Grain boundary mobility of CeO$_2$ containing 0.1% and 1.0% trivalent dopant cations (Sc, Yb, Y, Gd, and La, in order of increasing ionic size) has been measured. At the lower dopant concentration (intrinsic regime), mobility is controlled by grain boundary diffusion of host cations, whereas at the higher dopant concentration (extrinsic regime), mobility is controlled by solute drag through the lattice. The effect of trivalent dopants is closely associated with their ability to provide and to interact with oxygen vacancies. Evidence consistent with an interstitial mechanism for cation diffusion has been found which is remarkably affected by the presence of oxygen vacancies. Cation diffusion is enhanced by free oxygen vacancies in the system, while dopant diffusion is suppressed if a dopant-associated oxygen vacancy is not present. A bare Sc cation, however, appears to be a fast-diffusing species, due to its highly distorted local environment, while Y at 1.0% emerges as the most effective grain growth suppressant.

I. Introduction

Control of grain boundary mobility is often called for in attaining desirable sintering characteristics, microstructure, and deformation behavior in high-temperature ceramics. Cation solutes are expected to influence grain boundary mobility via solute drag and alteration of diffusivity. Systematic investigation of this subject, however, has been lacking. In highly stoichiometric ceramics, e.g., Al$_2$O$_3$, solubility of allovalent solute is very low, typically less than 100 ppm. This places a severe limitation on the type and composition range of the solutes that can be systematically studied in such ceramics. In addition, the effect of unintentional residual impurity on grain boundary mobility is difficult to isolate from that of the dopant. Recently, we have shown that in a nonstoichiometric oxide, Zr$_{0.99}$Ce$_{0.01}$O$_2$ (12Ce-TZP), grain boundary mobility can be precisely controlled by systematically applying the solute drag concept. The present work focuses on a similar oxide in the fluorite structural family, CeO$_2$, to elucidate the size effect of trivalent cation solutes on grain boundary mobility.

CeO$_2$ has a cubic fluorite structure which can incorporate many cations into the lattice. When a trivalent cation dopant is present, oxygen vacancies are created for charge compensation. Since Ce$^{3+}$ can readily reduce itself to Ce$^{2+}$, considerable oxygen vacancies are also present in undoped ceria. Thus, oxygen diffusion is fast and cation diffusion is always the rate-controlling step for grain boundary migration at all compositions. Previous research on undoped and doped CeO$_2$ has provided information on the concentration of oxygen vacancy, the charge transfer mechanism between Ce$^{3+}$ and Ce$^{4+}$, and the size dependence of oxygen vacancy association with trivalent dopants. This background suggests that CeO$_2$ would be an excellent model for nonstoichiometric oxide for investigating the solute effect on grain boundary mobility. It should be noted, however, that no data on cation diffusivity in CeO$_2$ are currently available, to the best of our knowledge. No previous data on grain boundary mobility exist, either. Indeed, fully dense CeO$_2$ has not been obtained until recently.

Trivalent cation dopants (D$^{3+}$) studied here are Sc, Yb, Y, Gd, and La, in order of increasing ionic size. Data of solubility and lattice constants of these CeO$_2$-M$_2$O$_3$ systems are available in the literature, from which Vegard's slopes (on the basis of cation percent) have been calculated and summarized in Table I. Also listed in the Table are Shannon's radii. Note that Ce$^{4+}$, with an ionic radius of 0.97 Å, is placed between Yb$^{3+}$ and Y$^{3+}$. Thus, our choice of dopants covers a rather broad size range bracketing the host cation size. To select the dopant concentration, we refer to undoped CeO$_2$ in air and its Ce$^{3+}$ concentration ([Ce$^{3+}$] on the basis of cation fraction). The data from the literature are shown in Fig. 1 for the temperature range between 1270°C and 1470°C. Referring to this figure, we have chosen 0.1% as the dopant concentration ([D$^{3+}$]) that is within the intrinsic regime ([D$^{3+}$] < [Ce$^{3+}$]), and 1.0% as the dopant concentration within the extrinsic regime ([D$^{3+}$] > [Ce$^{3+}$]). In addition, undoped ceria is studied under several oxygen partial pressures to examine the effect of [Ce$^{3+}$] or, equivalently, [V$_o$], the oxygen vacancy concentration.

II. Experimental Procedure

Ultrafine highly reactive CeO$_2$ powders prepared by a homogeneous precipitation method as described in our previous paper were used as starting powders, to which dopants were added in the form of nitrates. Dopant concentrations were fixed at 0.1% or 1.0% of the total cations. To avoid SiO$_2$ contamination, powder processing was conducted using plastic ware only.

![Fig. 1. Defect concentration, [Ce$^{3+}$], vs reciprocal temperature for undoped CeO$_2$. (Data from Ref. 5.) Intrinsic and extrinsic regimes for dopant concentrations are shown for 1270°C to 1420°C.](image-url)
CeO$_2$ powders were first dispersed in isopropyl alcohol. A desired amount of dissolved dopant nitrate was then added. The slurry was poured through a 20-μm nylon screen and dried under heat while being stirred. The dried powders were dry-pressed into pellets with a diameter of 10 mm. A slurry was poured through a 20-μm nylon screen and dried. Gas cooling was used when a controlled atmosphere was needed.

Flowing gas was used when a controlled atmosphere was needed.

The microstructures of the sintered specimens were characterized by a scanning electron microscope (SEM) after polishing and thermal etching. The grain size was obtained by multiplying the average linear intercept length of at least 500 grains by 1.36. In addition, the grain diameter was used to evaluate the grain size distribution. For grain growth study, only samples with a density higher than 99% were used. Some specimens, which could be fractured intergranularly at room temperature, were also examined by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy for chemical analysis (ESCA). Since the ESCA signals came primarily from atoms within a distance of 1 to 2 nm from the surface, such measurements are representative of the near-grain-boundary composition. To determine the depth distribution of the composition, argon ion beam sputtering was applied to progressively remove the near-grain-boundary materials.

III. Results

(1) Microstructure

Selected microstructures are displayed in Fig. 2 to demonstrate the difference in grain size. The range of temperatures used, the smallest grain size obtained in the fully dense samples was 0.38 μm, as in the case of 1.0% Y doping sintered at 1270°C for 1.2 h shown in Fig. 2(c). The largest grain size was 32 μm, as in the case of 1.0% Sc doping sintered at 1420°C for 6 h. The most dramatic contrast in grain size is seen at 1270°C, with 1.0% dopants. The grain size of Sc-doped CeO$_2$ is more than 15 times of that of Y-doped CeO$_2$, as shown in Figs. 2(b) and (c).

(2) Grain Size Measurement

Grain size distributions of a number of specimens have been evaluated to further ascertain that no abnormal growth has taken place. Figure 3 plots four distributions for the following specimens: undoped CeO$_2$ (0.99 μm), 1.0%-Y doped (0.38 μm), 1.0%-Sc doped (18 μm), and 0.1%-Sc doped (1.2 μm). It is seen that the majority of grains have a size centered around the mean and there is no abnormal growth producing a secondary peak at larger sizes. This justifies the use of the average linear intercept length for evaluating grain growth kinetics.

Mobility of grain boundary, $M$, can be estimated from the grain growth kinetics via the following equation:

$$\frac{\delta d}{\delta t} = M \gamma/d$$

(1)

In the above, $d$ is the average grain size at time $t$, and $\gamma$ is the grain boundary energy. If both $M$ and $\gamma$ are independent of time, then Eq. (1) leads to the parabolic law for grain growth:

$$d^2 - d_0^2 = 2M \gamma (t - t_0)$$

(2)

where $d_0$ is the reference grain size at time $t_0$. In reality, it is also common to find nonparabolic growth with a growth exponent varying from 2 to 4. This can be interpreted as due simply to a decreasing mobility. Despite the above variation, by plotting $d^2 - d_0^2$ against $t - t_0$, the slope of the curve always gives $2M \gamma$ according to Eq. (1). In addition, if a straight-line fit that passes through the origin is made, its slope gives the average $2M \gamma$ over the duration of the grain growth experiment. This method is adopted here. To avoid the influence of porosity on grain boundary mobility, the time $t_0$ was chosen so that the sintering density had already achieved 99%. Typically, $t_0$ is 1.2 h at 1270°C and 6 min at 1420°C.

For illustration, some of the grain size data, for undoped and 1.0%-doped samples sintered at 1270°C, are plotted in Fig. 4. Clearly the value of the slope in Fig. 4 varies over many orders of magnitude, reflecting the influence of dopants. This large variation cannot be attributed to grain boundary energy, since $\gamma$ typically varies by no more than a factor of two over a wide range of temperatures, compositions, and even grain boundary structures within the same system. Therefore, in this study we have assumed that $\gamma$ remains constant at an “estimated” value of 0.3 J/m$^2$ and evaluated the mobility from the slope directly. This practice allows us to compute the grain boundary mobility in undoped and doped CeO$_2$ systems with reasonable certainty.

(3) Undoped CeO$_2$

Undoped CeO$_2$ were sintered in three atmospheres: 100% O$_2$, 21% O$_2$ (air), and 2% O$_2$. The mobility data for these three kinds of atmospheres at 1470°C are plotted in Fig. 5. They show an inverse dependence on the oxygen partial pressure ($P_{O_2}$). The temperature dependence of the data in air is also shown in Fig. 6 in an Arrhenius plot. The activation energy obtained is 588 kJ/mol, or 6.1 eV.

We caution that the above data should not be used to derive the oxygen partial pressure dependence of mobility, because in many of these experiments a short sintering time had to be used to obtain small grain sizes. Such short time was usually not enough to establish an equilibrium partial pressure. The apparent $P_{O_2}$ dependence of Fig. 5, $M \propto P_{O_2}^{-1.48}$, is therefore not definitive, but only indicates the trend.

(4) 0.1%-Doped CeO$_2$

Mobility data of the doped CeO$_2$ are compared with those of the undoped CeO$_2$ in the same condition (air and various temperatures). Figure 7 displays the normalized mobilities of 0.1%-doped CeO$_2$ for the five dopants ordered in increasing ionic radius. The normalized mobility ($\bar{M} = M_{doped CeO_2}/M_{undoped CeO_2}$) is lower than unity for smaller and larger dopants but has a maximum for intermediate-sized dopants, Y and Gd.
This trend is observed at all the temperatures studied in this research and is especially pronounced at low temperature. (At high temperature, e.g., 1420°C, the normalized mobilities for Y and Gd dopants are actually smaller than unity. Thus, in this case, all the dopants studied suppress grain growth of CeO₂.) The activation energies of mobility, as a function of dopant size, are shown in Fig. 8 (minimum at Gd).

Fig. 4. Grain growth kinetics of CeO₂ at 1270°C. Dopants as indicated.

(5) 1.0%-Doped CeO₂

The normalized mobilities of various 1.0%-doped CeO₂ are shown in Fig. 9. In contrast to the feature in Fig. 7, the normalized mobility has a minimum at the intermediate-sized dopant. Moreover, with Sc being the only dopant which enhances grain growth, all other dopants show a strong suppression effect. This suppression effect becomes more pronounced at 1420°C, although the shape of the curve remains the same at all temperatures. The activation energy of mobility as a function of dopant size is shown in Fig. 10, which has a broad maximum at Y.
We have also used a mixture of dopants at a total concentration of 1.0% to explore any synergistic effect. This was not found for most combinations studied, but the combination of Sc and other dopants is an exception. For example, when 0.5% Sc and 0.5% Y are used together, grain boundary mobility is suppressed to a level closer to that of the 1% Y, as shown in Fig. 11.

(One other combination, 0.5% La + 0.5% Y, also shown in Fig. 11, has a mobility closer to that of 1% La.)

**6 Grain Boundary Segregation**

Direct evidence for solute segregation at the grain boundary was sought in the sample containing 1.0% Y. This specimen was sintered at 1270°C for 1.2 h and could be intergranularly fractured at room temperature. The ESCA spectrum obtained for the as-fractured surface is shown in Fig. 12 (top), having a strong electron emission peak identified as 3d electron for Y. The intensity of this peak diminished after 30 min Ar ion sputtering, which removed surface (grain boundary) atoms, as also shown in Fig. 12 (bottom). Strong segregation of dopant cation is thus verified in this case.

**IV. Discussion**

**1 Undoped CeO₂ Mechanism of Cation Diffusion**

Our experiment with oxygen partial pressure clearly demonstrates that an increase in [Ce⁺⁺⁺] or [V₂] also increases grain boundary mobility. This rules out the possibility of solute drag by Ce⁺⁺++. Such an effect is not expected anyway, for the following reason. Ce⁺⁺⁺ can readily exchange an electron with Ce⁺⁺⁺ to effect the Ce⁺⁺⁺/Ce⁺⁺⁺ conversion, via the so-called "small polaron" mechanism, which is known to have a very small activation energy (0.21 eV) in the present system. Thus, Ce⁺⁺⁺ movements are not essentially distinguishable kinetically from...
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Figure 10. Activation energy of mobility of 1.0%-doped CeO$_2$. Dopants ordered by ionic radii.

Figure 11. Normalized grain boundary mobilities of CeO$_2$ with single and double dopants (1.0% total).

Figure 12. ESCA spectra of intergranularly fractured 1.0%-Y doped CeO$_2$ before and after argon ion sputtering.

Ce$^{4+}$ movements. (The high small-polaron mobility also implies that Ce$^{4+}$-$V_{o}$ association is either weak or infrequent, since the activation energy of $V_{o}$ migration is 0.87 eV, much higher than 0.21 eV quoted above for electronic transport.) Therefore, the higher grain boundary mobility at reduced oxygen partial pressure is not related to [Ce$^{4+}$] but to [V$_{o}$]. That is, a high concentration of oxygen vacancies can enhance cation diffusion. This is most likely due to an increased concentration of cation interstitials in the presence of abundant oxygen vacancies. (The other possible cation diffusion mechanism requires a higher [V$_{o}$], which is ruled out in view of the Schottky defect reaction, giving [V$_{o}$] $\propto$ [V$_{o}$]$^{-2}$ and hence suppressing cation diffusion.) In addition, lacking any reason for solute drag, we believe that in undoped CeO$_2$, grain boundary mobility is controlled by grain boundary diffusion of Ce.

The above picture finds support in the literature of UO$_2$-, for which cation (lattice) diffusivity increases with $x$. This has been interpreted by Matzke as an indication of an interstitial mechanism. By considering the following defect reactions along with charge balance:

**Oxygen dissolution:**

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{O}_2 + 4\text{Ce}_o & \rightarrow 4\text{Ce}_c + 2\text{O}_2 \\
\text{Schottky defect formation:} & \rightarrow 1270°C \quad \rightarrow 1420°C
\end{align*}
\]

**Frenkel defect formation:**

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Frenkel defect formation:} & \rightarrow \text{Ce}^{4+} + \text{Ce}^{3+} \\
\text{exp} & \left(-\frac{\Delta H^m_0}{kT} + \frac{\Delta H^m_2}{kT} + \frac{\Delta H^m_3}{kT}\right) & \propto \left[V_{0}\right]^2
\end{align*}
\]

In the above, $K$'s are preexponential constants for defect reactions, $\Delta H$ and $\Delta G$'s are enthalpy and Gibbs free energies, respectively, for such reactions. (Note that $K_0 \propto P_{o2}^{-1/6}$, but for brevity, the partial pressure dependence is not expressed explicitly in Eqs. (5-7) or below.) Thus, by assuming the interstitial mechanism for cation diffusion, and by assuming the grain boundary mobility to be proportional to cation diffusivity, we expect the grain boundary mobility to have the following form:

\[
M = M_0 \exp \left(-\frac{\Delta G^m_0 + \Delta G^m_2 + \Delta G^m_3}{kT}\right) \propto \left[V_{0}\right]^2
\]

where $\Delta H^m_0$ is the migration energy of Ce.$^0$. Strictly speaking, a quantitative evaluation of the above model requires knowledge of $\Delta G^m_0$, $\Delta G^m_2$, $\Delta H^m_0$, and $\Delta H^m_2$ in the grain boundary. This information is not available, however. Therefore, we will use the values of bulk (lattice) reactions in the following discussion. From Ref. 18, we obtain $\Delta H_{o2} \sim 9$ eV. Subtracting $\Delta H_{o2}/3$ from the activation energy of grain boundary mobility in undoped CeO$_2$, $\sim$ 6 eV, we find $\Delta G^m_0 - \Delta G^m_2 + \Delta H^m_3 \sim 3$ eV. This value for the combination of defect formation and migration energies is compared with its counterpart in UO$_2$-, $\sim$ 5 eV. The difference could be due to either different materials or different diffusion paths. The data of UO$_2$- are for lattice defect diffusion. Another prediction is a $P_{o2}^{1/3}$ dependence for grain boundary mobility. As we stated
before, the precise \( P_0 \) dependence was not established in this study because of inadequate time for establishing equilibrium \( P_0 \) in the grain growth experiments. The difference between the apparent dependence, \( P_0^{-0.43} \), and the one predicted could thus be attributed to the above cause.

(2) Intrinsic Regime (0.1% Dopants)

In the intrinsic regime, especially at lower temperatures, normalized grain boundary mobility is enhanced by Y and Gd, and suppressed by Sc, Yb, and La. These trivalent dopants are known to generate charge-compensating oxygen vacancies with which the association energy increases with the size misfit and becomes especially large for Sc. Following the proposal that oxygen vacancies enhance cation migration, we interpret the higher normalized mobility with Y and Gd doping as evidence of increased free oxygen vacancies provided by these dopants, assuming the grain boundary mobility in this case is still controlled by Ce interstitial. This effect is most pronounced at lower temperatures, presumably because of the lower concentration of oxygen vacancies in undoped CeO\(_2\) that is reflected in the ratio of \( M_{\text{doped CeO}}/M_{\text{undoped CeO}} \). On the other hand, the suppression effect of undersized dopants implies, in this interpretation, a decrease of free oxygen vacancies in the material. According to the literature, Sc dopants not only are associated with their own oxygen vacancies, but also can Sc-associate oxygen vacancies from other trivalent cations. This is because only one oxygen vacancy is created by every two trivalent dopants, so that Sc-V\(_\text{O}\) association is available to only half of the Sc cations if the latter are distributed randomly. The other half of Sc are then capable of association with additional oxygen vacancies generated from other sources. This scavenging effect is expected to deplete the free oxygen vacancies (due to Ce\(^{3+}\)) which otherwise could facilitate Ce diffusion. Thus, the suppression effect is also consistent with our proposal. (Yb is an undersized dopant which presumably behaves like Sc, although the scavenging effect has not been reported in the literature.) The case of La is probably an intermediate one with little net contribution to the free V\(_\text{O}\) concentration and, hence, Ce diffusion. La is known to have a somewhat stronger tendency to associate with V\(_\text{O}\) than Y and Gd, but this tendency is much weaker than that of Sc.

The above picture is now quantified by considering the trivalent dopant, D\(^{3+}\), as a potential trapping center for oxygen vacancies, with a binding energy \( \beta \). This serves to reduce the concentration of oxygen vacancies in the bulk [V\(_\text{O}\)\text{free}] by affecting [V\(_\text{O}\)] and [Ce\(_\text{c}\)] through Schottky and Frenkel defect reactions. The probability of having a trapped site occupied is given by the Fermi–Dirac statistics

\[
p = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{[V_{\text{O}}]}} e^{-\frac{\beta}{kT}}
\]

As \( \beta >> kT \) (strong binding), \( p \to 1 \), and as \( \beta << kT \) (weak binding), \( p \to [V_{\text{O}}] e^{\frac{\beta}{kT}} \), which reverts to the Boltzmann statistics. Furthermore, each dopant may be able to trap either one or two oxygen vacancies. (In the latter case, the coordination number of dopant cation is reduced to six, which might be reasonably expected for undersized dopants.) Therefore, in the following treatment, we allow a multiplicity factor, \( m \), which is the number of trapping sites per trapping center. In doped CeO\(_2\), the total number of oxygen vacancies is given by

\[
[V_{\text{O}}] = [V_{\text{O,free}}] + \frac{1}{2} [D^{3+}] = \frac{1}{2} ([Ce^{3+}] + [D^{3+}])
\]

where \([V_{\text{O,free}}]\) as given by Eq. 1, is attributed to \([Ce^{3+}]\). The concentration of free oxygen vacancies available for Frenkel and Schottky defect reactions is then

\[
[V_{\text{O,free}}] = [V_{\text{O}}] - mp[D^{3+}]
\]

In the limit of weak trapping, \( \beta << kT \), \( p \to [V_{\text{O}}] \to 0 \), as in the case of Y and Gd doping, we obtain \([V_{\text{O,free}}] = [V_{\text{O,thermol}}] + \frac{1}{2}[D^{3+}] \), which is larger than \([V_{\text{O,thermol}}] in the undoped CeO\(_2\). In the other limit of strong trapping, \( \beta >> kT \), \( p \to 1 \), as in the case of Sc doping, we obtain \([V_{\text{O,free}}] = [V_{\text{O,thermol}}] - mp[D^{3+}] \), which is always less than \([V_{\text{O,thermol}}] in the undoped CeO\(_2\).

The above results are illustrated in Fig. 13 in an Arrhenius plot for \([V_{\text{O,free}}] for several binding energies (\(D^{3+}\) = 0.1%). Here, \([V_{\text{O,thermol}}] is taken from Fig. 1, and \( m = 2 \) is assumed. (For \( m = 1 \), the trend is similar but less pronounced.) It is clear that, compared to undoped CeO\(_2\), the concentration of free oxygen vacancies is increased by trivalent dopants in the case of weak bonding (the limiting case being \( p = 0 \)), and vice versa in the case of strong bonding (the limiting case being \( p = 1 \)). It is also clear that the apparent activation energy for \([V_{\text{O,free}}] in lower in the case of weak binding and higher in the case of strong binding. Recall that the concentration of diffusing species, \([Ce]_c\), is proportional to \([V_{\text{O,free}}] in the results in Fig. 13 imply that the Ce diffusion is enhanced, but with a lower activation energy, by trivalent dopants of a small binding energy for oxygen vacancy as in the case of Y and Gd, and vice versa for trivalent dopants of a large binding energy (Sc and Yb). These predictions are in accord with our experimental data shown in Figs. 7 and 8.

The normalized mobility can be expressed by comparing \([Ce]_c\), i.e.,

\[
\frac{\mu}{[V_{\text{O,free}}]} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{mp[D^{3+}]}{[V_{\text{O,thermol}}]} \right)^{-1}
\]

using the data of \([V_{\text{O,thermol}}] from Fig. 1, and the binding energies obtained from (lattice) oxygen conductivity of 1.0%-doped CeO\(_2\) (6 eV: La = 0.14, Gd = 0.12, Y = 0.21, Sc = 0.67, not available for Yb). We have plotted the predicted normalized mobility as a function of temperature for several 0.1%-doped CeO\(_2\) in Fig. 14. The trend predicted is similar to that found in Fig. 7, but the enhancement effect for Y and Gd is not as pronounced (off by a factor of two) and the value of La doping is slightly overestimated. This would imply that the concentration of free oxygen vacancies in undoped CeO\(_2\) is less than that in Fig. 1, and that the binding energy between La and oxygen vacancies is higher than previously reported.

(3) Extrinsic Regime (1.0% Dopants)

In the extrinsic regime, we evidence suppressed grain boundary mobility with the exception of Sc doping. Direct evidence of solute segregation at the grain boundary indicates that solute drag could be operating. In such a case, a solute cloud develops around the grain boundary whose mobility is now controlled by...
The lattice diffusion of these solutes. Accepting the same proposal that oxygen vacancies enhance cation diffusion, we expect those dopants least associated with the oxygen vacancies, Y and Gd in particular, to have the lowest diffusivity themselves and, hence, to effect the lowest grain boundary mobility.

In essence, we envision a local equilibrium at the dopant between associated oxygen vacancies, cation vacancies, and cation interstitials, the latter being the rate-controlling species. This suppression effect on normalized mobility is most pronounced at higher temperatures. Because of the relatively strong temperature dependence of the intrinsic grain boundary mobility in undoped ceria that lowers the ratio of $M_{\text{doped CeO}_2}/M_{\text{undoped CeO}_2}$ at high temperatures. This strong temperature dependence seen in Fig. 4 partly reflects the temperature dependence of $[\text{O}]_\text{vac}$ in the undoped material, in contrast to the extrinsic regime in which $[\text{O}]_\text{vac}$ is largely fixed and independent of temperature.

The above consideration can also be quantified following the defect relations described previously. Except for Sc, weak binding is assumed to be obeyed to explore the temperature dependence of mobility. In such a case, $[\text{O}]_\text{vac} = \frac{1}{2}[D^{+}]$. The dopant lattice diffusion is further assumed to follow the same cation interstitial mechanism. In analogy to Eq. (8), we can then derive

$$D_{\text{solute}} = D_{\text{solute}}^0 \exp \left( -\frac{(\Delta G_f - \Delta G_s + \Delta H_{\text{diff}})}{kT} \right) \propto [D^{+}]^2$$

(13)

for the diffusivity of dopant, $D_{\text{solute}}$, in this case. From the activation energy for dopant interstitials. (No $P_{O_2}$ dependence is expected in this case.) According to the classical solute drag theory of elastic interaction between solute and grain boundary, $M \propto D_{\text{solute}}/[D^{+}]$. For charged solute, the space charge theory predicts a weaker concentration dependence but retains the diffusivity dependence, $M \propto D_{\text{solute}}/[D^{+}]^{1/2}$. Thus, in both cases, the grain boundary mobility is expected to follow the same temperature dependence as Eq. (13). According to Fig. 10, the activation energy for mobility in 1.0%-doped CeO₂ is around 4.5 eV for all the dopants except Sc. This compares with Eq. (8) and Fig. 6 for $M$ in undoped CeO₂, which has an activation energy $\Delta G_f - \Delta G_s + \Delta H_{\text{diff}} = 6$ eV. The small activation energy and $\Delta H_{\text{diff}} = 9$ eV then imply that $\Delta H_{\text{diff}} = 1.5$ eV, i.e., a higher migration energy is required for lattice diffusion than for grain boundary diffusion. The relative insensitivity of this value to the type of dopants could suggest a similar migration energy for all the dopants.

Sc doping presents a very different case. Among all the trivalent dopants, Sc is the only one that enhances grain boundary mobility at 1.0% concentration, implying the loss of solute drag in this case. Yet codoping by 0.5% Sc and 0.5% Y almost entirely restores the solute drag expected (i.e., one-half of that if 1% Y). As mentioned previously, Sc shows a strong oxygen vacancy scavenging effect trapping at least one oxygen vacancy at each Sc cation. Thus, with Sc doping only, there are not enough oxygen vacancies to be associated with Sc, whereas with Sc and Y codoping, every Sc is provided with one oxygen vacancy. Since the latter configuration apparently lowers grain boundary mobility, it suggests that grossly undersized trivalent dopants without $V_o$ association are highly unstable in the fluorite structure, are capable of high mobility, and may further induce high mobility of the host cations. Direct spectroscopic evidence, which indicates a severe lattice distortion around an undersized dopant in fluorite-structured oxides, has been recently observed by extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) in ZrO₂ and CeO₂. It has also been previously implicated by the anomalous low-temperature dielectric and anelastic relaxation peaks observed in Sc-doped CeO₂.

Accepting this body of evidence, we are not surprised to see a large enhancement effect of Sc on diffusion, especially at low temperatures, when a thermal activation for migration is otherwise sluggish.

Lastly, when 0.5% Y and 0.5% La are present together, it appears that there is some increase in La diffusivity, due to the availability of oxygen vacancies afforded by Y. This causes the mobility in the codoped material to approach that of 1% La in Fig. 11. The above interpretation can again be rationalized by the different tendency for vacancy association.

(4) Implications of Interstitial Mechanism

Diffusion studies of fluorite-structured oxides are most complete in the UO₂ system. Although the mechanism for cation diffusion in hyperstoichiometric UO₂+, via cation vacancy, has been generally accepted, the mechanism in hypostoichiometric UO₂-, is still controversial. (Since pure UO₂-, is more difficult to prepare, the following general picture is drawn on the basis of both pure UO₂-, and its solid solutions with PuO₂ and CaO for which the hypostoichiometric composition can be more readily stabilized.) Based on the observation that self-diffusivity of U increases with $x$ at $x < 0.02$ in UO₂-x, Matzke17 proposed an interstitial mechanism. The controversy arises because (i) there has never been any structural evidence of cation interstitials in UO₂ or CeO₂, and (ii) theoretical calculations have routinely predicted a very large difference between formation energy of cation Frenkel defect, and Schottky defect, $\Delta G_f - \Delta G_s \sim 10$ eV, which fails to account for the much smaller activation energy observed in UO₂-, (~5 eV).19 Nevertheless, U diffusion data in UO₂-, have been consistently supported by other experiments, such as self-diffusivity, creep, and evaporation20 in U₁₋₀.₅Pu₂O₂₋₀.₅ as well as grain growth in U₁₋₀.₅Ca₂O₂₋₀.₅.21 We further note that Ca has been shown to assume interstitial sites in cubic ZrO₂ at high temperatures (1800°C),24 and, at any rate, only a very small concentration of cation interstitials (below the detection limit of most structural study techniques) is required to dominate diffusion by virtue of their very low migration energy. Therefore, the experimental evidence is clearly in favor of the interstitial mechanism. This argument now receives additional support from our study of CeO₂.

Cation diffusion in fluorite-structured oxides is depicted schematically by Fig. 15. Here the oxygen ions are in simple cubic arrangement and cations are in one-half of the cubic-center sites. It can be shown from this figure that the interstitial site, denoted as I in Fig. 15, is always involved in cation diffusion. In the case of the vacancy mechanism, the jump of the cation can follow either [110] direction in one step or proceed along [100] + [010] directions in two steps. Although the first path is shorter in jump distance, it must go through the saddle point which is located at the edge center between two oxygen ions and is severely constricted. In contrast, the second path, while longer, passes through the much less constricted saddle point located at the face center between four oxygen ions. Thus,
the second path, via the intermediate state at the interstitial site, is probably favored. This mechanism is believed to operate in hyperstoichiometric compositions (UO$_2$). In the hypostoichiometric compositions (UO$_{2-x}$ and CeO$_{2-x}$), on the other hand, the population of V$_o$ is much lower. This allows the interstitial Ce$, located at the I site in Fig. 15, to become the dominant diffusing species itself. Note that in the above picture the actual atomic movement is essentially the same whether it is the “vacancy” mechanism or the interstitial mechanism.

The above considerations could be generally applicable for fluoride-structured oxides. Although the diffusivity data are scarce in this area, several studies in diffusion-related phenomena appear to be consistent with our picture of the cation interstitial mechanism. In cubic Bi$_2$O$_3$, with a defective fluoride structure, Fung et al. recently reported kinetics for an interface-controlled diffusive transformation which shows a suppression effect of Zr dopant and an enhancement effect of Ca and Sr dopants. This can be rationalized on the premise that cation interstitials are the dominant diffusing species in cubic Bi$_2$O$_3$. Observation of a higher grain boundary mobility, accompanied by a lower activation energy, at higher [V$_o$] has also been reported in zirconia ceramics. Although this case is complicated by a phase change (tetragonal phase at low oxygen vacancy concentrations and cubic phase at high oxygen vacancy concentrations), the essential trend remains the same.

Finally, we summarize all the data of grain boundary mobility reported thus far for zirconia and ceria by our laboratory. In Fig. 16, we plot the data of several zirconia from Ref. 3 and ceria from the present study. Here 8Y-CSZ is a cubic zirconia containing 8 mol% Y$_2$O$_3$, 12Ce-TZP is tetragonal Zr$_{0.88}$Ce$_{0.12}$O$_2$, and 2Y-TZP is tetragonal zirconia containing 2 mol% Y$_2$O$_3$ (i.e., 3.92% Y). It can be seen that at 1.0%-Y doping, the grain boundary mobility of CeO$_2$ and 12Ce-TZP is of the same order of magnitude, but 2Y-TZP with a higher dopant concentration has a substantially lower mobility. It is also clear that the effect of 1% Sc is truly anomalous, and that the activation energy of grain boundary mobility in undoped CeO$_2$ is unusually high.

V. Conclusions

(1) Grain boundary mobility of CeO$_2$ is proposed to be controlled by cation diffusivity through an interstitial mechanism. At low dopant concentrations (intrinsic regime), grain boundary diffusion of the host cation is dominant, whereas at high dopant concentrations (extrinsic regime), dopant diffusivity in the lattice is dominant.

(2) The effect of trivalent dopants is closely related to their ability to provide charge-compensating oxygen vacancies and their interaction with oxygen vacancies. Through Frenkel and Schottky reactions, these vacancies determine the concentration of cation interstitials, which in turn determine cation diffusivity and, hence, grain boundary mobility.

(3) In the intrinsic regime, dopants (Y and Gd) that provide free oxygen vacancies to the host cations enhance grain boundary mobility, while dopants that scavenge oxygen vacancies (Sc and Yb) depress grain boundary mobility. A simple model that relates grain boundary mobility to concentration of free oxygen vacancies is proposed to rationalize these observations.

(4) In the extrinsic regime, the dopant (Y) that is least associated with oxygen vacancies suppresses grain boundary mobility the most. Bare Sc unassociated with any oxygen vacancy enhances grain boundary mobility anomalously.

(5) Cation migration via an interstitial mechanism is suggested to be a general mechanism that dominates diffusive processes in oxygen-deficient fluoride-structured oxides such as (hypostoichiometric) CeO$_{2-x}$, ZrO$_{2-x}$, UO$_{2-x}$, and cubic Bi$_2$O$_3$.
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