Radial oscillations and the ion hose instability of an electron beam propagating in a periodic ion channel
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Recently, the propagation of an electron beam through an ion channel with periodically varying ion density has been proposed as a method of transporting or modulating an electron beam. A theoretical treatment indicates that, in the absence of an external magnetic field, cylindrically symmetric radial oscillations of the beam electrons are excited by the channel, with resonant excitation occurring when the wavelength of the ion density variation equals the betatron wavelength. An analysis of the ion focusing regime (IFR) ion hose instability indicates that the e-folding length of this instability increases when the variations of the electron density are increased. In the absence of an external magnetic field and damping, moderate electron density variations (caused, for example, by a periodic ion channel or the nonrigidity of the beam and channel) result in a wavelength and e-folding length on the order of the beam-averaged betatron wavelength.

I. INTRODUCTION

Propagation of an electron beam in an ion channel in the ion focusing regime (IFR) allows the beam to propagate without expanding from space-charge repulsion. In the IFR, the beam electrons undergo radial oscillations at a characteristic frequency, so that in the laboratory the beam diameter varies periodically with a wavelength known as the betatron wavelength. One of the fundamental physical limitations of IFR e-beam propagation has been the ion hose (ion resonance) instability, in which transverse oscillations of the e beam and ion channel couple and grow.

Recently, the use of ion channels with a periodic variation in ion density has been proposed as a possible method of transporting and inducing oscillations in a propagating e beam. Such oscillations may have application to free-electron laser wigglers or plasma wave particle accelerators. Miller and Gilgenbach have performed analytic and numerical calculations describing the propagation of e beams in channels where the ion density vanished periodically, observing stable transport with oscillations in the beam envelope at the betatron wavelength as well as the wavelength of the ion density variation.

In this paper, we derive an analytic solution for the radial motion of an arbitrary fluid element of a cylindrically symmetric electron beam propagating in a previously ionized channel for which the ion density profile varies sinusoidally with axial position. It is assumed that the ions are stationary and that the electron beam instantaneously ejects radially the plasma electrons associated with these ions. We perform the analysis in the frame of reference moving with the e beam. The results, given in Sec. II, indicate that resonant excitation of cylindrically symmetric e-beam oscillations occurs when the wavelength of the ion density variation equals the betatron wavelength of the e-beam electrons. In Sec. III, we present calculations of the e-folding length and wavelength for the ion hose instability in a periodic ion channel. The calculations show that a periodic ion channel can result in an increase in the wavelength and e-folding length of the instability.

II. OSCILLATIONS IN ELECTRON BEAM RADIUS

A. Basic equations and assumptions

Let us consider an e beam propagating in the z direction in a periodic ion density channel. We assume a nonrotating beam with cylindrical symmetry and we perform the calculations in a frame of reference moving with the electron beam. At a fixed axial position in this frame, the periodic ion density channel appears as a time-varying ion density:

$$ n_i(r,t) = n_0(r) + n_i(r) \cos \omega t. \tag{1} $$

(In the lab frame,

$$ n_{i_{lab}}(r,z) = \left(1/\gamma\right)\left[n_0(r) + n_i(r)\cos(2\pi z/\lambda)\right], $$

where $\lambda = 2\pi \gamma c/\omega_i$, $\beta = v/c$, and $\gamma = (1 - \beta^2)^{-1/2}$ describe the e-beam velocity.) We consider ion density variations with wavelengths long compared to the e-beam radius, so that the component of electric field in the z direction is ignorable. At the radial position $r$, the radial electric field resulting from the ion charge is

$$ E_r(r,t) = \frac{\int_0^\infty e[n_0(r') + n_i(r') \cos \omega t]2\pi r' dr'}{\varepsilon_0(2\pi r)} \tag{2} $$

Letting $n_i(r,t)$ equal the electron density, the radial electric field at position $r$ resulting from the electron charge is

$$ E_e(r,t) = \frac{\int_0^\infty e'n_i(r')2\pi r' dr'}{\varepsilon_0(2\pi r)} \tag{3} $$

Using Eqs. (2) and (3), we write the equation of motion for a fluid element of electrons:
\[
\frac{d^2 \delta}{dt^2} = -\frac{eE}{m_e} \frac{d n_e}{m_e n_e dr} \frac{kT_e}{m_e} \frac{dn_e}{m_e n_e dr} \\
= \int_0^r \int_0^{\pi/2} \left[ n_1(r') n_1(r') \cos \theta \right] \frac{e^2}{2e_{\phi}} dr' dr' \\
- \frac{2\pi \epsilon_0}{m_e} \frac{n_0(r') \cos \theta}{2\pi \epsilon_0 r_0} \left( \epsilon_0 m_e \frac{n_0(r') \cos \theta}{2\pi \epsilon_0 r_0} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\omega^2 - \omega_0^2} \right) \cos \omega t.
\]

This equation describes a driven harmonic oscillator with solution

\[
r(t) = r_0 + a_0 \cos(\omega_0 t + \theta) + \frac{e^2}{2\pi \epsilon_0 m_e} \frac{e^2}{2\pi \epsilon_0} \frac{n_0(r') \cos \theta}{2\pi \epsilon_0 r_0} \left( \frac{1}{\omega^2 - \omega_0^2} \right) \cos \omega t,
\]

where

\[
\omega_0 = \frac{e^2}{\epsilon_0 m_e} \frac{kT_e}{m_e} \frac{2\pi \epsilon_0}{r_0} \left( \frac{1}{\omega^2 - \omega_0^2} \right) \cos \omega t.
\]

For a forced beam in a Gaussian ion channel with \( \lambda_{\text{De}} \ll r_e \), we can write Eq. (7) in terms of the local electron plasma frequency \( \omega_{\text{pe}} = \left[ \frac{n_e(r)}{\epsilon_0 e_{\phi} n_e} \right]^{1/2} \), obtaining

\[
\omega_0 = \omega_{\text{pe}}^2 + \frac{4}{\omega^2} \left( \frac{1}{\omega^2 - \omega_0^2} \right) (kT_e/m_e) r_0,
\]

which describes the frequency of an electron plasma wave with wave vector \( k = 2/r_e \). The temperature-dependent term is a small correction when \( \lambda_{\text{De}} \ll r_e \). The parameters \( \omega_0 \) and \( \theta \), which describe the amplitude and phase of the electron plasma oscillations (i.e., betatron oscillations), depend upon the initial conditions (i.e., beam injection parameters) of the problem.

The sharp resonance in Eq. (6) results from the use of fluid equations with a scalar pressure gradient term, whose magnitude was estimated by assuming that the electron beam contracts and expands without changing shape. This results in a neglect of kinetic (Landau) damping and phase-mix damping. In order to include the effects of damping while using fluid equations, we add a phenomenological damping term, \(-2a(d\delta/dt)\), to the right-hand side of Eq. (5), obtaining the equation of a driven damped harmonic oscillator with solution

\[
r(t) = r_0 + a_0 \exp(-\alpha t) \cos \left[ \left( \frac{\omega_0^2}{\omega^2} - \frac{\omega_0}{\omega^2} \right) t + \theta \right] + \frac{e^2}{2\pi \epsilon_0 m_e} \frac{e^2}{2\pi \epsilon_0} \frac{n_0(r') \cos \theta}{2\pi \epsilon_0 r_0} \left( \frac{1}{\omega^2 - \omega_0^2} \right) \exp(-i\omega t)
\]

For the case of a cold beam (\( T_e = 0 \)) with a flat ion channel profile \( [n_0(r) \equiv n_0, n_1(r) \equiv n_0] \), Eq. (8) becomes

\[
r(t) = r_0 + a_0 \exp(-\alpha t) \cos \left[ \left( \frac{\omega_0^2}{\omega^2} - \frac{\omega_0}{\omega^2} \right) t + \theta \right] + \frac{n_1}{2n_0} \frac{r_0}{\omega^2} \exp(-i\omega t)
\]

From Eqs. (8) and (9), we note that the damping constant \( \alpha \)
is the imaginary part of the complex electron oscillation frequency. Damping of electron oscillations can arise from kinetic effects such as Landau damping. Since the oscillation frequency of fluid elements depends upon the local ion density, neighboring fluid elements will tend to oscillate at different frequencies. Because the fluid elements are coupled by the pressure gradient when \( T_\gamma \neq 0 \), this can result in phase-mix damping of the betatron oscillations, further increasing the value of \( \alpha \). A possible estimate of the magnitude of kinetic damping effects is given by the Landau damping constant \( \alpha_L \), which depends upon the wave vector of the plasma oscillations:

\[
\alpha_L = \omega_{pe} (\pi/8)^{1/2} e^{-3/2} (k \lambda_{De})^{-3} \exp(-1/2 \kappa^2 \lambda^2_{De}).
\]

(10)

A reasonable value of \( \kappa \) that can be inserted into Eq. (10) is \( k = 2/r_e \), which earlier was shown to give agreement between the frequency of oscillation of the fluid elements and the dispersion relation describing electron plasma waves in a spatially uniform plasma.

B. Discussion of radial oscillations

With \( n_e(r) \equiv 0 \) (uniform ion channel density), the solution (8) reduces to

\[
r(r) = r_0 + a_0 \exp(-\alpha t) \cos[(\omega_0 - \omega \pm \alpha^2)^{1/2} t + \theta],
\]

which describes damped betatron oscillations of the e-beam electrons. The case where \( a_0 = 0 \) describes force-free propagation. For a cool electron beam, force-free propagation requires that \( n_e \approx n_0 \) (in the e-beam frame). The condition \( n_e \approx n_0 \) reduces to the conventional expression \( n_{lab} \approx \gamma^2 n_{lab} \) in the laboratory frame.

In the laboratory frame, the oscillations described by Eq. (11) appear as stationary betatron oscillations. For a cool beam, such that the electron Debye length (in the electron frame) is much smaller than the beam radius, the betatron wavelength is

\[
\lambda_{lab} = 2\pi \beta (\epsilon_0 m_e \gamma / n_{lab} e^2)^{1/2}.
\]

(12)

For a Gaussian beam and ion channel of equal RMS radii \( r_e \), the beam-averaged betatron wavelength is

\[
\langle \lambda_{lab} \rangle = 4\pi \beta (\epsilon_0 m_e \gamma / n_{lab} e^2)^{1/2},
\]

(13)

where \( n_{lab} \) is the ion density in the center of the ion channel, measured in the lab frame. The beam-averaged betatron wavelength is twice the value of the betatron wavelength describing the center of the beam. For \( \beta \sim 1 \), Eq. (13) equals the conventional expression \( 2\pi r_e (I_x/I_y)^{1/2} \) for the betatron wavelength, where \( I_x \) is the current neutralization fraction, \( I_y \) is the beam current, and \( I_x \) is the Alfvén current.

When \( n_e(r) \) is nonzero, there are additional oscillations of the e-beam radius at the frequency \( \omega \) of the ion density oscillations, as seen in the frame moving with the e beam. A resonant excitation occurs when the frequency \( \omega \) equals the natural frequency \( \omega_0 \) of the betatron oscillations, and large oscillations of the beam electrons occur for small variations in ion density when \( \omega \approx \omega_0 \). In the laboratory frame, the resonance condition occurs when the wavelength of the ion density variation equals the betatron wavelength.

In order that the solution (8) be consistent with the assumption that \( \delta \ll r_0 \) (i.e., the oscillations in beam radius are relatively small), two conditions must be satisfied.

(a) \( a_0 \ll r_0 \). This condition requires that the magnitude of the betatron oscillations must be small, which can be ensured by matching the beam radius to the ion channel density.

(b) \( \frac{e^2 \int n_1(r') 2\pi r' dr'}{2\pi^2 \epsilon_0 m_e (\omega^2 - \omega_0^2 + 2i\alpha \omega)} \ll 1 \).

This condition limits the magnitude of the driving force, which results from the ion density variations. For a cold beam with a flat ion channel profile in which the ion density periodically drops to zero, \( n_0(r) = n_e(r) \approx n \) (treated by Miller and Gilgenbach), this condition reduces to \( |i\omega_0^2/(\omega^2 - \omega_0^2 + 2i\alpha \omega)| < 1 \) [see Eq. (9)], which can only be satisfied when \( \omega > \omega_0 \), i.e., the wavelength of ion density variations must be short compared to the betatron wavelength.

In summary, these calculations indicate that the electrons in an e beam propagating through a stationary periodic ion density channel, with no external magnetic field, will undergo cylindrically symmetric oscillations at their betatron wavelength as well as the wavelength of the ion density variation. These oscillations result in a modulation of the electron density. When the wavelength of the ion density variation is near the betatron wavelength, resonant excitation results in large magnitude radial oscillations of the beam electrons, even if the relative variation in ion density is small.

III. IFR HOSE INSTABILITY

The propagation of an e beam in an IFR ion density channel can be disrupted by the ion hose (i.e., ion resonance) instability,7-9 in which transverse oscillations of the e beam and ion channel couple and grow. Since this instability involves resonant excitation of ion and electron plasma oscillations, increased stability may be provided by the axial variation of the ion and electron densities resulting from a periodic ion channel.

In order to examine this possibility, we consider the rigid-beam treatment of the ion hose instability for wavelengths long compared to the beam radius. In this approach, the \( E \) field that results from transverse displacements is calculated by assuming that the cross-sectional density profiles of the e beam and ion channel remain constant. We calculate in the laboratory frame of reference, where the electron beam propagates in an ion channel parallel to an applied magnetic field \( B_{ex} \). (The case where \( B_{ex} = 0 \) is included.)

Letting \( X_e(z,t), Y_e(z,t) \) and \( X_i(z,t), Y_i(z,t) \) denote the transverse coordinates of the ion channel and e beam, respectively, the electric field at the center of the displaced ion beam is

\[
(E_x, E_y) = (-n_e/2e \partial X)/(X_i - X_e, Y_i - Y_e),
\]

where \( n_e \) is the electron density in the center of the e beam. A similar formula relates the electric field at the center of the e beam to the ion density \( n_i \) in the center of the ion channel. Thus the fluid equations for the center of the ion channel and electron beam are
\[
\frac{d^2 X_i}{dt^2} = -\left(\frac{n_i e^2}{2\varepsilon_0 m_i}\right) (X_i - X_e) + \omega_{ci} \frac{dY_i}{dt} - 2\alpha_i \frac{dX_i}{dt},
\]
\[
\frac{d^2 Y_i}{dt^2} = -\left(\frac{n_i e^2}{2\varepsilon_0 m_i}\right) (Y_i - Y_e) - \omega_{ci} \frac{dX_i}{dt} - 2\alpha_i \frac{dY_i}{dt},
\]
\[
\frac{d^2 X_e}{dt^2} = \left(\frac{n_i e^2}{2\varepsilon_0 m_e}\right) (X_e - X_i) - \omega_{ce} \frac{dY_e}{dt} - 2\alpha_e \frac{dX_e}{dt},
\]
\[
\frac{d^2 Y_e}{dt^2} = \left(\frac{n_i e^2}{2\varepsilon_0 m_e}\right) (Y_e - Y_i) + \omega_{ce} \frac{dX_e}{dt} - 2\alpha_e \frac{dY_e}{dt}.
\]

In these equations, \(\omega_{ci}\) and \(\omega_{ce}\) are the cyclotron frequencies and phase-mix damping constants in order to account for kinetic effects such as Landau damping and phase-mix damping. Setting \(Z_i = X_i + iY_i\), \(Z_e = X_e + iY_e\), and defining the frequencies \(\omega = (n_i e^2/2\varepsilon_0 m_i)^{1/2}\), \(\omega_e = (n_i e^2/2\varepsilon_0 m_e)^{1/2}\), we can write Eq. (14) as
\[
\frac{d^2 Z_i}{dt^2} = -\omega_i^2 (Z_i - Z_e) - (2\alpha_i + i\omega_{ci}) \frac{dZ_i}{dt},
\]
\[
\frac{d^2 Z_e}{dt^2} = -\omega_e^2 (Z_e - Z_i) - (2\alpha_e - i\omega_{ce}) \frac{dZ_e}{dt}.
\]

We note that \(\omega_i\) is \(2^{-1/2}\) times the betatron frequency describing the center of the beam. Equations similar to (15) can also be calculated for off-axis fluid elements, again using the rigid beam formalism. For the radial variation in the e beam and ion channel densities, the frequencies \(\omega_i\) and \(\omega_e\) are different for different fluid elements, and additional terms occur since the E fields are not always parallel to the displacement for off-axis fluid elements. Clearly, the tendency of different fluid elements to oscillate at different frequencies and in different directions will cause a change in the density profiles of the ion channel and e beam, in violation of the rigid beam assumption. This effect will be discussed later.

First, consider the case where the beam and channel are rigid and there is no axial variation in electron and ion density so that \(\omega_i^2\) and \(\omega_e^2\) are constants. For solutions of the form \(Z_e(z,t) = Z_0 e^{i(kz - \omega t)}\), \(Z_i(z,t) = Z_0 e^{i(kz - \omega t)}\), where \(\omega\) is real, we utilize the convective derivative \(d/dt = \partial/\partial t + \nu \partial/\partial z\) for the e beam, and obtain
\[
-\omega_i^2 Z_e = -\omega_i^2 Z_0 e^{i(kz - \omega t)} - (2\alpha_i + i\omega_{ci}) Z_0 e^{i(kz - \omega t)},
\]
\[
-\omega_e^2 Z_i = -\omega_e^2 Z_0 e^{i(kz - \omega t)} - (2\alpha_e - i\omega_{ce}) Z_0 e^{i(kz - \omega t)},
\]
where \(\Omega = \omega - \nu k\). Eliminating the quantities \(Z_e\) and \(Z_i\) yields
\[
\Omega^2 + 2\left(\omega_{ce} + i\omega_{ci}\right) \Omega = \omega_i^2 \left(\omega^2 - \omega_{ci} - 2i\omega_{ce}\right) / \omega^2 - \omega_{ci} - 2i\omega_{ce} - \omega_e^2.
\]

Using the quadratic formula to solve for \(\Omega\), we obtain the dispersion relation
\[
k = \frac{\omega - \Omega}{v} = \frac{1}{v} \left[ \omega + \omega_{ce} + i\alpha_e \pm \left[ (\omega_{ce} + i\alpha_e)^2 + \omega_e^2 \left(\omega^2 - \omega_{ci} - 2i\omega_{ce}\right) \right]^{1/2} \right].
\]

In the case where \(\omega \text{ Re}(k) > 0\) and \(\text{Im}(k) < 0\), Eq. (18) describes transverse (hose) oscillations of the beam that propagate downstream and grow.

We note that, for the case of no magnetic field \((\alpha_e = \omega_{ce} = 0)\) and weak damping \((\alpha_i, \alpha_e \ll \omega_i, \omega_e)\), Eq. (18) is identical to the dispersion relation describing longitudinal oscillations of electrons flowing through ions. This was obtained for the boundary value problem (where \(\omega\) is real) using the Vlasov picture with Cauchy velocity distributions \(\{\bar{f}_e(v_e) = C_e/\pi [C_e^2 + (v_e - \nu)^2]\}, \{\bar{f}_i(v_i) = C_i/\pi [C_i^2 + v_i^2]\}\), where \(\omega_i\) is the electron plasma frequency, \(\omega_e\) is the ion plasma frequency, \(\alpha_i = (\omega/|\omega|) C_e/k\), and \(\alpha_e = (\omega/|\omega|) C_i/k\). This supports the use of phenomenological damping constants \((\alpha_i, \alpha_e > 0)\) as a reasonable way to account for the kinetic effects of Landau damping on the ion waves propagating downstream, for which \(\omega \text{ Re}(k) > 0\).

Resonant excitation of the hose instability occurs when the magnitude of the denominator on the rhs of Eq. (18) is minimized, which requires
\[
\omega = \omega_e = \left(\omega_i^2/2\right) \pm \left(\omega_i^4 + \omega_e^4/4\right)^{1/2}.
\]

In the absence of an axial magnetic field, the resonant frequencies are \(\pm \omega_i\).

Provided that the ion damping constant is sufficiently small that \(|\alpha_i, \omega_i/\omega_i^2| \ll 1\), and the axial magnetic field is sufficiently weak that \(|\alpha_i, \omega_i/\omega_i^2| \ll 1\), the value of \(k\) for \(\omega = \omega_e\), is dominated by the resonant term, yielding
\[
k = \frac{\pm \omega_e}{v} \left(1 - i(\omega_e/\omega_i)\right).\]

This expression describes four modes since there are two resonant frequencies of opposite sign. Two of the four modes describe waves that propagate downstream and grow; these modes obey
\[
|\text{Re}(k)| = -|\text{Im}(k)| = (\omega_i/2\nu) \omega_e \alpha_{ci}\alpha_{ei}/1/2.
\]

Since the \(k\) vector for betatron oscillations of the center of the beam is \(21/2 \omega_e/\nu\) [see Eq. (12)], these modes have wavelength \(\nu\) and e-folding length smaller than a betatron wavelength for \(|\alpha_i, \omega_e/\omega_i^2| \ll 1\).

In the limit \(\alpha_i \to 0\), Eq. (21) indicates that the value of \(k\) for \(\omega = \omega_e\) diverges so that an absolute instability occurs in the absence of ion damping. This deficiency of the rigid beam approximation in the limit of weak ion damping results from the assumption that \(\omega_e^2\) and \(\omega_i^2\) are constant in Eq. (15). In a propagating beam, longitudinal (compressional) wave modes are unstable; in addition, betatron oscillations and turbulence will be present. The resultant variations in the electron and ion densities cause a variation in the values of
of $k$. This leads to an increase in the e-folding length of the ion hose instability, i.e., a “detuning” of the resonance.

We recall that $\omega^2_0 \approx n_e e^2 / 2 e_0 \mu m_e$, so that variations in $\omega^2_0$ result from variations in the electron density on axis as well as the nonrigidity of the beam and channel. The resonance detuning that results from the nonrigidity of the beam and channel has been previously observed in spread-mass models. 8

In order to evaluate Eq. (23), we approximate

$$\left( \frac{\omega^2 - \omega_0^2}{\omega^2 - \omega_{0c}^2 + 2i\omega\alpha_1 - \omega_1^2} \right) 
\approx \left( \frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega^2 - \omega_{0c}^2 + 2i\omega\alpha_1 - \omega_1^2} \right)$$

(24)

In evaluating the average of Eq. (24), we note that we can write

$$I \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\omega_1^2) d(\omega_1^2)$$

(25)

where $f(\omega_1)$ is the distribution of $\omega_1^2(z,t)$ in the region $[x + \Delta x] \times [t + \Delta t]$, normalized so that $\int f(\omega_1^2) d(\omega_1^2) = 1$. We allow the possibility that $f(\omega_1^2) > 0$ for $\omega_1 < 0$, which describes a repulsive force on the center of the ion channel. This could easily occur if the ion channel and electron beam profiles are deformed.

In order to determine the behavior of Eq. (25) for a distribution peaked at $\langle \omega_1^2 \rangle$ with half-width $\Delta \omega_0^2$, we consider a Gaussian distribution

$$f(\omega_1^2) = \left[ (2\pi)^{1/2} \Delta \omega_0^2 \right]^{-1} \times \exp\left\{ - (1/2) \left[ (\omega_1^2 - \langle \omega_1^2 \rangle) / \Delta \omega_0^2 \right]^2 \right\}$$

In this case,

$$I = - (2^{1/2} \Delta \omega_0^2)^{-1} \times Z \left[ \omega_1^2 - \omega_{0c}^2 - \langle \omega_1^2 \rangle + 2i\omega\alpha_1 \right] / 2^{1/2} \Delta \omega_0^2$$

where, for $\omega > 0$, $Z$ is the plasma dispersion function, while for $\omega < 0$, $Z$ is the complex conjugate of the plasma dispersion function. From the properties of the plasma dispersion function, 10 we observe that $|I|$ and $|\text{Im}(I)|$ are maximized for frequencies that satisfy

$$|\omega^2 - \omega_{0c}^2 - \langle \omega_1^2 \rangle| < 2 |\omega\alpha_1| + 2^{1/2} \Delta \omega_0^2$$

i.e., $\omega^2 - \omega_{0c}^2 \approx \langle \omega_1^2 \rangle$. For these resonant frequencies, the large values of $|I|$ and $|\text{Im}(I)|$ result in the minimum e-folding length for ion hose oscillations, when substituted in the dispersion relation (23). Thus the detuning of the ion hose resonance that results from variations in $\omega_1^2(z,t)$ does not greatly change the resonant frequencies, but only the wavelength and e-folding length of the corresponding modes.

For the resonant frequencies where $\omega^2 - \omega_{0c}^2 \approx \langle \omega_1^2 \rangle$, $|\text{Re}(I)| \ll |\text{Im}(I)|$, so that
\[ I \approx -i \frac{\omega}{|\omega|} \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{\delta \omega_i^2} \times \left[ \exp \left( \frac{2^{1/2} \omega_i \alpha_i}{\delta \omega_i^2} \right) \left( 1 - \text{erf} \left( \frac{2^{1/2} \omega_i \alpha_i}{\delta \omega_i^2} \right) \right) \right]. \]  

(26)

Substituting Eq. (26) into the dispersion relation (23), we obtain the value of \( k \) for the resonant modes, which have the smallest e-folding lengths,

\[ k = \frac{1}{v} \left( \omega + \frac{\omega_e \alpha_e}{2} + i \alpha_s \right) \pm \left[ \left( \frac{\omega_e \alpha_e}{2} + i \alpha_s \right)^2 - \langle \omega_s^2 \rangle \frac{i \omega}{|\omega|} \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^{1/2} \langle \omega_i^2 \rangle \frac{\alpha_s}{\delta \omega_i^2} \right]^{1/2} \]  

(27)

In the limit of small ion damping \( (\alpha_s \to 0) \), Eq. (27) becomes

\[ k = \frac{1}{v} \left( \omega + \frac{\omega_e \alpha_e}{2} + i \alpha_s \right) \pm \left[ \left( \frac{\omega_e \alpha_e}{2} + i \alpha_s \right)^2 - \langle \omega_s^2 \rangle \frac{i \omega}{|\omega|} \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^{1/2} \frac{\langle \omega_i^2 \rangle \alpha_s}{\delta \omega_i^2} \right]^{1/2}. \]  

(28)

We recall that, for variations in \( \omega_s^2(x,t) \) caused by variations in the electron density, \( \delta \omega_s^2/\langle \omega_s^2 \rangle \approx \delta n_s/n_s \). This term is of order 1 in a beam with moderate variations in electron density, which can arise from turbulence, longitudinal oscillations, the use of a periodic ion channel, and the nonrigidity of the beam and channel.

A comparison of Eq. (28) with Eq. (18) (evaluated at resonance) indicates that the resonance detuning resulting from variations in \( \omega_s^2(x,t) \) is comparable to that resulting from an ion damping term \( \alpha_s \), provided that \( \alpha_s/\omega \approx (2\pi)_{-1/2} \delta \omega_s^2/\langle \omega_s^2 \rangle \). Thus, for weak damping \( |\alpha_s/\omega| < (2\pi)_{-1/2} \delta \omega_s^2/\langle \omega_s^2 \rangle \), the variations in \( \omega_s^2(x,t) \) are an important source of resonance detuning.

For a strong axial magnetic field, such that \( \omega_s \gg \langle \omega_s^2 \rangle \times \langle \omega_i^2 \rangle /\delta \omega_i^2 \), and negligible damping \( (\alpha_s \to 0, \alpha_s \to 0) \), Eq. (28) reduces to

\[ k = \frac{1}{v} \left[ \omega + \frac{\omega_e \alpha_e}{2} \pm \left[ \frac{\omega_e \alpha_e}{2} - i \frac{\omega}{|\omega|} \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^{1/2} \frac{\langle \omega_i^2 \rangle \alpha_s}{\delta \omega_i^2} \right] \right]. \]  

(29)

This equation describes growing oscillations with

\[ -\text{Im}(k) = \frac{2 \langle \omega_s^2 \rangle^{1/2}}{v} \frac{\langle \omega_i^2 \rangle^{1/2}}{\delta \omega_i^2} \]  

\[ \times \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^{1/2} \frac{\langle \omega_i^2 \rangle}{\omega_e \alpha_e}. \]  

(30)

Since \( (2 \langle \omega_s^2 \rangle^{1/2}/v \) is the wave vector of a betatron oscillation, the e-folding length with a strong axial magnetic field, negligible damping, and moderate density variations, is many betatron wavelengths.

For the case of a weak axial magnetic field or no magnetic field \( (\omega_s^2 \ll \langle \omega_s^2 \rangle \langle \omega_i^2 \rangle /\delta \omega_i^2 \) and negligible damping, the value of the wave vector at resonance is again found using Eq. (28):

\[ k = \frac{1}{v} \left[ \omega + \frac{\omega_e \alpha_e}{2} \right] \pm \langle \omega_s^2 \rangle^{1/2} \frac{\langle \omega_i^2 \rangle}{\delta \omega_i^2} \left( 1 - i \frac{\omega}{|\omega|} \right). \]  

(31)

The modes that propagate downstream obey

\[ |\text{Re}(k)| = -\text{Im}(k) = \frac{2 \langle \omega_s^2 \rangle^{1/2}}{v} \left( \frac{\pi}{32} \right)^{1/4} \frac{\langle \omega_i^2 \rangle}{\delta \omega_i^2} \]  

\[ \times \frac{(\langle \omega_i^2 \rangle)^{1/2}}{\delta \omega_i^2}. \]  

(32)

Thus for moderate variations in beam density or deformations of the beam and channel so that \( \delta \omega_i^2/\langle \omega_i^2 \rangle \approx 1 \), and negligible damping, the wavelength and e-folding length are approximately equal to two betatron wavelengths. In the case of a Gaussian beam and channel, this is equal to the beam-averaged betatron wavelength.

Experimental observations have shown that transverse oscillations of an electron beam occur after propagation distances on the order of a betatron wavelength in an IFR channel with no axial magnetic field, consistent with the predictions of this model. Numerical calculations with the spread mass model have yielded an e-folding length on the order of a betatron wavelength in the absence of an axial magnetic field. This is also consistent with Eq. (32) since moderate nonrigidity of the beam and channel will lead to values of \( \delta \omega_i^2/\langle \omega_i^2 \rangle \) on the order of unity.

Since the e-folding length of the ion hose instability increases with \( \delta \omega_i^2/\langle \omega_i^2 \rangle \), which increases with \( \delta n_s/n_s \), increasing the axial variation of electron density will increase the e-folding length of the ion hose instability. This suggests that the use of periodic ion channels will aid in the propagation of electron beams. In the absence of a magnetic field and damping, our results suggest that the wavelength and e-folding length can be increased to approximately the beam-averaged betatron wavelength.

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The results of this investigation of the propagation of an electron beam in a periodic ion density channel can be summarized as follows.

1. In the absence of an external magnetic field, the electrons undergo cylindrically symmetric radial oscillations at their betatron wavelength as well as the wavelength of the ion density variation. The betatron oscillations appear as electron plasma oscillations in the frame of reference moving with the e beam. When the wavelength of the ion density variation is equal to the betatron wavelength, resonant excitation results in large radial oscillations of the electrons even if the relative variation in ion density is small. In the frame of reference moving with the e beam, this appears as resonant excitation of electron oscillations when the driving frequency equals the plasma frequency.

2. A dispersion relation for IFR ion hose oscillations was obtained in which electron and ion density variations (including the nonrigidity of the electron beam and ion channel) result in the detuning of the ion hose resonance. Larger axial variations in electron density (resulting, e.g., from the use of a periodic ion density channel) increase the...
e-folding length of the hose oscillation. In the absence of a magnetic field and significant damping of electron or ion oscillations, moderate density variations (caused by, e.g., a periodic ion channel, turbulence, or the nonrigidity of the beam and channel) result in a wavelength and e-folding length on the order of the beam-averaged betatron wavelength.
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APPENDIX: MAGNETIC FORCES

The consistency of the solution obtained in Sec. II for the radial electron oscillations in a periodic ion channel requires that the magnetic forces be negligible in the frame of reference moving with the beam. We check this for a fluid element on the edge of a cool e beam of uniform cross section \( [n_e(r) \equiv n_0] \). We obtain an estimate of transverse velocity \( v_t = d\delta / dt \) from Eq. (8) and an estimate of \( E \) is obtained by substituting the solution (8) into Eq. (4). The typical electron velocity resulting from betatron oscillations of magnitude \( a_0 \) is

\[ v_t \sim a_0 e \omega_\phi. \tag{A1} \]

The magnetic field strength at the position \( r_0 = r_\text{beam} \) due to the ion current density is

\[ B \sim \mu_0 n_e e \beta c r_0 / 2. \tag{A2} \]

The magnitude of the electric field generated by betatron oscillations of magnitude \( a_0 \) is

\[ E \sim n_e e a_0 / \epsilon_0. \tag{A3} \]

In order that the magnetic forces be ignorable, we must have \( v_t B \ll E \). Using the estimates of Eqs. (A1)–(A3), this requirement becomes

\[ a_0 e \omega_\phi \mu_0 n_e e \beta c r_0 / 2 \ll n_e e a_0 / \epsilon_0. \tag{A4} \]

In terms of the e-beam current in the laboratory frame \( I_{\text{lab}} = \gamma n_0 e \beta c r_0^2 \), (A4) can be written as

\[ I_{\text{lab}} \ll \left( 4 \pi e_0 m_e c^2 / e \right) (\gamma / \beta). \tag{A5} \]

This consistency condition requires (for \( \beta \ll 1 \)) that the electron beam current be less than the Alfvén current limit \( \left( (4 \pi e_0 m_e c^2 / e) \beta \eta \right) \).

Similarly, for forced oscillations with frequency \( \omega \), we obtain the consistency condition

\[ I_{\text{lab}} \ll \left( 4 \pi e_0 m_e c^2 \right) \left( \gamma \frac{\omega}{\beta \omega_\phi} \right)^2. \tag{A6} \]

This is a more stringent requirement than the Alfvén current limit when \( \omega / \omega_\phi \ll 1 \) and \( \beta \sim 1 \).

For the case where the e beam is propagating parallel to a static magnetic field \( B_0 \), the analysis of Sec. II is consistent provided that \( v_t B_0 \ll E \), where the transverse velocity \( v_t \) results from the betatron and forced oscillations. This requirement is satisfied provided that the electron cyclotron frequency in the frame moving with the e beam \( (e B_0 / m_e) \) is much smaller than \( \omega \) and \( \omega_\phi \), which is satisfied only by a weak magnetic field.