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FOREWORD

This is the Third Quarterly Report on a general study of UHF/VHF electrically small antennas. The work includes four tasks involving the following problems.

Task 1: Design of a physically small conical spiral antenna.

Task 2: The use of physically small slot antenna in a 300 MHz array.

Task 3: The use of endfire ferrite rod antennas in the 300 - 1000 MHz frequency range.

Task 4: Study of the feasibility of using new type ferrite antennas at frequencies as low as 30 MHz.

This particular report includes work on Task 1 only. Quarterly Reports 1 and 2 issued under this contract, and future quarterly reports, will treat all four tasks.
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HELICAL AND LOG CONICAL HELICAL ANTENNAS LOADED WITH AN ISOTROPIC MATERIAL

George G. Rassweiler

ABSTRACT

The determinantal equation for a bifilar tape helix loaded inside with a full core of isotropic material is numerically solved for the complex propagation parameter of the primary current wave as a function of frequency. The results of the tape helix calculation are compared to a simplified slow-wave, inside-loaded sheath helix solution. Both methods show that such an antenna with a small pitch angle $\psi$ has a diameter reduction factor for backward fire radiation of approximately $\sqrt{(1/\mu+1)/(\epsilon+1)}$ where $\mu$ and $\epsilon$ are the relative permeability and permittivity constants, respectively. Thus, the loading does achieve diameter reduction by slowing the phase velocity of the primary current wave. The log-conical helix is considered as a gradually tapered helix. The effect of dielectric loading is found theoretically to greatly reduce the radiation attenuation rate, making long active zones and small cone angles necessary for complete radiation of the power from the source. The addition of ferrite to the loading causes less reduction in the radiation attenuation, which allows some reduction in antenna length.

Experimental verification with antenna patterns, radiation efficiency, and near field amplitude and phase measurements verify the theoretical diameter and phase velocity reduction calculations; the calculated radiation attenuation rate of a loaded helix is not quantitatively verified experimentally.
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I
INTRODUCTION

1.1 Statement of the Problem

The problem studied in this dissertation is that of the operation of the bifilar helix and bifilar log-conical antennas fed in 'push-pull' (the current on one wire $180^\circ$ out of phase with respect to the other wire) and 'loaded' with an isotropic material of arbitrary $\mu$ and $\epsilon$. The shape of the loading material is confined to the case of a full-core interior loading and simplified work on interior cylinders of material. The emphasis is on the backward radiation region, where the helix is a useful antenna.

Specifically, it is desired to obtain the current on the loaded antenna. Since the problem at present is too complicated to solve exactly, approximations must be found to the theoretical part and experiments must be performed to verify these results. In the theory, the current on the source-free helix is approximated by a single traveling wave and the problem becomes that of solving for the propagation velocity of this wave, and attenuation of the wave along the helix due to radiation. The conical antenna is treated as a gradually changing helix.

The mathematical models are first used to obtain the source-free mode propagation characteristics of a 'sheath' helix in the presence of the loading material, using asymptotic approximations that are valid only in the waveguide or non-radiating region of operation. An assumption of a straight line $k-\beta$ characteristic then allows extrapolation into the radiation region. Then the dispersion equation for the more exact tape model is solved, including its complex zeros, which occur in the radiation region and correspond to 'leaky waves'. On the basis of experimental data and previously used theoretical methods, a single leaky current wave is assumed for the purpose of getting the source-free propagation characteristics on the helix. The complex axial propagation constant, $\beta$, of this dominant current wave yields both phase and relative amplitude of the current along the antenna, which in turn determines the far field patterns; these patterns are not calculated, but general statements
about them are made from studies of $\beta$. Finally, a source problem is formulated which, although not solved exactly, shows the significance of the leaky wave as the dominant pole of an integral expression, and some of the approximations necessary for this representation.

1.2 **Loaded Antennas; Review**

The term 'loading' is used to refer to the addition of material to an antenna for the purpose of reducing its size while maintaining similar electrical characteristics. In particular it may be desired to preserve the same general far field pattern or perhaps a match of impedance.

A useful concept of the action of such loading material is that the velocity and wavelength of electromagnetic wave propagation are known to decrease in an infinite medium of $\mu_0 \epsilon > 1$, where $\mu_0$ and $\epsilon$ are relative permeability and permittivity, respectively. This reduction also decreases the dimensions or spacings necessary for various resonances on an antenna in such an infinite medium, as well as in a sufficiently large finite medium. Note that some well-known slow wave structures employ a ferrite or dielectric (Jasik, 1961). For very small antennas compared to a wavelength, the increase of capacitance or inductance by loading forms a more useful concept than the concept of phase velocity.

In reality, the loading medium is finite, and the waves outside the loading material that couple with the antenna cannot be 'loaded' even though the fields inside the material are 'loaded'. Thus, there will be electrical changes for any change in antenna size although a favorable trade-off is possible in some cases. The loading situation can be discussed in three parts, according to whether the antenna is very small, about the same, or much larger than a wavelength.

Loading antennas much smaller than a wavelength is mainly a problem of tuning or cancellation of input reactance, and performance should be compared with that of external tuning with lumped transmission line elements. The loading in this case would be encasement of the antenna by the material. The basic problems of narrow bandwidth and high losses are associated with large reactive
energies stored, regardless of the method of tuning. Loading can also affect radiation resistance to some extent. Radiation resistance is important for small antennas since it must be larger than ohmic losses for reasonable efficiency. Small dipoles loaded by encasement in a material are affected mainly by permittivity, which reduces the radiation resistance, thus making performance worse. Considerable analysis has been made of small loaded dipoles (Adams and Kalafus, 1963; Galejs, 1962; New, 1960; Polk, 1959; Grimes, 1958). Larger dipoles may have a small improvement in radiation resistance due to improved distribution of the current on the dipole. Small loaded loops are mainly affected by permeability, which increases the radiation resistance and thus efficiency (Adams and Kalafus, 1963; Galejs, 1963; New, 1960; Cruzan, 1959; Herman, 1958; Dropkin, 1958; Stewart, 1957; Rumsey and Weeks, 1956; Wait, 1953; Page, 1946). Thus receiving performance can be improved well beyond a dipole of the same size; the ferrite loaded loop is common in transistor radios today. Loading also has been used in cavity-backed slots by simply filling the cavity with material (Adams and Lyon, 1965; Jones, H, 1956; Adams, 1964; Schroeder, 1964; Sharp and Jones, 1962; Brownell and Kendall, 1960; Shanks and Galindo, 1959; Tyras and Held, 1958; Medved, 1957; Angelakos and Korman, 1956; Admiralty Services; Wheeler, 1958).

Loading has reduced the resonant size of cavity backed slots, as is easily understood by considering that the $TE_{10}$ mode of an infinitely long rectangular waveguide requires the width of the waveguide to be larger than one-half wavelength in the medium. The wavelength with loading is reduced, thus so may the waveguide size. The cavities are similarly reduced in size by adding material. The far field pattern may be obtained simply by considering the open end of the waveguide as an aperture with an approximately known field. More rigorous analyses in the references cited verify this somewhat intuitive argument. Size reductions of approximately 6:1 in each lineal dimension of the cavity, with efficiencies of 25 per cent, have been achieved without severe bandwidth problems (Adams, 1964).
For antennas much larger than a wavelength where high directivities are required, reducing the size while maintaining the same beamwidth requires 'supergain'. Although this is possible, the stored energies increase tremendously which tends to make losses high and bandwidths very small. Hansen (1966) concisely summarizes some of the problems with reducing the size of both very small and large antennas.

For antennas close to a wavelength in at least one dimension, such as helices, loading can vary the size of the antenna without great increase in the reactive stored energy which occurs for the high gain antennas. Therefore, the bandwidths and losses are not greatly increased by loading. In addition, some directivity can still be maintained whereas very small antennas allow no antenna pattern control. In particular, a dimension (such as the helix diameter), which does not affect the antenna pattern greatly, may be made smaller by loading which ensures that the proper phasing of the helix along the axial direction is maintained.

Loading of the broadband antennas such as the helical, log-conical helical, log-spiral and log-periodic antennas with material, slow wave structures, or lumped elements, has been reported experimentally (Lyon, et al, 1965a, 1965b, 1965c, 1966; Di Fonzo, 1964; Moore and Beam, 1963; Jones, J., et al, 1960; Stephenson and Mayes, 1963; General Precision, 1962) but little theoretical justification or detailed experimental verification has been made; this report is intended to help fill this gap for material loading of helices and log-conical antennas.

1.3 Helical Antennas: Review

The literature on the helix is very large; only the major bibliographic sources and directly pertinent material will be cited. The literature generally deals with either the waveguide (slow-wave) region of operation or the antenna properties of the helix.

1.3.1 Waveguide (Slow-wave) Approach

Most of the literature deals with the helix as a non-radiating waveguide,
solving the problems of propagation, travelling wave tube interaction impedances, and losses. Good bibliographies appear in Kornhauser (1949); Sensiper (1951, 1955); Harvey (1964); Watkins (1958); Klock (1963) and Walter (1965). The article by Sensiper (1955) is an especially complete, concise, and available review of helical waveguide theory.

The helix is usually approximated by one of three major mathematical models; the 'sheath', the 'tape', or the 'planar' or 'developed' helix models. A little work with a helical coordinate system has also been done. The sheath model assumes a cylinder with an anisotropically conducting surface in the direction of the wire. In other words, current is allowed to flow only in the direction of the wire, and uniformly over the cylindrical surface. No consideration to wire shape or size is given. All modes of propagation are uncoupled due to the uniformity of the boundary conditions in the axial, z, direction. Thus each mode may be considered separately. The sheath helix model has been found to give excellent approximations to the propagation constant of a helix in the slow wave region (Watkins, 1958; Pierce, 1950).

The tape helix model (Sensiper, 1951) replaces the conductor by an infinitely thin, flat tape, of a given small width, \( S \). A round wire size can be approximated by an equivalent tape width; however, wire shape is not considered. In order to satisfy the more difficult boundary conditions of the tape helix, all modes must be used, increasing the difficulty of solution. Because the application of all the boundary conditions leads to very complicated equations, two extremely important steps used in all calculations so far with this model are; to simplify the boundary condition on the tangential electric field, and to assume a current distribution. The simplified boundary condition is either that the tangential E field is required to be zero only on the conductor center line, or a variational expression approximating the boundary condition is used. Both methods are insensitive to the assumed current distribution for narrow conductors.

Methods using an integral equation approach (Klock, 1963; Kogan, 1949, 1959; Phillips, 1950) produce almost the same dispersion equation when similar simplifying assumptions are used. The Russians often use a variation of the tape
model by applying a so-called 'averaged boundary condition' to problems involving thin periodic discontinuities such as this one (Moizhes, 1958; Smirnov, 1958). The method, apparently developed by Kantorovich (1939), replaces the non-uniform boundary conditions with one uniform in \( z \), but includes a term which is logarithmic in the width of the conducting tape. As will be seen later, such a term appears with the other methods of solution as well. The tape model has been found to be more accurate than the sheath helix model for travelling wave tube interaction problems and propagation near the 'forbidden' regions (Klock, 1963; Watkins, 1958, Sensiper, 1951).

The 'plane' or 'developed' helix model is a two-dimensional model where the helix is unwrapped and laid on a plane, with conduction still at an angle \( (90^\circ - \psi) \) to the propagation or axial direction, where \( \psi \) is the pitch angle. Because of the simplified geometry, more careful investigation of such things as conductor shape (Chu, 1958) and loading (Ash, 1964) may be carried out. The 'planar' or 'developed' helix model appears to be a good approximation when fields are confined to the vicinity of the conductors, as in higher modes or the zeroth mode for helices fairly large with respect to the wavelength. However, in the radiation regions where at least the dominant radiating mode is not at all confined to the conductor region, this model appears to be inaccurate.

Rather than striving for a formal solution to study the problem of a tape helix supporting many modes, Pierce and Tien (1954) have applied the general theory of coupled modes to this problem and successfully showed how the stop bands and pass bands are formed in a wire helix. However, Klock (1963) has shown that the complex leaky mode solutions in the radiation region do not follow this theory, which is basically a waveguide theory.

Although most of the theory of tape helices has been applied to the \( n=0 \) mode, there have been a few waveguide studies of the \( n=-1 \) mode for travelling wave tubes (Johnson, et al, 1956; Tien, 1954; Jones, E., 1950).

The literature also contains many references on slow wave solutions to the helix problem when a loading material is placed inside or outside. The purpose of this loading was to either support the helices used in travelling wave
tubes, or to slow the axial wave velocity still more than with the helices in air in order to achieve interaction with slow streams of electrons. All three of the major mathematical models of helices have been used in these analyses. These analyses all use the slow wave assumption, which allows the very important simplifying approximation that the radial propagation constants inside and outside the helix are equal. This approximation will be discussed in more detail later. The major differences of the work reported herein is that this approximation is not used for the tape helix, since it is not valid in the fast wave zone.

Solutions for the loaded sheath helix (n=0 mode) have appeared in Johnson (1959); Shestopalov (1958); Unger (1958); Swift and Hook (1958); Morgan (1956); Tien (1963); and Olving (1955). Tape helix solutions with loading material have been studied in Shestopalov (1960); Shestopalov and Kondrat'ev (1959); Shestopalov and Yatsuk (1959); Kirschbaum (1959); Watkins (1954), and Harris (1949). Anisotropic media outside a sheath helix have received considerable attention for attenuators for unwanted travelling wave tubes (Bulgakov, et al, 1960, 1961; Yakimenko and Shestopalov, 1962; Shestopalov and Slyusarskii, 1954; Suhl and Walker, 1954).

1.3.2 Helices as Antennas

The use of the helix as an antenna was developed experimentally quite separately from all the mathematical propagation theories, partly because these theories are waveguide theories, and do not take into account the possibility of radiation. In fact, the so-called 'forbidden' regions of operation in the theory are forbidden only because radiation could take place there, contrary to waveguide assumptions.

There is a basic difference between forward- and backward-radiating helices that is seldom stated in the literature; forward radiation helices are slow-wave antennas that depend upon a truncation to diffract a surface wave into space that would otherwise continue to propagate without radiation. On the other hand, backward wave helical antennas are 'leaky wave' antennas, in that radiation takes place continuously along the antenna causing the current to decay rapidly to zero away from the feed point.
The forward-radiating helix was the first helical antenna developed (Kraus, 1950). Good bibliographies appear in Harvey (1964), Walter (1965), Jasik (1961) and Wong and Thomas (1959). The approach to calculations is either to use experimental data or theoretical helical waveguide formulas to obtain the current phase velocity along the wire (Maclean, 1962; Maclean and Kouyoumjian, 1959; Kraus, 1950). The amplitude of the dominant forward-fire current mode (called $T_1$ by Kraus) is assumed constant along the helix following considerable experimental evidence (Marsh, 1951). The far fields are then obtained by the usual integral of the current contributions (Fradin, 1961). Similar computations have also been done for a helix loaded with material, while continuing to use the slow wave approximations in the fast wave zone (Shestopalov and Bulgakov, 1961; Shestopalov, et al, 1962). Although it will be shown later that higher space harmonics can be represented by these slow wave approximations, the leaky or radiating harmonics cannot be. A more satisfactory mathematical treatment of these far fields of the helices uses a Weiner-Hopf approach (Mikazan, 1960) for a semi-infinite helix; the result is shown to be close to the above methods, however.

Backward wave helices, emphasized in this report, are a more recent discovery, stemming from the wideband log-conical helical antenna. Since the currents of this antenna decay rapidly from the feed point, due to radiation, the methods above fail, since they depended upon a constant current assumption. The rate of decay of the current, as well as its phase velocity, becomes vital in explaining the antenna operation. Again, the basic characteristics of the far field can be predicted from a knowledge of the current; thus far field calculations are not emphasized here.

The important calculations of the backward wave helix antennas in air have been done by Klock (1963) and Patton (1962), with considerable supporting work done in the log-conical helix, to be discussed later. In both of these works, the current decay is not assumed but calculated. Klock's method, which is used in this report, is to numerically find the complex zeros of the determinantal equation which determines the phase velocity of a current wave on the helix. The
zero corresponding to a given current wave then determines the complex propagation constant for this wave, which specifies both amplitude (decay) and phase of this current wave. In all these calculations, the helix line is assumed infinitely long, as in all helical waveguide problems. The complex current wave is then regarded as a 'leaky wave' (to be discussed later) which dominates the near field for some frequencies, including the antenna surface. Patton (1962) solves the Weiner–Hopf problem associated with a semi-infinite bifilar helix in air fed at the end, i.e., the basic source problem. Only the far fields are obtained by this method; the fundamental waves that exist on the helix are not displayed.

1.4 Conical Helical Antennas: Review

The conical helix antenna without loading has been carefully investigated recently; both experimentally (Dyson, 1964; McClelland, 1962; American Electronics Laboratory, 1959; Carrel, 1957; Copeland, 1960) and theoretically (Mitra and Klock, 1966; Timirev, 1958, 1965; Lee, 1960; Hellgren, 1953). Design is still largely based on experimental data. The reference by Mitra and Klock is the most recent of a long series of papers from The University of Illinois which approach the problem as a perturbation of a helix; i.e., a helix with slowly varying diameter as one moves outward on the cone.

To understand why this technique has been successful requires an explanation of the mechanism of radiation of a conical helix. Figure 1-1 shows a typical bifilar (two-wire) conical helix and plots of the near field \( H \) (magnetic field) and currents in the conductor. The most important characteristic of this antenna is the active zone, shown in Fig. 1-1; i.e. the region where radiation takes place. Since the radiation of this antenna is in the backward direction with respect to the current phase velocity, the current decays in the forward direction in the active zone due to radiation power loss, as shown in Fig. 1-1. This active zone occurs at roughly one wavelength circumference when no loading is present. As the wavelength is changed, the active zone obviously moves along the conical helix to the new one-wavelength–circumference position.
FIG. 1-1: THE BIFILAR LOG-CONICAL HELICAL ANTENNA
This characteristic of 'adapting' to a change in frequency makes possible extremely large bandwidths for this antenna. It is this active zone region, as contrasted with most antennas that have a fairly uniform current, that makes possible the use of helix antenna theory to explain the conical helix radiation properties. The regions outside the active zone do not contribute to radiation; the active zone is sufficiently short to consider it as a bifilar helix with its backward wave radiation properties. The combination of using a single current wave solution for $\beta$ and this gradually changing helix concept has successfully explained many of the characteristics of conical helices, even those with large cone angles such as the planar spiral (Mitra and Klock, 1966).

Several attempts to solve the cone problem itself as a source problem using spherical harmonics have not yet yielded usable results for the cone, but have proved useful for the planar spiral (Laxpati and Mittra, 1966). Nevertheless, some modes on a conical helix have been represented in the cited references to theoretical solutions of the conical helix. The approach to conical helical antennas in this report will be via the gradually changing helix.
II

LOADED SHEATH HELIX SOURCE FREE MODES

The solution of a sheath helix that has an isotropic medium inside and free space outside will be performed to compare the eigenvalues of the axial propagation constant, $\beta_0$, with those of a sheath helix in free space, with emphasis on the $n = -1$ mode used in endfire antennas. Other solutions to this problem for the $n = 0$ mode are in the literature cited. Figure 2-1 shows the geometry of the helix and defines the parameters. The helix is formed of a cylindrical sheath, with an axis along the $z$ axis, that conducts only in the direction at the pitch angle, $\psi$ from a normal to the $z$ direction on the surface. Usually no periodicities in the $z$ direction are employed in the solution, although Klock and Mittra (1963) use periodicity to put the sheath helix dispersion equation in the same general form as the tape helix solution.

2.1 Basic Equations: Full Core Loading

The basic problem of the dispersion equation for free modes on a helix is common in the literature. Following Sensiper (1951) and Watkins (1958), it is known that an arbitrary solution to the vector wave equation in cylindrical coordinates can be derived from the two scalar potentials $\pi$ and $\pi^*$ satisfying the same scalar wave equation; in free space,

$$ (\nabla^2 + k_0^2)\pi = 0 $$
$$ (\nabla^2 + k_0^2)\pi^* = 0, \quad k_0^2 = \omega^2\mu_0\epsilon_0 , $$

for an $e^{j\omega t}$ time dependence (i.e., at one frequency). Actually, the scalars $\pi$ and $\pi^*$ are the magnitudes of the Hertzian vector potentials, which have only $z$ components, i.e. $\pi = \pi_z$ and $\pi^* = \pi_z^*$.

The field components of the TE (transverse electric) and TM (transverse magnetic) modes which together can represent an arbitrary field may then be derived from the potentials in the usual way,
Conduction only parallel to pitch lines (anisotropic surface).

FIG. 2-1: SHEATH HELIX WITH A FULL CORE OF LOADING MATERIAL.
\[ E_\phi = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial^2 \pi}{\partial z \partial \theta} + j \omega \mu_0 \frac{\partial \pi^*}{\partial r} \]  
\[ (2.3) \]

\[ E_z = \frac{\partial^2 \pi}{\partial z^2} + k_0 \pi \]  
\[ (2.4) \]

\[ H_\phi = -j \omega \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial^2 \pi^*}{\partial r \partial \theta} \]  
\[ (2.5) \]

\[ H_z = \frac{\partial^2 \pi^*}{\partial z^2} + k_0 \pi^* \]  
\[ (2.6) \]

where \( E_r \) and \( H_r \) will not be needed. Similarly, for the fields in a medium of relative permeability, \( \mu \), and relative permittivity, \( \varepsilon \), the \( \varepsilon_0, \mu_0 \) and \( k_0 \) are replaced by \( \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon, \mu_0 \mu \) and \( k_0 \sqrt{\varepsilon} \), respectively. The solutions to the scalar wave equation in cylindrical coordinates are known to have the form

\[ \pi^1_n = C^1_n (\gamma_n r) \exp(j \omega t + j n \phi - j \beta_n z) \]  
\[ (2.7) \]

\[ \pi^0_n = C^0_n (\gamma_n r) \exp(j \omega t + j n \phi - j \beta_n z) \]  
\[ (2.8) \]

\[ \pi^{*1}_n = D^{*1}_n (\gamma_n r) \exp(j \omega t + j n \phi - j \beta_n z) \]  
\[ (2.9) \]

\[ \pi^{*0}_n = D^{*0}_n (\gamma_n r) \exp(j \omega t + j n \phi - j \beta_n z) \]  
\[ (2.10) \]

\[ n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \]

where

\( I_n(\gamma_n r), K_n(\gamma_n r) \) are modified Bessel functions of nth order, and

the primes denote derivatives with respect to argument,

\( \beta_n \) is the propagation constant of the nth mode in the z direction which must be the same, inside and out, for continuity

\[ \gamma_n = \sqrt{\beta_n^2 - k_0^2 \mu_0 \varepsilon} \]
\[ \gamma_n = \sqrt{\beta_n^2 - \kappa_n^2} \]

\[ k_o = \omega \sqrt{\mu_o \epsilon_o} \]

\( \omega \) is the angular frequency of the wave
\( \mu, \epsilon \) are the relative permeability and permittivity of the medium, respectively,

\( n \) is the mode number which takes on only integral values because

fields in the \( \phi \) direction, for rotations of 2\( \pi \), are identical,

\( i, o \) are subscripts referring to inside and outside fields, respectively,

\( C^{0,i} \) and \( D^{0,i} \) are arbitrary constants.

The fields derived from these potentials may then be written from (2.3) to (2.6) as below, where the factor \( \exp(\mathbf{j} \omega t + \mathbf{j} \mathbf{n} \phi - \mathbf{j} \beta_n \mathbf{r}) \) is understood

\[ E^{i}_{zn} = -\gamma_n^2 C^{i}_n (\gamma_n \mathbf{r}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.11)

\[ E^{o}_{zn} = -\gamma_n^2 C^{o}_n (\gamma_n \mathbf{r}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.12)

\[ H^{i}_{zn} = -\gamma_n^2 D^{i}_n (\gamma_n \mathbf{r}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.13)

\[ H^{o}_{zn} = -\gamma_n^2 D^{o}_n (\gamma_n \mathbf{r}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.14)

\[ E^{i}_{\phi n} = \frac{n\beta}{\mathbf{r}} C^{i}_n (\gamma_n \mathbf{r})^{+} + j\omega \mu_o \gamma_n D^{i}_n (\gamma_n \mathbf{r}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.15)

\[ E^{o}_{\phi n} = \frac{n\beta}{\mathbf{r}} C^{o}_n (\gamma_n \mathbf{r})^{+} + j\omega \mu_o \gamma_n D^{o}_n (\gamma_n \mathbf{r}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.16)

\[ H^{i}_{\phi n} = -\frac{j\omega \epsilon_o}{\mathbf{r}} C^{i}_n (\gamma_n \mathbf{r})^{+} + \frac{n\beta}{\mathbf{r}} D^{i}_n (\gamma_n \mathbf{r}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.17)

\[ H^{o}_{\phi n} = -\frac{j\omega \epsilon_o}{\mathbf{r}} C^{o}_n (\gamma_n \mathbf{r})^{+} + \frac{n\beta}{\mathbf{r}} D^{o}_n (\gamma_n \mathbf{r}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.18)
Such modes can be considered separately, since each is orthogonal or uncoupled because
\[
\int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{jn\phi} e^{-jm\phi} d\phi = 0 \quad n \neq m
\]
\[
= 2\pi \quad n = m
\]
and the boundary conditions for continuity of the fields are independent of both \(z\) and \(\phi\). Thus the boundary conditions for the continuity of a total field
\[
\sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} F_n^I(\omega, \epsilon, \mu, \alpha, \beta) e^{jn\phi} = \sum_{m = -\infty}^{\infty} F_m^0(\omega, \epsilon, \mu, \alpha, \beta) e^{jm\phi}
\]
may be multiplied on both sides by \(e^{-jn\phi}\) and integrated over \(\phi\) from zero to to \(2\pi\) to give
\[
F_n^I = F_n^0.
\]

Since each mode can be considered separately, a shorthand notation will be employed, omitting the subscript \(n\) as well as the arguments of the Bessel functions and the exponential functions common to all fields. The arbitrary constants \(C\) and \(D\) will also be renormalized to \(f, g, F\) and \(G\) to obtain Watkin's (1958) form, with small letters used to denote inside fields and constants, capital letters for outside ones.

The fields are then written
\[
e_z = f I
\]
\[
E_z = FK
\]
\[
h_z = g I
\]
\[
H_z = G I
\]
\[
e_\phi = -\frac{n \beta}{\gamma^2 r} f I - \frac{j \omega \mu_0}{\gamma} g I'
\]
\[
E_\phi = -\frac{n \beta}{r^2} FK - \frac{j \omega \mu_0}{r} GK'
\]
\[ h'_{\phi} = \frac{j \omega \epsilon}{\gamma} \Phi I' - \frac{n \beta}{\gamma r} \Phi G I \]  
\[ H'_{\phi} = \frac{j \omega \epsilon}{\gamma} F K' - \frac{n \beta}{\gamma^2 r} G K \]  

where it must always be remembered that \( K = K_n(\sqrt{\gamma r}) \). The boundary conditions may then be applied; they are,

\[ e_{\parallel} \big|_{r=a} = 0 \]  
\[ E_{\parallel} \big|_{r=a} = 0 \]  
\[ E_\perp = e_\perp \big|_{r=a} \]  
\[ H_{\parallel} = h_{\parallel} \big|_{r=a} \] 

where \( e_\perp, E_\perp \) are field components perpendicular to the current flow on the cylinder specified by \( r = a \) and \( e_{\parallel}, h_{\parallel}, E_{\parallel}, H_{\parallel} \) are field components parallel to the current flow. Notice that in this formulation, the magnitude of the current (related to \( H_\perp \)) does not occur. These boundary conditions may be rewritten in terms of the field components as:

\[ e_z E_z = 0 \]  
\[ e_{\phi} E_{\phi} = 0 \]  
\[ e_z z \phi \cot \psi = 0 \]  
\[ h_z z \phi \cot \psi - h_{\phi} = 0 \] 

and substituting from (2.19) – (2.26),

\[ f I - F K = 0 \]  
\[ f \left( \frac{-\beta n}{\gamma^2 a} \right) I + F \left( \frac{\beta n}{\gamma^2 a} \right) + g \left( \frac{-j \omega \mu_0 \mu}{\gamma} \right) I' + G \left( \frac{j \omega \mu_0 \mu}{\gamma} \right) K' = 0 \]
\[ fI \left[ 1 - \frac{n\beta}{\gamma^2 a} \cot \psi \right] + g \left( \frac{-j\omega}{\gamma} \right) I' \cot \psi = 0 \]  

(2.37)

\[ fI' \frac{j\omega \epsilon \epsilon_0}{\gamma} \cot \psi + F \left( \frac{-j\omega \epsilon_0}{\gamma} \right) K' \cot \psi + 
+ gI \left[ 1 - \frac{n\beta}{\gamma^2 a} \cot \psi \right] + GK \left[ 1 + \frac{n\beta}{\gamma^2 a} \cot \psi \right] = 0 \]  

(2.38)

2.2 Dispersion Equation Solution

Four homogeneous equations with five unknowns must be solved. In order for a solution to exist, the determinant of these equations must be zero. The resulting determinant expresses the relation between \( \beta \) and \( k \). After some algebra, the equation is

\[ \frac{K'I'}{K} = - \left[ \frac{\gamma^2 a^2}{C^2} \frac{2-n\beta}{a \gamma^2 a} \cot \psi \right]^2 \]  

(2.39)

\[ C^2 = \frac{\gamma}{\frac{K'}{K}} - \frac{\epsilon \frac{I'}{I}}{\frac{1}{\mu \frac{K'}{K}} - \gamma^3 \frac{I}{I}} \left[ \frac{r^2 a - n\beta a \cot \psi}{\gamma^2 a - n\beta a \cot \psi} \right] \]  

(2.40)

Equations (2.39) and (2.40) represent the general solution for propagation constant \( \beta \) vs \( k_0 \) of an arbitrary mode of a sheath helix fully loaded inside the helix with an isotropic material.

2.3 Asymptotic Cases

The most simple limiting case of this rather complicated equation is when a slow wave region is encountered; that is, when \( \beta - \frac{\omega}{v} \gg k_0 \) where \( \sqrt{v} \) is the velocity of propagation in the nth mode in the \( z \) direction corresponding to the propagation constant \( \beta \). In this case

\[ \gamma \approx \frac{1}{\gamma} \approx \beta \]  

(2.41)
since
\[ \gamma = \sqrt{\frac{\beta^2 - k_o^2}{\mu \epsilon}} \]
and
\[ \Gamma = \sqrt{\frac{\beta^2 - k_o^2}{\mu}} \]
and the square roots are both dominated by \( \beta \). In this case
\[ C^2 \approx \frac{K' I \Gamma}{K I' \Gamma} - \epsilon \frac{1}{\mu K I' \Gamma - 1} \]  
(2.42)

To further see the value of \( C \), it is convenient to look at the asymptotic approximations to \( I_n(\gamma_n a) \) and \( K_n(\Gamma_n a) \). For large arguments
\[ I_n(z) \sim \frac{e^z}{\sqrt{2 \pi z}} \]  
(2.43)
\[ I'_n(z) \sim \frac{e^z}{\sqrt{2 \pi z}} \]  
(2.44)
\[ K_n(z) \sim \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2z}} e^{-z} \]  
(2.45)
\[ K'_n(z) \sim -\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2z}} e^{-z} \]  
(2.46)

Thus, if \( \gamma_n a \) and \( \Gamma_n a \) are large, as they are in much of the slow wave region for \( \mu \) and \( \epsilon \) not too large,
\[ \frac{K' I}{K I'} \approx -1 \]
and
\[ C^2 \approx \frac{1 + \epsilon}{1 + \frac{1}{\mu}} \]

if
\[ \beta a \equiv \Gamma a \equiv \gamma a >> ka > k_o a, \quad ka \approx 1, \quad k = k_o \sqrt{\mu \epsilon}. \]

The last approximation for \( ka \approx 1 \) follows from the fact that an antenna radiating in the endfire mode always has about this normalized radius, as will be seen later.
This large argument approximation is the only one available for the \( n = 0 \) mode and has often been applied before, as previously referenced. In the present case, however, the \( n = -1 \) mode is the important one for the endfire antenna use. Sensiper (1951), Watkins (1958), and many others have often used the large order asymptotic approximations for the calculations of a helix in free space. They are

\[
I_n(nz) \sim \frac{1}{2\pi n} \frac{e^{n\xi}}{u} 
\]

(2.47)

\[
I'_n(nz) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi n}} \frac{u}{z} e^{n\xi} 
\]

(2.48)

\[
K_n(nz) \sim \frac{\pi}{2n} \frac{e^{-n\xi}}{u} 
\]

(2.49)

\[
K'_n(nz) \sim -\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2n}} \frac{u}{z} e^{-n\xi} 
\]

(2.50)

where

\[
\xi = \sqrt{1+z^2} + \ln \frac{z}{1+\sqrt{1+z^2}} 
\]

\[
u = (1+z^2)^{25} 
\]

\[n \gg 1, \text{angle}(z) < \frac{1}{2} \pi \]

Thus, for \( n \) large, regardless of \( z \)

\[
\frac{K'_n}{K_n} \sim 1
\]

\[
C^2 \sim \frac{1+\epsilon}{1+\frac{1}{\mu}} 
\]

(2.51)

Most important, even for \( n = \pm 1 \), it has been found numerically that the approximations are quite accurate even for small \( z \) (Watkins, 1958) and are far more accurate for larger arguments. Thus for the loaded sheath \( n \geq 1 \) mode, (2.51) is a good approximation for all real arguments. The author has found it much poorer for arbitrary complex argument, especially near \( z \geq \pm j \). Thus, it should be recognized that near the fast wave region, particularly if \( \mu \epsilon \gg 1 \), \( \gamma \) is imaginary and the Bessel function \( J_n(-j\gamma r) \), rather than \( I_n(\gamma r) \), governs
the fields inside. In this region, the above asymptotic approximations do not apply. Extrapolation through this region is used herein from the slow wave region \( \beta > k_0 \mu \epsilon \) for the sheath solution. Later, the tape helix will be solved exactly.

An additional importance of (2.51) is that all higher order modes follow this behavior. In later tape calculations, the higher order modes will be found to be important.

The meaning of \( C \) becomes clear from comparing the dispersion equation (2.39) to that of a helix in free space, \( \mu = \epsilon = C \). This equation with \( C = 1 \) is identical to Watkins' (1958) solution for a free space sheath helix, thus providing a check of (2.39). For \( C \neq 1 \), the slow wave assumptions (2.41) may be applied to the dispersion equation (2.39), yielding, for \( n = -1 \) and \( \beta = \beta_{-1} \),

\[
(ka)^2 = \frac{-K_{-1}(\beta a)I_{-1}(\beta a)}{C^2K_{-1}'(\beta a)I_{-1}'(\beta a)} \frac{[\beta a + \cot \psi]^2}{\cot^2 \psi} \tag{2.52}
\]

where the solution \( (\beta a) = 0 \) has been ignored in dividing the top and bottom by \( \beta a \). From this equation, it may be seen that for a fixed \( \beta \) (such as one required for endfire radiation), the size-frequency parameter, \( ka \), is smaller when \( C \) is large due to loading. A much more simplified form of (2.51) is obtained by using the large argument asymptotic expansions (2.43) - (2.46)

\[
\frac{-K_{-1}(\beta a)I_{-1}(\beta a)}{K_{-1}'I_{-1}'(\beta a)} \approx 1 \tag{2.53}
\]

yielding,

\[
ka \approx \frac{\beta_{-1} a \tan \psi + 1}{C} \tag{2.54}
\]

This equation is a straight line on the \( k-\beta \) diagram shown in Fig. 2:2, whose slope is inversely proportional to \( C \); i.e., for a given \( \beta_{-1} \), fixed by the desired radiation pattern, \( (ka) \) is proportional to the reduction factor,

\[
\frac{1}{C} = \sqrt{\frac{1 + \frac{1}{\mu \epsilon}}{1 + \epsilon}} \tag{2.55}
\]
FIG. 2-2: k-β DIAGRAM FOR HELIX ANTENNA.
2.4 Application to Antennas

To apply the above reduction in size to an antenna actually requires a few more assumptions that are most clearly illustrated by a $k$-$\beta$ diagram, such as Fig. 2-2. In this typical figure, $k_0$ is plotted vs $\beta_{-1}$ from an equation similar to (2.39), and is frequently called a dispersion curve. In addition, several diagonal lines are plotted corresponding to $k_0 = |\beta_{-1}|$; on these lines, the axial phase velocity of the wave is equal to the speed of light, which is known to be approximately the speed necessary for efficient radiation of the wave travelling on the helix.

In Fig. 2-2, it should be noted that the dispersion curve for the sheath helix in the slow wave (large $\beta_{-1}$) region has a smaller $k_0a$ for a given $\beta_{-1}a$ and a smaller slope when loaded than unloaded, as just discussed. However, as the dispersion curve nears the $k = -\beta_{-1}$ line (axial propagation in the negative $z$ direction, or 'backward' at the speed of light) the curve drops down to the origin. This affect is due to the waveguide assumption that there should be no radiation; i.e., that $\beta_{-1}$ must remain real.

The waveguide assumption of no radiation does not apply to this problem. The sheath helix in air with additional assumptions of periodicity has been shown to have complex solutions for the propagation constant (Klock and Mittra, 1963) corresponding to a 'leaky wave' or continuously radiating current. These complex solutions have $k$-$\beta_\Re$ diagrams (where $\beta_\Re$ is the real part of $\beta$) that continue rather close to the straight line asymptotic right into the fast wave region, as shown by the dashed lines in Fig. 2-2. The more accurate tape helix model to be discussed later has very similar solutions for complex $\beta$ that come increasingly close to this straight line asymptote with decreasing tape width. The use of 'engineering solutions' of the helix as the straight asymptotic line continued from the slow wave region straight through the fast wave region (Maclean, 1962) is based on these complex solutions. Since the tape helix solution is more accurate, further discussion of these complex solutions will be postponed until the tape helix section.
If it is assumed that in the loaded helix solution, this straight line behavior from the slow to the fast wave region continues to exist, then the reduction in slope in the slow wave region actually would correspond to a reduction in the size of a loaded helix antenna that would have the necessary critical $\beta = -k$ needed for radiation. More exactly, the nominal reduction would be given by the intersection of the straight line dispersion extrapolation with the $k = -\beta$ line (Hong, 1965). The dispersion equation of the tape helix in air is known (Dyson, 1964; Klock, 1963) to be approximately

$$ka = \beta_o a \sin \psi$$

where, as will be shown in the tape helix section $\beta_n = \beta_o + n \cot \psi$. Thus, the dispersion equation for the loaded helix, with the assumptions above, should have the same slope except for the reduction factor (2.55), which yields

$$k o a = \sqrt{\frac{1 + \frac{1}{\mu}}{1 + \epsilon}} \beta_o a \sin \psi$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.56)

The intersection with the $k = -\beta$ line then gives

$$k o a = \frac{\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{\mu}} \cos \psi}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon}} \sin \psi}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.57)

which for small $\psi$ is

$$k o a \approx \sqrt{\frac{1 + \frac{1}{\mu}}{1 + \epsilon}} = \frac{1}{C}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.58)

as the relative size of the loaded helix at the nominal 'radiation point'.

The behavior of the transverse propagation constants $\gamma$ and $/gamma$, is fixed for a given $k-\beta$ variation and loading; however, their behavior gives a good physical insight to the mechanism of radiation. For slow wave propagation, both $\gamma$ and $/gamma$ are large, causing sharp decay of the fields away from the helix surface, as seen from the asymptotic behavior (2.43 and 2.45) of $I_n(\gamma a)$ and $K_n(/gamma a)$ which describe the radial behavior of the fields. This rapid decay of
the fields is a characteristic of 'tightly bound' non-radiating surface waves on all guided wave structures. On the other hand, as \( \beta_n \to k \) near the radiation zone, \( \gamma_n \to 0 \), which indicates that the fields decay slowly, and extend to great radial distances from the helix. This is characteristic of a 'loosely-coupled' wave that can radiate most of its power upon encountering even a small discontinuity. Since the radial variation of the fields is small and the axial phase velocity is close to the speed of light, the wave resembles a plane wave, allowing the physically intuitive picture of the helix wave 'coupling' well to a free space plane wave containing the radiated energy. For the helix in air, the fields inside the helix are also slowly varying. However, when a loading material is inserted inside the helix, the fields of the \( n = -1 \) mode near the radiation zone resemble the \( H_{11} \) hybrid mode of the dielectric rod antenna, which is described by \( J_1(-j\gamma r) \) inside, and \( K_1(\sqrt{n}r) \) outside the helix for the waveguide region of operation. When \( \sqrt{n} \to 0 \), as in the radiation case, \( \gamma \) may be large and imaginary, so that the fields inside the loading still resemble those of waveguide propagation. In particular, the energy inside the helix is concentrated close to the helix surface. It is also possible that a waveguide effect could carry some of the energy past the intended radiation zone without radiation.

2.5 Inside Layer Loading: Sheath Helix

As another workable problem, the sheath helix with a cylinder layer of isotropic loading material lends itself to calculation. The problem of layer loading is important because often the weight of a full-core loading must be decreased. Similar problems for outside layers for the \( n = 0 \) mode have been studied (Swift and Hook, 1958).

Since this problem is more complicated than the full-core problem, the slow wave assumption will be made at the outset; \( \gamma_n \approx \gamma_n \approx \beta \approx \gamma^{(3)} \), where \( \gamma^{(3)} \) is the \( \gamma \) inside the loading cylinder in the central air core. The problem will be done with the same notation as the first, except for the new innermost region 3, Fig. 2-3, which has \( \gamma^{(3)} = \gamma^{(2)} = \gamma^{(1)} = \gamma = \beta \), \( \varepsilon_3 = \varepsilon_0 \), \( \mu_3 = \mu_0 \). If the notation \( E^{(1)} = E \), \( E^{(2)} = e \), \( E^{(3)} = E^{(3)} \) is used for the \( E \) fields and similarly for the \( H \) fields,
FIG. 2-3: SHEATH HELIX WITH AN INTERIOR LOADING CYLINDER.
the fields can be written in the three regions as

\[
\begin{align*}
E_z &= FK & (\text{region 1}) \\
E_z^{(3)} &= f(I+C_1 K) & (\text{region 2}) \\
E_z^{(3)} &= F^{(3)} I & (\text{region 3}) \\
H_z &= GK & (2.62) \\
h_z &= g(I+C_2 K) & (2.63) \\
H_z^{(3)} &= G^{(3)} I & (2.64)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
E_\phi &= F \left( \frac{-n_\beta}{r^2 r} \right) K + G \left( \frac{-j\omega\mu_\phi}{r} \right) K' & (2.65) \\
e_\phi &= -\frac{fn_\beta}{r^2 r} (I+C_2 K) - g \frac{j\omega\mu_\phi}{r} (I'+C_2 K') & (2.66) \\
E_\phi^{(3)} &= F^{(3)} \left( \frac{-n_\beta}{r^2 r} \right) I + G^{(3)} \left( \frac{-j\omega\mu_\phi}{r} \right) I' & (2.67) \\
H_\phi &= F \frac{j\omega}{r} \left( \frac{\epsilon_0}{r^2 r} \right) K + G \left( \frac{-n_\beta}{r^2 r} \right) K & (2.68) \\
h_\phi &= F \frac{j\omega}{r} \left( \frac{\epsilon_0}{r^2 r} \right) (I'+C_1 K') - g \frac{n_\beta}{r^2 r} (I+C_2 K) & (2.69) \\
H_\phi^{(3)} &= F^{(3)} \frac{j\omega}{r} \left( \frac{\epsilon_0}{r^2 r} \right) I' + G^{(3)} \left( \frac{-n_\beta}{r^2 r} \right) I & (2.70)
\end{align*}
\]

The constants $C_1$ and $C_2$ can first be calculated from the boundary conditions at $r=b$, the inside dielectric boundary, by employing the boundary conditions for the continuity of the tangential components of the fields

\[
\begin{align*}
e_z &= E_z^{(3)} & (2.71) \\
e_\phi &= E_\phi^{(3)} & (2.72) \\
h_z &= H_z^{(3)} & (2.73) \\
h_\phi &= H_\phi^{(3)} & (2.74)
\end{align*}
\]
Substituting from (2.59) - (2.70), and eliminating $F^{(3)}$ and $G^{(3)}$, after some algebra, the constants $C_1$ and $C_2$ are

$$C_1 = -\frac{I(\xi)}{K(\xi)} \left[ 1 - \frac{\epsilon \left( 1 - \frac{K'(\xi) I(\xi)}{K(\xi) I'(\xi)} \right)}{\left( 1 - \epsilon \frac{K'(\xi) I(\xi)}{K(\xi) I'(\xi)} \right)} \right] \quad (2.75)$$

$$C_2 = -\frac{I(\xi)}{K(\xi)} \left[ 1 - \mu \frac{\left( 1 - \frac{K'(\xi) I(\xi)}{K(\xi) I'(\xi)} \right)}{\left( 1 - \mu \frac{K'(\xi) I(\xi)}{K(\xi) I'(\xi)} \right)} \right] \quad (2.76)$$

where $\xi = \gamma_b$ n. Using the asymptotic approximations for large n (2.53), as well as the slow wave approximation $\beta > k_o \mu \epsilon$

$$C_1 \sim -\frac{I(\xi)}{K(\xi)} \frac{(1-\epsilon)}{(1+\epsilon)} \quad (2.77)$$

$$C_2 \sim -\frac{I(\xi)}{K(\xi)} \frac{(1-\mu)}{(1+\mu)} \quad (2.78)$$

The algebra for the original problem with boundary conditions at $r = a$ (2.63) - (2.66) can be written similarly to the full-core problem, except that $(I+C_1K)$ replaces (I) 'inside' (region 2) for $E_z$ and $(I+C_2K)$ replaces (I) inside for $H_z$, as shown in (2.59) - (2.70). Substituting into the same sheath helix boundary conditions (2.31) - (2.34), setting the determinant of the four homogeneous equations equal to zero as before, the resulting dispersion equation is, after some algebra

$$\left. \frac{K' }{K} \right|_{r=a} = \frac{-\left[ \beta^2 a^2 - n \beta a \cot \psi \right]^2}{2^2 a^2 \cot^2 \psi} \quad (2.79)$$
where

\[
C_{\ell}'^2 = \frac{IK'}{IK} \left\{ \frac{1 - \varepsilon \left( \frac{I' + C_1 K'}{I + C_1 K} \right) K}{K'} \right\}_{r=a}
\]

(2.80)

To verify that this constant \( C_{\ell}' \) approaches \( C \) as \( b \to 0 \), as should be the case, first note that

\[
\frac{I_n(z)}{K_n(z)} \sim \frac{\left( \frac{1}{2} z \right)^{2n}}{n! (n-1)!} \quad (as \ z \to 0) \quad (2.81)
\]

\[
\frac{K_n'(z)I_n(z)}{K_n(z)I_n'(z)} \sim -1 \quad (n \geq 1) \quad (z \to 0) \quad (2.82)
\]

thus

\[
\lim_{b \to 0} C_1 = 0 \quad ; \quad \lim_{b \to 0} C_2 = 0 \quad (2.83)
\]

which gives

\[
C_{\ell}'^2 = \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{\frac{1}{\mu} + 1} = \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{\mu} \quad (2.84)
\]

as it should for the full core case.

For the special case \( a \approx b \gg 0 \), the asymptotic expansions for large argument may be employed to obtain simplified formulas. Thus, in the numerator of (2.80)

\[
C_3 \equiv \left( \frac{I' + C_1 K'}{I + C_1 K} \right) K = \frac{1 - \frac{I_n (\gamma a) K_n'(\gamma b)}{K_n (\gamma a) I_n'(\gamma b)} \left( 1 + \varepsilon \right)}{1 - \frac{I_n (\gamma a) K_n (\gamma b)}{K_n (\gamma a) I_n (\gamma b)} \left( 1 - \varepsilon \right)} \quad (2.85)
\]

However, from the large argument asymptotic forms...
\[ \begin{align*}
\frac{I_n(\gamma a)K_n(\gamma b)}{K_n(\gamma a)I_n(\gamma b)} & \sim -2 \gamma_n(b-a) \\
\frac{I_n(\gamma a)K'_n(\gamma b)}{K_n(\gamma a)I'_n(\gamma b)} & \sim -2 \gamma_n(b-a)
\end{align*} \]

(2.86)

(2.87)

Thus, (2.85) becomes

\[ C_3 = \frac{1+ \frac{(1-\epsilon)}{(1+\epsilon)} e^{-2 \beta_n(b-a)} }{1- \frac{(1-\epsilon)}{(1+\epsilon)} e^{-2 \beta_n(b-a)} } \]

(2.88)

Similarly,

\[ C_4 \approx \frac{K'(I+C_2K)}{K(I+C_2K')} \approx \frac{1- \frac{(1-\mu)}{(1+\mu)} e^{-2 \beta_n(b-a)} }{1+ \frac{(1-\mu)}{(1+\mu)} e^{-2 \beta_n(b-a)} } \]

(2.89)

The dispersion equation reduction constant \( C_\ell \) then becomes approximately,

\[ C_\ell^2 \sim \frac{1+ \epsilon}{1 - \frac{1}{\mu} C_4} C_3 \]

(2.90)

The constants \( C_3 \) and \( C_4 \) then may be interpreted as 'effectiveness' constants of the \( \epsilon \) and \( \mu \), respectively, relative to full core loading. These effectiveness constants are functions only of the \( \epsilon \) or \( \mu \) they multiply as well as \( \beta_n \). The entire equation (2.79) could, of course, be studied numerically; however, it is illuminating to use a perturbation method for thick layers, by assuming \( \beta \approx \beta_{fc} \) (full core), and to study the effectiveness constant vs thickness for \( \beta_n \). Figure 2-4 plots layer effectiveness of \( \epsilon \) vs normalized layer thickness, \( \beta_n(b-a) \), for the nth modes, with the restriction that \( \ln! \) must be very small compared to \( \beta_n b \) and \( \beta_n a \) (in our case, \( n = -1 \)). The correction factor \( 1/C_4 \) for \( 1/\mu \) is of
the same form as $C_3$ and the same graph may be used for it.

To use Fig. 2-4, for example, note that for $\mu$ or $\epsilon$ less than 5, an effectiveness of greater than 90 per cent may be achieved with a normalized layer thickness $\beta_n(b-a) > 1.5$. To relate this to actual layer thickness for a ferrite powder on this project

$$\mu = 2.2; \quad \epsilon = 3.8; \quad C = \frac{1+\epsilon}{\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{\mu}}} \approx 1.7; \quad \psi = 60^\circ,$$

and from the known approximate relation between $\beta$ and $k_0$ (2.56), using all of the assumptions discussed with this equation previously for the extrapolation of a sheath helix solution to a tape helix solution,

$$\beta_o = \frac{k_0 C}{\sin \psi}.$$

In the slow wave region at (for example) $ka = .5$,

$$\beta_{-1} = \beta_o \approx \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \frac{C}{\sin \psi} \approx \frac{100}{\lambda}$$

Since

$$\beta_{-1}(a-b) \approx 1.5,$$

from the graph, as stated above,

$$\frac{a-b}{\lambda} \approx \frac{1.5}{100} = 0.015$$

for 90 per cent layer effectiveness. It has been verified experimentally (Chapter V) that such a layer can reduce the helix at least approximately as well as full core loading.

For additional accuracy in this perturbation method, $\beta_n$ may be successfully re-estimated from the computed effectiveness, each time followed by a re-estimate of effectiveness. Convergence is governed by the theory of solution of equations by iteration.
It may be verified that as \( b \to a \), that is, as the loading material thickness goes to zero,

\[
\lim_{b \to a} \frac{C_3}{1/\varepsilon} ; \quad \lim_{b \to a} C_4 \to \mu ; \quad \lim_{b \to a} C_f \to 1
\]

and the dispersion equation becomes that of a helix in free space.

2.6 Limitations of Sheath Solutions

The sheath solution for a 'dominant' sheath mode has several difficulties. Most important is that the assumption of a single dominant field distribution of the form \( \exp(-j\phi) \) for determining \( \beta_{-1} \) is an incorrect assumption, as will be seen later. The far field is determined by a single (near field) sheath mode on an actual helix, but an accurate near field representation requires additional modes. Another serious limitation is the assumption of a straight line extrapolation from the slow wave region \( \beta > k_0 \mu \varepsilon \). However, justification from the tape solution to be discussed next provides a much firmer reason for initially studying new configurations by this sheath method. The sheath helix is a very simple calculation. It could be extended to multiple layers of material, inside and out. For the \( n=0 \) mode, some cases have been solved by Swift and Hook (1958). The large (argument) asymptotes of the \( n=0 \) solutions may have behavior similar to the higher order modes. Similar calculations for multiple dielectric layers without a helix are found in Barnett (1963).
3.1 Solution for Constants, Approximate Boundary Conditions

The same notation will be used as for the full-core-loaded sheath helix. The fields for each spatial Fourier harmonic (sheath mode) are written the same. The major difference is in the inhomogeneous boundary conditions, different where the conductor exists and where it does not. Since the boundary conditions on $\phi$ are a function of $z$, the orthogonality argument used in the sheath helix case is not valid; i.e., the space harmonics are not orthogonal but coupled to one another in a tape helix. To satisfy one of the boundary conditions, the sum of all space harmonics must be used. Thus these harmonics cannot be called modes, or complete solutions, of propagation on a tape helix, as they were for the sheath helix.

The boundary conditions for the case of the tape helix are,

$$
\begin{align*}
E_z^{(0)} \bigg|_{r=a} &= E^{(1)} \bigg|_{r=a} \\
E_{\phi}^{(0)} \bigg|_{r=a} &= E_{\phi}^{(1)} \bigg|_{r=a} \\
H_z^{(1)} - H_z^{(0)} &= J_{\phi} \\
\text{(defined as zero between conductors)} \\nH_{\phi}^{(0)} - H_{\phi}^{(1)} &= J_z \\
\text{(defined as zero between conductors)} \\nE_z^{(0)} = E_z^{(1)} = E_{\phi}^{(0)} = E_{\phi}^{(1)} &= 0 \\
\text{(on conductor)}
\end{align*}
$$

(3.1) (3.2) (3.3) (3.4) (3.5)

where, as before, $E_{x,\phi}, H_{x,\phi}$ are components of the electric and magnetic fields, respectively, and $J_{\phi,z}$ are components of the current density on the conducting tape. In principle, the above boundary conditions completely specify a problem, which would have a unique solution for $J$, $E$ and $H$; however, in
attempting to solve the equations exactly by expansion in terms of space
harmonics, an infinite order determinant arises from equating a sum of modes
to zero in (3.5). As mentioned in the Introduction, approximation methods
exist for finding the \( \beta \) vs \( k \) dispersion equation for this problem that have had
considerable success in the past for unloaded helices in both the slow and fast
wave (radiation) zones, and for loaded helices in the slow wave zones; these
methods will applied herein to the loaded helix in the fast wave zone, following
Shestopalov's (1961) loaded slow wave solution and Klock's (1963) unloaded fast
wave solution.

An approximate solution to the problem of finding the \( \beta \) vs \( k \) is to assume a
single propagating current wave with an unknown \( \beta \) and an assumed distribution
on the tape. With additional complexity, more than one wave could be assumed,
of course. The boundary conditions (3.1) - (3.4) are then used to eliminate the
unknown constants of the potentials, as in the sheath problem, having the fields
expressed in terms of the assumed current, which in turn is a function of \( \beta \nand \( k \). The remaining boundary condition (3.5) is replaced by an approximation
to obtain \( \beta \) vs \( k \); either a variational expression is used, or \( E_{//} \) (the component
of \( E \) parallel to the tape) is required to be zero on the center line of the tape.

For an expression variational in \( \beta \) with respect to current distribution,

\[
\int_{\text{Surface of Cylinder}} \overline{E}^* \times \overline{J} \cdot ds = \int_{\text{S}} \overline{E}^* \times (\overline{H}^{(0)} - \overline{H}^{(i)}) \cdot ds = 0 \tag{3.6}
\]

is often chosen (Chodorow and Chu, 1955; Kiryushin, 1957) although more com-
plicated and flexible expressions can also be used (Bevensee, 1964).

In applying these approximate methods, the current will be chosen in this
report to be a single travelling wave on the helix tape (not a single space har-
monic) which travels only in the direction of the wire, with a constant phase
front in a plane \( z = \) constant, and a uniform amplitude across the tape. Defining
\( J_{//} \) as the current parallel to the wire centerline,
\[
J_{/} = \begin{cases} 
-j\beta_s s & -j\beta_z \\
J e & = J e \\
-j\beta_s s & -j\beta_z \\
-J e & = -J e \\
0 & \text{on the first tape} \\
on the second tape & \text{off the tapes}
\end{cases}
\]

where

\[ s = \text{distance along the tape} = z/\sin \psi \]

\[ \beta_s = \text{propagation constant along tape} = \beta_0 \sin \psi . \]

Here, the fact that a bifilar two-wire helix fed in 'push-pull' appears. The fields and current are expanded into spatial Fourier harmonics, as in the sheath helix,

\[
E_{z}^{i,0} = \sum_{n,m=-\infty}^{\infty} E_{z}^{i,0}(r) \exp(-j\beta_m z + jn\phi + j\omega t) \tag{3.8}
\]

\[
H_{z}^{i,0} = \sum_{n,m=-\infty}^{\infty} H_{z}^{i,0}(r) \exp(-j\beta_m z + jn\phi + j\omega t) \tag{3.9}
\]

\[
J_{\phi} = \sum_{n,m=-\infty}^{\infty} j_{\phi m} \exp(-j\beta_m z + jn\phi + j\omega t) \tag{3.10}
\]

\[
J_{z} = \sum_{n,m=-\infty}^{\infty} j_{z m} \exp(-j\beta_m z + jn\phi + j\omega t) . \tag{3.11}
\]

An important simplification of the helix problem can be made because of its symmetries and periodicities. The helix becomes coincident with itself, if subjected to one of the following coordinate changes:

\[
(r, \phi, z) \rightarrow (r, \phi, z + p) \quad \text{(glide symmetry)} \tag{3.12}
\]

\[
(r, \phi, z) \rightarrow (r, \phi + \frac{2\pi}{p} dz, z + dz) \quad \text{(differential screw symmetry)} \tag{3.13}
\]

where \( p \) is pitch (Fig. 1-1), and
\[(r, \phi, z) \rightarrow (r, \phi, z + \frac{p}{2})\) (glide reflection symmetry) \]

while simultaneously

\[J \rightarrow -J\]  \hspace{1cm} (3.14)

In addition, Floquet's theorem states that if a symmetry like (3.12) exists, then the fields must be of the form

\[\bar{E}(r, \phi, z) = \bar{E}(r, \phi, z + p) \cdot C_f\]  \hspace{1cm} (3.15)

where \(C_f\) is some complex function (Brillouin, 1946), not necessarily with an absolute value of unity. A convenient form for \(C\) in this case is,

\[C_f = \exp(-j\beta_o z - jm \frac{2\pi}{p} z), \quad m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots\]  \hspace{1cm} (3.16)

In the usual helix waveguide calculations, \(\beta_o\) is assumed real for the lossless case, but Floquet's theorem does not require this. In our case, \(\beta_o\) will often be complex due to radiation. From (3.16)

\[\beta_m = \beta_o + \frac{2\pi m}{p}\]  \hspace{1cm} (3.17)

In addition, because of the differential screw symmetry (3.13)

\[m = n\]  \hspace{1cm} (3.18)

Also, the expansion of the currents, taking into account the glide-reflection symmetry (3.14), by ordinary Fourier analysis, yields

\[J_m = \frac{j_{\phi_o}}{\pi n} \frac{\sin \delta p}{\pi n \delta p} (1 - \cos \pi n)\]  \hspace{1cm} (3.19)

\[j_{zm} = \frac{j_{\phi_o}}{\pi n} \frac{\sin \delta p}{\pi n \delta p} (1 - \cos \pi n)\]  \hspace{1cm} (3.20)

\[j_{\phi_o} = J \frac{\delta}{p} \cos \psi\]  \hspace{1cm} (3.21)

\[j_{\phi_o} = J \frac{\delta}{p} \sin \psi\]  \hspace{1cm} (3.22)
where
\[ \delta = \text{tape width in } z \text{ direction} \]
and the term \( e^{-j\beta_o \delta/2} \approx 1 \) for the usual small \( \delta \). The first four boundary conditions (3.1) – (3.4) now may be applied; because of orthogonality of the terms in these equations of continuity after expanding the currents, these first four equations may be written for each space harmonic separately, as with the sheath modes. Again, the exponential, arguments and harmonic numbers \( (n) \) will be suppressed.

\[ aI = AK \]  
\[ \frac{n^2}{\gamma a} I-B \frac{j\omega \mu_0 \mu}{\gamma} I = -A \frac{n^2 \gamma a}{K-B} \frac{j\omega \mu_0}{\gamma} K \]  
\[ \frac{a}{\gamma} \frac{n^2}{\gamma a} I-B \frac{n^2 \gamma a}{K+2} \frac{j\omega \epsilon_0}{\gamma} K \]  
\[ BK-bI=-j\phi \]  
\[ (3.23) \]
\[ (3.24) \]
\[ (3.25) \]
\[ (3.26) \]

If \( k_o \) is assumed specified, and in addition \( j \phi \) and \( j_z \) are assumed functions of \( \beta_o \) and \( k_o \) as they are in (3.19)–(3.22), then (3.23)–(3.26) are four inhomogeneous equations in five unknowns; \( a, A, b, B, \) and \( \beta_o \). They are to be solved for \( A, a, B \)
and \( b \) in terms of \( \beta_o \) and \( k_o \). The algebra in this problem is long, since \( \gamma' = \gamma \), whereas \( \gamma' = \gamma \) has been assumed in all other solutions in the literature. It is
done in some detail in Appendix A. The results for the constants \( A \) and \( B \)
as functions of \( \beta_o \) (via \( \gamma_n \) and \( \gamma'_n \)) are,

\[ A = \frac{-j}{k_o I'C} \frac{\sqrt{\mu_0}}{\epsilon_0} \begin{bmatrix} -jz^+i\phi C_4 \\ 1-C^+ \mu \epsilon \end{bmatrix} \]  
\[ B= \frac{1}{IC} \frac{-i\phi(C_1 \gamma + C_2)^j}{1-C^+ \mu \epsilon} \begin{bmatrix} C_3 \end{bmatrix} \]  
\[ (3.27) \]
\[ (3.28) \]
where

\[ C_\varepsilon = \left[ \varepsilon \frac{\Gamma K}{I} - \frac{K'}{I'} \right] \]  
(3.29)

\[ C_\mu = \left[ \frac{\Gamma}{\gamma} \frac{K}{I} - \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{K'}{I'} \right] \]  
(3.30)

\[ C_\mu \varepsilon = \frac{C_\beta C^2 \gamma}{k_o \nu C_\gamma I^2} \]  
(3.31)

\[ C_3 = \frac{C_\beta C \gamma K I}{k_o \nu C_\gamma I^2} \]  
(3.32)

\[ C_4 = \frac{C_\beta C^2 \gamma}{\gamma} (1 + \frac{C_\beta C \gamma I}{C_\gamma \mu}) \]  
(3.33)

\[ C_\gamma = \left[ 1 - \frac{\Gamma^2}{\gamma^2} \right] \]  
(3.34)

\[ C_\beta = \frac{n \beta}{a} \]  
(3.35)

\[ C_\gamma = \frac{\Gamma}{\gamma} \]  
(3.36)

\[ I \equiv I_n (\gamma a); \quad K \equiv K_n (\gamma a); \quad \]  

The constants \( a \) and \( b \), which will not be needed, are related to \( A \) and \( B \) via (3.23) and (3.26).

At this point, the simplified methods discussed before (3.6) for handling the difficult boundary condition (3.5) must be applied. The variational technique (3.6) will be used, although the technique of setting the center line \( E_{/1}=0 \) can be shown to give an identical dispersion equation with the exception of the squaring of the tape width factor (Watkins, 1958). This variational method for
helix dispersion calculations has been thoroughly derived and explained by Chodorow and Chu (1955) as well as others; it would suffice here to note that \( \vec{E}_x(\vec{H}^{(0)} - \vec{H}^{(1)}) \cdot d\vec{s} \), the integrand of (3.6), should be zero everywhere. The reason for this is that on the conductor \( \vec{E}_x \cdot d\vec{s} = 0 \) since the tangential \( \vec{E} \) field is zero on a perfect conductor. Off of the conductor, but at the same radius, \( r = a \), \( (\vec{H}^{(0)} - \vec{H}^{(1)}) \cdot x \cdot d\vec{s} = 0 \) since the tangential component of \( \vec{H} \) is continuous across any surface not containing current. The triple product integrand of (3.6) can be re-arranged to contain either \( \vec{E}_x \cdot d\vec{s} \) or \( (\vec{H}^{(0)} - \vec{H}^{(1)}) \cdot x \cdot d\vec{s} \); therefore the integrand is zero everywhere. Forcing the integral of \( \vec{E}_x(\vec{H}^{(0)} - \vec{H}^{(1)}) \cdot d\vec{s} \) to zero, a less strict condition, should give approximate results for \( \beta \) vs \( k \). The integral (3.6) becomes

\[
I = \int_E^{(0)} \cdot j_n^* \, ds = \int \left[ \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} E_{zn}^{(0)} \exp(-j\beta_n z + jn\phi) \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} j_m^* \exp(j\beta_m z - jm\phi) + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} E_{\phi_n}^{(0)} \exp(-j\beta_n z + jn\phi) \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} j_m^* \exp(j\beta_m z - jm\phi) \right] \, ds = 0 .
\]

With the surface taken as one periodic cell, length \( p \), the integral of the cross product terms \( m \neq n \) is zero due to orthogonality of the space harmonics, and a constant \( L \) may be defined,

\[
L \triangleq \frac{I}{2\pi ap} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} E_{zn}^{(0)} j_{zn}^* + E_{\phi n}^{(0)} j_{\phi n}^* = 0 , \tag{3.37}
\]

\[
L = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} A_n K_n j_n^* + \left[ \frac{-n\beta_n}{2a} A_n K_n j_n^* - \frac{j\omega o}{a} B_n K_n j_n^* \right] = 0 . \tag{3.38}
\]

An extremely important step for numerical calculations is to separate \( L \) into the 'resonant' term (that term where \( \beta_n \approx k_o \)) and the other terms, \( \beta_n \gg k_o \), designated as the non-resonant remainder (Shestopalov, 1961).

\[
L = L_R + L_{NR} . \tag{3.39}
\]
In the case of backward radiation, the resonant term is \( n = -1 \). The resonant term is a very complicated one which cannot apparently be readily simplified; the non-resonant terms may be well approximated by asymptotic expressions, and these terms may be summed to get a very simple approximation for the remainder.

3.2 Resonant Term

A solution for the resonant term is really just a full solution, without further approximations, for the general \( n \)th term, \( L_N \), of the sum \( L \). Since only a single term, the resonant one, must be calculated from this expression, the latter name is applied.

Substituting for \( A_n \) and \( B_n \) from (3.27) and (3.28) in the general \( n \)th term of \( L \), and omitting the \( n \)th subscript throughout,

\[
L_R = L_N = -j \sqrt{\frac{\mu_0}{\varepsilon_0}} \frac{r'}{k_o} \frac{K/I}{C(1-C)\mu \varepsilon} \left[ -j_z \cdot j_z^* + j_{\phi} \cdot j_{\phi}^* C_4 \right] + \\
+ \frac{j n \beta}{r'_{a}} \frac{r'}{k_o} \frac{K/I}{C(1-C)\mu \varepsilon} \sqrt{\frac{\mu_0}{\varepsilon_0}} \left[ -j_z \cdot j_{\phi}^* + j_{\phi} \cdot j_{\phi}^* C_4 \right] - \\
- \frac{j \mu_0}{r'} \frac{K'/I}{C(1-C)\mu \varepsilon} \left[ -j_{\phi} \cdot j_{\phi}^* (C_G + C_3) + j_z \cdot j_z^* C_3 \right] \tag{3.40}
\]

where \( j = \sqrt{-1} \), not to be confused with \( j_{\phi} \), \( j_{//} \) or \( j_n \). Substituting

\[
j_n \phi = j_n \cos \psi \tag{3.41}
\]

\[
j_{nz} = j_n \sin \psi \tag{3.42}
\]

the expression \( L_R \) becomes
\[ L_L = \left[ \frac{2}{\sqrt{N_o}} \right] \frac{k_o a}{\epsilon} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} a} \frac{K/I}{1-C \mu \epsilon} \left( \frac{\sin^2 \psi - \sin \psi \cos \psi}{\epsilon} C_4 - \frac{n\beta \sin \psi}{\sqrt{2} a} \cos \psi + \frac{n\beta \cos^2 \psi}{\sqrt{2} a} C_4 \right) \right. \]
\[ + \left. \frac{K'/I}{C \mu (1-C \mu \epsilon)} \left[ \frac{\cos^2 \psi (C_1 + C_2) - \sin \psi \cos \psi}{C_3} \right] \right\} \]  

(3.43)

where the constants are defined in (3.29)–(3.36). Factoring \( \cos^2 \psi \) and \( (1-C \mu \epsilon) \) out, and defining \( C_5 = C_4 / (1-a)^2 \)

\[ L_L = \left[ \frac{2}{\sqrt{N_o}} \right] \frac{k_o a}{\epsilon} \left\{ \frac{K/I}{1-C \mu \epsilon} \left[ \frac{\tan^2 \psi - n\beta a \tan \psi}{(1+C_5)} \right] \right. \]
\[ + \left. \frac{(\beta a)^2}{(1-a)^2} C_5 \frac{K'/I}{C \mu} \left( C_1 + C_2 - C_3 \right) \right\} \]  

(3.44)

Equation (3.44) is in the form used for computer analysis.

To check limiting cases of this equation against published solutions, it should be noted that if \( \gamma \rightarrow 0 \), and \( \gamma \) and \( \gamma \) are essentially real, which is the degenerate slow-wave loaded tape helix case, when \( \gamma \rightarrow 0 \), \( C \rightarrow 1 \), and

\[ C \rightarrow \left[ \epsilon \frac{K}{I} - \frac{K'}{I'} \right], \quad C \mu \rightarrow \left[ \frac{K}{I} - \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{K'}{I'} \right] \]

\[ L_L = \left[ \frac{2}{\sqrt{N_o}} \right] \frac{1}{\gamma} \left\{ \frac{\gamma^2}{k_o^2} \left( \tan^2 \psi - n\beta \right) \frac{K/I}{C \epsilon} + \frac{K'/I}{C \mu} \right\} \]  

(3.45)

If, also, large argument asymptotic approximations are used to the Bessel function products, as in (2.43)–(2.47)
\[
\frac{K/I'}{C} \approx \frac{K/I'}{\epsilon} \approx \frac{I/I'}{\epsilon + 1} \approx \frac{1}{\epsilon + 1} 
\]

\[
\frac{K/I}{\mu} \approx \frac{K'/I}{\mu} \approx \frac{-I'/I}{1 + \frac{1}{\mu}} \approx \frac{-1}{1 + \frac{1}{\mu}}. 
\]

Thus (3.45) becomes

\[
L_R \Rightarrow +j \frac{k_0}{\gamma} \cos^2 \psi \sqrt{\frac{\mu_o}{\epsilon_o}} \left\{ \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \left( \tan^2 \frac{\psi}{2} - \frac{n_2}{\gamma^2 a} \right) \frac{1}{(1+\epsilon)} - \frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{\mu}} \right\} 
\]

which checks with Shestopalov's (1961) general term for the slow-wave loaded tape solution, after a few corrections are made to the published equations which the author found upon re-deriving Shestopalov's work. This corrected form (3.45) may be partially checked with Watkins (1958) solution (or many others which agree with Watkins) for the general term of an unloaded tape helix (the uncorrected Shestopalov formulas do not check), where \( \epsilon = \mu = 1 \). Upon using the Wronskian for the modified Bessel function, rearranging constants and multiplying and dividing by \( \cot^2 \psi \)

\[
L_R \Rightarrow j \frac{k_0}{\gamma} \sin^2 \psi \left\{ \left( \frac{\gamma a}{2} - 2n^2 \beta a \cot \psi + n^2 \right) \frac{\beta^2 a^2 \cot^2 \psi}{\gamma^2 a^2} \right\} \frac{I_n}{n} \left[ K_n + \frac{k_0}{\gamma} \cot^2 \psi \right] \frac{2}{\epsilon} 
\]

which is Watkins' general form for a free-space tape helix solution. Thus, the solution to the general formula in this report (3.44) reduces to published solutions for certain limiting cases, providing a partial check of its validity.

The equation (3.44), however, is believed to be new and not previously published.

Studying (3.44) for a moment, it should be noticed that no obvious simplifying assumptions can be made to this term. Since for the resonant term \( n \) is small, here \( n = -1 \), the large order asymptotic approximations to the Bessel functions are not very accurate in the general complex argument case, although
they proved acceptable in the sheath helix case for real arguments. This
approximation was checked numerically by the author and found to be very
poor for small complex arguments which are of interest. In particular, \( \gamma_{-1} \)
is imaginary over much of this range; thus \( I_{-1}(\gamma_{-1} a) \rightarrow -j \ J_{-1}(+j \gamma_{-1} a) \),
which is an oscillatory function. No approximations to \( J_{-1} \) have yet been
found useful in simplifying the resonant term. The large argument ap-
proximations are, of course, not possible since

\[
\Gamma_{-1} a = \sqrt{(\beta_{-1} a)^2 - (k_o a)^2} \sim 0
\]

for \( \beta_{-1} \sim k \). Also, the two arguments \( \gamma a \) and \( \Gamma a \) differ, which makes approxi-
mations of \( K' I / K I \) \( K' T / K I \), the two important products, additionally diffi-
cult, even in the regions where \( \gamma_n \) is real.

To calculate the term (3.44) by brute force on a computer is not especially
easy either, although that procedure was followed herein. The major difficulty
is that programs for modified Bessel functions of complex argument are not
readily available for the computer as subroutines, and those programs that
were later found and might have been changed for the present use were usually
for a limited range of argument and order, as well as requiring the slow double
precision calculations. Appendix B discusses the Bessel function subroutines
written by the author for use in this problem and other known work in this area.

3.3 Non-Resonant Remainder

For the rest of the terms of the infinite series representing \( I \), a brute
force calculation could be used. The costs of computer time would be very
large, additional time for study of programming for the computer for accurate
Bessel functions of complex argument and higher order would be required,
and no simple well-known function for the total non-resonant remainder would
be obtained. The method to follow shows this total non-resonant term clearly
and simply.
Since for the non-resonant terms, $\beta_n \gg k_o$, 

$$\Gamma_n^a \approx \sqrt{\beta_n^2 - k_o^2} a^2 \approx \beta_n a \gg 0 . \quad (3.50)$$

Also, except at isolated regions in the slow wave zone where $\beta_n \sim k \sqrt{\mu \varepsilon}$ for small $n$, $\beta_n \gg k \sqrt{\mu \varepsilon}$, and 

$$\gamma_n^a = \sqrt{(\beta_n^2 - (k_o a)^2) \mu \varepsilon} \approx \beta_n a \gg 0 . \quad (3.51)$$

In addition, both $\Gamma_n^a$ and $\gamma_n^a$ are almost purely real, and $\Gamma \sim \gamma$ for $|n| > 1$, $\cot \psi$ large, and $\mu \varepsilon$ not too large.

This combination of fairly large, nearly real arguments assures the accuracy of a large order asymptotic approximation for the Bessel function products. The large argument approximations are not applicable because the series is of increasing order, $n$. In other words, all the usual slow wave approximations apply to the non-resonant terms, except that large order, rather than large argument, expansions are used.

For the non-resonant term, start with the slow wave $(\Gamma_n^a \sim \gamma_n^a)$ expression for a loaded helix (3.45) and rewrite the first factor inside the brackets (using $\Gamma_n^a \sim \gamma_n^a$) 

$$T = \frac{\Gamma_n^a}{\gamma_n^2} \left( \tan^2 \frac{\psi}{\beta_n^2} - \frac{n^2}{\gamma_n^2} \right) = \frac{1}{(k_o a)^2} \left\{ \left( \frac{\Gamma_n^a}{\gamma_n^2} \tan^2 \frac{\psi}{2} - \frac{n^2}{\gamma_n^2} \frac{\beta_n^2 a^2}{\gamma_n^2} \right) \right\} . \quad (3.53)$$

Using the relations 

$$\beta_n = (\beta + \frac{2\pi n}{p}) = \beta_n + n \cot \psi \quad (3.54)$$

$$\Gamma_n^2 a^2 = \beta_n^2 a^2 - k_o^2 a^2 \quad (3.55)$$
the factor $T$ becomes

$$
T = \left[ (\beta_0^2 a^2 - (k_o^2 a^2)^2 \tan^2 \psi + \frac{n^2(k_o^2 a^2)}{n^2 a^2} \right]. \tag{3.56}
$$

This simplified factor is essentially the one used by Tien (1953) and Sensiper (1951, p. 59) for the tape helix in air. The effect of loading comes in the other terms. A further simplification results for large $n$, since

$$
\int \frac{2 a^2}{n^2} = \left[ (\beta_0^2 a + n \cot \psi)^2 - k_o^2 a^2 \right] \tag{3.57}
$$

$$
\approx n^2 \cot^2 \psi \quad (n \text{ large})
$$

$$
T \approx \beta_0^2 a^2 \tan^2 \psi \quad (n \text{ large}) \tag{3.58}
$$

This simplification will be used to approximate all non-resonant terms, since usually

$$
\beta_0 a \ll n \cot \psi \quad \text{and} \quad k_o a \mu^2 \ll n \cot \psi. \tag{3.59}
$$

For $\mu$ and $\epsilon$ very large, the second approximation may not hold for small $n$ and for large $\psi$, and additional terms would have to be calculated using the exact expression (3.44), which was used for the resonant term.

The sum of the non-resonant terms may then be written, where $n = \text{resonant } n$, as

$$
I_{NR} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{n \neq \text{resonant } n} \int_0^\infty \cos 2 \psi \left( \beta_0^2 a^2 \tan^2 \psi \right) \left( \frac{K/\mu^n}{C/\mu^n} + \frac{K/\mu^n}{\epsilon+1} \right). \tag{3.60}
$$

Simplifying still further using the large order asymptotic expansions as in (2.48) through (2.53), similarly to (3.46) and (3.47)

$$
\frac{K/\mu^n}{C/\epsilon} \approx \frac{I/\mu^n}{\epsilon+1} \approx \frac{\cos \psi}{\epsilon+1} \tag{3.61}
$$
\[
\frac{K'/I}{C \mu} \approx \frac{-I'/I}{1 + \frac{1}{\mu}} \approx \frac{-1}{\cos \psi} .
\] (3.62)

The \( \cos \psi \) appears above, although it does not in (3.46) and (3.47) since from (2.49) – (2.53)

\[
\frac{I_n (r_n a)}{I'_n (r_n a)} \approx \frac{\int r_n a}{n \int \sqrt{1 + \frac{r_n^2 a^2}{n^2}}} = \frac{\int r_n a}{\sqrt{n^2 + r_n^2 a^2}} .
\] (3.63)

Using (3.57) for large \( n \)

\[
\frac{I}{I'} \approx \frac{n \cot \psi}{\csc \psi} = \frac{\cot \psi}{\cos \psi} = \cos \psi .
\] (3.64)

Substituting (3.61) and (3.62) into (3.60), and multiplying and dividing by \( \cos \psi \),

\[
I_{NR} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} j_n \cos \psi \frac{k_o a}{r_n a} \left\{ \frac{\beta_o a^2}{k_o a^2} \frac{\sin \psi}{e+1} - \frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{\mu}} \right\} .
\] (3.65)

This non-resonant expression is close to Shestopalov's (1961), except that he uses somewhat different slow wave approximations with large argument expansions, resulting in an expression with \( \gamma_o \) instead of \( \beta_o \), and \( \tan \psi \) rather than \( \sin \psi \). The expression (3.65) is felt to be more exact and useful.

At this point, it is seen that the entire bracket is independent of \( n \). In addition, the \( n \)-dependent terms outside the bracket vary as
\[ S_n = 2 \left[ \frac{\sin \frac{\pi \delta}{P_n}}{\pi \delta n} \right]^2 \frac{\cos \psi}{n \cot \psi} = 2 \sin \psi \left( \frac{\sin n \Delta}{n \Delta} \right)^2 \quad (n \text{ odd}) \]  

\[ S_n = 0 \quad (n \text{ even}) \]

where \( \Delta = \pi \delta / p \) and where (3.57) and (3.19) - (3.20) have been used for \( j_n \) and \( \gamma_n \) respectively. For small \( \Delta \) (thin wires), the term varies as \( 1/n \) until \( n \) is quite large; i.e., the convergence is very slow. It is felt that even modern computers cannot handle convergence as slow as this in the original Bessel function form, and indeed there is no necessity to have the series in such a slowly converging form. Although it is not mentioned in many non-Russian articles on the tape helix, the series \( L_{NR} \) can be well approximated by a single term. To be more exact, the series can be changed into a much more rapidly convergent series, which is so good that the first term approximates the series very well.

The general problem of increasing the convergence of such series has often been studied (Collin, 1960; Morse and Feshbach, 1953; Marcuvitz, 1964) and has also been applied to helices and dipole arrays (Sensiper, 195); Chodorow and Chu, 1954; Serracchioli and Levis, 1959), but the importance of only the first term used to get an excellent picture of the effect of all the higher modes is mainly emphasized by the Russians (Shestopalov, 1961; Kogan, 1949, 1959).

Indeed, a very commonly used method in Russian literature for any problem involving a narrow conductor or narrow gap in any geometry is the so-called 'averaged boundary condition', originally developed in the untranslated literature but discussed by Moizhes (1958) and Smirnov (1958). In this method, the boundary conditions contain a term logarithmic in \( 1/\Delta \) essentially the same as the first term of the sum of the series \( L_{NR} \). Except for this term in the boundary condition, the problem is handled like a sheath analysis. However, it appears that for air gaps between the material and the helix, or for layers of material, this method is inaccurate and gives little insight to the problem.
The importance of this asymptotic approximation is that it will usually appear in thin conductor or gap problems and gives some physical insight to the solution, whereas the associated original Fourier series will always be very slowly converging and difficult to apply numerically, while giving no simple insight into the problem. Rearranging the series for increased convergence, while not stating the importance of the first term, fails to give the physical insight. To summarize, the series written for increased convergence is simply

\[ L = L_R + L_{NR} \]

\[ = L_R + j \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} S_n \cdot F_{k\beta} + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} L_N^{-jS_n \cdot F_{k\beta}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.67)

where

\[ S_n \] is given by (3.66)

\[ F_{k\beta} = \begin{cases} \beta^2 \alpha^2 \sin^2 \psi & \text{for } n \neq 0, 2n, 4n, \ldots \\ \frac{1}{\epsilon + 1} & \text{for } n \neq 0, 2n, 4n, \ldots \\ \frac{1}{\mu} & \text{for } n \neq 0, 2n, 4n, \ldots \end{cases} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.68)

This last summation term is simply the error between the exact term \( L_n \) and the asymptotic approximation for large \( n \). This correction series could be used on the computer since it converges rapidly. However, it will be assumed negligible, since it has already been seen that the asymptotic approximations for the Bessel function for large \( n \) are very accurate, if the argument is fairly large and essentially real.

Series similar to (3.65) have been summed by Collin (1960), Chodorow and Chu (1954), Kogan (1959) and others; however the sum for \( n \) odd has not been found in the literature by the author. Appendix C indicates the method which gives
\[
\frac{1}{\sin \psi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} S_n \sim t_n \frac{1}{\Delta} + \frac{1}{2} \equiv F_c \quad .
\]

(3.69)

Thus, the final approximate dispersion equation for the loaded tape helix is

\[
L = L_R + L_{NR} \sim L_R + j F_c \cdot k \beta \frac{F \sin \psi}{c}
\]

(3.70)

where \( L_R \) is calculated from (3.44), \( F_k \beta \) from (3.68) and \( F_c \) from (3.69).

The equation (3.70) was solved on a digital computer for \( k_o \) vs \( \beta \) as will be described in the next section. Just by inspection, however, considerable insight into the solution can be gained. As \( \Delta \rightarrow 0 \), that is, as the tape width becomes small, the log term increases in importance until it completely dominates the solution, i.e., \( F_c \rightarrow \infty \). Thus, for the limiting case \( \Delta \rightarrow 0 \), the dispersion equation becomes

\[
F_k \beta = 0
\]

(3.71)

\[
\frac{\beta_o^2 a^2}{k_o^2 a^2} \frac{\sin^2 \psi}{\epsilon + 1} - \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{\mu}} = 0
\]

\[
k_o a = \beta_o a \sin \psi \sqrt{1 + \frac{1/\mu}{1 + \epsilon}}
\]

(3.72)

This equation will be recognized as the same as (2.56), which was derived in the sheath helix case using the well-known narrow conductor asymptote in free space,

\[
k_o a = \beta_o a \sin \psi
\]

(3.73)

from the tape helix theory and applying the slow wave reduction factor

\[
\frac{1}{\epsilon_c} = \sqrt{\frac{1 + 1/\mu}{1 + \epsilon}}
\]

found from slow-wave sheath theory. Actually, (3.73) for the helix in air, although well known in the slow-wave region, has been shown valid in the leaky-wave radiation region only recently by Klock (1963) using arguments based on
the whole series. It has been extended to the loaded case above, using a
summation term which shows not only the behavior at $\Delta \to 0$, but the quantitative
behavior for small $\Delta$. Numerically, it happens that the dominance of $L_{NR}$
occurs even at moderately small tape widths.

The logarithmic variation shows the dispersion equation is insensitive
to the exact tape width used. This is fortunate because the tape model itself
is not met in practice. If a more exact $L_{NR}$ was desired, which does not seem
very important for the present case, the actual conductor shape would also
have to be considered, using perhaps the developed tape model for the higher
order terms.

Equations (3.70), (3.72) and (3.73) also give another very important approxi-
mate physical interpretation to the solution. Equation (3.73) indicates that for
very small conductors on a helix in free space, the phase velocity along the
wire is approximately the speed of light, that of a TEM wave. This suggests
that, as a good first approximation, the helix may be treated as an isolated,
straight, infinitely long wire propagating a TEM wave when calculations of con-
ductor phase velocity, fields and effect of conductor shape and loading are con-
sidered. The $\log (\frac{1}{\Delta})$ term, which occurs also in calculations of self inductance
of a straight wire, also supports this model. In other words, the self inductance
of the thin wire helix dominates over any cross coupling of fields between dif-
ferent loops. The above conclusion actually need not be restricted to the helix
but probably occurs with most narrow-conductor structures. The Fletcher (1952)
method for dealing with the interdigital slow wave structure assumes this TEM
wave with considerable success. Also, the 'developed' or 'plane' helix model
uses this assumption, which depends on a very close binding of the fields to
the vicinity of the wires in at least the higher space harmonics, so that changes
in geometry (such as bending the plane into a cylinder) do not affect the propa-
gation constant.
The reduction factors in (3.72) for the effect of material loading has in fact been obtained and used by this 'developed helix' model with Fletcher's TEM approximation (Ash, et al, 1964; Hair, 1964) and greatly facilitates the interpretation of the loading effects of an anisotropic ferrite upon a helix slow wave in the latter reference. The loading effect for an isotropic material, on the inside of a developed helix only, can be seen to be essentially the effect of $\varepsilon_0$ and $\varepsilon_0\varepsilon$ in parallel and the $\mu_0$ and $\mu_\mu$ in parallel. The effect of the permittivity may be thought of as a lumped capacitance, and since capacitors in parallel add like

$$C_T = C_1 + C_2$$

the effective dielectric constant, $\varepsilon_{\text{eff}}$, of the two different dielectrics may be written as

$$\varepsilon_{\text{eff}} = (\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)/2.$$  

Similarly, if the effect of the two $\mu$'s is thought of as lumped inductance, which add in parallel as

$$\frac{1}{L_T} = \frac{1}{L_1} + \frac{1}{L_2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.74)

The phase velocity reciprocal is

$$\frac{1}{v_p} \approx \sqrt{L_1C_1} = \sqrt{\mu_{\text{eff}}\varepsilon_{\text{eff}}} = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2}{\mu_1 + \frac{1}{\mu_2}}}.$$  

From a physical picture of the fields, the two routes of the electric field between two helix wires (capacity), one route inside and one outside the loading, appear in parallel. The route of the magnetic field around the wire is half in the material, half outside. The reluctance (magnetic impedance) to the magnetic flux around the wire which is added up around the magnetic path, is inversely proportional to inductance, thus causing the 'inductances' of the two parts of the path to add as inverses, as shown in (3.74).
Finally, in the interpretation of (3.70), it is noted that \( L_R \) must still be considered in the fast wave region, since the argument of the Bessel function \( K_n(\Gamma_n^f \rho) \) gets small there, causing the outside fields, such as (2.12) and (2.14), to get very large. Physically, this means that the strong surface wave approximated by the resonant space harmonic couples of the current wave to the radiation fields. It is not obvious, however, in what manner \( \mu \) and \( \epsilon \) affect this radiation. Numerical calculations appear necessary here.

3.4 Numerical Calculation of Tape Dispersion Equation

The numerical calculation of (3.70) required three large areas to be investigated. First, subroutines for modified Bessel functions of complex argument had to be written that permitted a large range of arguments from very small to very large, at any argument angle. Higher orders of Bessel Functions were also considered, for the problem of error estimate of the \( L_{NR} \) approximation. Appendix B discusses these subroutines. The second problem area is the general method solution of an equation like (3.70) in the complex plane. The Newton-Raphson method was chosen in this study. Mittra and Laxpati (1964) also chose this method for finding the complex zeros of a similar simpler dispersion equation. The final problem area was to implement this method in a program that would find a solution for a given initial guess of \( \beta_0 \) and given values of \( k_0 \), \( \mu \), \( \epsilon \), \( \psi \) etc., and track this solution as \( k_0 \) was varied in small steps. The tracking of the solution improves the speed of investigating the solutions as well saving much computer time. Without a method of tracking in the complex plane, the instability of the Newton-Raphson method makes it almost impossible to obtain results. A three-point extrapolation was used to obtain each new point on the \( k-\beta \) diagram, with a starter subroutine that searched for the first three points, beginning in regions where the solution was approximately known.
The Newton-Raphson method is a common technique for the numerical solution for an implicit equation \( G(x) = 0 \) for real variable (Hildebrand, 1956). The technique begins with a guess \( x_1 \), of the correct solution, \( x_0 \). A derivative \( dG(x_1)/dx \) is then calculated, and the next approximation to \( x_0 \) is \( x_2 \),

\[
x_2 = \frac{G(x_1)}{G'(x_1)}.
\]

If the first guess \( x_1 \) is 'sufficiently' close to \( x_0 \), the solution will converge; in fact, the convergence is very rapid, called 'quadratic', since the error \( \epsilon_1 \) of \( x_1 \) is of the order \( (\epsilon_1^{-1})^2 \) where \( \epsilon_1 \) is the error in the \( x_1^{-1} \) approximation. This method can be extended to the complex plane although very little appears to have been written on such solutions. In the complex domain, restricting \( G(z) \) to an analytic function in the region of interest,

\[
z_1 = \frac{G(z_1^{-1})}{G'(z_1^{-1})}
\]

where \( G' \) is well-defined for an analytic function, and is the same regardless of direction of approach to \( x_1^{-1} \). In the computer program, the approximation used was

\[
G'(z_1^{-1}) \approx \frac{G(z_1^{-1}) - G(z_1^{-1} - \Delta z)}{\Delta z}
\]  

(3.75)

where \( \Delta z \) was taken rather small, and could be changed to investigate stability and validity of the solution.

Equation (3.75) was programmed directly as written, where \( G(z) = L(\beta) \) from equation (3.70). Complex arithmetical subroutines were used to perform the complex arithmetical operations, since the MAD interpretive language used at The University of Michigan had no defined complex numbers at the time. All other subroutines (such as \( \sin, \cos \)) had to be revised. There is now a MAD definition package for complex arithmetic that makes such programming much
easier. FORTRAN could also be used, which has defined complex arithmetic.

A problem with the Newton-Raphson technique is that (3.75) may give a relatively inaccurate \( G' \) for small \( \Delta z \) since there is a difference of two nearly equal functions. In addition, this function \( G(z) = L(\beta) \), with only eight digits of accuracy available for single precision computer work, is a complicated combination of Bessel functions which are themselves difficult to compute accurately because of subtraction of nearly equal quantities in the formation of the defining series. Attention to 'accuracy' was necessary throughout, although actually 'resolution' (the number of significant figures before the occurrence of random numbers) was found more important than accuracy (the number of significant figures that are identical with the correct \( L(\beta_0) \)) in obtaining accurate derivatives.

The initial guesses for \( \beta_0 = \beta_{or} + j\beta_{oi} \) were made in the two regions where experience has shown the answers are approximately known; a) the slow wave region, and b) the 'radiation' point at the intersection of the asymptotic k-\( \beta \) solution (3.72) with the backward plane wave TEM line \( k_0 = 1 - \beta_0 \). In (a), the asymptotic line (3.72) is an excellent approximation, with \( \beta_{oi} \approx 0 \) (a real variable solution), since little radiation takes place. For (b), the radiation point determined \( \beta_{or} \) and \( \beta_{oi} \) was taken nominally at -.01 and varied if instability occurred. The second point in (b) was initially guessed by a small shift from the first points. The third point was initially guessed by a linear extrapolation of both \( \beta_{or} \) and \( \beta_{oi} \). The variation of \( \beta_{oi} \) was extremely rapid near the slow wave region, causing instabilities in the solution, so that initially in (b) the k-\( \beta \) diagram was extended to the right, then to the left from the initial point.

Note that the Newton-Raphson technique automatically gives the quantity \( dL/d\beta \) which is related to the residue of the leaky wave pole associated with this complex wave. In cases where numerical methods are used, it would seem that the contribution of any pole in the complex plane to a contour integral is more easily taken into account by numerically finding the residue than by numerically integrating over the contour.
It is important to note that the complex poles appear in pairs $\beta_{or} \pm j \beta_{oi}$, so that the particular sign of $\beta_{oi}$ found in a root is not crucial to the solution; only one root is physically acceptable. The proof of this property follows from the property for Bessel functions,

$$
\begin{align*}
I_n(z^*) &= I_n^*(z) \\
K_n(z^*) &= K_n^*(z) \\
I'_n(z^*) &= I'_n^*(z) \\
K'_n(z^*) &= K'_n^*(z)
\end{align*}
$$

and of the transverse propagation constants,

$$
\gamma_n^*(\beta^*) = \gamma_n^*(\beta) \quad \quad \quad \quad \Gamma_n^*(\beta^*) = \Gamma_n^*(\beta)
$$

where * indicates complex conjugate. Since $L$ is formed from real constants, including $k_o$, and arithmetic operations upon the $\gamma$, $\beta$, and the Bessel functions, the function $L(\beta)$ must also have the property $L(\beta^*) = L^*(\beta)$.

3.5 Results of the Computer Computation

The results of the computation are shown in Figs. 3-1 to 3-13, in the form of $k-\beta$ diagrams. In each diagram

$$
\begin{align*}
\overline{k}_o & \triangleq k_o a / \cot \psi = k_o p / 2\pi \\
\overline{\beta}_r & \triangleq \beta_{or} a / \cot \psi = \beta_{or} p / 2\pi \\
\overline{\beta}_i & \triangleq \beta_{oi} a / \cot \psi = \beta_{oi} p / 2\pi \\
\Delta = \pi \delta / p
\end{align*}
$$

(3.76)

where, as usual, the subscripts $r$ and $i$ refer to real and imaginary components of a complex variable. The figures are grouped by the three pitch angles ($\psi = 2.6^0$, $5^0$, $25^0$) and the two normalized tape widths used ($\Delta = .1$, $\mu = 1$). with separate figures for dielectric loading, and combined magnetic ($\mu > 1$) and dielectric loading. The particular sets of values ($\mu = 6.6$, $\varepsilon = 12.6$; $\varepsilon = 3.77$, $\mu = 2.2$) correspond to two ferrites in use on this project.
Figure 3-1, for $\epsilon = 1$, shows a typical behavior of an unloaded backward-fire helical current wave $T_o$ (the notation will be discussed later). The $k-\beta$ diagram is similar to the extrapolated $k-\beta$ diagram shown in Fig. 2-2 for the sheath helix, but jutting upward away from the line, $\bar{k} = \beta \sin \psi$, shown in Fig. 3-1. The imaginary part of $\bar{\beta}$, $\bar{\beta}_1$, is plotted to the right hand side of the figure, using the same ordinate, $\bar{k}$, but a different abscissa scale. For the unloaded helix ($\epsilon = 1$), the $\bar{\beta}_1$ is seen to be very small for all values of $\bar{k}$ such that the $\bar{k}-\bar{\beta}$ line is in the non-radiating region, i.e. to the left of the $\bar{k} = 1$ line, where the $n=-1$ space harmonic has an axial plane velocity less than the speed of light. As the $\bar{k}-\bar{\beta}$ line for $\epsilon = 1$ approaches $\bar{k} = 1 - \bar{\beta}$ line; i.e. the axial phase velocity of the $n=-1$ space harmonic approaches the speed of light, the value of $\bar{\beta}_1$ suddenly increases greatly. Based upon experimental evidence, $\bar{\beta}_1$ is the rate of radiation attenuation. It can be expected to fall off after the $\bar{k} - \bar{\beta}$ line is deep in the radiation region, often rising afterward. Only the behavior close to the sudden rise, where radiation is backward fire and the $T_o$ current wave dominates, is of interest at present.

Figure 3-1 shows the typical behavior of the dielectric loading. As $\epsilon$ is increased, the radiation zone moves to lower values of the frequency-size parameter, $\bar{k}$. The radiation zone can clearly be seen from the increase in the attenuation $\bar{\beta}_1$ due to radiation, and is seen to occur near the nominal 'radiation point' at the intersection of the lines

$$\bar{k}_o = 1 - \bar{\beta} = -\bar{\beta}_1$$

$$\bar{k}_o = \bar{\beta} \sin \psi \sqrt{\frac{\mu + 1}{\epsilon + 1}}$$

However, the radiation attenuation, $\bar{\beta}_1$, also decreases with each increase in the loading $\epsilon$. If the factor of reduction in $\bar{\beta}_1$ is greater than that of $\bar{k}_o$, then the active zone length will be unchanged with loading since both radiation rate per unit of pitch distance, $\bar{\beta}_1$, and the pitch distance (proportional to $k_o$) are decreased equally. Notice in Fig. 3-1 that the solutions are quite close to the asymptotic line given by (3.78),

$$\bar{k}_o = \bar{\beta} \sin \psi \sqrt{\frac{\mu + 1}{\epsilon + 1}}.$$
FIG. 3-1: EFFECT OF DIELECTRIC LOADING ON DISPERSION.

$\psi = 12 \, {}^\circ$, $\Delta = 1$, $\mu = 1$; see Fig. 6-2 for $\psi$ and $\Delta$. 

$\bar{k} = -\bar{\beta}$

$\bar{k} = \beta \sin \psi$

$\bar{\beta} r$

$\bar{k} = \frac{1+1}{e+1} \frac{\mu}{\epsilon+1}$

$\epsilon = 1$

$\epsilon = 2$

$\epsilon = 5$

$\epsilon = 10$

$\epsilon = 20$
especially in the slow wave region and for the larger loadings in the fast wave region.

In Fig. 3-2, the effect of raising \( \mu \) rather than \( \epsilon \) in the loadings is shown. The solutions are again quite close to the asymptotic, and give only small reductions even for large \( \mu \). Nevertheless, the effect on \( \beta_1 \) is very interesting; it does not decrease as much as for high \( \epsilon \), but on the contrary, even increases for some values of \( \mu \).

Figure 3-3 shows that a smaller tape width, \( \Delta = 0.01 \), decreases \( \beta_1 \) somewhat, but not drastically, in all cases. Figure 3-4 shows a similar effect for loading with \( \mu \). In addition, several materials with both \( \mu \) and \( \epsilon \) greater than air are shown. The compound (\( \mu = 2.2, \epsilon = 3.77 \)) has the characteristics of a ferrite powder used in the experimental program (Chapter V). The high \( \beta_1 \) with the compound (\( \epsilon = 5, \mu = 10 \)) is notable, with the reservations noted later about the rather large \( \beta_1 \). The other two compounds, while showing a diameter reduction in \( \overline{k}a \), also show a considerable reduction in \( \overline{\beta}_1 \).

Figures 3-5 through 3-8 show the effect of loading on a helix of greatly reduced pitch angle, only 50°. Again, as in the previous figures, \( \epsilon \) reduces the diameter of the active zone more than \( \mu \), but also reduces the \( \beta_1 \). The combination (\( \mu = 2.2, \epsilon = 3.77 \)) again appears to be a poor combination in yielding a lower \( \beta_1 \) than even (\( \epsilon = 5, \mu = 1 \)), even though both have approximately the same diameter reduction capability. The curve for (\( \mu = 10, \epsilon = 1 \)) has a \( \beta_1 \) even higher than free space, as in the previous figures, but the same behavior of \( \overline{\beta}_1 \) departing strongly from the asymptotic line.

Figures 3-9 through 3-12 show the effects of loading upon a helix of rather large pitch angle, 25°. Some of the curves are somewhat incomplete in their range of \( k_0 \), due to a computational choice rather than any inherent difficulty. The change of scale on the ordinate should be noticed. The curves on Fig. 3-9 are quite comparable with those for the other pitch angles. Apparently \( \beta_1 \) (attenuation per unit of normalized pitch \( p/2\pi \)) is insensitive to changes in

\[ \text{(equation or content here)} \]
FIG. 3-2: THE EFFECT OF MAGNETIC LOADING ON DISPERSION.

$\psi = 12.6^\circ$, $\Delta = .1$, $\epsilon = 1$; see Fig. 6-2 for $\psi$ and $\Delta$. 
FIG. 3: EFFECT OF DIELECTRIC ON DISPERSION.

$\psi = 12.6^9, \Delta = 0.01, \mu = 1$; see Fig. 2 for $\psi$ and $\Delta$. 

$\tilde{\beta} = \frac{\beta}{k_0}$
FIG. 3-4: EFFECT OF MAGNETIC AND DIELECTRIC LOADING.
\[ \psi = 12.6^\circ, \Delta = 0.01; \text{see Fig. 6-2 for } \psi \text{ and } \Delta. \]
FIG. 3-5: EFFECT OF DIELECTRIC LOADING.

$\psi = 5^\circ$, $\Delta = .1$, $\mu = 1$; see Fig. 6-2 for $\psi$ and $\Delta$. 
FIG. 3-6: EFFECT OF MAGNETIC AND DIELECTRIC LOADING.
ψ = 50°, Δ = .1; see Fig. 6-2 for ψ and Δ.
FIG. 3-7: EFFECT OF DIELECTRIC LOADING.  
$\psi = 5^\circ$, $\Delta = .01$, $\mu = 1$; see Fig. 6-2 for $\psi$ and $\Delta$. 
FIG. 3-8: EFFECT OF MAGNETIC AND DIELECTRIC LOADING.

$\psi = 5^\circ$, $\Delta = .01$; see Fig. 6-2 for $\psi$ and $\Delta$. 
FIG. 3-9:  EFFECT OF DIELECTRIC LOADING.
\( \psi = 25^\circ, \Delta = .1, \mu = 1 \); see Fig. 6-2 for \( \psi \) and \( \Delta \).
FIG. 3-10: EFFECT OF MAGNETIC AND DIELECTRIC LOADING.

$\psi = 25^\circ$, $\Delta = 1$, see Fig. 6-2 for $\psi$ and $\Delta$. 
FIG. 3-11: EFFECT OF DIELECTRIC LOADING.

$\psi = 25, \Delta = 0.1, \mu = 1$; see Fig. 8-2 for $\psi$ and $\Delta$. 
FIG. 3-12: EFFECT OF MAGNETIC AND DIELECTRIC LOADING.
$\psi = 25^\circ$, $\Delta = .01$; see Fig. 6-2 for $\psi$ and $\Delta$. 
pitch angle, although slightly less for $\psi = 5^\circ$. Figure 3-10 shows that at $\psi = 25^\circ$, the combination ($\epsilon=3.77, \mu=2.2$) is considerably better in terms of $\bar{\beta}_i$. The material ($\epsilon=5, \mu=10$) is still uniquely high in $\beta_i$, apparently due to the large content of $\mu$. The material ($\epsilon=12.6, \mu=6.6$), corresponding to a solid ferrite used by this Laboratory, has a very good $\bar{\beta}_i$ considering the great diameter reduction accomplished. The performance is maintained at the smaller tape width as well.

The solutions of the dispersion equation shown in Figs. 3-1 through 3-12 clearly correspond to a current wave with velocity along the wire approximately the speed of light times the reduction factor of the loading material. This current wave can exist alone; i.e. it is a complete solution or 'mode' which satisfies all the boundary conditions; each spatial harmonic does not. This 'mode' has variously been called the $T_0$ mode by Kraus (1950) or the Mode 1 by Klock (1963). There is a Mode 2 or $T_1$ mode which has been ignored throughout this paper, but which is important to forward fire ($\bar{\beta} > 1$) helices. Also, at least in the loaded helix case, solutions have been found to the dispersion equation with rather large $\beta_i$, such as the examples shown in Fig. 3-13. With the exception of the $\psi = 25^\circ$ curve, all these solutions were for $\bar{\beta} > 1$, but no concerted search for all possible solutions have been made. The residue for these waves were considerably smaller than for the $T_0$ solutions, indicating they are harder to excite. Since they decay more rapidly than the $T_0$ wave, the $T_0$ wave will predominate on the antenna for most of its length for values of $\bar{\beta} < 1$, for which Mode 2 is not strong. It would appear that a more thorough investigation of these other waves should be made. In this study, it is assumed that means exist to selectively excite only the $T_0$ mode; the log-conical taper has been experimentally found to be an excellent method, while the truncated helix is not quite as good a method.
FIG. 3-13: EXAMPLES OF HIGH ATTENUATION LEAKY WAVES FOUND; see Fig. 6-2 for $\psi$ and $\Delta$. 
The computer solutions for large \( \mu (\geq 10) \) show some unexpected behavior, with the attenuation increasing drastically, above even that of the helix in free space; in addition, diameter reduction with loading decreased from that with smaller \( \mu \). The physical reason for these solutions is not known. Checks of the solution include considerable care in numerical checking, and the closeness of the solutions to the known asymptotic solutions.

It may be concluded that from the computer solutions shown in Figs. 3-1 through 3-12, that loading of a helix antenna with a full core of material can provide considerable diameter (or frequency) reduction, but that the active length of the helix that takes part in radiation is not correspondingly reduced when only dielectric loading is used. These conclusions can be seen from the fact that the \( \vec{k}_0 \) of the radiation point is reduced when loading is applied (showing size-frequency reduction); however, \( \vec{\beta}_1 \) is also reduced. The attenuation per normalized cell, \( \vec{\beta}_1 \), would have to remain fixed for a scaling of the active zone with diameter reduction. The use of materials having both high permittivity and permeability (e.g. ferrites) appears to offer better hope of reducing this active length, since \( \vec{\beta}_1 \) is still high for at least some combinations.

A final conclusion is that although the effect of pitch angle is rather strong for some ferrites, otherwise neither \( \psi \) nor the tape width \( \Delta \) seem to have a large effect on the radiation.
IV

FORMULATION OF A TAPE HELIX WITH A SOURCE

One of the simplest source problems for a helix is an infinite helix with a very small voltage discontinuity source in the middle. The major object of discussing this source problem in this report is to clarify the role of the complex (leaky) wave solved for in Chapter III. This wave is shown to be a part of the solution of a source problem in certain regions close to the antenna. In fact, the point in solving for the leaky wave propagation constants has been to use the complex $T_0$ (leaky) wave alone as a good representation of the current on the helix. Once the current on the helix has been obtained, the far fields may be obtained by the usual integration. This method was used successfully by Tamir and Oliner (1963) on another problem as an alternative to the usual saddle point integration for the far field. The actual evaluation of all the integrals involved for the present function in the region of the antenna (near field) proved too complicated for this report.

This problem of a small source for an infinite helix in air has been previously formulated by Sensiper (1951), under the assumption that no complex solution to the determinental equation existed, and by Klock (1963), who used the numerically found residues of the complex poles, but did not cover the problem of the branch cut integrals involved. A solution for a simpler related problem having periodic boundary conditions has been performed by Sigelmann and Ishimaru (1965) for an infinite plane slab of dielectric covered on one side by solid metal and on the other by periodic uniformly wide strips of metal with narrow air gaps, and excited by a magnetic current source. This reference covers the complete solution to the problem including the effect of the branch points and leaky wave poles by the saddle point method, but only for the far field. The location of the poles were not solved for. Karjala and Mittra (1965) have solved a source problem with periodic corrugated surface.
4.1 Formulation of the Inversion Integral

Much of the calculation is simplified by the results of Chapter III. The source requires that the E-field parallel to the wire \( E_{//} \) to be

\[
E_{//} = \begin{cases} 
E & \text{in the gap (source)} \quad |z| < l/2 \\
0 & \text{on the conductor} \quad |z| > l/2 
\end{cases} \tag{4.1}
\]

assuming that \( l << \Delta \); i.e., no fringe affects in the gap. Admittedly, for a very small \( \Delta \), such a gap becomes physically impossible.

The Fourier transform \( \tilde{E}_{//} \) of the E-field can then be written as

\[
\tilde{E}_{//}(\beta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_{//}(z) e^{+i\beta z} \, dz \\
= \frac{E}{\pi \beta} \sin \beta \frac{l}{2} \tag{4.2}
\]

However \( \tilde{E}_{//}(\beta) \) can be written as the product

\[
\tilde{E}_{//}(\beta) = \tilde{I}(\beta) \tilde{G}(\beta) \tag{4.3}
\]

where \( \tilde{I}(\beta) \) and \( \tilde{G}(\beta) \) are the Fourier transforms of the current in the helix with the source and the Green's function for this problem, respectively. In this form, however, the transform of the Green's function can be recognized immediately, without re-calculation since it was essentially obtained in Chapter III.

In Sensiper's original method for finding the dispersion equation mentioned in Chapter III, the equation

\[
E_{//}^{NS}(z) \bigg|_{\text{centerline of tape}} = 0 \tag{4.4}
\]

where NS refers to the no source or free mode case, was used rather than the variational formulation (3.37) used in this report,
\[ L(\beta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} E_n^{NS} j_n^* + E_n^{NS} j_n = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} E_{/n}^{NS} j_n^* = 0. \] (4.5)

From (4.4) and (4.5),

\[ E_{/n}^{NS}(z) = \exp(j\omega t - j\beta_o z + jn\phi) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{L_n(\beta)}{j_n^*}. \] (4.6)

Actually, the presence of the exponential factor is simply a way of avoiding the general Fourier transform, by assuming a single frequency and a single \( \beta_o \) propagation constant for the current wave in the free mode case. The current is assumed in the form

\[ I_{/n}^{NS}(z) = J \exp(j\omega t - j\beta_o z + jn\phi). \] (4.7)

The Fourier transforms of the electric field and the assumed current 'causing' the field obviously contain a delta function, indicating only one current wave was considered in the source free case,

\[ \widetilde{E}_{/n}^{NS}(\beta_o) = \frac{\delta(\beta - \beta_o)}{2\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{L_n(\beta)}{j_n^*}. \]

\[ \widetilde{I}_{/n}^{NS}(\beta_o) = \frac{J}{2\pi} \delta(\beta - \beta_o). \]

where the \( \delta \) is the usual delta function. The Green's function relating this particular assumed current to the field is

\[ G(\beta) = \frac{\widetilde{E}_{/n}^{NS}(\beta_o)}{\widetilde{I}_{/n}^{NS}(\beta)} = \frac{1}{J} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{L_n(\beta)}{j_n^*}. \] (4.8)
where the Green's function is valid for any \( k \) and \( \beta \), not just for the particular ones assumed in the source free problem.

Using this Green's function, derived from the source-free problem, the current in a general source problem can be obtained as follows.

From (4.3)

\[
\tilde{I}(\beta) = \frac{\tilde{E}(\beta)}{\tilde{G}(\beta)}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (4.9)

The inverse Fourier transform gives

\[
I(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{E}(\beta)}{\tilde{G}(\beta)} e^{-j\beta z} \, dz
\]  \hspace{1cm} (4.10)

Substituting from (4.8) and (4.2),

\[
I(z) = \frac{E}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \beta \frac{L}{Z}}{\beta \tilde{G}(\beta)} e^{-j\beta z} \, dz = \frac{E \ell}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \frac{\beta L}{Z}}{\beta \frac{\ell}{Z}} \frac{\tilde{g}(\beta)}{\tilde{g}(\beta)} e^{-j\beta z} \, dz
\]  \hspace{1cm} (4.11)

An almost identical integral, for the case of the unloaded infinite helix, has been obtained by Klock (1963), Sensiper (1951) and Kogan (1949) by the method of setting up the fundamental integral equation for the problem, and then solving it approximately using expansions in terms of space harmonics. Sensiper (1951) and Klock (1963) also used the method in Chapter III (mode-matching by boundary conditions) for the unloaded source-free helix, and showed how the solutions compared.

4.2 Contribution of Leaky Wave Poles: Relation to Free Mode Solutions

Notice that the solution for \( I(z) \) is now presented as an inversion integral in which the zeros of \( G(\beta) \), which are also the zeros of the \( L(\beta) \) obtained in Chapter III, are now the poles of the inversion integral. The complex \( \beta \) plane,
with the poles and branch cuts of (4.11), is shown in Fig. 4-1. Only the odd modes are excited; thus, only the odd 'cells' of \( \left( \frac{2\pi}{p} n \right) \) have branch cuts and poles. The poles corresponding to the complex (leaky) waves are also shown in Fig. 4-1, along with the surface wave poles corresponding to the higher modes.

The path of integration for the inversion integral (4.11) is along the real \( \beta \) axis as shown. This integral is very involved due to the complexity of \( L(\beta) \), but it could be performed numerically. A more common procedure in this integral is to deform the contour of the integral in the \( \beta \) plane to the infinite semicircle in the third and fourth quadrants of the \( \beta \) plane \( (\beta = \beta_r + j\beta_i) \), as shown in Fig. 4-1. Because of the exponential factor in the integrand, the integral is zero over this semicircle. However, since the deformation of the path sweeps across the poles shown in the upper half of the \( \beta \) plane, residues of the poles appear in the inversion integral in those regions of space where the fields satisfy the radiation condition, such as on the surface of the antenna.

The actual problems of where the residues of complex waves contribute to the inversion integral is best discussed via saddlepoint (steepest descent) methods (Tamir and Oliner, 1963; Bernard and Ishimaru, 1963).

4.3 Contribution of the Branch Cut Integrals to the Inversion Integral

The residues of the poles enclosed in the semicircle are not the only contributions to the integral. The path around the semicircle must be brought in around the branch cuts, as shown, causing contributions due to these branch cuts. These contributions form the radiation fields and decay at least as rapidly as \( (kz)^{-3/2} \) along the antenna (Tamir and Oliner, 1963).

The usual method of evaluating such integrals, including the effect of the poles, is by the saddle point integration. However, this method depends upon integrals of the form
\[
I = \int F(\gamma) e^{-j\gamma x} e^{-j\beta z} d\beta \\
= \int F(\phi) e^{-jk \cos(\theta-\phi)} d\phi
\]

where the dependence of the fields in both dimensions of the two-dimensional problem is exponential. The inversion integral (4.11) is more difficult because of the Bessel function dependence for \(r')\). An asymptotic approximation to the Bessel functions could be made to get an approximate exponential dependence for the fields as a function of radius, such as was done by Patton (1962).

The saddle point method must be modified in the case that only small distances are considered, as for the near fields of antennas, or when the steepest descent contour passes close to a pole of the integrand. These modified methods are mathematically presented in Van Der Waerden (1951) and very clearly in Bernard and Ishimaru (1963). Both modifications appear in the present problem.

Because of the difficulty involved in performing the branch cut integrals, particularly at the small distances on the surface of the antenna, an explicit integration is not given here. Nevertheless, there are many cases when one can say that the residue contributions from the poles are larger than the branch cut integrals along a surface wave device. Briefly, if the exponential decay factor of a surface wave pole is very small, then at moderate distances from the source, the surface wave field corresponding to that pole will dominate over the corresponding branch cut integral in the near field because of the greater decay \((kr)^{-3/2}\), of the radiation field of the branch cut integral. In addition, Tamir and Oliner (1963) have shown that the fields of a leaky wave with a small imaginary part may also be dominant over the corresponding branch cut fields on the surface of an antenna. A modified expression including an error function and the pole
residue is said to be (Bernard and Ishimaru, 1963) an excellent near field approximation in the general direction of travel of a leaky wave.

The magnitude of the residue as well as the relative excitation of the leaky wave versus the radiation field are both important additional factors. The residue of the leaky wave in the helix case is quite large, which would indicate a dominance of this leaky wave. Nevertheless, its attenuation coefficient is fairly large.

Another recent report by Laxpati and Mittra (1966) on the source problem for a planar spiral antenna shows more clearly that the integrals not associated with the residue of the surface wave are very small if the gap across which the source feeds power is very small at the center of the spiral. Although attempts to extend this work to a conical spiral antenna have so far been unsuccessful, the principle is probably the same.

The main evidence for the dominance of the leaky wave contribution over the other fields on the surface of the antenna, in the case of loaded helical antennas, must at present come from the experimental measurements, due to the complexity of the branch cut integrals. Experiments in Chapter V definitely show an exponential decay of the currents for the helix. In addition, phase measurements on the loaded conical helix (Chapter V) and amplitude and phase measurements on the unloaded conical helix (Dyson, 1964) show a propagation constant, including decay, such as predicted by the leaky wave pole corresponding to the $T_0$ current wave calculated in Chapter III.

4.4 Relative Magnitude of Pole Contributions

If the residues are dominant in (4.11), then the current on the conductor may be represented by the residue theorem

$$I(z) \approx \frac{E_l}{2\pi} \sum_{m} \text{Residues of the poles} \left( \frac{\sin \frac{\beta_o (m) - \beta}{2}}{\beta_o (m) - \beta} \right) e^{-j\beta_o (m) z} \quad (4.12)$$

assuming that the integral on the infinite semicircle vanishes.
\[ \frac{E_l}{2\pi} \sum_m \frac{-j\beta_o^{(m)} z}{d G(\beta) d\beta} , \]  

where

\[ \frac{\sin \beta \ell/2}{\beta \ell/2} \approx 1 \]  

for \( m \) small, assuming only a few current waves are large,

\( \beta_o^{(m)} \) is the current wave propagation constant for the \( m \)th current wave (not \( \beta_n \), the propagation constant for the \( n \)th spatial harmonic).

Although the residue versus the branch cut (space wave) contributions have been discussed, no mention has been made of the relative amounts of the residues from the various current waves (zeros of \( G(\beta) \)) that can propagate. Indeed, only the \( T_0 \) current wave has been discussed. However, numerical calculations of residues for the free space monofilar helix (Klock, 1963) have shown that near \( ka \leq 1 \), the residue of the \( T_0 \) current mode is dominant over the \( T_1 \) current mode.

Experimentally, the phase velocity measurements of a narrow wire bifilar conical helix in air for \( ka \leq 1 \) always give velocities of current propagation near the speed of light, corresponding to (4.14) and the \( T_0 \) current mode.

A possible explanation of the dominance of the \( T_0 \) wave residues is the following: it is seen from (3.72) that the \( T_0 \) current wave corresponding to the propagation constant \( \beta_o \) used in this report, is given for narrow conductors by the non-resonant sum equal to approximately zero,

\[ k_o a \approx \beta_o a \sin \psi \sqrt{\frac{1 + \mu}{1 + \epsilon}} \]  

(4.14)
For a zero to occur in \( L(\beta) \) at any other \( \beta_o \), say \( \beta_{o}^{(n)} \), the resonant term would have to be very large to cancel the large non-resonant term. This could cause large derivatives which could cause the residues of these other modes to be very small compared to the \( T_0 \) wave solution \( \beta_o \).

Rather than numerically computing the dispersion equation using Bessel functions, a complete numerical solution to the integral equation problem for unloaded thin wire antennas may be performed. Mei (1965) has some such computations, yielding similar results for the current distributions of a planar spiral antenna, but with a standing wave in addition. A comparison of such calculations to model calculations is given in Laxpati and Mittra (1966). The problem of extending such calculations to material loaded antennas lies in the resulting three-dimensional integrals compared to the one-dimension integrals in the unloaded wire case.
5.1 Basic Step-wise Approximation; WKB Improvement

As has been discussed in the introduction there have been successful studies of unloaded conical helix antennas as a helix with gradually varying parameters (Mitra and Klock, 1966; Dyson, 1964) since an isolated 'active' zone on the conical helix antenna is the main contributor to radiation. One simple way to analyze the conical helix, then, is to use the $k-\beta$ diagram for a cylindrical helix of the same pitch and tape width as the conical helix has near its active zone. The region smaller than the active zone is merely a good tapered feed for the active zone; it does not contribute to radiation. The region larger than the active zone does not possess any currents or fields, if the active zone is well-designed to radiate all the energy fed to the antenna. Since the $k-\beta$ diagram is usually normalized as $ka$ versus $\beta a$ or $ka/cot \psi$ versus $\beta a/cot \psi$, one can consider that either frequency $k_o$ or the radius $a$ changes. For the conical helix case, then, one only needs to gradually move up the $k-\beta$ diagram as the cone-radius increases to find the appropriate complex $\beta_o$ for each part of the cone. The real part of $\beta_o$ gives the phase constant at each point; the imaginary part gives the attenuation rate per unit distance. By integrating these effects along the wire, phase and amplitude of the current can be obtained at each point. Far field patterns can then be calculated. Actually, the phase velocity (from $\beta_r$) gives immediate knowledge of the general direction of radiation, while inspection of attenuation rate ($\beta_i$) indicates the active zone extent; together, they indicate fairly well the performance of the conical helix as an antenna. A fast attenuation rate is necessary for complete radiation in a conical helix that has a wide cone angle. A slower attenuation rate indicates a longer active zone, and a necessarily small cone angle so that the phasing of
all parts of the active zone will be for backfire radiation.

Instead of a stepwise approximation, some improvement may be obtained by using the WKB method, often used in optics for ray tracing in inhomogeneous media that have only slow spatial variations (Morse and Feshbach, 1953). Using this method, Mittra and Klock (1966) report that instead of the form for the current $J(n')$ at a position $n'$,

$$J(n')= J(0)e^{-j\int_0^{n'} \beta(\xi) d\xi}$$

obtained for the stepwise approximation by integrating of the phase effects, one obtains a formula characteristic of the WKB method,

$$J(n')= J(0)\sqrt{\frac{\beta(0)}{\beta(n')}} \exp\left[-j\int_0^{n'} \beta(\xi) d\xi\right].$$

The analysis does assume a single $T_0$ current wave predominant along the conical helix tape. This is true for a well-designed slowly-tapered conical helix, but has not always been the case for the loaded conical helix or the cylindrical helix, probably due to inadequate tapering.

5.2 Effect of Antenna Loading on Active Region: Example

To discuss a specific example, from the results in Chapter III, Fig. 3-1 shows that an unloaded helix ($\psi=12.6^0, \Delta = .1$) has a maximum attenuation constant of approximately $\beta_1 = .1$, which means an exponential attenuation of the form

$$I(z) \sim e^{-\frac{2\pi z}{\beta_1}}.$$ 

Thus for the current to be down by $e^{-2}$,

$$W \approx \frac{2p}{2\pi \beta_1} \approx \frac{2p}{.6} \approx 3.3p,$$
i.e. one must have somewhat more than three cells, or pitch distances, in
the active region, defined by the diametrical range \(0.17 < ka / \cot \psi < 0.25\),
approximately. If the helix is loaded with a dielectric of \(\epsilon = 5\), the \(\bar{\beta}_1\) is
reduced to \(0.03 - 0.04\) maximum over a region \(0.11 < ka < 0.13\) as seen in Fig.
3-1. In this region \(W = 10p'\), or ten loaded pitch distances, \(p'\), must be
within the much narrower diametrical range. On the other hand, the pitch
distances (twice the wire to wire spacing) are less when the diameter \(D\) is
smaller; in the above case, if the ratio

\[
\frac{p}{p'} \simeq \frac{D}{D'} \not\simeq \frac{11}{17} \simeq 0.65
\]

\[W = 10p' \simeq 6.5\ p\]

where \(W\) is the required axial length for the active region and has a value
about twice the original active zone length. Since this distance must be
achieved in a much narrower diametrical range, the maximum permissible
cone angle (i.e., taper) will have to be drastically reduced over the maximum
permissible cone angle in the unloaded case. Note that regardless of the shape
of the \(\bar{\beta}_1\) curve, the upper limits of \(\bar{k}\) must be considerably less than the
value at the intersection of the \(\bar{k}-\bar{\beta}_1\) diagram with \(\beta = 1\) line of broadside
radiation, if backfire radiation is to be achieved.

For cases where \(\mu\) and \(\epsilon\) are both used, or \(\mu\) alone, \(\bar{\beta}_1\) remains high
in many cases, permitting larger maximum permissible cone angles than in the
dielectrically loaded case, and opening the possibility of having a decrease in
the active region size.
VI

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

A large part of the present study was an experimental investigation of the effect of material loading of helix and conical-helix antennas. Interior loading was emphasized, but due to a lack of loading material and a desire to keep the weight down, inside layers were emphasized rather than full-core loading, which makes direct comparison with theory additionally difficult.

6.1 Facilities.

The measurements were performed both in the far field and near field of the antennas. Far field measurements are very standard. Linear power was recorded from the test antenna with a linearly polarized zig-zag transmitting antenna, as the test antenna was rotated through 360°. A serious problem with far field measurements in the frequency range used (200 – 1000 MHz) is the reflection from objects, particularly the ground plane. An outdoor range was necessary. Careful attention to the reflection problem was paid, but still many irregularities appear in the patterns. Absolute field measurements, particularly for the antenna efficiency, are very difficult.

The near fields were measured on a range described by Knott (1965), used mainly for surface field measurements of currents on metallic bodies that are scattering incident S-band (3000 MHz) power. The probe available on the near field range is a shielded loop probe designed to measure magnetic fields at metallic surfaces due to surface currents. It is not fully balanced, but has a single, very small, gap in the center of the loop. Whiteside (1962) shows that such a loop measures H fields accurately when its diameter is very small compared to a wavelength, as in the present case in which the loop diameter is .131" diameter, or when the gap of the probe is against a metallic surface as in the case of surface current measurements. The loop
must also be very small compared to a wavelength and to the wire spacing in order to sample fields without significant variation of the fields across the loop. The particular set-ups used in these experiments are described in Lyon et al (1966). The near field measurements used a Scientific-Atlanta receiver in order to pick up the very low signal levels. The phase measurements were made using a standard amplitude-phase cancellation set-up with a reference signal from the transmitter.

An interesting aspect of the near field measurements that that an anechoic chamber was used that was designed for frequencies well above those used. In fact, the room was only several wavelengths across at most points, although long and tapered in the other dimension, the one in which the antennas were pointed. In order to investigate the validity of such measurements, some crude experiments were run in which metal plates and other objects were brought in the vicinity of the antennas being measured. The effects upon the near field measurements indicated that for measurements very close to the antennas, the patterns were not disturbed, although the absolute amplitude was changed somewhat. These experiments also indicated that the effects of the probe upon the current distribution were small.

Only when the metal plate was directly in the path of the radiation pattern were there any significant effects. Thus, the absorbing room, although not good enough for far field measurements, allowed near field measurements to be taken indoors. Since the advantages of indoor measurements over outdoor measurements are very great, this indoor near field measurement technique appears very valuable. Nevertheless, when complicated near field patterns occurred, the prediction of far field patterns was too difficult. Both measurements appear necessary.
6.2 Helix Experiments

In Chapter V, the close relation between the cylindrical helix and the log-conical helix was explained. An experimental study of the cylindrical helix was made, mainly in order to extrapolate the experimental data to a conical helix case.

The construction of a cylindrical helix is shown in Figs. 6-1 and 6-2. It is wound over a fiberglass shell with RG-58U coaxial wire in an infinite balun arrangement, i.e., the helix is bifilar, fed 180° out of phase at the tip (lower left of Fig. 6-2), but the feed wire from the tip is actually the inside of the coaxial wire of one of the arms. As long as the antenna currents decay to zero before they reach the base, the feed is a balanced one (Dyson, 1964) in that no currents flow down the feed wire. The loading is depicted in Fig. 6-3. The loading effects of the fiberglass shell and balsa wood have been found to be very small in the frequency range 200 - 900 MHz (Lyon et al 1966).

The two loading powders used were an isotropic, low loss ferrite powder with ε = 3.8, μ = 2.2 and a dielectric powder of ε = 10 (Emerson and Cumming, Eccoflo, HiK-10). This admittedly gives only two check points for the theoretical formula. Several other experiments have been reported with different dielectric constants. Shestopalov’s (1961) reported data are very sketchy for field patterns and near field amplitudes for the forward-radiation case. Wong and Thomas (1959) used ε quite small, giving little reduction. In the slow waveguide region, the dielectric data is better (Shestopalov, 1960) and verifies the theory of the slow wave loaded tape helix in that reference, but is of less use in this study of antennas than data in the radiation region.

6.2.1: Far Field Measurements of the Loaded Helix

Figures 6-4 through 6-7 show the effects on the far field patterns of helix No. 217 loaded with a full core or .25" layer of ferrite or dielectric. The parameters of the helix are shown in Table IV-1. In Figs. 6-3 and 6-4, the solid lines representing the unloaded antenna patterns, show unsatisfactory
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**FIG. 6-2: THE BIFILAR CYLINDRICAL HELIX.**
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Arm 2 - outer shield of a coaxial cable.

Center wire of coax soldered to outside shield of Arm 2.

Arm 1 - coaxial cable fed from base of helix.

Antenna fed through coaxial cable inside Arm 1 to feedpoint.

Details of infinite balun feed.
FIG. 6-3: LOADING DIAGRAM: BIFILAR HELIX (No. 213) LAYER LOADING.
--- Unloaded   --- .25\" interior layer  ----- full core load.

FIG. 6-4: HELIX WITH DIELECTRIC LOADING. PLOTS OF $|E_d|^2$.
Dielectric $\varepsilon = 10$, Antenna No. 217 (4.7\" dia.), coordinates from Fig. 6-2, $\theta = 180^0$ is "backward".
FIG. 6-5: HELIX WITH DIELECTRIC LOADING. PLOTS OF $|E_\theta|^2$.
Dielectric $\varepsilon=10$, Antenna No. 217(4.7" dia), coordinates from Fig. 6-2, $\theta=180^\circ$ is "backward".
FIG. 6-6: HELIX WITH FERRITE LOADING. PLOTS OF $|E_\theta|^2$.
Ferrite powder $\epsilon=3.77$, $\mu=2.2$, Antenna No. 217 (4.7" dia.),
cordinates from Fig. 6-2, $\theta = 180^\circ$ is "backward".

— Unloaded — . — .25" interior layer ----- Full core load.
FIG. 6-6: HELIX WITH FERRITE LOADING. PLOTS OF $|E_\theta|^2$.
Ferrite $\varepsilon=3.77$ $\mu=2.2$, Antenna No. 217 (4.5" dia).
$\theta = 180^\circ$ is "backward".
TABLE VI-1: SPECIFICATIONS OF HELIX TEST ANTENNAS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>I. D. No. 213</th>
<th>I. D. No. 217</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Bifilar</td>
<td>Bifilar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>4.15&quot;</td>
<td>4.65&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>10&quot;</td>
<td>16&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductor</td>
<td>RG58U</td>
<td>RG58U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turns</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitch</td>
<td>6.6°</td>
<td>7°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.5&quot;&quot;)</td>
<td>(1.8&quot;&quot;)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

patterns below 610 MHz in either $|E_\theta|$ or $|E_\phi|$. The notation for the fields is shown in Fig. 6-2. With either a full core or a .25" layer of dielectric powder ($\varepsilon=10$), however, the antenna patterns have a single lobe near $\theta=180^\circ$ down to 460 MHz, a reduction of 150 MHz in the lowest operating frequency for backward radiation, or a reduction factor of 460/610=0.75. Actually, the full core dielectric loading produces pattern degradation above 500 MHz, especially in $|E_\phi|$, so that a .25" layer loading of dielectric is much superior to full core loading in bandwidth. Many other loadings, whose patterns are not shown in this report are summarized in the tables to follow. A thick layer, such as .75" produces some high frequency pattern degradation similar to the full core loading.

In Figs. 6-5 and 6-6, for $|E_\theta|$ and $|E_\phi|$ with ferrite loading, the antenna patterns of the full core loading are good down to 460 MHz, and are much more narrow-band than the unloaded helix, because the antenna patterns above 510 MHz are degraded. Above this frequency, the antenna radiates in the forward ($\theta=0^\circ$) direction. Also, similar to the case of dielectric loading, the .25" layer loading has a much wider bandwidth than the full core loading. Antenna patterns are improved over the unloaded case, although not as good as the dielectric case, down to 460-510 MHz, a reduction of 100 - 150 MHz.
The frequencies of best backward radiation correspond to \( k \approx \beta_{-1} \), which is sometimes called the 'resonant' frequency of the helix, corresponding to the large resonant term discussed in Section 3.2.

The patterns show that the bandwidths of this antenna are very broad, so that shifts of a resonant frequency are hard to measure accurately. With the much broader band conical-helix, the problem is much worse. Another problem with the far field antenna pattern of the helix is that, for extrapolation to conical-helix operation, an 'efficiency of radiation' in the backward direction (\( \theta=180^\circ \)) is desired to predict active zone characteristics in the conical helix. Table VI-2 shows the frequencies at which a maximum power was received at \( \theta=180^\circ \) by a helix relative to a half-wave dipole. Data from another helix, No. 213 (Table VI-1) is also shown. Table VI-3 shows reduction factors computed using the lowest frequencies for a good antenna pattern from Figs. 6-4 through 6-7, and also reduction factors computed using the frequency for maximum received power at \( \theta=180^\circ \) from Table VI-2. In both cases, the reduction factor was computed by dividing the critical frequency with loading by the critical frequency without loading, as was done in the dielectric loading reduction example above. The reduction factors are seen to be less in every case than the theoretical reduction formula for full core loading. The theoretical reduction is obtained from (2.57) by dividing the loaded \( (k_0 a) \) by the unloaded \( (k_0 a) \), which is slightly more accurate than the simplified formula \( \sqrt{(1+1/\mu)/(\epsilon+1)} \). Near field measurements and measurements on conical helices produce better checks with the theory. The more exact theoretical values obtained from Figs. 3-5 and 3-6 agree within several percent with the simplified reduction formula used above.

6.2.2: Near Field Measurements of the Loaded Helix

A more direct and sensitive measure of the loading effects of the materials can be seen from near field measurements. In fact, the near field phase measurements should give approximately the parameter \( \beta_{or} \).
### TABLE VI-2: FREQUENCIES OF PEAK RECEIVED POWER AT $\theta = 180^\circ$ FOR LOADED BIFILAR HELICES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antenna</th>
<th>Loading Thickness, t (inches)</th>
<th>Loading Material</th>
<th>Freq. for Max. Radiated Power, MHz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. 217</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 217</td>
<td>1/16</td>
<td>Ferrite</td>
<td>625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 217</td>
<td>1/8</td>
<td>Ferrite</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 217</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>Ferrite</td>
<td>560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 217</td>
<td>Full Core</td>
<td>Ferrite</td>
<td>460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 213</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 213</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>Ferrite</td>
<td>560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 213</td>
<td>1/2 (outside)</td>
<td>Ferrite</td>
<td>510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 213</td>
<td>Full Core</td>
<td>Ferrite</td>
<td>530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 217</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 217</td>
<td>1/8</td>
<td>Dielectric</td>
<td>610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 217</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>Dielectric</td>
<td>610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 217</td>
<td>Full Core</td>
<td>Dielectric</td>
<td>445</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTES**

1. Thickness relative to $\lambda$ is taken at the frequencies listed in the last column.

2. Layers are inside and within .04" of the conductor, unless specified otherwise.

3. Frequencies for best circular polarization were usually lower, e.g., for 1/8" dielectric, 550 MHz was the best frequency.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antenna</th>
<th>Loading Material</th>
<th>Inside Loading Thickness (t/λ)</th>
<th>Experimental Reduction Factor</th>
<th>Simplified Theoretical Reduction Factor from (2.57)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. 217</td>
<td>Ferrite</td>
<td>Full Core</td>
<td>.75 - .84</td>
<td>.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 213</td>
<td>Ferrite</td>
<td>.022 (outside)</td>
<td>.7</td>
<td>.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 213</td>
<td>Ferrite</td>
<td>.024</td>
<td>.78</td>
<td>.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 217</td>
<td>Ferrite</td>
<td>.012</td>
<td>.75</td>
<td>.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 217</td>
<td>Ferrite</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>.82 - .9</td>
<td>.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 217</td>
<td>Ferrite</td>
<td>.003</td>
<td>.85 - .9</td>
<td>.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 217</td>
<td>Dielectric</td>
<td>Full Core</td>
<td>.75</td>
<td>.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 217</td>
<td>Dielectric</td>
<td>.012</td>
<td>.75</td>
<td>.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 217</td>
<td>Dielectric</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>.9</td>
<td>.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE VI-3: REDUCTION FACTORS FOR LOADED HELICES
calculated by theory. The actual near field measurements in this section were largely performed by Pei-Rin Wu of the Radiation Laboratory (Wu, 1966; Lyon, 1966). Figures 6-8 and 6-9 show the near field amplitude of the helix antenna No. 217 when loaded and unloaded with a ferrite powder layer 3/8" thick (.02λ thick, helix dia. 4.7"). From the figures, the best (resonant) frequency of operation may be seen as the one that decays quickly along the helix away from the feed point without rising to other high maxima. Without loading, this best frequency is between 700 - 800 MHz; with loading it is between 550 - 700 MHz, a reduction factor of approximately 0.8. Note that the measurement is more sensitive to changes in frequency than either the far field patterns or the maximum-radiated-power measurement. The corresponding frequencies from Table VI-2 are 720 MHz, unloaded and 535 MHz, loaded; a reasonable, but not excellent check.

The near field phase measurement of the loaded case was so difficult and time consuming that only a few were made. Since signal power was very low in the resonant frequency region, two frequencies somewhat off center were chosen, 500 and 900 MHz. The measurements showed a reduction factor of .6 (Lyon, et al 1966) which is the closest yet to the .58 from crude full core loading theory. This is the most sensitive measurement for finding the phase velocity for checks with theoretical calculated phase velocity; however, the far field and near field amplitude patterns show that more than phase velocity information is needed to predict far field effects.

The variations in near-field amplitude of the helices off resonant frequency cannot be completely explained by reflections from the end of the helix, because of the amplitudes involved. Therefore, other waves must be present off resonance, probably a current wave travelling up the helix toward the feed. This effect is not seen (at least as strongly) in the unloaded conical helix, indicating that it is a consequence of the abrupt feeding discontinuity at the tip of the helix that does not occur with a well-tapered feed such as the forward tip of a conical helix, and may make predictions of the conical helix
FIG. 6-8: NEAR FIELD AMPLITUDE OF $|H_\phi|$ ON ANTENNA NO. 217. PROBE POSITION $\lambda/6$ ABOVE ANTENNA SURFACE.
FIG. 6-9: NEAR FIELD AMPLITUDE OF $|H_d|$ ON ANTENNA NO. 217 WITH FERRITE LAYER 3/8" THICK. PROBE POSITION $\lambda/5$ ABOVE ANTENNA SURFACE.
from cylindrical helix operation more difficult. The infinite helix theory also
does not account for a feed discontinuity, making comparisons with theory
more difficult. A possibly similar effect has recently been reported for the
Yagi antenna (Ingerson and Mayes, 1966).

6.3 Log-Pyramidal Helix Experiments

The two pyramidal helices used in these experiments, which have al-
most identical parameters, are described in Table VI-4. They are logari-
thmic in the sense that the distance from the vertex to the wire varies ex-
pONENTIALLY with the azimuthal angle about the axis $z$. This assures a wideband
frequency of operation; however, the conductors used were constant-size wire
and did not scale with the distance from the vertex. The effect of constant
wire size is small for tightly wrapped (small pitch angle) helices (Dyson,
1964) as is the effect of using four-sided pyramidal approximations to right
circular cones. Again, layer loadings were usually used. Some far field
plots were made, but since the active region moves with frequency, the an-
tennas are very broadband and only the lower cutoff frequency can show any
loading reduction.

6.3.1: Far Field Measurements of Log-Pyramidal Helices

Figures 6-10 and 6-11 show the far field patterns for two different
loadings on the two different pyramidal helices. In both, the loaded patterns
at the very low frequencies are seen to be better than their unloaded counter-
parts. Using a lowest frequency criteria, a 0.7 reduction factor may be ob-
tained, roughly similar to the loaded helices. The loading shown in Fig. 6-11
is a tapered loading, with the object being to load the low frequency (large)
end of the conical helix in order to reduce the large part of the cone while
keeping the relatively small tip of the cone unloaded. If the beamwidth, or
some other pattern factor is plotted versus frequency for Fig. 6-11, it can be
seen that the conical helix in air has relatively constant parameters down to
600 MHz; with loading, this first-pattern-disturbance criteria gives 300 - 350
MHz, for a considerably greater reduction (reduction factors, .5 - .6) than
was computed above or in the case of the loaded cylindrical helices.
### TABLE VI-4: SPECIFICATIONS OF LOG-PYRAMIDAL HELIX ANTENNAS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>I. D. Number</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>221</td>
<td>223</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base, Side</td>
<td>9.47&quot;</td>
<td>9.47&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apex, Side</td>
<td>1.56&quot;</td>
<td>.47&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>13.44&quot;</td>
<td>15.38&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apex, Cone Angle</td>
<td>45°</td>
<td>45°</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitch Angle</td>
<td>85°</td>
<td>85°</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turns</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>14.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer Conductor</td>
<td>RG58U</td>
<td>No.20 Enamel Coated Wire</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed</td>
<td>Infinite</td>
<td>Wideband</td>
<td>Hybrid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Balun</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal Freq. Range</td>
<td>500-900 MHz</td>
<td>500-3000 MHz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unloaded</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE**

Both antennas were pyramidal with a square cross section. Construction of No. 221 consisted of two-layer fiberglass epoxy layers forming the inside supporting pyramid upon which the coax was wound. Antenna No. 223 was made of 1" thick styrofoam layers forming the outside supporting pyramid, with the antenna conductor cemented inside with epoxy.
FIG. 6-10: TAPERED LOADING OF LOG-PYRAMIDAL HELIX NO. 223.
— Unloaded, —— Loaded, Plots of $|E_\phi|^2$. 
FIG. 6-11: TAPERED LOADING ON LOG-PYRAMIDAL HELIX NO. 221.
— Unloaded, —— Loaded, Plots of $|E_\theta|^2$.
A serious problem with loading first becomes evident in Fig. 6-10 at 500 - 600 MHz, where the fields are seen to be very erratic. Further insight into this problem will come from the near field measurements.

6.3.2 Near Field Measurements of Log-Pyramidal Helices

Near field amplitude and phase measurements are considerably more enlightening than far field plots for the conical helix antenna, because one can see the 'active' zone move with frequency and loading. Excellent examples of this also appear in Dyson (1964) and McClelland (1962) for the unloaded conical helix.

Figure 6-12, selected from a large amount of data (Wu, 1966), shows near field amplitude plots of Antenna 223 unloaded. Very similar plots resulted with Antenna No. 221. The active zones show up very well as increases in the near field amplitude, which move with changes in frequency; high frequencies have active zones near the tip, low frequencies (longer wavelength) have active zones near the large end of the cone.

By properly adjusting the probe height above the antenna (in this case to \( \lambda/12 \)), the effects of wires (higher order space harmonics) are eliminated because these effects cling very closely to the antenna's surface. At a \( \lambda/20 \) to \( \lambda/10 \) distance from the surface, only the first space harmonic \( (n=-1) \) which causes the radiation has large amplitudes, clearly showing the active zone where the \( n=-1 \) harmonic is resonant. If a much closer probe spacing against the wire is used, current along the wire may be plotted. This position was used for phase measurements.

Figure 6-13 (Wu, 1966) shows the effects of loading with the dielectric layer, \( \epsilon=10 \) (configuration shown in Fig. 6-10) upon the log-pyramidal helix. The near field at 500 MHz has a large hump at approximately the position of the hump at 900 MHz for the unloaded case. However, several humps occur rather than one.
FIG. 6-12: DIELECTRIC LOADING OF LOG-PYRAMIDAL ANTENNA NO. 223: UNLOADED MODEL.
FIG. 6-13: DIELECTRIC LOADING OF LOG PYRAMIDAL ANTENNA NO. 223:
LOADED MODEL. $\varepsilon=10$. Loading as in Fig. 6-10.
These humps may each correspond to a different active region. One might think that they are simply different space harmonics coming to resonance, wherein the first hump is the desired backward fire harmonic \( n = -1 \), and the others are \( n = -3, n = -5, \) etc., excited because some of the power was not radiated in the first active zone; however, the spacings are not \( 1 : 3 : 5 \) from the tip. Further, Wu has noted that the second hump appears to occur at the position of the unloaded active region. The theoretical tape solution as carried out so far gives no insight into this problem. Although few solutions have been carried out near the second hump, those that have do not indicate radiation, although the solutions do indicate the decreased radiation rate that allows power to get through the first region.

Results of current phase and amplitude measurements by the author on the log-pyramidal helix No. 223 fully loaded with a dielectric \( (\varepsilon = 10) \) are shown in Figs. 6-14 and 6-15. In Fig. 6-14, the experimental phase measurements show excellent agreement with a line whose slope corresponds to the phase shift of a wave which has a phase velocity along the wire \( v_p = 0.425c \), where \( c \) is the speed of light in a vacuum. The slope of a line corresponding to the phase shift of a wave going the speed of light along the wire is also shown. The factor 0.425 is the theoretical very narrow tape helix reduction factor from (3.72)

\[
\sqrt{\frac{(1+\varepsilon)}{1+\varepsilon}} = 0.425 = \frac{k_0}{\mathcal{A}_0} \sin \frac{\varphi}{v_p} / c
\]

where \( \mu = 1, \varepsilon = 10 \) in this experiment. Thus, the formula for phase velocity reduction along the wire due to loading has strong confirmation. An additional verification of the phase velocity with the ferrite powder material inside Antenna 223 is also shown, along with data for the unloaded antenna.

The data for amplitude of the current wave along the wire, Fig. 6-15, shows the expected drop off due to radiation in the active zone. Also, the active zone clearly moves toward the tip of the antenna, displaying reductions of the size of the antenna at the start of the active zone of 0.56 for the ferrite loaded antenna and 0.49 for the dielectric loaded antenna. Also, the amplitude of the current decreases faster in the case of ferrite loading than dielectric loading. Actually the size reductions, computed from the positions where the
FIG. 6-14: MEASURED PHASE OF LOG-PYRAMIDAL HELICAL ANTENNAS NO. 221 AND 223 WITH AND WITHOUT LOADING. \( v_P \) = phase velocity along wire, \( c = \) speed of light. Theoretical curves from (3.72), \( k_w / \beta_w = v_w / c \); for dielectric loading with \( \epsilon = 10, v_w / c \approx 0.425 \); for ferrite loading with \( \epsilon = 3.77, \mu = 2.2, v_w / c \approx 0.55 \); for unloaded antennas, \( v_p / c = 1 \); \( \beta_w = \beta_o \sin \psi = \) propagation parameter along the wire.
FIG. 6-15: MEASURED AMPLITUDE OF LOG-PYRAMIDAL HELICAL ANTENNAS NO. 221 AND 223 WITH AND WITHOUT LOADING. 700 MHz
current amplitude decreases to .1 of the peak value, are 0.56 for the ferrite case and 0.7 for the dielectric case, which indicates that the ferrite loading is better than dielectric loading for size reduction. If the increases in current amplitude near the base (Wire Nos. 17 and 19) are considered, the dielectric loaded case requires a larger antenna than the unloaded antenna, due to incomplete radiation in the first active zone. The rate of current attenuation for the unloaded case is approximately that predicted by Fig. 3-5. However, the rates of attenuation of the loaded cases are much larger than those predicted by Fig. 3-5 (dielectric case) and Fig. 3-6 (ferrite case), neglecting the peaks of current near the antenna base in the dielectric case. An envelope across the highest peaks in the dielectric case would show a much slower decay, as predicted theoretically in Fig. 3-5.

It should be noted that even with the loop probe touching the wire, there were indications, from the fall-off of signal amplitude near the tip of the cone, that the probe signal is not a good measure of current when the spacing between antenna wires approaches the size of the probe.

In conclusion, the data on the phase velocity of the antenna current confirms the theoretical prediction of a reduction in phase velocity due to material loading. Also, the amplitudes of the current, which indicate radiation rate, show a size reduction very close to that predicted from the simplified phase velocity reduction formula obtained from (3.72). The current attenuation from the more exact tape-helix theory does not quantitatively predict the actual current attenuation in the two loaded cases. More cases with different loading materials must be checked experimentally for current attenuation, and the formation of the secondary peaks needs to be explained before accurate experimental attenuation values of current can be stated.
VII
SUMMARY

7.1 Conclusions

1) A reduction in the diameter of helical and conical helical antennas may
be achieved by insertion of material of high \( \epsilon \) and/or \( \mu \) inside and close to
the conductors. Active zone lengths are not reduced by the same amount, and
may be increased by the use of dielectrics. Use of \( \mu \) as well as \( \epsilon \) (as
in ferrites) holds promise of some active region length reduction.

2) The performance of backfire bifilar helix may be approximately
analyzed using one current wave, the \( T_0 \) wave, with a complex propagation
constant. The higher space harmonics are very important in determining the
propagation constant. The numerical solution to the dispersion equation can
also give the residue of the corresponding leaky wave pole in a simple gap-
source problem. Extension of the solution to the tapered conical helix is
relatively simple by established methods of gradually varying structures. An
asymptotic, narrow-tape-helix diameter-reduction factor upon the addition
of inside loading material is given by

\[
\sqrt{\frac{1 + \frac{1}{\mu}}{1 + \epsilon}}
\]

3) A sheath helix solution of the loaded helix accurately predicts the
solution for the real part of the propagation parameter, \( \beta_0' \), of the loaded tape
helix with a very narrow tape width (radiation) region by straight-line extra-
polation on the \( k - \beta \) diagram from the slow wave solution to the fast wave.
The close relation between the slow wave solutions and higher order harmonic
solutions, not the dominance of one space harmonic on the tape helix, is the
reason for the accuracy of the sheath solution. Layered loading solutions may
be produced for the sheath helix, but the effect of layers on the higher
harmonics of the tape helix will have to be considered, possibly also
using sheath solutions of the higher order harmonics. Since each higher space harmonic \((n > 1)\) is more closely bound to the helix surface than the \(n = -1\) harmonic, the layered sheath solution given should provide a lower bound for the effect of layer thickness.

4) Experimental studies of the near and far fields of both loaded helices and log-conical helices partially confirms the diameter reduction factor for the two materials used. A considerable range of material parameters and flexibility in measuring loaded and unloaded structures may be obtained using powdered materials rather than solid ones, with the retaining techniques developed. However, further experimental confirmation was restricted to the availability of materials having low loss for increased ranges of \(\mu\) and \(\epsilon\) values. Powdering of the materials precludes very high \(\mu\) or \(\epsilon\).

5) Near field measurements of conical helices have proved very useful in interpreting the results of loading. For the rather large pyramidal cone angle used, loading produces several 'active zones' on the antenna and some interferences in the current distribution. The first active zone is moved toward the tip as theory predicts. The second active zone appears to occur at the unloaded active region position. This, and the other active regions are not yet understood. However, they can destroy the far field pattern, and should be eliminated by proper design of the first active zone to allow full radiation.

7.2 Original Work Done

To the best of the author's knowledge, the following parts of the above work are new and original:

1) The analysis of the fast wave solution of the tape helix fully loaded inside and operating in the backfire mode, including the asymptotic studies of the relative importance of the \(n=-1\) space harmonic and the approximate sum of the rest of the harmonics; some interpretation in terms of a corresponding gap-source problem,
2) The asymptotic study of the full-core-loaded sheath helix, in the $n = -1$ mode, with application to helix antennas; the study of an inside layer-loaded sheath helix in the $n = -1$ mode,

3) Experimental work on the loading of helix and log-conical helix antennas, including both near and far field measurements; techniques of loading with material powders, using fiberglass and styrofoam retainers; interpretation of loaded helix measurements relative to their value to predicting loaded conical helix performance; verification of formulas for phase velocity reduction and size reduction.

7.3 Further Work

The progress attained in this research has shown some additional areas that might be profitably studied.

1) Mathematical investigation of all other possible single current wave modes of the loaded helix and the related source problems.

2) Possible application of coupled mode theory to the problem.

3) Effect of loading only the higher space harmonics, preparatory to discrete and layer loading analysis.

4) Experimental study of the effect of antenna parameters, particularly cone (taper) angle on loaded active zones.

5) Experimental study of the effect of other values of material parameters, particularly the theoretical prediction of the effect of high $\mu$ on $\beta_l$.

6) Experimental study of the higher active regions; possible use for higher gain in tapered structures.
APPENDIX A

SOLUTION OF TAPE HELIX AMPLITUDE CONSTANTS

The solution to (3.23) - (3.26) is a very straightforward solution to four simultaneous equations. In order to facilitate checking, as well as extensions to this work, some steps will be given.

The equations (3.23) and (3.26) are immediately used to eliminate \( a \) and \( b \) from (3.24) and (3.26). From (3.23)

\[
\frac{n\beta}{a} \left[ \frac{AK}{r^2} - \frac{aI}{\gamma^2} \right] + j\omega \left[ B \frac{\mu_o K^I}{r} - \frac{b\mu_1 I^I}{\gamma} \right] = 0 . \tag{A.1}
\]

Substituting for \( a \) and \( b \)

\[
A \frac{n\beta K}{\gamma^2} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \right] + j\omega B \left[ \frac{\mu_o K^I}{r} - \frac{\mu \mu_o I^I}{\gamma} \right] + \frac{j\omega \mu_o I^I}{\gamma} - \frac{j\phi}{r} = 0 . \tag{A.2}
\]

Substituting,

\[
C_{\gamma} = \left[ 1 - \frac{r^2}{\gamma^2} \right] ; \quad C_{\mu} = \left[ \frac{r^2}{\gamma} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right] \frac{K^I}{I^I}
\]

\[
C_{\beta} = \frac{n\beta}{a} ; \quad C_{\gamma} = \frac{r}{\gamma}
\]

gives

\[
\frac{j\omega A C_{\beta} C_{\gamma} K^I}{\omega^2 \mu_1 r^2} + \frac{B}{\frac{\mu}{r}} C_{\mu} = -\frac{-j\phi C_{\gamma}}{I^I} . \tag{A.3}
\]

which is one of the two equations for \( A \) and \( B \). For the other equation in \( A \) and \( B \), from (3.25)
\[ j\omega \left[ \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\gamma} I'a - \frac{\varepsilon_0 AK'}{\gamma} \right] + C\beta \left[ \frac{BK}{\gamma^2} - \frac{bI}{\gamma} \right] = -jz \] (A.4)

Substituting for \( a \) and \( b \) yields,

\[ \frac{j\omega A}{\gamma} \left[ \frac{L}{\gamma} \frac{K}{\beta} - \frac{K'}{\beta'} \right] + C\beta \frac{BK}{\varepsilon_0 I'} \left[ \frac{1}{\gamma^2} - \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \right] = \frac{j\phi}{\varepsilon_0 I'} + \frac{C\beta}{\varepsilon_0 I'} = -jz \] (A.5)

Substituting

\[ C\varepsilon = \left[ \frac{\varepsilon}{\gamma} \frac{K}{\beta} - \frac{K'}{\beta'} \right] \]

as well as the other constants defined above, the other equation for \( A \) and \( B \) becomes

\[ \frac{j\omega A C\varepsilon}{\gamma} + \frac{BC\beta C\gamma}{\varepsilon_0 I'} = -jz + \frac{j\phi}{\varepsilon_0 I'} = \frac{C\beta C\gamma}{\varepsilon_0 I'} \] (A.6)

Solving (A.3) and (A.6) for \( B \), then multiply (A.6) by

\[ \frac{C\beta C\gamma K}{\omega^2 \mu_0 I'} = C_1 \]

to get

\[ j\omega \left( \frac{C\beta C\gamma K}{\omega^2 \mu_0 I'} \right) + B \frac{C^2 \gamma^2 K^2}{\omega^2 \mu_0 \varepsilon_0 I'^3} = \frac{-jz C_1}{\varepsilon_0 I'} + \frac{j\phi C\beta C^2 \gamma}{\varepsilon_0 I'} \] (A.7)
Subtract (A.7) from (A.3) to get

\[ BC \mu \left[ 1 - \frac{C^2 \beta C^2 (K/I)^2}{\omega^2 \epsilon \mu_0 \epsilon C \mu^2} \right] = -\frac{j}{I} \left\{ \frac{C^2 C^2}{\gamma} \frac{C \gamma (K I)}{k^2 \mu C \epsilon^2 I^2} + \frac{j z C^2 C \gamma (K I)}{\epsilon \omega^2 \epsilon \mu_0 C} \right\} \]  

(A.8)

Substituting

\[ C_{\beta \gamma} = \frac{C^2 C^2}{k^2 \mu C \epsilon^2} \]  

\[ C_2 = \frac{C^2 C^2}{k^2 \mu C \epsilon^2} \frac{K I}{I^2} = C_{\beta \gamma} C_3 \]

\[ C_3 = \frac{C_{\beta \gamma} (K I)}{k^2 \mu C \epsilon^2} \]

\[ k^2 = \omega \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \]

There results the solution for B

\[ B = \frac{1}{IC_{\gamma}} \frac{-j \left( C_{\gamma} + C_2 \right) + j_3 C_3}{(1 - C_{\mu \epsilon})} \]  

(A.9)

Similarly, solving for A, multiply (A.3) by

\[ \frac{C_{\beta \gamma} K}{\epsilon_2} \]

to get

\[ \frac{j \omega A}{I} \left[ \frac{C^2 C^2 K^2}{\omega^2 \epsilon \mu_0 \epsilon \mu \epsilon C \mu^2 I^2} \right] + \frac{B}{I} \left[ \frac{C_{\mu \beta} C \gamma K}{\epsilon_0 I^2 \epsilon_0} \right] = \frac{-j \phi C_{\gamma} C_{\beta \gamma}}{\epsilon_0 I^2 \epsilon_0} \]  

(A.10)
Multiply (A. 6) by \( C_\mu \) and subtract (A. 10) from it to get

\[
\frac{j\omega A}{\Gamma} \left[ C_{\epsilon \mu} - \frac{C_\beta C^2}{\epsilon_o \mu_o \mu_\gamma} (K/I)^2 \right] = -j \frac{C_\mu}{\epsilon_\gamma \epsilon_\mu} + j \phi \left[ \frac{C_\beta C^2}{\epsilon_\mu \epsilon_\gamma} \frac{C_\mu}{\epsilon_\mu \epsilon_\gamma} + \frac{C_\beta C_\gamma}{\epsilon_\mu \epsilon_\gamma} \frac{K/I}{\Gamma} \right] \quad (A. 11)
\]

Substitute \( C_{\epsilon \mu} \) as defined, after division by \( C_{\mu \epsilon} \) to give

\[
\frac{j\omega A}{\Gamma} (1-C_{\mu \epsilon}) = \frac{-j_z}{\epsilon_\epsilon C \epsilon_\epsilon} + \frac{j \phi}{\epsilon_2 \epsilon_\epsilon C \epsilon_\gamma} \frac{C_\beta C_\gamma}{\epsilon_\mu \epsilon_\gamma} \left[ 1 + \frac{C_{\gamma} K/I}{\epsilon_\mu C_{\epsilon \mu}} \right] \quad (A. 12)
\]

which then gives

\[
A = -j \frac{\Gamma}{k \epsilon_\gamma C \epsilon_\epsilon} \sqrt{\frac{\mu_o}{\epsilon_0}} \left[ \frac{-j_z + j \phi C_4}{1 - C_{\mu \epsilon}} \right]. \quad (A. 13)
\]

Thus, \( A \) and \( B \) are obtained.
APPENDIX B

NUMERICAL CALCULATION OF MODIFIED BESSEL FUNCTIONS
OF COMPLEX ARGUMENT AND INTEGER ORDER

The numerical calculation of modified Bessel functions of complex argument but integral order has apparently not been completely explored. The few programs that have been made up are not generally available, and lack firm estimates on accuracy. They also appear to require double precision arithmetic while still being accurate for only a limited range of argument and order. In addition, complex numbers are not as easily handled in most computer languages as real numbers, thereby forcing step by step statements of some arithmetic operations.

Naturally, $I_n(z)$ and $K_n(z)$ could be expressed as functions of the Bessel function $J_n(z)$ and the Hankel functions $H_n^{(1)}(z)$ and $H_n^{(2)}(z)$, but suitable programs are still not readily available.

Briefly, the basic series for the modified Bessel function of complex argument is the ascending series, such as given in Abramowitz and Stegun (1964).

$$I_n(z) = \left(\frac{1}{2}z\right)^n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{4}z^2\right)^k}{k! (n+k)!}$$ (B. 1)

$$K_n(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2}z\right)^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n-k-1)!}{k!} \left(-\frac{1}{4}z^2\right)^k + (-1)^{n+1} I_n \left(\frac{1}{2}z\right) I_n \left(\frac{1}{2}z\right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2}z\right)^n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\{\psi(k+1) + \psi(n+k+1)\right\} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{4}z^2\right)^k}{k! (n+k)!}$$ (B. 2)
where
\[
\psi(n) = -0.57221 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k^{-1}
\]

\[
\psi(1) = -0.57721. \ldots.
\]

This is a fairly good series to use for small arguments \( z < 2 - 7 \), \( n \) small, and with single precision arithmetic (8 digits). For larger arguments and orders, convergence gets much slower, and the series for \( K_n(z) \) yields very poor accuracy, which can only be helped slightly \( (z < 10 - 20) \) by double precision arithmetic. The basic reason for this is that the series for \( K_n(z) \) subtracts almost equal quantities, since

\[
K_n \sim e^{-z}, \quad I_n \sim e^z \quad (z \text{ large})
\]

and \( I_n(z) \) is in the definition of \( K_n(z) \). The same problem exists for \( H_n^{(2)}(z) \).

Harris and Pachares (1965) have worked out a double precision program with the subtraction problem reportedly eliminated by rearrangement of terms for \( H_n^{(1)}(z) \) and \( H_n^{(2)}(z) \). Even the ascending series for \( I_n(z), \theta \sim 90^\circ \) (i.e. \( J_n(z) \)) was found poor near the zeros for \( z > 3 \).

For large arguments, it is necessary to use the asymptotic series for large argument and order to supplement the ascending series. Unfortunately, the overlap of regions when using only single precision is rather small and quite dependent on the angle of the argument, so that much labor is necessary in checking accuracy in all regions to assure six significant figures of accuracy. This accuracy was felt essential because calculation of \( L(\beta) \) in some regions causes subtraction of nearly equal quantities, as does the stepwise approximation for the derivative \( dL(\beta)/d\beta \). Additional problems of accuracy checking exist for orders \( n > 1 \), because no tables are available.

The tables used to check \( n = 0 \) and \( n = 1 \) for \( z < 10 \) were by the National Bureau of Standards (1947, 1950) on \( J_0(z), J_1(z), Y_0(z) \) and \( Y_1(z) \), where \( N_n(z) \) is commonly used for \( Y_n(z) \). Even though these tables had great accuracy, as
many as 6 - 10 significant figures were often lost in the formulas relating $J_n(z)$ and $Y_n(z)$ to $K_n(z)$ (or $H_n^{(2)}(z)$). Thus, even double-precision programs for $J_n(z)$ and $Y_n(z)$ are inadequate for calculating $K_n(z)$ or $H_n^{(2)}(z)$ for $z > 10 - 15$, for some angles of the argument.

Several asymptotic series for large $z$ were used in the regions $z > 2 - 7$ (depending on the angle) for calculation in this report for $n < 1$. The speed of computation is much better than for the ascending series using double precision, even where that is possible. Considerable care must be used in selecting the proper series. Although the asymptotic series are mathematically valid (as $z \to \infty$), they are not necessarily numerically accurate for moderate $z$. For instance, the series

$$I_n(z) = \frac{e^z}{\sqrt{2\pi z}} \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k (\mu-k)}{\mu^k (k-1)! (8z)^k} \right)$$

where $\text{arg} \ z < \pi/2$, is given by Abramowitz and Stegun (1964); this series ignores terms of the form $e^{-z}$ which may be found from the series for $J_n(z)$ found in the same reference. Thus, the series for $I_n(z)$ is very poor for ($\text{arg} \ z \sim \pi/2$). This has been checked numerically by the author. The series for $J_n(z)$ is more complete and should be used rather than the one for $I_n(z)$, although this was not checked by the author. Some difficulty in the asymptotic series for $J_n(z)$ was encountered for $\theta > 45^\circ$, where sines, cosines and exponentials of complex argument occur. The series $K_n(z)$ has to be written from the series for $J_n(z)$ and $Y_n(z)$, to assure accuracy.

There are polynomials that have been written to approximate the Bessel functions of a real variable $x$, for $n \leq 1$, in various regions of $x$. These have been worked out essentially from the ascending series for small $z$, and from the asymptotic series for large $z$. They are given in Abramowitz and Stegun (1964) for the Bessel functions of real variable $I_n(x)$, $K_n(x)$, $J_n(x)$, $Y_n(x)$ for $n \leq 1$. They are particularly fast for computer use, since only six terms are
used, and these may be computed iteratively. They were investigated for use with complex variables by the author and found accurate in the general region $\theta < 45^\circ$ from the axis, although the accuracy and regions of use stated for $x$ had to be revised. Some trouble assuring six significant figures for the small $z$ polynomial forced use of the single precision ascending series for small $z$, whereas for large $z$, the polynomial approximations to the asymptotic series were used.

To summarize; the following formulas were used to compute $I_n(z), K_n(z)$, $n \leq 1$, based on point by point numerical checks of single precision accuracy, where $z = x + iy = r e^{j\theta}$.

1. For $I_n(z)$, $n \leq 1$

   1.1 When $|z| < 2.9$ or ($|z| < 6$ and $|y| < 2|x|$) use the ascending series for $I_n(z)$.

   1.2 Otherwise, use polynomials for large $x$ from Abramowitz and Stegun (1964) for first quadrant variables with $z$ replacing the real variable $x$ given.

      1.2.1 If $|y| > |x|$ use polynomial for $J_n(x)$, and then transform to $I_n(x)$.

      1.2.2 If $|y| < |x|$, use polynomial for $I_n(x)$.

2. For $K_n(z)$, $n \leq 1$

   2.1 When $|z| < 2.9$ or ($|z| < 3.9$ and $|y| > 2|x|$), use ascending series for $K_n(z)$.

   2.2 Otherwise, use polynomials for large $x$ for first quadrant variables, replacing $x$ by $z$.

      2.2.1 If $|y| > |x|$, use polynomial $K_S$ from $J_n(z)$ and $Y_n(z)$ (derived below).

      2.2.2 If $|y| < |x|$, use polynomial for $K_n(z)$.
A simpler method, said to give 4 - 6 figure accuracy for $H^{(2)}(z)$, but not checked by the author, uses the ascending series, $z < 5$, and the general asymptotic series for $J_n(z)$ and $Y_n(z)$, $z > 5$. The asymptotic series is carried out until the terms begin to increase. Dr. E. K. Miller, of the High Altitude Laboratory, The University of Michigan, has written a successful program using this method.

**Polynomial KS**

For deriving $K_n(z)$ for $z$ large and almost purely imaginary, the asymptotic expansions and polynomials are invalid; the polynomials for $J_n(z)$ and $Y_n(z)$ must be used. These may be combined in the first quadrant to give,

$$K_n(z) = (-j)^{n+1} \frac{\pi}{2} H^{(2)}(-jz)$$

$$H_n^{(2)}(-jz) = J_n(-jz) - j Y_n(-jz)$$

But

$$J_n(x) \approx \frac{f_n(x)}{\sqrt{x}} \sin \theta_n(x)$$

$$Y_n(x) \approx \frac{f_n(x)}{\sqrt{x}} \cos \theta_n(x)$$

where $f_n(x)$ and $\theta_n(x)$ are polynomials given in Abramowitz and Stegun (1964). Generalizing the real variable $x$ to the complex variable $z$ in the limited range of the first quadrant $z$, $\theta < 45^0$, by analytic continuation

$$K_n(z) = -(-j)^n \frac{f}{\sqrt{-jz}} \frac{\theta}{\sqrt{-jz}} e^{-jz}$$

This polynomial is accurate to approximately six significant figures or better in the given sector $|\theta| < 45^0$ for $|z| > 4$, as checked numerically at quite a few critical points by the author.
Using the conjugate relations

\[
K_n(z^*) = K_n^*(z) ; \quad I_n(z^*) = I_n^*(z)
\]

and the 180° rotation relations

\[
I_n(ze^{\pi i}) = (-1)^n I_n(z) \\
K_n(ze^{\pi i}) = (-1)^n K_n(z) - \pi i I_n(z)
\]

the answers in the first quadrant may be transformed into any other quadrant.

Derivatives of the Bessel functions for \( n = 1 \) were calculated using recurrence relations in terms of the \( n = 0 \) and \( n = 1 \) order functions. The Bessel functions for larger orders may be obtained from the asymptotic series for large \( n \), which have been programmed and found accurate for \( n > 5 \), over the sector \( \theta < 45^\circ \). Another method often used for Bessel functions of real argument is the use of recurrence relations. Because of numerical stability problems, \( I_n(x) \) may be calculated by recurrence for decreasing values of \( n \), while \( K_n(x) \) must be calculated for increasing values of \( n \). A method for calculating \( J_n(x) \) or \( I_n(x) \) for increasing values of \( n \) is by using a recurrence method with the partial fraction expansion for \( J_n(x) \). Whether recurrence methods work accurately numerically in the complex plane has not been found by the author, although some discussion appears in Harris and Pachares (1965). A possible problem is seen from the transformation of \( K_n(z) \) through 180°. For large \( z \), \( K_n(-z) \) then looks predominately like \( I_n(z) \). Since recurrence must be done differently for \( I_n(x) \) and \( K_n(x) \), the question becomes what to do with 'in between' values of \( z \). The large \( n \) asymptotic form for \( I_n(z) \) and \( K_n(z) \) supplemented by recurrence near the imaginary axis would probably give moderate accuracy.
Other programs for some of the Bessel functions, often not $H_n^{(2)}(z)$ or $K_n^{(2)}(z)$, are mentioned in Flesher (1961) and in the SHARE listings of IBM programs. New York University, particularly, has written several programs in SHARE; however, little information is available on the accuracy or the method of computation, and considerable knowledge of the computer is necessary to adapt these programs from SHARE to a particular computer system. Probably most of these programs use double-precision ascending series techniques valid for moderate arguments.

In this report, the asymptotic summation was used to eliminate the higher order Bessel function problem, as well as to make the analysis clearer.
APPENDIX C

APPROXIMATE SUMMATION OF A SERIES

The summation (3.69) can be approximated by means of a trick similar to Marcuvitz (1964, p.144). The series

\[ s' = \frac{2}{\Delta^2} \sum_{n \text{ odd}}^{\infty} \frac{\sin^2 n\Delta}{n^3} \]  
\[ n=1,3,5,\ldots \]  

(C. 1)

does not appear to be available in the literature. However, by differentiating with respect to \( \Delta \),

\[ \frac{ds'}{d\Delta} = \frac{2}{\Delta^2} \sum_{n=1,3,5,\ldots}^{\infty} \frac{2 \sin n\Delta \cos n\Delta}{n^2} = \frac{2}{\Delta^2} \sum_{n=1,3,5,\ldots}^{\infty} \frac{\sin 2n \Delta}{n^2} \]  

(C. 2)

it can be put in a recognized form from Collin (1960, p. 580)

\[ \text{Imag.} \sum_{n=1,3,5,\ldots}^{\infty} \frac{e^{inx}}{n} = \sum_{n=1,3,5,\ldots}^{\infty} \frac{\sin nx}{n} = -\frac{x}{2} \ln \frac{x}{2} + \frac{x}{2} + \frac{x^3}{72} + \ldots \]  

(C. 3)

Replacing \( x/2 \) by \( \Delta \),

\[ \frac{ds'}{d\Delta} = \frac{2}{\Delta^2} \sum_{n=1,3,5,\ldots}^{\infty} \frac{\sin 2n \Delta}{n^2} = \frac{2}{\Delta^2} \left[-\Delta \ln \Delta + \Delta + \frac{\Delta^3}{9} + \ldots \right] \]  

(C. 4)

Integrating with respect to \( \Delta \) to get \( s' \),

\[ s' = \frac{2}{\Delta^2} \int (-\Delta \ln \Delta + \Delta + \frac{\Delta^3}{9} + \ldots) \frac{d\Delta}{\Delta^2} = \frac{2}{\Delta^2} \left[ -\frac{\Delta^2}{2} \ln \Delta + \frac{3 \Delta^2}{4} + \frac{\Delta^4}{36} + \ldots \right] \]  

(C. 5)

\[ s' = \ln \frac{1}{\Delta} + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{\Delta^2}{18} + \ldots \]
which is the answer desired.

Actually, the resonant term $n=(rn)$ should be subtracted from the sum in (C.1) since it is calculated separately. Its contribution is approximately 1 for $n$ small, thus

$$s = \frac{2}{\Delta^2} \sum_{n=1,3,5}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \frac{n \Delta}{n^3}}{n^3} \approx \ln \frac{1}{\Delta} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\Delta^2}{18} + \ldots$$

$$\approx \ln \frac{1}{\Delta} \quad (\Delta \text{small}) \quad .$$

(C.6)

It is, however, interesting to note some of the other forms that might be encountered with different methods of solution for the differential equation. It can be seen that they are all very close together, for small $\Delta$.

For the monofilar tape helix solution according to Sensiper's method of setting $E_{y}=0$ on the tape center line, the series used is (Sensiper, 1951)

$$s = \frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \frac{n \Delta}{n^2}}{n^2} = \ln \left( \frac{\Delta}{\Delta} \right) = 1 + \ln \frac{1}{\Delta} \quad .$$

(C.7)

For the assumption of a non-uniform current across the tape, with peaks at the edges of an inverse square form (Sensiper, 1951)

$$s \approx \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} J_0 \left( \frac{m x}{m} \right) \sim \ln \frac{2}{\Delta} \sim \ln \frac{1}{\Delta} \quad .$$

(C.8)

Thus, the specific form of current or boundary condition applied to a narrow tape helix does not significantly affect the dispersion equation for small $\Delta$. In any case, further accuracy should begin by using the true wire shape in a solution of some kind, probably with the developed helix model for the higher order modes.
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The determinental equation for a bifilar tape helix loaded inside with a full core of isotropic material is numerically solved for the complex propagation parameter of the primary current wave as a function of frequency. The results of the tape helix calculation are compared to a simplified slow-wave, inside-loaded sheath helix solution. Both methods show that such an antenna with a small pitch angle $\psi$ has a diameter reduction factor for backward fire radiation of approximately $\sqrt{(1/\mu + 1)/(\epsilon + 1)}$ where $\mu$ and $\epsilon$ are the relative permeability and permittivity constants, respectively. Thus, the loading does achieve diameter reduction by slowing the phase velocity of the primary current wave. The log-conical helix is considered as a gradually tapered helix. The effect of dielectric loading is found theoretically to greatly reduce the radiation attenuation rate, making long active zones and small cone angles necessary for complete radiation of the power from the source. The addition of ferrite to the loading causes less reduction in the radiation attenuation, which allows some reduction in antenna length.

Experimental verification with antenna patterns, radiation efficiency, and near field amplitude and phase measurements verify the theoretical diameter and phase velocity reduction calculations; the calculated radiation attenuation rate of a loaded helix is not quantitatively verified experimentally.
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