Through close control over green-state powder processing, pure alumina ceramics of 0.5-μm grain size were obtained by sintering at 1250°C. The static grain growth of this material was modest at temperatures below 1300°C. However, dynamic grain growth occurred rapidly during superplastic deformation. Therefore, although the ultrafine-grained alumina exhibited rather low initial flow stress at relatively low deformation temperatures, dynamic grain-growth-induced strain hardening gave rise to high flow stress causing cavitation and cracking. As a result, superplastic deformation could not be achieved for the ultrafine-grained pure alumina. [Key words: alumina, deformation, grain growth, processing, microstructure.]

I. Introduction

There have been extensive studies in the literature on the high-temperature deformation of Al₂O₃ ceramics.¹⁻¹⁵ Their deformation can generally be expressed by the following equation:

\[ \dot{\varepsilon} = A \sigma^n d^{-p} \exp(-Q/RT) \]  

where \( \dot{\varepsilon} \) is the strain rate, \( A \) is a constant, \( \sigma \) is the flow stress, \( n \) is the stress exponent, \( d \) is the grain size, \( p \) is the inverse grain size exponent, \( Q \) is the activation energy, and \( R \) and \( T \) have their usual meanings. For fine-grained alumina, Cannon et al.'s work³ has shown that \( n = 2 \), \( p = 2 \), and \( Q = 470 \text{ kJ/mol} \) can represent deformation data at low stress range reasonably well. Of these studies, non-steady-state deformation has been reported in some instances,⁶⁻¹² due to grain growth or cavitation.

The smallest grain size of alumina employed in previous deformation studies was about 1 μm. According to these studies, one may predict that at an even smaller grain size, say, 0.25 μm, superplasticity with a strain rate of 10⁻⁴/s may be achieved at 60 MPa and 1250°C, provided that the microstructure remains stable during deformation. This view is encouraged by recent sintering studies¹⁶,¹⁷ which reported such a fine-grained alumina and which also showed a considerably reduced grain growth rate at ~1300°C and below. For example, at 1340°C, an alumina sample with a starting particle size of 0.4 μm reaches 98% of the theoretical density in 6 h, and it grows to have a grain size of only 1.5 μm during the next 42 h. When the starting particle size is reduced to 0.1 μm, high-purity alumina with a grain size of 0.25 μm can be sintered at 1150°C.¹⁷ It remains to be seen whether dynamic grain growth induced by deformation will occur at these low temperatures. We have therefore investigated a low-temperature-sintered high-purity alumina to examine its deformation behavior and microstructural evolution.

II. Experimental Procedure

The starting material was a high-purity (>99.99%) alumina powder¹ with an average particle size of ~0.2 μm. The powder was dispersed in distilled water by attrition-milling for 30 min in an alumina jar using alumina milling balls with a surfactant (Darvan 821A).¹ This was followed by ultrasonic agitation.

To determine the best rheological condition for slip casting, the viscosity of the slurry was measured with a cone/plate viscometer.⁵ The surfactant content which gave the lowest viscosity, and hence the best casting condition, was sought.¹⁸ To be representative of the slip casting state, particularly the one at the interface between the suspension and the sedimented cake, a high (38 vol%) solid loading was used for the slurry. Figure 1 shows the relation between viscosity and Darvan concentration at various shear rates (γ) for this slurry. A steep minimum is seen at every shear rate, at around 0.014 cm³ of Darvan/g of alumina. This content of surfactant was used in our subsequent work. The slurry was then cast, under pressure up to 1 MPa, into cakes of 47-mm diameter, which were dried first in air and then in an oven at 130°C. These cakes were sintered in air at temperatures ranging from 1250° to 1300°C for a time up to 4 h.
The density of the sintered material was determined by water immersion. Specimens with densities greater than 98% of the theoretical were used in the deformation study. Microstructures of the sintered and/or deformed specimens were characterized by SEM. The grain size was obtained by multiplying the average linear intercept length of at least 500 grains by 1.56. For deformed specimens, measurements were taken at directions both parallel and perpendicular to the axis of the applied stress, and the average was used. Static grain growth data were obtained from dummy specimens placed next to a deforming sample, and also from separately annealed specimens.

Specimens for the deformation tests were cut into square bars with an aspect ratio of 2.2. Deformation was conducted in uniaxial compression in air, between 1250°C and 1400°C, with strain rates from \(4 \times 10^{-6}\) to \(1.5 \times 10^{-3}\)/s, in a platinum furnace. Other experimental details were similar to the one reported elsewhere.¹⁹

### III. Results and Discussion

#### I. Sintered Microstructure

A polished cross section of a sintered specimen (1250°C for 4 h) is shown in Fig. 2(A). The average grain size of this specimen is 0.5 μm. Note that the grains revealed seem to fall

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Strain rate ((\times 10^{-3}/s))</th>
<th>(\varepsilon = 2%)</th>
<th>(\varepsilon = 25%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1250</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>105.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>109.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1350</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>102.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1400</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1400</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table I. Flow Stress of High-Purity Alumina at Two Strains for Various Deformation Conditions

Fig. 3. True stress as a function of true strain at two different temperatures.

Fig. 4. Comparison of grain growth during static annealing and during deformation at various temperatures.
into two size groups, similar to the ones in the micrograph of a recent sintering study cited earlier. Although the latter work demonstrated a grain size as small as 0.25 μm, it also required the use of a specially classified ultrafine powder (0.1 μm) obtained by suspension processing. (This procedure is deemed to have too low a yield for deformation studies.) The larger grains are of 1-μm size, which are still finer than those in most of the materials employed in previous studies.

(2) Deformation and Grain Growth

Typical true stress versus true strain curves at two different temperatures are shown in Fig. 3. Extensive strain hardening was evident under these and other testing conditions. Table I lists, as examples, flow stress values at strains of 2% and 25% for some temperatures and strain rates. In all cases, flow stress has increased by at least a factor of 2 between these two strains. The corresponding microstructure of the specimen deformed at 1250°C of Fig. 3 is given in Fig. 2(B), showing considerable grain growth and some cavities compared to Fig. 2(A). Thus, the strain hardening can be attributed to grain growth. At higher strains and flow stress above 100 MPa or so, cavitation was severe and often caused a load drop.

We found much of the grain growth observed was induced by deformation. This is verified by comparing grain growth data during static annealing and during deformation, as shown in Fig. 4. The grain size increment is normalized as \((d - d_0)/d_0\), where \(d_0\) is the initial grain size, and plotted against the deformation time or annealing time. These data show that although static grain growth of this material at temperatures below 1300°C is very slow, the grain growth during deformation, i.e., dynamic grain growth, is much faster even at temperatures as low as 1250°C. Similar observations have been made previously at higher temperatures, e.g., for MgO-doped alumina at 1420°C and 1450°C and for MgO-Cr2O3 or MgO-Y2O3-codoped alumina at 1450° to 1550°C. Dynamic grain growth in these doped materials was less pronounced than that observed in our study, presumably because of solute effects and larger grain sizes.)

(3) Comparison with Previous Studies

The data of strain rate and flow stress are plotted on a logarithmic scale in Fig. 5(A) at different deformation temperatures. To reduce the influence of grain growth, flow stress at 2% strain was used here. The stress exponent \(n\) found from the slopes is about 1.7, which is in reasonably good agreement with the \(n\) values of 1.5 to 2 reported for fine-grained alumina.

From the temperature dependence of strain rate, the activation energy is calculated to be 460 kJ/mol, which lies in the low range of 410 to 625 kJ/mol found for alumina.

To better compare our data of very fine-grained alumina with those of other studies, we have plotted these data in Fig. 5(B) against a normalized strain rate \(\dot{\varepsilon} = 0.5 \mu m\) and \(T^* = 1300°C\), using \(p = 2\) and \(Q = 500 kJ/mol\) in Eq. (1). Overall, the data are in good agreement with each other. Thus, the ultrafine-grained high-purity alumina apparently deforms by the same mechanism despite its unstable microstructure.

IV. Conclusions

Deformation of high-purity alumina with a grain size of 0.5 μm or smaller is dominated by dynamic grain growth, which is pronounced at temperatures as low as 1250°C. As a result, flow stress increases rapidly with strain. Therefore, in developing superplastic alumina, the suppression of dynamic grain growth is at least as crucial as the attainment of an ultrafine initial microstructure. Either solute drag or second-phase pinning may be utilized to accomplish this goal, as will be demonstrated in a future report.
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