shown in Figure B.8 for the case of Car No. 1 with p = 80% front/ rear proportioning, and subject to lateral accelerations of .2 and .3 g's on the three uniform friction surfaces. The curves for the medium and low coefficient surfaces (curves ③ - ⑥) are all similar, showing an increase of about 6% braking efficiency when the roll proportioning is varied from .4 to .9. In the straight-line case, BE is limited by impending front axle lockup on the low friction surface, and by all wheels on the medium friction surface. When BE is less than 100%, the front/rear load transfer is not as large, thus BE is limited by impending front axle lockup on the medium





ţ

friction surface also. When more loading goes to one of the front wheels (due to A_y), higher brake forces can be applied. Since C_{ϕ} gives the proportion of the lateral transfer of vertical load which is exchanged between tires on the front axle, normal loading on the one front wheel increases with C_{ϕ} , and BE increases. Although different lockup possibilities cause the curves to change slope over the range of C_{ϕ} , the general behavior of curves (3) - (6), representing front-limited braking, are the same.

Rear-limited braking, a condition represented by curves (1) and (2), causes BE to decrease as C, is increased. Again, there are no unusual breakpoints in curves (1) and (2).

Roll proportioning values of $C_{\phi} = .5$ and $C_{\phi} = .9$ are used for following sensitivity curves and for a complete BE matrix. These two magnitudes cover the range of values likely to be encountered in practice, and, due to the well-behaved nature of the curves in Figure B.8, should not provide any singular types of BE.

Lateral Acceleration Sensitivity - Single Coefficient Surfaces

Regarding sensitivity of BE to lateral acceleration level during braking in a turn, it should first be pointed out that the lateral acceleration, A_y , and the mechanical "gain," H/W, of the vehicle in response to A_y can be considered together since the vehicle is affected by the product $A_y \cdot H/W$. While combining these two non-dimensional parameters may tend to obscure the physical understanding of each parameter's role in determining performance, the interdependence of A_y and H/W is pointed out to allow interpretation of the calculated changes in A_y as changes in H/W if desired. (For example, the BE calculated for increasing A_y by 50% may also be used for the case of A_y held constant and H/W increased by 50%.)

The effects of lateral acceleration on the single coefficient surfaces are presented in Figure B.9 for the case of Vehicle No. 1 with 80% proportioning, and C_{ϕ} values as shown. Here we see that the effects of A_y on efficiency also depend strongly on the type of surface involved.



Figure B.9. Braking efficiency as a function of lateral acceleration in the case of uniform friction surfaces.

60

í

The BE behavior is complicated when made a function of A_y , so we will again "walk through" a representative curve to understand this behavior. For the sake of this discussion, we assume that the car is making a left-hand turn, thus the effect of the A_y is to load the right-hand side.

Curves (1) and (2) both represent performance on the high coefficient surface, and initially show improved efficiency when a lateral acceleration is involved. Because the 80% proportioning is not optimal for this surface, the performance limitation at $A_y = 0$ (straight-line braking) is the rear axle, which is about to lock up.

By following curve No. (1) , we see the effect of adding the lateral acceleration, which increases the normal load on the righthand side of the vehicle. This allows more pedal force to be applied before the friction limit of RR (the right-rear wheel) is reached. The braking force at the front axle has also increased due to the extra pedal force, such that even though LR now slides and loses some braking force, the loss is more than made by the other three wheels and the performance improves with A_v . Until the peak at $A_v = .13$ g's, the limit on efficiency is impending lockup at RR. After the peak is reached, BE is limited by impending lockup at LF, and when $A_y = .15$ g's, efficiency is better with LF locked, and performance is again limited by RR. . The efficiency climbs as more of the load is transferred to the right side, as greater pedal forces may be applied before RR will lock. The next peak, at $A_v = .26$ g's, when both right wheels are about to lock, occurs because of the relation between C_{A} and p; that is, the rear axle receives 50% of the lateral load transfer, but only 20% of the incremental (total) braking torque. The front catches up, and performance decreases slightly with increasing A_v because not enough extra loading is applied at RF for the brake force to increase enough to offset losses from the left wheels. At $A_v = .3$ g's, the left-rear wheel has no normal loading at all, due to the combined longitudinal and lateral accelerations. Therefore, changes in C_{ϕ} have no effect on the rear axle, as the

entire rear load is on RR. BE increases slightly over the rest of the curve, as the increase in braking force from RF (μ_p = .95) is more than the decrease from LF (μ_s = .90).

The general character of curve () can be described by the following observations:

- With small side forces, efficiency increases because one of the rear wheels will remain unlocked when pedal force is increased.
- 2) A change of the limiting axle from front to rear occurs because of the relation p has to C_{μ} .
- 3) When pedal force is limited by the possibility of rear axle lockup, performance increases with Ay since the torque threshold needed for lockup of the loaded rear wheel increases.
- 4) Eventually, one wheel goes to zero load, and BE hits a "plateau."

A different roll proportioning changes the overall shape of the plot, as evidenced by curve \bigcirc , where $C_{\phi} = .9$. The same type of behavior occurs with small lateral accelerations, although the performance improvements are not as great as before because only 10% of the load transfer occurs at the rear axle. An opposite trend from curve \bigcirc is observed when two wheels are locked, however, because extra load on RR, which limits the pedal force, increases slowly while the load on LF decreases quickly. The trend in this case is for performance to suffer as the lateral acceleration increases.

When the front torque proportioning is near optimal for the surfaces, lateral acceleration can only deteriorate performance, as seen in curves (3) - (6). As A_y increases, pedal force must be decreased to prevent lockup and a loss of traction. When a certain amount of lateral acceleration is reached, it becomes better to increase pedal force, even though braking force is reduced in the locked wheel(s). After this point, the curves are qualitatively

62

ľ

similar to those two discussed, in that the braking behavior includes lockup, and slopes and plateaus are determined by one wheel which is on the verge of lockup. When the location of the limiting wheel changes, the observed discontinuities in slope occur.

Discrete A_y values are selected for the comprehensive sensitivity matrix for performance on the single coefficient surfaces. The lateral acceleration sensitivity on these surfaces shows reduced change in efficiency after A_y = .2 g. For meaningful comparisons, a "low" acceleration of A_y = .1 g and a "high" acceleration of A_y = .3 g are selected for all single coefficient surface combinations (along with A_y = 0 - straight-line).

Lateral Acceleration Sensitivity - Split Coefficient Surfaces

Braking performance sensitivity to lateral acceleration was also calculated for the split coefficient surfaces, and shown in Figure B.10.

Here we see that when the side force tends to load the high coefficient side ($A_y < 0$), performance increases to over 100% efficiency, with both wheels locked on the low coefficient side. Again, the detailed shape of the curves depends on which unlocked wheel is about to lock.

When the loading due to A_y occurs on the low coefficient side $(A_y>0)$, behavior gets a bit complicated. An overall trend, however, is that performance doesn't suffer greatly; the lowest efficiency anywhere is 90%. There are so many possible pedal force levels and lockup combinations that one of them is usually suited to a particular A_y level. In fact, curve (6) shows better performance for loading the low coefficient side, for all levels up to $A_y = .2$ g. Applying a small side force makes the condition of a lower pedal force and four unlocked wheels more efficient (but puts the wheels which are on the high coefficient surface below their maximum braking force). Increasing A_y allows increasing braking force on the low coefficient surface and total braking increases.



The overall trend with the split coefficients is that BE sensitivity, even with all the jagged peaks and depressions, does not respond as much to lateral acceleration as with the single coefficient surface conditions. At levels of $A_y = .3$ g and greater, the curves are more stable than at lower levels. The values $A_y \pm .3$ g were thus chosen for use in the overall sensitivity study of braking performance on split coefficient surfaces.

The entire braking efficiency sensitivity calculation matrix is shown schematically in Figure B.11 with all of the parameter values chosen from the previous sections. Tables B.3 through B.6 summarize the computation results, and besides giving BE, also include the stopping distances which would occur with the quasi-static model, at initial speeds of 20, 40, and 60 mph.

65

Ŷ



120 Calculations



:

l.	10	Ÿ	SURFACE	4p/ 4s	INCKUP	LACKING X COM		STOPPING DISTANCE (FI)			
			LEFTSICE	RIGHT SIDE	TOCKUP	Actus	20 mph	1 10 mch	GO mph	- 70 Err	
.87	Λ /		.95/	.90		30.7	14	56	126	100	
.81	/]	25.0	17	69	155	81	
	ΙY	0		1 10		19,4	22	89	199	100	
an		Ŭ	1.007	, 40	NONE	17,4	25	99	223	89	
.01	!/ \					12.4	34	138	311	86	
			. 45	1.30		13.7	31	126	283	95	
		.1	1.01			12.8	34	135	304	83	
		2			IFIR	12.8	34	135	304	83	
	.5		.601	.40		15,6	28	110	248	81	
					IR	17.0	25	101	227	88	
08,						27.6	16	62	140	90	
		.3	.95/	5/90 .	IFIR	29.7	14	58	130	97	
						25,1	17	69 .	154	82	
					LR	25,5	17	82	152	83	
			.601	40	NONE	16,8	26	102	230	87	
		.3			LF LR	16.7	26	103	232	96	
			•	· · · ·	LF	12.3	35	140	315	35	
	.9		.45/.30	.45/.30	.45/.30	LF LR	12.4	35	138	311	86
•						10,9	39	157	354	76	
		,3		• • •	LF	11.8	36	145	327	82	
				40		16.5	26	104	235	85	
•	• • •				NONE	15.2	28	113	254	78	
	• • • •		· · · · ·			29.6	15	58	131	96	
10,		.3	.95/	.90	LF LR	29.4	15	59	132	96	
			· · · · ·			28.0	15	61	138	91	
· · ·			110115	50.1	15	59	133	95			
••• •	•5			40	NONE	16.2	27	106	239	33	
•	•	.3.			LFLR	14.7	29	117	264	76	
• •			.45/.	30	LF	10.9	39	157	354	76	
		1			NONE	11.6	37	148	334	80	

Table B.3. Sensitivities of Car No. 1 on Uniform Friction Surfaces.

•

•.

67

.

į

.

.

	T	1 ::	SUNFAC	E HALAS	1		51	PPING DI	TUNE (11)	
	6	Y() IELI PICE	I RIGHT SIDE	- LOCKUP	XG) 20 mph	1 40 moin	60 meh	- % EFF
.87	1	Λ	.701.45	50/25	RF	16.5	26	104	235	98
0	/				05 00	16,1	1 27	107	240	26
.60	jγ	0	75/52	15/22	The Kn	16.4	26	105	655	98
87	$ \Lambda $.15,50	145/150	RE	15,9	27	103	244	91
101	1/ /					18,5	23	93	209	95
	<u> </u>	V	- 181.55	63133	NONE	19.4	22	89	199	100
		3		100/110	RF RR	19,8	22	87	196	102
		.3			IR	19.7	22	87	197	102
	.5		JELEN	15/20		15.4	28	111	251	92
		-2	115,50	,45,50	RE RR	17.4	25	99	223	103
			4	.	•	0,71	25	101	228	101
1.80			70/.45	501.35	LR	16.9	26	102	230	100
				1.507155	LF	15,9	27	103	243	94
		-3			DE DD	ר.רו	24	97	213	105
		<u> </u>	.75/54	45/20		18.0	24	96	215	107
		2		0.16.11	HOME	16.0	27	108	242	95
			-		LF	18,4	23	93	210	95
	9,	-3	.801.55	.60/.40	RF RR	19.5	22	88	199	100
						20.1	21	28	193	103
		.3			LF	18.0	24	96	215	93
			.751.50	45/.20	NONE	14.4	30	120	269	85
		-3			RF RR	17.8	24	97	218	106
.87						17,4	25	99	223	103
		.3	701.45	.50/.35	LF	14.5	30	119	263	86
					LK	16.1	27	107	241	95
		-,3			RF RR	15,8	27	109	244	94
	.5		.751.50	.45/.30		16,1	27	107	240	96
		.3			NONE	15.0	59	115	253	89
			.80/.55	.60/,40	LR	18.5	23	92	6)(5	97
		-13			RF RR	13.4	23	94	211	95

Table B.4. Sensitivities of Car No. 1 on Split Coefficient Surfaces.

68

ċ
		::	SURFACE	,Up/ JIs	IACYUD	STOPPING DIST.		NCE (FH) % EFF			
Ρ	Cø	(و) ۲	LEFT SIDE	FIGHT SIDE	LOCKUP	A(11.5.)	20 mph	40 meh	GO moh !		
.64			.95	.90		30.7	14	56 .	126	100	
67	$\setminus / $					27.2	16	63	142	89	
.51	V	0	601	40		19.4	22	89	199	100	
GA				.40	NONE	16.8	26	102	231	87	
						11.9	36	144	324	83	
	$\langle \rangle$.45/.	30		13.7	31	126	283	95	
		.1				12.6	34	137	307	87	
		3				11.9	36	145	327	82	
	.5			40		16.2	26	106	238	84	
		.1		-0	NONE	17.8	24	96	217	92	
57					LR	28.1	15	61	138	92	
1.31		3	.95/	.95/.90	LF LR	28.5	15	60	136	93	
						26.0	17	66	149	85	
		1			LR	27.3	.16	63	142	89	
		.'	.w.	/.40		17.1	25	101	227	88	
		2				15.9	27	108	243	82	
				,45/.30	LF	12.4	35	139	313	86	
	.9	1	,45			13,1	33	132	296	91	
		Ľ				11.3	38	152	341	79	
		3			1	13.0	33	133	299	90	
			60/ 40			17.5	25	98	221	90	
						15.9	27	109	244	82	
						29.5	15	58	131	96	
.64		.3	.95	1.90	LF LR	28.3	15	61	125	92	
						28.9	15	60	134	94	
		.1				24.5		. 97	152	95	
	.5	-		0/.40	NONE	15.5	28		251	00	
		.3			LF	15.5	20		250		
			4	5/.30	NAUE			141	261	74	
		1.1			NONE	11.0	. 90	150	5.21	10	

i

ì

Table B.5. Sensitivities of Car No. 2 on Uniform Friction Surfaces.

		::	SURFACE	Hp/ /1s	LACKL	D	X (Gra)	5106	filig dist	whice (LH)	% EFF
р	Cø	Y (9)	LEFTSICE	RIGHT SIDE	IULNU		ATT: 57	20 mph	4.3 mph	(ii) moh	
.64			70/ 05	60/35	RF		16.2	26	106	238	96
	,1\/		.101.45	.501.55			16.5	26	104	235	98
.57	V	0	75/54	45130	RF	RR	16.3	26	105	237	97
	$ \wedge $.151.50	.45/.20			15.1	28	114	256	90
.64	-//				R	F	19.0	23	91	204	98
			00/55	(0140	NO	VE	19,4	22	89	199	100
		3	,001.55	.601.40	RF	F R	20,2	21	85	192	104
						IF.	19.2	22	89	201	99
		+.5	20150	15/30			16.9	25	102	229	100
	.5		.151.50	.4510	DE	סס	17.7	24	97	219	105
		5				nn	17.5	25	99	222	104
.51			1 .	.50/.35	NO	NE	17.4	25	99	223	103
		1+3	.707.45		LF	RR	15.7	27	110	247	93
							16.6	.26	104	233	99
		3		15130	1 KF	nĸ	16.8	26	102	230	100
		+2	1./5/.50	.457.50	NO	NE	14.9	29	116	261	88
		+.3 ~.3		.801.55 .601.40	LF	F R	18,5	23	93	209	95
			00/55		RF	RR	18:6	23	92	208	96
	7.7		.001.05				20.3	21	85	191	105
}			1		Γ.	c	19.2	22	90	202	99
		+.5	25150	45120	1 '	. F	14.2	30	121	272	84
			151.50	.457.50	DE	77	17.8	24	96	217	106
		3			Inr	nn	17.5	25	80	221	104
		1.2	7 70/45	50/35	L	.F	15,4	28	112	251	91
1.64		נ,יך	.101.45		NC	NE	15.5	28	111	250	92
		2			20	R	16.0	27	108	242	95
	E	. ,	76/60	15/30	1 ^{or}	n	16.2	26	106	238	960
	.5	+2	,157.50	.451.20	NI/		14.8	29	117	262	83
		1.0	90/55	60/40		NIC.	18.4	23	93	210	95
		3	001.55	· 00/1.40	RF	R	13.6	23	92	208	96

Table B.6. Sensitivities of Car No. 2 on Split Coefficient Surfaces.

.

70

ĺ

APPENDIX C

DATA FROM IN DEPTH TEST PROGRAM ON FIVE CARS. VEHICLE DISCRIPTIONS AND DATA SUMMERIES.

l I

i t

Vehicles	Makes NONTE CAPLE NHTSA NO							
• •	Hodel: 2. D.P. SI'CL'T COUPE GUNR: 5587							
	Model Year: 1976 Manufacture Date: 7/76							
	V.I.N. 1/H57061505295 Wheelbase: 116							
	Engine Type: 18 Displacement: 460 in Hp: 175							
	Engine Idle Speed: Engine Timing:							
	Transmission: Type: <u>AUTO</u> Speeds: <u>J</u>							
•	No. of Axles: 2 Ratio(s)							
	GAWR: Front: 2749 Rear: 2838							
Tirest	Size: GRJUX 15B Hfr: UNIROYAL							
• •	Type: STEEL BELTED RADIAL							
	Recommended Pressure at GVWR: <u>28</u> psi front							
• , •	<u>28</u> psi rear							
Brakes:	Front: () Drum (1) Disc Diam:							
	() Bonded () Riveted							
	Friction Surface Width: Length:							
	Rear: (/) Drum () Disc Diam:							
	() Bonded () Riveted							
	Friction Surface Width: Length:							
	Variable Proportioning System: Yes No							
	Brake Power Assist Unit: Yes No							
	Brake Power Unit W/Accumulator:Yes No							
	Power Assist or Power Unit V/Nackup: Yes No							
•	Antiskid Device: Yes No							
	Parking Mechanism: Hfr (nee definition) Yes							

Brakes (contd): Friction-type Parking Brake: Hand Operated () Foot Operated ()

> Nonservice Drake Type Parking Brake: Hand Operated () Foot Operated ()

• Master Cylinder Diameter:

Wheel Cylinder Diameter:

•

Describe Hydraulic Circuit Split:

FRONT /REAR

Will adjusters be locked out for this test series?

Yes No V

۰,

	VEHICLE INFORMATION SHEET
Vehicles	Maker Fryd LTD MITSA NO.
• •	Model: 4 PS. SEPAN GUNR: 6384
	Hodel Year: 1976 Manufacture Date: 3/76
	V.I.N.: 6863H194520 Wheelbase: 121 m
	Engine Type: 18 Displacement: 351 m ² Hp:
	Engine Idle Speed: Engine Timing:
	Transmission: Type: <u>AVTO</u> Speeds: <u>3</u>
	No. of Axles: Ratio(s)
	GAWR: Front: Rear: 3266
Tires:	Size: HR78×15 HEr: GOUDYEAR
	Type: CUSTORN POLYSTEEL RAPIAL
•	Recommended Pressure at GVWR: <u>26</u> psi front
•	28 psi rear
Brakesı	Front: () Drum (1/) Disc Diam:
• •	() Bonded () Riveted
	Friction Surface Width: Length:
	Rear: () Drum () Disc Diam:
•	. () Bonded () Riveted
	Friction Surface Width: Length:

ength: Variable Proportioning System: Yes _____ No Brake Power Assist Unit: Yes V No Brake Power Unit W/Accumulator:Yes _____ No ____ 1 Power Assist or Power Unit Yes No W/Backup: ~ Antiskid Device: Yes :lo L Hfr _____ Parking Mechanism: (see definition) Yes No

74

Brakes (contd):	Friction-type Parking Brake: Hand Ope	rated	()					
••••••	Foot Ope	rated	(LY					
	Nonservico Drake Type Parking Brake:	Hand	Operated	()	ŀ			
	· · · · ·	Foot	Operated	())			
	• Master Cylinder Diameter:							
•	Wheel Cylinder Diameter:							
	Describe Hydraulic Circuit Split:							
•	FRONT/ READ							
· .	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••							
	· .							

Will adjusters be locked out for this test series?

i

Yes _____ No _____

APHICUP THEORYLION	SHELI
Make: MERCURY	NITSA NO.
Hodel: BERCHT ST. WAG	GVWR:
Hodel Year: 1977	Manufacture Date: 04/7
V.I.N.:77224522082	Wheelbase: <u>94.5 m</u>
Engine Type: <u>4CYL</u> Displac	ement: 14011 Hp: 92
Engine Idle Speed:	Engine Timing:
Transmission: Type: <u>Avro</u>	Speeds: 3
No. of Axles: 2	Ratio(s)
CAWR: Front: 1813	Rear: 2069
Size: BR78-13 Hfr:	FIRESTONE
Type: STEEL BELTED	RHDIAL
Recommended Pressure at GVWR:	24 psi front
·	<u>JO</u> psi rear
Front: () Drum () D	lisc Diam:
() Bonded () R	liveted
Friction Surface Width:	Length:
Rear: (1) Drum () D	isc Diam:
() Bonded () R	iveted
Friction Surface Width:	Length:
Variable Proportioning System	: Yes No
Brake Power Assist Unit:	Yes No
Brake Power Unit w/Accumulato	r:Yes No
Power Assist or Power Unit W/Backup:	Yos
Antiskid Device:	Yes :10
Parking Hechanism.	Mfr
	Make: $\underline{NEPCVPY}$ Model: $\underline{BCRCHT} T WHC$ Model Year: $\underline{1977}$ V.I.N.: $\underline{7722Y52082}$ Engine Type: $\underline{4CYL}$ Displace Engine Idle Speed: Transmission: Type: $\underline{AVT0}$ No. of Axles: $\underline{2}$ GAWR: Front: $\underline{1813}$ Size: $\underline{BR78-13}$ Mfr: Type: $\underline{STVEL} BELTED$ Recommended Pressure at GVWR: Front: () Drum () D () Bonded () F Friction Surface Width: Rear: ($\underline{1000}$ Drum () D () Bonded () F Friction Surface Width: Variable Proportioning System Brake Power Assist Unit: Brake Power Unit W/Accumulato Power Assist or Power Unit W/Backup: Antiskid Device:

76

Ì

Brakes

(contd): Friction-type Parking Brake: Hand Operated 14

Foot Operated ()

Nonservico Drake Type Parking Brake: Hand Operated ()

Foot Operated ()

· .

1.3.4

• Master Cylinder Diameter:

Wheel Cylinder Diameter:

Describe Hydraulic Circuit Split:

Yes ____

FRONT/REAR

Will adjusters be locked out for this test series?

____ No

	VEHICLE INFORMATION SHEET
Vehicles	Make: AMC PACER MITSA NO.
• •	Model: 2PR. SENAN GUWR: 4326
	Model Year: 1977 Manufacture Date: 3-77
	V.I.N. 1A7A6676213340 Wheelbase: 100 m
	Engine Type: 6CYL Displacement: 258 m Hp: 98
	Engine Idle Speed: Engine Timing:
	Transmission: Type: <u>AUTO</u> Speeds: <u></u>
	No. of Axles: Ratio(s)
	GAWR: Front: 2145 Rear: 2201
Tires:	Size: D78×14 Hfr: CODDYEAR
	Type: CUSTUM POWER CUSHION PULYELAS
-	Recommended Pressure at GVWR: 24 psi front
•	28 psi rear
Brakesi	Front: () Drum (1) Disc Diam:
	() Bonded () Riveted
	Friction Surface Width: Length:
	Rear: (/) Drum () Disc Diam:
	() Bonded () Riveted
	Friction Surface Width: Length:
	Variable Proportioning System: Yes No
	Brake Power Assist Unit: Yes No
•	Brake Power Unit W/Accumulator:Yes No
	Power Assist or Power Unit W/Backup: Yos No 1-
٠	Antiskid Device: Yes :10
	Parking Mechanism: Hfr

i

1

₿	r	۵	k	C	8		

(contd): Friction-type Parking Brake: Hand Operated ()

Foot Operated (4

Nonservice Drake Type Parking Brake: Hand Operated ()

Foot Operated ()

. Master Cylinder Diameter:

Wheel Cylinder Diameter:

Describe Hydraulic Circuit Split:

FRONT/REAR

Will adjusters be locked out for this test series?

Yes No

•	VEHICLE INFORMATION SHEET
Vchicles	Makes CHEVY NOVA MATSA NO.
. • •	Hodel: 4 DR SENIN GVWR: 4836
•	Kodel Year: 1976 Manufacture Date: 11/75
•	V.I.N.: 1×6916W141117 Wheelbase: 111 in
•	Engine Type: 18 Displacement: 350m Hp:
	Engine Idle Speed: Engine Timing:
••	Transmission: Type: <u>AVTU</u> Speeds: <u>J</u>
•••	No. of Axles: 2 Ratio(s)
•	GAWR: Front: 2311 Rear: 2525
Tires:	Size: FRJOX14 HEr: FIRESTONE
•	Sype: SUFER 125 PAPIAL .
	Recommended Pressure at GVWR: _24 psi front
• •	· <u>28</u> psi rear
Brakesı	Front: () Drum () Disc Diam:
• • •	() Bonded () Riveted
	Friction Surface Hidth: Length:
•	Rear: (1/ Drum () Disc Diam:
	() Bonded () Riveted
	Friction Surface Width: Length:
• •	Variable Proportioning System: Yes No
•••	Brake Power Assist Unit: Yes No No
•	Brake Power Unit w/Accumulator:Yes No
•	Power Assist or Power Unit W/Backup: Yos No
••••	Antiskid Device: Yes :10
•	Parking Hechanism: (see definition) Kfr KELSEY JUPYES No
• .• . •	

.

1.

Brakes (contd): Friction-type Parking Brake: Hand Operated ()

Foot Operated (H

Nonservico Drake Type Parking Brake: Hand Operated ()

Foot Operated ()

.

• Master Cylinder Diameter:

Wheel Cylinder Diameter:

Describe Hydraulic Circuit Split:

Yes ____

FRONT / REAR Aale 4 Whill Anti-hah. min

Will adjusters be locked out for this test series?

No

 \mathcal{V}

Minimum Stopping Distances and Wheel Lock Conditions

Tables C.1 through C.5 summerize the minimum stopping distances and the wheel lock conditions for the minimum distance stops for each of the five test vehicles in each of the 28 tests. Figures C.6 shrough C.10 give the peak to peak steering wheel displacement angle and the number of steering wheel reversals for each vehicle in each of the 28 tests. Abreviations used in the Tables discribing the test conditions are:

HI-CO. ST.	High Coefficient. Straight
LO-CO. ST.	Low Coefficient. Straight
HI-R. SP. ST.	Split Coefficient. Straight. HI-CO on Right.
HI-L. SP. ST.	Split Coefficient. Straight. HI-CO on Left.
HI-CO, T-R	High Coefficient, Turn Right.
HI-CO, T-L	High Coefficient, Turn Left.
LO-CO, T-R	Low Coefficient, Turn Right.
LO-CO, T-L	Low Coefficient, Turn Left.
HI-R, SP. T-R	Split Coefficient. Turn Right. HI-CO on Right.
HI-R, SP. T-L	Split Coefficient. Turn Left. HI-CO on Right.
HI-L, SP. T-R	Split Coefficient. Turn Right. HI-CO on Left.
HI-L, SP. T-L	Split Coefficient. Turn Left. HI-CO on Left.

Table C.l. 1976 Monte Carlo, Minimum Stopping Distances and Wheel Lock Conditions.

 s^{i}

.

			STOPPING DISTANCE - FEET								
	TEST CO	ONDITION	1st.	EFF.	2nd.	EFF.	3rd	. EFF.			
60	m.p.h.	HI CO. ST.	174.0	(LF) :	179.0	(LF)	161.0	(RF)			
40	m.p.h.	LO CO. ST.	110.1	-	116.6	(LF)	95.7	-			
40	m.p.h.	HI-R,SP.ST.	96.4	(LF,LR)	109 .1	(LF)	107.9	(LF,LR)			
40	m.p.h.	HI-L,SP.ST.	108.0	(RF)	113 .1	(RF)	102.6	(RF,RR)			
40	m.p.h.	HI CO. T-R			72.9	-	69.7	(RR)			
40	m.p.h.	HI CO. T-L			72.2	-	67.1	(LR)			
40	m.p.h.	LO CO. T-R	•		132.8	-	109.4	-			
40	m.p.h.	L0 CO. T-L			120.1	-	96.8	-			
40	m.p.h.	HI-R,SP. T-R			116.2	(LF)	110.1	-			
40	m.p.h.	HI-R,SP. T-L			108.5	(LF)	109.1	(LF,LR)			
40	m.p.h.	HI-L,SP. T-R			115.9	(RF)	112.5	(RF,RR)			
40	m.p.h.	HI-L,SP. T-L			109.3	(RF)	102.6	(RF)			

83

.

î. V Table C.2. 1976 Ford LTD, Minimum Stopping Distances and Wheel Lockup Conditions.

		STO PPING DISTANCE - FEET						
TEST CONDITION	lst. EFF	2nd. E	FF. 3rd.	EFF.				
60 m.p.h. HI CO. S	T. 175.5 -	184.0	- 159.2	(LF)				
40 m.p.h. L0 C0. S	T. 125.3 -	140.6	- 124.2	-				
40 m.p.h. HI-R SP.	ST. 124.1 (L	.F) 118.5	(LF) 122.4	(LF,LR)				
40 m.p.h. HI-L SP.	ST. 126.8 (F	RF) 129.6	(RF) 113.1	(RF,RR)				
40 m.p.h. HI CO, T	-R	82.4	- 66.8	(RR)				
40 m.p.h. HI CO, T	-L	81.5	(LF) 67.4	(LF)				
40 m.p.h. LO CO, T	-R	153.1	- 114.4	(RF,RR)				
40 m.p.h. LO CO, T	-L ·	147.4	(LF) 107.0	(LF)				
40 m.p.h. HI-R, SP	. T-R	135.2	(LF) 125.1(LF)				
40 m.p.h. HI-R, SP	. T-L	122.0	(LF) 105.2	(LF,LR)				
40 m.p.h. HI-L, SP	. T-R	133.4	(RF) 117.0	(RF,RR)				
40 m.p.h. HI-L, SP	. T-L	133.0	(RF) 120.5	(RF)				

.

Table C.3.	1977 Me	rcury B	obcat	Station	Wagons,	Minimum	Stopping
Distances a	nd Wheel	Lockup	Condi	tions.			

•

.

STO			PPING DISTANCE - FEET			
TEST	CONDITION	lst. EFF.	2nd. EFF.	3rd. EFF.		
60 m.p.h	. HI CO. ST.	167.3 (RF,RR)	192.6 -	151.1 (LR)		
40 m.p.h	. LO CO. ST.	110.6 (LF)	119.3 -	102.8 -		
40 m.p.h	. HI-R SP. ST	117.5 (LF)	115.5 (LF)	110.2 (LF,LR)		
40 m.p.h	. HI-L SP. ST	114.2 (RF)	123.4 (RF)	103.3 (RF,RR)		
40 m.p.h	. HI-CO, T-R		85.4 (RF)	70.0 (RF)		
40 m.p.h	. HI-CO, T-L		79.0 (LF)	67.8 (LF,LR)		
40 m.p.h	. LO-CO, T-R		134.2 (RF)	115.0 (RF)		
40 m.p.h	. LO-CO, T-L	•	124.8 (LF)	107.8 -		
40 m.p.h	. HI-R, SP. T-R		121.3 (LF)	114.9 (LF)		
40 m.p.h	. HI-R, SP. T-L		106.6 (LF)	104.2 (LF,LR)		
40 m.p.h	. HI-L, SP. T-R		116.8 (RF)	108.0 (RF,RR)		
40 m.p.h	. HI-L, SP. T-L		118.1 (RF)	108.1 (RF)		

•

:

:

.

:

:

1

r F

÷

о Э Table C.4. 1977 AMC Pacer, Minimum Stopping Distances and Wheel Lockup Conditions.

,

٠

	STOPPING DISTANCE - FEET					
TEST CONDITION	lst. EFF.	2nd. EFF.	3rd. EFF.			
60 m.p.h. HI CO. ST.	175.8 -	165.8 (LR)	176.6 -			
40 m.p.h. LO CO. ST.	141.8 (RF)	180.7 (RF)	143.7 -			
40 m.p.h. HI-R, SP. ST.	111.0 (LF,LR)	121.6 (LF,LR)	127.5 (LF)			
40 m.p.h. HI-L, SP. ST.	123.3 (RF,RR)	125.5 (RF)	112.4 (RF,RR)			
40 m.p.h. HI-CO, T-R		75.8 (RF)	84.4 (RR)			
40 m.p.h. HI-CO, T-L		71.2 (LF,LR)	73.8 (LR)			
4 0 m.p.h. LO-CO, T-R		194.1 (RF)	136.0 (RF)			
40 m.p.h. LO-CO, T-L	•	159.6 (LF)	144.1 -			
40 m.p.h. HI-R, SP. T-R		169.0 (LF)	125.5 (LF,LR)			
40 m.p.h. HI-R, SP. T-L		125.3 (LF,LR)	133.9 (LF,LR)			
40 m.p.h. HI-L, SP. T-R		136.2 (RF)	133.9 (RF,RR)			
40 m.p.h. HI-L, SP. T-L		139.8 (RF)	132.9 (RF)			

.

1

.

Table C.5. 1976 Four Wheel Anti-Lock Equipped Nova, Minimum Stopping Distances and Anti-Lock Cycling Conditions.

			STOPPING	DISTA	NCE – FE	ET	
TEST CON	NDITION	lst. E	FF.	2nd. E	FF.	3rd. E	FF.
60 m.p.h. H	I-CO. ST.	169.2	F&R	172.2	(F)	152.1	F&R
40 m.p.h. L()-CO. ST.	104.6	F&R	102.9	(F)	99.8	F&R
40 m.p.h. H	I-R, SP. ST.	112.2	F&R	116.1	F&R	125.3	F&R
40 m.p.h. HI	I-L, SP. ST.	121.9	F&R	118.1	(F)	128.7	F&R
40 m.p.h. HI	I-CO, T-R			75.2	F&R	74.7	F&R
40 m.p.h. H	I-CO, T-L			71.9	F&R	70.6	F&R
40 m.p.h. L()-CO, T-R			110.4	(F)	99. 0	F&R
40 m.p.h. L()-CO, T-L			106.9	F&R	103.1	F&R
40 m.p.h. H	I-R, SP. T-R			135.0	F&R	124.6	F&R
40 m.p.h. H	I-R, SP. T-L			148.5	F&R	144.9	F&R
40 m.p.h. H	I-L, SP. T-R			144.2	(F)	147.1	F&R
40 m.p.h. H	I-L, SP. T-L			113.6	F&R	125.9	F&R

1

ţ,

Table C.6. 1976 Monte Carol. Peak to Peak Steering Wheel Displacement Angle and Number of Reversals.

	lst.	lst. EFF. 2nd. EFF.		3rd. EFF.		
TEST	MAX. ANG. P-P	NO. OF REV.	MAX. ANG. P-P	NO. OF REV.	MAX. ANG. P-P	NO. OF REV.
HI-CO, ST. LO-CO, ST.	30 -	2 (LF) -	-	-	-	-
HI-R, SP. ST. HI-L, SP. ST.	Failed	Steering W	lheel Angle	Sensor.	110 130	4 (LF,LR) 4 (RF,RR)
HI-CO, T-R HI-CO, T-L			-	-	-	-
LO-CO, T-R LO-CO, T-L		•	-	-	60 40	4 - 2 -
HI-R, SP. T-R HI-R, SP. T-L			-	-	55 200	4 - 3 (LF,LR)
HI-L, SP. T-R HI-L, SP. T-L			-	-	80 150	1 (RF,RR) 3 (RF)

Ì

Table C.7. 1976 Ford LTD. Peak to Peak Steering Wheel Displacement Angle and Number of Reversals.

	lst. E	FF.	2nd.	EFF.	3rd.	EFF.
TEST	MAX. ANG. P-P	NO. OF REV.	MAX. ANG. P-P	NO. OF REV.	MAX. ANG. P-P	NO. OF REV.
HI-CO, ST. LO-CO, ST.	Failed St	teering k	lheel Angle	Sensor.	55 100	2 (LF) 3 -
HI-R, SP. ST. HI-L, SP. ST.					200 140	3 (LF,LR) 3 (RF,RR)
HI-CO, T-R HI-CO, T-L					80 100	6 (RR) 5 (LF)
LO-CO, T-R LO-CO, T-L		•			180 180	5 (RF,RR) 4 (LF)
HI-R, SP. T-R HI-R, SP. T-L					200 230	4 (LF) 4 (LF,LR)
HI-L, SP. T-R HI-L, SP. T-L					220 230	4 (RF,RR) 4 (RF)

	lst.	EFF.	2nd. EFF.		3rd. EFF.	
TEST	MAX. ANG. P-P	NO. OF REV.	MAX. ANG. P-P	NO. OF REV.	MAX. ANG. P-P	NO. OF REV.
HI-CO, ST. LO-CO, ST.	270 150	3 (RF,RR) 5 (LF)	20 30	7 - 4 -	30 50	3 (LR) 3 -
HI-R, SP. ST. HI-L, SP. ST.	230 240	5 (LF) 5 (RF)	80 180	4 (LF) 3 (RF)	7,360 7,200	3 (LF,LR) 2 (RF,RR)
HI-CO, T-R HI-CO, T-L			100 145	3 (RF) 4 (LF)	80 120	2 (RF) 2 (LF,LR)
LO-CO, T-R LO-CO, T-L		•	80 140	5 (RF) 3 (LF)	80 90	4 (RF) 4 -
HI-R, SP. T-R HI-R, SP. T-L			130 240	6 (LF) 4 (LF)	180 230	5 (LF) 2 (LF,LR)
HI-L, SP. T-R HI-L, SP. T-L			220 170	2 (RF) 4 (RF)	120 160	1 (RF,RR) 5 (RF)

i

Table C.8. 1977 Mercury Bobcat Station Wagon. Peak to Peak Steering Wheel Displacement Angle and Number of Reversals.

ļ

Table C.9. 1977 Pacer. Peak to Peak Steering Wheel Displacement Angle and Number of Reversals.

.

i

2

÷

.

•

.

. .

-

	lst.	EFF.	2nd. EFF.		3rd. EFF.	
TEST	MAX. ANG. P-P	NO. OF REV.	MAX. ANG. P-P	NO. OF REV.	MAX. ANG. P-P	NO. OF REV.
HI-CO, ST. LO-CO, ST.	72 112	7 - 3 (RF)	160 112	3 (LR) 5 (RF)	40 16	2 - 1 -
HI-R, SP. ST. HI-L, SP. ST.	152 240	3 (LF,LR) 3 (RF,RR)	200 240	6 (LF,LR) 6 (RF)	148 220	3 (LF) 5 (RF,RR)
HI-CO, T-R HI-CO, T-L			40 120	1 (RF) 2 (LF,LR)	190 100	2 (RR) 2 (LR)
LO-CO, T-R LO-CO, T-L		•	40 152	3 (RF) 2 (LF)	160 120	1 (RF) 3 -
HI-R, SP. T-R HI-R, SP. T-L			120 240	7 (LF) 5 (LF,LR)	340 280	2 (LF,LR) 2 (LF,LR)
HI-L, SP. T-R HI-L, SP. T-L			240 270	1 (RF) 4 (RF)	200 200	1 (RF,RR) 5 (RF)

.

Table C.10. 1976 Nova Anti-Lock. Peak to Peak Steering Wheel Displacement and Number of Reversals.

	lst.	EFF.	2nd.	EFF.	3rd. EFF.	
TEST	MAX. ANG. P-P	NO. OF REV.	MAX. ANG. P-P	NO. OF REV.	MAX. ANG. P-P	NO. OF REV.
HI-CO, ST.	32	5	8	6	8	4
LO-CO, ST.	16	3	8	2	8	2
HI-R, SP. ST.	72	5	40	8	24	4
HI-L, SP. ST.	10	6	30	4	8	١
HI-CO, T-R			70	3	80	1
HI-CO, T-L			20	2	-	-
LO-CO, T- R			30	5	30	4
LO-CO, T-L		•	60	3	40	4
HI-R, SP. T-R			50	6	80	5
HI-R, SP. T-L			60	5	120	7
HI-L, SP. T-R			40	3	70	8
HI-L, SP. T-L			40	5	60	7

Ţ

Table C.ll. Repeatability of Experimental Stopping Distance Measurements Expressed as the Percentage Difference Between Two Shortest Stops.

TEST NO.	MONTE CARLO	FORD LTD	BOBCAT WAGON	PACER	NOVA ANTI-LOCK
1	1.4%	2.4%	1.6%	2.1%	3.0%
2	1.6	28.9	2.3	5.2	0.3
3	4.5	3.2	0.5	3.7	2.0
4	1.4	9.3	2.5	2.5	0.3
5	0.7	0.2	0.2	0.8	1.5
6	0.4	0.6	1.4	0.3	1.4
7	1.7	0.1	2.4	0.3	2.5
8	0.9	0.8	0.4	1.3	5.1
9	1.7	0.8	0.3	6.7	2.1
10	0.0	0:8	0.3	1.2	10.8
11	1.0	7.1	0.7	5.4	4.0
12	5.2	6.0	2.5	11.2	1.0
13	0.1	0.9	2.1	1.8	2.1
14	4.0	2.5	0.7	7.0	3.1
15	1.3	0.2	1.6	0.4	3.7
16	2.4	5.4	0.2	4.4	9.8
17	0.9	0.3	2.6	0.2	0.5
18	4.7	0.9	0.3	0.9	0.3
19	0.3	3.6	0.4	2.7	0.4
20	1.1	4.0	0.0	6.5	1.2
21	0.4	1.0	3.2	1.3	1.7
22	0.6	2.3	0.8	1.0	1.9
23	2.0	2.4	2.6	10.7	5.8
24	12.5	0.8	2.4	1.3	2.6
25	3.4	1.8	1.9	3.3	1.5
26	1.1	2.3	2.7	1.2	3.2
27	2.4	1.8	2.0	2.2	5.4
28	3.8	3.8	1.2	5.6	1.7
Average	2.2%	3.4%	1.4%	3.3%	2.8%

i

1

Table C.12. Average Stopping Distance Variability for Eight Surface and Braking Test Conditions. Average Values Derived From Chart in Table C.11.

HI	-	C 0	Straight	1.23%
L0	-	C 0	Straight .	4.00%
HI	-	C0	Turn	1.28%
LO	-	C 0	Turn	4.36%
SP	-	C0	Straight	2. 29%
SP	-	C 0	Turn (Heavily Load Tire on HI - CO)	2.44%
SP	-	C0	Turn (Heavily Load Tire on LO - CO)	2.86%
SP	-	C 0	Turn	2.65%

••

i

.

Table C.13. Difference Between Right Turning (TR) and Left Turning (TL) Stopping Distance on High and Low Coefficient Surfaces. Average of 2nd. and 3rd. Effectiveness Test.

ł

VEHICLE	HI · (TR ·	HI - CO (TR - TL)			CO TL)
Monte Carlo	1.6 ft.	(2.3%)	12.6	ft.	(11.6%)
Ford LTD	0.1 ft.	(0.1%)	6.6	ft.	(5.2%)
Bobcat Wagon	4.3 ft.	(5.8%)	8.3	ft.	(7.1%)
Pacer	7.6 ft.	(10.5%)	13.2	ft.	(8.6%)
Nova Anti-lock	3.7 ft.	(5.2%)	0.3	ft.	(0.3%)
	Average	4.8%	Avera	age	8.2%

;

Ì

Table C.14. Difference Between Right Turning (TR) and Left Turning (TL) Stopping Distance on the Split Coefficient Surfaces with the High Coefficient Surface on the Vehicles Right Side (HI - R) and on the Vehicles Left Side (HI - L). Average of 2nd. and 3rd. Effective-ness Test.

VEHICLE	SP - CO HI - R (TR - TL)			SP - CO HI - L (TR - TL)		
Monte Carlo	4.4	ft.	(4.0%)	8.2	ft.	(7.8%)
Ford LTD	16.6	ft.	(14.6%)	-1.6	ft.	(1.3%)
Bobcat Wagon	12.7	ft.	(12.0%)	-0.7	ft.	(0.6%)
Pacer	17.7	ft.	(13.7%)	-1.4	ft.	(1.0%)
Nova Anti-lock	-16.9	ft.	(13.0%)	25.9	ft.	(21.6%)
	Avera	age	11.5%	Avera	age	6.5%

Table C.15. Differences Between Right Turning (TR) and Left Turning (TL) Stopping Distances on the Split Coefficient Surface with the Heavily Load Tire on the High Coefficient Side (HLTR - HRTL) and on the Low Coefficient Side (HRTR - HLTL).

VEHICLE	SP (HLTR	- CO - HRTL)	SP - CO (HRTR - HLTL)		
Monte Carlo	5.4 ft.	(5.0%)	7.2 ft.	(6.8%)	
Ford LTD	11.6 ft.	(10.2%)	3.4 ft.	(2.7%)	
Bobcat Wagon	7.0 ft.	(6.6%)	5.0 ft.	(4.4%)	
Pacer	5.5 ft.	(4.2%)	10.9 ft.	(8.0%)	
Nova Anti-lock	-1.0 ft.	(0.7%)	10.0 ft.	(8.3%)	
	Average	5.3%	Average	6.0%	

Ì

Table C.16. Difference in Stopping Distance in the Split Coefficient Straight Line Braking Test with the High Coefficient Surface on the Right and Left Side of the Vehicle [(HI - L) - (HI - R)]. Average of 2nd. and 3rd. Effectiveness Test.

Difference in Straight Line (ST) and In-A-Turn (T) Stopping Distance (T - ST) on the Split Coefficient Surfaces. T is the Average of all SP - CO In-A-Turn Test and ST is the Average of Both Straight Line Test in the 2nd. and 3rd. Effectiveness Test.

VEHICLE	- SP 	SP - CO (T - ST)			
Monte Carlo	0.7 ft.	(0.6%)	2.3	ft.	(2.1%)
Ford LTD	0.9 ft.	(0.7%)	3.0	ft.	(2.4%)
Bobcat Wagon	0.5 ft.	(0.4%)	-0.8	ft.	(0.7%)
Pacer	5.5 ft.	(4.6%)	15.3	ft.	(12.6%)
Nova Anti-lock	2.7 ft.	(2.2%)	13.3	ft.	(10.9%)
	Average	1.8%	Avera	age	5.7%

:

ċ

98

:

:

Peak and Slide Surface Friction Measurements

Peak and slide surface friction measurements were made on the several test surfaces used at the Bendix Automotive Proving Grounds once each week during which vehicle test were conducted. Measurements were made with the DOT Surface Friction Dynamometer, SFD, and with the Bendix ASTM skid trailer. Both machines utilized the ASTM E-501 test tire loaded to 1100 pounds with a tire pressure of 24 psi: This data is graphed in Figures C.1 through C.6. Data from the Bendix skid trailer is incomplete because of several break downs during the test period. Data points for the Bendix skid trailer are the average values of the left and right wheels over one to three runs. Both data points are ploted for the two runs made on each date with SFD. No data was taken with the Bendix skid trailer on the Split Coefficient Curved Test Surface because it was impossible to operate the trailer in a straight path with both its wheels on the curved test surface over a distance long enough to obtain a measurement.



ÿ





R

Figure C.2. Peak and Slide Surface Friction Measurements on the Low Coefficient Straight and Curve Test Surfaces. (.) Dot Surface Friction Dynamometer. (x) Bendix Skid Trailer.



· 作品

- and the

and the second

in the second

2

H

Figure C.3. Peak and Slide Surface Friction Measurements on the High Coefficient Side of the Straight Split Coefficient Test Surface. (.) Dot Surface Friction Dynamometer. (x) Bendix Skid Trailer.



No.

10.00

· A DEALE

JAN AND

N. T. B.

N

1

n

•







· Salar

ないの意思

100 A


No.

A Real Property in

14000

3.84.84 C

The second

A STATES

. IN SALES

「「「「「「「」」



Surface Friction Characterization as a Function of Velocity and Vertical Tire Load.

Peak friction of the dry asphalt and wet jennite straight line test surfaces at the Bendix Automotive Proving Grounds was measured as a function of tire load and velocity for application of the Braking Efficiency Technique (refl). This data is plotted in Figures C.7 through C.9. The curves plotted are a least squares fit of the expression $\mu = Av^2 + Bv + C$ to the data points plotted. The equation of each curve is given in the figures. The three figures give data collected on the same surfaces on three different dates, June 8, July 19, and October 3, 1977:

106



B

Acres 1

1.1

HINGERT

5.4 GB-

ľ

P

1

P

n

Figure C.7. Surface Friction Dynamometer Peak Friction Measurements on Dry Asphalt and Wet Jennite Taken on June 8, 1977 at the Bendix Antomotive Proving Grounds.



State State

Stands.

200.000

1.1.1

Hange -

「市田市

332 E.

E.

Π

Figure C.8. Surface Friction Dynamometer Peak Friction Measurements on Dry Asphalt and Wet Jennite Taken on July 15, 1977 at the Bendix Automotive Proving Grounds.



Ť.

1.00

No.

1

100.14

16.4.54

記書

and the second

Ĵ



ない ALC: NO. - Washes No. . 1.25 South and - 499.4.3

199 No.

BLANK

APPENDIX D

SIMULATION RESULTS USING DYNAMIC BRAKING MODEL

A tremendous amount of information was compiled during the simulation activities in this study - in the form of parametric inputs to the computer program, and in the form of processed and unprocessed simulated time histories. The purpose of this appendix is to present that information which supports the main text of the report, as well as simulation results which characterize braking performance by means other than stopping distance. Thus, documented here are the parameter values needed for the simulations, time histories which illustrate the nature of the anti-lock system that was modelled for this study, and processed data which quantify the capabilities of the vehicles to maintain a constant path-curvature when braking in a turn.

D.1 <u>Vehicle and Tire Parameter Values</u>. The definitions for the vehicle and tire parameters are provided in Reference [5]. Each vehicle required two sets of descriptors, one for the lightly - laden condition and the other for the GVW condition. Also needed were tables describing the spring rates at each wheel, the shock absorber characteristics, and the front end camber, caster, and toe data. The sources of this information are summarized in Table D.1. Following are the parameter values for each vehicle, consisting of:

- Computer listings of non-tabular inputs, for both lightly-laden and GVW conditions,
- 2) tabular inputs, and

A.S.A.

A. 64

ň.

 plots of the tire model characteristics, made at APL and based on the data published in Reference [7].

KEY: M - Measured at HSRI

ALC: NO

A STATE

2. (B. 41)

· Sant ·

A Constraints

. April 1

Solide -

1

1

- Alexandre

いたい

O Court

1.385.0

A APPENDE

ALC: NO

Value I

- E Estimated from other parameter values
- C Calspan TIRF data
- **G** Generalized estimate
- N Value for Nova provided by APL
- DC Measured on Dodge Coronet
- MS Measured on 1971 Mustang
- B Measured on Brookwood station wagon
- **S** Specification

Param	eter			Source		•
APL No.	Symbol	Monte Carlo	Ford LTD	Chevy Nova	Bobcat Wagon	AMC Pacer
1	MS	M,E	M,E	M,E	M,E	M,E
2,3	MUF,MUR	Ε.	Е	E	E	E
4,5	ZF,ZR	М	Μ	М	Μ	М
6,7	A,B	М	M	М	Μ	М
8,9	TF,TR	S	S	S	S	S
10	TSR	M	М	Μ	E	М
11,13	IX,IZ	E	Ε	E	E	E
12	IY	M,E	M,E	M,E	M,E	M,E
14	IXZ	G	G	G	G	G
15	IR	DC	В	G	G	G
17	RF	Μ	М	М	E	М
19-22	AFKi	Μ	М	М	S	М
24	RR	M	М	М	S	М
25-28	CFiP,CRiP	M	М	М	G.	М
30	KRS	DC	В	G.	G	DC
31	RW	E	Ε	С	С	Ε
33	FOT	С	С	С	C	С
34-38	Ai	C	С	С	C	С
41	KSC	DC	G	G	G	G

Table D.1. (Cont.)

Same St.

した。

1. A. A.

a state

Sec. 1

- AND

APL No.	Symbol	Monte Carlo	Ford LTD	Chevy Nova	Bobcat Wagon	AMC Pacer
42	NG	DC	В	S	S	DC
47	DC	E	E	E	E	Ε
49,50	IWF,IWR	E	B	E	E	E
51	IDR	DC	DC	DC	DC	DC
52	ARR	S	S	S	S	S
55	PT	S	- S	S	S	S
56,57	YSAi	DC	G	G	G	G
5 8,59	PHSi	S	S	S	S	S ·
77- 80	KTi	DC	DC	С	С	DC
85- 88	Bi	С	C	C	С	C
9 2,93	DELF,DELR	М	M	М	E	M
130	AMCR	DC	G	G	G	G
131	ESP	G	G	G	G	G
132,133	KSLi	DC ·	В	DC	DC	DC
134,135	AAi	DC	В	N	DC	DC
136	CCR	DC	G	G	G	G
137	CFCR	DC	В	G	DC	DC
° 1 38	AP	DC	В	N	DC	DC
169	SNT	С	С	C	С	С
182-185	SII	С	C	, C	C	С
196,197	EKI	G	G	G	G	G
202- 205	APFi,APRi	С	C	С	С	C
206,207	MUSF,MUSR	С	C	C	С	С
219, 220	FEEI	G	G	G	G	G
221,222	THEI	G	G	G	G	G
231,232	HI	DC	DC	DC	DC	DC
242,243	KCF,KCR	G	В	G	G	DC
244	KSR	G	В	G	G	DC
245 -248	RBi	С	C	С	С	С
249-254	AFKi,ARKi	С	C	C	С	C
2 55-262	OFCi,ORCi	С	C	C	С	С
290	ROT	С	C	С	С	C
291- 295	RAi	С	C	С	С	С

The data used to simulate the 1976 Monte Carlo is given in Tables D.2 - D.4 and Figures D.1 - D.3; the Ford LTD data is in Tables D.5 - D.7 and Figures D.4 - D.6; the Chevrolet Nova data is in Tables D.8 - D.10 and Figures D.7 - D.9; the data for the Mercury Bobcat is in Tables D.11 - D.13 and Figures D.10 - D.12; and the AMC Pacer data is in Tables D.14 - D.16 and Figures D.13 -D.15.

Same P

Sec.

Too Gara

The tires from which the parameter values were measured were the following:

- Uniroyal GR-70-15, which has TPC specification number of 1007, for the Monte Carlo,
- 2) Goodyear HR78x15 Custom Polysteel Radial, for the Ford LTD,
- 3) Goodyear E70x14, belted bias, for the Nova police package,
- 4) Firestone BR78x13 Steel Radial 500, for the Bobcat, and
- 5) Goodyear D78x14, Custom Power Cushion Polyglass, for the Pacer.

Parameter values selected to simulate the Kelsey-Hayes anti-lock system are listed in Table D.17, while the mathematical model of the system is in Appendix F.

D.2 <u>Simulated Anti-lock Time Histories</u>. Figure D.16 illustrates the operation of the anti-lock system by showing time histories of the primary dynamic variables. The inputs to the anti-lock controller are W and W, shown in the units radians/sec and radians/sec/sec. (With the assumed rolling radius of 12.1 inches, a 10 m.p.h. speed at the tire surface corresponds to 14.5 rad/sec, and a 1.0g acceleration corresponds to 32 rad/sec/sec.) The manner in which the volume in the expansion chamber affects the pressure re-apply rate can be seen, as can the dependency of S (the logical variable which defines the status of the solenoid actuator) on H, the "HOLD ON" circuit output.

Table D.2. Parameter Values of the Monte Carlo, Under the Lightly Loaden Condition.

A REAL PROPERTY.

No.

- Alexandra

interest of

Powers of

1 Ales

Not set

11-18-2

and the second

A. States

۱

- 28		- 000 - 000 - 17 I	ANF2= 122.00 .	CF1P= 100.00 .	XXS= 0 - 730000-01+	AI= 12.230 +			PT= 1.1200			• 0.0 =vI-Y	DT= 6.5000vE-02.	KT4= 1400.0 .	53490E-03.	$D < 0 T = 0 \cdot 0$	• 0.0 =10rd	UKFH= 0.0									CLV = 0.0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				15CPH 0.25000 •			LUKF= 0.0	BMPH= -1.5000 .	A ⁴ 2=-0.a6294 E-0 4.	#10 · < + < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < <					HAR LOUDO	KB = - 0 • C 3 4 9 c E = 0 3 •	AFK2= 0.23424E+02.							
Ľ			202	. 25	90	50.					50	10	. 75	80	6.0	90	95	100	- 10								150	155	. 160	165	170	517			145	. 200	202			225	230	665 .	. 240	242	200	202	200		220		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	174
768 4.7000		1 X Z = 0 . U	AKF1= 122.00	RH= 0.0	ZRAS= U.O	A0= 849.33					0-12=0	0.0 =v1-x	DSINE 0.0	KT3= 1+00.0	RPS4= 52.801	DIDT = 0.0	DEL3= 0.0	0°0 =Hd[]	SZPHE 0.0								C20 = 0.0	CNP = 0.0	n*0 =	" C•O	SNT# 85.000		0°0 = 213 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0		LDF= 0.0	BMPS= 0.0	APR1= 1.0765					0.0	BH2= 1.0000	KSH= U.C	AFX1=+0.29352E-02.	AKKUH I.CAPC						
•	• 0	4	61	24	29	4 I	5	* 1	ь. Л. 1	5	4	69	14	79	84	89	46	66	104	601		× • •	* 0	7 C I		44	149	154	159	164	169	4/1	5 4 1	691	194	199	204	209	- 0	224	229	234	239	544	249	4 (5 (204	4 0 4 0 7 0	202	+ 0 1 0	100	
ROLET MUNTE CAMLO Mun- n unun			STUP= 10.000 +	• 0"0 =	CK471 65.000 .	FOTE 0.50000				PHS1=-0.1/000		• 0 • 0 = 1 - 1	PHINE 0.0	KT2= 1400.0 +	RP53= 52.801 .	H4= 0.30980E-07.	DELH= 0.0 .	DFw2= 0.0 •	S PH= 0.0								CLAL= 0.0	CMQ = 0.0	RE#V= 0.0 +	• 0•0 =	•				DCSW= 0.0	• 0°0 = 14WR	APF2=-0.862906-04.	BCON= 0.30000E=01.			0 • 0 w	LAMD= 1.0000 .	HHI= 1.0000 .	×CAB C.0		AHK2= 0.23+245-02+						
CHEV	זר	, L	P I	53	α V	5	5 C	1 I 1 1	, 1) T 1 T	59	50	73	16	٤b	n n	5	л Л	501	9 : 				0 M 7 M			1 1	503	951	63	89	2	0 ~ 1		5	94.	503	80.	n 1) M • N	87	662	953	543	10 m 1 + 1	ກ ເ	ο Ω Ω	1 1 1	0 - 0	n 1) (" - 1	
1976	•	• •	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	• •	••	• •	•	01.	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• •	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	-		•	•	•	•	•		•20	•	•	• •	•	•
VEHICLE MUDEL -			HFE JOUCU	AKK4= 101.00	CH3M= 45.000	SCAL= JUUU.U					AKF U.O	0.0 = 21 - 2	THIN=-0.74477E-	KT1= 1400.0	RPS2= 53.446	63= 1.1410	UELF = 0.0	UF#1= U.O	Utpin C.O	0000 1 11111							CYH = 0.0	CMAL= U.O	VLEN= U.O	C•0 H		SASFE 2. 0000	STIE 0.0		MTCH= 0.20000	EKZ= U.U	APF1= 1.0705	30249 C.42480		THEZE 0.0		00°00 #21	0.0	KCF U.O	жн3= 1.1810		0FC2==0.29304E=	CXCGH -1. 00+0				
ູ່ ບົ	1 1	~ ~ ~	11	22	27	32		1 1 1	- ^ • u	5		61	12	11	82	4 P	2 6	~~	201	101				227		4	147	152	157	162	141	172		1 H L	1 4 2	147	202	207	10	~~~~	227	232	237	242	247	200	251	242	10-10	212		
NOUE	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	• •	••	• •	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• •	• •	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	• •	• •	•	•	•	•	*	• •	•	•	٠	•	•	•	-10-	• 7 0 -	•	• •	•	•
A46764 VALUES -		1 X X C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	000°08 #300#	N	CF2+* 100.00	1 = 1 = 1 = 1			104= 0.7000	7561= 4.5406		U-12= 40.000	Y24.51- =+1-2	123 10.000	HPS1= 53.446	12= 0.0	$v_{301} = 0.0$	r111 0.0	0.0 41100									CLA = 0.0	5F = 0.0	= c•0	0°0 #	020.11 37.000		0.0	0.0	EK1= 0.0	U.0 =€X	MUSF= 0.84840		THELE U.U	0 · 0	11= 440.00	н О.Ú	9000 =+HH	Hd2= 6.0						2 : 2 c 4	
444) _	• •	712	\$2	າ 1	2 - 7 -	- 4		4 0 1 1		• •	11	15	9	ç 2	12	0,5	101	0.0	777	011	721				112	101	155	161	100			100	141	1 7 6	201	4 3 4 2 7		221	240	231	270	241	5 t Q 1 t Q		002	201	067	1.5		

•

•

Table D.3. Parameter Values of the Monte Carlo, Under the GVW Condition.

sail.

0.1

. And

ł

0.95600 0.95600 0.95600 0.00 0.00 0.00 <td< th=""><th>ROLET MURE CARLE NURE 0.95000 12 NURE 0.95000 14 STOP# 10.000 24 STOP# 10.000 24 FOT* 0.50000 24 FOT* 0.50000 24 A4= -848.09 33 FOT* 0.00 24 PHS1* 0.0 24 FOT* 0.50000 34 PHS1* 0.0 34 FIF 0.0 34 PHS1* 0.0 34 PHS1* 0.0 34 RT2* 17000 55 PHIN* 0.0 34 PHS1* 0.17000 54 PHIN* 0.0 34 RT2* 1400.0 74 RT2* 1400.0 74 RT2* 1400.0 74 RT2* 124 74 RT2* 10.0000 124 RT2* 10.0000 124 RT2* 10.000 124<!--</th--><th>6 CHEVROLET MONTE CARLO 2 PUR= 0.96000 9 1 5 12* 63000 9 1 5 10.000 24 119 23 CK4P= 65.000 24 28 28 33 A4= -66.000 24 28 28 33 FOI* 0.50000 34 119 44 43 IF 0.0 24 28 33 A4= -66.000 24 28 28 34 IF 0.0 24 14 43 IF 0.0 24 14 58 PHS1=-0.1700 59 74 74 58 PHIN= 0.0 70 74 74 58 PHIN= 0.0 70 74 74 73 PHO 73 84 75 74 PHO</th></th></td<> <th>CFEVAGET MURE MURE</th> <th>Image: Construct of the second sec</th> <th>VEHCLE FUCE VEHCL FUCE VEHCL FUCE VEHCL FUCE VEHCL FUCE VEHCL FUCE VEEHCL FUCE VEEHC FUCE</th> <th>E VEFICLE MODEL 1576 CFEVROLET MONTE CARLO 2 VUTE 0.05000 14 12 17 55000 12 12 17 55000 12 12 17 55000 14 12 17 55000 14 12 17 55000 24 12 17 55000 24 13 55000 25 55000 24 14 16 51000 24 8 17 52 56000 34 14 18 57 56 74 74 57 58 56 74 54 74 57 58 7 74 74 74 57 58 7 74 74 74 57 58 7 74 74 74 57 5700 53 74 74 74 57 7 74 74 74 74 57 7</th> <th>CCCCL VEHICLE MODEL 1976 CFEVROLET MONTE CARLG 2:4:00 7 VUER 0:000 9 7 7 17 17 0:000 9 7 7 7 17 0:000 9 19 7 7 7 85.000 25 0:000 24 7:10 77 7 7 17 0:000 34 17 7:10 77 7 17 17 11 17 11 11 7:10 77 7 17 11 17 11 11 11 7:000 57 752 72 11</th>	ROLET MURE CARLE NURE 0.95000 12 NURE 0.95000 14 STOP# 10.000 24 STOP# 10.000 24 FOT* 0.50000 24 FOT* 0.50000 24 A4= -848.09 33 FOT* 0.00 24 PHS1* 0.0 24 FOT* 0.50000 34 PHS1* 0.0 34 FIF 0.0 34 PHS1* 0.0 34 PHS1* 0.0 34 RT2* 17000 55 PHIN* 0.0 34 PHS1* 0.17000 54 PHIN* 0.0 34 RT2* 1400.0 74 RT2* 1400.0 74 RT2* 1400.0 74 RT2* 124 74 RT2* 10.0000 124 RT2* 10.0000 124 RT2* 10.000 124 </th <th>6 CHEVROLET MONTE CARLO 2 PUR= 0.96000 9 1 5 12* 63000 9 1 5 10.000 24 119 23 CK4P= 65.000 24 28 28 33 A4= -66.000 24 28 28 33 FOI* 0.50000 34 119 44 43 IF 0.0 24 28 33 A4= -66.000 24 28 28 34 IF 0.0 24 14 43 IF 0.0 24 14 58 PHS1=-0.1700 59 74 74 58 PHIN= 0.0 70 74 74 58 PHIN= 0.0 70 74 74 73 PHO 73 84 75 74 PHO</th>	6 CHEVROLET MONTE CARLO 2 PUR= 0.96000 9 1 5 12* 63000 9 1 5 10.000 24 119 23 CK4P= 65.000 24 28 28 33 A4= -66.000 24 28 28 33 FOI* 0.50000 34 119 44 43 IF 0.0 24 28 33 A4= -66.000 24 28 28 34 IF 0.0 24 14 43 IF 0.0 24 14 58 PHS1=-0.1700 59 74 74 58 PHIN= 0.0 70 74 74 58 PHIN= 0.0 70 74 74 73 PHO 73 84 75 74 PHO	CFEVAGET MURE	Image: Construct of the second sec	VEHCLE FUCE VEHCL FUCE VEHCL FUCE VEHCL FUCE VEHCL FUCE VEHCL FUCE VEEHCL FUCE VEEHC FUCE	E VEFICLE MODEL 1576 CFEVROLET MONTE CARLO 2 VUTE 0.05000 14 12 17 55000 12 12 17 55000 12 12 17 55000 14 12 17 55000 14 12 17 55000 24 12 17 55000 24 13 55000 25 55000 24 14 16 51000 24 8 17 52 56000 34 14 18 57 56 74 74 57 58 56 74 54 74 57 58 7 74 74 74 57 58 7 74 74 74 57 58 7 74 74 74 57 5700 53 74 74 74 57 7 74 74 74 74 57 7	CCCCL VEHICLE MODEL 1976 CFEVROLET MONTE CARLG 2:4:00 7 VUER 0:000 9 7 7 17 17 0:000 9 7 7 7 17 0:000 9 19 7 7 7 85.000 25 0:000 24 7:10 77 7 7 17 0:000 34 17 7:10 77 7 17 17 11 17 11 11 7:10 77 7 17 11 17 11 11 11 7:000 57 752 72 11
0.45000 0.45000 6.10000 0.4000 6.10000 0.400 0.50000 0.440 0.4000 0.440 0.4000 0.440 0.4000 0.440 0.4000 0.440 0.4000 0.440 0.4000 0.440 0.4000 0.440 0.4000 0.440 0.4000 0.440 0.4000 0.440 0.4000 0.440 0.4000 0.440 0.4000 0.440 0.4000 0.440 0.4000 0.440 0.4000 0.440 0.4000 1124 0.4000 1124 0.4000 1124 0.4000 1124 0.4000 1124 0.4000 1124 0.4000 1124 0.4000 1124 0.400 1124 0.400 1124 0.400 1124 0.400 1124 0.400 1124 0.400 1124 0.400 1124	ROLET MONTE CARLE NURE 0.956000 12# 61.900 12# 61.900 27 61.900 27 61.900 27 61.900 27 61.900 27 61.900 27 61.900 27 61.900 27 61.900 27 61.900 27 61.900 27 61.900 27 61.900 27 61.900 27 61.900 27 61.900 27 61.900 27 61.900 27 61.900 27 61.900 28 61.900 29 61.910 21.91 61.900 21.92 61.900 21.94 61.900 29.9000 11.99 29.9000 124 21.92 64.9000 21.94 64.9000 21.94 64.9000 21.94 11.99	6 CHEVROLET MONTE CARLO 2 PUCR= 0.96000 1 51000 23 TZ= 63000 23 FUT= 0.5000 33 FUT= 0.5000 33 FUT= 0.5000 34 55000 35 FUT= 0.5000 36 FUT= 0.5000 37 FUT= 0.5000 38 A4= -648.09 43 IF 39 FOT= 0.5000 39 FOT= 0.5000 39 FOT= 0.5000 39 FOT= 0.5000 39 FOT= 0.00 39 FOT= 0.0 50 FOT 51 FO 52 FO 53 FO 54 FO 58 PHIN= 0.0 70 FIN 71 FO 72 FU 73 PHIN= 0.0 74 FO 75 FU 76 FO 77 FO 74 FO </td <td>CFEVAULET FOT # 0.956000 CC0 2 CC0 12 CC0 23 CC0 23 CC0 23 CC0 23 CC0 23 CC0 33 CC0 34 CC0 34 CC0 34</td> <td>F MODEL 19 MODEL 19 550000 12 17 61.900 19 550000 12 12 12 114 550000 12 12 12 12 12 55000 23 74 61.900 19 29 55000 23 74 65.000 29 29 65000 23 74 65.000 29 29 16.000 23 73 74 14.80 29 16.000 48 15 0.0 29 29 2.7300 53 75 74 29 29 2.7300 53 75 74 29 29 2.7300 53 75 74 29 29 2.7300 53 75 74 29 29 2.7300 73 74 17 29 29 2.7300 73 74 16 20 29 2.7300 74 74 74 74</td> <td><pre> VEFICLE MUCEL _ 1976 CFEVXUCE FUNK 0.960000 IY* 556000 23 IY* 556000 23 FW = 0.0000 29 ARR4 50000 33 FW = 0.0000 29 ARA4 50000 33 FW = 0.0000 33 FW = 0.0000 33 FW = 0.0000 109 FV = 0.0000 103 FR = 0.0000 109 FR = 0.0000 100 FR = 0.0000 00 FR = 0.00000 00 FR = 0.000000 FR = 0.0000000000000 FR = 0.00000000000000000</pre></td> <td>EL CFEVROLET MUNE CARLO 2 FUE 0.0<00</td> 0.0<00	CFEVAULET FOT # 0.956000 CC0 2 CC0 12 CC0 23 CC0 23 CC0 23 CC0 23 CC0 23 CC0 33 CC0 34 CC0 34 CC0 34	F MODEL 19 MODEL 19 550000 12 17 61.900 19 550000 12 12 12 114 550000 12 12 12 12 12 55000 23 74 61.900 19 29 55000 23 74 65.000 29 29 65000 23 74 65.000 29 29 16.000 23 73 74 14.80 29 16.000 48 15 0.0 29 29 2.7300 53 75 74 29 29 2.7300 53 75 74 29 29 2.7300 53 75 74 29 29 2.7300 53 75 74 29 29 2.7300 73 74 17 29 29 2.7300 73 74 16 20 29 2.7300 74 74 74 74	<pre> VEFICLE MUCEL _ 1976 CFEVXUCE FUNK 0.960000 IY* 556000 23 IY* 556000 23 FW = 0.0000 29 ARR4 50000 33 FW = 0.0000 29 ARA4 50000 33 FW = 0.0000 33 FW = 0.0000 33 FW = 0.0000 109 FV = 0.0000 103 FR = 0.0000 109 FR = 0.0000 100 FR = 0.0000 00 FR = 0.00000 00 FR = 0.000000 FR = 0.0000000000000 FR = 0.00000000000000000</pre>	EL CFEVROLET MUNE CARLO 2 FUE 0.0<00	CCFL VEFICLE FOEL 178 61.900 114 2.5540 2 VUE 55.000 2 124 55.000 14 2.5540 12 174 55.000 12 174 55.000 14 2.5500 12 174 55.000 23 14 14 2.5500 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 2.5500 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 2.5500 27 25 26 27 27 27 27 2.5500 27 27 27 27 27 27 2.500 33 01 26 27 27 2.500 33 15 16 27 27 2.500 57 25 74 27 27 2.500 57 27 27 27 27 2.500 57 570 57 27 27 2.500 57 570 58
DNTE CARU 0.95000 61:000 61:000 61:000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.000000 0.000000 0.00000000	RCLET MONTE CANTURE TIZE 63000 TIZE 63000 TIZE 63000 FOTE 65.000 FATE 0.0 PHINE 0.0 <td< td=""><td>6 CHEVROLET MONTE CARL 12 NUR# 0.96000 12 5128 61200 15 5707# 10.000 23 CK4 P# 0.0 23 F01* 0.50000 33 F01* 0.50000 34 17 0.0 0 35 F01* 0.50000 0 36 F01* 0.0 0 37 F56 0.0 0 53 F56 P-10* 0 53 F56 9130980 0 54 P10* 0.0 0 58 P10* 0.0 0 73 PH1N# 0.0 0 73 PH1N# 0.0 0 73 PH2* 0.0 0 73 PH2* 0.0 0 73 PH2* 0.0 0 73 PF4* 0.0 0 73 PF4* 0.0 0 73 PF4* 0.0 0</td><td>CFEVALET MURE MURE</td><td>F. F. C. C.</td><td><pre>- VEFICLE MODEL - 1576 CFEVXULE MONTE CAN WUF 555000 22 WUR 0.96000 11' 555000 12 12 12 12 63000 28784 320000 33 F01 0.000 2878 9000 33 F01 0.000 2878 9000 33 F01 0.000 2878 9000 33 F01 0.000 2878 9000 48 15 0.0 2878 9000 53 75 8 0.0 278 8 0.0 2000 0.0 2000</pre></td><td>E. C VEHICLE MODEL 15% CHEVRULET MONTE CARL 7 14% 554.000 2 40% 555.000 17 18% 556.000 13 817% 555.000 22 28% 556.000 13 817% 630.000 22 28% 556.000 13 817% 630.000 22 28% 57.000 23 64% 69000 23 57.1% 30000 33 64% 69000 33 57.1% 16% 6000 33 64% 69000 33 57.1% 16% 6000 33 64% 69000 33 57.1% 16% 63 74% 65000 63 57 753 73 74% 74 6000 63 57 753 73 74% 64000 63 74% 64000 57 73 74% 64 63 74% 64000 63 73 74 73 74% 73 74%<</td><td>CCEL C FICLE MORE CARL 2:540 2 PUE= 0.96000 2:540 1 55000 2 PUE= 0.96000 2:540 1 55000 2 PUE= 0.96000 2:540 2 2 7 1 1 1 1 2:540 2 2 2 2 0.0000 2 2 0.00000 0.0000<</td></td<>	6 CHEVROLET MONTE CARL 12 NUR# 0.96000 12 5128 61200 15 5707# 10.000 23 CK4 P# 0.0 23 F01* 0.50000 33 F01* 0.50000 34 17 0.0 0 35 F01* 0.50000 0 36 F01* 0.0 0 37 F56 0.0 0 53 F56 P-10* 0 53 F56 9130980 0 54 P10* 0.0 0 58 P10* 0.0 0 73 PH1N# 0.0 0 73 PH1N# 0.0 0 73 PH2* 0.0 0 73 PH2* 0.0 0 73 PH2* 0.0 0 73 PF4* 0.0 0 73 PF4* 0.0 0 73 PF4* 0.0 0	CFEVALET MURE	F. F. C.	<pre>- VEFICLE MODEL - 1576 CFEVXULE MONTE CAN WUF 555000 22 WUR 0.96000 11' 555000 12 12 12 12 63000 28784 320000 33 F01 0.000 2878 9000 33 F01 0.000 2878 9000 33 F01 0.000 2878 9000 33 F01 0.000 2878 9000 48 15 0.0 2878 9000 53 75 8 0.0 278 8 0.0 2000 0.0 2000</pre>	E. C VEHICLE MODEL 15% CHEVRULET MONTE CARL 7 14% 554.000 2 40% 555.000 17 18% 556.000 13 817% 555.000 22 28% 556.000 13 817% 630.000 22 28% 556.000 13 817% 630.000 22 28% 57.000 23 64% 69000 23 57.1% 30000 33 64% 69000 33 57.1% 16% 6000 33 64% 69000 33 57.1% 16% 6000 33 64% 69000 33 57.1% 16% 63 74% 65000 63 57 753 73 74% 74 6000 63 57 753 73 74% 64000 63 74% 64000 57 73 74% 64 63 74% 64000 63 73 74 73 74% 73 74%<	CCEL C FICLE MORE CARL 2:540 2 PUE= 0.96000 2:540 1 55000 2 PUE= 0.96000 2:540 1 55000 2 PUE= 0.96000 2:540 2 2 7 1 1 1 1 2:540 2 2 2 2 0.0000 2 2 0.00000 0.0000<
	А	6 C. C	CC C </td <td>Image: Construct of the second of the sec</td> <td><pre> KEFICLE #05050 - 15,0 CFEVROLE #0850000 - 13 IY* 550000 - 13 IY* 550000 - 13 AxRF 320000 - 23 CP3+ 320000 - 23 CP3+ 320000 - 23 CP3+ 33 IFW3 B6-0000 - 33 A4= 754 ARF 2.12256 - 13 FA52= 1.0000 - 48 TF1= 1.0000 - 48 FA52= 1.1810 CU FA5 CU CU</pre></td> <td>EL C = VEHICLE MODEL 157.6 CFEVROLET 7 194.5550000 12 7044 7 17 194.5550000 12 7044 17 17 184.5550000 12 7044 17 184.5550000 12 7044 17 184.555000 12 7044 22 7874 32.0000 23 7044 27 7874 300.18200 33 7044 37 73 701.8200 33 7044 42 78 16.000 33 7044 57 753 7300 43 17 57 753 7300 53 7014 57 753 7450 53 718 77 711 1400 53 718 77 71 71 73 718 77 71 745 53 718 77 71 73 718 73 77 71 74 73 718</td> <td>UES - VEHICLE MODEL 156 CFEVROLET 2.556000 17 18 556000 23 764 2.556000 17 17 18 556000 23 764 2.556000 17 17 18 556000 12 5708 2.556000 17 7 7 18 556000 23 764 2.75000 27 7874 32.55000 33 704 76 2.75000 37 75000 37 70000 33 704 2.75000 57 753 7570 43 16 70 2.05000 57 753 7570 43 17 8 2.05000 57 753 73 71 8 71 8 2.05000 77 77 77 71 73 71 8 73 71 8 73 71 8 73 71 8 73 71 8 73 71 8 73 71 8 73 71 73<!--</td--></td>	Image: Construct of the second of the sec	<pre> KEFICLE #05050 - 15,0 CFEVROLE #0850000 - 13 IY* 550000 - 13 IY* 550000 - 13 AxRF 320000 - 23 CP3+ 320000 - 23 CP3+ 320000 - 23 CP3+ 33 IFW3 B6-0000 - 33 A4= 754 ARF 2.12256 - 13 FA52= 1.0000 - 48 TF1= 1.0000 - 48 FA52= 1.1810 CU FA5 CU CU</pre>	EL C = VEHICLE MODEL 157.6 CFEVROLET 7 194.5550000 12 7044 7 17 194.5550000 12 7044 17 17 184.5550000 12 7044 17 184.5550000 12 7044 17 184.555000 12 7044 22 7874 32.0000 23 7044 27 7874 300.18200 33 7044 37 73 701.8200 33 7044 42 78 16.000 33 7044 57 753 7300 43 17 57 753 7300 53 7014 57 753 7450 53 718 77 711 1400 53 718 77 71 71 73 718 77 71 745 53 718 77 71 73 718 73 77 71 74 73 718	UES - VEHICLE MODEL 156 CFEVROLET 2.556000 17 18 556000 23 764 2.556000 17 17 18 556000 23 764 2.556000 17 17 18 556000 12 5708 2.556000 17 7 7 18 556000 23 764 2.75000 27 7874 32.55000 33 704 76 2.75000 37 75000 37 70000 33 704 2.75000 57 753 7570 43 16 70 2.05000 57 753 7570 43 17 8 2.05000 57 753 73 71 8 71 8 2.05000 77 77 77 71 73 71 8 73 71 8 73 71 8 73 71 8 73 71 8 73 71 8 73 71 8 73 71 73 </td

Table D.4. Tabular Inputs for Monte Carlo.

1. A. A.

10 Page 1

19 M.Y.

ويتقلقهم ا

Sec. 1

. Stanks

- ALCONTRA-

が正式

F

P

	Front	Rea	ar
<u>δ (in.)</u>	F (1bs)	<u>δ (in)</u>	F (1bs)
-100.	-4225.	-100.	-6264.
-10.	-4225.	-10.	-6264.
-2.75	-600.	-3.75	-750.
-2.3	-375.	-3.3	-353.
-1.72	-210.	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	4.75	508.
4.2	512.	5.	800.
4.5	800.	10.	6640.
10.	6080.	100.	6640.
100.	6080.		

Spring Rates (Measured at HSRI)

Brake Torque (Measured at HSRI)

	Front	Re	ar
P (psi)	TQ (in-1bs)	P(psi)	TQ (in- 1bs)
0.	· 0	0	0
150	0	150	0
1200	32000	800	6300
		1700	22000

Table D.4. (Cont.)

```
Shock Absorber Data (G)
```

The second se

14.10

100 100

19 34 A.

の言語で

1.1

Partie and

A Contractor

Sec. 21

```
Front and Rear: C = 3.0 \text{ lb}/(\text{in/sec}) \dot{\delta} < 0
= 10.0 lb/(in/sec) \dot{\delta} > 0
```

Camber, Caster, and Toe Functions (Dodge Coronet, Ref. [5])

Displacement	Camber	Caster	Toe
0.	0.	.75	0.
1.	.41	.75	37
2.	.98	.75	57
3.	1.26	.75	85
4.	1.22	.75	-1.05
5.	.95	.75	-1.21
6.	43	.75	-1.36

- Cartan

State of

The second

Contraction of the local distribution of the

in the second

and the second

- **1**

1999



Figure D.1. Tire side force vs. slip angle, with normal load varying, for the Monte Carlo.

38.2k

A ROAD ST

ALC: N

1. Also 440

1. M. M.

1 Staffans

1. C. C.

Autor

and the second

の教育

New York

i di ka

ALC: N



28 SEP 77

Figure D.2. Tire side force vs. slip ratio, with normal load varying, for the Monte Carlo.

(484 GR70-15 UN R PR6 SLIP ANGLE=0., CAMBER)

ALC: NOT

N ANAL

大学を

S. Salarit

「二田二

2.32

San and

5 mm

1



NORMAL LOAD = 2400.000 -LBS

Figure D.3. Tire braking force vs. slip ratio, with normal load varying, for Monte Carlo.

Table D.5. Parameter Values Needed to Simulate the Ford LTD, Under the Lightly Loaded Condition.

a constant of

の業の構成

a subscription

Salar Salar

-

ZR= 10.800 TSR= 45.500 IX= 750.00 AKF2= 120.00 CF1P= 160.00 KFS= 0.31000E-01 Al= 13.170 Al= 13.170 TCR = 0.0	143 15.000 PTH 0.50000 F-174 0.0 Y-174 0.0 Y-174 0.0 Y14 1.000 Y14 0.00 Y14 0.00 Y14 0.00 Y14 0.00	RP2# 0.0 CLP # 0.0 CNA # C.0 SNSJ # 0.0 SNSJ # 0.0 PASSP 0.25000 PASSP 0.25000 PASSP 0.25000 PASSP 0.011300 S14= 0.0 CD2 0.01300	FE2=0.391635=-04. FE2=0.30 BR3=1.000 BR3=1.0000 BR3=1.0000 BR3=1.0000 C725=0.231206=02. C725=0.231206=02. C725=0.0 C725=
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
ZF= 10.800 TR= 64.300 IXZ= 0.0 FF1= 120.00 KR= 0.0 A0= 1767.6 IR= 0.0	Image: 1000 Image: 1000 <t< td=""><td>Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z</td><td>CSM= 0.0 CSM= 0.0 EE1= 0.0 BR2= 0.0 BR2= 1.0000 KSR= 0.0 RC0= 0.0</td></t<>	Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z	CSM= 0.0 CSM= 0.0 EE1= 0.0 BR2= 0.0 BR2= 1.0000 KSR= 0.0 RC0= 0.0
4 0 4 0 4 0 4 0 4 0 4 0 4 0 4 0 4 0 4 0	6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7		A 4 4 0 0 0 0 4 4 4 4 4 6 4 6 4 6 4 6 4 6
1.000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000			
FOR C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	4.4 4.4 <td>11111111111111111111111111111111111111</td> <td>2222222222222222222222222222222222222</td>	11111111111111111111111111111111111111	2222222222222222222222222222222222222
DEL - 1976 3500 0000 0000 0000 000 000 1000 1000 000 1000	0.00 00 10 00000 0.000 10 00000 0.000 10 00000 0.000 10 00000 0.000 10 00000 0.000 00 00000 0.000 00 00000 0.000 00 00000 0.000 00 00000 0.000 00 0000 0.000 00 0000 0.000 00 0000 0.000 00 0000 0.000 00 0000 0.000 00 0000 0.000 0000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000000		1027 3390 1490 1490 0080 0080 0080 0080 0080 0080 0080 0
<pre>K EHH CICLE MUTCLE MUTCLE BE CA BE CA A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A</pre>	ХАХНА КАХАТА КАХАТА КАТАТА КАТАТА КАТАТА КАТАТА КАТАТА СОСОСО КАТАТА СОСОСОСО СОСОСОСО СОСОСОСО СОСОСОСОСО СОСОСОСОСО СО	х х х х х х х х х х х х х х	APFI Definition APFI APFI ACFI A A A A A A A A A A A A A
MODEL C	**************************************		
ALUES ALUES			1 0.0 1 0.0
Р 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	446194666666666666666666666666666666666	нана стана стан	200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200

Parameter Values Needed to Simulate the Ford LTD, Under the GVW Condition 0.6. Table

0

ĥ

Ĩ

1

1

E.

1

BR3= 1.0000 R81=-0.236105-03 AFX2= 0.28120E-02 OFC0= 0.0 2RC1=-0.11250E-02 CP2F= 0.0 SN55= JJ. 000 TSCP= 0.25600 PASS= 0.0 S14= 0.11300 S14= 0.11300 LDRF= 0.0 BMPH= -1.500 BMPH= -1.500 APR2=-0.10195**E-03**

 # 2
 15.000

 # 4
 15.000

 C T S H = 15.000
 10.000

 R - I 4 = 0.000
 0.000

 R - R - 0.000
 0.000

 R - R - 0.000
 0.000

 . 50033E-01 5.3000 • 0 • 0 • 50000 8.400 755.500 755.500 1250.00 1650.00 1651.00 1651.00 170.170 TST= 0.0 TCF= 0.0 ISFS= 0.0 ACCF= 0.60 AA2= 0.60 EP2= 0.0 000000 0000 0000 0 ò 0 HRC= RCT = RA4 = SNSU # TSCP# PASS# = FEE2= 215222022255225522255 245 250 255 260 285 293 295 240 **NONON**ONONONON NONONONONONON # 0.0 # 0.0 # 0.0 BR2= 1.0000 # KSH= 0.0 # KSH=-0.27310E-22, 2 254 AFK1=-0.27310E-22, 2 259 DRC0= 0.0 264 CP1F= 0.0 274 CP1F= 0.0 274 CP1F= 0.0 1081= 0.0 HFC= 0.0 * 5 0-0 0.0 L= 0.0 .SM= 0.0 FEE L= 0.0 FEE L= 0.0 0.0 15.000 0.0 0.17000 0.0 8.2000 64.300 0.0 0-0 0-0 1767-6 0-0 1038.0 54.688 1.0000 120.00 a 5.000 LDF= 0.0 BMPS= 0.0 APR1= 1.1 FCSW= 0.0 000000 0000 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 **.** 00 ò 0 0 S2PK= S2PK= DS4M= T2K= RKFS PHS2# Q-17# PS1N# KT3# RPS4# D10101# U1PR# TIRE= RA3 =-04040404 5 99 * 0 ... 5 0.28120E-07. 0.28120E-02. -7.0030 PHSL=-0.17000 PHSL=-0.17000 PHIN= 0.0 KT2= 1038.0 RPS3= 54.688 BL4= 0.0606 PFF2= 0.0 SIPR= 0.0 PFF2= 0.0 CS= 0.0 E-01, BMPL= 0.0 APF2=-0.10180E-03 BCUN= 0.30000E-01 0.00 1.0350 64.100 78000. 10.000 0.0 55.000 0.50000 44.460 1.0000 000 0000 0.0 0.0 00 ?•0 0 50 • ō ... ö o ò 0 0 C CCAL= CMD = REHV= DCSW= IF = TSF = s12= R34= ARK2= С F C 3 = С P C F = С P 2 2 = С R L R = Т Q 3 U = CR4P= FOT= A4= DIST= 82.1= KCR= DU1L = RA2 = = M X MO AMD= TF= 1 2= ST3P= LTD MUR 168 248 253 63 68 218 08 2 263 2882 543 AKKI=-0.27310E-02, 2 GFC2=-0.20180E-02, 2 CRC3= -7.0080 CRON= 0.0 CRON= 0.0 BMPN= 0.0 1976 IY= 68000 RF= 0.23000E 06 AK34= 226.00 CR3= 55.000 SCAL= 3000.0 A3=-0.13000E-01 NG= 15.000 A3=-0.13000E-01 A3=-0.13000E-01 A3=-0.13000 A3=-0.000 THIN=-0.76733E-01 THIN=-0.76733E-01 File 1038.0 File 1.1490 DELF= 1.6000 ı 0.63500 61.300 90000. 77.0000 0.11300 1.1027 2.0000 1.1490 400.00 VEHICLE MODEL MUF= 0.03500 0.0 000 000 0000 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 0 . o M 108 M =11 =HSNS sı 1 = KOF = . ₽.3.3= N R H2= HE 2= AXLE= RA1 = H 232 247 182 87 26 101 52 97 207 2222 252 267 10004 =-C.33160E-J C. 2J200 11.030 225 0.0 225 0.0 5535 0 11 UES 14-330 59-700 59-700 200.000 200.000 200.000 10-9.00 10-9.00 10-10 200.00 10-00 10-00 0.70000 C.50000 -20.743 -20.743 :0.000 100.00 400.00 0.0 0.73390 1707.0 VALUES 0,0 0.0 0-0 ?: ;; 0.0 0 0 0 <u>.</u>, 0-1-10-0 ▲ まままままままままま またなきの、 まっているよう しくご ようした。 ようした。 たったし、 たし、 たったし、 たっし、 たったし、 たったし、 たった たったし、 たった た たっし、 たったし たったし、 たったし、 た U3P9# S4P&# 410# DSLP# 2FL# 5#15# XC= MUSF= CP 32 = 1 0 R = EK 1= AF < 3 * 01544 820 = PH ISH R =1 H -7 S > S THE1= đ U

	Front	Rea	r
<u>δ (in)</u>	F (1bs)	<u>δ (in)</u>	<u> </u>
-100.	-7360.	-100.	-6903.
-10.	-7360.	-10.	-6903.
-3.5	-860.	-4.	-903.
-3.	-360.	-3.5	-403.
0.	0.	0.	0.
3.	360.	4.	460.
3.8	520.	10.	1150.
10.	1760.	100.	1150.
100.	1760.		

Spring Rates (Measured at HSRI)

Brake Torque (Measured at HSRI)

	Front	Rea	ar
<u>P (psi)</u>	TQ (in-1bs)	P (psi)	<u>TQ (in-lbs)</u>
0.	0.	0.	0.
150.	0.	150.	0.
1400.	40000.	925.	10500.
		1500.	10500.

Shock Absorber Data (G)

1

Front & Rea	ar: C	= 3.	0 lb/(in/sec)	δ < ()
		= 10.	0 lb/(in/sec)	š > ()

U

Ĺ

1

oumber y	ouster, una roc	ancerons (Drookwe	Jou wayon7
Displacement	Camber	<u>Caster (G)</u>	Toe
0.	0.	1.	0.
1.	0.85	1.	-0.24
2.	1.68	1.	-0.53
3.	2.18	۱.	-0.73
4.	2.43	1.	-0.89
5.	2.47	1.	-1.01
6.	2.29	1.	-1.10
7.	1.96	1.	-1.17

Camber, Caster, and Toe Functions (Brookwood Wagon)

)

U

が現む

- State

A CONTRACT

HAPPEN.

Section 2







HIMA

STATE

1000

R



(039 HR78-15 GY R CSR SLIP ANGLE=0., CAMBER)

の時間で

- Contraction

A STATE

River Mar

11

Turner P



Figure D.6. Tire braking force vs. slip ratio, with normal load varying, for the Ford LTD.

....

Table D.8. Parameter Values Needed to Simulate the Nova, Under the Lightly Loaded Condition.

مى ئەربىيە ئە

PLKS	AMETER VALUES -	MODEL C	- VEHICLE MODEL -	1976 CHEV	ROLET NOVA			-		
1	MS= 9.4500	, 2	MUF= 0.55000	, 3	MUR= 0.89000	• 4	ZF= 8.2000	• 5	ZR= 9.1000	٠
6	A= 47.900	• 7	B= 63.100	, 8	TF= 61.300	, 9	TR= 59.000	• 10	TSR = 43.500	٠
11	IX= 590J.0	• 12	IY= 33500.	, 13	IZ = 42500	+ 14	IXZ = 0.0	+ 15	IR= 500.00	•
16	RJCF= 30.000	+ 17	RF= 0.41000E	06, 18	STOP= 10.000	, 19	AKF 1= 155.00	• 20	AKF2= 155.00	•
- 21	AKR?= 145.00	• 22	AKR 4= 145.00	• 23	= 1.0000	• 24	RR= 62000.	+ 25	$CF1^{2} = 60.000$	
26	CF2F= 60.000	, 27	CR3P= 65.000	, 28	CR4P= 65.000	, 29	ZBAS= 0.0	, 30	KFS= 0.0	
21	RH= 12.700	• 32	SCAL= 3000.0	, 33	FOT = 0.50000	• 34	AO= 733.23	+ 35	A1= 13.550	•
36	£2= 2976.0	• 37	A3= 1.4060	, 38	A4= 6135.9	• 39	TIR = 0.0	• 40	TCR = 0.0	
41	KSC= 500.00	• 42	NG= 24.000	• 43	= 0.0	• 44	= 0.0	, 45	= 0.0	٠
46	= 0. 0	, 47	[Fh= 8.00CO	, 48	IF = 0.0	, 49	IWF= 10.000	, 50	IWR= 10.000	
51	IDR= C.70000	, 52	ARR= 3.0800 -	, 53	TSF = 0.0	, 54	KFS = 0.0	, 55	PT= 0.21000	٠
56	YS41= 5.0000	, 57	YSA2= -5.0000	• 58	PHS1 =-0.17000	, 59	PHS 2= 0.17000	• 60	CT54= 1.0000	
61	IDF= 0.0	, 62	ARF= 0.0	, 63	P-IN= 0.0	, 64	Q-IN= 0.0	, 65	R-1 = 0.0	
66	U-IN= 40.000	, 67	V-IN= 0.0	, 68	W-IN= 0.0	• 69	X-IN= 0.0	• 70	Y-IN= 0.0	
71	Z-1N= -20.106	, 72	THIN=-0.22655E-	-01, 73	PHIN= 0.0	• 74	PSIN= 0.0	, 75	DT= 0.50000E-0)2,
76	TN= 10.000	, 77	KT1= 1281.0	, 78	KT2= 1281.0	• 79	KT3= 1281.0	, 80	KT4= 1281.0	
E1	RPS1= 59.225	, 82	RPS2= 59.225	, 83	RPS3= 58.517	, 84	RP\$4= 58.517	. 85	B1=-0.63180E-0	¥••
66	22= C.J	, 37	B3= 1.0750	, 88	84=-0.580508	-07, 89	D101= 0.0	• 90	D201 = 0.0	٠
51	030T= C.O	. 92	DELF= 0.0	, 93	DELR= 0.0	, 94	DEL 3= 0.0	, 95	PHDT= 0.0	
96	PHIN= 0.0	, 97	DFW1= 0.0	, 58	DF+2= 0.0	, 99	U1PR= 0.0	, 100	U2P3# 0.0	
101	U3PK= C.0	, 102	U4PR= 0.0	, 103	S1PR= 0.0	, 104	SZPR= 0.0	, 105	53PA = 0.0	
105	S4PP= C.C	. 107	PPRT= 1.0000	, 108	FKEQ= 0.50000	, 109	RWSF= 0.0	. 110	TQ"X= 0.0	•
111	KTC= 6.0	, 112	VC= 0.0	, 113	MTSW= 1.0000	, 114	DSWM= 0.0	• 115	TST = 0.0	
110	05LP= 100.00	, 117	CGAM= 0.0	, 118	CS= 0.0	, 119	TOR= 0.0	, 120	TQF= 0.0	
121	PFL= 203.00	122	11= 0.0	. 123	DSW= 0.0	, 124	= 0.0	, 125	IS#5= 0.0	•
126	Sal= 0.0	. 127	PCSW= 0.0	, 128	VTPS= 1.0000	, 129	VHTP= 1.0000	. 130	AHCR = 0.70000E-	л.
1 ? 1	ESP= C.O	, 132	KSL1= 56000.	. 133	KSL2= 56000.	. 134	AA1= 6.2500	• 135	AA2= 0.2500	•
135	CC9= 11.000	• 137	CFCR= 70.000	, 138	AP= 7.0000	, 139	EP1= 0.0	• 140	EP2 = 0.0	
141	$ASBC = C_{A}D$	• 142	VYW = 0.0	. 143	CMX W= 0.0	, 144	CMZW= 0.0	+ 145	RH04= 0.0	•
145	CYP = 0.0	. 147	CYR = 0.0	, 148	CZAL= 0.0	• 149	CZQ = 0.0	• 15J	CLP = 0.0	٠
151	CLR = C.0	• 152	CMAL= 0.0	, 153	CMQ = 0.0	. 154	CNP = 0.0	, 155	CNR = C.0	,
155	SF = C.U	, 157	VLEN= 0.0	, 158	REWV= 0.0	• 159	= 0.0	. 160	= 0.0	•
161	= C.C	. 162	= 0.0	, 163	= 0.0	, 164	= 0.0	. 165	= 0.0	
165	= C.J	• 167	= 0.0	, 168	= 0.0	, 169	SNT= 85.000	• 170	SNSO= 31.000	
171	SNS1= 35.000	• 172	SNSH= 2.0000	. 173	DIST = 0.0	• 174	PL = 0.0	• 175	TSCP= 0.25000	,
176	= 1.0000	, 177	= 0.0	, 178	= 0.0	. 179	= 0.0	, 180	PASS= 0.0	
1 6 1	= C.C	, 182	SI1= 0.15800	, 183	SI2= 0-15800	, 184	SI3= 0.15800	• 195	SI4= 0.15800	
156	= C.C	. 137	= 0.0	, 188	= 0.0	, 189	= 0.0	, 190	= 0.0	
191	= C.O	, 192	MT08= 0.20000	• 193	DCSW= 0.0	. 194	LDF= 0.0	• 195	LDRF= 0.0	
196	EK1= C.0	, 197	EK2= 0.J	, 198	BMPL= 0.0	, 199	BMPS= 0.0	• 200	BMPH= -1.5000	
201	X8≠ C.0	, 202	APF1= 1.3102	. 203	APF2=-0.276001	E-03, 204	APR 1= 1.3102	, 205	APR2=-0.27600E-0	33 +
205	MUSF= 0.93320	. 207	MLSR= 0.93320	, 208	BCDN= 0.300001	E-01, 209	FCSW= 0.0	• Z10	=-0.14940E-	33,
211	=- C. 14940E	-03, 212	= 0.0	, 213	= 0.0	, 214	= 0.0	, 215	= 0.0	•
216	= C.O	, 217	= C.O	, 218	= 0.0	, 219	FEE1= 0.0	, 220	FEE2= 0.0	,
221	THE1= 0.0	, 222	THE2= 0.0	+ 223	= 1.0000	, 224	= 0.0	, 225	= 0.0	•
226	= (.0	, 227	= 0.0	, 228	= 0.0	, 229	≠ 0.0	, 230	= 0.0	
231	H1= 400.00	, 232	H2= 400.00	, 233	LAMD= 1.0000	. 234	= 0.0	, 235	= J.J	,
235	= C.O	, 237	= 0.0	+ 238	BR1= 1.0000	, 239	BR 2= 1.0000	, 240	BR3 = 1.0000	
241	82.4= 1.0000	, 242	KCF= 0.0	, 243	KCR= 0.0	, 244	KSR= 0.0	, 245	R81=-0.63180E+	ж .
246	R82= 0.0	, 247	RB3= 1.0750	248	RB4=-0.580508	5-07, 249	AFK1=-0.27936E-	- 32, 250	AFK2= 0.24828E+	JZ.
251	AFK3= 1.9320	, 252	ARK1 =-0.27936E-	-02, 253	ARK2= 0.248288	E-02, 254	ARK 3= 1.9320	• 255	0FCJ= 0.0	
255	UFC1=-0.12024E	-02, 257	DFC 2=-0.15336E-	-02, 258	OFC3= -7.2960	, 259	ORCU= 0.0	, 203	ORC1=-0.12024E-)2.
261	CRC2=-C.15336E	-02, 262	CKC3= -7.2960	, 263	CPOF= U.O	. 264	CP1F= 0.0	, 265	CP2F= 0.0	٠
200	CPOF= C.U	, 267	CP1R= 0.0	, 268	CP2R= 0.0	, 269	CR0F= 0.0	, 270	CR1F= 0.0	
271	CR2F= C.O	, 272	CRUR= 0.0	, 273	CR1R= 0.0	• 274	CR2R= 0.0	. 275	= 0.0	
276	= C.O	+ 277	BMPN= C.O	, 278	T030= 0.0	• 279	TOB1= 0.0	• 280	= 0.0	
221	± ∪.0	- 282	= 0.0	, 283	= 0.0	, 284	HFC= 0.0	, 285	HRC = 0.0	
225	DASN= C.D	, 237	AXLE= 1.0000	, 288	DUAL= 0.0	, 289	TIRE= 4.0000	, 290	RGT = 0.50000	•
251	RAQ = 73.23	. 292	RA1 = 13.550	, 293	RA2 = 2976.0	, 294	RA3 = 1.4660	, 295	RA4 = 6135.9	•

129

Parameter Values Needed to Simulate the Nova, Under the GVW Condition. Table D.9.

14000

ŝ.

State State

ALC: NO.

の言語など

きを見る

A12.12

in the

1. Sec. 2

a de la companya de la compan

A States

(į	:													
	FAAA 1	VETER V	211-230	ວ ວ ລີ	<u>ل</u> ة - - ^	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	H GUEL -	0/6]	0 T T Y Y U		0.89000	•	2 F=	8.1000	•	= 23 =	8.2000 +	
(• •0	₩ 4	57.200	•		# 80	53-800	•	Ð	TFa	61.300	•	TR=	59.000	• 10	152=	43.500 +	
	11	# X I	7503.0	•	21	₩ \]	44700.	٠	51	=71	52900.	• 14	1 X 2+	0.0	• 15	- 21 S	500.00	
	16	# 200 x	30.000	•	27	# # #	0.410C0E	.96	8 1	STOP =	10.000	• 19	AKF 1	155.00	• 20	AXF2=		
í	1		145.00	•	Ā	(7.4 H	145.00	٠	ייי			• v t	H 1 4 6 6	• • • • • •				
	9 F	C F Z P #	60-CCO	•		モンクレー		• •				• •	- 5 4 0 7 0 =	733.23) (1) (1) (1)	A1 #	13.550	
	4 4		2576.0	• •		1 N N	1-4660	•	0.00	44 a	6135.9	. 96	TIR =	0.0	4	TCR =	. 0	
	14	* 2 C *	500.00S	•••	2	= 0 Z	24.000	• •	. 4	H	0.0	* *	n	0.0	• 45	H	• • • •	
	4 5	•	c. 0	•	~	ll X Ll	8. UO CO	•	48	1F = (0.0	• 49	IHF=	10.000	• 50	1 73 =	. 000.01	
	51		0.13300	•	22	± 7.81	3.0800	٠	5	TSP =	0.0	• 54	KFS #	0.0	• 55	PT=	• 2000	
	\$5	¥ 241 =	₅. ئادە ر	•	× ×	. 42 = ·	-5.0000	•	8.6		0.17000	• 59	PH 5 2=	0.1.000	• • •			
	19			•	~ ~			•				• •	= 4 - - ×					
	60 12		-14.975	••	11			.2.	200	HN HA			=7 ISd	.0	• 75	D1=	0.50000E-02.	
	15	1.1.1	10.000	•		=11	1281.0	•	78	KT2≖	1281.0	• 79	KT3=	1281.0	• 8.)	KT4=	1281.0	
	e 1	RPS1=	59.225	•	32 RF	52=	59.225	٠	m a	KPS3=	58.517	• 8 •	RPS4=	58.517	• 82		0.63180E-04.	
	ς. ε	-2 Q	0.0	•		, a , a , a , a , a , a , a , a , a , a	1.0750	•	- 0 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1								
	16	D301=		•				• 0	η α Γ σ	1111111 11111111111111111111111111111	- 1.8000	+ 0 + 0	UTL3= U1P8=		. 100	= 20 20 = 20 20		
	201				20	- H H H H	0.0	•	10	S1PK=	0.0	. 104	52 P A=	0.0	. 105	S 3 P.R =	•	
	106	- 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	0.0		27 PS	28.T=	1.0000	•••	80	FREC=	0.50000	. 109	RWSF	0.0	. 110	TOHXE	• • • •	
	111	× 1 C=	c.0	•	2	<pre></pre>	0.0	•	13	M154=	1.0000	. 114	EN MSO	0.0	. 115	1ST=	. 0.0	
	115	DSLF=	100.001	• 11	.7 00	3 A M = (0.0	•	18	C S=	0.0	• 119	TOR=	0.0	. 120	- u - u - u - u - u - u - u - u - u - u	•	
	121	₽FL=	1200.0	•	0.1	11-	0.0	•	23		0.0	. 124	•	0.0	421 .			
	125	S=15=	0°0	•	5.7 PC	10×1	0.0	•	80	VTPS=	1.0000	• 129				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
	121			•		# # 	-0000-02	• •		<pre></pre>	-0000-2	1 24	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	0.00	• 140			
	141		11-000 0-0	• •	2	אן די	0.0	• •	- •		0.0	471	=4 2 ×0	0.0	145	RHCAH	•	
	145	C 4 P	0.0		5	н Н	0.0	•	48	CZAL = (0.0	. 149	CZU =	0.0	. 150	בוף ≖	• •	
	151	CLR =	0.0	•	52 CF	(AL= (. 0.0	• •	53 (1 0 V 0	0.0	• 154	CNP .	0.0	• 155		•	
	155	SF .		•	17 21	=2 =	.	•	5.8	REACE	0.0	, 159	11	0.0	. 160	11	•	
•	161		0.0	•	Ņ,		0.0	•	6 9 9	H 8		164	H NV	0.0 85.000	• 120			
	0			• •		י אי עי		• •		151=			= Id	0.0	. 175	1 SC P =	0.25000	
	176	17020	00000	•	" ~~			••	0	1 H	0.0	• 179	, K	0	, 180	PASS=	•	
			0.0	• ••	. 2	11= 0	0.15800	• •		S12= (0.15800	. 184	S I 3=	0.15800	, 185	S 4 =	0.15800	
	186		0-0	•	37	*	0.0	•	88	•	0.0	. 189		0.0	190		•	
• .	151		0.0	•	21	108=	0.20000	•	5		0.0	• 154	= 101 9 40 5 -	0.0	• 195			
	9 · C C	= 1 X = 1 × 1 ×		•			0.0 , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•	ה מ כ		0.0 0 27605-01	1 204		0.0	• 205			
,	4 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7		C. 93370	• •	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	11- 128= 0	1.93320	•••	100	BCON#	0.30000E-0	1. 209		0.0	210		0.149405-03.	
	211		0-14940E-0	3. 21	2	н	0.0	•	13	H	0.0	, 214	n	0.0	, 215	N	• • •	
	216	•	c•0	- 21	~ .	H	0.0	•	18	Ħ	0.0	• 219	FEE 1=	0.0	, 220	F E E 2 =	•	
1	122			• •	2			• •	2 0	н и		• • • • •	1 #	0.0	. 230	1 H		
	231		400.00			, ≈ 12 ×	400.00	••		L AM 0=	1.0000	234	H	0.0	. 235	٩	0.0	
•	230		0.0	~		H	0.0	•	38	8R1=	1.0000	, 239	BR2=	1.0000	077 .	BR 3=	1.0000	
	241	8 i t a	1.0000	• 24	~	CF= C	0.0	•	43	+C.8 =	0.0	• 244	K SR=	0.0	• 245	R81=-	0.63180E-04.	
1	245	H 200 2 H	C. U	• 57	~	183=	1.0750		8,0	R84 = -(0.58050E-0	7. 249	AFK 1=	-0.27936E-0	2, 250		0.243285-02.	
	4 2 7		1 - 7 3 6 U						ים הער		-7.2460	250		0-0	. 260	-= 1080	0.120245-02.	
	261	0802=+0	0-153365-0	2. 26	S CHO		-7.2960	5 T	5	CPOF= -	0.0	. 264	CP1F=	0.0	. 205	C P 2F =	•	
)	246	CPCA=	0.0	. 26	7 CF	21 P.= C	0.0	•	68	CP2R= 1	0.0	• 269	CR OF=	0.0	. 270	CR1F=	0.0	
	112	CA2F=	0.0	. 21	2 CB	= 20 :	0.0	• •	23	CR1K=	0.0	• 274	CR2R=	0.0	, 275	tt I	•	
)	275	ĸ	0.0	. 21	18 2	=Nd	0,0	•	3 2	1980=	0.0	. 219	1281		102.			
I	127			•••	2 2 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	- " " "	0.0 1.0000	•••	, a , a	= 10110		· 289		••0000	290	ROT =	0.50000 •	
	251	RAC =	733.23		2 B		13.550	•) m D	RA2 =	2976.0	+ 294	RA3 =	1.4660	. 295	RA4 =	6135.9	

Table D.10. Tabular Inputs for Chevrolet Nova.

Sec. 1

h

and the second

Carter -

Contraction of the second

- Real

The state

310.14

A STATE

The faith of

Sec. 1

Front		Rear		
<u>δ (in)</u>	F (1bs)	<u>δ (in)</u>	F (1bs)	
-100.	-2500.	-100.	-2086.	
-10.	-2500.	-10.	-2086.	
-0.5	-125.	-2.3	-440.	
0.0	0.0	-0.85	-130.	
0.2	40.	0.0	0.0	
4.4	690.	4.9	700.	
5.4	1140.	5.4	960.	
10.	3210.	10.	3352.	
100.	3210.	100.	3352.	

Spring Rates (Measured at HSRI)

Brake Torque (Measured at HSRI)

Fi	ront	Re	ar
P (psi)	TQ (in-lbs)	P (psi	TQ (in-1bs)
0.	0.	0.	0.
50.	0.	80.	0.
800.	15000.	590.	5900.
1400.	2 7000.	900.	13400.
		1400.	25500.

Table D.10. (Cont.)

1000

State of

Harris

Station for

「「「

Ser and a

JAN STOR

, ja na katalan sa kata

Shock Absorber Data (Measured by Systems Technology, Inc)

Front		Rear	
C = 2.9	δ < -17.	C = 3.5	δ < 0
C = 6.6	-17 < å < 0.	C = 19.	0 << š < 20.
C = 28.	0 < 8 < 5.8	C = 2.2	20 < š < 36.
C = 6.0	5.8 < \$ < 40.	C = 9.0	36 < 8 < 57.
C = 13.	40. < š	C = 20.	57 < δ

Camber, Caster, and Toe Functions (Measured by Systems Technology, Inc)

(Functions approximated by third-order polynomials)

Polynomial Coefficients:

Camber	Caster	Тое
CO = .976	C0 = 1.0	CO =136
C1 =0147	Cl =951	C1 =227
C2 =156	C2 =0292	C2 =0471
C3 =0115	C3 = 0	C3 = 0



際につい

20

1

Tire side force vs. slip angle, with normal load varying, Figure D.7. for the Chevrolet Nova.



100

the second

and the second





R



Figure D.9. Tire braking force vs. slip ratio, with normal load varying, for the Chevrolet Nova.

ţ

07 DEC 77

Φ

1.0

1.2

⅔

Table D.11.. Parameter Values Needed to Simulate the Bobcat Wagon, in the Lightly Loaded Condition.

and the

Section of

Sec.

1 million 1

CTSW= 1.0000 P-IV= 0.0 T-IV= 0.0 T-IV= 0.0 V:14= 0.55.00 B:1=0.350404 -306242-02. 208705-03 57322-02 50000E-01 200-00 118-00 50-000 0.000 25000 0.50000 9.4000 15000 12.000 C.22800 . 5000 6.7100 0.0 σ SHSOF TSCPF PASSFF 0. SIT4= 0. SIT4= 0. LDA7= 0. LDA7= 0. LDA7= 0. PT= 0. BP3= 1 R31=-C. 0 0 0501=-0 CP2F= 0 C91F= 0 H9C= 2010 = 1 7 24 . = d #I 2 7 7 2 = C F C C = CLP = CLP = CLP = I P222= 0 N 0 00000000 9000000 295 ŝ 190 270275 0 ŝ ŝ ŝ 00 AFK 1=-0.347402-AFK3= 9.45600 IXZ= 0.0 XXF1= 118.00 FF= 0.0 Zhas= 0.0 Thas= 0.0 Tir = 0.0 64.858 12.000 55.800 85.000 .0 .1210 6000 1.0000 4000 . 17000 865.00 .0000 .22800 .0000. 0.17400 0 3 FSIN= 0. LDF= 0. BRPS= 0. APR1= 1 FCS4= 0. BP2= 1 XSP= 0. CP2R= 0. TQ31= 0. HFC= 0. TIRE= 4 0 0 0 0 CP1P=0CFCP=0D107= 0 0 CRC0= 0 NAPPH NAPPH DSPAH NAPPH NAPPH 141= FP1= CZO = CNP = SNTH PL H H = 3 M I Ħ DEL3= 0123= Ħ H SI 3= Ħ *** PHS2= =N1-0 FE51= ニカンごは VHTP = RA3 65 60 31 G M M 100 154 159 169 179 đ tt M 239 249 259 264 269 289 11 384 LAMD= 1.0000 2 BP1= 1.0000 2 KCR= 0.0 RUH= 0.0 RUK= 0.295035-07, 2 RUK= 0.295035-07, 2 AUX2= 0.205035-07, 2 AUX2= 0.20505-07, 2 AUX2= 0, 2 AUX DC58= 0.0 FMPL= 0.0 APF2=-0.20870E-03, 2 BCON= 0.30000E-01, 2 9500E-07 2.4 = 0.0 CP4P= 50.000 PCT= 0.50000 *u= -677.34 RERCURI BORCAT WAGON FREQ= 0.50000 HTSW= 1.0000 C = 0.0 DSW= 0.0 VTPS= 1.0000 KSL2= 56000. . 2000 œ R65.00 . 17000 .22900 64.858 2324. IF = 0.0TSF = 0.0PHS1=-0.17(W-IN= 0.0 PHIN= 0.0 KT2= 865 RPS3= 64. 00 0.0 84=-0.2 DELR= 0.0 DF42= 0.0 CR1R= 0. TQB0= 0. #UP= 0. 。 . CP 2A = 0. 000 0 0 0 C 20F= 0. 0 0 0 = #XHO = NI - d S 1 P.P = **S**T2= R н и DJAL= PA2 = ŝ DIST= H STOP= a P = C7AL= 19.0 153 0 0 0 5 123 8.8 503 203 218 223 243 24.9 253 253 263 5.3 ŝ 293 93 1977 R= 49.900 IY= 20600. RT= 0.180025 06. RRu= 149.00 .9.91500 .34740E-02 .329162-02 -5.4240 359492-01 HIN=-7.359498-KT1= 7.359498-KT1= 865.00 RPS2= 65.208 B3± 0.91500
 3
 3
 4
 9
 0

 CR37=
 50,000
 5
 0
 0

 SCAL=
 3
 7
 0
 0

 RA=
 3
 1740
 1740

 RA=
 2
 0
 0
 1740

 RA=
 2
 4000
 1740

 RA=
 2
 4000
 1740

 RA=
 2
 4000
 1740

 RA=
 3
 1800
 1700

 RA=
 3
 1800
 1800
 1.0000 .6000. 4.000 .1210 .0000 0000 22900 .20000 XU7= 0.45500 4 00 - 00 LODEL 0 . 0 = q q u RK2= 0. AP41= 1 AUSP= 0. KC7= 0. CP18= 0. CR03= 0. 3MPN= 0. 1971=-0. CIAME 0. HT2B= 0. с 11 0 = 4 4 0 C 0 0 7PC3= -DFH1 = 0VC = 00 = # 200 733= 0 0 = 11-1 AXL9= 7,41 = CTAL= VLEV= = ASKS =:::cd 1 511= H 2 = Ħ EH 52 = n . 147 0 T N T 52 192 20 747 292 61 200 142 52 2 C 252 552 57 62 17 101 5 12 C a 5 o 14497 2-03 32916E-02 157 325-02 - 400.00 C.7000 4.5000 C.0 85.000 1.0000 2.823 5.000 5.209 200-00 .000 C00-1 . 2703 000000 91100 2055.5 10955 0°5600 0 FC 1=-0.1 . . 0 -= 1 c 4 C =Z=a HASEE ы н н н r 2 ≖ тто= 1 сто= 1 сто= HOLA 5:15= μ =1284 Ħ =2506 H = 1 - d =1 - 68 2121= 010 ŝ - 5. -53 52 5 6 14 Å. 5 5.5

to Simulate the Bobcat Wagon, in the GVW Condition. Parameter Values Needed Table D.12.

ALC: N

A Second

No. of Concession, Name

L.S.

T-IN= 0.0 h T= 0.50003-02, KT4= 965.00 P1=-0.350402-04, 208702-03 .359402-03 157329-02 6000000 12.253 42.203 201.003 118.00 6.01 0.0 9+ # 9 0 0 0+ 1 5 0 0 0 1 - 1 0 0 0 0 25000 0.322.0 -1.5200 0000 0.5000(-577.3 0-0 C BY2H= -1 Ċ 0 LD2F= 0. o Ċ o # ن : :-= ŋ = 2 :: 2 = E C E H 10 d 00000000 0000000 000000 160 165 170 5050 11-1 12-1 12-1 12-1 13-2 882= 1.000 KSR= 0.0 AFK 1=-0.347405-02, 0003-F -0 12.000 865.00 64.858 .6000 119.00 2055.5 .0000 2585 AO# 2055 TIR # 0.0 000 ¢ 0 C 00 0 0000 o 0 0 0 0 = d M I D107= D2L3= = # 255 G CP1F= (CF0F= (n 01PR= 52P.B= T08= HFC= TIRE= FA3 = H KT 3= FP54= = d L H A CH2R= r0ª1= 96 o 17 17 5.9 64 254 59 64 289 đ 0.208702-03 295003-07 ..29500**E-07** ..30624**E-02** -5.4240 T BABCAT 4450% MJR= 0.73400 55.000 37500. REQ= 0.50000 TSW= 1.0000 CS= 0.0 50.000 0.50000 -677.34 965.00 64.858 .0000. 6000. 1.0000 . 17000 DELR= -1.7 DER2= 0.0 S1P3= 0.0 FREQ= 0.50 MTS4= 1.0 D54= 0.0 VTP5= 1.0 KSL2= 560 0.00 0.0 Ċ, • Ċ Ċ CR4P= 50 POT= 0.5 Au= -57 KCR= 0.0 \mathbf{c} С 2 =25 0%X#= 0. PE4=-0. 0 0 0 0 c 0 BHPL= 0 A P 7 2 = - 0 B C O N = 0 0 0 Ħ С Н О = К Е Ч V = STOP= = 7¥ 2 3 DIST≂ S I 2 = CP0F= CP2F= CR15= ß H LAMD= DR1= DCS4= 05C3= 080= n И n DUAL= PA2 = 11 202 14114 14114 14114 14114 14114 141444 14144 14144 14144 14144 14144 14144 14144 14144 1 5 A 69 6 6 8 8 8 8 53 ŝ 73 2233 553 73 27.9 288 5 1177 REFE 0.0 REF 02 5 - 42 - 42 - 42 - 42 - 44 -V-TV= 0.0 TVIN=-0.27445E-0 RT1= 865.00 R522= 65.209 B3= 0.91500 D217=-0.27000 D217=-0.2 3.1800 54000. 54.000 00000. 22900 .0000 0000 1210 .7100 20000 00.00 4240 ç 0 0 0 14 PF= 3. 2054 0. XSL1= 5 CFC8= 5 7YM = 0. P28T= 1 VC= 0. CGAM= 0. T1= 0. St1= 0. CE 084 - 5 0 0 0 = ASKS H 2 = C AA L= V LEV= 3 P 7 = H ATLE= h CYR ろううちゅゅうちららててんきょう。 10011000 127 5 C C C 33 252 242 57 . 157 325-02, . 32916 E-02, 001 20-7 Jo 22 VALTES VALTES S110000 S110000 100000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 40.000 -22.773 10.000 65.203 50.00 550.00 Th≓= 0.70000 511= 4.6000 0000 1100 0000 00-004 . 45500 o COV . с о B34= 1.0 ο 542= 0.0 1 =3-2- =53-2 м D M м ж 2 м ж 2 ж 2 м 4 м 1 1 1 2 м 1 1 1 2 м 1 1 1 1 м 1 1 1 м 1 1 1 м 1 1 1 м 1 1 1 м 1 1 1 м 1 1 ŵ - C = 461 0 6.5 ະ ະ 000 THET O = **1** = 75114 0-=: c APK3= 0 Ï 0 303 = -0 Ħ 8 8 (N U) (N U) (N U) (N U) 132 € 81508 H HACC # 826G = 40 40 # L V F S 0.01 A. 040 • • • š 5.5 0 ŝ 55 5 6 6 6 6 ç ŝ

PAU

0

293

055.5

Table D.13. Tabular Inputs for the Bobcat Wagon.

J

]

Ì

100

	Front	Rea	ir
<u>δ (in)</u>	F (1bs)	<u>δ (in)</u>	<u> </u>
-100.	-2000.	-100.	-3000.
-10.	-2000.	-10.	-3000.
-2.5	-295.	-2.5	-370.
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3.5	413.	3.5	520.
10.	2500.	10.	3500.
100.	2500.	100.	3500.

Spring Rates (Based on MVMA Specifications)

Brake Torque (Measured at HSRI)

Fro	nt	Re	ar
<u>P (psi)</u>	TQ (in-1bs)	P (psi)	TQ (in-lbs)
0	•	•	
0.	υ.	0.	0.
50.	0.	50.	0.
1800.	17000.	900.	3500.
		1800.	1050.

Shock Absorber Data (Measured from 1971 Mustang)

Front		Rear	
C = 3.55	δ́ > 24	C = 4.6	δ́ > 25.5
C = 1.44	δ < 24	C = 3.48	12 < δ < 25.5
		C = 5.94	0 < δ < 12
		C = 2.13	δ < 0

]

]

States of the second se

il tan

19. A. A.

Displacement	Camber	Toe	Caster (<u>Not Measured)</u>
-2.82	-1.52	54	.75
-1.82	-0.70	-0.44	.75
-0.82	0.03	-0.35	.75
0.	0.5	-0.2	.75
0.18	0.6	-0.17	.75
1.18	1.03	0.05	.75
2.18	1.07	0.3	.75
3.18	1.07	0.61	.75
4.18	1.45	1.2	.75

Camber, Caster, and Toe Data (1971 Mustang)

Contra la

ا ليريا



Figure D.10. Tire side force vs. slip angle, with normal load varying, for the Mercury Bobcat.


Figure D.11. Tire side force vs. slip ratio, with normal load varying, for the Mercury Bobcat.

1

R

1.1

No.

Lung a



Figure D.12. Tire braking force vs. slip ratio, with normal load varying, for the Mercury Bobcat.

Table D.14. Parameter Values Needed to Simulate the AMC Pacer, Under the Lightly Loaded Condition.

S.C.R.

(Party)

ALC: NO

THEY C

PARAMETER VALUES - MODEL C - VEHICLE MODEL - 1977 AMC PACER

UNITARY OF

1	MS= 8.4500	, 2	MUF= 0.49600	, 3	MUR= 0.80300	, 4	ZF= 11.650	• 5	ZR= 11.650 ,
6	A= 43.300	, 7	B= 56.700	, 8	TF= 61.500	, 9	TR= 60.000	, 10	TS9= 45.500 .
11	IX= 6500.0	, 12	IY= 21000.	• 13	IZ= 27000.	• 14	IXZ= 0.0	+ 15	IR= 600.00 .
16	RCCR= 30.000	, 17	RF= 0.0	, 18	STOP= 10.000	• 19	AKF1= 116.00	, 20	AKF2= 116.00 .
21	AKP3= 105.00	, 22	AKK4= 105.00	, 23	= 0.0	• 24	RR = 0.0	, 25	CF1P= 70.000 ,
26	CF2P= 70.000	, 27	CR3P= 60.000	, 28	CR4P= 60.000	, 29	ZBAS= 0.0	, 30	KRS= 0.200002-01,
31	RW= 12.920	. 32	SCAL = 3000.0	. 33	FOT= 0.50000	• 34	A0= 3445.0	. 35	Al= 0.2000 .
36	42= 2452.5 ···	. 37	A3= 1.5040	. 38	A4= 4286.6	• 39	T1R = 0.0	. 40	TOR = 0.0
41	KSC= 8000.0	• 42	NG = 14.000	• 43	= 0.0	. 44	= 0.0	. 45	= 0.0
45	= 0.0	. 47	IFW= 6.0000	. 48	IF = 0.0	. 49	1WE= 9.4000	50	INS= 9.4000
51	ISR= 0.70000	. 52	ABB = 3.0800	• 53	TSF = 0.0	54	KES = 0.0	55	PI= 0.23000
56	YSA1= 4.6000	57	Y542 = -4.6000	- 58	PHS1=-0.13000	59	PHS2= 0.13000	- 60	CTSW= 1-0000
61	10E= 0.0	. 62	ARE= 0-0		P=IN=0.0				8-1N= 0 0
66	11-1N= 40 000	. 67		- 68	H = 1N = 0.0	. 69	x = 10 = 0.0	. 70	Y-1>= 0 0
7)	7-1%23 713	, 72	THIN0 821545-	-01 73		7/	PEIN = 0.0	75	
76	IN = 10.000	77	VII- 1112 0	-01, 15	×12- 1112 0	7 0			
	2PS1= 58 440		0052	, 10		• • •			
0 A 0 A	SF31- 20.000	• 62	RF32- 30.800	, 65	RP33= 57.907	, 84	RP 54 = 57.907		BI=
6 D 01	$z_{2} = 0.0$, 87	B3= 1.2740	• 88	84= 0.75170E	-01, 89	0101 = 0.0	, 00	P2D1= 0.0
71		• 92	DELF= 0.0	, 93	DELR= 0.0	, 94	UEL3 = 0.0	• • • • •	PP01= 0.0 ,
40	PHIKE 0.0	, 97	DFAI = 0.0	, 98	D = 0.0	, 99	OIPR = 0.0	• 100	$02^{p}R = 0.0$
101	0:FF= 0.0	• 10Z	04PR = 0.0	, 103	SIPR = 0.0	• 104	S2PP = 0.0	• 105	S3PF= 0.0
106	5499= 0.0	• 107	PPRI= 1.0000	• 108	FRF0 = 0.50000	, 109	RWSF= 15.000	, 110	10MX= 0.0
111	KIQ= 0.0	• 11Z	VC = 0.0	, 113	MISW= 1.0000	• 114	DSWM = 0.0	, 115	TST= 0.0
116	25LP = 100.00	• 11 T	CGAM= 0.0	• 118	CS = 0.0	• 119	TCR = 0.0	, 120	TQF= 0.0
121	PFL= 200.00	• 122	T1 = 0.0	, 123	DSW = 0.0	, 124	= 0.0	, 125	ISH5= 0.0
126	SW15= 0-0	• 127	PQSW= 0.0	, 128	VTPS = 1.0000	, 129	VHTP= 1.0000	, 130	AMCR = 0.50000000-01.
131	ESP= 0.28000	, 132	KSL1= 56000.	• 133	KSL2= 56000.	• 134	AA1= 6-6000	+ 135	AA2= 6.6000 .
126	CCR= 11.000	, 137	CFCK= 54.000	, 138	AP= 5.2000	, 139	EP1= 0.0	, 14C	EP2= 0.0
141	AERO= 0.0	• 142	VYW = 0.0	, 143	0MXW = 0.0	• 144	DMZW= 0.0	, 245	PHOA = 0.0 ,
1-5	(YP = 0.0	, 147	CYR = 0.0	• 148	CZAL= 0.0	, 149	CZQ = 0.0	, 150	CLP = 0.0 ,
151	CLR = 0.0	, 152	CMAL= 0.0	, 153	CMQ = 0.0	, 154	CNP = 0.0	, 155	(NR = 0.0
153	SF = 0.0	, 157	VLEN= 0.0	, 158	REWV= 0.0	, 159	= 0.0	, 160	= 0.j
101	= 0.0	, 162	= 0.0	, 163	= 0.0	, 164	= 0.0	. 165	= 0.0
166	= 0.0	, 167	= 0.0	, 168	= 0.0	. 169	SNT= 85.000	. 170	SNS0= 30.000
171	SNS1= 85.000	172	SNSW= 2.0000	. 173	DIST = 0.0	• 174	PL = 0.0	, 175	TSCP= 0.25000 .
176	= 1.0000	177	= 0.0	. 178	= 0.0	• 179	= 0.0	. 120	PASS= 0.0
181	= 0.0	162	SI1= 0.18400	. 183	SI2 = 0.18400	• 184	513 = 0.18400	185	SI4= 0.1840.
186	= 0.0	187	= 0.0	188	= 0.0	• 189	= 0.0	. 190	= 0.0
191	= 0.0	192	MT05= 0.20000	193	DCSW = 0.0	194	IDE = 0.0	195	1DRF = 0.0
195	EK1= 0.0	197	FK2 = 0.0	198	BMPI = 0.0	199	BMPS = 0.0	200	EMPHE -1.5000
201	XB= 0.0	207	APE1= 1.1862	20.3	APE2=-0.28670E	-03 - 204	APR1 = 1.1862	- 205	APR2 == 0 - 28670E=03-
206	MUSE= 0.89900	207	MUSR = 0.59900	208	BCON= 0.30000E.	-01 - 209	EC SW= 0.0	210	=-0.197005-03.
211	=- 0.19700E-03	212	= 0.0	- 213	= 0.0	- 214	= 0.0	- 275	= 0.0
216	= 0.0	217	= 0.0	- 21.8	- 0.0	. 719	EEE1 = 0.0	- 220	FFF2= 0 0
221	THE'= 0.0			2223	- 0.0	774	- 0.0	225	- 0.0
226	= 0.0	222	- 0.0	229	- 0.0	, 227	- 0.0	220	- ()
221	$H_{1} = -400-00$. 23.2	H2 = 400 00	220		9 224	- 0.0	9 200	- 6 0
236	= 0.6	. 237	- 0 0	. 22 4	BR1 - 1.0000	7 2 3 7	- 0.0 882 - 1 0000	• 233	= 0.0 ·
2-1	ER4= 1 0000	24.7	- 0.0 K(60 390005-	-04 2/3		-06 246	X SR = 0 21000	-04 2/5	
246	RB2- (1.0	242	RCF == 0.37000F=	24.9	$R_{\rm H}^{\rm c} = 0.751705$	-07 244	AEX1=-0 314936		F 51 0 .3 77 802 0 3
251	AEK3=) 4880	241	APK10 316035-	· 240	ADV2= 0 277025	-01, 249	ACK1=-U-010920	-079 250	AFRZ= 0.211722-02,
256		226	05020 990025	02 253	05/1	-02, 204	AKKJ= 1.4380	+ 277	
201		267		200,200	$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}$	• 234	$C_{2} = 0.0$, 2NU	
201	(P(2 - 0.60072 - 0.5))	202	$C_{010} = -2.2000$, 203		+ 204 2/0		, 700	
2.00	$\frac{1}{1000}$	201		, 205	CP2R = 0.0	• 204		, 270	
276	- C O	איא ו		+ 213	$CKIK = U \cdot U$	+ 2 (4	CE2E = 0.0	• 275	= 0.0
291	- 0.0	2.11		+ 210 Dun		• 214		• 250	
201		202		, 203	= 0.0	• 284		• 285	
200		201	AALE= 1.0000	• 288		, 289	11KE= 4-0007	• 200	
271	KAU = 2442.0 g	272	RAI = 0.2000	¥ 293	KAZ = 2452.5	, 294	KA3 = 1.5040	• Z95	KA4 = 4280.00 .

Course of the

Sec.

E

6333

A Local

See Charles

Table D.15. Parameter Values Needed to Simulate the AMC Pacer, Under the GVW Condition.

PAR	AMETTS VALUES - MOD	FLC -	- VEHICLE MODEL -	1977 AMC	PACER				
1	MS= 10.070	<u>,</u> 2	MUF= 0.49600	, 3	MUR= 0.80300	, 4	ZF= 10-500	, 5	ZR= 10.000 +
6	A= 49.000	, 7	B= 51.000	• 8	TF= 61.500	. 9	TR= 60-000	, 10	TSR= 46.500 .
11	IX= 7600.0	, 12	IY = 28000.	, 13	IZ= 34000.	, 14	IXZ= 0.0	, 15	1R= 600.00 .
16	RCCR= 30.000	, 17	RF= 0.0	, 18	STOP= 10.000	, 19	AKE1= 107.00	, 20	AKE2= 107.00 .
21	AK93= 105.00	, 22	AK94= 105.00	, 23	= 0.0	, 24	RR = 0.0	, 25	CF1P= 70.000 .
25	CF1P= 70.000	+ 27	CR3P= 60.000	, 28	CR4P = 60.000	, 29	ZBAS = 0.0	, 30	KRS= 0.200002-01,
31	PW= 12-920	, 32	SCAL = 3000.0	, 33	FOT = 0.50000	• 34	AD= 3445.0	, 35	Al= 6.2000 .
34	12= 2402.5	, 37	A3= 1.5040	, 38	A4= 4286.6	, 39	TIR = 0.0	, 40	TOR = 0.0 .
41	KSC= 0000.0	, 42	NC = 14.000	, 43	= 0.0	, 44	= 0.0	+ 45	= U.O .
46	= 0.0	, 47	IFW= 6.0000	, 48	IF = 0.0	, 49	IWF= 9.4000	, 50	IHP= 9.4000 .
51	IDR= 0.70000	• 52	ARR= 3.0800	• 53	TSF = 0.0	• 54	KFS = 0.0	• 55	PT= 0.23000 .
55	YSA1= 4.6000	, 57	YSA2= -4.6000	• 58	PHS1=-0.13000	, 59	PHS2 = 0.13000	• 60	CT SH = 1.0000 .
A1	IDF= 0.0	, 62	ARF= 0.0	, 63	P-1N= 0.0	, 64	Q - IN = 0.0	• 65	R - 1N = 0.0 .
65	U-1N= 40.000	, 67	V-IN= 0.0	. 68	W-IN= 0.0	, 69	X - IN = 0.0	, 70	Y-IN= 0.0 .
71	Z-1N= -22.482	, 72	THIN=-0.467835	-01, 73	PHIN= 0.0	, 74	PSIN= 0.0	, 75	DI= 0.50000 E-02 ,
76	TN= 10.000	, 77	KT1= 1112-0	, 78	KI2= 1112.0	, 79	KT3= 1112.0	• 80	KT4= 1112_0 ,
81	PPS1= 58.660	, 82	RPS2= 58.660	. 83	RP53= 57.967	• 84	RPS4= 57.967	, 85	61 =- 0.347 oJE-03,
86	FZ= 0.0	• 87	B3= 1.2740	, 88	84= 0.75170E	-07, 89	D10T= 0.0	, 90	D2D1= 0.0 .
91	D30T= 0.0	, 92	DELF=-0.60000	• 93	DELR= -2.3500	• 94	DEL3= 0.0	• • 5	PHOT= 0.0 .
95	PHIR= 0.0	, 97	0 = W1 = 0.0	, 98	DFW2= 0.0	, 99	U1PR= 0.0	, 100	U2 PR = 0.0 .
101	V399 = 0.0	, 102	U4PR= 0.0	, 103	S1PR= 0.0	, 104	\$2PR= 0.0	, 105	\$3PP= 0.0 .
105	54 PR= 0.0	, 107	PPRI= 1.0000	, 108	FRFQ= 0.50000°	, 109	RWSF= 15.000	• 110	12MX = 0_0
111	KTC= 0.0	. 112	VC = 0.0	• 113	MTSW= 1.0000	, 114	DS-M= 0.0	, 115	TST= 0.0
115	DSLP= 100.00	. 117	CGAM= 0.0	. 118	CS = 0.0	. 119	ICR = 0.0	, 120	TUF= 0.0
171	PFL= 200.00	. 122	I1 = 0.0	• 123	DSW = 0.0	• 124	= 0.0	125	ISH5= 0.0
125	S#15= 0.0	• 127	POSH= 0.0	128	VTPS= 1.0000	129	VHTP= 1.0000	, 130	AMCR = 0.00003E-01.
121	ESP= 0.28000	. 132	KSL1 = 560.00 .	• 133	KS12 = 56000	• 1.34	AA1 = 6.6000	• 135	AA2= 6.6000 .
136	C(2 = 11, 000)	137	CECR = 54.000	138	$AP = 5 \cdot 2000$	• 139	EP1 = 0.0	• 140	FP2 = 0.0
141	AF80= 0.0	142	VYW = 0.0	. 143	DMXW = 0.0	144	0MZH = 0.0	145	RHOA= Q.O
145	CYP = 0.0	147	CYB = 0.0	148	$C_{TAL} = 0.0$	149	C7C = 0.0	150	CLP = 0.0
151	$f_{18} = 0.0$	152	$C_{A} = 0.0$	153	CMO = 0.0	154	CNP = 0.0	155	CNR = 0.0
156	SE = 0.0	157	VIENE D.D	- 158	REWVE 0.0	159	= 0-0	160	= 0.0
1.51	# 0-0	- 167	= 0.0	. 163	= 0.0	- 164	= 0.0	165	= 4.0
100	= 0.0	167	= 0.0	168	= 0.0	1 1 5 9	SNT = 85.000	. 170	50 50 = 02 M2
171	SNS1 = 85.000	172	SNSW= 2.0000	. 173	DIST = 0.0	174	P1 = 0.0	275	TSCP= 0.25000 .
176	* 1.0000	177	= 0.0	178	= 0.0	179	= 0.0	180	PASS= 0.0
181	= 0.0	182	511 = 0.18400	• 183	512= 0-18400	184	SI3 = 0.18400	185	SI4= 0.1840J
1.85	= 0.0	. 187	= 0.0	188	= 0.0	189	= 0.0	. 190	= 0.0
191	= 0.0	192	MT05 = 0.20000	- 193	DCSW = 0.0	194	100 = 300	105	LDSF = 0.0
195	EK1= 0.0	. 197	EK2 = 0.0	198	BMPL = 0.0	199	BMPS = 0.0	200	PMPH= -1.5000 .
201	XB= 0.0	202	APF1= 1.1862	203	APF2=-0.28670E	-03, 204	APR1= 1.1862	. 205	APR2 =- 0.286705-03.
200	MUSF= 0.89900	207	MUSR = 0.89900	• 208	BCON = 0.30000E	-01 . 209	FC SW = 0.0	. 210	=-0.19700E-03.
211	=-0.19700E-03	. 212	= 0.0	• 213	= 0.0	• 214	= 9-0	. 215	= 9.0
216	= 0.0	. 217	= 0.0	. 218	= 0.0	. 219	FEE1 = 0.0	. 220	FFE2= 0.0
221	THE1= 0.0	. 22.2	THE2 = 0.0	. 223	= 0.0	. 224	= 0.0	. 225	= 0.0
225	= 0.0	. 227	= 0.0	· 228	= 0.0	229	= 0.0	270	= 0.0
731	H1= 400.00	• 232	H2 = 400.00	• 23.3	LAMD= 1.0000	• 234	= 0.0	. 235	= 0.0
230	= C.O	. 237	= 0.0	, 238	BR1= 1.0000	. 239	BR2= 1.0000	. 240	893= 1.01.00 .
2→1	5°4= 1.0000	242	KCF =- 0. 39 00 CF-	-04, 243	KCR =-0.33000E	-04 . 244	KSR = 0.210005-	-04. 245	RB1 =- 0.397805-C3.
245	RE2= 0.0	. 247	RB3= 1.2740	, 248	R84= 0.75170E	-07, 249	AFK1=-0.316925-	-02, 250	AFK2= 0.27792E-02.
251	AFX3= 1.4880	, 25 2	ARK1 =- 0.31 692E-	-02, 253	ARK2 = 0.27792E	-02, 254	ARK3= 1.4880	, 255	DFC0= 0.0 .
2:5	CFC1=-0.15252E-02	, 257	CFC2=-0.88 C9 25-	-03, 258	CFC3= -5.2680	, 259	ORCO=0.0	. 260	DPC1 =- 0.15252E-02.
251	CPC2=-0.85342E-03	. 202	08C3 = -5.2680	. 263	CPUF = 0.0	. 264	CP1F = 0.0	. 265	CP2F= 6.0
266	(POR= 0.0	267	CP1R = 0.0	268	CP2P = 0.0	- 269	CPOF = 0.0	270	(R)F=0.0
271	CR2E = 0.0	272	(ROR = 0.0	273	CRIR=0.0	. 274	CP2R = 0.0	275	= 0.0
274	= 0.0	. 277	HMPN= 0 0	27.9	TOBO = 0.0	, 270	TOB1 = 0.0		= 0.0
281	= 0.0	. 222	= 0.0	- 293	= 0 0	, 294	HEC = 0.0	285	
296	DRSW=0.0	287	AXLE= 1.0000	, 288	Dual = 0.0	, 280		. 200	
291	RA0 = 3445.0	297	RA1 = A.2000	200	RA2 = 2452 F	, 207	RA3 = 1 5040	. 205	
		* * * 4		* ~ / J	いった ニー・ ムマンムチン			P C C C C C C C C C C	2 M T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T

]

A States

N. A.

III.

	Front	Re	ar
<u>δ (in)</u>	F (1bs)	<u>δ</u> (in)	<u>F (1bs)</u>
-100.	-5565.	-100.	-2993.
-10.	-5565.	-10.	-2993.
-3.2	-465.	-3.	-420.
-3.	-315.	-2.6	-273.
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2.75	350.	3.5	368.
10.	1271.	5.	660.
100.	1271.	10.	1633.
		100.	1633.

Spring Rates (Measured at HSRI)

Brake Torque (Measured at BAPG)

Fi	ront	Rear				
<u>P (psi)</u>	TQ (in-1bs)	P (psi)	TQ (in-1bs)			
0.	0.	0.	0.			
50.	0.	150.	0.			
1150.	20500.	1200.	9500.			

Shock Absorber Data (G)

Front & Rear $C = 3.0 \qquad \dot{\delta} < 0$ $C = 10.0 \qquad \dot{\delta} > 0$

資格で

Sec.

1.64

10.330

Starte St.

Sec. 24

1

Displacement	Camber	Caster	Тое	
0.	0.	0.75	0.	
1.	0.41	0.75	-0.37	
2.	0.98	0.75	-0.57	
3.	1.26	0.75	-0.85	
4.	1.22	0.75	-1.05	
5.	0.95	0.75	-1.21	
6.	0.43	0.75	-1.36	

Camber, Caster, and Toe Functions (Dodge Coronet, Ref. [5])





[]



Figure D.14. Tire side force vs. slip ratio, with normal load varying, for the AMC Pacer.



Table D.17. Antilock Parameter Values. Unless noted otherwise, the values below were estimated from recorded time histories of the line pressure, "HOLD ON" circuit voltage, and wheel spin velocities.

the second

ALL R

C1	=	140.*	volts/sec
C2	=	420.*	volts/sec
C3	=	10.	volts/sec
C4	=	0.4*	volts.sec/rad
HO	=	-8.	volts
HTH	8	-1.5	volts
HTL	=	-2.5	volts
K1	=	1.	volume/(sec∙psi)
K2	=	5.	volume/(sec·√psi)
K3	E	7500.	psi/sec
TAUI	Ħ	.09	sec
TAU2	=	.014	sec/√psi
RRW	=	12.1	in
VO	=	-20.	volume

*These values were set by trial and error to better match the recorded time histories, and to match the simulated Nova performance to the simulated Bobcat performance.

and the second second second second second second second



Figure D.17 depicts the simulated time histories of the longitudinal slip ratios of the four individual wheels, along with the history of the vehicle deceleration, for the case of the non-anti-lock equipped Nova. The vehicle is operating under the baseline conditions defined for the sensitivity studies in Sections 3.4.2 and 3.5.1 in the main report, which are that of GVW loading, braking in a turn such that iniatially the vehicle is faced with a 0.2g lateral acceleration, and having a road surface with high friction properties. We see that during the first 0.25 seconds, the slip ratio is larger for the wheels on the right-hand side of the car, as would be expected due to the initial lateral acceleration. From the time history of the right-front wheel, we see that at a slip ratio of about 15%, the wheel quickly decelerates towards lockup. The tire data shown back in Figure D.9 indicates that the maximum braking force occurs at 20% slip, but that the force/slip function changes slope at 15% slip, such that the slip ratio is much more sensitve to the braking torque at values over 15% than at values less than 15%. After the first 0.5 seconds, the rightfront wheel is locked up, the left-front tire is producing a braking force very near the traction limit of which it is capable (about 95% of the maximum), and both rear wheels are rotating with identical slip ratio's, such that the tires are producing slightly less braking force (75-80% of the maximum).

Similar traces are shown in Figure D.18, for the same braking condition but for the case of the Nova equipped with a two-module anti-lock system in which each axle is separately controlled. With both the front and rear axles, we see that the right wheels cycle at slip ratio's between 10% and 25%, while the left wheels, loaded by the lateral acceleration of the turning maneuver, cycle between slip ratio's of 7% and 15%. Clearly the tire adhesion capabilities on the loaded side are not being as effectively utilized as they were in the case of the vehicle with no anti-lock system. The vehicle deceleration fluctuated between .5 and .8 g's, as opposed to the more or less constant value of .75 g's achieved with the non-anti-lock equipped car.

()

1

Contra an

Sec. 2

(unit)

B





きます

0

Simulated time histories of the four wheel-slip ratios and of the vehicle deceleration for the non-antilock-equipped Nova.

153



Û

No. of Concession, Name

Π

••

The behaviour of the three-module anti-lock system, in which the front wheels are independently controlled, is shown in Figure D.19. The conditions are the same as for the preceding two figures. Here, we can see that both front wheels are operating over the same ranges of slip ratio, and that the vehicle deceleration fluctuates with much smaller variations than in the case of the two-module system.

I

1

100

1100

San Ar

6.0

大記述

No. of Street, or

10.0

Figure D.20 shows the behaviour of the four-module anti-lock system, which controls each wheel independently, under the same baseline conditions. Although both rear wheels are now cycling in the same manner, we see little change from the three-module system in either the character or the level of the vehicle deceleration history. This is because the rear loading of the vehicle is so light compared to the front that small changes in rear-wheel braking efficiency are not significant.

D.3 Path Curvature Performance. All of the conventionally braked vehicles were found to lose their path curvature during the braking in a turn simulations which were discussed in Sections 3.4.2 and 3.5.1. This tendency is illustrated for the Nova in Figures D.21 and D.22, which depict the trajectory of the sprung mass center during the maneuvers under the conditions of GVW loading and a high friction surface. Figure D.22, which concerns the limit braking performance, actually shows a <u>negative</u> curvature. This is because the right-front wheel locks up while the left-front tire operates very near its peak traction capabilities (see Figure D.17). Thus a force imbalance exists which acts to turn the car to the left - the opposite direction of the original turn. The Nova without any anti-lock system was the only vehicle which reversed direction, as the left-front tire was not operating at peak traction levels with the other cars, under any of the conditions.

Figures D.23 and D.24 show the trajectories of the two- and threemodule anti-lock equipped Novas, under the same baseline conditions. We see that the two-module equipped vehicle maintains the original curvature very well, but has a longer stopping distance than the



Sector Sector

No.

AL SAN

the local

E.

P











Į

1000

ale ale

the second

Trajectory of the sprung mass center of the two-module antilock-equipped Nova during braking in a turn. Figure D.23.



non-anti-lock equipped vehicle. The three-module equipped vehicle shows an improved stopping distance, but also shows some loss of path curvature.

13. M. W.

The second

1

The path-holding performance of the Nova was illustrated in Section 3.5.1 for all of the conditions simulated by considering the normalized curvature ($R_0 \cdot (1/R)$, averaged over the first one second of each braking maneuver. When this quantity is plotted as a function of the average deceleration, A_X , a series of simulations, in which the brake line pressure is increased with each subsequent simulation, defines a series of points which can be quickly inspected to determine the behaviour of the vehicle during braking in a turn maneuvers, with the steer angle fixed. Plots of this type were made for the four vehicles with non-anti-lock brakes used for the in-depth test program. They are presented here as Figures D.25 - D.28.



ALPHOLD .

No. of Concession, Name

and the second

1.00

VER- Malle



163

I





「あまた

No.

1.4

WARE

P

. Simulated path curvature of AMC Pacer during brakingin-a-turn maneuvers.



A Sector

Renta

STREET.

6. A.M.

や非常

ALLEN .

State of the second

Spin de la

N. 199.20

No. of Concession, Name

ST. AL

Figure D.27. Simulated path curvature of Ford LTD during brakingin-a-turn maneuvers.



「「「「「

Same a

and the second

1.46.55

「「ないない

STATES IN

たの時

1.00

and the second

No.

Figure D.28. Simulated path curvature of Chevrolet Monte Carlo during braking in a turn.

APPENDIX E

AND DESCRIPTION OF

[

[]

A LOOK AT THE ACCURACY OF SIMPLIFIED METHODS FOR COMPUTING REFERENCE VEHICLE IDEAL STOPPING DISTANCE FOR THE BRAKING EFFICIENCY TEST TECHNIQUE. Under a research contract with the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Contract No. DOT-HS-031-3-765, the Highway Safety Research Institute of the University of Michigan advanced the "Braking Efficiency Test Technique" and developed hardware for obtaining accurate peak friction measurements of road surfaces as a function of tire load and vehicle velocity. This hardware is generally referred to as the Surface Friction Dynamometer or the SFD. The results of this work were reported in report No. DOT-HS-801-551 dated March 1976 and titled "Braking Efficiency Test Technique".

100

1.20

Sec.

House .

D

ň

In this technique the measured stopping performance of vehicles on a given surface is compared to the ideal stopping performance of a hypothetical reference vehicle on the same surface. The performance measure or "Braking Efficiency" is the ratio of the ideal stopping distance of the reference vehicle to the measured stopping distance of the real vehicle expressed in a percentage. Thus:

Braking Efficiency = <u>Ideal Stopping Distance</u> x 100% (E-1) <u>Measured Stopping Distance</u>

The dimensions (weight, wheelbase, longitudinal and vertical center of gravity location) of the reference vehicle are established as average values representing the total U.S. passenger vehicle population. The ASTM E-501 tire is defined as the reference tire. The ideal stopping distance of the reference vehicle is computed assuming optimum utilization of the available tire/road friction, where the peak friction is defined by an equation of the form $\mu_p = Av^2 + Bv + C$. The constants A, B, and C are derived from a least squares fit of this curve to the peak friction measurements made with the SFD using the ASTM E-501 tire. A minimum of five peak friction measurements are taken at each of four velocities spanning the range of velocities experienced by the real vehicles in the braking test and at each of two tire loads nominally equal to the front and rear tire loads the reference vehicle would achieve while braking on the test surface. The two tire loads are determined as the front and rear tire loads the reference vehicle

would experience in a constant deceleration stop where the deceleration is the value which would accure if the reference vehicles weight were equally distributed between its four tires and the friction level were equal to the nominal value, μ_{nom} . The μ_{nom} value is defined as the peak friction of the surface averaged over five SFD measurements which are made with a tire load equal to one fourth of the reference vehicle's weight and at a velocity equal to 0.707 times the initial velocity used in the braking test. Thus the surface friction characterization requires a minimum of forty-five SFD measurements deriving from (2 loads x 4 speeds x 5 repeats) + (5 μ_{nom} runs). These measurements constitute the bulk of the effort in applying the Braking Efficiency Technique.

- STATISTICS

1000

- 440.4

Sal Track

and and

Ĩ.

H

Π

1

In the current contract the complete matrix of peak surface friction measurements required for application of the Braking Efficiency Technique were made with the SFD on dry brushed concrete and on wet jennite at the Chrysler Proving Grounds and on dry asphalt and on wet jennite at Bendix Automotive Proving Grounds (BAPG). At BAPG the measurements were repeated three times on each surface over a four month period. These data, along with measurements made in 1975 at BAPG during the Braking Efficiency Test Technique Contract on dry asphalt, dry jennite, wet asphalt, and wet jennite, constitute a substantial bank of data on the peak friction characteristics of a variety of surfaces to which the braking efficiency computation has been applied. Table E.1, along with the following discussion, provides a summery of these data and suggests a means by which the mechanics of applying the Braking Efficiency Technique may be simplified considerably. Specifically this data set indicates that an adequate value for the ideal stopping distance of the reference vehicle is obtained, for the surfaces represented, simply by employing μ_{nom} (one tire load and one velocity) in the computation of the ideal stopping distance from the simple expression:

$$DI = \frac{Vo^2 (m.p.h.)}{29.94 \,\mu_{\text{nom}}}$$

(E-2)

169

E Caracteria

170

A STREET

Table E.1 Data Comparing Methods of Computing the Ideal Stopping Distance of the Reference Vehicle

SC REAL

WE WELL

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
SURFACE	Vo MPH	DI FEET	DIn FEET	∆n FEET	DIa FEET	∆a FEET	Unom	Juavg
BAPG Dry Asphalt 1975	63.0	139.2	141.0	-1.8	137.1	2.1	0.940	0.957
BAPG Dry Jennite 1975	57.0	121.5	121.9	-0.4	119.4	2.1	0.890	0.909
EAPG Wet Asphalt 1975	42.5	80.9	78.3	2.6	79.5	1.4	0.770	0.759
BAPG Wet Jennite 1975	30.0	57.0	60.1	-3.1	55.9	1.1	0.500	0.538
BAPG Dry Asphalt June 1977	60.0	124.7	128.6	-3.9	121.4	3.3	0.935	0.990
BAPG Dry Asphalt July 1977	60.0	125.2	126.6	-1.4	120.5	4.7	0.950	0.993
BAPG Dry Asphalt Oct. 1977	60.0	122.9	119.5	3.4	120.7	2.2	1.006	0.996
BAPG Wet Jennite June 1977	40.0	96.3	92.6	3.7	91.5	4.8	0.577	0.585
BAPG Wet Jennite July 1977	40.0	101.7	113.7	-12.0	92 .9	8.8	0.470	0.575
BAPG Wet Jennite Oct. 1977	40.0	94.5	91.8	2.7	89.4	5.1	0.582	0.598
Chrysler Dry Concrete 1976	60.0	127.9	126.0	1.9	122.6	5.3	0.954	0.981
Chrysler Wet Jennite 1976	40.0	76.7	75.9	0.8	73.2	3.5	0.704	0.730

-0.63 Avg.

3.7 Avg.

This expression is derived assuming that the tire/road friction coefficient is constant and equal to μ_{nom} .

Table E.1 summerizes results obtained with the twelve sets of data which have been collected by HSRI for application of the Braking Efficiency Technique. Column 1 gives the initial velocity, Vo, employed on each of the surfaces. Column 2 gives the ideal stopping distances, DI, computed per the Braking Efficiency Technique. Column 3 gives the ideal stopping distance DI_n computed with equation E-2, and the difference, $DI - DI_n$, is tabulated in column 4. Column 5 gives the ideal stopping distance, DI_a , computed with equation F-2 with μ_{nom} replaced by μ_{avg} , that is the average value of the surface friction measurements made at the two values of tire load and fror velocities. The differences $DI - DI_a$ are listed in column 6. Column 7 and 8 give the values of μ_{nom} and μ_{avg} respectively.

No. No.

1.1

Referring to column 4, i.e. $DI - DI_n$, the largest difference value is found for the wet jennite data taken in July 1977. While this difference value is a rather large twelve feet, the difference for all others is less than four feet. Furthermore in six cases DI is greater than DI_n and in the other six cases DI is less than DI_n . On the average the difference is only -0.63 feet. The average magnitude of the difference is only 3.1 feet. Although the differences between values of μ_{nom} and μ_{avg} is not very large the computed stopping distance differences in column 6 are seen to be all the same polarity, i.e. DI larger than DI_a , with the average difference equal to 3.7 feet, indicating that statiscally μ_{nom} is a better value to use than μ_{avg} .

Thus these data indicate that utilizing μ_{nom} in equation E-2 to compute the ideal stopping distance of the reference vehicle is sufficiently accurate to be a cost-effective approach; one which should be investigated further before any large scale application of the Braking Efficiency Method is initiated.

Figures E.1 through E.4 contain plots of the data collected by HSRI during the Braking Efficiency Technique Contract. These figures along with figure 3.1 and figures C.7, C.8, and C.9 which contain the data collected at the Chrysler Proving Grounds and at the Bendix Automotive Proving Grounds, respectively, during this contract present the complete set of data collected by HSRI for application of the Braking Efficiency Test Technique from which Table E.1 was derived.

196 m 196

Weber in

10000

14786

1. A. A. A.

10.14

S. Martha

Sec. 1







na sanaganga da dan ing a ang aga



ALC: NO.

Sec. 1

1.00

L. State

1

-

Constants.

" Logar St.

S. State Street

1 Standard

A Section of

· Pridere

ALC: NO

a di di tati

13444

176
APPENDIX F

ANTILOCK BRAKING MODEL

The antilock system model used in this study is based on a device designed and marketed by the Kelsey-Hayes Company, which was installed on the 1976 Nova included in the in-depth test program. The system has actually been used by Kelsey-Hayes in three following configurations:

- one (computer and modulator) unit which controls both rear brakes,
- two units, one controlling the rear brakes and the other controlling the front brakes, and

ALC: NO

 three units, one controlling the rear brakes and the other two independently controlling each of the front brakes.

The units required for any of the above systems are identical, so only one mathematical model of the system's function is required. The same model is also used for a fourth set-up which was considered in this study, namely

4. four units, each controlling one brake independently.

Each unit consists of two components which combine to regulate the brake line pressure. The first component, a pressure modulator, is a mechanical device which contains a solenoid which is activated by the second component, an electronic controller. The pressure modulator has three modes of operation which are modeled in this appendix. They are:

- No modulation Brake line pressure is unchanged from the proportioned level.
- 2. Pressure release When the solenoid is activated, the brake line pressure is reduced.

 Pressure re-apply - When the solenoid is turned off, the brake line pressure is allowed to build up to the proportioned level.

The electronic controller includes a tachometer which senses the spin rate of the wheel whose brake is being controlled. (When one unit is controlling two brakes, the average of the two wheel spin rates is sensed.) A signal is then generated which is proportional to the wheel spin acceleration. The spin rate and spin acceleration are the inputs to the logic circuits, which then activate or de-activate the solenoid in the pressure modulator when appropriate.

The equations which describe the operation of the antilock units are presented in the next two sections, and the components are explained in more detail. The parameters needed to define the mathematical model are presented in Table F.1. The FORTRAN subroutine which implements the model is listed in the form in which it now appears in the APL Hybrid Computer Vehicle Handling Program, documented by Reference [6].

F.1 Pressure Modulator

Đ

0

The actual pressure modulator is a mechanical device which performs several tasks, some of which are of no interest when conducting a study of the nature of that described in this report. Figure F.1 depicts a simplified concept of the device, which bears little resemblance to the actual module, but which serves to illustrate the behavior of the unit more clearly, especially with regard to the mathematical model.

At the start of a braking maneuver, the antilock system is not in operation, the logical variable ANT1 = F, and the brake pressure is equal to the proportioned pressure. As shown in Figure F.1A, the piston in the expansion chamber holds a check valve open, thereby directly connecting the input line to the output line.

N. AN

Salar S

194.

ALL N

Type: l - lo	o <mark>gical v</mark> a	riable d·	- dynamic variable c - constant
Parameter	Туре	Units	Description
A	l	n an a suite an	Twhen $\dot{\omega} \cdot RW \ge 1$ g
АН	l		T when $\dot{\omega}$ · RW \geq 4.5 g
ANTI	L		T after antilock unit is in operation
C1	С	volts/sec	basic charge down rate of H
C2	с	volts/sec	high charge down rate of H
C3	С	volts/sec	gain for $\overset{\bullet}{\omega}$ term in discharge rate of H
D	e		T when $\dot{\omega} \cdot RW \leq -1.5$ g
DH	l		T when $\dot{\omega}$ · RW < -4.0 g
g	С	in/sec ²	gravitational constant = 386.0
H	d	volts	output of 'HOLD ON' circuit $^{\prime}$
HO	с	volts	saturation level of H
HTH, HTL	C	volts	high and low threshold levels in 'HOLD ON' circuit
КІ	С	vol/sec/ psi	constant in flow rate relation when S = T
К2	с	vol/sec/ vpsi	constant in flow rate relation when S = F
КЗ	С	psi/sec	high rate of brake pressure in- crease
L	L		T when $\omega \cdot RW \leq 70.4$ (70.4 in/sec = 4.0 mph)
Р	d	psi	brake line pressure; output of pressure modulator
рр	d	psi	proportioned pressure; input to pressure modulator
RW	С	in	gain set at assumed rolling radius
S	L		T when solenoid is on
t	b	sec	time
۷	d	lov	volume of fluid in expansion chamber

179

w Kanadaratera

Table F.1. (Cont.)

Parameter	Туре	Units	Description
٧ _o	С	vol	initial volume of fluid in expansion chamber
٦	С	sec	<pre>time constant of pressure modu- lator when S = T</pre>
^τ 2	C	sec/vpsi	<pre>time constant of pressure modu- lator when S = F</pre>
ω	d	rad/sec	spin velocity of wheel (average value when two wheels are involved)
^ω D, ^ω DH	d	rad/sec	reference ramps, triggered by D and DH, respectively

の語語 100 No. Į ſ

.

•

•



0

Ĩ



C. Brake Reapply





181

When the solenoid is turned on (S=T), the configuration is that shown in Figure F.1B. The pressure supply to the righthand side of the piston is cut off, and the fluid to the right of the piston is allowed to escape to the reservoir. This causes the piston to move to the right as shown, which closes the check valve and completely seals the fluid from the master cylinder (or the proportioning valve, if there is one) from the brake line pressure. The brake line pressure drops as the fluid escapes into the expansion chamber, to the left of the piston. This action is modeled by a fluid capacitor discharging through a fluid resistor. The flow is assumed to be laminar, which results in the linear relation

$$P = -P/\tau_1$$
 (S=T) (F.1)

where τ_1 is a function of the line dimensions, the stiffness of the line and chamber materials, the brake fluid properties, etc. The volume of fluid in the expansion chamber is given by the relation

$$\dot{V} = K_1 P$$
 (F.2)

When the solenoid is then turned off, as depicted in Figure F.IC, fluid from the proportioning valve enters the expansion chamber on the right side of the piston, whereupon the fluid on the left side of the piston is forced back to the brake line. The rate at which the pressure is re-applied is slowed somewhat by the presence of an orifice, as shown in the figure. Due to the presence of the orifice, the relation describing the re-apply rate has a quadratic form, viz.

$$\dot{P} = \sqrt{PP - P} / \tau_2$$
 (S=F, V>O) (F.3)

where τ_2 depends on the same type of characteristics as τ_1 , as

well as the orifice characteristics. The equation describing the volume of fluid to the left of the piston in the expansion chamber is

$$\dot{\mathbf{V}} = -\mathbf{K}_2 \sqrt{\mathbf{P}\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{P}} \tag{F.4}$$

If the piston moves all the way to the left side of the chamber, indicated by the condition V=O, the check valve is opened, as shown originally in Figure F.1A. When this happens, the pressure rate increases drastically until the brake line pressure reaches the proportioned pressure. This is approximated as

$$\dot{P} = K3 (P < PP)$$
 (F.5)

If P does reach PP, then the antilock is re-initialized.

if	P > PP	
then	ANTI = F	(F.6)
	P = PP	
	$V = V_0$	

F.2 Electronic Controller Logic

A Party

Same.

The logical variable D is turned on when the wheel acceleration drops below -1.5 g/RW, and stays set until the wheel acceleration is greater than -1.5 g/RW and the wheel rotational velocity is greater than a reference ramp which is triggered when D is turned on.

The logical variable DH works in a similar manner, except the acceleration level is -4 g/RW.

The logical variable S indicates the state of the solenoid. S is the output of the electronic section of the antilock module, and the only input to the pressure modulator. S is primarily determined by the 'HOLD ON' circuit, although the 'HOLD ON' circuit can be overridden by two other logical variables, AH, which automatically turns off S, and DH, which automatically turns it on.

The logical variables A and AH are both activated when wheel acceleration exceeds 1.0 g/RW or 4.5 g/RW, respectively.

The low velocity indicator, L, is activated when wheel velocity is below 4.0 mph/RW. ω_D is the value of a reference ramp, which is triggered when D changes from F to T. Otherwise, ω_D follows ω .

$$D = F , \omega_D = \omega$$
$$D = T , \dot{\omega}_D = -1.5 \cdot g/RW$$

 $\omega_{\rm DH}$ is the same, only with respect to DH.

DH = F , $\omega_{DH} = \omega$ DH = T , $\dot{\omega}_{DH} = -4.0 \cdot g/RW$.

F.3 HOLD ON Circuit

1000

ALC: NO

The HOLD ON circuit provides most of the logic determining whether to turn the solenoid on or off. This is done by generating a voltage H and comparing it with one of two threshold voltages. The equation which describes H depends on all of the logical variables. The threshold voltage is also determined by the logical variables. The various combinations are summarized in Table F.2, along with S, the output of the controller. Note that H is a negative voltage, and saturates at the value H_0 .

F.4 FORTRAN Implementation of Antilock Model

The subroutine KHALS (Kelsey-Hayes Antilock System), which was added to the hybrid computer vehicle handling program at APL during this project, is listed on the following pages.

		Co	ntrol	Var	iables		H (Saturates	
D	DH	L	Α	АН	н-н _{тн}	H-H _{Th}	at H ₀)	S
т	F	F	F	F	>0		H= −C ₁	F
					<0		•	T
		T						
					>0		L	F
	T					ж.	see comment*	T
	F			T			H=0	F
F				F		>0		
						<0	H=C ₃ +C ₄ ·ώ	Т
			Т					
						>0	H=0	F

Table F.2. Summary of Antilock Controller Logic.

A ALL A

a second

and the second

No. of Concession, Name

ALC: NO

*DH has no effect on H, which is determined by the D.E. relevant to the other logical variables.

SUBROUTINE KHALS С SUBROUTINE KHALS CUMMUN/TIMHLK/JUTIME.TIME.IT COMMON/SP761K/N1+N2+IPOT(120)+IPOTAU(120)+PARAM(400) CUMMUN/CFRC/ SL(4)+SEP(4)+CFCUEF(4)+ARPS(4) COMMON/SPINS/AKPSOT(4), PF, PFR, AVRPS(4), AVRPSU(4), AKPS0(4) . AKPSUM(4) . HOUT (4) 1 CUMMON/ALVARS/AA(4), AAH(4), ANTLK(4), DDH(4), AL(4), SA(4),HC(4), BRKPSI(4), PROPSI(4), RATPSI(4), VOL(4), VOLDOT(4) 1 COMMON/ALCONS/AK1+AK2+AK3+C1+C2+C3+C4+H0+HTH+HTL+TAU1+TAU2+RRW COMMON/PRESS/PKF, PLF, PKR, PLK DATA G/JH6.4/ DIMENSION STORE (5+4) , DUMMY (4) DIMENSION A(4) + AH(4) + AH(1) + AH(4) + D(4) + DH(4) + L(4) + S(4)LOGICAL A, AH, ANTILK, D, DH, L, S С ########### KELSEY-HAYES ANTI-LOCK SYSTEM ########## С С **** DYNAMIC VARIABLES ******* С С : AVERAGE WHEEL VELUCITY (RAU/SEC) C AVRPS(K) С ARPSD(K) : REFERENCE RAMP TRIGGERED BY U(K) С ARPSDH(K) : REFERENCE RAMP TRIGGERED OF DA(K) C AVRPSD(K) : AVERAGE WHEEL ACCELERATION (RAD/SEC/SEC) С HC(K) : OUTPUT OF THOLD UN CIRCUITT (VOLTS) : PROPURTIONAL PRESSURE-INPUT TO MODULATOR (PSI) С PROPSI(K) : BRAKE PRESSURE-OUTPUT OF MODULATOR (PSI) BRKPSI С YOL (K) : VOLUME OF FLUID IN EXPANSION CHAMBER (ARBITRARY UNITS) C С *** LOGICAL VARIABLES ########## С C AA(K) : TRUE WHEN AVERAGE WHEFL ACCELERATION (IN/SEC/SEC) .GE. 1 GEE C AAH(K) : TRUE WHEN AVERAGE WHEEL ACCELERATION (IN/SEC/SEC) . GE. 4.5 GEES C DD(K) : TRUE WHEN AVERAGE WHEEL DECELERATION(IN/SEC/SEC) .LE. -1.5 GEES C : TRUE WHEN AVERAGE WHEEL DECELERATION (IN/SEC/SEC) .LE. -4.0 GEES C DDH(K) : TRUE WHEN AVERAGE WHEEL VELOCITY (IN/SEC).LE. 4MPH С AL(K) SA(K) : TRUE WHEN SOLENIOD IS 'ON' С С ANTLK (K) : TRUE AFTER SULENIND HAS BEEN TURNED ON IN RUN С ********* CONSTANTS ******** C С : BASIC CHARGE DOWN RATE OF THCT (VULTS/SEC) С C1 : HIGH CHARGE LOWN RATE OF THET (VOLTS/SEC) С C5 I BASIC DISCHARGE HATE OF THUT (VOLTS/SEC) С C3 C I GAIN FOR WHEEL ACCELERATION TERM IN DISCHARGE C4 RATE OF THET (VULT-SEC) С С I RATIO OF FLOW RATE TO PRESSURE DRUP(VOL/SEC/PSI) AK1 С (SOLENIOD ON) С I RATIO OF FLOW RATE TO PRESSURE DROP(VOL/SEC/PSI) AKS С (SOLENIOD OFF) I HIGH RATE OF BRAKE PRESSURE INCREASE (PSI/SEC) С AK3 С TAUL I TIME CONSTANT OF PRESSURE MUDULATOR(SEC)(SOLENIOD ON) : TIME CONSTANT OF PRESSURE MODULATOR (SEC) (SOLENIOD OFF) C TAUZ RRW : ASSUMED CONSTANT RULLING RADIUS(IN) С SATURATION LEVEL FOR OUTPUT OF THOLD ON CINCUITT (VOLTS) C HO. 1 I HIGH THRESHOLD LEVEL IN THULD ON CIRCUIT (VULIS) С HTH LOW THRESHOLD LEVEL IN THULD ON CIRCUITI (VOLIS) С HTL 1 C 000000000000 ANTILUCK MUDULE CUNFIGURATION 440404040000 C

186

Ĉ PARAM(223) = 1.С TWO MODULESTONE SENSING THE AVERAGE OF THE IND REAR С WHEEL SPIN MATES AND CONTRULLING THE REAR BRAKES, AND С THE OTHER SENSING THE AVERAGE OF THE FRONT WHEEL SPIN Ċ RATES AND CUNTRULLING THE FRONT BRAKES. С ₽ 2· ONE MODULE. CONTROLLING THE REAR AXLE BRAKES, AND С SENSING THE AVERAGE OF THE TWO REAR WHELL STIN RATES. С С = 3. THREE MUDULES, UNE SENSING THE AVERAGE OF THE TWO REAR С WHEEL SPIN KATES AND CUNTRULLING THE REAR BRAKES, AND С THE OTHER TWO SENSING THE INDEPENDENT FRONT WHEEL SPIN С RATES AND CUNTRULLING THE CURRESPONDING INVEPENDENT С FRUNT BRAKES. С С = 4. FOUR MODULES, EACH SENSING UNE WHEEL SPIN MATE AND С CONTRULLING THE CORESSPUNDING BRAKE. C ***** C C. С INITIALIZATION C IF (TIME.GT.0.) GO TO 100 DU 50 K=1+4 A(K)=.FALSE. AH(K)=.FALSE. ANTILK (K) = . FALSE . D(K) = . FALSE.DH(K)=.FALSE. L(K) = FALSE. S(K)=.FALSE. HC(K)=0. PROPSI(K) = 0. AVRPS(K)=0.0 AVHPSD(K)=0.0 BRKPSI(K) = 0.0RATPSI(K)=0. VOL.(K) =-20. VOLDOT(K)=0. DUMMY(K)=0.0 50 CONTINUE DO 30 J=1+5 00 31 K=1+4 STURE (J+K) =0.0 CONTINUE 31 CONTINUE 30 CONTINUE 100 IE (PARAM(223).NE.1.) GO TO 2000 AVRPS(1) = (ARPS(1) + ARPS(2))/2.AVRPS(2)=(ARPS(3)+ARPS(4))/2. 00 20 J=1+5 IF (1.E0.1) K=1 IF(1.Eu.2) K=3 DOWNAL (1) = $(VKSO1(K) + VKSO1(V+1)) \setminus S^{\bullet}$ AVKP5D(I) = AVRP5D(I) + 0.2P(DUMMY(I) - STORE(1.I))00 21 J=1+4 STURE (J+1)=STORE (J+1+1) CONTINUE 51 STURE (5+1) = DUMMY(1) CONTINUE 05

Ľ

187

PRUPS1(1)=PF PROPSI(2)=PFR 60 10 5000 2000 IL (baruw(553) .NE.5.) CO IO 3000 AVKPS(2) = (AKPS(3) + AKPS(4))/2. DUMMY(2) = (ARPSDT(3) + ARPSDT(4))/2.VAKESD(S) = VAKESD(S) + 0 * 54 (DOWAA(S) - 210KF(1*5)) 00 22 J=1+4 STURE (J+2) = STORE (J+1+2) CONTINUE 25 STURE (5+2) = DUMHY (2) PROPSI(2)=PFR GO TO 5000 3000 IF (PARAM (223) . NE. 3.) GU TU 4000 AVRPS(1) = ARPS(1)AVRPS(2) = ARPS(2)AVKPS(3) = (ARPS(3) + AKPS(4))/2. DO 23 I=1.3 K=I IF(I.EQ.3) K=I+1 DUMMY(I) = (ARPSDT(I) + ARPSDT(K))/2. AVKPSD(I)=AVRPSD(I)+0.2*(DUMMY(I)-STURE(1.1)) * DO 24 J=1+4 STORE (J,I)=STORE (J+1,I) CONFINUE 24 STURE(5,1)=DUMMY(1) CONTINUE 23 PRUPSI(1)=PF PRUPSI(2) = PFPRUPSI(3)=PFR CO TO 5000 4000 AVRPS(1) = ARPS(1) AVKPS(2) = ARPS(2)AVRPS(3) = ARPS(3)AVRPS(4) = ARPS(4)DO 25 1=1+4 DUMMY(I) = ARPSOT(I)AVRPSD(I) = AVRPSD(I) + 0.2* (DUMMY(I) - STURE(1.1)) D0 26 J=1+4 STORE(J+1)=STORE(J+1+1) CONTINUE 95 STORE (5+I) = DUMMY(I) CUNTINUE 25 PROPSI(1)=PF PRUPSI(2)=PF PROPSI(3)=PFR PROPS1(4)=PFR 5000 CUNTINUE Ĉ С ELECTRONIC CONTROLLER LOUIC С DO 200 K=1+4 ARPSD(K)=AVRPS(K)-1.5*6*DT/RRW IF $(\cdot NOT \cdot D(K))$ ARPSD(K) = AVRPS(K)ARPSON(K)=AVRPS(K)=4.96°DTZKRW IF (.NUT.DH(K)) ARPSUH(K)=AVHPS(K) D(K) = FALSEIF (AVRESD(K) .LT.-1.5°G/KRW • OR • AVKPS(K) • LT • ARPSU(K)) U(K) = • TRUE • DH(K)=.FALSE. IF (AVRPOD(K) .LT .- 4. "G/KPW . UR. AVMPS(K). LT. ARPSOH(K))

1 DH(K)=.TRUE. A(K)=.FALSE. IF (AVRPSD(K).GT.1.*G/RHW) A(K)=.TRUE. AH(K)=.FALSE. IF (AVRPOD (K) .GT.4.5+G/HKW) AH(K)=.TRUE. L(K)=.FALSE.) L(K)=.TRUE. IF (AVRPS(K) .LT.70.4/RRW С С COMPUTE/HOLD UN/ CIRCUIT OUTPUT HC С IF (AH(K)) GO TU 9 IF (.NUT. (D(K). UK.L(K)). AND. HC(K). GT. HTH) GO TO 9 IF (U(K)) HDUT (K) = -C1IF $(D(K) \cdot AND \cdot L(K)) + HUOT(K) = -C2$ IF $(\Lambda(K) \cdot OR \cdot NOT \cdot D(K))$ HDUT $(K) = C3 \cdot C4^{\circ} AVRPSD(K)$ IF (D(K).OH.L(K).UR.HC(K).LE.HTL) GU TO 10 9 HC(K)=0. HDUT (K) = 0. HC(K) = HC(K) + HDUT(K) + DT10 IF(HC(K).LT.H0) HC(K)=H0 C SET SULENOID ON OR UFF С С IF (HC(K).GE.HTH) S(K) = .FALSE. 1F(.NOT. (D(K). UK.L(K). UK. HC(K).LT. HTL)) S(K)=.FALSE. IF(DH(K)) = S(K) = TRUEIF ((D(K). OR.L(K)). AND. HC(K).LT.HTH) S(K) =. TRUE. IF (HC(K).LT.HTL) S(K) =. TRUE. IF (S(K)) ANTILK (K) = .TRUE. IF(.NUT.ANTILK(K)) BRKPSI(K)=PROPSI(K) C C MODULATE BRAKE LINE PRESSURE С IF(S(K)) GO TO 15 RATPSI(K)=SURT(PROPSI(K)-BRKPSI(K))/TAU2 VOLDOT(K) =- AK2*SURT(PROPSI(K) - BRKPS1(K)) VOL(K)=VUL(K)+VULDOT(K)*DT IF (VUL(K).GT.0.) GO TO 16 VOL(K)=U. RATPSI(K) = AK3 GO TO 16 RAIPSI(K) =-BRKPSI(K)/TAUI 15 VOLDOT(K) = AK1+BHKPSI(K) VOL(K)=VUL(K)+VULDOT(K)*DT BRKPSI(K)=BRKPSI(K)+RATPSI(K)+DT 16 IF (BRKPSI(K).GT.PROPSI(K).UR..NOT.ANTILK(K)) BRKPSI(K)=PROPSI(K) 200 CONTINUE 00 300 K=1.4 IF $(\Lambda(K))$ $\Lambda\Lambda(K)=1.0$ IF $(\cdot NUT \cdot A(K)) = AA(K) = 0 \cdot U$ IF (AH(K)) AAH(K)=1.0 IF(.NUT.AH(K)) AAH(K)=0.0 IF (ANTILK(K)) ANTLK(K)=1.0 IF (.NUT.ANTILK(K)) ANTLK(K)=0.0 $IF(D(K)) DD(K) = 1 \cdot 0$ IF(.NUT.)(K)) UU(K)=0.0 IF(DH(K)) = DD'H(K) = 1.01F(.NUT.(H(K)) UDH(K)=0.0 IF(L(K)) AL(K)=1.0 IF(.NUT.L(K)) AL(K)=0.0

	IF(S(K)) = SA(K) = 1.0
	IF (.NUT.S(K)) SA(K)=0.0
300	CONTINUE
	MODULE=PARAM(223)+0.5
	GO TO (1+2+3+4)+ MOUULE
1	PRF=HRKPSI(1)
	PLF=BRKPSI(1)
	PRR=BRKPSI(2)
	PLK=BRKPSI(2)
	GO 10 5
2	PRF=PF
	PLF=PF
	PRR=BRKPSI(2)
	PI.R=6RKPS1(2)
	GO TO 5
3	PRF=5RKPSI(1)
	PLF=BRKPSI(2)
	PRR=BRKPSI(3)
	PLR=BRKPSI(3)
	GU TU 5
4	PRF=BRKPSI(1)
	PLF=BRKPSI(2)
	PRR=BRKPSI(3)
	PLR=BRKPSI(4)
5	CONTINUE
	RETURN .
	END

G

្រា

i

APPENDIX G

TEST SEQUENCE AND PROCEDURE

Fitted within the format of the existing Standard No. 105-75; Hydraulic Brake Systems, the test procedure is outlined below. Please note that section numbers S5, S6, etc., denote portions of the existing standard, some of which apply to the conducted test activity as is, while certain others are to be applied in the modified form which is presented. Please note that the following procedure format constitutes our view of hypothetical modifications to FMVSS 105-75 which were suitable for this research activity but not necessarily deserving of recommendation as means to enhance the safety quality of motor vehicles.

The scope, purpose, applications, and definitions of FMVSS 105-75, Sections S1 through S4, remain unchanged. The "Requirements" associated with additional tests, such as those being conducted here, would replace those specified in Sections S5.1.1.2 and S5.1.1.3. Instead of the standard's existing requirements pertaining to service brake system effectiveness, a set of additional requirements would be cited, perhaps in a table, covering the various test conditions under which stopping distances will be measured. Test conditions (appearing as Section S6 in the standard) will be followed as written in 105-75 except as regards certain conditions as follows:

ha se

12.4

S6.8 <u>Wind Velocity</u>. Tests will be conducted with prevailing steady wind velocities not exceeding 15 mph.

S6.9 <u>Road Surface</u>. Tests are to be conducted utilizing selected surfaces providing high, low, and split friction conditions, suitably layed-out to permit both straight-line braking and braking in a turn as described in S7.3 and S7.5. All test sections are to be 12 feet wide. S6.10 <u>Vehicle Position</u>. The vehicle is aligned at the center of the roadway at the start of each brake application. Stops are made without any part of the vehicle leaving the roadway. Except as noted below, stops are made without lockup of two wheels on a single axle at speeds greater than 10 mph. There may also be any combination of controlled lockups on an antilock-equipped vehicle.

10.00

Contraction of

dar ste

No.

No. of

- Handar

P

S6.12 <u>Initial Brake Temperature</u>. Initial brake temperature is to be not more than 200°F.

S6.13 <u>Control Forces</u>. The force applied to a brake control is not more than 150 lbs.

<u>Test Procedures</u>. Procedures to be employed cover the equivalent sections of FMVSS 105-75 from S7 through S7.8. The precise procedures of 105 are to be employed except as cited below:

S7, S7.1, S7.2 -- Per existing procedure.

S7.3 -- Service Brake System, First (Pre-Burnish) Effectiveness Tests. Stops are to be made according to the sequence of Table G.1 Tests No. 1 through 4.

Table G.1.	Pre-Burnish	Effectiveness	Series
------------	-------------	---------------	--------

<u>No.</u>	Speed	Surface	Direction	Load
1	60 mph	Hi Friction	Straight	GVWR
2	40 mph	Lo Friction	Straight	GVWR
3	40 mph	Split (Hi-Rt)	Straight	GVWR
4	40 mph	Split (Hi-Lft)	Straight	GVWR

Effectiveness tests are to be run in a series of increasing pedal force application up to the condition at which two wheels on one axle are locked (with vehicle speed still above 10 mph) or up to a pedal force of 150 lbs. Two stops are then to be conducted at a pedal force which is the minimum practicable increment below that needed for axle lockup, with the total number of stops at each test condition not to exceed 10 (thus constraining the brake work history to a fixed maximum).

Sales -

12. A.

a na she

1.10

100

1

She was

Driver adjustment of steering wheel is permitted throughout the stop. Test data from any stop in which the lane edge is transgressed is to be voided in the determination of minimum stopping distance.

The wet pavement conditions in all effectiveness tests are to be achieved using a continuous watering system or a truck-borne watering system wetting the test lane between test vehicle runs.

S7.4 <u>Service Brake System - Burnish Procedure</u>. The existing burnish procedure of S7.4.1 will be conducted (that is, pertaining to vehicles whose GVWR is 10,000 lbs or less).

S7.4.1.2 <u>Brake Adjustment - Post-Burnish</u>. (Per existing procedure.)

S7.5 <u>Service Brake System - Second Effectiveness Test</u>. Stops are to be made according to the sequence of Table G.2. Straightline stops are to be conducted per the procedure outlined above, in S7.3.

Braking effectiveness in a turn tests (Nos. 6, 7, and 11 through 16) are conducted according to the procedure identified in S7.3 except that stops are made while the vehicle is traveling in a turn of 535-ft. radius. The vehicle is required to have been traveling along this curved path for a minimum of 2 seconds (at 40 mph) prior to initiating braking.

	Table	G.2.	Post-Burnish (2nd) Effectiveness	Series.
--	-------	------	----------------	-----	-----------------	---------

No.	Speed	Surface	Direction	Load
5	60 mph	Hi Friction	Straight	GVWR
6	40 mph	Hi Friction	Turn-Right	GVWR
7	40 mph	Hi Friction	Turn-Left	GVWR
8	40 mph	Lo Friction	Straight	GVWR
9	40 mph	Split (Hi-Rt)	Straight	GVWR
10	40 mph	Split (Hi-Lft)	Straight	GVWR
וו	40 mph	Lo Friction	Turn-Right	GVWR
12	40 mph	Lo Friction	Turn-Left	GVWR
13	40 mph	Split (Hi-Rt)	Turn-Right	GVWR
14	40 mph	Split (Hi-Rt)	Turn-Left	GVWR
15	40 mph	Split (Hi-Lft)	Turn-Right	GVWR
.16	40 mph	Split (Hi-Lft)	Turn-Left	GVWR

S7.6 <u>First Reburnish</u>. (Existing procedure will be conducted.)

1.00

S7.7 through S7.7.4 <u>Parking Brake Tests</u>. (These procedures were not <u>conducted in this study</u>, but would retain their position and format in a hypothetically-expanded standard.)

S7.8 <u>Service Brake System - Lightly-Loaded Vehicle (Third</u> <u>Effectiveness) Test</u>. Stops are to be made according to the sequence of Table G.3 per the procedures outlined in Section S7.3 (for straight-line stops) and in Section S7.5 (for stopping in a turn).

Table G.3. Third Effec	tiveness Series
------------------------	-----------------

No.	Speed	Surface	Direction	Load
17	60 mph	Hi Friction	Straight	Light
18	40 mph	Hi Friction	Turn-Right	Light
19	40 mph	Hi Friction	Turn-Left	Light
20	40 mph	Lo Friction	Straight	Light
21	40 mph	Split (Hi-Rt)	Straight	Light
22	40 mph	Split (Hi-Lft)	Straight	Light
23	40 mph	Lo Friction	Turn-Right	Light
24	40 mph	Lo Friction	Turn-Left	Light
25	40 mph	Split (Hi-Rt)	Turn-Right	Light
26	40 mph	Split (Hi-Rt)	Turn-Left	Light
27	40 mph	Split (Hi-Lft)	Turn-Right	Light
28	40 mph	Split (Hi-Lft)	Turn-Left	Light

S7.6 First Reburnish. (Existing procedure will be conducted.)

Same and

Constraints

. Wilson

and the

THE REAL PROPERTY.

1000

A Shares

100

Sugar Contraction

S7.7 through S7.7.4 <u>Parking Brake Tests</u>. (These procedures were not conducted in this study, but would retain their position and format in a hypothetically-expanded standard.)

S7.8 <u>Service Brake System - Lightly-Loaded Vehicle (Third</u> <u>Effectiveness) Test</u>. Stops are to be made according to the sequence of Table G.3 per the procedures outlined in Section S7.3 (for straight-line stops) and in Section S7.5 (for stopping in a turn).

Table G.3.	Third	Effectiveness	Series
------------	-------	---------------	--------

No.	Speed	Surface	Direction	Load
17	60 mph	Hi Friction	Straight	Light
18	40 mph	Hi Friction	Turn-Right	Light
19	40 mph	Hi Friction	Turn-Left	Light
20	40 mph	Lo Friction	Straight	Light
21	40 mph	Split (Hi-Rt)	Straight	Light
22	40 mph	Split (Hi-Lft)	Straight	Light
23	40 mph	Lo Friction	Turn-Right	Light
24	40 mph	Lo Friction	Turn-Left	Light
25	40 mph	Split (Hi-Rt)	Turn-Right	Light
26	40 mph	Split (Hi-Rt)	Turn-Left	Light
27	40 mph	Split (Hi-Lft)	Turn-Right	Light
28	40 mph	Split (Hi-Lft)	Turn-Left	Light

195