**Technical Report Documentation Page** 

1. Report No.	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog N	0.
UM-HSRI-77-53			
4. Title and Subtitle		5. Report Date	077
Feasibility of Investiga	ting the Mechanisms of	December 1, 1	977
Aortic Trauma Using High	-Speed Cineradiography	6. Performing Organization	on Code
		8. Performing Organization	en Report No.
R. H. Culver, M. Bender,	R. L. Stalnaker, J.Melvir	UM-HSRI-77-5	3
9. Performing Organization Name and Addre		10. Work Unit No. (TRAI:	5)
Highway Safety Research	Institute	320098	
I ne university of Michig	an	DI 1/7/61	•
Ann Arbor, Michigan 4810	9	KL-14/401	
17 Summerica Access Name and Address		Tinol	foried Covered
		Final Mai 15 1077	Aug 21 1077
GM Research Laboratories		May 15, 19//	-Aug. 31,1977
12 Mile and Mound Roads		14. Spansoring Agency C	ode
Warren, Michigan 48090			
16. Abstract A pilot study was cineradiographic system of anesthetized New Zeal erometer-instrumented, 1 and force data were obta speed cineradiographs at thoracic skeletal deform ments. No radiopaque co tigation. Post-impact a rhaging, and aortic tran method has potential for	performed to evaluate use for study of aortic trauma and white rabbits were imp .5 kg mass from a height of ined from the instrumented approximately 1000 frames ation and heart, diaphrage ntrast medium was used in utopsy revealed rib frace section. On the basis of the study of internal or	of the HSRI hi a mechanisms. bacted by a drop of 2.44 meters. d impacting mas s per second sh n, and liver di this prelimina ture, localized film obtained, gan injury mech	gh-speed The thoraxes pped accel- Velocity s. High- owed splace- ry inves- hemor- this anisms.
17. Key Words	18. Distribution State	nent	
Aortic trauma mechanisms High-speed Cineradiograp New Zealand White Rabbit	hy Unlimited		
19. Socurity Classif, (of this report)	20. Security Classif. (of this page)	21- No. of Pages	22. Price
Unclassified	Unclassified	42	

Reproduction of completed page authorized

# Feasibility of Investigating the

Mechanisms of Aortic Trauma Using

### High-Speed Cineradiography

A Pilot Study

Prepared for:

GM Research Laboratories 12 Mile and Mound Roads Warren, Michigan 48090

Prepared by:

Roger H. Culver Max Bender Richard L. Stalnaker, Ph.D. John W. Melvin, Ph.D.

Highway Safety Research Institute The University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109

and

David C. Viano, Ph.D. General Motors Research Laboratories

Final Report for Period

May 15, 1977 through August 31, 1977

December 1, 1977

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
Pur	pose	1
Cor	aclusions	1
1.	Introduction	3
2.	Methodology	4
	2.1 Test Objectives	4
	2.2 Equipment	4
	2.3 Animal Protocol	10
	2.4 Test Procedures	10
3.	Test Results	12
	3.1 Data Obtained	12
	3.2 Data Analysis	12
	3.3 Data	15
4.	Summary	19
	4.1 What was done	19
	4.2 Findings	19
	4.3 Recommendations	20
5.	References	21
App	pendices	

-

Appendix A	Test Data	A-1
Appendix B	Animal Protocol Details	B-1
Appendix C	Slide Catalog	C-1

# LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 1 - Experimental Test Set-up	5
Figure 2 - Impactor Details	6
Figure 3 - Schematic Diagram of the High-Speed Cineradio-	
graphic System	9
Figure 4 - Recreated Motion Picture Sequence	18

LIST OF TABL	.E2
--------------	-----

	Page
Table 1 - Impact Instrumentation Results	16
Table 2 - Autopsy Findings	17

### PURPOSE

Automobile accidents often produce thoracic impacts severe enough to cause life-threatening trauma to the large vessels of the vascular system, such as the aorta. A reduction of these traumas might be achieved if the injury mechanisms involved could be verified. The numerous injury mechanism hypotheses that have been proposed lack substantive evidence from impact observation. However, an improved highspeed cineradiographic system recently developed at HSRI (1)\* is designed to record biomechanical impacts at 1000 frames per second and offers an important approach to injury mechanism investigation.

The technical questions addressed by this pilot study include: 1. Is it feasible to modify the HSRI high-speed cineradiographic system for looking at small mammalian thoraxes and to obtain highspeed movies of impacts to New Zealand white rabbit thoraxes which produce severe aortic trauma?

 Based on the impact movies and autopsy results, is it feasible to study the mechanisms of aortic trauma using the HSRI system?
 What recommendations is it possible to make regarding future investigations of this nature?

The purpose of this report is to describe the methodology used to evaluate the HSRI high-speed cineradiographic system, to present test results obtained, to discuss the results, to summarize what is learned, and to make recommendations concerning the system in any future studies.

### CONCLUSIONS

- The HSRI high-speed cineradiographic system can be, and is now, modified for use with small mammalian thoraxes.
- High-speed cineradiographic movies (1000 fps) have been obtained of impacts to anesthetized New Zealand white rabbit thoraxes where the aortic tear injury level was approached.

\*Numbers in parentheses indicate references.

- The aortic injury level was found to be a force in the range of 300 to 400 Newtons for New Zealand white rabbits.
- 4). The high-speed x-ray movies of the impacts show the skeletal system, diaphragm, and liver well. The heart-lung complex is detectable as a shadowy area if no contrast medium is used.
- 5). Based on this pilot study, the HSRI high-speed cineradiographic system is a feasible method of investigating intra-thoracic injury mechanisms if further developmental work is pursued.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Impact trauma to the vascular system within the human thorax is often life-threatening and, therefore, is the subject of considerable study and hypothesizing relative to possible injury mechanisms. Lacking, however, are impact trauma observations adequate for validation of a composite injury mechanism theory. The recently developed HSRI highspeed cineradiographic system is designed to aid investigations of biomechanical impacts and required evaluation for use in observing impact trauma to animal models with sufficient detail to provide aortic injury mechanism evidence. This evaluation constitutes a pilot study for aortic injury mechanism investigation using the system.

In this pilot study, high-speed cineradiographic movies were taken of several anesthetized rabbit thoraxes being impacted with forces that produce severe intrathoracic trauma such as aortic tears, crushed livers, and hemothoraxes. The rabbits were autopsied and the observed injuries noted in relation to what can be seen in the movies. The x-ray system parameters of voltage, current, time, filtration, target placement and pulsing were examined along with the necessity and possibilities of radiopaque targeting (2).

The following discussion of the methodology lists the objectives of the test procedure, describes the drop tower test fixture and x-ray system, gives the animal protocol used, and details the test procedure. The results discussion explains what data were taken, how they were analyzed, and presents a table of instrumentation data, a table of autopsy findings, and a recreated segment of the high-speed x-ray movie. The discussion also summarizes findings about the feasibility of aortic injury mechanism investigation using the HSRI system and recommendations regarding further similar use of the system.

### 2. Methodology

2.1 <u>Test Objectives</u> - The objectives of the test procedure were as follows:

2.1.1 Impact the thorax of an anesthetized New Zealand white rabbit midsagittally between the manubrium and xiphoid with a range of forces sufficient to cause severe intrathoracic trauma such as aortic ruptures and crushed livers.

2.1.2 Instrument the impacting mass to obtain the applied force, acceleration, velocity, and duration of impact.

2.1.3 Obtain high-speed cineradiographic movies (approximately 1000 fps) of the impact with the HSRI system.

2.1.4 Measure the chest deflection with x-ray targeting or otherwise.

2.1.5 Autopsy the traumatized rabbit at the culmination of each test and record observed injuries.

2.1.6 Review the instrumentation results, x-ray movies and autopsy findings. Reiterate with feedback.

### 2.2 Equipment

2.2.1 <u>Drop Tower Impact Fixture</u> - As no test fixture existed at HSRI for controlled impact of small mammal thoraxes with an appropriate resulting injury level, the design, fabrication, assembly and testing of a drop tower impact fixture was necessary. The drop tower consists of basically four substructures: upright structural square tube stock, cylindrical rail, impactor, and rabbit supporting station as shown in Figure 1. The upright structural square tube stock is 38.1 mm square and has a continuous length of 4.57m from a perpendicular base to brackets bolted to the ceiling beams. At midlength, two horizontal stabilizing struts were bolted between the upright and the wall. The cylindrical rail consists of cylindrical steel stock bolted to aluminum "T" stock which is, in turn, bolted to the upright. The cylindrical stock is 38.1 mm in diameter. The impactor is a 127 mm-long, 76 mm-diameter thin-walled aluminum tube





Figure 2. Impactor Details

which has had its side longitudinally cut, flared out and welded to a 76 mm 0.D., 38.1 mm I.D. bearing race as shown in Figure 2. The entire impactor has a mass of 1.15 kg and an acrylic plastic face of 87.1 mm diameter. The rabbit supporting station is a 0.46 m square of angle iron (fitted with 12.7 mm plywood) welded to a slide fit piece of square tube stock bolted to the upright. The station is further supported by two angle iron struts welded at 45° between the station and another piece of slide fit tube stock (See Appendix A).

The accelerometer instrumented impactor is raised to the desired height and released by a solenoid. A small bracket with two magnetic pick-up probes located on it one inch apart is attached to the rail so that the impactor causes the magnetic probes to produce spikes on the tape record. Velocity is later determined using the tape record time history. A metal stop is attached to the bottom end of the rail and is padded as desired to control the deceleration pulse.

With the test initiation, the solenoid releases the impactor which slides down the rail and impacts the restrained rabbit. The first magnetic velocity probe also triggers the high-speed cineradiographic system. The rabbit height and impactor stop padding control the chest deflection.

See test set-up illustrations in Appendix A.

### 2.2.2 The HSRI High-Speed Cineradiographic System

This system consists of a Photosonics 1B high-speed, 16-mm motion-picture camera which views a 2-inch diameter output phosphor of a high-gain, four-stage, magnetically focussed image intensifier tube, gated on and off synchronously with shutter pulses from the motion-picture camera. A lens optically couples the input photocathode of the image intensifier tube to x-ray images produced on a fluorescent screen by a smoothed direct-current x-ray generator. Smoothing of the full-wave rectified x-ray output is accomplished by placing a pair of high-voltage capacitors in parallel with the x-ray tube. The degree of ripple, or unsmoothness, of the x-ray output is directly proportional to x-ray tube current and inversely proportional to anode potential. At best, ripple in this system is approximately 8% of peak output. In applications which require rather low kilovoltage, particularly when no contrast medium is used, such as in this experiment, ripple can become as large as 30%. Ripple frequency occurs at the same frequency as full-wave rectification, 120 Hz, so over a period of 8 milliseconds, or one cycle, density variation on resulting eight frames of motion picture film can be as large as 50%. However, even with this density variation on the film, it is still possible to discern changes in contrast boundaries caused by the impact event, and this was done in this experiment.

An important feature of this system is its capability of variation of screen size in the x-ray field because the screen is imaged by a lens onto the image tube. This is similar to a zoom-type optical system, although a suitable single zoom lens is not yet available for this system. In this experiment a close-up lens was attached to the image intensifier objective lens to give a 4-inch diameter field in which to radiograph a small mammalian heart, aortic arch, and other close-by anatomical structures of interest.



•

,

.

•



9

•

### 2.3 Animal Protocol

2.3.1 <u>Animal Type</u>: New Zealand white rabbits, weighing 2 to 3.6 kg, were used in this study.

2.3.2 <u>Housing</u>: All rabbits were stored at the AAALAC accredited HSRI animal facility.

2.3.3 <u>Care</u>: All rabbits were handled and cared for in a manner commensurate with the guidelines set by the U of M Animal Use Committee and the AAALAC.

2.3.4 <u>Anesthesia</u>: Sodium pentobarbitol (30 mg/kg) was administered by trained personnel either by I.V. or I.P. methods. The appropriate depth of anesthesia was maintained throughout the testing period. 2.3.5 <u>Surgery</u>: Surgery was limited to one attempt at inserting a radiopaque supply catheter into the right axillary artery.

2.3.6 <u>Euthanasia</u>: After each test, the animal was euthanized with an overdose of sodium pentobarbitol.

### 2.4 Test Procedure

2.4.1 <u>Day before</u>: Focus x-ray system, weigh rabbit, start fasting rabbit, and confirm surgery procedure.

2.4.2 <u>Obtain rabbit</u>: Remove a previously fasted rabbit (12 to 18 hours) from its cage to the surgical preparation area.

2.4.3 <u>Anesthetize rabbit</u>: Administer an injection of sodium pentobarbitol, 30 mg/kg, using a catheter in the ear vein or I.P. (whichever is necessary).

2.4.4 <u>Check out impact system</u>: While the rabbit is achieving an appropriate depth of anesthesia, verify that the recording equipment and x-ray system are stable, the high-speed movie camera is loaded and functioning properly, the impactor release mechanism, velocity probes and accelerometer are functioning properly.
2.4.5 <u>Prepare rabbit</u>: Attach any x-ray targeting and in one case investigate surgical procedures for inserting a catheter into the right axillary artery as a means of contrast medium introduction.
2.4.6 <u>Position rabbit</u>: Transport rabbit from surgical facilities to the test fixture and restrain rabbit in proper test position.

2.4.7 <u>Final check</u>: Verify that all equipment and personnel are ready. Final zero.

2.4.8 Impact the rabbit: Initiate test and note any problems.

2.4.9 <u>Examine rabbit</u>: Check the rabbit's respiration, heart beat, post-test position, appearance, and palpate for gross injuries.
2.4.10 <u>Euthanize rabbit</u>: Administer an overdose of sodium pentobarbitol intravenously or by direct injection into the heart muscle. Verify termination.

2.4.11 <u>Autopsy rabbit</u>: Carefully autopsy each impacted rabbit and record injuries. Give special attention to the aorta, thoracic wall, pulmonary arteries, and liver.

2.4.12 <u>Film</u>: Examine the processed high-speed movies for evaluation of method and system.

## 3. TEST RESULTS

3.1 <u>Data Obtained</u>. Basic data were obtained for each test as presented in Table 2 and Appendix A.

3.1.1 Acceleration of the impactor was taken by a Setra 111 uniaxial accelerometer attached to the rear surface of the impactor face plate.

3.1.2 Velocity at a known distance from the stop plate was taken with two magnetic pick-up probes one inch apart.

3.1.3 A high-speed cineradiographic movie (~1000 fps) was taken with the HSRI system and a Photosonics 1-B high-speed movie camera.

3.1.4 Rabbit position relative to the impactor stop was recorded.

3.1.5 Autopsy findings were recorded for each rabbit and are presented in Table 2. A set of 35mm color slides accompanies this report. These slides show injuries observed in the first and second impacted rabbits.

3.2 <u>Data Analysis</u> This section presents how each type of data was analyzed.

3.2.1 <u>Instrumentation Results</u> A list of the calculated results and how they were calculated follows:

3.2.1.1 Velocity calculated for impact:

 $V_p = D_p / \Delta T_p$   $V_p = average velocity at$ probes  $D_p = distance between$ probes (2.54 cm)  $\Delta T_p = time between velocity$ probe spikes on tape

$$V_i = V_p + gT_v$$

$$V_e^2 = V_i^2 + 2AD_i$$

- g = acceleration of gravity
- $T_v$  = time between last velocity probe strike and beginning of deceleration

- A = assumed average deceleration of impact
- D<sub>i</sub> = distance between first rabbit thorax contact and stop contact (Appendix A)

### 3.2.1.2 Impact duration BCS\*:

$$T_{i} = (V_{i} - V_{e})/A$$

T<sub>i</sub> = duration of impact BCS
\*BCS = before contacting stop

If the assumed value of A produced improbable values of  $V_{\rm e}$ , the value was iterated upon.

3.2.1.3 <u>Average acceleration BCS</u>: The acceleration trace was examined over the  $T_i$  impact duration and an average value determined. 3.2.1.4 <u>Peak acceleration BCS</u>: The acceleration trace is examined for the peak value during the  $T_i$  impact period. 3.2.1.5 <u>Average force BCS</u>: The average force is the determined average acceleration BCS multiplied by the impactor mass. 3.2.1.6 <u>Peak force BCS</u>: The peak force is the determined peak acceleration BCS multiplied by the impactor mass. 3.2.1.7 <u>Impact duration total</u>: The acceleration trace is examined for beginning of rebound. 3.2.1.8 <u>Thorax deflection BCS</u>: The thorax deflection BCS is determined before the test by the position of the rabbit relative to the impactor stop.

3.2.1.9 <u>Thorax deflection total</u>: The total thorax deflection is the sum of the deflection BCS and the deflection allowed by compression of the padding on the impactor stop. The compression of the padding is determined by post-test calculations using load deflection curves for the padding materials (3).

3.2.1.10 <u>Percent thorax deflection</u>: Percent thorax deflection is defined as the thorax deflection total divided by the pre-test measured thorax depth and multiplied by 100%.

3.2.2 <u>Autopsy Results</u>: Careful autopsy of each impacted rabbit thorax and abdomen was performed immediately after euthanasia. Particular attention was given to the aorta. After examining the total injury summary for each rabbit, the result was classified with a system similar to the American Association for Automotive Medicine's Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS) (4). Representative important slides were taken.

3.2.3 <u>High-speed Cineradiographs</u>: Seeing details of the impact in a high-speed cineradiograph is often difficult for the trained eye as well as the untrained eye. For this reason, a method of recreating the movie using ink drawings was performed in this pilot study. Selected frames were projected on white paper; tracings were made of what could be seen of the rabbit thorax as it was deformed, and then finalized in a sequence of ink drawings. Rabbit anatomy texts (5), (6) were used as guides. This is an inherently subjective procedure; therefore, effort was made to prevent overzealous additions. The actual movie accompanies this report.

Certain features of the recreated movie sequence represent important features of the actual movie. First, lines on the sequence represent major density variations such as a rib or liver or heartlung complex. Second, the absence of the ribs and spine in later rebound frames indicates that so much contrast was lost that the piece

could no longer be detected. This loss of contrast is the result of the increased x-ray absorbtion path length during impact compression. Finally, as indicated in the first frame, the organ motions and deformations of the liver-diaphragm, sternum, ribs, heart-lung complex, and thalmus region appear as changes in the shape and location of the outlines.

3.3 Data The instrumentation, autopsy, and movie data follow.

# 3.3.1 Table 1 - Impact Instrumentation Results

Test Number	77G001	77G002	77G003	77G004
Velocity Calculated For Impact( <sup>m</sup> )	$V_i = 6.8\pm 2\%$ $V_i = Bottomed$	$V_i = 6.7\pm 2\%$ $V_i = 5.4\pm 2\%$	$V_i = 6.84 \pm 2\%$ $V_i = 6.07 \pm 2\%$	$V_i = 6.62 \pm 2\%$ $V_i = 5.60 \pm 2\%$
s'	'e Out	e 5.1-2%	e 0.07=278	e 5.00±2/8
Average Force BCS* (N)	563±5%	377±5%	217±5%	253±5%
Peak Force BCS* (N)	1180±5%	563±5%	231±5%	310±5%
Average Acceleration BCS* (m/s <sup>2</sup> )	490±5%	327±5%	189±5%	221±5%
Peak Acceleration BCS* (m/s <sup>2</sup> )	1030±5%	490±5%	201±5%	270±5%
Impact Duration BCS* (ms)	17±5%	4.7±5%	3.9±5%	4.2±5%
Impact Duration Total (ms)	17±5%	11±5%	13±5%	16±5%
Thorax Deflection BCS* (cm)	6.78±2%	2.54±2%	2.54±2%	2.54±2%
Thorax Deflection Total (cm)	7.33±4%	3.0±4%	4.37±4%	4.37±4%
% Thorax Deflection	90%±5%	41%±5%	57%±5%	54%±4% <sup>†</sup>

# \*BCS ≡ Before Contacting Stop

- $V_i \equiv Velocity at contact of rabbit thorax$
- $V_e$  = Velocity just prior to contacting stop
- NA = Not Applicable
- + = Film analysis determined

# 3.3.2 Table 2 - Autopsy Findings

.

Test No.	Area	Damage
77G001	Ribs Lungs Pericardium Aorta Liver Other Estimated AIS	Right 1 to 6 fractured near sternum; Left 4 to 8 fractured near spine; Hemorrhage at fractures. Hemothorax (20-25 cc); Right & Lung hematomas. Ruptured and torn near fractured ribs at spine. Punctured mildly by fractured ribs at spine. Tear on dorsal interior of aorta between left subclavian and carotid arteries. Hematoma, crush of ventral surface of left lobule and near gall bladder. Right ventricle tearing with hemorrhaging. 6
77G002	Ribs Lungs Pericardium Aorta Estimated AIS	Right 3 to 7 and Left 3 to 8 fractured at inter- costal junction. Large contusion on ventral surfaces of all lobes. Large hemorrhage of ruptured Right pulmonary artery. Hemorrhaged around dorsal areas. Circumferencial tear of posterior wall of aorta across from left subclavian; left subclavian torn circumferencially and longitudinally near junction to aorta. 6
77G003	Ribs Lungs Estimated AIS	Right 2 to 6, Left 2 to 6 fractured with hemor- rhaging on Right 2, 5 & 6, Left 3 to 6. Spotty hematoma on ventral surface of all lobes. 3 or 4
77G004	Ribs Lungs Other Estimated AIS	Right 2 to 8, Left 2 to 5 fractured near sternum. Right 2 to 6, Left 2 to 6 fractured near spine, hemorrhaging at each fracture. Spotty hematoma on ventral lobe surfaces. Hypothalmus vessels - small spotty hemorrhaging 4



# 3.3.3 Figure 4 - Recreated Motion Picture Sequence

#### 4. SUMMARY

### 4.1 What Was Done

4.1.1 One rabbit served to check out the rabbit handling and positioning, and was terminated.

4.1.2 One rabbit served to establish the proper x-ray system parameters and was terminated.

4.1.3 Rabbit test 77G001 was a very severe impact. No x-ray movies were obtained because of triggering problems.

4.1.4 Rabbit test 77G002 was a severe impact. X-ray movies were obtained, but extreme ripple problems and a negative processing provided unacceptable film. Rabbit expired 15 minutes prior to test.

4.1.5 Rabbit test 77G003 was an impact which did not produce aortic trauma. X-ray system functioned properly, but the highspeed movie camera did not function.

4.1.6 Rabbit test 77G004 was an impact which did not produce aortic trauma. Good x-ray movies were obtained of the impact.

### 4.2 Findings

4.2.1 The HSRI high-speed cineradiographic system is capable of obtaining 1000 fps movies of impacts to small mammalian thoraxes.

4.2.2 The obtainable movies, without contrast medium, show the skeletal system, diaphragm and liver quite well. The image of the heart-lung complex is an area whose boundaries have suf-ficient contrast to permit detection.

4.2.3 Organ movement shows up well but techniques of contrast medium injection and x-ray targeting need to be developed and refined.

4.2.4 The rabbit's small size makes surgery and organ observation difficult.

4.2.5 A force level of 300 to 400 N is capable of producing aortic tears, pulmonary artery ruptures and crushed livers in

rabbits impacted as described in this paper.

4.2.6 The x-ray absorption path length increases with impact compression. A method of compensating for this "blacking-out" of the image needs development.

4.2.7 It seems feasible to use the HSRI x-ray system to study aortic trauma mechanisms.

# 4.3 Recommendations

4.3.1 Contrast medium introduction and targeting techniques need to be developed if the motion of the heart is to be observed.

4.3.2 Allow for the compression of the tissue by utilizing maximum kilovoltages and appropriate filtration.

4.3.3 An increase in the subject size would be helpful.

### 5.0 REFERENCES

(1) M. Bender et al., "A High-speed Cineradiographic Technique for Biomechanical Impact," SAE 760800, Proceedings of the Twentieth Stapp Car Crash Conference, Society of Automotive Engineers, Inc., Warrendale, Pa., 1976.

(2) S. Shatsky et al., "Traumatic Distortions of the Primate Head and Chest: Correlation of Biomechanical, Radiological and Pathological Data," SAE 730978, Proceedings of the Eighteenth Stapp Car Crash Conference, Society of Automotive Engineers, Inc., Warrendale, Pa., 1973.

 (3) J. Melvin et al., "Compression of Cellular Plastics at High Strain Rates," <u>Journal of Cellular Plastics</u>, Vol.7, No.2, Mar./Apr., 1971.

(4) Joint Committee on Injury Scaling; <u>The Abbreviated Injury Scale</u> (AIS), 1976 Revised Ed., American Association for Automotive Medicine, Morton Grove, Illinois, 1976.

(5) C. A. McLaughlin, <u>Laboratory Anatomy of the Rabbit</u>, WilliamC. Brown Company Publishers, Dubuque, Iowa, 1970.

(6) E. H. Craigie, <u>Practical Anatomy of the Rabbit</u>, The University of Toronto Press, Toronto, 1948.

~ -

Appendix A

Test Data

Test No. \_\_\_\_\_\_77G001



Test Description: Achieved a lcm left of midsagittal impact at approximately the seventh intercostal junction where the impactor bottomed out on the spine. Drop height was 2.44 meters, and the metal stop had a 0.5 cm ensolite pad on it.

Test No. 776001 Instrumentation Traces



 $\overline{}$ 

Test No. 77G002



Test Description: Achieved midsagittal impact at approximately fourth intercostal junction. Drop height was approximately 2.44 meters, and the metal stop had a 0.5 cm ensolite pad on it. Rabbit expired 15 minutes before the impact.

A-4

6.25ms 0 div. + Velocity Probe 2 (2.54 cm from Probe 1) Velocity Probe 1 Acceleration 98 m/sec<sup>2</sup>/div

Test No. 77G002 Instrumentation Traces



Test Description:	Achieved midsagittal impact at approximately
sixth intercost	tal junction. Drop height was approximately 2.44
meters, and the	e metal stop had a 0.5 cm ensolite pad and a styro-
foam piece cove	ering it. Lead targets marked the sternum and
spine.	

~



Test No. 77G003 Instrumentation Traces



Test Description:	Achieved 1.5 cm r	ight of midsagittal impact at
approximately the	sixth intercostal	junction. Drop height was 2.44
meters and the me	tal stop had a 0.5	cm ensolite pad and a 2.54 cm-
thick styrofoam p	iece covering it.	Lead targets marked the sternum
and spine.		

A-8



![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

A-9

.

Appendix B

Animal Protocol Details

.

### General Motors Corporation Animal Research Committee Form No. 75/2

1. TITLE OF PROJECT:

Pilot Study - Mechanisms of Aortic Trauma

- 2. Project Period: From June 1977 To September 1977
- 3. Applicant Institution: <u>University of Michigan</u> Organizational Unit or Department <u>Biomechanics Dept, Highway</u> <u>Safety Research Institute, University of Michigan</u> Address where research will be performed (street, city, state, zip) <u>Huron Parkway and Baxter Roads, Ann Arbor, MI 48109</u>
- Principal Investigator (Name, professional degrees, job title)

   D. C. Viano, Ph.D., J. W. Melvin, Ph.D. and Marvin Kirsch, M.D.
   (Postal address & telephone number) University of Michigan, Ann
   Arbor, Michigan, HSRI, 48109, (313) 763-3462.
- 5. Person directly in charge of animal care (Name, professional degrees, job title if same as P.I., so state) Dr. R. L. Stalnaker, Ph.D.

(Biomechanics) HSRI

(Postal address & telephone number) same as above

 Special qualifications in laboratory animal care of person named in item 5 13 years of experience with Laboratory animal care.

Weekly hours present in location named under item 3 \_\_\_\_\_full time\_\_\_

- Animal care staff and qualifications: <u>Thomas Tann, Research</u>
   Assistant II. Has worked with the animals for two years.
- 8. If you employ a consulting veterinarian, give name and qualifications <u>Howard G. Rush, D.V.M., Instructor in Laboratory Animal Medicine</u>, <u>Diplomate, American College of Laboratory Animal Medicine</u> Extent of availability under consulting agreement on call

	General Motors Corporation Animal Research Committee Form No. 75/2
9.	Species and strain(s) involved in this experiment <u>Rabbit</u> (Oryctolagus cuniculus) New Zealand White
	Total No. of animals to be purchased Age Are Mixed
	Maximum weight of animal <u>2 to 3.6 Kg</u>
	Identify vendor (firm name, address) <u>Langshaw Farms, Route No. 1</u> Box 256, Augusta, MI 49012
10.	Does your institution have an animal care committee?
	Is your institution approved by the American Association for Accredit- ation of Laboratory Animal Care (AAALAC)?
	YES X NO I If no: Applied and pending Applied and rejected *
	*If either of these boxes are checked, state reason
11.	Animal housingNo. of rooms2 $346^2$ $80^2$ ft.Size (sq.ft.) of each:
	Floor surfaces <u>Sealed concrete</u>
	Wall surfaces Sealed cinder blocks
	Window area Direction
	Heat thermostatically controlled yes Air conditioned yes
12.	Size of cages16"w x 23"d x 13"Hi No. animals per cage
	No. cages per rack <u>12</u> No. of racks per room <u>1</u>
	Max. No. of animals at any one time12
13.	Describe feeding, watering, and sanitation schedules (including weekend care, if any) <u>Teklad Rabbit Ration fed ad lib; water ad lib (bottl</u> es
	filled daily, 2 bottles/cage; clean bottles weekly) pans pulled and
	<u>litter changed 2 to 3 times per week. Cages washed once per week.</u> Dr. R. L. Stalnaker will feed animals on weekends.

•

1

Ć

## General Motors Corporation Animal Research Committee Form No. 75/2

14. Describe experimental procedures involving the animals (quarantine, handling, surgery, postoperative care, euthanasia, assurance of death)

Descr thera dosage	ibe all medication planned in the experiment (prophylactic, peutic, analgesic, anesthetic). State mode of administration e, and schedule
Descr thera dosage Anes	ibe all medication planned in the experiment (prophylactic, peutic, analgesic, anesthetic). State mode of administration, e, and schedule thetic: Probarbitol (Na pentobarbital, 40 mg/Kg, IV)
Descr thera dosage Anes Euth	ibe all medication planned in the experiment (prophylactic, peutic, analgesic, anesthetic). State mode of administration, e, and schedule sthetic: Probarbitol (Na pentobarbital, 40 mg/Kg, IV) manasia sol probarbitol (110 mg/Kg, IV)
Descr thera dosage Anes Euth	ibe all medication planned in the experiment (prophylactic, peutic, analgesic, anesthetic). State mode of administration e, and schedule thetic: Probarbitol (Na pentobarbital, 40 mg/Kg, IV) manasia sol probarbitol (110 mg/Kg, IV)
Descr thera dosage Anes Euth	ibe all medication planned in the experiment (prophylactic, peutic, analgesic, anesthetic). State mode of administration e, and schedule othetic: Probarbitol (Na pentobarbital, 40 mg/Kg, IV) manasia sol probarbitol (110 mg/Kg, IV) mecessary and applicable procaine penicillin G IM (45,000 u/Kg

16. If the experiment involves stress or pain to the animal, explain. No, animal under anesthetic for entire period.

Ű

ł

.

Appendix C

Slide Catalog

•

### Slide Catalog

- Slide No.1 Thorax surface with skin and muscles reflected. Test 77G001
- Slide No.2 Traumatized contents of right pleural cavity. Test 77G001
- Slide No.3 Hematoma, crush of liver. Test 77G001
- Slide No.4 Hematoma and hemorrhaging along left side of spine. Test 77G001
- Slide No.5 Hematoma on surface of descending aorta. Test 77G001
- Slide No.6 Punctures of aorta by fractured ribs. Test 77G001

Slide No.7 - Large contusion on lung. Test 77G002

Slide No.8 - Tears in aorta and subclavian artery. Test 77G002