EXPERIMENTAL TRIGEMINAL NERVE INJURY

G.R. Holland
Department of Cariology, Restorative Sciences and Endodontics, School of Dentistry, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109-1078

Abstract: The successful reinnervation of peripheral targets after injury varies with the axonal population of the nerve that is injured and the extent of the dislocation of its central component from the peripheral endoneurial tube. Larger-diameter axons such as those supplying mechanoreceptors recover more readily than narrower axons such as those supplying taste. A complex, bi-directional interaction between lingual epithelium and sprouting nerve results in the redifferentiation of taste buds after denervation. Dentin and the dental pulp provide a strong attraction to sprouting nerves and will become reinnervated from collateral sources if recovery of the original innervation is blocked. The most effective repair technique for transected lingual nerves is one which brings the cut ends together rather than one that provides a temporary bridge.

Injuries can result in cell death in the trigeminal ganglion but only if the injury is severe and recovery is prevented. Lesser damage results in chromatolysis and the increased expression of neuropeptides. All nerve injuries bring about changes in the trigeminal nucleus. These occur as changes in receptive field and the incidence of spontaneously active neurons, effects which are consistent with the unmasking of existing afferents. These functional changes are short-lived and reversible. Morphologically, nerve injury results in terminal degeneration in the nuclei and an increased expression of the c-Fos gene and some neuropeptides. Only a chronic constriction injury induces behavioral changes. The adult trigeminal system retains considerable plasticity that permits it to respond successfully to nerve injury. Much remains to be learned about this response, particularly of the trophic factors that control peripheral recovery and the central response to more severe injuries.
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(I) Introduction

Trigeminal nerves may be injured during the surgical removal of third molars (e.g., Von Arx and Simpson, 1989; Rood, 1992), during osteotomies (Walter and Gregg, 1979; Yoshida et al., 1989), and as a result of trauma (e.g., De Man and Bax, 1988). Tooth extractions (e.g., Strassburg, 1967; Hansen, 1980) and pulpectomy (Holland, 1994) are amputation injuries that result in the severance of several hundred axons and the loss of their target organ.

Clinical aspects of this topic have been recently and extensively reviewed (LaBanC and Gregg, 1992). This review will limit itself to a discussion of experimental work on animal models in which the nature of the injury is more controlled than in the clinical situation and where the range and detail of observations can be much more extensive. Consideration will be limited to work conducted on adult animals. The extensive work on trigeminal injury in developing animals warrants a separate review. The responses of nerve terminals and surrounding tissues to local injury such as corneal irritation, cavity preparation, or pulp exposure in the tooth involve a complex interaction of neural and inflammatory elements, and this too will be omitted from the current review. It will, however, include more central responses to peripheral injuries and the recovery of terminals to injuries of the nerve trunk.

The review will be subdivided on the basis of the site of observation and the site of injury. Where sufficient data exist, electrophysiological and morphological findings will be discussed separately. A consideration of repair techniques that have been examined will be included.

(II) Responses in the Nerve Trunk and its Target Organs

(A) Injury to the Lingual Nerve

For the purpose of this review, the chorda tympani has been ‘adopted’ into the trigeminal system, since it constitutes an important component of the lingual nerve trunk in the region in which it is susceptible to injury.

Observations on lingual nerve damage have been made with two different but compatible problems in mind. Because damage to the lingual nerve causes changes in the epithelium of the tongue and in particular in the differentiation of the papillae and taste buds, a number of investigators have used this model to exami-
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Figure 1. The receptive fields of single units of the chorda tympani three months after nerve crush classified according to the stimulus which evokes the most vigorous discharge. (From Robinson, 1989, with permission)

ine the trophic role of the nerve and the interaction of axons and epithelially derived cells in the sensory transduction process (e.g., El-Eishi and State, 1974). Other investigators have looked at peripheral changes as a measure of the severity of nerve damage and as an assessment of the success of reparative procedures (e.g., Robinson, 1989; Smith and Robinson, 1995a).

The chorda tympani contains taste and thermosensitive afferents from the fungiform papillae on the anterior two-thirds of the dorsum of the tongue, mechanosensitive fibers, preganglionic parasympathetic secretomotor fibers to the submandibular and sublingual salivary glands, and efferent vasodilator fibers to the tongue. The lingual nerve proper supplies the anterior two-thirds of the tongue with general afferent and sympathetic fibers. One of the valuable aspects of looking at damage to the lingual nerve is that it allows for comparison of the rate and extent of recovery of nerve fibers of different sizes and functions.

Two types of lingual nerve injury have been investigated, crushing and transection. Crushing injuries are less severe than transection injuries (Sunderland, 1951). In both cases, the axon distal to the injury site degenerates. After a crush injury, but not a transection, the connective tissue elements remain in continuity and provide guidance for axonal sprouts from the regenerating central stump (for review, see Lundborg, 1988).

1) Electrophysiological observations

Chorda tympani

Experiments in the gerbil (Cheal et al., 1977) and cat (Robinson, 1989) show a much greater recovery of taste fiber activity after crush injuries than after transection injuries. In the gerbil, activity in the chorda tympani central to a transection site in response to gustatory stimulation could be recorded from a whole nerve preparation in only two of nine animals two weeks after the injury, though a few single fibers responded in seven (Cheal et al., 1977). In the cat, 12 weeks after transection of the combined chorda/lingual nerve, no significant whole-nerve activity could be recorded from the chorda tympani during gustatory stimulation of the tongue, though, again, occasional single responsive units could be found (Robinson, 1989). After a crushing injury, the basic whole-nerve response pattern is similar to that of controls (Fig. 1) (Cheal et al., 1977, Robinson, 1989). Detailed examination of the responses of single units shows, however, that, even after a crushing injury, the responses to gustatory stimulation do not return to a completely normal level (Robinson, 1989). The range of effective stimuli is reduced, the discharge of single units is less vigorous, and there is an overall reduction in conduction velocities (Robinson, 1989). Mechanosensitive fibers predominate in the nerve recovering from transection (Fig. 2), suggesting that either mechanosensitive fibers regenerate more rapidly than other types of chorda afferents and/or that chorda fibers may grow down endoneurial tubes previously occupied by lingual axons and are able to make functional contacts. An alternative explanation may be that gustatory fibers are mechanosensitive at an early stage of their recovery.

Lingual

The lingual component of the nerve trunk is dominated by mechanosensitive fibers. These recover well after a
crush injury (Robinson, 1992), with receptor properties similar to those of controls 12 weeks after the injury, although conduction velocities are reduced. After transection, more severe changes are seen. The reduction in conduction velocity is greater, receptive fields are smaller, and thresholds are higher (Robinson, 1992).

**Autonomics**

Vasomotor activity can be estimated by measurement of tongue surface temperature after nerve trunk stimulation (Robinson, 1989). The same maximum tongue temperature as that of controls can be reached by stimulation after nerve crush, though the time taken to reach this maximum is increased. Vasomotor responses do return after transection but are reduced in magnitude. A similar pattern is seen when maximal submandibular flow rates are measured after stimulation of the nerve trunk. The response is similar to that of controls following a crushing injury but is reduced after transection (Robinson, 1989). Clearly, both parasympathetic and vasomotor fibers are able to regenerate and make effective functional connections.

The difference in response to the two injuries suggests that transection injuries are more likely to result in persistent abnormalities than crush injuries. This may explain the difference between patients who recover from an initial paresthesia and those who do not.

(2) **Structural observations**

**Lingual epithelium**

Lingual/chorda nerve injury leads to changes in the lingual epithelium. Taste buds are trophically dependent on gustatory nerve fibers. Taste buds disappear from denervated tongues (Farbman, 1969; El-Eishi and State, 1974; State and Bowden, 1974) but redifferentiate when the innervation regenerates (Cheal and Oakley, 1977). Cutaneous sensory and motor nerves will not support the differentiation of taste buds, but chemosensory axons of the carotid sinus nerve do (Dinger et al., 1985), as, to a limited extent, do non-gustatory vagal fibers (Zalewski, 1981). In regenerating taste buds, a subpopulation of cells expresses Neural Cell Adhesion Molecule (NCAM) (Smith et al., 1993) that is first seen in regenerating nerve fibers (Smith et al., 1994), suggesting, perhaps, that the recovering nerve initiates epithelial differentiation but that the differentiated taste bud then attracts sprouting axons. The epithelium of the circumvallate papillae (as well as the taste bud) may atrophy after denervation (El-Eishi and State, 1974), and the connective tissue core may disappear (Zalewski, 1981). Most of the studies that have looked at the effect of denervation on lingual epithelium have made observations after glossopharyngeal nerve section, but Cheal and Oakley (1977) and Robinson and Winkles (1991) transected the chorda tympani. In the gerbil, beginning eight days after interruption of the chorda tympani, fungiform papillae were reinnervated and taste buds regenerated (Cheal and Oakley, 1977). Taste buds reform within two days of the reinnervation of the papilla. After a crushing injury of the chorda tympani, the taste bud population returns to more than 60% of control values but to less than 20% after transection (Cheal and Oakley, 1977).

Robinson and Winkles (1991) compared the distribution of fungiform papillae in cats three months after either transection or crushing the lingual nerve. After nerve crush, there were fewer fungiform papillae on the operated side than on the unoperated side, but the basic distribution was similar to that in controls. After nerve section, it was often difficult to distinguish between fungiform and filiform papillae on the operated side, and clearly identifiable fungiform papillae were much fewer on the operated side. This correlates well with the reduction in responses to gustatory stimuli. The recovery of taste buds is closely correlated with restored function as determined by the ability to distinguish between different salt solutions (St. John et al., 1995).

**Lingual/chorda nerve**

Structural studies around the site of the injury also show changes complementary to those recorded electrophysiologically (Holland et al., 1996a). Distal to both crush and cut injuries, there is an apparent increase in the number of fascicles. This indicates that, even after a crush injury, there is considerable damage to the perineurium. Perhaps the lingual perineurium is not as well-developed as in other sites. The number of non-myelinated axons distal to a transection injury is five times control counts and doubles after a crush injury, suggesting that axonal sprouting persists for at least 12 weeks after both injuries but is much greater after transection. A more rapid restoration of near-normal fibers distally after a crushing injury is consistent with better functional recovery (Robinson, 1989).

The principle change central to the injury site is a loss of small-diameter myelinated axons from the chorda tympani, which occurs only after transection. There is also an increase in the number of non-myelinated axons proximal to the damage, indicative of continued and probably recurrent (i.e., centrally directed) sprouting following "dying back".

(3) **Repair techniques**

**Epineurial suturing and entubulation**

The recovery of a transected lingual nerve is limited by the poor opportunity sprouting axons have of extending down their original connective tissue sheaths, largely as a result of the retraction of the cut ends within the loose...
connective tissue. Several techniques for re-apposing the cut ends or bridging the gap between them have been investigated. Simply suturing the cut ends together with fine sutures through the epineurium is the most successful method when the cut ends are not widely separated (Smith and Robinson, 1995a,b,c; Holland et al., 1996b). This improves the proportion of gustatory units recovering and allows for good recovery of efferent vasomotor effects. An alternative approach, suturing the nerve ends within a perforated polyethylene tube, has the apparent advantage of keeping the sutures some distance away from the damaged ends of the nerve. However, little activity could be recorded from the chorda tympani central to the injury after this procedure (Smith and Robinson, 1995b). Neither type of repair prevents the increased fasciculation distal to the injury site, but epineural suturing (though not entubulation) prevents the selective loss of small myelinated axons from the proximal chorda tympani after transection (Holland et al., 1996b). Recurrent axons in the proximal nerve remain evident after both techniques. Distally, the recovery in the numbers of myelinated axons is also better after suturing, and the degree of non-myelinated sprouting is reduced.

**Delayed repair**

In a clinical setting, transected lingual nerves are rarely recognized and rarely repaired at the time of damage. Since the large majority of patients reporting loss of lingual sensation following the removal of impacted teeth show complete recovery within three months (Mason, 1988; Blackburn and Bramley, 1989; Rood, 1992), surgical repair is not attempted until beyond this point. In light of this, observations have been made to determine whether this delay affects the degree of success effected by epineurial suturing. In the case of the lingual component of the lingual/chorda tympani, examination of the responses in whole-nerve and single-fiber preparations suggests that, for the larger fibers, delayed repair results in only slightly better recovery than when the nerve is left unrepaired (Smith and Robinson, 1995a). Recordings of responses to gustatory and thermal stimuli from the chorda tympani showed that, 12 weeks after delayed repair, units had conduction velocities slower than those of unrepaired nerves. However, by 24 weeks after delayed repair, conduction velocities were significantly faster than those in unrepaired nerves. Vasomotor and secretomotor responses are restored after both immediate and delayed repair (Smith and Robinson, 1995d,e). Morphologically, nerves repaired three months after injury, when examined 12 weeks later, show considerable sprouting distal to the injury and significantly more than that seen after immediate repair (Holland et al., 1996a). This suggests that, by structural criteria, it takes longer after a delay for the full extent of recovery to be reached. Overall, delayed repair results in better recovery than when the nerve is left unrepaired. A 12-week delay prior to repair has little effect on the final outcome.

**Grafting**

Lingual nerves that require repair often show extensive neuroma formation at the site of injury that must be excised, leaving a sizable gap between central and distal segments. There are two basic approaches to repairing such injuries, either relieving the nerve ends from their surrounding connective tissue and stretching the segments together or adding material in the form of a graft to bridge the gap. Two types of graft material have been examined, autologous sural nerve and frozen muscle tissue (Smith and Robinson, 1995b,f). In the chorda tympani, the conduction velocities of the recovered nerves are higher and the secretomotor responses greater after "stretch repair" than after grafting. Stretch repair also results in the return of more gustatory units than grafting. There is no significant difference between the two graft materials.

**(B) Injury to the inferior alveolar nerve**

The inferior alveolar nerve (IAN) is an interesting contrast to the lingual nerve in both composition (Holland, 1978) and local anatomy. It has a less extensive range of fiber types than in the lingual/chorda tympani, lacking the special sense and secretomotor components. It also lies protected within a bony canal.

**(1) Electrophysiological observations**

**The nerve trunk**

Recording directly from the nerve trunk central to the injury site after section and ligation demonstrates the development of spontaneous activity in myelinated afferents (Bongenhielm and Robinson, 1996) and the mechanical sensitivity of the induced neuroma. These changes decrease with time.

**The dental pulp**

The tooth provides a convenient target organ from which to monitor the effect of IAN damage and to follow the progress of reinnervation. Electrical stimulation of the tooth at appropriate levels induces a jaw opening reflex that is lost after section of the IAN (Robinson, 1981; Berger et al., 1983). In the cat (Robinson, 1981), with no attempt at nerve repair, the jaw opening reflex elicited from the canine tooth returns from three to nine weeks after the injury. The electrical threshold required to initiate the reflex is increased, however, and the reflex has a prolonged latency. The conduction of impulses in regenerated pulpal fibers can be demonstrated by stimulation of the nerve central to the injury and recording from dentin and vice versa by stimulation of the tooth and
recording from the nerve (Fig. 3) (Holland et al., 1987). In the rat, injuring the nerve central to the incisor apex raises the reflex threshold substantially in the first and second but not the third molars (Berger and Byers, 1983), because the rat third molar has an innervation derived from the mandibular nerve proximal to its entry into the inferior alveolar canal (Johansson et al., 1992). Recovery in this species began at one week and was complete three to six weeks post-injury.

In the cat, if recovery is prevented by capping the cut end of the IAN with a nylon tube (Robinson, 1981), the tooth still becomes reinnervated. Collateral sprouts from the ipsilateral mylohyoid nerve, the ipsilateral and contralateral lingual nerves and the contralateral IAN enter the pulp. Except for the ipsilateral lingual nerve, these do not normally innervate the pulp. Mucosal and skin re-innervation follows a similar pattern. A collateral re-innervation crossing the mid-line has not been demonstrated elsewhere. If the original innervation is allowed to regenerate after such a collateral reinnervation has been formed, the collateral innervation is not withdrawn (Robinson, 1984). When the injury is extended to mimic some aspects of reconstructive jaw surgery (osteotomy with segmental repositioning with or without bone grafting; Robinson, 1980, 1986), reinnervation of the tooth pulp still occurs but with fewer nerves of slower conduction velocities. The sources of the recovered innervation are the recovering ipsilateral IAN, the contralateral IAN, and the mental and lingual nerves on both sides.

The periodontal ligament

While the pulpal nerves serve principally nociceptive and vasomotor roles, periodontal fibers signal position and movement. These are large-diameter axons that appear to recover well from injuries. Three months after section of the inferior alveolar nerve, single axonal units of that nerve have properties (Linden and Millar, 1992) and a distribution (Loescher and Robinson, 1989) similar, in general, to those in uninjured nerves. More detailed examination, however, reveals that the range of directions to which each receptor responds is significantly smaller after injury, whether the injury to the nerve trunk is a transection or a crushing lesion (Loescher and Robinson, 1989). Periodontal fibers are either rapidly or slowly adapting, and the balance after injury increases in favor of the rapidly adapting fibers. Force thresholds increase and conduction velocities are reduced after transection but not after crushing injury (Loescher and Robinson, 1989). The periodontal receptors have been examined after a much longer recovery period than have pulpal receptors. Twelve months after transection, units still have thresholds higher than those of controls as well as lower mean discharge frequencies and reduced arcs of sensitivity (Loescher and Robinson, 1991).

Freezing, a type of injury whose consequences have not been examined elsewhere in the trigeminal system, has been the subject of investigation in the periodontal ligament (Loescher and Robinson, 1990). This is a mild injury in that, while it interrupts axonal continuity, it has minimal effect on the connective tissue components of the nerve, and the maximal benefit of endoneurial sheath guidance is available. Somewhat surprisingly, the arcs of sensitivity of the receptors, force thresholds, and other parameters are affected to a similar extent as after nerve crush, leading to the conclusion that the alterations in receptor properties after nerve injury cannot be attributed to a mismatch between the regenerating axons and their receptors.

(2) Structural observations

The nerve trunk

In a transected IAN whose cut ends are re-apposed, no long-term differences from controls are seen proximal to the injury (Fried and Erdelyi, 1982; Holland and Robinson, 1990a). Distal to the injury site, there is a persisting reduction in the size of myelinated axons (Fried
Dentin and the dental pulp

Several structural studies have looked at the dentin, dental pulp, and periodontium after injury to the IAN. They have had three main purposes: first, as a means of identifying nerves in dentin and their possible relationship to odontoblasts; second, to demonstrate the possible neural control of dentin formation; and third, to assess, as has been described above, the extent of recovery after nerve damage.

Although approximately 10% of the pulpal innervation in the cat is not derived from the IAN (Holland and Robinson, 1984), virtually all of the intra-dentinal axons disappear 56 hours after transection of the IAN (e.g., Holland et al., 1987). By 12 weeks post-injury, 44% of the predentinal tubules are reinnervated. Similar levels of reinnervation in the rat have been reported when anterograde autoradiographic tracing was used (Fig. 4) (Berger and Byers, 1983). The rat studies looked histologically at earlier and more frequent stages in the recovery. Pulpal reinnervation began seven days after the injury, with a 50% recovery of pulpal innervation and a 25 to 50% recovery of dental innervation by three weeks (Berger and Byers, 1983). Near-normal values were restored by six weeks. In the cat, the pulp itself shows an apical reinnervation (total axon count) to 90% of control values at the same point in recovery (Holland and Robinson, 1985). The comparable collateral reinnervation occurring after blocking recovery of the transected nerve is 33% of controls (Holland and Robinson, 1987).

Clearly, the denervated dental pulp provides a strong attraction not only for recovering injured axons but also to uninjured axons from nerves that did not normally supply the pulp. The most obvious candidate for this role is nerve growth factor (NGF). NGF receptor immunoreactivity has been demonstrated in some pulpal axons and Schwann cells (Byers, 1990; Fried and Risling, 1991) and, most intriguingly, in the sub-odontoblastic cell-rich zone (Byers, 1990). These are low-affinity neurotrophin receptors that bind all known neurotrophins. In developing rats, the administration of anti-NGF reduces the level of apical innervation 26 days post-natally by 38% for myelinated nerves and 59% for unmyelinated nerves (Qian and Naftel, 1994). Auto-immunization against NGF also substantially reduces the extent of collateral reinnervation when recovery of a transected IAN is prevented (Doubleday and Robinson, 1994). NGF mRNA is expressed in response to tooth injury even in denervated teeth, suggesting that its regulation is, at least in part, under non-neural control (Byers et al., 1990).

Denervation of the tooth results in increased eruption rates in rat (Taylor and Butcher, 1951) and rabbit (Brown et al., 1961) incisors, though this change is not apparent in teeth of limited eruption (Edwards and Kitchen, 1951). It is possible that the increased speed of eruption is linked to enhanced hard tissue formation and that dentin formation is under neural influence. Evidence for this concept is incomplete. Avery et al. (1971) reported reduced dentin formation and extensive defects at the incisal edge of the rabbit incisor after both IAN resection and superior cervical sympathectomy. Changes were predominantly in the older part of the tooth, and only slight alterations of the incremental pattern were seen at the growing end. In the rat incisor, however, no quantitative alteration in the deposition of dentin after denervation could be detected (Torneck et al., 1972). When the rabbit incisor is subjected to cavity preparation, there is a dramatic increase in dentin deposition 14 and 35 days following IAN resection (Avery et al., 1974) but not following superior cervical sympathectomy.
with their reduced conduction velocities. This is similar to the change occurring in the dental pulp. Longer recovery periods may allow for a closer return to control values in terms of both number and size of fibers, although electrophysiological observations made one year after denervation (Loescher and Robinson, unpublished observations) suggest that the change in receptor morphology is permanent.

(3) Repair techniques
With such successful recovery after simple re-apposition, and even following blocked regeneration, it is not surprising that little effort has been expended in developing techniques to enhance repair of the IAN. However, the accessibility and mechanical support of the IAN make it a good model for some experimental approaches. NGF applied locally in a silastic tube between the cut ends of a transected IAN significantly improves recovery over that occurring along a tube with no NGF (Eppley et al., 1991).

(C) INJURY TO THE MENTAL NERVE
Although the effects of IAN damage are usually most appreciated in the distribution of the mental nerve, and the nerve itself is sometimes damaged independently of its parent trunk during flap surgery, it has rarely been the subject of experimental investigation. Recovery from a transection injury, as determined by the return of withdrawal reflexes and the central transport of either dyes or horseradish peroxidase (Zuniga and O'Connor, 1987; Zuniga et al., 1990), is improved by approximating the cut ends with epineurial sutures but is not enhanced further by autogenous nerve grafting, a conclusion similar to that reached more recently for the injured lingual nerve (Smith and Robinson, 1995c).

(D) INJURY TO THE INFRA-ORBITAL NERVE
There is a very substantial body of knowledge on the effects of injury to the infra-orbital nerve (e.g., Henderson et al., 1993) that has been carried out on neonatal animals to determine the degree of plasticity of the developing sensory nervous system. Much less attention has been given to responses in the adult animal.

Structural observations
Recovery of the infra-orbital nerve, as judged by the return of the sensory innervation to the vibrissae in a rat, is very good after a crush injury (Renehan and Munger, 1986). The only detectable difference from controls is a small reduction in the proportion of Merkel cells innervated. Nerve section without repair, however, results in misdirected axons and abnormally reinnervated receptors. This difference in response is similar to that reported more recently for the lingual nerve (Robinson, 1989; Holland et al., 1996b).
(E) Injury to the Ophthalamic Nerve

The trunk of the ophthalamic nerve is rarely damaged clinically, and experimental data on it are absent. Interest in the ophthalamic nerve is focused on its role in the inflammatory response to injuries of the cornea (e.g., Butler et al., 1980; Unger et al., 1985). In this respect, the observations made on it closely parallel those made on dental pulp and perialpical tissues to elucidate the role of sensory afferents in response to pulpal injury (e.g., Byers, 1994). These reactions are beyond the scope of the present review.

(III) Responses in the Trigeminal Ganglion

(A) Injury to the Infra-Orbital Nerve

(1) Electrophysiological observations

Electrophysiological recordings from the cells of the trigeminal ganglion following nerve injury give a direct insight into the effect of the injury on the properties of the primary afferent neuron (Fig. 5) (Renehan et al., 1989). Sixty or more days after transection of the infraorbital nerve in the rat, the receptive fields differ from controls in being discontinuous, presumably due to the misdirected regeneration of axonal branches. Some units also respond to both guard hair and vibrissal movement, and others, possibly associated with a neuroma, respond to deep pressure. Spontaneously active neurons are essentially absent, but several that respond to electrical stimulation of the nerve do not have a receptive field in the infra-orbital region. The proportion of ganglion cells that respond optimally to noxious stimuli increases, consistent with the clinical impression of discomfort commonly arising from healing nerves. Somewhat paradoxically, the proportion of cells responding to guard hair stimulation also increases, while the proportion responding to vibrissal stimulation is reduced. This may reflect an inherent difference between these receptor types in their ability to attract regenerating sprouts.

(2) Structural observations

Retrograde tracer studies have shown that there is an extensive re-organization of the ganglion following infraorbital nerve transection (Renehan et al., 1989). The topographical representation of vibrissal units present in control animals is almost completely lost. This is likely to be a result of the misdirection of regenerating axons that also results in discontinuous receptive fields. Cell death also occurs as a result of transection of the infraorbital nerve (Aldskogius and Arvidsson, 1978). There is an apparent 14% mean reduction in cell populations of rat trigeminal ganglia. It is interesting to note that, after transection, the number of cells counted on the operated side, while lower than that on the unoperated side in the same animals by 14%, is higher than counts from unoperated control animals by 19%. The cell counts on the unoperated sides of the experimental animals increased by 38% as compared with contralateral controls. The explanation probably lies in the technique...
Structural observations

Simple transection of the IAN is rapidly followed by changes in neuropeptide expression in the ganglion cells of the rat (Wakisaka et al., 1995). Neuropeptide Y (NPY) is not normally expressed in uninjured ganglion cells but begins to be shortly after transection and reaches a maximal level in three days. Fourteen days after transection of the mental branch of the IAN, 35% of the cell bodies with axons in the nerve (as identified by retrograde labeling) showed NPY activity (Wakisaka et al., 1993). The functional role of NPY in injured neurons is unclear, though it has been suggested that NPY may be involved in the inhibition of nociceptive input (Mantyh et al., 1994). This expression seems to be limited to large and mediumsized cells (Sasaki et al., 1994).

Transection with good re-apposition of the cut ends of the nerve does not result in cell death in the cat, but if the central end is cut and regeneration prevented, cell death is considerable (Holland and Robinson, 1990b), averaging 25% of the ganglion population. The exaggeration of the cell loss brought about by the counting technique as described above makes this change appear more extensive than it actually is. Nonetheless, after allowing for this inaccuracy, transection and capping of a nerve containing around thirteen thousand axons result in the loss of more than three thousand ganglion cells. Retrograde labeling experiments in the rat (Takemura et al., 1990) show that 56.8% of the cells labeled in controls were labeled ten weeks after transection. It is difficult to translate this into absolute figures, but the loss is clearly considerable. A complex labeling experiment involving labeling axons retrogradely before and after transection of the mental branch of the IAN (Zuniga et al., 1990) suggests that immediate re-apposition of the cut ends results in minimal cell death, but failure to repair the injury leads to extensive cell death proportional to the size of the nerve. The absence of significant cell death after simple transection is supported by the labeling study of Fried et al. (1991). Ganglion cells supplying the pulp were retrogradely labeled with fluorogold four to six weeks after the IAN had been sectioned and the cut ends were re-apposed. The number of labeled ganglion cells was only slightly lower than that in controls. A greater proportion of the ganglion cells, however, were substance-P- but not calcitonin-gene-related-peptide (CGRP)-immunoreactive, which is somewhat surprising since these peptides are often co-localized. The site of the lesion as well as its severity may be a factor in the induction of cell death. When the mandibular nerve, the parent trunk of the IAN, is sectioned at the foramen ovale, there is evidence of cell necrosis as well as chromatolysis in the ipsilateral ganglion (Gregg, 1971).

(C) Dental lesions

Structural observations

Rat ganglion cell responses have been examined in response to tooth extraction (Strassburg, 1967, Hansen, 1980), partial tooth extraction (Fried et al., 1991), and pulpal injury (Stephenson and Byers, 1995). The principle finding after tooth extraction is chromatolysis (Strassburg, 1967; Hansen, 1980), in which the cell bodies are swollen with their nuclei displaced to one side in clear cytoplasm whose darker contents are dispersed to the periphery. This may be not a degenerative but rather a reversible process which marks the beginning of the neuron's response to injury. It is seen within twelve hours of the injury and is more extensive with more teeth extracted. The number of cells showing chromatolysis rises to a maximum within eight days and then declines steadily, with only a few cells having this appearance thirty days after the injury. The only study that has counted trigeminal ganglion cells after dental injuries (Fried et al., 1991) labeled the cells supplying the dental pulp retrogradely prior to extracting most of the tooth. Four to five weeks after the injury, the number of labeled cells was lower than in controls and also lower than after transection of the inferior alveolar nerve. This suggests that there is cell death, which would, on first consideration, seem unlikely since the lesion is mild and very peripheral. However, as the authors point out, tooth extraction removes the target organ and may, in reality, be a more severe lesion than transection as the reinnervation of an appropriate target becomes impossible. Fried et al. (1991) also looked at the neuropeptides expressed in the pulpal ganglion cell bodies after tooth damage. The expression of substance P immunoreactivity is similar to that in controls, but the number of cells showing CGRP immunoreactivity is reduced. This most likely indicates an expression of substance P in cells that previously did not express it, though it may be an indication that substance-P-immunoreactive cells are more likely to survive a dental injury.
(IV) Responses in the Mesencephalic Nucleus

INJURY TO THE MANDIBULAR AND INFERIOR ALVEOLAR NERVES

Structural observations

A significant proportion of the primary afferent cell bodies of the trigeminal system are located in the mesencephalic nucleus within the central nervous system. Although their location is different, the response of the cell bodies is similar to that of those in the ganglion. Transection of the mandibular nerve near the foramen ovale results in chromatolysis in many of the mesencephalic cell bodies and the loss of around 25% of the cells (Imamoto, 1972). Many afferents in the masseteric nerve have their cell bodies in the mesencephalic nucleus. Transection of this nerve results in death of from 10.5 to 22.7% of the cells in the mesencephalic nucleus (Raapana and Arvidsson, 1992). This differs from simple transection of the inferior alveolar nerve, which does not result in ganglion cell death (Holland and Robinson, 1990b). It may be that, as Raapana and Arvidsson (1992) suggest, proprioceptive neurons are very sensitive to injury, or it may be the result of the greater separation, due to retraction, of the cut ends after massteric nerve transection. It is also possible that the micro-environment of these neurons within the central nervous system rather than in a peripheral nervous system ganglion may affect their susceptibility.

(V) Responses in the Trigeminal Nucleus

The brainstem trigeminal complex extends from the upper segments of the spinal cord to the pons and is divided into the largest and most rostral unit, the main sensory nucleus, and three progressively more caudal spinal nuclei: oralis, interpolaris, and caudalis. At each level, the dorsum of the nucleus has a lamellar organization (Gobel, 1978a,b). The outer three layers—the marginal layer, the substantia gelatinosa, and the magnocellular layer—are involved in relaying and modifying sensory input. Since the nucleus caudalis is considered to be the main component of the trigeminal complex involved in nociception, it is this region that has been most heavily investigated.

(A) Injury to the Lingual/Chorda Tympani

Structural observations

There are apparently no published studies on the central effects of damage to the combined lingual/chorda tympani nerve, a void that seems particularly regrettable for the trigeminal nuclear complex. Transection of the chorda tympani alone in the middle ear of the hamster results in the degeneration of central axonal terminals in the chorda tympani entry zone of the nucleus of the solitary tract (Whitehead et al., 1995). Examination of geniculate ganglion cells labeled by dye injection into the tongue shows that the chorda tympani ganglion cells survive transection and can regenerate axons to the tongue (Whitehead et al., 1995). Most remarkably, the degenerative changes in the solitary tract persist for at least 161 days post-injury.

(B) Injury to the Inferior Alveolar Nerve

Structural observations

Transection of the IAN results in degeneration of the terminals of the primary afferents in the nucleus caudalis and transynaptic degeneration of neurons that is enhanced by the administration of strychnine (Sugimoto et al., 1985). Neuropeptide Y immunoreactivity is increased in the trigeminal nucleus following IAN transection, most markedly in the deeper laminae (III-IV) of the nucleus caudalis (Fig. 6) (Sasaki et al., 1994). This is particularly interesting in light of the recent suggestion that NPY receptors may be involved in the inhibition of primary afferent nociceptors, especially after peripheral nerve injury (Mantyh et al., 1994). It is surprising, considering the importance of this nerve trunk and the frequency with which it is damaged, that more studies of the central response to its injury have not been made.

(C) Injury to the Infra-Orbital Nerve

(1) Electrophysiological observations

Although earlier reports describe relatively few changes in the trigeminal nucleus after infra-orbital nerve transection in the adult (Walit, 1984), closer examination by single-unit recording techniques reveals an increase in the relative numbers of nociceptive units, guard hair, and high velocity adapting units as well as units that have either an unusual or no receptive field (responding to electrical stimulation of the proximal stump of the injured nerve but not to receptor stimulation in the normal distribution of the infra-orbital region) (Renehan et
Transganglionic degeneration in the brainstem (Arvidsson and Grant, 1979) is seen from seven to 60 days after transection of the infra-orbital nerve. The degeneration occurs in the marginal zone, the magnocellular zone (laminae III & IV), and in the substantia gelatinosa. It follows a somatotopically organized pattern in both the nucleus and the sensory root; degeneration after transection of the supra-orbital nerve occurs more ventrally than that after infra-orbital nerve transection, which is, in turn, more ventral than that after mental nerve transection. Transganglionic degeneration continues for at least 130 days after the injury (Arvidsson and Grant, 1979). As it increases, it extends more caudally. It also occurs contralaterally to a much lesser extent (Arvidsson and Grant, 1979). The electrophysiological observations of Renehan et al. (1989) and Klein (1991) avoided any complication from contralateral effects by comparing the injured sides of transected animals with control animals. That the brainstem changes are truly degenerative is confirmed by the death of ganglion cells brought about by the same procedures (Aldskogius and Arvidsson, 1978; see discussion above). Cell death is not essential for transganglionic degeneration to be produced.

Limited dental lesions, such as pulpal extirpation, are unlikely, on present evidence, to lead to cell death in the ganglion but do lead to degenerative changes in the brainstem (Westrum et al., 1976; Westrum and Canfield, 1977a,b; Johnson et al., 1983). This leads to consideration of what transganglionic degeneration and recovery from it really mean. The functional data for nerve transection cited in this section and the data on primary afferent changes following dental lesions in the next section suggest that functional recovery occurs while structurally degenerative changes are continuing. c-Fos-like immunoreactivity in the brainstem is increased when the branches of the infra-orbital nerve that supply the vibrissae are transected (Sharp et al., 1989). Expression of the c-Fos gene may be involved in mediating alterations in gene expression associated with CNS adaptations. This same study (Sharp et al., 1989) included one of the few observations of the response of the contralateral cerebral cortex to peripheral nerve injury where, interestingly, the level of c-Fos-like immunoreactivity in the brainstem is decreased following nerve injury. Sharp and his colleagues suggest that this may be due to a reduced sensory or trophic input. A chronic constriction injury model that produces behavior consistent with chronic pain (Vos et al., 1994) also increases c-Fos expression in the outer lamellae of the spinal nucleus (Vos and Strassman, 1995). The behavioral effect is, presumably, produced by alterations in the central processing of afferent input.

Most previously reported morphological approaches are limited in that they can detect degeneration and the absence of degeneration but cannot discriminate or define recovery. Detecting biological markers for neuronal activity and axonal sprouting would profitably balance the present body of knowledge on degenerative responses to nerve injury.

There may be several forms of recovery. If there is cell death, then axons from remaining cells may sprout and provide terminals to the second-order neurons, replacing those that have been lost. In cells that survive, there may be degeneration of the terminals, but this is probably only a dying back, and new sprouts may return to re-establish the original pattern of the terminals. The extent to which these events occur and the degree to which they reproduce the original pattern of input depend, presumably, on the severity of the lesion (in terms of cell death and numbers of cells and axons affected) and the degree of peripheral recovery (whether the target organ is re-innervated). Transection of a peripheral nerve that recovers well and whose peripheral connections are effectively re-established (the inferior alveolar nerve) may result in the same initial brainstem changes as transection of a nerve that does not recover well (the lingual nerve), but the long-term recovery may be very different, especially if a neuroma is formed. Unfortunately, no studies have thus far correlated central and peripheral recovery.

**Structure**

Transganglionic degeneration in the brainstem (Arvidsson and Grant, 1979) is seen from seven to 60 days after transection of the infra-orbital nerve. The degeneration occurs in the marginal zone, the magnocellular zone (laminae III & IV), and in the substantia gelatinosa. It follows a somatotopically organized pattern in both the nucleus and the sensory root; degeneration after transection of the supra-orbital nerve occurs more ventrally than that after infra-orbital nerve transection, which is, in turn, more ventral than that after mental nerve transection. Transganglionic degeneration continues for at least 130 days after the injury (Arvidsson and Grant, 1979). As it increases, it extends more caudally. It also occurs contralaterally to a much lesser extent (Arvidsson and Grant, 1979). The electrophysiological observations of Renehan et al. (1989) and Klein (1991) avoided any complication from contralateral effects by comparing the injured sides of transected animals with control animals. That the brainstem changes are truly degenerative is confirmed by the death of ganglion cells brought about by the same procedures (Aldskogius and Arvidsson, 1978; see discussion above). Cell death is not essential for transganglionic degeneration to be produced.

Limited dental lesions, such as pulpal extirpation, are unlikely, on present evidence, to lead to cell death in the ganglion but do lead to degenerative changes in the brainstem (Westrum et al., 1976; Westrum and Canfield, 1977a,b; Johnson et al., 1983). This leads to consideration of what transganglionic degeneration and recovery from it really mean. The functional data for nerve transection cited in this section and the data on primary afferent changes following dental lesions in the next section suggest that functional recovery occurs while structurally degenerative changes are continuing. c-Fos-like immunoreactivity in the brainstem is increased when the branches of the infra-orbital nerve that supply the vibrissae are transected (Sharp et al., 1989). Expression of the c-Fos gene may be involved in mediating alterations in gene expression associated with CNS adaptations. This same study (Sharp et al., 1989) included one of the few observations of the response of the contralateral cerebral cortex to peripheral nerve injury where, interestingly, the level of c-Fos-like immunoreactivity in the brainstem is decreased following nerve injury. Sharp and his colleagues suggest that this may be due to a reduced sensory or trophic input. A chronic constriction injury model that produces behavior consistent with chronic pain (Vos et al., 1994) also increases c-Fos expression in the outer lamellae of the spinal nucleus (Vos and Strassman, 1995). The behavioral effect is, presumably, produced by alterations in the central processing of afferent input.

Most previously reported morphological approaches are limited in that they can detect degeneration and the absence of degeneration but cannot discriminate or define recovery. Detecting biological markers for neuronal activity and axonal sprouting would profitably balance the present body of knowledge on degenerative responses to nerve injury.

There may be several forms of recovery. If there is cell death, then axons from remaining cells may sprout and provide terminals to the second-order neurons, replacing those that have been lost. In cells that survive, there may be degeneration of the terminals, but this is probably only a dying back, and new sprouts may return to re-establish the original pattern of the terminals. The extent to which these events occur and the degree to which they reproduce the original pattern of input depend, presumably, on the severity of the lesion (in terms of cell death and numbers of cells and axons affected) and the degree of peripheral recovery (whether the target organ is re-innervated). Transection of a peripheral nerve that recovers well and whose peripheral connections are effectively re-established (the inferior alveolar nerve) may result in the same initial brainstem changes as transection of a nerve that does not recover well (the lingual nerve), but the long-term recovery may be very different, especially if a neuroma is formed. Unfortunately, no studies have thus far correlated central and peripheral recovery.

**Pairing**

Electrophysiological observations demonstrate that central changes resulting from minor peripheral injuries are recordable but reversible, attesting to the plasticity of the trigeminal system (Fig. 7). The tooth injuries applied to both cats (Hu et al., 1986, 1990; Hu and Sessle, 1989) and rats (Hu et al., 1992; Kwan et al., 1993) from which central recordings were made were similar to the tooth pulp extirpations used in morphological studies (e.g., Gobel and Binck, 1977; Westrum and Canfield, 1977a,b; discussed below). They may have been somewhat milder in that only part of the tooth pulp was removed and the apical pulp remained histologically normal at the end of the observation period. The electrophysiological experiments also differ in design from most of the morphological studies in other ways. The post-injury survival times...
extend up to two years, the severity of the injury is increased (Hu et al., 1990), and behavioral observations are made on the recovering animals (Hu et al., 1986). Single-unit extracellular recordings, predominantly from low-threshold mechanoreceptive neurons, show that there are statistically significant changes in brainstem neuron properties in comparison with those in uninjured control animals. After multiple pulpotomies, fewer of the neurons respond only to mechanical stimulation within either the mandibular or maxillary division, but the number of neurons with fields in two or three divisions of the trigeminal system increases. This change is short-lived, since the proportion of neurons exhibiting these altered properties declines progressively during the seven- to 15-day post-injury period (Hu et al., 1986). In the pulpotomized cats, there are also more spontaneously active neurons, though it is important to add that there are spontaneously active neurons in control animals and that the firing rate of the spontaneously active neurons in the treated animals did not differ from the rate in controls. With longer survival times (Hu and Sessle, 1989), the incidence of spontaneously active neurons declines rather than increases in comparison with controls. The incidences and latencies of all oralis neuronal responses evoked by electrical stimuli applied inside as well as outside the normal mechanoreceptive field of these neurons did not change between control and deafferented groups. Over 50% of neurons could be activated by electrical stimuli applied to cutaneous or mucosal sites outside the V division(s) containing their localized mechanoreceptive field. Thus, the increase in mechanoreceptive field size and changes in the response properties associated with the pulp deafferentation may have involved unmasking of a previously silent afferent input to the neurons. Most of the responses to pulp deafferentation in the cat may also be observed in the rat (Kwan et al., 1993). Recording in the subnucleus caudalis (Hu and Sessle, 1989) reveals that the incidence of nociceptive neurons responding to noxious heat stimuli is reduced by pulpotomy for 7-15 days after the injury but thereafter returns to control values. There is no other evidence of enhanced nociceptive activity after injury.

Hu and his colleagues (1986) interpret these results as consistent with an unmasking of existing afferent inputs following partial deafferentation (most neurons receive some of their input from non-pulpal afferents). Furthermore, Shortland et al. (1996) show evidence, based on intracellular staining of single primary afferent axons in the V brainstem complex, that seems to exclude the collateral sprouting of non-deafferented afferent inputs as an alternative mechanism. Deafferentation may lead to these central changes through an alteration in the extent of primary afferent depolarization-induced pre-synaptic inhibition. In intact animals, conditioning stimuli to afferents ending in the trigeminal nucleus readily induce such changes (Sessle and Dubner, 1971). However, Shyu et al. (1993) recently demonstrated that facial stimulation can induce primary afferent depolarization in endings in nucleus oralis to a similar extent in both control and pulp-deafferented rats, demonstrating that central changes previously reported in deafferented animals did not result from an alteration in peripherally induced pre-synaptic regulatory mechanisms. Apart from the unmasking mechanism proposed above, no convincing explanation of the physiological data associated with pulpotomy-induced neuroplastic changes has been put forward.

(2) Structural observations

The vibrissal innervation in the rat has an advantage as an experimental model in that it has a restricted, but well-defined and well-ordered, termination zone in the magnocellular layer of the nucleus caudalis (Arvidsson, 1986). Transganglionic degeneration is first detectable...
ten days after transection of the vibrissal nerves just outside their follicles (Arvidsson, 1986), a time that corresponds closely with degeneration following the more radical and more central transection of the infra-orbital nerve (Arvidsson and Grant, 1979). The ganglionic effects of these more peripheral lesions have not been reported, but it would be surprising if they led to cell death, and it is most unlikely that this would have occurred by ten days. It may be safe to conclude that transganglionic degeneration is not (or not only) a sequel to neuronal death.

Following unilateral tooth extractions or pulpectomies, degeneration is seen bilaterally in the ventral half of the pars interpolaris and in the rostral pars caudalis (Fig. 8) (Westrum et al., 1976; Gobel and Binck, 1977; Westrum and Canfield, 1979; Johnson and Westrum, 1980). Degeneration of terminals and of both myelinated and non-myelinated axons occurs (Gobel and Binck, 1977). The degenerative changes in the terminals of the trigeminal complex have been described at the ultra-structural level as consisting of electron-dense degeneration, neurofilamentous hyperplasia, flocculent degeneration, and glycogen accumulation (Johnson et al., 1983). The degenerating material seems to have a different fate, depending on its position in the laminar organization of the nucleus. Glial cells rapidly phagocytose terminals in layer I, the process beginning as soon as 14 days after pulpal extirpation (Gobel and Binck, 1977), though debris is still present for at least 60 days after the injury. Although thin glial processes gradually surround degenerating endings in layers II and III, the endings do not appear to be phagocytosed but degenerate in situ (Gobel and Binck, 1977). The longer degenerative process in layers II and III parallels the degeneration of myelinated axons and leads to the suggestion that these are the terminals of myelinated axons (Gobel and Binck, 1977). Recent tracer studies injected horseradish peroxidase or neurobiotin intra-axonally into low-threshold mechanosensitive fibers 10 to 32 days following pulpotomy (Shortland et al., 1996). The central collaterals of these uninjured trigeminal afferents show normal morphology and maintain normal somatotopy. Thus, changes in the morphology of low-threshold primary afferents cannot account for the changes that occur in the receptive field properties of trigeminal brainstem neurons after pulp deafferentation, described in the preceding section.

There is some unresolved controversy as to the presence of transsynaptic changes. Gobel and Binck (1977) and Gobel (1984) describe degenerative changes in the cell bodies and dendrites of layer I as soon as 14 days after tooth pulp extirpation, evident as large areas of cytoplasm devoid of organelles and as discontinuities in the cell membrane. In the same species and with similar survival times, Johnson et al. (1983) report, in a summary of several studies, no changes in the post-synaptic profiles or in nearby somata. It may be that the post-synaptic changes are transient rather than truly degenerative, but this important point, in terms of the extent of central response to a peripheral injury, requires clarification.

No behavioral changes have been reported for animals in which these peripheral dental lesions were induced, and it seems unlikely that such changes would result in significant loss of function or disturbance of sensory input. Perhaps most telling in this regard is the finding of similar patterns of terminal degeneration occurring as a result of normal deciduous tooth exfoliation (Westrum and Canfield, 1979; Westrum et al., 1984).
Expression of proto-oncogene c-Fos in the brainstem has been interpreted as an indicator of continuing noxious input (e.g., Sugimoto et al., 1993), though the specificity of this seems unlikely since it can be induced by stimuli as mild as tooth movement (Kato et al., 1994). This effect has received little attention as a response to injury rather than noxious stimulation in the trigeminal system. c-Fos expression in the nucleus caudalis increases after tooth extraction or pulp exposure, but the effect begins to decrease by eight hours and is very small 24 hours after the injury (Wakisaka et al., 1992).

Toxins that induce neural degeneration have been used as an alternative to classic degeneration techniques and retrograde tracers to describe the central projection of dental afferents. The toxic lectin ricin, when placed in the dental pulp, causes, within six to eight days, central degeneration ipsilaterally and dorsally throughout the trigeminal nuclear complex and ventrally in the pars intermedius and pars caudalis (Johnson et al., 1983; Henry et al., 1987). This supports other evidence from pulpal extirpations (Gobel and Binck, 1977; Johnson et al., 1983) of a dual dorsal and ventral projection of dental afferents. Degeneration (rather than chromatolysis) is also seen in the trigeminal ganglion. Similar changes are seen when this toxin or adriamycin (doxorubicin) is applied to a nerve trunk (Kato et al., 1988) or around sensory nerve terminals (Bigotte and Olsson, 1987). Capsaicin is a toxin that has been widely used in neonatal animals for the selective destruction of narrow fibers. It is less effective in the adult. In neonatal animals, capsaicin greatly reduced the number of mechanically nociceptive neurons that also responded to noxious levels of heat, but this effect is absent in treated adult animals (Salt et al., 1982).

(E) Injuries to the Trigeminal Roots—Rhizotomy

Structural observations

Sectioning the sensory root of the trigeminal nerve between the ganglion and the brainstem is a severe injury that results in extensive degeneration primarily in the ipsilateral trigeminal nucleus (Figs. 9, 10; Westrum and Black, 1968; Westrum, 1973; Westrum and Henry, 1991, 1993; Stover et al., 1992). Paradoxically, it also causes a marked decrease in nerve growth factor p75 receptor immunoreactivity in the nucleus but an increase in NGF expression in the trigeminal ganglion, associated, presumably, with sprouting of the severed axons (Henry et al., 1993). An increase in nerve growth factor receptor immunoreactivity also occurs in the trigeminal motor nucleus if the motor root is included in the rhizotomy (Kullas et al., 1991). After sensory rhizotomy, CGRP immunoreactivity is reduced, particularly in the more rostral elements of the nucleus (Stover et al., 1992). Cervical transection of the trigeminal tract eliminates most of the remaining CGRP immunoreactivity from the more caudal components, suggesting that some primary input is derived from lower levels. Some lesser degeneration is also evident in the contralateral nucleus caudalis (Westrum and Henry, 1991), and ultrastructural evidence (Westrum and Henry, 1993) suggests that some of the degenerating terminals are those of primary afferents but that others may be derived from intrinsic interneurons affected transsynaptically. The degenerative/regenerative process in the nucleus following rhizotomy is also reflected in changes in the level and distribution of acetylcholinesterase activity (Wilson et al., 1988).
(VI) Neuromas, Deafferentation, and Chronic Pain

A case has been made, in the spinal system, for sensory nerve injury contributing to the genesis of chronic pain (for summaries, see Tasker and Dostrovsky, 1984; Devor and Rappaport, 1985; Cooper and Sessle, 1992). Neither the electrophysiological nor the morphological data summarized above support this concept in the trigeminal system. Both groups of data indicate that the changes following peripheral injury in the form of dental lesions would appear to be reversible, the functional recovery beginning to occur at approximately the time that morphological changes become detectable (7–10 days). However, the extent of the injury is small, and there is evidence that, following such injuries, the peripheral nerve recovers well (Fried et al., 1991; Mason and Holland, 1993; Holland, 1994). Only one of the reports cited in this review (Vos et al., 1994) describes behavioral changes in the experimental animals that would be consistent with chronic pain. More extensive and prolonged injury may result in more severe and enduring central changes. Hu et al. (1990) show that extending the severity of the pulp injury by including more teeth prolongs at least the time course of the central changes. Rhizotomy would denervate large numbers of second-order neurons and seriously disrupt synaptic arrangements. However, no reports of behavioral changes following this procedure are currently available.

Clearly, it would be of considerable interest to extend the observations of central change both morphologically and electrophysiologically to include more severe peripheral injuries. Severe injury compounded by restricted recovery such as that resulting from amputation can lead to the formation of neuromas. Neuromas are the greatly altered and distorted parts of a nerve in which the Schwann cell-endothelial barrier has been broken and regenerated axons have escaped to grow in a disordered fashion in the connective tissue framework of the nerve trunk (Sunderland, 1978). They commonly occur at the end of severely damaged nerves but can also occur 'in continuity' along the course of a partially regenerated nerve. Some neuromas are painful. Painful neuromas outside the trigeminal system have been investigated and have been shown to exhibit spontaneous activity that can be enhanced by mechanical stimulation and the application of norepinephrine (e.g., Wall and Gutnick, 1974a,b; Govrin-Lippman and Devor, 1978). In experiments in which the recovery of an injured inferior alveolar nerve was impeded (Robinson, 1981; Holland and Robinson, 1987, 1990a), the animals showed no abnormalities of behavior, and the most significant finding was an extensive recovery of peripheral target innervation despite neuroma formation. More recent direct observation of the properties of myelinated afferents in the IAN shows that while neuroma formation results in increased spontaneous activity in the nerve and mechanical sensitivity of the neuroma, these changes decline with time (Bongenhielm and Robinson, 1996). Few of the other injuries included in this review induce significant neuroma formation, and this may be an important factor in developing dysesthesias and pain. There are limitations in designing and implementing chronic pain models. The model of Bennett and Xie (1988) has been applied in the spinal system, and its use is currently being extended very effectively to the trigeminal system (Vos et al., 1994; Vos and Strassman, 1995).

(VII) Conclusions

In viewing the data available from experimental approaches to trigeminal nerve injury, one may draw several conclusions with various degrees of safety. There clearly remains a considerable degree of plasticity in the system, even in the adult. After nerve trunk injury, in favorable circumstances, the reinnervation of peripheral targets is good, and there is no neuronal death though conduction velocities in the trunk may be reduced. ‘Favorable circumstances’ would be an injury in which there was either no loss of continuity of the connective tissue sheath (crushing) or where the cut ends of a transected nerve remain in apposition. Unfavorable circumstances would include separation of the damaged ends of a nerve trunk, loss of a segment of the trunk, an obstruction to regeneration, and greater proximity of the injury to the central nervous system. Under these conditions, some neurons die, and this may be particularly pronounced in narrow fibers. Axonal sprouting is exceptionally high and persistent, and there is limited or no reinnervation of the target organs though sprouts from other non-injured nerves may supply some collateral reinnervation. Alternate inappropriate targets may be innervated. Active repair, particularly by epineurial sutures, even if delayed for up to three months after the injury, can convert a possibly unfavorable outcome to a favorable one. Unfortunately, although the response in the periphery, in the ganglion, and in the nerve trunk itself has been examined extensively after nerve trunk damage, few data are available on changes in the brainstem. The lesions whose central consequences have been examined in detail are, in general, minor and peripheral. One would expect the changes seen after nerve trunk injury to be more substantial and persistent, particularly after nerve trunk injuries in which regeneration was difficult or discouraged. This assumption requires experimental testing.

The evidence for transsynaptic degeneration in the trigeminal system is not extensive. It would likely be more obvious after more severe lesions. While the available descriptions of brainstem degeneration are detailed and have been corroborated from several laboratories, there is only limited evidence of central regeneration.
Johnson et al. (1983) provided evidence that terminals that show subtle degenerative changes may recover. The reversibility of the central electrophysiological changes after minor peripheral lesions may be due to functional adaptations to the modified input, but it may also be that normal connections are restored by regenerating axons. Central studies have been restricted to minor lesions in which peripheral recovery would be good and primary afferent cell death absent. It would be of considerable interest to compare the data currently available with similar observations after nerve trunk injuries in which regeneration was prevented. The work that has thus far been published where immunocytochemical techniques were used to observe changes in the central expression of neuropeptides is fascinating but clearly incomplete, particularly in the brainstem. Descriptions of the changes in the patterns of expression of peptides and receptors to a variety of lesions, particularly those of greater severity, would considerably enhance our understanding of central plasticity. One study (Drew et al., 1987) has reported the numbers and affinities of GABA (gamma amino butyric acid) receptors in the nucleus caudalis following central rhizotomy. Experimental studies of the effects of rhizotomy have been limited largely to one group, whereas accounts of its use as a clinical procedure for pain relief abound (e.g., Ferguson et al., 1981; Arias, 1986). Apart from this direct clinical relevance, it is important as a procedure which produces Wallerian degeneration of central processes.

In general, the nature of the lesions whose peripheral consequences have been examined differs from that of those whose central sequelae are known. Consolidation in this respect would lead to a more valid and comprehensive description of the response to nerve injuries. In the majority of experiments, the period of observation has been relatively brief, and a suspicion remains that changes or the reversal of changes may continue over a much longer period. While the functional data on the peripheral recovery are substantial if not definitive, the morphological approaches have frequently been restricted to a simple fiber analysis proximal and distal to the various injuries. This has several limitations. The number of axons distal to an injury may well represent the degree of sprouting within the recovery region of the nerve and not the number of axons that have crossed the injury site. Simple histology does not demonstrate the peptidergic character of the nerve or reveal the possible sensory/sympathetic approximation that may occur in healing nerves. The detailed ultrastructure of trigeminal neuromas is unknown.

There are enormous opportunities for the acquisition of new knowledge in this area. The clinical significance in terms of pain, sensory dysfunction, and motor handicaps is considerable. In addition, the trigeminal system offers some special advantages over the spinal system as a model for examining the consequences of nerve injury and for the development of treatment strategies. There are well-defined end organs (teeth, vibrissae, and, by adoption, taste buds) whose development, regeneration, and reinnervation are readily observable. There is a wide range of sensory information carried by the system, but the predominance of pain in both normal and disturbed conditions is particularly significant. There are several motor functions—skeletal, secretomotor, and vasomotor—that can be segregated and examined discretely. There are examples of trophic influences on development (e.g., odontogenesis) that are readily accessible to experimental observation. The scientific virtues of the trigeminal system are substantial.

In offering comment on future directions, one could be at least as expansive on what is unknown as the preceding review is on what is known. This research area is blessed with a good number of gifted and industrious investigators, and it is difficult, without being unjustly critical, dismissive and/or patronizing and/or pompous, to suggest what these people should be doing. Fortunately, the author feels no inhibitions in this regard, and what follows is a personal list, in random order, with a certain amount of internal repetition and contradiction of the directions in which experimental studies on trigeminal nerve injury are, will, or should be applying increased emphasis:

- Examination of the central consequences of more severe injuries, particularly those following impeded regeneration and most particularly those looking at the chronic pain model of Bennett and Xie (1988) applied to the trigeminal system
- Measurement of the extent of post-synaptic changes in the brainstem following injuries of different severities
- The extension of observations to levels of the central nervous system above the brainstem
- Closer examination of the consequences of procedures such as rhizotomy which are used clinically but not widely examined experimentally
- Determination of the neurotrophic factors (and their source) involved in the regenerative process
- Description of the expression of peptides, transmitters, trophins, and other neurally active molecules during injury and recovery
- A clearer demonstration of the relationship between nerve injury and the genesis of chronic pain
- A clarification of whether recovery, peripheral or central, is a process of regeneration or adaptation or both
- The development of techniques for the optimal recovery of injured nerves
- The role of inflammation, particularly when chronic, on the recovery of peripheral nerve injuries
- Definition of the conditions that lead to neuronal
death in the trigeminal ganglion and mesencephalic nucleus

• Estimation of recovery of axons in terms of the number and nature of the proximal axons that are continuous with axons distal to the injury site
• The extension of behavioral studies that demonstrate functional recovery
• Application of new neurotoxins and neural tracers for differential identification of components of the recovering trigeminal system
• Clarification of the possible role of nerve injury in the genesis of chronic pain
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