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We explore the relationship between interfacial structure and magnetic anisotropy in three types of Co-based superlattices: Co$_{50}$Au, Co$_{50}$Cu, and Co$_{30}$Cr, grown epitaxially on GaAs(110). For very thin layers of Co, Co-Au, and Co-Cu superlattices exhibit a perpendicular easy axis due to magnetoelastic contributions to the anisotropy energy. The magnetic anisotropy in Co-Cr is found to be strongly dependent on growth conditions. At slow deposition rates of Co the interface between Co and Cr becomes diffuse as is evidenced by a low saturation moment and a shift toward perpendicular anisotropy whereas samples with abrupt interfaces show predominantly parallel anisotropy. The Cr layers grow in a metastable hcp phase which appears to be paramagnetic. The results illustrate the influence of the heterointerface on magnetic properties.

I. INTRODUCTION

The realization of advanced techniques for ultrahigh-vacuum deposition of novel magnetic materials has inspired many studies in recent years. A key point of interest is that substrate-overlayer interactions can lead to considerable levels of interfacial strain and to dramatic modification of the thin-film structure. In some cases it is possible, with judicious choice of substrate orientation and appropriate buffer layers, to prepare metastable phases of magnetic elements. We compare the magnetic behavior of three different cobalt-based superlattice structures. In the first, Co-Au, the Co layers have the normal hcp structure, albeit with a third configuration: one in which cobalt is interleaved with Cr. In this case a coherent metastable structure is observed with both Co and Cr layers stacked in a hcp arrangement. The results illustrate the importance of structural symmetry and lattice strain in influencing the magnetic anisotropy. In particular, the role of the heterostructure interfaces is central to understanding these epitaxial magnetic films.

II. SAMPLE PREPARATION

The superlattice samples in this study were prepared in ultrahigh vacuum using a Vacuum Generators V-80M molecular-beam epitaxy (MBE) system with a background pressure better than $10^{-10}$ mbar. In each series of samples the Co layer thickness was varied from approximately 5 to 40 Å while the Au, Cu, and Cr thicknesses were held fixed at 16, 20, and 10 Å, respectively. In addition, a limited number of samples have been prepared in which the effects of changing the Au, Co, or Cr "spacer" layers were investigated. The total superlattice thicknesses were typically 1500 Å.

Co was deposited from an electron-beam hearth at a rate of 0.2–0.4 Å/s, while Au and Cu were evaporated using Knudsen cells giving a flux of ~0.1 and ~0.2 Å/s, respectively. In the case of Cr we used a high-temperature Knudsen cell operating at ~1500 °C with a deposition rate of 0.21 Å/s. We found that the crystallographic quality of the superlattice layers in Co-Cr superlattices improved somewhat as the rate of deposition of Co increased (see Fig. 1). This could be an indication of alloying between Co and Cr, a complication with this particular superlattice system which we discuss in more detail below. Note that Co is practically immiscible with respect to both Au and Cu and so we do not expect, or observe, any alloying or interdiffusion problems in these cases. In fact, recent spin-echo NMR probes show that the interfaces are atomically abrupt in our Co-Cu samples. A second set of superlattices, Co$_{50}$Cu, exhibits coherent fcc stacking of Co layers allowing us to probe the relative sizes of the magnetocrystalline and magnetoelastic contributions to the magnetic anisotropy. These two types of superlattice, consisting of alternate magnetic and nonmagnetic layers, are then contrasted with a third configuration: one in which cobalt is interleaved with Cr. In this case a coherent metastable structure is observed with both Co and Cr layers stacked in a hcp arrangement. The results illustrate the importance of structural symmetry and lattice strain in influencing the magnetic anisotropy. In particular, the role of the heterostructure interfaces is central to understanding these epitaxial magnetic films.

The deposition of the superlattices starts with a cleaned and annealed (110) GaAs substrate. Usually, we first grow a 500-Å buffer layer of (110) Ge in order to provide a well-ordered, smooth substrate surface. Following the growth of the Ge buffer layer at $T \approx 350$ °C, the substrate temperature is lowered to approximately 50 °C for subsequent metal growth.

We have found that the deposition of a thin (~25 Å) layer of Co on Ge (or GaAs directly) helps to bridge the
FIG. 1. Comparison of RHEED patterns for two superlattices of 20-Å Co-10-Å Cr grown at Co deposition rates of (a) 0.20 and (b) 0.35 Å/s. The Cr deposition rate was fixed at 0.21 ks for both. Note the spotty arc pattern in (a) indicative of mosaic structure due to alloying.

(110) rectangular symmetry of the substrate and the (111) close-packed arrangement of the Au, Cu, or Cr layers. The bridging mechanism proceeds by means of the formation of a bcc phase\textsuperscript{5,14} of Co oriented in the (110) direction. With such a bridging layer we are able to grow high-quality superlattices of Co (hcp phase) and Au or Cr (also hcp as discussed below).

For the Co-Cu superlattices it is necessary to employ two bridging layers between the Ge buffer and the actual superlattice layers. The first bridging layer is bcc Co as in the case above; this is followed by the growth of a layer of Cu where the first 60 Å or so is in a distorted bcc phase, and it then proceeds to grow fcc (111). Subsequent growth of Co on the (111) Cu surface leads to a cubic (fcc) metastable stacking arrangement.\textsuperscript{15} The growth techniques outlined here are described in detail elsewhere\textsuperscript{16} but it is worthwhile to note the importance of epitaxial bridging structures in implementing growth schemes for high-quality single-crystal superlattices based on Co.

III. STRUCTURAL CHARACTERIZATION

Reflective high-energy electron diffraction (RHEED) was used as an in situ probe of the layer structure and orientation during growth. In most cases well-defined RHEED patterns were obtained on the uppermost surfaces of the as-grown superlattices indicating coherent epitaxial growth. More detailed structural measurements were performed using x-ray scattering after the samples were removed from the MBE system. A 20-Å Au cap layer was used in all cases to protect the samples from surface oxidation. X-ray scans were carried out on a four-circle diffractometer both with the diffraction vector normal to the layers ("out-of-plane" scan) and parallel to the layers ("in-plane" scan). In this way we could characterize the layering quality, the sharpness of the interfaces, the uniformity of growth, and the in-plane epitaxial arrangements (see Fig. 2). Additional types of x-ray scans, e.g., where the diffraction vector is inclined to the layers but its tip follows a trajectory normal to the layers (c\textdegree scan), were used to investigate the stacking of the layers.\textsuperscript{15} This latter type of scan is especially useful for identifying the metastable phases which appear as the result of epitaxy.

In the bulk, Co and Cr are known to form an hcp alloy and so it is important to verify that our samples are indeed layered. This is achieved by performing an out-of-plane x-ray scan. Since the atomic numbers of Co and Cr differ by only 3, their scattering factors will be similar and we do not expect to observe many low-angle satellite peaks.\textsuperscript{17} However, several orders of satellites are evident in all samples and we have been able to fit the low-angle x-ray reflectivity curve (see Fig. 3) with a model in which the interfaces are step-like at the monolayer level. We are confident, therefore, that alloying is not a serious problem in this system provided that the growth rate for Co is greater than \(\sim 0.3\) Å/s.

With the application of high-resolution x-ray diffractometry we have been able to characterize quantitatively the detailed structures of the superlattices including the degree of strain in each type of layer. Such measurements provide important clues towards understanding the metastable na-

---

FIG. 2. In-plane x-ray scattering contour map of hcp Co-Cr superlattice showing epitaxial relationship of metal overlayer [weak (1130) peak] to GaAs substrate (intense peak at 20 = 32°). The intensity contours are spaced at logarithmic intervals.

FIG. 3. Low-angle x-ray scattering data for the (18-Å Co-10-Å Cr)\textsubscript{44} superlattice (Co growth rate 0.35 Å/s). The solid line is a fit calculated from a step model with no interface alloying.
IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Magnetic measurements were carried out in a Quantum Design superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometer. Hysteresis loops, measured with applied fields of up to 50 kOe, provided information on the effective magnetic anisotropy $K_{\text{eff}}$ through the relation

$$K_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{V} \int_0^{H_{\text{sat}}} (M^2 - M^\parallel) dH,$$

where $V$ is the total cobalt volume, and $M^I$ and $M^\parallel$ are the magnetic moments with $H$ applied perpendicular and parallel to the layers. $K_{\text{eff}}$ is positive when the magnetization is preferentially oriented perpendicular to the film.

A. Co-Au and Co-Cu superlattices

We first discuss the results for Co-Au and Co-Cu superlattices. For all but the thinnest cobalt layers ($\sim 5$ Å), we observed a significant variation in magnetic anisotropy with $t_{\text{Co}}$ so that the empirical relation

$$K_{\text{eff}} = \frac{2K_s}{t_{\text{Co}}} + K_v$$

is a direct result of the cubic symmetry of the hcp phase. A similar difference has been observed at the hcp-fcc phase transition in bulk Co on heating to 400 °C.14 In this figure we show the variation in magnetic anisotropy with $t_{\text{Co}}$ for the Co-Cu case (metastable fcc Co layers) provides an interesting comparison. In order to reproduce the observed thickness dependence it is necessary to use a value of $K_{\text{MC}}$ which is only 20% of the bulk hcp value. The magnetoelastic coefficient is found to be essentially unchanged. This drastic reduction in $K_{\text{MC}}$ is a direct result of the cubic symmetry of the metastable fcc phase compared to the uniaxial anisotropy of the hcp phase. A similar difference has been observed at the hcp-fcc phase transition in bulk Co on heating to 400 °C.15

If instead of keeping the spacer layer fixed and varying $t_{\text{Co}}$ we now hold the ferromagnetic layer thickness constant while varying the separation of these layers; in this way we can investigate the effects of interlayer coupling. The behavior of the Co-Cu system is interesting in this regard because there is some evidence for an oscillatory exchange interaction. Recent work suggests that for some thicknesses of Cu for example, an antiferromagnetic coupling exists between neighboring Co layers.21 Figure 5 shows the transition in bulk Co on heating to 400 °C.

Following Chappert and Bruno,6 we have analyzed this behavior in terms of an effective anisotropy which has three contributions:

$$K_{\text{eff}} = K_{\text{MC}} + K_D + K_{\text{ME}}(t_{\text{Co}}),$$

where $K_{\text{MC}}$ is the magnetocrystalline anisotropy (nominally taken as the bulk value of $5.56 \times 10^6$ erg/cm$^3$ for hcp Co). $K_D$ is the demagnetization term $-2\pi M^2$ and $K_{\text{ME}}(t_{\text{Co}})$ is a thickness-dependent magnetoelastic contribution:

$$K_{\text{ME}} = -\left(c_{11} + c_{12} - \frac{2c_{13}^2}{c_{33}}\right)\left(\lambda_A + \lambda_B\right)e(t_{\text{Co}}).$$

The fit to the Co-Au data is based on the bulk hcp values for $K_{\text{MC}}$ also $c_{ij}$ are the elastic constants, and $\lambda_A$, $\lambda_B$ are the magnetostriction constants, for bulk hcp Co. The latter strain $e(t_{\text{Co}})$ is measured from in-plane x-ray scans (see insets in Fig. 4) and decreases inversely as $t_{\text{Co}}$, which is expected as the strained layers exceed the critical thickness.13

The fit to the anisotropy data for the Co-Cu case (metastable fcc Co layers) provides an interesting comparison. In order to reproduce the observed thickness dependence it is necessary to use a value of $K_{\text{MC}}$ which is only 20% of the bulk hcp value.
might be possible to significantly enhance the perpendicular spin orientation. Further experiments at thinner Co layers than the 20 Å chosen here are required to verify this suggestion.

B. Co-Cr superlattices

The epitaxy of metastable phases of Cr was explored some years ago by Durbin et al.\textsuperscript{22} who reported an fcc phase of Cr grown on Au(100). In the present work we have shown that it is possible to stabilize an hcp phase of Cr in a Co-Cr superlattice.

Figure 6 shows the dramatically different magnetic anisotropy behavior of two sets of Co-Cr superlattices grown at different Co deposition rates, as described in Sec. II. The slower deposition rates probably result in Co/Cr alloying at the interfaces. In addition to the structural evidence above (see, e.g., Fig. 1), we can also infer some degree of alloying from measurements of the specific magnetization at different Co layer thicknesses.\textsuperscript{23} These studies show a reduction in magnetization of approximately 25%-30% for samples grown at the faster rate (0.30-0.35 Å/s of Co) compared to as much as a 70% reduction for a Co growth rate of 0.2 Å/s. The suppressed moment in the latter case, along with a perpendicular anisotropy are both characteristics of Co-Cr alloys.\textsuperscript{24} The tendency toward perpendicular anisotropy in the alloyed samples is shown in Fig. 6 (dotted lines) along with the samples grown at the faster rate (solid lines). The strongly parallel anisotropy in the samples with abrupt interfaces was surprising however, since the Cr was expected\textsuperscript{25} to impose an in-plane tensile stress on the Co which would favor perpendicular anisotropy. Moreover, this relationship between the interface sharpness and the anisotropy in the Co-Cr system is interesting since it is just the opposite of that observed in other superlattice systems. Usually, a sharper interface will enhance the perpendicular anisotropy which is clearly not the case here.

Last we note that the saturation moments of the Co-Cr samples with the sharpest interfaces (the samples with 12- and 18-Å Co layers) can be accounted for by assuming that the monolayer of Co atoms in contact with Cr at each interface have negligible moment and the rest of the Co retains its bulk moment. This yields moments with 4% of the measured values if the Cr is paramagnetic. This is of interest in the context of a recent prediction,\textsuperscript{26} based on a generalized Stoner theory, that hcp Cr is close to being ferromagnetic.

In conclusion, the results presented here reveal an important connection between epitaxial structure and the behavior of the magnetic anisotropy in Co-based superlattices. In the comparison of Co\textsubscript{hcp}-Au and Co\textsubscript{fcc}-Cu superlattices, the larger magnetocry.stalline energy of the hcp phase of Co favors a perpendicular easy axis but this is not sufficient to overcome the shape anisotropy until the magnetoelastica term dominates at small $t_{Co}$ (where the lattice strain is significant). For Co\textsubscript{hcp}-Cr, the Cr is stabilized in an hcp phase, and interfacial diffusion is growth-rate dependent. The Co-Cr superlattices also exhibit an unusual relationship between interface sharpness and anisotropy in that the sharper the interface the more parallel the anisotropy becomes.
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