The hyperfine structure of thallium iodide has been studied in the
J = 3 and J = 4 rotational states in an attempt to observe a nuclear electric hexadecapole effect. This study was carried out on a high-resolution
molecular-beam electric resonance spectrometer at very weak electric and magnetic fields. The molecule is well described by the usual five hyperfine interaction constants which are (in kilohertz)

\[
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_Q^{\text{Tl}^{127}I} &= -438.916.3 \pm 0.5 \\
n_2^{\text{Tl}^{127}I} &= 3.05 \pm 0.05 \\
n_3^{\text{Tl}^{127}I} &= 34.65 \pm 0.15 \\
n_4^{\text{Tl}^{127}I} &= -2.48 \pm 0.1 \\
n_5^{\text{Tl}^{127}I} &= -6.67 \pm 0.05 \\
\end{align*}
\]

No evidence for a hexadecapole interaction constant as large as 500 Hz was found; this result is interpreted as setting an upper limit of \(10^{-17}\) cm\(^4\) for the nuclear electric hexadecapole moment of \(^{127}\text{Tl}\).

**I. INTRODUCTION**

We have measured the radio-frequency spectrum of the thallium iodide molecules \(^{205}\text{Tl}^{127}\text{I}\) and \(^{205}\text{Tl}^{127}\text{I}\) with a molecular-beam electric resonance (MBER) spectrometer. We had two motivations for this work. First, this experiment completes a series of studies on the hyperfine structure of the thallium halides. Second, the TII molecule appeared to offer a good opportunity to identify and measure a nuclear electric hexadecapole (2^4-pole) interaction. The iodine nucleus has a large electric quadrupole moment and an observed magnetic octupole moment, both of which suggest the existence of higher-order moments; moreover the spin of iodine (\(\frac{5}{2}\)) is large enough to allow the nucleus to exhibit a hexadecapole interaction. In addition, we know that the quadrupole hfs of TlCl and TlBr, in which the halogen nuclear spin is less than 2, is very well understood, so any deviations from quadrupole hfs intervals in TII might be attributed with confidence to the interaction of a higher-order nuclear moment.

**II. EXPERIMENT**

The important features of the MBER spectrometer used for these measurements have been described elsewhere. In addition to working with single resonances, we used a microwave rf double-resonance technique to identify some of the observed radio-frequency transitions. By observing the intensity of a given rf transition (which occurs within a single rotational state) as different rotational transitions were induced with a microwave C field, we were able to determine which rotational state was involved in the rf transition.

As pointed out in the preceding paper, electric...
focusing fields have a small aperture for the lowest rotational states of a molecule such as TIl; our spectrometer provided adequate state selection only for the J = 3 and J = 4 states. This is not a serious handicap, for the numerous hyperfine energy levels in J>1 rotational states permit us to make a better test of the Hamiltonian than would be possible from the J = 1 spectrum; moreover, it is only for J>1 states that a nuclear electric hexadecapole interaction can be observed.

About 90 rf spectral lines were observed in the J = 3 and J = 4 rotational states; useful results were obtained for the v=0, 1, 2, 3 vibrational states. The most intense transition was the 39.441 MHz, (F1=2, F' = 2)→(F1 = 1, F' = 1) transition which occurs in the J = 3, v = 0 state of 205T11271. The full width at half-maximum of the spectral lines was about 400 Hz, which is expected from the transit time of the molecules through the 50-cm C field.

III. ANALYSIS OF SPECTRA

A. Hamiltonian

Since the thallium nucleus in the 1Σ TIl molecule has spin I2 = 3/2, the appropriate Hamiltonian is

\[ H = F_\text{vib} + Q^{(2)} : V^{(2)} + H^{(4)} : V^{(4)} + c_1 I_1 \cdot J + c_2 I_2 \cdot J \]

\[ + c_3 I_1 \cdot d (J) \cdot I_2 + c_4 (I_1 \cdot I_2) - \mu_2 E - g_1 \mu_0 J \cdot H \]

\[ - \mu_2 H \cdot (g_1 I_1 + g_2 I_2) \]

The F_\text{vib} term is the usual operator for a vibrating rotor. The next two terms represent the electric quadrupole and electric hexadecapole interactions of the iodine nucleus. The terms with coefficients c1 and c2 contain the interaction of the nuclear magnetic moments with the magnetic field which arises from the rotation of the molecule. The sixth and seventh terms are operators for the tensor and scalar parts of the nuclear spin-spin interaction. The remaining terms represent the interaction of the molecule with external electric and magnetic fields. In this experiment the C field is so weak that the contributions to the energy from these external field terms are negligible.

The magnetic octupole interaction is too small to show up in the hfs of TICI and TIBr as observed3,4 with our spectrometer. Since the octupole moments of these halogen nuclei are comparable to that of iodine,2 we do not include an octupole term in the Hamiltonian used for interpretation of the TII spectrum.

B. Matrix Elements

Since we are dealing here with hyperfine structure in very weak fields and since the quadrupole interaction between I1 and J is the dominant interaction, it makes sense to calculate matrix elements in the \(| (I_1 J) F_1 F_2 M_F \rangle \) representation. We want agreement with spectra in which the uncertainty in the positions of the lines is less than 100 Hz, so for thallium iodide, in which the quadrupole interaction7 (~440 MHz) is comparable to the rotational constant (~815 MHz), we find8 that the calculations must be carried to fourth order in the quadrupole interaction of the iodine nucleus and must include contributions off diagonal in F1 from the magnetic interactions of the thallium nucleus.

We use a contraction of two spherical tensors for the quadrupole interaction operator since the form of this operator which has been used for analysis of many previous MBER experiments does not give correct values of matrix elements which are off diagonal in J. The matrix elements for the quadrupole interaction, expressed with 3-j and 6-j symbols,9 are10

\[
(\langle I_1 J F_1 I_2 F_2 M_F \rangle | Q^{(2)} : V^{(2)} | \langle I_1' J' F_1' I_2' F_2' M_F' \rangle) = \frac{1}{2} (epQ) (-1)^{I_1 + I_1'} \delta_{F_1 F_2} \delta_{F_1' F_2'} \delta_{M_F M_F'} \sum_{J' J} \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} J' & J & F_1 \\ I_1 & I_2 & F_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} I_1 & 2 & J \\ 2 & I_1 & J \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} I_1 & I_2 & I_1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \end{array} \right].
\]
By analogy one can define the electric hexadecapole interaction operator\textsuperscript{18} as a contraction of two fourth-rank spherical tensors; the matrix elements of this interaction are\textsuperscript{12}
\[
\langle \{J_1 J_2 \} F_1 I_2 \} | F M_P | \{ (I_1 ' J_1 ' ) F_1 I_2 \} F' M_P' \rangle = \frac{1}{3} (e \hbar H) (-1)^{I_1 ' + J_1 ' + 2J} \frac{1}{(2J + 1)(2J ' + 1)}^{1/2} \times \left[ \begin{array}{c} I_1 I_2 J 1 4 \\ 4 I_1 J \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} J_1 J_2 I_1 4 J \\ J_1 J_2 I_1 4 J \end{array} \right] \delta_{P,F} \delta_{P,F'} \delta_{M_P M_P'}.
\]

Here $\hbar$ represents the expectation value of the fourth derivative of the electric potential at the site of the nucleus, and $e \hbar H$ is the hexadecapole moment of the nucleus. Only diagonal $(J = J')$ matrix elements of this interaction need be considered for our work since the hexadecapole energy is quite small.

The matrix elements for the magnetic hyperfine interactions, including the elements off diagonal in $F_1$, have been given by English.\textsuperscript{13}

IV. RESULTS

A. Hyperfine Constants for TII

Since more transitions were observed within the $J=4$ rotational state than within $J=3$, the results listed in Table I were obtained by doing a least-squares adjustment of the hfs constants for a best match between the predictions of the Hamiltonian and the $J=4$ spectrum. We have also calculated the hfs constants from the $J=3$ spectrum alone; the resultant values of the constants agree with those given in Table I but the uncertainties are somewhat larger.

The hfs constants for the first two vibrational states were determined as described above; however, not enough transitions were observed within $v=2$ and $v=3$ to determine all the constants independently. Instead the magnetic interaction constants were assumed to be the same as in $v=1$, and $eq\Omega$ was then chosen to give the best fit to the observed spectrum. This procedure is justified because the change in the values of the magnetic interaction constants between $v=0$ and $v=1$ is less than the uncertainties in the values of these constants.

As was found in thallium bromide,\textsuperscript{4} the size of $eq\Omega$ for the halogen nucleus has a noticeable dependence on the thallium mass only when the molecule is in a $v>0$ state.

B. Limit for the Nuclear Hexadecapole Moment of Iodine

A very satisfactory fit between prediction and observation is obtained with $e \hbar H$ set equal to zero. An electric hexadecapole coupling constant larger than 500 Hz would not have escaped detection in these measurements; from this we can estimate an upper limit for the nuclear electric hexadecapole moment of iodine. If we assume that the electric field at the iodine nucleus comes from a unit point charge located at the thallium nucleus 2.8 Å away, then the 500-Hz limit on $e \hbar H$ implies the iodine hexadecapole moment is less than $5 \times 10^{-46}$ cm$^4$. However, as has been pointed out by Sternheimer,\textsuperscript{14} the point-charge model neglects antishielding effects and thus gives far too low a value for the fourth derivative of the electric field at the site of the nucleus. Sternheimer\textsuperscript{14} judged $10^9$ to be an upper limit for the hexadecapole antishielding factor in molecules like TII, so we believe the upper limit on the hexadecapole moment of iodine from this experiment is about $10^{-47}$ cm$^4$.

This limit is of the same order as the size of the hexadecapole moment which was reported for the $^{115}$In nucleus by Mahler, James, and Tantilla.\textsuperscript{19} In their experiment, ultrasonically induced $| \Delta m | = 3$ transitions in an InAs crystal were interpreted as evidence for the interaction of the hexadecapole moment of the indium nucleus. Their results, as well as ours, depend strongly on the assumed value of the hexadecapole antishielding factor. We are presently using our MBER spectrometer to measure the radio-frequency spectrum of $^{115}$In$^{19}$F to look for evidence of the indium hexadecapole moment in the hyperfine structure of the free molecule.

Effects of higher-order nuclear deformations have been observed\textsuperscript{17} in the scattering of alpha particles from rare-earth nuclei. Hendrie et al.\textsuperscript{17} point out, however, that it is difficult to establish a direct relationship between the deformation parameters obtained in their scattering experiments and the electric multipole moments of the nucleus since one cannot assume that the charge and nuclear fields have exactly the same shape. Moreover they remark that the relationship between the deformation parameters and the multipole moments is not unique.
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An asymptotic expansion for the Helmholtz free energy $F_N$ of a system of $N$ hard squares of side $\sigma$ is obtained, with the result

$$F_N/Nk_BT \simeq 2 \ln(\lambda/\sigma) - 2 \ln(r-1) + C + D(r-1) + E(r-1)^2 + \cdots,$$

where $k_B$ is Boltzmann’s constant, $\lambda$ is the mean thermal de Broglie wavelength, and $r$ is the ratio of the system area to the close-packed area. A formal expression is derived for the constant $C$, while $D, E, \cdots$ are the coefficients in the expansion of $2 \ln(r^{2n}+1)$ in powers of $(r-1)$. The modified cell-cluster technique is used to evaluate $C$, with the result $C = -0.26042240$.

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years there has been a great deal of interest in the thermodynamic properties of rigid-particle systems, mainly because their simplicity makes it possible to study both the low-density fluid states and the high-density solidlike states in the neighborhood of the close-packed limit. Thus they offer the possibility of studying a solid-fluid phase transition which is possible to study both the low-density fluid states and the high-density solidlike states in the neighborhood of the close-packed limit. However, except for certain special models, rigorous proof in the thermodynamic limit $N\to\infty$ is lacking. Despite the lack of rigorous proof, we believe the expansion in Eq. (1) correctly predicts the thermodynamic properties of hard-core systems in the neighborhood of the close-packed limit.

The free energy obtained by estimating $C, D, \cdots$, etc., may be used in conjunction with molecular dynamics or Monte Carlo pressures of the fluid phase to estimate the location of a possible solid-fluid phase transition, both in pressure and in coexistent phase densities, by a Maxwell double tangent construction. This approach to locating the phase transition may be advantageous over integration of Monte Carlo or molecular dynamics pressures through the transition region where troublesome virial fluctuations occur.

The estimation of the coefficients in Eq. (1) has thus