plasma densities. For the case of an anisotropic Maxwellian velocity distribution, (1) simply becomes

$$\omega_c^2 > \frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha_z^2}{c^2} \omega_p^2, \tag{2}$$

where  $\alpha_z$  is the thermal velocity along  $\mathbf{B}_0$ .

Now, we consider an anisotropic Maxwellian velocity distribution with loss cones given by

$$f_0 = \frac{1}{N\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}\alpha_{\perp}^2\alpha_z} \exp\left[\left(\frac{v_{\perp}}{\alpha_{\perp}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{v_z}{\alpha_z}\right)^2\right],$$

$$v_{\perp} > \frac{|v_z|}{(R-1)^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$

$$= 0, \qquad v_{\perp} < \frac{|v_z|}{(R-1)^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \qquad (3)$$

where  $\alpha_{\perp}$  denotes the thermal velocity perpendicular to  $\mathbf{B}_0$ , R is the mirror ratio, and  $\int f_0 d\mathbf{v} = 1$  so that  $N = [(R-1)\theta/(R-1)\theta + 1]^{\frac{1}{2}}$  where  $\theta \equiv (\alpha_{\perp}/\alpha_z)^2$ . We find that for this distribution the condition for stability of the electromagnetic wave propagating perpendicular to  $B_0$  becomes

$$\omega_c^2 > \frac{1}{2} \frac{(R-1)\theta}{(R-1)\theta + 1} \frac{\alpha_z^2}{c^2} \omega_p^2.$$
 (4)

This differs from (2) by the factor  $(R-1)\theta/(R-1)$  $\theta + 1$  which is less than one for all finite values of R and  $\theta$ . For R=1, there is no instability since the dispersion relation is reduced to the cold plasma case where  $\omega^2 = c^2 k^2 + \omega_x^2$  (R = 1 means that particles with any motion along Bo will escape from the mirror configuration and the results of Hamasaki show that the instability is due to thermal motion along  $B_0$ ). For  $R = \infty$ , we simply regain (2) as this value of R means that all particles are confined in the mirror configuration.

Consequently, we have shown, from (4), that the loss cones of an anisotropic Maxwellian velocity distribution have a stabilizing influence on electromagnetic waves propagating perpendicular to an applied uniform magnetic field  $B_0$ , when compared with an anisotropic Maxwellian without

This work was supported by the Lockheed Independent Research Program.

## Comments

OMMENTS refer to papers published in The Physics of G Fluids and are subject to the same length limitation as Research Notes. The Board of Editors will not hold itself responsible for the opinions expressed in the Comments.

## Comment on "Oblique Incidence of an Electromagnetic Wave on a Plasma Layer"

VAUGHAN H. WESTON Radiation Laboratory
Department of Electrical Engineering
The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan
(Received 13 September 1968)

In the nonrelativistic analysis of a plane wave incident upon a warm plasma slab<sup>1</sup> and half-space,<sup>2</sup> a coupling term  $\beta$  defined as follows from Eq. (24)<sup>2</sup>:

$$\int \frac{f_0(\mathbf{v})v_i}{v_p - u} d\mathbf{v} = -i\pi\omega^2 \lambda_D^2 n\beta$$

occurs, which was nonzero for the Maxwellian distribution function. It can be shown that this expression can be written in the reduced form

$$\beta(\omega^2 \lambda_D^2 n) = -2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_{\nu} \int_{c/\sin \alpha}^{\infty} v_{\rho} f_0(\mathbf{v}) \ dv_{\rho},$$

where

$$v_a^2 = v_x^2 + v_z^2$$

for an isotropic unperturbed distribution function. For a cutoff distribution function  $f_0(\mathbf{v})$  which vanishes for  $|\mathbf{v}| < v_0$ , it follows that  $\beta$  vanishes if  $v_0 > c/\sin^2 \alpha$ . Since nonrelativistic theory was employed, 1,2 it is more appropriate to use the cutoff distribution function where  $v_0 = c$ . In this case,  $\beta$  will vanish for  $|\sin \alpha| < 1$ , and should be neglected in the final results.<sup>1,2</sup> However, for the case  $|\sin \alpha| > 1$ , which would arise in the plane wave decomposition of the incident field produced by a source in the vicinity of the slab or interface, the term  $\beta$  may not necessarily vanish for the cutoff distribution function.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> R. N. Sudan, Phys. Fluids **6**, 57 (1963).

<sup>2</sup> J. E. Scharer and A. W. Trivelpiece, Phys. Fluids **10**, 591 (1967).

J. E. Scharer, Phys. Fluids 10, 652 (1967).
 S. Hamasaki, Phys. Fluids 11, 1173 (1968).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> J. J. Bowman and V. H. Weston, Phys. Fluids 11, 601 (1968).

<sup>2</sup> V. H. Weston, Phys. Fluids 10, 631 (1967).