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Three sequential oscillatory fields are employed in a molecular beam electric resonance spectrometer in order to observe molecular hfs transitions which do not obey the criteria for observability in the usual beam apparatus. The triple resonance method has been used to examine the hfs of CsF under conditions of very weak external fields. The method shows considerable promise for the detailed study of molecular hfs when more than one nucleus has a quadrupole moment, and for the observation of transitions at audio frequencies between closely spaced molecular energy levels.

The transitions which are observable in the conventional molecular beam electric resonance (MBER) spectrometer occur between those energy states which have different values of effective electric dipole moment in high field. We report here an experiment in which three, sequential oscillatory fields are employed to detect and measure transitions which do not obey this observability criterion. The additional spectral lines obtained in this way supplement the lines measured with a single oscillatory field and facilitate the understanding of the molecule's structure; moreover it is possible to measure directly many energy level separations which had previously been determined only as the difference between the frequencies of two spectral lines. The present experiment shows the use of a triple resonance method to measure hyperfine structure transitions in the J=1, $v=0$ state of $^{133}$Cs$^{19}$F under conditions of very weak external fields, but the method is applicable to many molecules under a variety of field conditions.

I. PRINCIPLES OF THE TRIPLE RESONANCE METHOD

The zero-field energy level diagram of CsF in the J=1 state is shown in Fig. 1. The electric quadrupole coupling of the cesium nucleus (spin $I_1$) to the rotational angular momentum of the molecule J is the dominant feature of the hyperfine structure; $I_1$ and J couple to form $F_1$. The magnetic interaction of the fluorine nucleus splits each $F_1$ level into two F levels, so the representation appropriate for very weak external field is ($J, I_1, F_1, I_2, F, M_J$), abbreviated ($F_1, F$). The effective electric dipole moment of the molecule in strong external field [where ($I_1, M_{I_1}, I_2, M_{I_2}, J, M_J$) is a more suitable representation] is governed by $J, M_J$, so the trajectory of the molecule in the strong, inhomogeneous electric fields of the state selectors is dependent on $J, M_J$.

In the $J=1$ state of CsF one finds\(^3,4\) that the ($F_1, F$) levels ($7/2, 3$) and ($7/2, 4$) in very weak field correspond adiabatically to states in which $M_J=0$ in strong field, while the rest of the $J=1$ sublevels correspond to $| M_J | = 1$; the transitions shown as $\alpha_1$ and $\beta_1$ ($i=1, \ldots, 4$) on Fig. 1 are thus the only ones which are observable in very weak fields with the usual, single resonance MBER spectrometer.\(^5\) The selection rules\(^4\) which hold in very weak fields allow $\Delta F_1 = 0, 1, 2$, $\Delta F = 0, 1, 2$, and $\Delta M_F = 0, 1$, however, and the triple resonance method described here permits the observation of all those transitions $\gamma$, which are allowed by the selection rules but which are not associated with a change of $| M_J |$ in high field.

These additional transitions proved quite useful in understanding the weak field hfs in CsF. As discussed in Ref. 1, the lines from excited vibrational states overlap the $v=0$ spectrum, making it difficult to identify the lines observed with the single resonance data. By performing triple resonance on this system the line assignments were strongly substantiated.

The beam spectrometer\(^5\) is one in which a $J=1$ molecule must be in the $M_J=0$ substate in both the A and B fields in order to be focused at the detector; molecules which are in the ($J, M_J$) = (1, $\pm 1$) states in either the A or B fields (or both) are not detected. In what follows, the two focused substates which participate in the triple resonance are denoted by $a$ and $b$, and the two unfocused $J=1$ substates by $f$ and $g$. For CsF the ($7/2, 3$) and ($7/2, 4$) states are $a$ and $b$, as in Fig. 2, and if we want to measure the ($9/2, 5$)–($5/2, 3$) energy-level separation, for example, the latter two states will be $f$ and $g$.

Molecules leave the source and A field to pass through rf regions 1, 2, and 3 before entering the B field of the spectrometer. To simplify our illustration we assume (1) that the A field state selector provides a

---

* Research supported in part by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.
† National Science Foundation predoctoral fellow.
‡ Present address: Department of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

beam in which there are an equal number of molecules in states \( a \) and \( b \) but no molecules in states \( f \) and \( g \), and (2) that the action of each of the rf fields, when tuned to resonance, is to equalize the populations of the two states connected by the rf perturbation. These assumptions are for convenience in discussion and are not essential to the method.

The rf fields 1 and 3 are excited with fixed frequencies \( \omega_1 = \omega_{af} \) and \( \omega_2 = \omega_{bg} \) which are known from previous single-resonance measurements. Field 2 is excited with frequency \( \omega_2 \) which is varied over a range which includes \( \omega_{fg} \). The sequence of events by which the \( f-g \) transition may be detected is shown in Fig. 2 and proceeds as follows: In field 1, state \( f \) is populated at the expense of state \( a \). If the frequency of the rf-exciting field is equal to \( \omega_{fp} \), there will be an appreciable number of molecules in state \( g \) before the beam enters field 3. In field 3, where the \( g-b \) transition occurs, the net transfer of molecules out of state \( b \) depends on the population of \( g \). It is the molecules which leave field 3 in states \( a \) and \( b \) which contribute to the detected signal, so the \( \omega_2 \) resonance can be detected by its influence on the population of state \( b \).

As can be seen from analysis similar to that just presented, the occurrence of a resonance in rf field 2 can be detected with many other combinations of frequencies, as long as both \( \omega_2 \) and \( \omega_3 \) induce transitions which correspond to a change in \( M_J \). For example, the \( a-b \) transition can be observed if \( \omega_1 = \omega_{ad}, \omega_2 = \omega_{ab}, \) and \( \omega_3 = \omega_{bg} \). It can also be observed if \( \omega_1 = \omega_{ad}, \omega_2 = \omega_{ab}, \) and \( \omega_3 = \omega_{bg} \). In this latter case, the level \( g \) is not needed so that the method can be used with three levels as well as with four.

There are similarities between the present experiment and the method developed by Woodgate and Sandars\(^5\) for the measurement of nuclear moments with an atomic beam magnetic resonance spectrometer.


**II. EXPERIMENT**

The measurements are done with an MBER spectrometer, fully described elsewhere\(^7\), in which the \( C \) field is provided with three distinct regions where rf can be applied. The construction of the \( C \) field is such that the applied dc electric field is the same in all three rf regions. These rf regions are similar to (but shorter than) the rf field used for the single resonance measurements on \( \text{CsF} \) and \( \text{RbF} \) done with the same spectrometer. The first and third rf regions are each 10 cm long; the second rf field, in which the transitions of most interest are induced, is 30 cm long. The spacing between rf fields is 2 cm. The operation of each rf region is individually tested by using it alone to measure a spectral line in the usual, single resonance fashion: linewidths very close to the expected values (5 kHz for the shorter fields, and 1.6 kHz for rf field 2) are obtained, so there is assurance that the transition regions are operating in the expected way.

A tungsten-wire surface ionizer is used to detect the beam; the current from the ionized molecules is measured directly with a vibrating-reed electrometer. The four-pole, electrostatic \( A \) and \( B \) fields provide a beam...
of state-selected molecules in such numbers that the most intense single resonance transitions are seen as a change of about $5 \times 10^{-14}$ A on a background of $2 \times 10^{-12}$ A; the triple-resonance signals are from 10%–50% of the single-resonance amplitude. Spectrometer noise makes small signals ($<2 \times 10^{-15}$ A) difficult to measure reliably.

With the present apparatus, one convenient method to detect resonances is to measure the change in detector current, or "flop," which is correlated with the application and removal of the rf excitation to Field 3; the application of $\omega_2$, a known resonance frequency, will always give a transition signal, so the $\omega_2$ resonance can then be detected as a decrease in the flop correlated with $\omega_3$. Figure 3 shows the flop from $\omega_2$ vs $\omega_3$, plotted as $\omega_2$ goes through the resonance frequency of the $(9/2, 5)$–$(5/2, 3)$ transition.

The single resonance experiments done with the present spectrometer use a dc electric field of 1.5 V/cm except permitted the observation of the characteristic resonance expected in rf field 2. On the other hand, an interchange of the frequencies applied to fields 1 and 2, or of those applied to 3 and 2, yielded no resonance of the $f$–$g$ type. In addition, a careful search for the $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$ transitions proved fruitless unless both $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$ were set to the appropriate frequencies in accord with our model for the transition process.

It should be noted that frequencies $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$ are different for all data presented here. If one had $\omega_1 = \omega_2$, then interference would be expected. This has been seen with RbF in the present apparatus: A typical Ramsey resonance pattern was observed when fields 1 and 3 were driven by the same oscillator while no rf excitation was applied to field 2.

### III. RESULTS

The results of the measurements are shown in Table 1. The internal consistency of the triple resonance data is very good; for example, we expect $\gamma_1 + \gamma_4 + \gamma_5 = \gamma_6$; we find $\gamma_1 + \gamma_4 + \gamma_5 = (89.35 \pm 0.6)$ kHz, and $\gamma_6 = (89.4 \pm 0.2)$ kHz. Comparison of the single and triple resonance data shows some differences which are more than can be accounted for by the uncertainty in determining the centers of the spectral lines. However, from the single-resonance experiments, we know that the apparent center of a very-weak-field spectral line (which actually has many unresolved Stark components) may shift several hundred Hertz as the dc field is changed from 1.5- to 3.0 V/cm. From Table I we see that the significant discrepancies occur for lines taken at the larger dc field of 3.0 V/cm. Thus we conclude that the discrepancies are due to the Stark effect.

A quantitative understanding of the electric field’s effect on the frequency of the $\omega_2$ resonances (and of the amplitudes of these resonances) requires a better knowledge of the unresolved components of the lines than we have available. The calculation of the transition probability for the very-weak-field case is difficult because the many-level system is subject to a fairly large perturbation by an rf field whose amplitude and
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**TABLE I. Triple resonances observed in CsF.a,b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>$(F_i, F) - (F_i', F')$</th>
<th>Amplitude (units of $10^{-14}$ A)</th>
<th>Observed frequency</th>
<th>Frequency predicted from single-resonance data</th>
<th>Transitions occurring in Fields 1 and 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_1$</td>
<td>$(9/2, 5)$–$(5/2, 4)$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$21.35(25)^*$</td>
<td>$21.1(2)$</td>
<td>228.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_2$</td>
<td>$(9/2, 5)$–$(5/2, 3)$</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$99.4(2)$</td>
<td>$99.67(25)$</td>
<td>228.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_3$</td>
<td>$(9/2, 4)$–$(5/2, 3)$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$68.2(3)$</td>
<td>$68.26(2)$</td>
<td>245.9 245.9 317.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_4$</td>
<td>$(9/2, 4)$–$(5/2, 2)$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$59.2(2)$</td>
<td>$59.1(2)$</td>
<td>245.9 305.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_5$</td>
<td>$(5/2, 2)$–$(5/2, 3)$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$88.3(3)^*$</td>
<td>$95.2(2)$</td>
<td>305.1 317.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_6$</td>
<td>$(7/2, 4)$–$(7/2, 3)$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$2.8(2)^*$</td>
<td>$3.25(30)$</td>
<td>245.9 317.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a All frequencies in kHz.
b Numbers in parentheses are uncertainties in units of the last digit.

Throughout the document, the authors discuss the observation of various transitions in CsF, noting the importance of the Stark effect and the need for increased size of transitions by using larger electric fields. The results are summarized in Table I, detailing observed frequencies and predicted transitions under different field conditions. The discussion emphasizes the importance of understanding the many-level system's response to external electric fields.
polarization are imperfectly known. Another difficulty in understanding the observed lines arises because we do not know the relative populations of the Stark sublevels in the beam; the expected distribution over the sublevels is often severely and uncontrollably altered by diabatic transitions in the regions between the state selectors and the $C$ field.

IV. DISCUSSION

The principal result of this experiment, in which the triple resonance method is demonstrated, is the measurement of spectral lines in CsF which are not ordinarily seen with MBER spectroscopy. For future work the triple resonance method may offer other advantages.

1) The analysis of spectra from molecules in higher rotational states, or from molecules in which more than one nucleus shows a quadrupole interaction, is facilitated if many spectral lines can be observed and positively identified. For example, it appears that the use of triple resonance will approximately double the number of transitions which can be measured in the very-weak-field spectrum of the $J = 2$ state of $^{87}$Rb$^{19}$F.

2) The spectroscopy of $J = 1$ states with the MBER method may be hampered because the change in effective electric dipole moment which accompanies a single $| \Delta M_J | = 1$ transition may not be large enough to give adequate rejection in the state selector. By a suitable choice of frequencies in the three rf fields, the net change in $| M_J |$ can be increased and this will give a corresponding increase in the change of effective moment. In this respect the method has an analogy with the magnetic resonance beam experiment of Cederberg and Ramsey.7

An interesting feature is that spectral lines with central frequencies of 2.8 kHz are observed with a width of 1 kHz in this experiment. We believe these to be the lowest frequency transitions that have been seen as spectral lines by the interaction of isolated molecules with an electromagnetic field.
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The proof of Smith, which gives the solution to the $N$-representability problem of the first-order density matrix for the case of $N$ even and a nondegenerate energy eigenstate, is also shown to be valid for certain linear combinations of degenerate states. A connection is shown between these proper linear combinations and the eigenfunctions of a perturbed Hamiltonian for which all of the degeneracies have been lifted. For the case of $S = 0$, all the eigenfunctions of the $p$th-order density matrix (natural $p$ functions) can be taken to be eigenfunctions of total spin

$$\frac{1}{Z} \sum S_i^p,$$

and if orbital angular momentum is a constant of the motion, these natural $p$ functions are eigenfunctions of the total orbital angular momentum for $L = 0$. Simple wavefunctions are presented for which the natural 2 functions are not all eigenfunctions of the total pair spin. It is then shown that for $M_\text{tot} = 0$ (any integral spin) or for $L = 1, M_L = 0$, the natural 2 functions are eigenfunctions of $(S_L + S_\text{tot})^2$ and $(L_0 + L_\text{tot})^2$, respectively.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the last few years there has been considerable interest in the properties of reduced density matrices.1–18
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There still remains the interesting problem of deciding whether or not the properties of a many-particle (here we are concerned with fermions only) system may be accurately calculated without the necessity of calculating or approximating the wavefunction for the $N$-particle system. For systems with only one- and two-body forces, the two-particle density matrix (the