## STANLEY M. GARN, ARTHUR B. LEWIS, and ARLINE J. WALENGA

Center for Human Growth, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan and Fels Research Institute, Yellow Springs, Ohio

Although genetic control of crown diameters has been documented by a number of workers (R. H. OSBORNE ET AL, Amer J Hum Genet 10: 350-356, 1958; S. M. GARN ET AL, J Dent Res 44:228-242, 1965; D. W. LEWIS and R. M. GRAINGER, Arch Oral Biol 12:539-544, 1967; and D. H. GOOSE, J Dent Res 46:959-962, 1967), genetic involvement in crown-size patterning has not been ascertained for the whole dentition.

To investigate the genetic and chromosomal basis of crown-size profile patterning, crown-size patterns of 960 pairs of subjects from southwestern Ohio were compared. After converting individual measurements into normalized T scores (W. A. McCALL, Measurement, 1939, pp 505-508) by using a computer program (C. R. BLACK, Ann NY Acad Sci 134: 538-540, 1966), dentitions of each pair of subjects were compared by the product moment correlation, r. The resulting correlation coefficients  $(r_T)$ , involving T-scored values of corresponding teeth, provided a simple expression of the degree of patterned similarity between any two individuals. The use of normalized T scores automatically corrected for sex made possible cross-sexed as well as like-sexed comparisons.

Sibling correlations for tooth-size similarity approximated 0.22 for 246 like-sexed and crosssexed brothers and sisters (Table). Parent-child similarities in crown-size profile pattern approximated 0.20 as shown by 90 parent-child pairings. Similarly investigated, 58 pairs of cousins yielded a mean similarity coefficient value of 0.15. Finally, randomly paired, unrelated subjects had a pattern profile similarity value of 0.01, close to the theoretical 0.00 value. With profile-pattern similarity values ranging from 0.90 for monozygotic female twins through 0.20 for parents versus their children, 0.15 for cousins, and 0.00 for unrelated pairs, it is clear that crown-size profile patterns have a genetic basis.

The values in the table also show evidence for X-chromosomal involvement in the pattern of the total dentition. Sister-sister values of  $r_{\tau}$ exceeded the remaining sibling correlations.

This work was supported by USPHS Research Grants DE-01294 and FR-00222 from the National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Md.

Additional information is available on request to the authors.

Received for publication March 20, 1968.

PARENT-CHILD, SIBLING, AND COUSIN RESEMBLANCES IN CROWN-SIZE PROFILE PATTERNS

Type of Comparison		Mean
	N	r T*
Sibling		
Sister-sister	67	0.256
Brother-brother	65	0.117
Brother-sister	114	0.219
All	246	0.218
Parent-child		
Father-daughter	29	0.256
Father-son	19	-0.045
Mother-daughter	28	0.310
Mother-son	14	0.162
All	90	0.195
Cousin		
Female cousins	23	0.168
Male cousins	8	0.119
Male-female cousins	27	0.148
All cousins	58	0.152
Random pairings		
Females	50	-0.011
Males	56	0.034
Male-female	66	0.007

\* Left side, except where a corresponding tooth on the right side was substituted because of missing data.

Moreover, father-daughter crown-size profile patterns were more alike  $(r_T=0.26)$  than father-son correlations  $(r_T=-0.04)$ , again in accordance with the hypothesis of X-chromosomal mediation.

Taking twin, sibling, parent-child, and cousin similarities in crown-size profile patterning into account, using the statistic  $r_T$  throughout, it is estimated that 80% to 90% of patterned variance in crown size has a genetic basis, most of which can be attributed to a combination of autosomal genes and genes located on the X chromosome. Finally, from the excess of sistersister over brother-brother values of  $r_T$  and the excess of father-daughter over father-son values, it would appear that a greater proportion of crown-size profile pattern variance is controlled by relatively few genes on the X chromosome than by the larger number on the remaining 22 pairs of autosomes.

Ova D. Goins of the Fels Computer Facility assisted in the computer programming for the statistic rr, and Edmund Churchill made statistical studies.