Stability of Ethylidene Radicals

G. B. KISTIAKOWSKY AND BRUCE H. MAHAN Gibbs Chemical Laboratory, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts (Received January 20, 1956)

PREVIOUS attempts to study the ethylidene radical have involved the photolytic and thermal decomposition of diazoethane, CH₃CHN₂.¹⁻⁴ The radical may also occur as an intermediate in the reactions of Na atoms with the asymmetrical dihalides of ethane,^{5,6} as well as in the photolysis and pyrolysis of acetaldazine, CH₃CH=N-N=CHCH₃.^{1,7} We report here evidence for the existence of ethylidene in the photolysis of methyl ketene, CH₃CHCO.

Methyl ketene was prepared by the method of Jenkins,8 and purified by a slow bulb-to-bulb distillation, discarding the first and last quarters of the distillate. A typical preparation was 99.9% absorbed by ascarite.

Photolyses were carried out in a Pyrex cell at 24°C±2°C, using a high-intensity, low-pressure mercury arc. The effective radiation was therefore confined to the 3130 A, 3340 A, and 3650 A wavelengths. By confining the investigation to pressures less than 40 mm Hg of methyl ketene, corrections for the dark reaction (dimerization of methyl ketene) were kept small or unnecessary. The course of the reaction was followed manometrically using a quartz spiral manometer, and the products were analyzed in a gas analytical system similar to the one described by Nash.⁹ Combustion over CuO showed the noncondensible fraction of the photolytic products to be CO. The condensible fraction could be further separated into two subfractions, one condensible in boiling CH_4 , $(-161^{\circ}C)$ the other noncondensible. Hydrogeneration of this latter subfraction showed it to be a mono-olefin, and its infrared spectrum showed the 10.52 micron Q-branch absorption of ethylene. The higher boiling subfraction was also shown to be a mono-olefin by hydrogenation, and upon combustion proved to be a C4 compound. The amount of this fraction collected so far is so small as to preclude identification by infrared analysis, but it may be assumed to be a mixture of the buteness.



Fig. 1. Relative rates of production of three products as a function of methyl ketene pressure. Line 1 and experimental points show the ratio CO/C_2H_4 , line 2 and points, the ratio C_4H_6/C_2H_4 .

During the photolysis of pure methyl ketene, the pressure increased linearly with time up to about 15% decomposition. Beyond this point the slope decreased continuously, indicating an inhibitory action by one of the products. Addition of ethylene to the methyl ketene decreased the slope of the pressure-time curve (i.e., the manometric rate), indicating that it might be responsible for the effect observed at large percentage decomposition.

Measurements of the initial rate of production of CO, C2H4, and C₄H₈ as a function of initial pressure of methyl ketene were made. As seen in the Fig. 1, a plot of the CO/C_2H_4 ratio as a function of initial methyl ketene pressure is a straight line with unit intercept. Similarly, a plot of the C4H8/C2H4 ratio as a function of the initial pressure is linear with a zero intercept. These data strongly suggest the following mechanism:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} CH_{3}\\H\end{array} C = C = O + h\nu \rightarrow CH_{3}CH + CO & I abs.\\ CH_{3}CH \rightarrow C_{2}H_{4} & k_{1}\\ CH_{3}CH + CH_{3}\\H\end{array} C = C = O \rightarrow C_{4}H_{8} + CO & k_{2} \end{array}$$

A steady-state treatment yields for the ratio of the rate of CO production to C_2H_4 production $CO/C_2H_4 = 1 + 2k_2P/k_1$, and for the rate of C₄H₈ production relative to C₂H₄ production C₄H₈/ $C_2H_4 = k_2P/k_1$. Thus we see that under the conditions of our experiments the rate of rearrangement of the ethylidene radical to ethylene is comparable to the rate of attack of ethylidene on methyl ketene.

The effects of temperature, inert gas, light intensity, and wavelength are being investigated and will be reported later.

- ¹ F. O. Rice and A. L. Glasebrook, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 56, 741 (1934).
 ² Barrow, Pearson, and Purcell, Trans. Faraday Soc. 35, 880 (1935).
 ³ Volman, Leighton, Blacet, and Brinton, J. Chem. Phys. 18, 203 (1950).
 ⁴ K. K. Brinton and D. H. Volman, J. Chem. Phys. 19, 1934 (1951).
 ⁶ C. E. H. Bawn and W. J. Dunning, Trans. Faraday Soc. 35, 889 (1939).
 ⁶ C. E. H. Bawn and J. Milstead, Trans. Faraday Soc. 35, 889 (1939).
 ⁸ C. E. H. Bawn and J. Milstead, Trans. Faraday Soc. 35, 889 (1939).
 ⁸ C. E. H. Bawn and J. Milstead, Trans. Faraday Soc. 35, 889 (1939).
 ⁹ C. E. H. Bawn, J. Chem. Soc. 77, 843 (1955).
 ⁹ A. D. Jenkins, J. Chem. Soc., 2563 (1952).
 ⁹ L. K. Nash, Ind. Eng. Chem. 18, 505 (1946).

Structure of Feather Keratin*

S. KRIMM AND R. SCHOR

Harrison M. Randall Laboratory of Physics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan (Received February 10, 1956)

TO completely satisfactory structure has as yet been proposed for the rachis of feather. Early x-ray diffraction studies1 were thought to indicate that the component polypeptide chains were essentially in the extended, or β , configuration. This conclusion was based on the observation² that, although the characteristic 3.08 A meridian spacing is smaller than the spacing usually found in the β proteins (viz., 3.3 to 3.5 A), it could be increased almost to the required value by stretching the specimen. Recent studies on the structure of proteins, however, have yielded the suggestion^{3,4} that the α helix⁵ may be a component part of the structure. No definite experimental evidence has as yet been presented which would permit an unambiguous choice between these two possibilities. We discuss here some calculations which bear on this point, and also present a tentative model for the structure of this protein.

As a preliminary to the calculation of the cylindrical Patterson function⁶ for feather keratin, we have calculated the one-dimensional Patterson projection, P(r). This gives the density of interatomic vectors whose radial component is r. In this calculated P(r), maxima occur at about r=5 A and r=10 A, there being a minimum near r=3 A. It is pertinent to compare this with the P(r) which is to be expected for an α helix. The characteristic feature of this P(r) is found⁷ to be a first maximum at about r=3 A. This comparison suggests that α helices with their axes parallel to the fiber axis are not a component part of the structure.

Rather, in view of the observed maxima in P(r), it appears most likely that the structure is based on extended polypeptide chains.

The model which we propose for the structure of feather keratin has the following features: (1) The fundamental unit is a helical extended polypeptide chain of pitch 190 A and radius 8.0 A. There are 64 amino acid residues in the 190 A repeat. (2) In the 190 A repeat there are 8 uniformly spaced proline residues. (3) Ten of these helices aggregate coaxially as a result of lateral hydrogen bonding between chains, the proline residues of neighboring chains packing together at about the same level. The polypeptide chains are in the parallel configuration⁸ and are about 4.85 A apart. The side chains project both into and out of the approximately cylindrical unit formed by the main chains. (4) Seven of these cylindrical units form a somewhat stable aggregate.

The percentage of proline required by the proposed model is in good agreement with that found.9 The model accounts fairly well for the strong equatorial and meridian reflections in the x-ray diffraction pattern, and in particular for the stable¹⁰ meridian reflection at 23.7 A, which arises from the axial repeat of the proline residues. Fair agreement with the equatorial intensities is obtained with the assumed packing arrangement. The agreement is improved if it is assumed that the hole through the center of the cylindrical unit contains water. The structure predicts an infrared dichroism which is consistent with the observed value.¹¹ Construction of molecular models, with particular reference to the configuration of the polypeptide chain near the proline residue, indicates that the proposed structure is a most likely one. The relatively homogeneous particles which are obtained when feather keratin is solubilized^{12,13} can be accounted for by the susceptibility to hydrolysis of certain of the peptide links adjacent to the proline residues.¹⁴ The apparent absence of end groups in soluble feather keratin¹⁵ is probably a consequence of the inadequacy of the dinitrofluorobenzene method when the terminal group is proline.18,17

* This investigation was supported in part by the U. S. Army Quartermaster Corps.
* W. T. Astbury and T. C. Marwick, Nature 130, 309 (1932).
* W. T. Astbury and H. J. Woods, J. Textile Inst. 23, T17 (1932).
* L. Pauling and R. B. Corey, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 37, 205 (1951).
* L. Pauling and R. B. Corey, Nature 171, 59 (1953).
* Pauling, Corey, and Branson, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 37, 205 (1951).
* C. H. MacGillavry and E. M. Bruins, Acta Cryst. 1, 156 (1948).
* H. L. Yakel and P. N. Schatz, Acta Cryst. 8, 22 (1955).
* L. Pauling and R. B. Corey, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 37, 729 (1951);
39, 253 (1953).
* W. A. Schroeder and L. M. Kay, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 77, 3901 (1955).
* R. S. Bear and H. J. Rugo, Annals N. Y. Acad. Sci. 53, 627 (1951).
* K. D. Parker, Biochim. et Biophys. Acta 17, 148 (1955).
* A. M. Woodin, Nature 173, 823 (1954).
* M. A. Schroeder et al., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 76, 3556 (1954).
* A. M. Woodin, Nature 176, 1117 (1955).
* Consden, Gordon, Martin, and Synge, Biochem. J. 40, xliii (1946).
* R. R. Porter and F. Sanger, Biochem. J. 42, 287 (1948).

Linear Extrapolation of the Vibrational Energy Levels of Ionic Molecules

CHARLES L. BECKEL

Department of Physics, Georgetown University, Washington, D. C. (Received February 13, 1956)

N a comparison of the true values of the dissociation energies D_0 , of diatomic molecules with those values obtained through linear extrapolation of vibrational energy levels, D_{lin} , Gaydon¹ found $D_0 < D_{\text{lin}}$ for the ground electronic states of all non-ionically bound molecules except Cl2 and ClF. The recent ClF data of Nielsen and Jones² yielded a ratio $D_0/D_{lin}=0.86$, and further strengthened the conviction that $D_0 < D_{\text{lin}}$ for all non-ionic molecules. Gaydon's comparison of D_0 and D_{lin} for predominantly ionic molecules led to no such uniformity. In the case of ionic molecules it was expected that $D_0 > D_{\text{lin}}$, and this was true for only six of the ten molecules with sufficient data for a comparison.

TABLE I. D_i/D_{iin} for the halides of Al. Ga. In. and Tl.

Mole- cule	Vibrational data reference	D _{lin} (in ev)	<i>D</i> ₀ (in ev)	<i>Di</i> (in ev)	D_i/D_{lin}
AlF	a	4.2	6.7	9.1	2.2
AlCl	ь	3.7	5.1	7.3	2.0
AlBr	b	3.4	4.3	6.8	2.0
AlI	ь	3.1	3.9	6.7	2.2
GaF	с	3.5	6.2	8.6	2.5
GaCl	d	3.1	5.0	7.2	2.3
GaBr	ь	2.6	3.5	6.0	2.3
GaI	b	2.9	2.9	5.7	2.0
InF	e	3.3	5.4	7.6	2.3
InCl	ь	3.1	4.5	6.5	2.1
InBr	Ď	2.3	3.4	5.7	2.5
InI	Ď	2.4	2.8	5.4	2.3
TIF	b	3.7	4.8	7.3	2.0
TICI	b	2.0	3.8	6.1	3.1
TIBr	ĥ	2.9	3.2	5.8	2.0
TII	f	5.3	2.6	5.5	1.04

⁶ H. C. Rowlinson and R. F. Barrow, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) **A66**, 437 (1953). ^b G. Herzberg, *Molecular Spectra and Molecular Structure* (D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc., New York, 1950). Volume I. ⁶ Barrow, Jacquest, and Thompson, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) **A67**, 528 (1045).

⁶ Barrow, Jurgaren, J. ⁷ F. K. Levin and J. G. Winans, Phys. Rev. **84**, 431 (1951). ⁶ Barrow, Glaser, and Zeeman, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) **A68**, 962

(1955). J. V. R. Rao and P. T. Rao, Indian J. Phys. 29, 20 (1955).

It is the purpose of this letter to present the results of a re-examination, through the use of data recently available, of the values of D_{lin} for ionic molecules.

The re-examination was in the form of a comparison of D_{lin} with $D_i = D_0 + I - E$, where D_i is the dissociation energy of the molecule into ions, I is the ionization energy of the metallic atom, and E is the electron affinity of the nonmetallic atom. D_{lin} was compared with D_i rather than with D_0 , since near equilibrium the vibrational energy levels are those of a molecule which dissociates into ions rather than into atoms. The values of D₀, I, and E were taken from Gaydon,³ Herzberg,⁴ and Pritchard,⁵ respectively. D_{lin} was found from the empirical values of the spectroscopic constants ω_e and $\omega_e x_e$ through use of $D_{\text{lin}} = \omega_e^2/4\omega_e x_e$ $-\frac{1}{2}\omega_e$.

In Table I the values of D_i/D_{1in} are shown for the halides of Al, Ga, In, and Tl. These metals have low ionization energies, and with the halogens form molecules which, in all likelihood, are predominantly ionic. It can be seen that in every case $D_i > D_{lin}$ and, in fact, except for TlCl and TlI, all values of D_i/D_{lin} are reasonably close to 2.25. It might be noted that for TlCl Miescher's¹² vibrational analysis leads to $D_i/D_{lin}=2.0$. The vibrational analysis for TII, while recently revised, still seems open to criticism.

Tables II and III compare D_i and D_{lin} for the most ionic molecules, the alkali halides. At present, these comparisons cannot be as meaningful as comparisons for the molecules listed in Table I, because the electronic transitions from which the values of D_{lin} are obtained lead to bands rather than sharp lines. Moreover, pure vibrational transitions have never been analyzed for an alkali halide molecule.

The values of D_{lin} in Table II are based on the analyses of Levi.¹³ While considerable fluctuation in the value of D_i/D_{lin} occurs, in every case the ratio >1.

TABLE II. D_i/D_{iin} for the alkali halides studied by Levi.⁸

Molecule	D_{1in} (in ev)	Do (in ev)	Di (in ev)	D_i/D_{lin}
LiI	4.2	3.5	5.7	1.4
NaBr	2.7	4.2 3.8	5.4	2.0
Nal KCl	3.4 2.7	3.1 4.4	5.0 4.9	1.5
KBr KI	2.3 2.0	3.9 3.3	4.7 4.4	2.0 2.2

* See reference 13.