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The issues

• Role of litigation
  – Relative to political process in forming public health policy
  – Relative to other available public health remedies

• Unprecedented scope and objectives of current tobacco and gun control litigation
## Policy Context (cont.)

### Policy context
- Regulatory failure
- Role of local health agencies relative to state agencies
  - Tobacco litigation mostly initiated at state level
  - Gun control litigation mostly initiated at local level
- Litigation as political issue and as shaping public health policy
THE LITIGATION ENVIRONMENT

Functions of litigation

• Compensation
• Deterrence
• Accountability
• Equity
• Corrective functions vs. promoting social goals
TOBACCO LITIGATION

Three Waves

• First two waves based on negligence and strict liability
  – No damages paid
  – Individual responsibility defense
• Third wave more expansive
  – State Attorneys General Medicaid litigation
  – Public health policy goals more explicit
    4 Evolved from damages to public health
    4 State settlements somewhat erode public health focus
FRAMEWORK FOR ANALYSIS

Rosenberg model
• Dynamic view
• Constrained view

Comparative institutional analysis
• Political processes
• The market
• The courts

Rule-shifting vs. culture-shifting effects
# Tobacco Control Policies

## Economic
- Excise Taxes
- Damage awards from litigation

## Regulatory/Legislative
- Youth access restrictions
- Restrictions on smoking
- Advertising restrictions
- Marketing curbs (i.e., on logos and sporting event scholarship)
- Enforcement activities
Information/Education

• Education about the harms from tobacco products
• Disclosure of tobacco industry documents
• Settlement negotiations with the tobacco industry
• Shifting the public health debate
• Smoking cessation programs
• Research in tobacco control policy or in tobacco-related diseases
• Counter advertising (i.e., anti-smoking ads)
Role of Litigation in Changing Tobacco Policy

Exclusive domain
- Damage awards

Direct effects
- Corrective measures shared with legislatures
Role of Litigation in Changing Tobacco Policy (cont.)

Indirect effects

• Disclosure of incriminating documents
• Educational function
• Stimulate other policymakers to act
• Influence changes in industry behavior
**ROLE OF POLITICAL INSTITUTIONS IN CHANGING TOBACCO POLICY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Direct</th>
<th>Indirect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Every policy instrumentality except damages</td>
<td>• Stimulate negotiations with the industry</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ARGUMENTS FAVORING JUDICIAL POLICYMAKING

Pragmatic

• Legislative/regulatory failure
• Damage awards forcing large price increases
• Motivating public support through disclosing documents
• Forcing tobacco industry to negotiate
ARGUMENTS FAVORING JUDICIAL POLICYMAKING (cont.)

**Philosophical**

- Courts are inherently policymakers
- Blurring of the lines between the political and judicial processes
OBJECTIONS TO JUDICIAL POLICYMAKING

Philosophical

• Separation of powers
• Legitimacy of courts as policymakers
• Procedural constraints limit ability of judges to evaluate policy alternatives
Pragmatic

- Novel legal theories being tested
- Courts might not get it “right”
- Diverts resources from other policy efforts
- Reliance on litigation as a solution
ROLE OF THE COURTS IN PUBLIC HEALTH POLICY

Institutional choice

• Preconditions to litigation
  – Building the moral and political case
  – Not necessarily present in other public health battles

• Litigation as second-best solution
  – Distinct role in more comprehensive strategy
  – Concern about over-reliance on litigation
### ROLE OF THE COURTS IN PUBLIC HEALTH POLICY (cont.)

#### Individual choice and responsibility

- Balance between industry culpability and individual freedom
- Tobacco as extreme case
- Applicability to other public health issues
Applicability to Other Public Health Issues

- Obesity
- Gun control
- Gambling addiction
- Differences?
- Similarities?
CONCLUSION

• Complex interactions between political theory and pragmatic policymaking realities.

• Blurring of the line between litigation and politics of public health
  – Opponents must confront legislative/regulatory failure
  – Proponents must recognize possibility that litigation will not change policy.
QUESTIONS FOR DISCUSSION

(1) Which issues are amendable to litigation? Tobacco? Alcohol? Guns? Obesity?

(2) What is achievable through litigation that cannot be obtained from other public health approaches?

(3) From your perspective, what are the costs and benefits from pursuing litigation as a policy strategy?