I. INTRODUCTION

The existence of many inequivalent representations of the canonical anticommutation relations (CAR) was pointed out by Friedrichs$^4$ and van Hove$^8$; it was treated rigorously by Gårding and Wightman,$^9$ Wightman and Schweber,$^4$ and Golodets.$^5$ It is well known by now that there is an uncountable number of inequivalent representations of the CAR which are both the hope and the harm of the Hamiltonian approach to quantum field theory. The problem is to find the right representation which makes bona fide a given Hamiltonian. The point is that when one works in the Fock space, translations are not unitarily implementable because of Haag's theorem$^6$ and/or ultraviolet divergences.$^7$ The usual approach to find the "correct" representations is to butcher the Hamiltonian by introducing enough cutoffs to develop a well-defined theory in the Fock space, and then try to recover the correct theory by some limiting procedure. This approach has been suggested by Wightman$^7$ and forms the nucleus of the work of Glimm and Jaffe.$^8$

In this note we exemplify Wightman's suggestion in the quadratic fermion interaction Hamiltonian. The method is the same one used by Guenin and Velo.$^9$

For space-time dimensions $s + 1$, this model leads to a new representation of the CAR which is given by a (generalized for $s + 1 \geq 4$) Bogoliubov transformation. For $s + 1 \geq 4$ in finite or infinite volume, and for $s = 2$ in infinite volume, the new representation of the CAR is equivalent to the bare mass Fock representation. In all other cases the two representations are equivalent.

In Ref. 10 the same model has been studied by Glimm's method$^{11}$ in the form used by Hepp$^{12}$ and Fabrey.$^{13}$
The model leads to linear field equations whose solution is trivial. However, working with the Hamiltonian, the model is far from trivial.

II. FORMAL AND CUTOFF HAMILTONIAN

We consider a Dirac field of bare mass $m_0$ in $(s + 1)$-dimensional space–time whose free Hamiltonian $H_0$ is

$$H_0 = \int d^s x \psi^0(x)(-i \gamma \cdot + m_0)\psi^0(x):$$

$$= \sum_r \int d\omega(p)[a^*(p, r)a(p, r) + b^*(p, r)b(p, r)],$$

where

$$\psi^0(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{s/2}} \int \frac{d\omega(p)}{\sqrt{\omega_p}} \left( \sum_r a(p, r)u(p, r; m_0)e^{i\omega_p x} + \sum_r b^*(p, r)u(p, r; m_0)e^{-i\omega_p x} \right),$$

$$\bar{\psi}^0(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{s/2}} \int \frac{d\omega(p)}{\sqrt{\omega_p}} \left( \sum_r b(p, r)\bar{u}(p, r; m_0)e^{i\omega_p x} + \sum_r a^*(p, r)\bar{u}(p, r; m_0)e^{-i\omega_p x} \right),$$

$$\omega_p = \omega(p) = (p^2 + m_0^2)^{1/2},$$

$$[a(p, r), a^*(p', r')] = \delta(p - p')\delta_{rr'},$$

$$[b(p, r), b^*(p', r')] = \delta(p - p')\delta_{rr'}.$$
Simple estimations show that $H_{f_n}(g)$, $\kappa < + \infty$, is a self-adjoint bounded operator for real $g(x)$. Thus, $H_g(g) = H_0 + H_{f_n}(g)$, $\kappa < + \infty$, is a self-adjoint operator with domain $D(H_g) = D(H_0)$.

III. HEISENBERG FIELDS

Since $H_{f_n}(g)$ is a self-adjoint operator, $e^{itH_{f_n}(g)}$ is a well-defined unitary operator. Thus we can define

$$\psi_{x_0}(x, t) = e^{iH_{f_n}(g)} \psi(0)(x)e^{itH_{f_n}(g)}.$$  

Let us write

$$\psi_{x_0}(x, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int dp \left( \sum_r a_{x_0}(p, r, t) \mu(p, r, m_0)e^{ip \cdot x} + \sum_r \delta_{x_0}(p, r, t) \nu(p, r, m_0)e^{-ip \cdot x} \right);$$

then

$$\frac{d a_{x_0}(p, r, t)}{dt} = [a_{x_0}(p, r, t), H_x(g)],$$

with the initial conditions

$$a_{x_0}(p, r, 0) = a(p, r), \quad \delta_{x_0}(p, r, 0) = b(p, r).$$

To solve these linear equations, we make the following ansatz:

$$a_{x_0}(p, r, t) = \sum_{p'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K^{(x_0)}_{1} (t, p, p', r) a(p', r') dp';$$

$$+ \sum_{p'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K^{(x_0)}_{2} (t, p, p', r') b^{*}(-p', r') dp'.$$

(2)

Substituting (2) into (1), we find that $K^{(x_0)}_{1}$ and $K^{(x_0)}_{2}$ satisfy a system of integro-differential equations

$$i \frac{\partial K^{(x, a)}_{1} (t, p, r, p', r')}{\partial t} = \omega(p') K^{(x, a)}_{1} (t, p, r, p', r')$$

$$+ \int dp'' \bar{\delta}(p'' - p') \chi_{a}(p'', -p')$$

$$\times \left( \sum_{r'} \frac{\mu(p'', r'; m_0)u(p', r'; m_0)}{(\omega' \omega'')^2} \right)$$

$$\times K^{(x, a)}_{1} (t, p, r, p'', r')$$

$$+ \sum_{r'} \frac{\nu(-p'', r', m_0)u(p', r', m_0)}{(\omega' \omega'')^2}$$

$$\times K^{(x, a)}_{1} (t, p, r, p', r').$$

(3)

with initial conditions

$$K^{(x, a)}_{1} (0, p, r, p', r') = \delta(p - p') \delta_{rr'},$$

$$K^{(x, a)}_{2} (0, p, r, p', r') = 0.$$  

(4)

Define

$$K^{(x, a)}(t, p, p', r') = \left( \frac{e^{i\omega' t} K^{(x, a)}_{1}}{e^{-i\omega' t} K^{(x, a)}_{2}} \right)(t, p, r, p', r').$$

(5)

and

$$L^{(x)}(t, p', r', p', r')$$

$$= \frac{1}{\lambda} \chi_{a}(p', -p') e^{i(\omega' - \omega) t} \bar{\mu}(p', -r'; m_0) u(p', r', m_0)$$

$$\times \frac{\bar{u}(p', -r'; m_0)v(-p', r', m_0)}{\omega' \omega''} e^{-i(\omega' + \omega) t} \bar{\delta}(p', -r', m_0) v(-p', r', m_0)$$

$$\times \frac{\delta(-p', -r', m_0) \bar{u}(-p', r', m_0)v(-p', r', m_0)}{\omega' \omega''}.$$

(6)

Then (3) can be written in the compact form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} K^{(x, a)}_{1} (t, p, r, p', r')$$

$$= \lambda \int dp'' \bar{\delta}(p'' - p') \sum_{r'} L^{(x)}(t, p', r', p', r')$$

$$\times K^{(x, a)}_{1} (t, p, r, p', r').$$

(7)

Theorem 1: (7) with initial conditions (4) has a unique solution, which, smeared out in $p$ with test functions in $S(\mathbb{R}^d)$, belongs to $S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in $p'$. Furthermore, this solution, when smeared out in $p$ with test functions in $S(\mathbb{R}^d)$, converges in the $S$ topology as $\kappa \to + \infty$, and $g \to 1$ and the limit is the solution of (7) with $g = 1$ and $\kappa = + \infty$. 
Proof: Let

\[ f(p) \in S(\mathbb{R}^4). \]

Then

\[ K^{(\kappa,a)}(t, r, p, r', p') = \int dpf(p)K^{(\kappa,a)}(t, p, r, p', r') \]

is a solution of

\[ K^{(\kappa,a)}(t, r, p, r', p') = K^{(\kappa,a)}(0, r, p, r') + \int_0^t dt \int dp' \hat{g}(p' - p) \sum \mathcal{L}^{(\kappa)}(t, p', r, p', r')K^{(\kappa,a)}(t, r, p', r'), \]

with initial condition

\[ K^{(\kappa,a)}(0, r, p', r') = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{r'}f(p') \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \]

Iterating (8) we get the Neumann series

\[ K^{(\kappa,a)}(t, r, p', r') = K^{(\kappa,a)}(0, r, p', r') + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} dt_2 \cdots \int_0^{t_{n-1}} dt_\infty \hat{g}(p_1 - p)\hat{g}(p_2 - p_1) \cdots g_n(p - p_{n-1})K^{(\kappa,a)}(t, p, r, p', r'), \]

From (6) we have

\[ \|\mathcal{L}^{(\kappa)}(t, p, r, p', r')\| \leq C_1, \]

where \( C_1 \) is independent of \( t, p, r, p', r' \), and \( \kappa \). Thus we get

\[ |K^{(\kappa,a)}(t, p, r, p', r')| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_1^n}{n!} \|K^{(\kappa,a)}(0, r, p', r')\|_\infty, \]

where the constant \( C_2 \) is independent of \( t, p, r, p', r', \kappa, \) and \( g \). Therefore the convergence of the Neumann series is uniform in \( p', \kappa, \) and \( g \). The same kind of estimates we can make for

\[ \left\| \left( \prod_{p'=r} \frac{\partial}{\partial p'} \right) K^{(\kappa,a)}(t, r, p', r') \right\|, \]

proving the convergence in \( S(\mathbb{R}^4) \) as \( \kappa \to +\infty, g \to 1 \).

IV. LIMITING SOLUTION AND THE ASSOCIATED BOGOLIUBOV TRANSFORMATION

For \( \kappa = +\infty, \) and \( g = 1, g(p'' - p') = \delta(p'' - p') \), (3) becomes

\[ i \frac{\partial K_1(t, p, r, p', r')}{\partial t} = -\omega(p')K_1(t, p, r, p', r') \]

\[ + \frac{\lambda m_0}{\omega(p')} K_1(t, p, r, p', r') \]

\[ + \sum \frac{\delta(p' - p', r', m_0 u_1(p, r', m_0)}{\omega} \times K_1(t, p, r, p', r'), \]

To solve these equations we make the ansatz

\[ K_1(t, p, r, p', r') = A_1(p, r, p', r')e^{-i\Omega t} + A_2(p, r, p', r')e^{i\Omega t}, \]

where \( \Omega(p) = \sqrt{p^2 + (m_0 + \lambda)^2}. \) Then

\[ K_1(t, p, r, p', r') = \left( \frac{\Omega \omega^2 + \omega^2 + \lambda m_0 e^{-i\Omega t}}{2\Omega \omega} + \frac{\Omega \omega^2 - \omega^2 - \lambda m_0 e^{i\Omega t}}{2\Omega \omega} \right) \times \delta(p - p') \delta_{rr'}, \]

Theorem 2:

\[ \tilde{a}_{g_0}(t, p, r) = \sum_{r'} \int K^{(g_0)}(t, p, r, p', r')a(p', r') dp' \]

\[ + \sum_{r'} \int K^{(g_0)}(t, p, r, p', r')b^*(p' - p', r') dp', \]
when smeared out with test functions in $S(\mathbb{R}^4)$, converges, as $\kappa \to +\infty$, $g \to 1$, to
\[
\tilde{a}(t, p, r) = \sum_{r'} \left( \int K_1(t, p, r, p', r') a(p', r') \, dp' \right)
+ \sum_{r'} \left( \int K_2(t, p, r, p', r') b^*(-p', r') \, dp' \right)
\]
in the norm topology of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{m_0})$.

Proof: By Theorem 1, it suffices to prove that if $f_n \to f$, then $a^\#(f_n) \to a^\#(f)$ uniformly. Indeed
\[
\|a^\#(f_n) - a^\#(f)\| = \|a^\#(f_n - f)\| \leq \|f_n - f\| \to 0,
\]
where $\|f_n - f\|$ is some $S(\mathbb{R}^4)$ norm.

This theorem implies that $\psi_{ex}(x, t)$, when smeared out with functions in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^4)$, converges uniformly to
\[
\psi(x, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \int \frac{dp}{\sqrt{\omega_p}} \sum_r \left( \tilde{a}(p, r, t) u(p, r, m_0) e^{ip \cdot x} + \tilde{b}^*(p, r, t) v(p, r, m_0) e^{-ip \cdot x} \right)
\]
in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{m_0})$.

After some simple manipulations we obtain
\[
\psi(x, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \int \frac{dp}{\sqrt{\omega_p}} \left( \sum_r \tilde{a}(p, r) u(p, r, m) e^{-i\Omega t + ip \cdot x} + \sum_r \tilde{b}^*(p, r) v(p, r, m) e^{i\Omega t - ip \cdot x} \right),
\]
where $m = m_0 + \lambda$, and
\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{a}(p, r) &= \sum_r \left( \frac{\tilde{u}(p, r, m) \gamma_0 u(p, r', m_0)}{(\omega_p \Omega)^{1/2}} a(p, r') + \frac{\tilde{u}(p, r, m) \gamma_0 v(-p, r', m_0)}{(\omega_p \Omega)^{1/2}} b^*(-p, r') \right), \\
\tilde{b}^*(p, r) &= \sum_r \left( \frac{\tilde{u}(-p, r, m) \gamma_0 u(p, r', m_0)}{(\Omega \omega_p)^{1/2}} a(p, r') + \frac{\tilde{u}(-p, r, m) \gamma_0 v(-p, r', m_0)}{(\Omega \omega_p)^{1/2}} b^*(-p, r') \right).
\end{align*}
\]

In two- and three-dimensional space–time, the sum over $r'$ reduces to a single term and the canonical transformation in (14) is the ordinary Bogoliubov transformation. For this transformation, it is known, Uhlenbrock,\textsuperscript{14} Ezawa,\textsuperscript{15} Klauder and McKenna,\textsuperscript{16} and Berezin,\textsuperscript{17} that the new representation is unitary equivalent to the original representation if and only if
\[
\int d^3p \left| \frac{\tilde{u}(p; m) \gamma_0 v(-p; m_0)}{(\Omega \omega_p)^{1/2}} \right|^2 < +\infty, \quad s = 1, 2.
\]

After simple gimmics, the integral can be written as
\[
\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{\Omega(p) \omega(p) - p^2 - m m_0}{\Omega(p) \omega(p)} \, dp,
\]
which is convergent for $s = 1$, but divergent for $s = 2$.

In space of finite volume with periodic boundary conditions, criterion (15) reads
\[
\sum_r \left| \frac{\tilde{u}(p; m) \gamma_0 v(-p, m_0)}{(\Omega \omega_p)^{1/2}} \right|^2 < +\infty,
\]
or
\[
\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{(\Omega(p) \omega(p) - p^2 - m m_0)}{(\Omega \omega_p)^{1/2}} \, dp < +\infty, \quad (16)
\]
which is satisfied for $s = 1, 2$.

For $s \geq 3$, we get a generalized Bogoliubov transformation. This transformation has been studied in 10. This study shows that the transformation (14) is unitarily inequivalent to the Fock representation associated with a fermion of bare mass $m_0$.

\section*{APPENDIX: CONVENTIONS AND SPINORS}

We use the metric
\[
g_{00} = 1, \quad g_{ii} = -1, \quad g_{\mu \nu} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \mu \neq \nu.
\]
The Dirac matrices satisfy
\[
\gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu + \gamma_\nu \gamma_\mu = 2 g_{\mu \nu}.
\]
They form an irreducible Clifford algebra whenever $s$ is odd. We assume that the $\gamma$'s are unitary and $\gamma_0^* = \gamma_0$, $\gamma_\mu^* = -\gamma_\mu$. We denote $u^*(p) \gamma^0$ by $\tilde{u}(p)$. The spinors satisfy
\[
\begin{align*}
(y \cdot p - m) u(p) &= 0, \quad \tilde{u}(p)(y \cdot p - m) = 0, \\
(y \cdot p + m) v(p) &= 0, \quad \tilde{v}(p)(y \cdot p + m) = 0.
\end{align*}
\]
They are normalized so that
\[
\begin{align*}
u^*(p, r) u(p, s) &= \omega_p \delta_{rs}, \quad \tilde{u}(p, r) u(p, s) = m \delta_{rs}, \\
\nu^*(p, r) v(p, s) &= \omega_p \delta_{rs}, \quad \tilde{v}(p, r) v(p, s) = m \delta_{rs}.
\end{align*}
\]
The orthogonality is expressed by
\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_r u^*_p (r) \tilde{u}_p (r) &= (y \cdot p + m)_{s, l}/2, \\
\sum_r v^*_p (r) \tilde{v}_p (r) &= (y \cdot p - m)_{s, l}/2.
\end{align*}
\]
We need the following properties
\[
\sum_{r, r'} |u(p, r) v(p', r')|^2 = p \cdot p' - m^2,
\]
\[
= \omega(p) \omega(p') - p \cdot p' - m,
\]
\[
u^*(p, r) v(-p, s) = 0 = u^*(-p, r) u(p, s).
\]
\textsuperscript{1} K. O. Friedrichs, Mathematical Aspects of the Quantum Theory of Fields (Interscience, New York, 1953).
Recent contributions to the Lee–Yang–Mohling theory of single-component quantum fluids have enabled us to develop a new theory of the quantum statistics for a multicomponent nonrelativistic system of charged and neutral particles in thermal equilibrium. With the emphasis as much as possible on the physical content of the theory, this paper presents the new formulation of quantum statistics with explicit rules for calculating the grand potential and particle and photon momentum distributions. The present formalism not only simplifies and corrects an earlier version, but also it has made possible clear and systematic procedures for resolving some divergence difficulties that occur in the many-body theory of fully ionized gases.

1. INTRODUCTION

Interest in controlled thermonuclear reactions, stellar atmospheres and interiors, and, more generally, plasmas has focused attention on the physics of fully ionized gases. Although a theory of the nonequilibrium partially ionized gas should be avidly pursued, the plasmas has focused attention on the physics of fully ionized gases. Although a theory of the nonequilibrium fully ionized gas in thermal equilibrium is justified in view of the horrendous complexity of the problem. Moreover, a study of the equilibrium properties of a system can provide important information about nonequilibrium systems—for example, about linear response and transport phenomena.

A few years ago, Mohling and Grandy developed a formalism for calculating thermodynamic properties, momentum distributions, and pair-correlation functions for a nonrelativistic, multicomponent, fully ionized gas in thermal equilibrium, and that theory has been used in several calculations. It was later realized that two classes of photon self-energy structures [called (0, 2) and (2, 0) structures] were accidentally omitted in the self-energy analysis in MG, and it was therefore of interest to amend MG so as to include the missing self-energy structures. However, Mohling, Ramarao, and Shea have recently developed a simple and appealing new master-graph theory of a real quantum fluid in thermal equilibrium; the formalism in MRS applies to a single-component quantum fluid (degenerate or nondegenerate) with a short-range interaction. Moreover, Tuttle has demonstrated that a powerful counterterm technique can be included easily in a quantum statistical theory, such as that of Tuttle. The results of our development are expressed in terms of diagrammatic expansions for momentum distributions and the grand potential.

It seems characteristic of any many-body theory to be plagued by divergencies and spurious results. For the systems of interest here, the developments in quantum electrodynamics allow us to take cognizance of some prospective troublesome features of the theory. Thus, from the beginning, we address ourselves to the tasks of renormalizing bare masses of charged particles, of dealing with the infrared problem, and of summing the so-called Coulomb ring diagrams.