Rapid optical and X-ray timing observations of GX 339–4: flux correlations at the onset of a low/hard state
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ABSTRACT

We present the discovery of optical/X-ray flux correlations on rapid time-scales in the low/hard state of the Galactic black hole GX 339–4. The source had recently emerged from outburst and was associated with a relatively faint counterpart with mag \( V \approx 17 \). The optical [Very Large Telescope (VLT)/ULTRACAM] and X-ray (Rossi X-ray Timing Explorer) data show a clear positive cross-correlation function (CCF) signal, with the optical peak lagging X-rays by \( \sim 150 \) ms, preceded by a shallow rise and followed by a steep decline along with broad anticorrelation dips. An examination of the light curves shows that the main CCF features are reproduced in superpositions of flares and dips. The CCF peak is narrow and the X-ray autocorrelation function (ACF) is broader than the optical ACF, arguing against reprocessing as the origin for the rapid optical emission. X-ray flaring is associated with spectral hardening, but no corresponding changes are detected around optical peaks and dips. The variability may be explained in the context of synchrotron emission with interaction between a jet and a corona. The complex CCF structure in GX 339–4 has similarities to that of another remarkable X-ray binary XTE J1118+480, in spite of showing a weaker maximum strength. Such simultaneous multiwavelength, rapid timing studies provide key constraints for modelling the inner regions of accreting stellar sources.
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1 INTRODUCTION

GX 339–4 is an X-ray binary system hosting one of the most promising Galactic black hole (BH) candidates, with a mass function of \( \sim 6 \) M\(_\odot\) (Hynes et al. 2003). It is classified as a microquasar, based on tight radio/X-ray correlations found over a large dynamic range in source luminosity (e.g. Gallo et al. 2003). Extensive X-ray studies have revealed a rich timing structure (e.g. Miyamoto et al. 1991; Nowak, Wilms & Dove 1999; Belloni et al. 2005; Dunn et al. 2008). In its low state, the source is typically associated with an optically bright counterpart, during which flickering on time-scales as short as 10 ms has been observed. Rare transitions to the X-ray off state have enabled placing some constraints on the much fainter optical companion star (Shahbaz, Fender & Charles 2001), and during X-ray outbursts, the optical and X-ray fluxes display complex correlations as well as anticorrelations over extended time-scales (e.g. Makishima et al. 1986; Russell et al. 2006).

Rapid timing observations simultaneous over a broad energy range remain as one of the lesser-explored aspects of GX 339–4. The last published multiwavelength studies to probe subsecond time-scales were carried out over 20 yr ago (but see Spruit et al., in preparation). Besides high-state observations by Makishima et al. (1986), Motch, Ilovaisky & Chevalier (1982) and Motch et al. (1983, 1985) studied the source during a low- to high-state transition, and found an anticorrelated cross-correlation function (CCF) signal with
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optical leading X-rays by a few seconds. Although there was an indication in the low-frequency light curves that the optical and 13–20 keV X-ray fluxes were correlated at a significance of about 98 per cent, no obvious high-frequency (≤20 s) correlation was uncovered at any of the selected energies.

The Galactic halo X-ray binary XTEJ1118+480 has recently been a target of an extensive, simultaneous multiwavelength coverage. Among its interesting properties, a complex optical/X-ray CCF has been found (e.g. Kanbach et al. 2001; Spruit & Kanbach 2002), including positive and negative correlation components and an optical versus X-ray peak lag of ∼0.5 s. Complex CCFs are now being found in other sources as well (Durant et al. 2008). These provide important time domain constraints for physical models.

In this Letter, we present the first simultaneous optical/X-ray timing analysis of GX 339−4 on rapid time-scales of ∼50–130 ms in a low/hard state associated with a relatively faint optical counterpart. A clear cross-correlation signal is detected with the optical peak lagging X-rays by ∼150 ms. The CCF has a complex pattern with some similarities as well as differences to the CCF found for XTEJ1118+480, and is likely to be the result of distinct interacting accretion/ejection components. The full details of the timing and spectral analysis are presented in a forthcoming Letter.

2 OBSERVATIONS

The triple-beam optical camera ULTRACAM (Dhillon et al. 2007), capable of high-speed photometry at up to 500 Hz, was mounted on the Very Large Telescope (VLT) as a visitor instrument during 2007 June. We carried out three 1-h long observations of GX 339−4 on alternate nights of 15−20 June 14, 16 and 18 (hereafter referred to as Nights 3, 2 and 1, respectively, in order of improving weather), simultaneously with the Rossi X-ray Timing Explorer (RXTE) satellite. Only the final night (Night 1) was photometric, while the other two had variable transparency, Night 3 being the worst. This period fell a few weeks after the source had returned to the low/hard state following a large X-ray outburst (Kalemci et al. 2007) and also coincided with rising optical emission (Buxton & Bailyn 2007). Our choice of time resolution was governed by the need to obtain a good signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) under prevailing atmospheric conditions. The final values used were ∼50, 133 and 136 ms on Nights 1, 2 and 3, respectively. Data calibration and relative photometry (with respect to a brighter comparison star observed simultaneously) were carried out with the ULTRACAM pipeline v. 8.1.1. Three-filter simultaneous observations are possible and we used u′, g′ and r′, but much longer exposures were required in u′ to obtain comparable S/N; consequently, the u′ data are not considered further in this Letter. Optical spectrophotometry carried out with the VLT/FORS2 instrument on Night 1 gives PGOAD = 6 × 10−10 erg s−1 cm−2 Å−1 (Vega 17). A correction for Galactic extinction of A_V ≈ 3.3 mag implies L_\lambda_5000 ≈ 4.6 × 10^{35} (d/8 kpc)^2 erg s−1 (distance from Zdziarski et al. 2004).

RXTE observed the target in its canonical GOODXENON and STANDARD PCA modes, and in a 32-s on-off rocking mode with HEXTE (High Energy X-ray Timing Experiment) cluster 1. Recommended HEADAS v. 6.4 procedures were followed for data reduction and extraction of light curves and spectra, including the latest calibration information and background model corrections. A simple hard power law with photon-index Γ = 1.65 ± 0.02 provided a statistically acceptable fit to the spectra for energies of 3–200 keV. The source had a flux F_2−10 = 1.6 × 10−10 erg s−1 cm−2, => L_2−10 = 1.2 × 10^{36} erg s−1, implying an optical(V): X-ray (2−10 keV) luminosity ratio of about 40 per cent. The low flux and hard power law are characteristic of the source in the low/hard state. Tomsick et al. (2008) find that the X-ray flux reached a minimum during our observation period.

The source showed a high fractional variability amplitude in X-rays, approaching 50 per cent in the full-band PCA energy range (above the value expected from Poisson fluctuations) on all nights. In the optical, the net rms variability over the full light curves was ∼13 and 15 per cent in the g′ and r′ filters, respectively.

3 RESULTS: THE CROSS-CORRELATION FUNCTION

The net optical and X-ray light curves were translated to a common Barycentric frame, and cross-correlated on the fastest optical time resolutions available on each night. The absolute and relative timing accuracies of ULTRACAM are ∼1 ms and 50 μs, respectively (Dhillon et al. 2007), much better than the smallest time-scales in our light curves. The main result of our work is shown in Fig. 1. The CCF shows a single, significant peak at an optical lag of ∼150 ms. The peak itself has a narrow core, with a shallow rise from ∼−1.5 to 0 s, and a steep decline from 150 ms to ∼0.5 s. Weaker, but significant anticorrelation troughs are centred at ∼−4 and 1 s. Each of these structures is visible in all the observations (in spite of some clear internight variation), suggesting that each of them is real. The peak narrowness and position are constant between the three nights, within the ∼50–130 ms resolution available. The g′ data show a very similar CCF to the r′/X-ray one presented.

The asymmetric shallow rise and steep decline of the CCF are clearly reminiscent of that seen in XTEJ1118+480 (Kanbach et al. 2001), but ‘mirror-imaged’ about a vertical axis and shifted to a lag of 0.15 s. This lag was confirmed by constructing averaged optical light curves around micro- (local) flares and dips selected in the full-band X-ray data. Fig. 2 shows the resultant optical (as well as 2–5 and 5–20 keV X-ray) superpositions around several hundred X-ray peaks and dips. An optical extremum appears at ∼150 ms lag on all the nights (though only the best weather Nights 1 and 2 are shown). Furthermore, the peaks light curve clearly shows lower-than-average troughs, as well as a preceding rise of the optical, all matching the CCF within r = ±2 s. There are also some matches beyond this range, including the peaks local maximum at r = +10 s. But strong intrinsic (not Poisson) variability dominates, especially in the higher resolution Night 1 data. This suggests that more flaring is present on time-scales smaller than those probed by us.

There may be several reasons why the previous observations of Motch et al. (1983) and Makishima et al. (1986) did not find a positive CCF signal. First, the CCF strength itself probably evolves between the different states probed: a high state during the observation of Makishima et al. and an optically bright (V ∼15.4) low state in Motch et al. During our observations, the CCF in Fig. 1 has a peak strength of only ∼0.1; a perfect correlation would show a peak of 1 (for comparison, XTEJ1118+480 has a peak of 0.4). It is also possible that the length of the simultaneous optical/X-ray observation (∼100 s) available to Motch et al. (1983) was too short to reveal any positive CCF components present.

4 DISCUSSION

The main question that we wish to address is the origin of the rapidly variable optical power and the complex cross-correlation. Published models for the XTEJ1118+480 CCF include, among
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Figure 1. The $r'$ versus X-ray full-band PCA cross-correlation for data on all three nights, obtained from simultaneous light-curve sections of 60 s length. A positive delay (in this case peaked at $\approx 150$ ms) implies that optical lags X-rays. The inset shows a zoom-in average CCF of Nights 1 and 2 interpolated on to the fastest time-scale of 50 ms in order to clearly illustrate the delay. The shaded region is the average scatter computed in an ensemble of light-curve sections.

Figure 2. Averaged 2–5, 5–20 keV and optical ($r'$) light curves around full-band PCA X-ray flares (left-hand panel) and dips (right-hand panel). Optical light curves from Nights 1 and 2 are shown in red and blue; averages from both nights are shown in black. For making this plot, full-band extrema are selected according to the method of Malzac et al. (2003, cf. their fig. 9). A flare (or dip) must be at least $f = 2$ times above (or $1/f$ times below) the local X-ray mean in a running $t_m = 32$ s long section, and is also required to be the local extremum within a contiguous segment of $\pm t_p = 8$ s (this effectively selects significant flares only). Corresponding light-curve sections in other bands are then normalized to their local means before being averaged. Errorbars show typical Poisson uncertainties.

others: Merloni, Di Matteo & Fabian (2000), who invoke a magnetically dominated corona, Esin et al. [2001, a dominant advection-dominated flow (ADAF) with additional synchrotron], Markoff, Falcke & Fender (2001, a pure jet), Malzac, Merloni & Fabian (2004, feedback in a common jet+corona reservoir) and Yuan, Cui & Narayan (2005, an ADAF and a jet dominating at different energies). Most can explain the averaged broad-band energetics, and provide a qualitative description of the expected variability. But all agree that the origin and the details of the rapid variability patterns are likely to be complicated. The case of GX 339–4 may well be similar, and a full investigation of the parameter space of the various models is beyond the scope of this Letter. Nevertheless, several important conclusions can be drawn from our simultaneous multiwavelength data.

4.1 Reprocessing?
The peak of the CCF time delay (150 ms) corresponds to a light-travel distance of $5000R_G(=GM/c^2)$ for $M \gtrsim 6M_\odot$, too small for reprocessing on the companion star (GX 339–4 has a binary
4.2 Behaviour around flares and dips

Fig. 2 shows that the behaviour of low- and high-energy X-ray (in this case, 2–5 and 5–20 keV) photon intensities is similar, when selected around full-band PCA peaks and dips, with no obvious lag. We note that CCFs of light curves extracted in these energy ranges, with respect to the optical, showed little difference to the full-band result of Fig. 1. The optical light curves follow the CCF shape, as already mentioned. No significant colour (g′ − r′) changes around the positions of X-ray flares/dips were detected.

What about the source spectral behaviour? Using standard HEADAS tools, we extracted average X-ray spectra (and background) within short time-bins, ∼ ±50–150 ms, centred on X-ray PCA full-band flares and dips (HEXTE was not used for this analysis, as the source is always background dominated above ∼20 keV). What we find is that the source hardens when it flares, and vice-versa. This is illustrated in Fig. 4, which shows the contours of independent fits to the extracted flares and dips spectra. A simple power law (with absorption fixed to Galactic) was used to parametrize the spectral separation of ∼25 light seconds). An autocorrelation analysis of the individual light curves can also be used to constrain the emission processes. Fig. 3 shows the computed X-ray and optical autocorrelation functions (ACFs). The low count-rate Poisson noise dominating the X-ray ACF at zero lag has been corrected for by subtracting white noise from an X-ray power spectrum, followed by an inverse Fourier transform. The final X-ray ACF is broader than the optical one, similar to the result found in the case of XTEJ1118+480. This also argues against a reprocessing origin (on the outer parts of the accretion disc, say) for the rapidly variable component, at least as described by a simple, linear transfer function (cf. Kanbach et al. 2001).

4.3 Implications

The above analysis shows that the source is harder during X-ray flares, and vice-versa. In the context of a hot accretion disc corona model, this is consistent with increased Compton up-scattering when the source is (momentarily) brighter, and vice-versa. Fast Compton cooling time-scales of tens of ms or smaller have been inferred in Galactic BHs in the low/hard state (cf. Guilbert & Fabian 1982), for coronal electron temperatures $kT_e \sim 100$ keV and seed-photon disc-blackbody X-ray luminosities $<0.01 L_{\text{Edd}}$. Very similar physical parameters have been inferred for GX 339–4 during previous low/hard states (Miller et al. 2006; Jonef et al. 2007), and also contemporaneous with our observations [cf. Tomick et al. 2008, who favour an inner disc radius $(r_{\text{in}})$ of $\sim 10 R_G$]. Thus, similarly fast cooling time-scales are likely to hold for the case of GX 339–4, resulting in apparently simultaneous flaring and hardening, which is what we observe.

As for the optical emission, we have already excluded simple reprocessing models. Bremstrahlung can also be ruled out, as this would require a corresponding X-ray flux higher than that observed by several orders of magnitude. The most likely remaining physical mechanism is then synchrotron emission. Cyclo-synchrotron models in which several magnetized active regions with sizes of a few Schwarzschild radii contribute significantly to the dereddened optical flux have been investigated by di Matteo et al. (1999, in addition cf. Fabian et al. 1982). The active regions are characterized by $B \sim 10^6$ G, coronal optical depths $\tau \sim 0.2–1$ and $kT_e \sim 150–200$ keV. Wardziński & Zdziarski (2000) have also discussed important modifications to such models.

Within the context of these models, what could the shape and the time delay of the CCF correspond do? The steep decline that follows the CCF peak (Fig. 1) suggests the presence of some mechanism...
that cuts-off the optical flares suddenly – like infall of synchrotron-emitting blobs into the BH, say. A potential difficulty of this scenario is that a free-fall time of 150 ms for a 6–10 M⊙ BH corresponds to a physical radius of ~200–250 R⊙, which is larger than the value of rṁ inferred by Tomsick et al. (2008). Unless the disc has receded further or an atypically large corona is present, this does not correspond to any obvious physically meaningful scale.

An alternate hypothesis is that the rapid variability originates in non-thermal emission within a relativistic outflow or a jet. Malzac et al. (2004) invoked a magnetic energy reservoir that feeds both a jet component (dominating the optical), as well as an electron corona (dominating in X-rays) to explain the CCF of XTE J1118+480. An energy injection was modelled as shot flares. Feedback between the two components resulted in complex correlations, with the optical power being proportional to the differential of the X-ray flux. In such a model, the CCF shape and time lag are determined by the dissipation time-scale of the process that injects energy into the jet. This should be largely independent of the exact injection mechanism, though the authors discuss the context of a magnetic energy reservoir. For our observations, a simple exponential (∝ e−t/τ) fit to the innermost part of the X-ray ACF (lags <0.5 s) in Fig. 3 gives a dissipation time-scale τ = 0.2 ± 0.05 s (90 per cent error). This agrees with the observed optical delay, and suggests that such a differential correlation may apply to GX 339–4 as well.

If X-ray flares above the accretion disc trigger the dissipation and large-scale reordering of the poloidal magnetic field threading a jet, it is possible that the synchrotron (optical) emission will respond on time-scales related to subsequent field build-up. A significant modulation of the poloidal field can occur on time-scales orders of magnitude longer than the dynamical time of the inner accretion disc regions where this field originates (Livio, Pringle & King 2003, see their equation 4). For rṁ ~ 10 R⊙, the Keplerian dynamical time is 6 ms. Our observed delay of the optical (i.e. jet) component (~150 ms) is 25 times longer, which can easily be accommodated within the picture of magnetic modulation. Synchrotron emission by plasma accelerated along the jet during this period, followed by rapid radiative cooling, may thus explain the positive CCF peak and delay. As for the anticorrelation troughs: if the initial X-ray flares that triggered magnetic reordering are related to field reconnection events in a coexistent corona, the coronal magnetic energy density will be released on the X-ray flaring time-scale. This will lead to a decrease in any ambient synchrotron emission which is occurring within the corona itself, resulting in an anticorrelation of optical with X-rays. X-ray flaring is coherent over time-scales of approximately several seconds, as seen in the X-ray ACF (Fig. 3), and this is also the total length of the anticorrelation seen in the CCF plot of Fig. 1. In short, interaction between the jet and coronal components may be the key to understand the complex correlation structure.

A testable prediction of this model is that the appearance and strength of the positive CCF signal should be intimately related to the prominence of the jet. Our observations probed the beginning of the low/hard state. As the sources enter deeper into this state and the jet establishes itself, its contribution to the overall energetics should grow (e.g. Fender, Belloni & Gallo 2004), as should the related optical fractional variability rms. Support of this comes from the fact that we find an optical rms variability of ~15 per cent over

the full light-curve time-scales, while Motch et al. (1983) found an rms of 50 per cent when the source was optically brighter (this is well matched to the estimate of ~50 per cent by Corbel & Fender 2002, based on broad-band photometry). Increased corona/jet coupling will result in a higher peak strength of the optical/X-ray CCF. Finally, if a stronger poloidal field is required to establish a stronger jet component, this may result in longer time-scales for breaking and establishing this field component following reconnection flares. Changing CCF delays could then be used to directly probe the evolution of characteristic accretion/ejection structures in X-ray binaries.
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