Molecular identification of proline-rich protein genes induced during root formation in grape (Vitis vinifera L.) stem cuttings
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ABSTRACT

Vegetative reproduction relies on the initiation of new plant organs in response to environmental changes. The rapid formation of roots, and ultimately whole plants, from stem cuttings of grape (Vitis vinifera L.) provides a useful system to investigate the physiological and molecular basis of organ initiation during vegetative reproduction. In the present study the differential RNA display technique was employed to identify two genes, VvPRP1 and VvPRP2, that are induced in stem cuttings of grape during rooting. Each of these genes encodes a distinct type of proline-rich protein that is related to different groups of putative cell wall proteins, and their expression is rapidly induced in stem segments within 6 h after severing. Further, each gene’s transcript becomes most concentrated in the basal portion of the stem segment in the region of new root formation. Induction of these genes is not significantly enhanced by indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) treatment, and the expression of the VvPRP1 gene, but not the VvPRP2 gene, is wound-inducible. These results suggest that these VvPRP genes play an important role in the initiation of new roots on grape stem cuttings, perhaps by altering the cell wall mechanical properties to enable root emergence.
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INTRODUCTION

Vegetative reproduction is a non-sexual mode of reproduction displayed by many plants in response to particular environmental conditions. In plant species that are able to be propagated by cuttings, one of the critical events following the severing of stem segments is the initiation and development of a functional root system. A series of morphological changes associated with root formation in cuttings is known to occur, including swelling at the basal end of the stem segment, callus formation, root primordia development, and finally root emergence (Jarvis 1986; Hartmann et al. 1997). It is likely that numerous molecular events occur within the cuttings to generate these morphological changes, but little is currently known about the molecular basis of adventitious rooting in stem cuttings.

To date, only a few genes have been identified that are associated with the general process of adventitious root formation. In one of the earliest studies, Dhindsa, Dong & Lalonde (1987) detected changes in the pattern of protein and mRNA accumulation during auxin-induced root formation from mung bean hypocotyl. In another set of studies, auxin treatment was used to detect differential gene expression in loblolly pine, apple, and almond microcuttings (Caboni et al. 1997; Goldfarb et al. 1997). Similarly, Hutchison et al. (1999) identified differential expression of auxin-inducible \( \alpha \)-expansin mRNA in hypocotyl stem cuttings from loblolly pine seedlings, and the induction of \( \alpha \)-expansins was suggested to be involved in adventitious root formation. Screening a cDNA library constructed from auxin-treated juvenile petiole cuttings of English ivy, Woo, Hackett & Das (1994) identified a proline-rich protein (PRP) cDNA clone differentially expressed in petioles from mature and juvenile stages which differ in rooting competence.

Grapes, commercially important as a fruit and wine crop, are exclusively propagated vegetatively, and their stem cuttings vigorously root in response to severing (Hartmann et al. 1997). Furthermore, recent work has defined many of the physiological and environmental factors that influence rooting of grape stem cuttings (Thomas 1998). Thus, grape cuttings represent a potentially attractive system for studying the molecular basis of adventitious rooting. As a starting point for this goal, we sought to isolate genes associated with adventitious rooting by identifying mRNAs that accumulate in stem cuttings during the rooting period. In this report, we describe our use of the sensitive differential display technique (Liang & Pardee 1992) to isolate and characterize two genes encoding distinct proline-rich proteins that are induced during root formation in grape cuttings.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant material

Grape (Vitis vinifera L.) cv. Arka Neelamani was used for the present study. Single nodal soft wood cuttings were prepared from either in vitro-grown plants 5–6 weeks into the subculture period or from soil-grown plants at 1.5–2 months after planting. The cuttings and the in vitro stock were grown on Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium containing 3% sucrose and 1.0 μM indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) with subculturing at 1–3 month intervals as previously described (Thomas 1997).

RNA extraction and differential display

RNA was extracted from the various tissues essentially as described by Loulakakis, Roubelakis-Angelakis & Kanellis (1996) and modified as described by Thomas & Schiefelbein (2002). The two sources of RNA for the differential display technique were: (1) stem tissue from leafy single nodal cuttings (derived from in vitro-grown stock) grown for 48 h in the in vitro media described above; and (2) stem tissue from the in vitro-grown stock.

Differential display reverse transcriptase (RT)-polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed using the RNAimage kit 1 from GenHunter Corporation (Nashville, TN, USA). The RNA samples (50 μg) were first treated with RNAse-free DNAse 1 in the presence of 10 units of RNAse inhibitor RNAsin (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) for 30 min at 37 °C, extracted with phenol–chloroform (3 : 1) and ethanol precipitated in presence of sodium acetate (Reuber & Ausubel 1995). For reverse transcription, 2.0 μL of a 0.1 μg μL⁻¹ fresh dilution was used in a 20 μL reaction (4 μL 5× RT buffer, 1.6 μL 250 μM dNTP, 2 μL of μM-anchored primer and 100 units of Moloney murine leukemia virus RT) as per the kit (GenHunter Corporation). This cDNA was PCR amplified using eight different arbitrary primers in duplicate 25 μL reactions including 2 μCi α-32P dATP (3000 Ci mm⁻¹; 10 μCi μL⁻¹; ICN Biomedicals, Irvine, CA, USA). Cycling conditions were: 94 °C for 30 s; 40 °C for 2 min; 72 °C for 30 s for 40 cycles followed by a final extension at 72 °C for 5 min and cooling to 4 °C using a MJ Research (Waltham, MA, USA) thermocycler. Differentially expressed sequences were detected by separating the PCR products in a 6% polyacrylamide gel with paired sets of reactions (RNA from control versus rooting-induced samples) run side by side and subjecting the gel to autoradiography. The cDNA bands of interest were isolated by boiling gel slices in 100 μL distilled water for 10 min and precipitating DNA in the presence of 0.3 M sodium acetate, 4.5 volumes of ethanol and 50 μg glycogen. The redissolved cDNA was then used for PCR amplification in a 40 μL reaction using the same set of anchored and arbitrary primers that generated the original band. The reamplified cDNA bands were gel-purified using the QIAquick kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) and cloned into the PCR-Trap vector (GenHunter Corporation) via blunt end ligation.

Northern hybridization

RNA blot (Northern) hybridization analysis was conducted with 10 μg samples of RNA electrophoresed in formaldehyde agarose gels (1%) and blotted onto GeneScreen (DuPont NEN Research Products, Inc., Boston, MA, USA) membranes using 10x sodium salt citrate (SSC) as per manufacturers protocol. The membranes were exposed to α-32P-dATP labelled gene-specific DNA probes prepared using a random priming kit (Roche Molecular Biochemicals, Indianapolis, IN, USA). Prehybridization and hybridization were carried out in 0.25 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.8), 1 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, 1.0% casein, 7% sodium dodecyl sulphate and 50% formamide at 42 °C for 20 h essentially as described in Sambrook, Fritsch & Maniatis (1989).

DNA sequencing and analysis

DNA sequencing was done using the BigDye™ Terminator cycle sequencing Ready reaction kit (Perkin-Elmer Figure 1. Northern blot analysis of VvPRP1 and VvPRP2 in stem tissue. Total RNA was isolated from untreated stems (A), stems from cuttings bearing a leaf and incubated for 48 h in growth medium (B), or stems from leafless cuttings incubated for 48 h in growth medium (C). Following removal of the PRP probe, the membranes were exposed to an 18S rRNA probe to serve as a loading control.
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Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) containing Ampli Taq. The reaction products were resolved and the sequence was determined using as ABI Prism DNA sequencer. DNA sequences were analysed using GENETYX-Mac software (Genetyx Corporation, Tokyo, Japan).

5’ RACE technique

The 5’ RACE (rapid amplification of cDNA ends) was performed by employing a 5’/3’ RACE kit (Roche Molecular Biochemicals) using gene specific primers (GSP) which were designed based on the 3’ end sequence information. First strand cDNA was synthesized using avian myeloblastosis virus reverse transcriptase, DNase treated total RNA (2 μg) from rooting-induced leafy cuttings, and a gene-specific primer near the 3’ end of mRNA (excluding the poly A tail). The cDNA was purified using the High Pure PCR purification kit (Roche Molecular Biochemicals).

RESULTS

Identification of Vitis vinifera genes induced in grape cuttings

Leafy stem cuttings from grape (Vitis vinifera L.) cv. Arka Neelamani incubated in our culture medium (see Materials and methods) begin to exhibit the first signs of root formation (e.g. swelling at the basal end) within 2–3 d after

Figure 2. Deduced amino acid sequence of VvPRP1 and its comparison to related proteins. Residues shared between VvPRP1 and at least one of the other proteins are shaded. Asterisks indicate residues that are present in all five of the sequences. Abbreviations and accession numbers are: AoCWP, Asparagus officinalis proline-rich cell-wall-like protein (X82413); MsCWP, Medicago sativa proline-rich cell wall protein (S52985); MtN4, Medicago truncatula protein (Y15372); PsCWP, Pisum sativum probable cell wall protein (T06482).

Figure 3. Deduced amino acid sequence of VvPRP2 and its comparison to related proteins. Residues shared between VvPRP2 and at least one of the other proteins are shaded. Asterisks indicate residues that are present in all five of the sequences. Accession numbers for the grape (grape ripening induced) sequences from Vitis vinifera are: Vvgrip15 (AJ237984), Vvgrip13 (AJ237983), Vvgrip4 (AJ237982), Vvgrip3 (AJ237981).
Therefore, to identify genes expressed during root establishment, we isolated stem RNA from 48-hour-old leafy cuttings and stem RNA from untreated control stock and used them in a series of differential display reactions (see Materials and methods for details). Several prominent cDNA fragments were consistently and specifically generated in samples derived from the cuttings. In the present article, we focus on two genes encoding distinct proline-rich proteins from *Vitis vinifera*. We have designated these genes *VvPRP1* and *VvPRP2*.

To confirm the differential expression of these genes in the 48 h cuttings versus untreated stems, we used the cDNA fragments as probes in Northern hybridization experiments (Fig. 1). Each probe exhibited the strongest hybridization signal in lanes containing RNA from 48 h leafy cuttings, indicating that the expression of each gene is significantly induced in the cuttings (Fig. 1). These genes were also induced in leafless cuttings, albeit at a lower level (Fig. 1), which correlates with the lower rooting frequency observed in leafless cuttings (PT and JS, unpublished observations) and suggests these genes are associated with the rooting process.

**Sequence analysis of the VvPRP1 and VvPRP2 genes**

The two partial cDNA clones obtained from the differential display were sequenced and used to isolate full-length cDNA clones via the 5' RACE technique. The full-length cDNA sequences of *VvPRP1* and *VvPRP2* were determined and deposited in the GenBank database under the accession numbers AY046416 and AY046417, respectively. The nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequences of *VvPRP1* and *VvPRP2* were subsequently used in BLAST searches (Altschul et al. 1997) of the sequence databases. The *VvPRP1* appears to be identical to partial expressed sequence tags (ESTs) isolated from *Vitis vinifera* and *Vitis riparia* (accession numbers AF220196, AF220197, AF176653 AF176654), whereas the *VvPRP2* sequence has not been previously reported.

The *VvPRP1* protein is 189 amino acids in length, including 43 (23%) proline residues (Fig. 2). The prolines are concentrated in the N-terminal half, which includes five tandem copies of the repeat PPXVK/EPPXXPX. There is a putative signal sequence at the N-terminus containing a hydrophobic-rich segment of 24 residues. The *VvPRP1* protein sequence is most similar (44–50% identical) to a set of putative cell wall-localized proline-rich proteins isolated from several different plant species (Fig. 2). Less-related proteins are also present in *Arabidopsis* and rice (data not shown). Interestingly, the greatest region of identity is located in the C-terminal half of the protein, which is not the proline-rich portion (Fig. 2).

The *VvPRP2* protein possesses 193 residues, and 63 of these (33%) are proline (Fig. 3). The N-terminal half contains six complete and several incomplete copies of the repeat PP(P)XXK. Like the *VvPRP1*, the *VvPRP2* possesses a hydrophobic domain at the N terminus that may represent a signal sequence. The *VvPRP2* is most similar (36–59% identical) to four proline-rich proteins encoded by grape ripening induced (GRIP) genes isolated from a *Vitis vinifera* berry cDNA library (Fig. 3; Davies & Robinson 2000). The most similar protein detected outside of *Vitis* is from an ENOD-like transcript from *Cladrastis kentukea* (GenBank no. AF289098). Taken together, these sequences analyses indicate that the *VvPRP1* and *VvPRP2* genes encode distinct proline-rich cell wall proteins.

**Figure 4.** Northern blot hybridization analysis of *VvPRP1* and *VvPRP2* genes in normal grape plants. RNA was isolated from the shoot tips (A), leaves (B), or roots (C) from 2-month-old plants grown in soil. Following removal of the PRP probes, the membranes were exposed to an 18S rRNA probe to serve as a loading control.
Expression of *VvPRP1* and *VvPRP2* in grape plants and cuttings

The expression of *VvPRP1* and *VvPRP2* was investigated in various organs of soil-grown plants. Northern hybridization analysis showed that each gene is preferentially expressed in root tissue and is not detected in either shoot tips (including meristems and young stems) or leaf tissue (Fig. 4). Thus, each gene is likely to be involved in the development and/or physiology of roots in normal (untreated) plants.

To examine the induction of the PRP genes in grape cuttings in detail, we analysed their expression in different parts of the cuttings (lower stem, upper stem, petiole, and leaf) at two different times (6 and 96 h) after severing (Fig. 5). This experiment showed that expression of each of the *VvPRP* genes is induced within 6 h after severing, with the highest expression level in the stem and petiole parts of the cuttings (Fig. 5B). At 96 h post-severing, each of the *VvPRPs* is preferentially expressed in the lower portion of the stems (Fig. 5C), which includes the rooting region of the cutting. In this experiment, we also detected a low level of VvPRP expression in the newly emerging roots in 8-day-old cuttings, but no significant expression in the new sprouts on 14-day-old cuttings (Fig. 5D & E). Together, these results further implicate the *VvPRP1* and *VvPRP2* in the process of adventitious root formation.

Having established that the cuttings exhibit induced expression of the *VvPRP1* and *VvPRP2* genes within 6 h after severing, we wished to define the earliest time of gene induction. Therefore, we analysed gene expression in stems from 1-, 3-, and 6-hour-old cuttings (Fig. 6). Induction of *VvPRP1* expression was detected in 1-hour-old cuttings and induction of *VvPRP2* expression was first detected in 6-hour-old cuttings (Fig. 6), showing that severing has a rapid effect on the accumulation of these RNAs in the cuttings.

Auxin is believed to be a primary regulator of adventitious root formation in stem cuttings (Jarvis 1986). We therefore examined whether exposure of the cuttings to auxin (10 µM IAA) might enhance the induction of the PRP genes. In general, we found no significant difference in the level of PRP gene expression in cuttings treated with IAA versus distilled water, although a slight effect of IAA was observed for *VvPRP1* in 1-hour-old cuttings (Fig. 6). These results suggest that the induction of *VvPRP1* and *VvPRP2* gene expression is not auxin-sensitive.

We next tested the ability of specific factors in the growth medium to induce expression of the *VvPRP* genes. Our normal growth medium contains MS salts, sucrose, and IAA. Therefore, we examined *VvPRP* expression in stem

![Figure 5. Northern blot analysis of the spatial and temporal expression of *VvPRP1* and *VvPRP2* in grape cuttings. RNAs were prepared from untreated stems (A), from the lower stem (a), upper stem (b), petiole (c), or leaf (d) from 6-hour-old cuttings (B) or from 96-hour-old cuttings (C), from the emerging roots on 8-day-old cuttings (D), and from new sprouts on 14-day-old cuttings (E). Following removal of the PRP probes, the membranes were exposed to an 18S rRNA probe to serve as a loading control.](#)
cuttings which had been incubated in media containing 10 μM IAA alone, 3% sucrose alone, 1× MS salts alone, or none of these. We discovered that stem cuttings from each of these treatments accumulated similar amounts of VvPRP1 and VvPRP2 RNA (Fig. 7). These results suggest that induction of the VvPRP1 and VvPRP2 genes in the cuttings is not merely due to a specific component of the growth media.

Effect of wounding on expression of the VvPRP genes

Wounding is an unavoidable outcome of the preparation of the stem cuttings. We wished to determine whether the induction of the VvPRP genes that we observe in cuttings may be due to a wounding effect rather than to developmental changes that are specific to the stem cuttings. Therefore, we wounded the surface of stem and leaf tissue of intact plants by rubbing them with quartz sand and, after 6 h, we examined VvPRP1 and VvPRP2 expression by Northern blotting. The expression of the VvPRP1 gene was significantly induced in the wounded stem and leaf, and the level was comparable to the induction observed in stem cuttings (Fig. 8). However, VvPRP2 gene expression was not affected by the wounding treatment in the stems and leaves (Fig. 8). This indicates that VvPRP1 is a wound-inducible gene but VvPRP2 is not.

DISCUSSION

Stem cuttings from grape plants undergo dramatic developmental changes following severing, including the rapid formation of new roots at their basal end. In this study, we employed the differential display technique to identify genes that are induced in these stem cuttings during the period of root initiation. We have sequenced and characterized two different genes, VvPRP1 and VvPRP2, in detail. We found that each of these genes is preferentially expressed in roots of normal (soil-grown) grape plants and their expression is rapidly induced in stem cuttings during the period and in the location of new root formation. Furthermore, their level of induction is
related to rooting ability, because leafless stem cuttings, which have a reduced rooting frequency (PT and J.S., unpublished observations), exhibit a lower level of induction than leafy stem cuttings. To our knowledge, these genes represent the first to be identified as induced during the period of root formation in grape cuttings. Therefore, this study establishes a foundation for the molecular dissection of root formation during vegetative propagation in grape.

The VvPRP1 and VvPRP2 genes encode distinct types of proline-rich proteins. Proline-rich proteins, including the well-characterized PRPs, represent a major category of cell wall proteins in plants and are involved in a variety of developmental processes and environmental responses (Showalter 1993; Cassab 1998). The VvPRP1 and VvPRP2 proteins are most similar to two distinct groups of proline-rich proteins from several different plant species.

The VvPRP1 gene appears to encode the same protein as partial ESTs isolated from a grape berry library, and based on information about these from the databases (accession numbers AF220196, AF220197, AF176654), it is also expressed in flower buds and is associated with freezing tolerance. The VvPRP1 is similar to a salt-inducible alfalfa gene (Deutch & Winicov 1995), an asparagus gene whose expression rapidly declines in harvested spear tips (King et al. 1996), and a root nodule-induced gene (MtN4) from Medicago truncatula (Gamas et al. 1996). Considering that we have shown that VvPRP1 is wound-inducible (Fig. 8), we suggest that the VvPRP1 protein is a member of a class of proline-rich proteins that help modify the cell wall in response to various developmental or physiological stresses.

The VvPRP2 is most similar to four proline-rich proteins encoded by grape ripening induced (GRIP) genes isolated by differential screening from a ripening berry cDNA library (Davies & Robinson 2000). Because it is not wound-inducible, the VvPRP2 is likely to be a cell wall protein that is required for specific developmental processes, such as root initiation.

A possible role of VvPRP1 and VvPRP2 in stem cuttings may be to increase the plasticity of the cell walls or its reinforcement thus enabling cells to withstand the mechanical pressure involved in the eruption of the root meristem through the surrounding tissues. A similar function is suggested for the structural cell wall proteins involved in lateral root development. The tobacco hydroxyproline-rich glycoprotein HRGPnt3 is specifically expressed in subsets of the pericycle and endodermal cells from which the lateral root are initiated and is considered to be involved in the hardening of the cell wall at the root tip, providing the mechanical strength required for penetrating through the cortex and epidermis of the main root (Keller & Lamb 1989). Expression of the soybean hydroxyproline-rich glycoprotein SbHRGP is confined to the epidermal cells of the zone from which the lateral roots emerge and is suggested to be involved in cell wall reformation that may be required for the initiation and development of the lateral root from the parental root (Ahn et al. 1996). The VvPRP gene expression we have observed coincides with the period of root primordia initiation and growth through the cortical and epidermal layers of the stem tissue, consistent with this possible role in cell wall reformation or reinforcement.

Auxin is known to be a hormonal regulator of adventitious root formation in stem cuttings (Jarvis 1986). Consistent with this, some PRP genes involved in lateral root formation or root hair production show positive regulation of mRNA expression by auxin supply (Ebener et al. 1993; Neuteboom et al. 1999; Bernhardt & Tierney 2000). Nevertheless, we did not observe a significant effect of IAA treatment on the VvPRP expression in grape cuttings. This suggests that auxin does not enhance rooting in grape cuttings via induction of the VvPRP genes. On the other hand, monitoring the expression pattern during the initial 6 h incubation period showed a slightly higher level of expression of VvPRP1 mRNA in IAA-treated cuttings at 1 h. Thus, it is still possible that auxin is a regulator of these genes in a particular time-dependent or a concentration-dependent manner.
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