Intermolecular Potentials of Argon, Methane, and Ethane†

Andrew G. De Rocco and J. O. Halford Department of Chemistry, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan (Received February 4, 1958)

The viscosities of argon, methane, and ethane are reported over a temperature range from ~210°K to ~475°K. In conjunction with second virial coefficient data, the viscosities have been employed to estimate the potential parameters for an Exp:6 potential. For the spherically symmetric molecules a single set of parameters served to reproduce the equilibrium and transport properties with serviceable accuracy. For ethane the procedure failed, and this failure was taken as further evidence for the fundamental inadequacy of the assumptions of central forces and elastic collisions.

I. INTRODUCTION

RECENTLY considerable attention has been given to the problem of correlating the transport and equilibrium properties of gases in terms of an intermolecular potential energy derived from measured properties of the gases.1 Madan,2 for example, has used the property of thermal diffusion to estimate the potential parameters for several gases, most recently krypton.

In spite of the lack of convincing evidence to support the claim that the modified Buckingham Exp: 6 potential predicts better than the Lennard-Jones 12:6 potential the properties of gases over extended ranges of measurement,3 it has become customary to offer arguments designed to show that the Exp: 6 is physically more realistic and (certainly) more flexible, and, therefore, to present the properties of dilute nonpolar gases in terms of an Exp: 6 potential.

We have measured in some detail the temperature variation of viscosity for argon, methane, and ethane and extracted from these data the potential parameters for the Exp: 6 potential. For the former two gases fairly wide comparison with existing results was possible,2,3 for the latter only the 12:6 has been reported.1

Ethane in addition presented an interesting case. From the studies of Mason and Rice³ it is known that for molecules lacking spherical symmetry the Chapman-Enskog theory fails, and they found for example, that a single set of potential parameters was unsuitable for discussing both the equilibrium and transport properties of nitrogen. This result was generally true as the molecules became more nonspherical, implying high values for the parameter α , $\alpha \geqslant 16$.

On the other hand, treating the calculation of the second virial coefficient for nonspherical molecules as a perturbation on the spherical case,4 one finds that, at least as far as long-range directional forces are concerned, ethane is more nearly spherically symmetric than nitrogen. The application of the Chapman-Enskog theory to ethane becomes of interest therefore, the question being just how nonspherical a molecule the theory can handle in practice.

2. DETERMINATION OF POTENTIAL PARAMETERS

Several methods have been described for estimating potential parameters from experimentally obtained

TABLE I. A comparison of the potential parameters by different methods.

Substance	α	ε/k (°K)	$r_m(A)$	Method	Refer- ence
Argon	14	123.0	3.867	Visc.	a
Ü	14	123.2	3.866	Vis. 2nd virial, Crystal	b
	14	122.46	3.868	Visc.	С
	14	122.9	3.868	Thermal diffusion	n c
Methane	14	152.4	4.209	Visc.	a
	14	152.8	4.206	Visc. 2nd virial, Crystal	b
	14	152.20	4.203	Visc.	c
	14	150.6	4.222	Thermal diffusion	n c
Ethane	16	229.1	4.905	Visc.	а
	16	249.5	5.226	2nd virial	a
	(12:6)	230	$4.418(\sigma)$	Visc.	a d d
	, ,	243	$3.954(\sigma)$	2nd virial	d

properties; we have employed the method described in detail by Mason and Rice⁵ in which, for example, the quantities $\log[f_{\eta}^{(3)}/\Omega^{(2,2)*}]$ are plotted vs $\log T^*$ to yield a family of curves depending on the choice of α . A plot of the experimental quantities $\log[10^7\eta/(MT)^{\frac{1}{2}}]$ vs log T may be brought into coincidence with the reduced quantities from which ϵ/k , r_m , and α may be obtained. In practice viscosity and virial coefficients are utilized jointly to estimate the parameters, a pro-

 ^a Present work.
 ^b E. A. Mason and W. E. Rice, J. Chem. Phys. 22, 843 (1954).

<sup>See reference 2.
d See reference 1, p. 1112.</sup>

⁴ Castle, Jansen, and Dawson, J. Chem. Phys. 24, 1078

<sup>(1956).
&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> E. A. Mason and W. E. Rice, J. Chem. Phys. 22, 522

[†] Based on a dissertation submitted in August, 1956, by Andrew G. De Rocco, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

¹Hirschfelder, Curtiss, and Bird, The Molecular Theory of Gases and Liquids (John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York,

² M. P. Madan, J. Chem. Phys. **23**, 763 (1955); B. N. Srivastava and M. P. Madan, *ibid*. **21**, 807 (1953); M. P. Madan, *ibid*. **27**, 113 (1957).

⁸ E. A. Mason and W. E. Rice, J. Chem. Phys. 23, 843

cedure which permits unique assignment of the parameters where some of the data are incomplete.

The parameters ϵ , r_m , and α are defined by the Exp: 6 potential:

$$\varphi(r) = \frac{\epsilon}{1 - 6/\alpha} \left[\frac{6}{\alpha} e^{\alpha(1 - r/r_m)} - \left(\frac{r_m}{r} \right)^6 \right], \tag{1}$$

where $\varphi(r)$ represents the potential energy for a separation r, ϵ is the minimum energy for a separation r_m , and α is a parameter somehow related to the steepness of the repulsion energy.

Our experimental data were obtained by the method of transpiration. Since this is a standard technique and has been well described in the textbooks6 no detailed discussion is included here.*

Table I contains our values for the potential parameters in comparison with previous values.

TABLE II. Comparison of observed viscosities of argon with those calculated for the Exp:6 potential.

	10 ⁷ η g-cm ⁻¹ -sec ⁻¹					
T°K	Obs	Calc	Obs			
210.7	1670	1675				
250.1	1952	1948				
273.16	2102	2095	2114ª			
293.2	2220	2222	2212a			
300.3	2267	2270	2273 ^b			
311.5	2340	2339				
320.3	2389	2392				
328.2	2446	2440				
337.7	2499	2495				
343.0	2523	2528				
353.4	2592	2588				
368.6	2674	2670	2660ª			
381.3	2735	2740				
396.4	2819	2822				
409.1	2896	2890				
423.7	2971	29 6 7				
438.9	3050	3046				
448.2	3099	3094				
461.9	3170	3163				
471.4	3221	3216	3223ª			

a M. Trautz and W. Ludewigs, Ann. Physik 3, 409 (1929).
 b H. L. Johnston and E. R. Grilly, J. Phys. Chem. 46, 948 (1942).

3. COMPARISON WITH EXPERIMENT

For an adequate potential a single set of potential parameters (in conjunction with correct theories) should be sufficient to completely determine both equilibrium and transport properties. For the inert gases where the theory is certainly adequate, comparison of calculated and observed values for the properties of the system permits a reasonably sensitive discrimination for the potential.

For methane, apart from thermal conductivity, the presence of internal degrees of freedom does not seem to seriously affect the success of the Chapman-Enskog theory in predicting the equilibrium and transport

TABLE III. Thermal conductivity of argon using potential parameters from viscosity.

	10 ⁷ λ cal-cm ⁻¹ -sec ⁻¹ -deg	g1
T°K.	Calc	Obs
90.2	145	141ª
94.7	293	293ª
		(385 ^b
73.2	390	₹390°
		[394ª
373.2	505	`506ª
91.2	618	614ª
79.1	690	685ª

^a W. G. Kammuluik and E. H. Carman, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London)
^b S. Weber, Ann. Physik 54, 323 (1917).
^c T. L. Ibbs and A. A. Hirst, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A123, 134 (1929).

properties. This theory, however, provides no exact method for calculating the thermal conductivity of polyatomic molecules. The simple correction due to Eucken appears to be applicable for cases where rapid equilibration of external and internal degrees of freedom occurs. Lambert and Rowlinson,7 from ultrasonic despersion, give values for the relaxation times in methane of the order of 10⁻⁷ second even to temperatures as high as 600°K. The implication that thousands of collisions are necessary for equilibrium between external and internal degrees of freedom is sufficient to preclude any calculation of the thermal conductivity by the method of Eucken.

Ethane, a nonspherical molecule, presents a new problem, since we may justifiably inquire whether the manner of operation of the forces is the same for equilibrium and transport phenomena. The second virial coefficient of ethane is substantially negative even beyond 500°K (cf. A, CH₄) implying strong intermolecular attractions; this fact manifests itself in a fairly strong dependence of the potential on large separations and we might not be surprised, therefore, that if a single set of parameters fails to reproduce both B(T) and viscosity, that the set of parameters depending on virial-coefficient data has the larger value of r_m . These remarks describe the results included for ethane in Table I.

Our values for the viscosity of argon agree very well with those previously reported. Table II contains our results, values calculated from the potential parameters and some selected comparisons.

It is unsuitable to judge the usefulness of a potential by comparison with the principal property employed to gain the potential parameters. From Tables III and IV it becomes clear that the Exp: 6 potential is adequate over the range of comparison. The difficulties mentioned by Mason and Rice³ for the high-temperature viscosity of argon remain in our presentation, and their suggestion that the experimental values are low seems completely reasonable. For argon, therefore, our results serve only to justify the work of earlier authors.

⁶ Partington, An Advanced Treatise on Physical Chemistry

⁽Longmans Green and Company, London, 1949), p. 877.

* The authors' modifications of the method and detailed information on technique and procedure are available upon request. We estimate our accuracy as $\geq 0.5\%$.

⁷ J. D. Lambert and J. S. Rowlinson, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A204, 424 (1950).

TABLE IV. Coefficients of self-diffusion for argon and methane using potential parameters from viscosity.

Substance	T°K	D ₁₁ cm ² -sec ⁻¹ Calc (present work)	Calc ^a	Obsb
	77.7	0.0134	0.0134	0.0134
	90.2	0.0181	0.0181	0.0180
	194.7	0.0825	0.0824	0.0830
	273.2	0.155	0.155	0.156
	295.2	0.178	0.178	0.178
	353.2	0.248	0.247	0.249
CH4	90.2	0.0217	0.0216	0.0266
·	194.7	0.100	0.100	0.0992
	273.2	0.193	0.192	0.206
	298.2	0.227	0.226	0.240
	353.2	0.310	0.308	0.318

 ^a M. P. Madan, J. Chem. Phys. 23, 763 (1955), from thermal diffusion.
 ^b E. B. Winn, Phys. Rev. 80, 1024 (1950).

Our experimental results for the viscosity of methane are on the average about 2% higher than previously reported values and do not show the unusually strong dependence on temperature reported by Trautz for

TABLE V. Comparison of observed viscosities of methane and ethane with those calculated for the Exp:6 potential.

10 ⁷ η g-cm ⁻¹ -sec ⁻¹ Methane Ethane						
т°К	Obs	Calc	Obs	Obs	Calc	Obs
210.7	810	815				
250.1	967	960		795	801	
273.16	1031	1038	1022a	869	873	,851°
292.7	1103	1104	1087ª	930	930	∫ 909° 929 °
300.7	1125	1128		950	954	(· ·
308.2	1155	1152	1112 ^b	970	975	
320.7	1189	1193	1175a	1010	1013	9900
			1150b			1000b
328.7	1220	1218	1206 ^b	1035	1034	1034d
337.3	1253	1248	1238 ^b	1064	1060	
351.1	1281	1285		1101	1098	1096 ^b
363.1	1322	1321		1127	1132	
373.2	1355	1353	1331a	1155	1158	1142°
						1167d
387.9	1391	1395		1204	1200	1245 ^d
394.4	1419	1423		1230	1225	
407.2	1449	1452		1254	1251	
424.4	1506	1503	1474a	1289	1295	1278°
439.9	1541	1546		1341	1335	
451.6	1574	1578		1372	1367	
459.4	1594	1600		1390	1387	
473.16	1635	1638	1603a	1418	1422	14089

temperatures higher than 400°K. The rather limited data of Lambert et al. are about 3% lower than our values but also fail to show the strong temperature dependence reported by Trautz (cf. Table V); our results are more nearly linear.

The coefficients of self-diffusion agree reasonably well with experimental values and very well with the previous results of Madan obtained from thermal diffusion. In a manner similar to argon, our values tend whenever different to be only slightly better than Madan's.

Uniformly good agreement is obtained for the calculated and observed values of B(T) over the range of experimental measurement.

For ethane our representation fails. Two sets of parameters are required and this reduces the evaluation of r_m , ϵ , and α to an exercise in curve fitting. In some senses this is disappointing, but it seems to indicate quite strongly that the Chapman-Enskog formalism in conjunction with a potential of the form of an Exp: 6 cannot be expected to represent a molecule whose geometry and presumably, therefore, force field is nonspherical.

Experimentally, our results for ethane lie some 2% lower than the values of Titani but 1-2% higher than those of Trautz and Sorg and of Lambert, cf. Table V. The slope of our plotted data $(\eta \text{ vs } T)$ is in good agreement with all previous investigations, although Titani's data appear to have a somewhat larger slope at higher temperatures.

SUMMARY

For the spherically symmetric molecule argon, we have used a three-parameter Exp: 6 potential to present the equilibrium and transport properties with a high degree of success. For methane essentially the same result is obtained, but for ethane, which deviates from spherical symmetry, a single set of parameters was unsuccessful in reproducing both sets of properties. We take this latter case to be additional confirmation for the suggestion of Mason and Rice³ that the assumptions of central forces and elastic collisions lack justification for most real gases.

Experimentally we have obtained values for the viscosities of argon, methane, and ethane from ca 210°K to ca 475°K. Our results are within a few percent of previous investigations, and for ethane represent values which are nearly the average of previous results.

a M. Trautz and R. Zink, Ann. Physik 7, 427 (1930).
 b J. D. Lambert et al., Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A231, 280 (1955).
 c M. Trautz and K. G. Sorg, Ann. Physik 10, 81 (1931).
 d T. Titani, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan 5, 98 (1930).