

Post-transplant diabetes mellitus in pediatric liver transplantation

Hathout E, Alonso E, Anand R, Martz K, Imseis E, Johnston J, Lopez J, Chinnock R, McDiarmid S. Post-transplant diabetes mellitus in pediatric liver transplantation. *Pediatr Transplantation* 2009; 13: 599–605. © 2007 John Wiley & Sons A/S.

Abstract: To determine the characteristics of pediatric liver transplant recipients who develop GI and/or PTDM, data on children undergoing their first liver transplant from the SPLIT database were analyzed (n = 1611). Recipient and donor characteristics that were evaluated included age at transplant, gender, race, primary disease, hospitalization status at transplant, BMI, recipient and donor CMV status, donor type, donor age, and primary immunosuppression. GI/PTDM was found in 214 individuals (13%) of whom 166 (78%) were diagnosed within 30 days of transplantation (early GI/PTDM). Multivariate analyses suggests that age > 5 yr at transplant, hospitalization at transplant, a primary diagnosis other than BA, early steroid use, and tacrolimus use are associated with increased incidence of early GI. Routine monitoring for the development of GI and post-transplant diabetes is indicated in the short- and long-term care of children after liver transplantation.

Eba Hathout¹, Estella Alonso², Ravinder Anand³, Karen Martz³, Essam Imseis⁴, Joyce Johnston¹, James Lopez⁵, Richard Chinnock¹, and Sue McDiarmid⁶ on behalf of the SPLIT study group*

¹Division of Pediatric Endocrinology and Diabetes, Loma Linda University, Loma Linda, CA, ²Division of Pediatric Gastroenterology, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL, ³EMMES, Rockville, MD, ⁴Division of Pediatric Gastroenterology, Ochsner Clinic Foundation, New Orleans, LA, ⁵Division of Pediatric Gastroenterology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, ⁶Division of Pediatric Gastroenterology, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA, USA

*See the Appendix for the SPLIT centers.

Key words: post-transplant diabetes – pediatrics – liver transplantation – islets – immunosuppression

Eba Hathout, Chief, Division of Pediatric Endocrinology and Diabetes, Pediatric Diabetes Center & Islet Transplant Laboratory, Department of Pediatrics, Loma Linda University School of Medicine, 11175 Campus Street, CP A1120R, Loma Linda, CA 92354, USA
Tel.: 909 558 4130
Fax: 909 558 0408
E-mail: ehathout@llu.edu

Accepted for publication 28 November 2007

The universal rise in incidence of pediatric diabetes (ranging from 5 to 40 cases per 100 000 per year) has been paralleled by increasing recognition of post-transplant hyperglycemia as a unique form of iatrogenic diabetes. PTDM is an increasingly recognized complication of solid organ transplantation (1–3). Overall reported frequencies of PTDM in adults range from 4% to 40% (4), depending on the transplanted organ, definition of diabetes, and immunosuppressive

regimen (5). Risk factors for PTDM include tacrolimus use (6), age at transplant, obesity, family history of diabetes, pre- and post-transplant GI, ethnicity, and occasionally HLA sub-types (7). The long-term implications of pediatric PTDM, in terms of acceleration of known diabetic complications or development of new ones following decades of immune suppression remain to be discovered (8). Despite observed associations of PTDM with use of glucocorticoids (prednisone), tacrolimus (FK506), and less commonly, with cyclosporine (9), the relation of PTDM to various immunosuppressive medications has not been fully elucidated in the pediatric liver transplant population. The objectives of this study were to determine the frequency and characteristics of GI and PTDM in a large cohort of pediatric liver-transplant recipients.

Abbreviations: ADA, American Diabetes Association; BA, biliary atresia; BMI, body mass index; CDC, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; CMV, cytomegalovirus; GI, glucose intolerance; HLA, human leukocyte antigen; ICU, intensive care unit; PTDM, post-transplant diabetes mellitus; SPLIT, Studies of Pediatric Liver Transplantation.

Materials and methods

The SPLIT database (10) is a prospective self-initiated center-reporting registry which was started in 1995 and now includes data from 3161 patients at 44 centers in the USA and Canada. The number of patients per center ranges from two to 283. This outcome analysis was based on data reported up to June of 2004 from 1611 patients who received their first liver only transplant while registered in one of the 39 participating SPLIT centers at the time of this analysis. Post-transplant follow-up forms are completed at 30 days, 6, 12, and 18 months and then annually following transplantation. Follow-up forms query use of insulin, other antihyperglycemic drugs or other evidence of diabetes or GI at any time point since the last follow-up. Plasma fasting glucose levels were not requested as part of the data collection and non-fasting blood glucose levels were inconsistently reported. Data regarding pre-existing diabetes prior to transplant were not collected. Therefore, for this analysis, patients were categorized as having GI or PTDM if they received insulin, antihyperglycemic drugs or were termed by their primary center as having diabetes or GI at any time point during post-transplant follow-up. Patients reported to have GI and or PTDM in the first 30 days post-transplant were considered to have developed early GI (PTDM). Data on diabetes-specific start or end dates were not collected to enable accurate estimation of diabetes duration. The study visit date at which GI/PTDM was first reported was used as the start date and end date was the last consecutive visit date at which diabetes was reported. The diabetes duration was set to 0 days when diabetes was not reported for more than one visit.

Patient and donor factors that were evaluated included age at transplant, gender, race, primary disease, hospitalization status at transplant, BMI at transplant, patient and donor CMV status, donor type, donor age, primary immunosuppression, steroid use, use of monoclonal and polyclonal antibodies, and year of transplant.

Fisher's Exact and chi-squared tests were used in the univariate analyses. Multivariate logistic regression analyses with backward elimination method was used to develop a risk factors model for early GI/PTDM.

Results

Table 1 provides a summary of patient characteristics at the time of transplant. One-third of the patients were < 1 yr of age at the time of transplant and 34.7% were > 5 yr of age at transplant. The median age at transplant is 1.93 yr. Forty-two percent of the patients were diagnosed with BA and fulminant liver failure patients accounted for 14.2% of the cohort. More than half of the patients (56.7%) were not hospitalized at time of transplant. The standardized height was below age and gender adjusted mean for 80% of the patients and > 2 s.d. below mean for more than a quarter of the patients. Unlike adults, BMI is age and gender specific for children. Normative data on BMI is available from CDC for children and young adults between the ages of 2 and 20 yr. CDC defines children with age and gender adjusted BMI in top 5% as being overweight and between 85th

Table 1. Patient characteristics*

	Total	
	n = 1611	%
Total		
Age at transplant (years)		
0-1	540	33.5
1-5	510	31.7
5-13	334	20.7
13+	225	14.0
Sex		
Male	741	46.0
Female	869	53.9
Race		
White	969	60.1
Black	252	15.6
Hispanic	237	14.7
Other	150	9.3
Primary disease		
Biliary atresia	672	41.7
Other cholestatic	220	13.7
Fulminant	229	14.2
Metabolic	208	12.9
Cirrhosis	122	7.6
Other	158	9.8
Patient hospitalization at transplant		
ICU	432	26.8
Hospital/no ICU	258	16.0
No hospital	914	56.7
Steroid use at transplant		
No	152	9.4
Yes	1459	90.6
Induction		
No	1392	86.4
Yes	219	13.6
Immunosuppression use		
CsA	433	26.9
TAC	931	57.8
Other/unknown	247	15.3
BMI Z score†		
Above mean	453	28.1
Within 1 s.d. below mean	163	10.1
1-2 s.d. below mean	58	3.6
>2 s.d. below mean	26	1.6
Obesity status at Tx (using s.d. BMI for patients ≥ 2 yr of age)		
<5th percentile	43	6.1
6-84th percentile	437	62.4
85-94th percentile	132	18.9
≥ 95th percentile	88	12.6
Height Z score		
Above mean	323	20.0
Within 1 s.d. below mean	364	22.6
1-2 s.d. below mean	402	25.0
>2 s.d. below mean	412	25.6
Weight Z score		
Above mean	478	29.7
Within 1 s.d. below mean	354	22.0
>2 s.d. below mean	332	20.6
>2 s.d. below mean	417	25.9
Recipient CMV status		
Negative/unknown	1034	64.2
Positive	577	35.8
Donor CMV status		
Negative/unknown	852	52.9
Positive	759	47.1

Table 1. (Continued)

	Total	
Total	n = 1611	%
Donor age (years)		
0-1	137	8.5
1-18	813	50.5
18-50	567	35.2
50+	57	3.5
Donor organ type		
Live	267	16.6
CAD whole	813	50.5
CAD reduced	296	18.4
CAD split	174	10.8
CAD organ not specified	55	3.4
Transplant year		
1985-1999	815	50.6
2000-2004	796	49.4

ICU, intensive care unit; CMV, cytomegalovirus; CsA, cyclosporine; Tac, tacrolimus; Tx, transplantation; BMI, body mass index; CAD, cadaveric.

*Missing data within a category are not shown.

†BMI Z score can be computed for patients' ≥2 yr of age.

and 95th percentile as at risk for overweight. According to this definition, 12.6% of patients were overweight at transplant and another 18.9% were at risk for overweight at time of transplant. Thirty-six percent of recipients and 47.1% of donors were CMV positive at transplant. Seventeen percent of patients received an organ from a living donor and 29.2% received a cadaveric technical variant graft.

Table 2 describes early and late GI/PTDM event rates by patient characteristics at transplant. Of the 1611 patients receiving their first liver-only transplant, 214 (13.3%) developed GI/PTDM. The majority of patients developed GI/PTDM within one month following transplantation (166/214, 77.6%). At subsequent follow-up visits, the number of children with a first report of GI/PTDM decreased sharply: 30 at month 6; five at month 12; four at month 18; four at month 24; two at month 36; two at month 48; and one at month 72. The mean duration of GI/PTDM for children diagnosed at one and six months (n = 196) was 74.8 and 80.4 days, respectively.

As a result of staggered entry of patients in to the study leading to unequal follow-up post-transplant, all statistical comparisons focus on the development of GI/PTDM in the first month post-transplant (termed as early GI/PTDM). Overall, 10.3% of patients developed early GI/PTDM. Children >5 yr of age were more likely to develop early GI/PTDM (8.1% for age <1 yr, 7.6% age 1-4 yr, 12.0% age 5-12 yr and 19.1% age >12 yr; p-value < 0.0001). Children of

Table 2. Patient characteristics at transplant by GI/PTDM status

Row %	GI/PTDM < 30 days n = 166	GI/PTDM > 30 days n = 48	No GI/PTDM n = 1397	p-value
Total (n = 1611)	10.3	3.0	86.7	
Age at transplant (overall p < 0.0001)				
<1 yr (n = 540)	8.1	0.9	90.9	Reference
1-4 yr (n = 510)	7.6	1.4	91.0	0.7636
5-12 (n = 334)	12.0	5.1	82.9	0.0636
13+ yr (n = 225)	19.1	8.4	72.4	<0.0001
Gender (overall p = 0.95)				
Male (n = 741)	10.3	3.0	86.8	0.95
Female (n = 869)	10.4	3.0	86.7	Reference
Race (overall p = 0.0252)				
White (n = 969)	8.9	3.0	88.1	Reference
Black (n = 252)	11.1	2.4	86.5	0.2781
Hispanic (n = 237)	15.6	3.8	80.6	0.0024
Other (n = 150)	10.0	2.0	88.0	0.6548
Primary disease (overall p = 0.0037)				
Biliary atresia (n = 672)	6.7	2.2	91.1	Reference
Other cholestatic (n = 220)	13.6	4.5	81.8	0.0016
Fulminant (n = 229)	11.4	2.2	86.5	0.0255
Metabolic (n = 208)	13.0	3.8	83.2	0.0045
Cirrhosis (n = 122)	15.6	4.9	79.5	0.0013
Other (n = 158)	12.0	2.5	85.4	0.0259
Transplant year (overall p = 0.5100)				
1995-1999 (n = 815)	10.8	3.8	85.4	Reference
2000-2004 (n = 796)	9.8	2.1	88.1	0.5100

GI, glucose intolerance; PTDM, post-transplant diabetes mellitus. p-value compares GI/PTDM within first 30 days vs. no GI/PTDM after first 30 days.

black or Hispanic race were more likely to develop early GI/PTDM compared to whites (11.1% black, 15.6% Hispanic, and 8.9% white; p-value 0.0252). Children diagnosed with BA had the lowest incidence of early GI/PTDM (6.7%). This is consistent with the observation that children <5 yr of age at transplant had lower incidence of early GI/PTDM. It was interesting to note that there was no era effect on the development of early GI/PTDM (10.8% before year 2000 and 9.8% between 2000 and 2004).

Table 3 describes the impact of clinical factors at transplant on the development of early GI/PTDM. Sixteen percent of the children in ICU at transplant developed early GI/PTDM compared to 10.1% of those hospitalized at transplant and 7.9% for those non-hospitalized (p-value < 0.0001). CMV negative patients had lower incidence of early GI/PTDM (9.1%) compared to patients that were CMV positive at transplant (12.5%; p-value = 0.0326). The incidence of early GI/PTDM in children receiving a

Table 3. Transplant clinical parameters by GI/PTDM status

Row %	GI/PTDM < 30 days n = 166	GI/PTDM > 30 days n = 48	No GI/ PTDM n = 1397	p-value
Patient status (overall p < 0.0001)				
ICU (n = 432)	15.7	2.3	81.9	<0.0001
Hospital/no ICU (n = 258)	10.1	1.9	88.0	0.2608
No hospitalization (n = 914)	7.9	3.6	88.5	Reference
BMI Z score (overall p = 0.8020)				
Above mean (n = 453)	12.8	4.2	83.0	Reference
Below mean (n = 247)	12.1	5.7	82.2	0.8020
Recipient CMV status (overall p = 0.0326)				
Positive (n = 577)	12.5	4.7	82.8	0.0326
Negative/unknown (n = 1034)	9.1	2.0	88.9	Reference
Donor CMV status (overall p = 0.2014)				
Positive (n = 759)	11.3	3.6	85.1	0.2014
Negative/unknown (n = 852)	9.4	2.5	88.1	Reference
Donor type (overall p = 0.0066)				
Live (n = 267)	5.6	1.9	92.5	Reference
Cadaver (n = 1338)	11.3	3.2	85.5	0.0066
Donor age (overall p = 0.5018)				
<18 yr (n = 950)	10.0	2.4	87.6	Reference
18+ yr (n = 624)	11.1	4.0	84.9	0.5018

GI, glucose intolerance; PTDM, post-transplant diabetes mellitus; ICU, intensive care unit; BMI, body mass index; CMV, cytomegalovirus. p-value compares GI/PTDM within first 30 days vs. no GI/PTDM after first 30 days.

graft from a live donor is less than half of those receiving a cadaveric graft (5.6% vs. 11.3%, respectively; p-value = 0.0066). Donor age and CMV status were not significant predictors of GI/PTDM in the univariate analyses. For children > 2 yr of age the incidence of early GI/PTDM did not vary by standardized BMI score at the time of transplant.

Table 4 shows the incidence of early PTDM in BA patients. The data suggest that the incidence of early PTDM is higher among BA patients that were > 5 yr of age at transplant (9.8% vs. 6.3%), but the differences are not statistically significant. The majority of BA patients are < 5 yr of age, however, the results of the multivariate analyses show that age is a significant predictor of diabetes after adjusting for other predictors including the primary diagnosis.

Tables 5 and 6 summarize the influence of primary immunosuppression on the development of early GI/PTDM. Fifty-eight percent of

Table 4. Incidence of diabetes in two age groups of patients with biliary atresia

Biliary atresia patients (n = 672)	No PTDM within 30 days		PTDM within 30 days		Chi-square p-value
	n	%	n	%	
Total	627	93.3	45	6.7	0.2368
Age at transplant (years)					
<5	553	93.7	37	6.3	
≥5	74	90.2	8	9.8	

PTDM, post-transplant diabetes mellitus.

Table 5. Primary immunosuppression by GI/PTDM status

Row %	GI/PTDM < 30 days n = 166	GI/PTDM > 30 days n = 48	No GI/ PTDM n = 1397	p-value
Primary immunosuppression (overall p < 0.0001)				
CsA (n = 433)	5.5	1.6	92.8	<0.0001
TAC (n = 931)	14.2	3.9	82.0	Reference
Other/unknown (n = 247)	4.0	2.0	93.9	<0.0001
Steroids use at Tx (overall p = 0.0019)				
Yes (n = 1459)	11.2	3.2	85.7	0.0019
No (n = 152)	2.0	1.3	96.7	Reference
Monoclonal/polyclonal antibodies (overall p = 0.5608)				
Yes (n = 219)	11.4	3.7	84.9	0.5608
No (n = 1392)	10.1	2.9	87.0	Reference

GI, glucose intolerance; PTDM, post-transplant diabetes mellitus; CsA, cyclosporine A; TAC, tacrolimus; Tx, transplantation. p-value compares GI/PTDM within first 30 days vs. no GI/PTDM after first 30 days.

patients were placed on tacrolimus therapy and 26.9% received cyclosporine. Children placed on tacrolimus therapy have a higher incidence of early GI/PTDM (14.2%) compared to those taking cyclosporine (5.5%; p-value < 0.0001). More than 90% of children were initially given steroids. Eleven percent of these children developed early GI/PTDM compared to only 2.0% of children not using steroids at transplant (p-value = 0.0019). The use of induction therapy at transplant did not have an impact on the development of GI/PTDM in this analysis.

Factors significant at 0.15 level in the univariate analyses were added to the initial multivariate model to identify risk factor for the development of early GI/PTDM. The final multivariate model presented in Table 7 shows that children < 5 yr of age at transplant, not hospitalized, and diagnosed with BA are protected against the development of early GI/PTDM.

Table 6. Immunosuppressant levels in patients with and without diabetes

Initial immunosuppression dose levels		No PTDM within 30 days	PTDM within 30 days	Kruskal–Wallis p-value
Methylprednisolone (mg/kg/day)	n	1265	161	0.7075
	Mean	10.62	11.62	
	s.e.	0.38	1.16	
Hydrocortisone (mg/kg/day)	n	20	1	0.4090
	Mean	34.79	4.44	
	s.e.	8.96	–	
Prednisone (mg/kg/day)	n	699	113	<0.0001
	Mean	1.18	0.67	
	s.e.	0.05	0.05	
Tacrolimus (mg/kg/day)	n	823	141	0.8254
	Mean	0.16	0.18	
	s.e.	0.00	0.01	
Tacrolimus day 7 trough level	n	850	127	0.8618
	Mean	12.78	12.87	
	s.e.	0.23	0.59	
	Median	11.85	11.50	

PTDM, post-transplant diabetes mellitus.

Table 7. Multivariate analysis of risk factors for GI/PTDM within 30 days of transplant

Factor	Comparison group	Reference group	Odds ratio	95% CI	p-value
Immunosuppression drug (over all p < 0.0001)	CsA	TAC	0.36	0.23–0.57	<0.0001
	Other/unknown		0.39	0.19–0.81	0.0116
Patient status at transplant (over all p < 0.0001)	ICU	Not hospitalized	2.63	1.72–4.01	<0.0001
	Hospital/no ICU		1.71	1.04–2.80	0.0345
Age (years) at transplant (over all p = 0.0028)	[1,5]	(0,1)	1.02	0.63–1.65	0.9381
	[5,13]		1.69	1.01–2.84	0.0475
	13+		2.38	1.39–4.06	0.0015
Steroid use at transplant	Yes	No	3.67	1.05–12.85	0.0421
Primary disease (over all p = 0.0522)	Other	Billiary atresia	1.74	1.04–2.92	0.0367
	cholestatic		1.53	0.89–2.65	0.1280
	Metabolic		0.72	0.38–1.36	0.3124
	Fulminant		1.34	0.70–2.57	0.3801
	Cirrhosis		1.27	0.68–2.37	0.4620
	Other				

GI, glucose intolerance; PTDM, post-transplant diabetes mellitus; CsA, cyclosporine A; TAC, tacrolimus; ICU, intensive care unit.

Discussion

In this study, we found that GI/PTDM occurred in approximately 13% of patients who received a liver transplant. This incidence was similar to the incidence of PTDM in other solid organ transplants in children (5). More than three-quarters

of the children developed GI/PTDM within one month of transplant, but the mean duration of GI/PTDM in this cohort was relatively short – 74 days suggesting that diabetes in this analysis is a transient phenomenon. Only a few children developed GI/PTDM at one or >1 yr after transplant, but the reported duration was longer. The results of the multivariate analysis showed that early GI/PTDM in pediatric liver transplant recipients is associated with older age, diagnosis other than BA, and the use of tacrolimus and steroids.

The diabetogenic effect of several commonly used immunosuppressive drugs is well known and our results support several other reports including those in which steroids and/or tacrolimus were used as primary immune suppressants (4–6). In our study, steroid predominance at the outset, and tacrolimus use in the majority, might have contributed to the observed incidence of GI/PTDM. The odds ratios for both these therapies suggest a similar strength of association with PTDM. This contrasts with a much lesser frequency of PTDM in heart transplant recipients when placed on a steroid-sparing cyclosporine-based regimen (11). Dose effects, particularly cumulative steroid exposure, could not be analyzed within the context of this study, but are important aspects to consider in future prospective analyses. Separating the independent role of steroids in the development of GI/PTDM is an important objective as new treatment initiatives in pediatric transplantation suggest that steroid-free regimens do not compromise graft function or survival.

The significant association of both tacrolimus and steroids with early GI/PTDM support two important clinical strategies. First, reducing corticosteroid exposure could decrease, but probably not eliminate PTDM in this population. Secondly, in children who develop GI/PTDM, consideration should be given to decreasing or stopping steroids. If hyperglycemia is difficult to control with standard insulin dosages, substituting cyclosporine, mycophenolate mofetil, or sirolimus should be considered if graft function is stable.

The other significant risk factors for early GI/PTDM identified in multivariate analysis were recipient age > 5 yr and a primary diagnosis other than BA. A protective effect of BA, independent of age and BMI at transplant is not easily explained, and may just be a random association or be related to other patient covariates. The incidence of diabetes in children with BA who did not receive a liver transplant is not clearly identified in the current literature. As the pathogenesis of BA is still

unknown, the importance of the association we have shown remains to be elucidated. Protection against diabetes in patients with BA may be related to disease-specific diabetes resistant HLA subtypes and cytokine gene polymorphisms (12). The independent effect of age >5 yr may be related to the known increased incidence of insulin-resistant (type 2) diabetes with age in all children. High BMI is a well-described risk factor for the development of this type of diabetes in children (13). The lack of correlation with BMI in this study is likely because we only assessed BMI at transplant as a risk factor for developing early GI/PTDM. Future longitudinal studies are needed to understand the effect of BMI on the development of PTDM during long-term follow up.

An inherent caveat to this analysis, is the discrepancy between the definition of diabetes in the SPLIT database at the time of the study, and the standard definition by the ADA (14). Although the latter definition of a fasting blood glucose in excess of 124 mg/dL or a post-prandial level exceeding 200 mg/dL may not have been consistently used by participating centers, children were primarily classified as diabetic based on their need for insulin or other anti-hyperglycemic drugs. It is assumed that most clinicians considered this definition in their decision to initiate insulin or other anti-hyperglycemic therapy. The definition of diabetes used for this study likely excluded children with undiagnosed or untreated diabetes and those who would be considered prediabetic and thus underestimated true incidence. Screening for PTDM has become more rigorous at pediatric transplant centers within the recent past and the current follow-up data collection for the SPLIT registry includes fasting glucose values on all children age five yr and older. This more careful investigation may reveal an even larger population of children that are classified as diabetic using the ADA definition.

Another limitation of this analysis is that it is based on a large multi-center database in which long-term follow-up data may not be available, or consistently collected on patients from various centers. Long-term follow-up regarding compliance with the immunosuppressive regimen and annual blood glucose testing may similarly be incomplete, compromising the accuracy of the above results. These effects would be expected to further contribute to an underestimation of the true incidence. Patients with no follow-up may have diabetes which does not come to medical attention, and patients that die early in their post-transplant course may have developed diabetes with prolonged exposure to

immunosuppressive medications. A comprehensive, prospective screening program that incorporates ADA guidelines and definitions will be necessary to more confidently estimate the risk of PTDM.

The mechanism of pediatric post-transplant diabetes is likely to be a composite scenario of both insulin deficiency and insulin resistance. This pertains to both conventional steroid-based regimens, as well as more experimental steroid-free protocols that may include other immunosuppressants, such as sirolimus, polyclonal, or monoclonal antibody preparations. Steroids are known antagonists of insulin action (11). Sirolimus has opposing effects on islet function dependent on the dose (15). The mechanism by which tacrolimus may lead to diabetes is a complex one, where islet cell-specific autoimmunity, insulinopenia and insulin resistance have been suggested (16). We do not know, if the known diabetogenic effects of calcineurin inhibitors and steroids are independent, additive, or synergistic. Considering that immune suppression in this vulnerable (pediatric) age group may span several decades, there is concern over chronic effects on micro- and macrovascular systems that warrants more comprehensive short- and long-term monitoring.

To further understand the pathogenesis of post-transplant diabetes (17), future studies should include testing for endogenous insulin production and insulin resistance by measuring plasma glucose and C-peptide (the connecting peptide of the two endogenous insulin chains). In this study, the pathogenesis of PTDM is limited by the lack of comprehensive pretransplant glucose tolerance evaluation, especially as insulin-resistant diabetes is asymptomatic in approximately 50% of patients (18). Improved pretransplant screening for GI is indicated. Glycemic, autoimmune, and HLA characteristics of children and adolescents developing PTDM and determinants of oral anti-hyperglycemic drugs vs. insulin therapy remain to be described prospectively. A better understanding of the pathogenesis of PTDM may allow for the development of a predictive index for pediatric PTDM which could have a pivotal impact on immune suppression selection. This, in turn, may highly enhance the duration and quality of life in liver transplant recipient children.

Acknowledgments

SPLIT is funded by National Institutes of Health (NIDDK Co-op U01 DK0616693-01A1) with additional funding from Astellas Pharma US, Inc.

References

1. NIEUWENHUIS MG, KIRKELS JH. Predictability and other aspects of post-transplant diabetes mellitus in heart transplant recipients. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2001; 20: 703–708.
2. FIRST MR, GERBER DA, HARIHARAN S, et al. Posttransplant diabetes mellitus in kidney allograft recipients: Incidence, risk factors, and management. *Transplantation* 2002; 73: 379–386.
3. GREENSPAN LC, GITELMAN SE, LEUNG MA, et al. Increased incidence in post-transplant diabetes mellitus in children: A case–control analysis. *Pediatr Nephrol* 2002; 17: 1–5.
4. AL-UZRI A, STABLEIN DM, A COHN R. Posttransplant diabetes mellitus in pediatric renal transplant recipients: A report of the North American Pediatric Renal Transplant Cooperative Study (NAPRTCS). *Transplantation* 2001; 72: 1020–1024.
5. PAOLILLO JA, BOYLE GJ, LAW YM, et al. Posttransplant diabetes mellitus in pediatric thoracic organ recipients receiving tacrolimus-based immunosuppression. *Transplantation* 2001; 71: 252–256.
6. SHAPIRO R, SCANTLEBURY V, JORDAN ML, et al. Posttransplant diabetes in pediatric recipients on tacrolimus. *Transplantation* 1999; 67: 771.
7. HATHAWAY DK, TOLLEY EA, BLATEELY ML, et al. Development of an index to predict posttransplant diabetes mellitus. *Clin Transplant* 1993; 7: 330–338.
8. MILES AM, SUMRANI N, HOROWITZ R, et al. Diabetes mellitus after renal transplantation: As deleterious as non-transplant-associated diabetes? *Transplantation* 1998; 65: 380–384.
9. BOUDREAUX JP, MCHUGH L, CANAFAX DM, et al. The impact of cyclosporine and combination immunosuppression on the incidence of posttransplant diabetes in renal allograft recipients. *Transplantation* 1987; 44: 376–381.
10. MCDIARMID SV, ANAND R, and the SPLIT RESEARCH GROUP. Studies of Pediatric Liver Transplantation (SPLIT): A summary of the 2003 Annual Report. *Clin Transpl* 2003; 119–130.
11. HATHOUT EH, CHINNOCK RE, JOHNSTON J, et al. Pediatric post-transplant diabetes. Data from a large cohort of pediatric heart transplant recipients. *Am J Transplant* 2003; 3: 994–998.
12. DONALDSON PT, CLARE M, CONSTANTINI PK, et al. HLA and cytokine gene polymorphisms in biliary atresia. *Liver* 2002; 22: 213–219.
13. GIRARDIN CM, SCHWITZGEBEL VM. Diabetes type 2 in pediatrics: Diagnosis and management. *Rev Med Suisse* 2007; 3: 1001–1005.
14. AMERICAN DIABETES ASSOCIATION. Standards of medical care in diabetes – 2006. *Diabetes Care* 2006; 29(Suppl. 1): S4–S42.
15. SHAPIRO AMJ, GALLANT HL, HAO EG, et al. The portal immunosuppressive storm. Relevance to islet transplantation? *Ther Drug Monit* 2005; 27: 35–37.
16. YOSHIOKA K, SATO T, OKADA N, et al. Post-transplant diabetes with anti-glutamic acid decarboxylase antibody during tacrolimus therapy. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 1998; 42: 85–89.
17. MIDTVEDT K, HARTMANN A, HJEFMESAETH J, et al. Insulin resistance is a common denominator of post-transplant diabetes mellitus and impaired glucose tolerance in renal transplant recipients. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 1998; 13: 427–431.
18. SCOGNAMIGLIO R, NEGUT C, RAMONDO A, TIENGO A, AVOGARO A. Detection of coronary artery disease in asymptomatic patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006; 47: 65–71.

Appendix

Participating SPLIT centers

Alfred I. DuPont Hospital for Children; Boston Children’s Hospital; Cardinal Glennon Children’s Hospital; Children’s Healthcare of Atlanta; Children’s Hospital of Denver; Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia; Children’s Hospital of Pittsburgh; Children’s Hospital of Western Ontario; Children’s Hospital of Cincinnati; Children’s Hospital of Wisconsin; Children’s Medical Center of Dallas; Children’s Memorial Medical Center, Chicago; Children’s Mercy at Kansas City; Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto; Indiana University Medical Center; Johns Hopkins University; LeBonheur Children’s Medical Center; Mayo Medical School; Medical College of Virginia; Medical University of South Carolina; Mount Sinai Medical Center; New York Presbyterian Hospital; Primary Children’s Medical Center, Utah; Sainte-Justine Montreal; Stanford University; St Christopher’s; St Louis Children’s Hospital; Texas Children’s Hospital; UC Los Angeles; UC San Diego; UC San Francisco; University of Alberta, Edmonton; University of Chicago; University of Florida, Shands; University of Miami/Jackson Memorial Hospital; University of Michigan; University of Minnesota; University of Nebraska; University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill; University of Rochester; University of Texas HSC, San Antonio; University of Washington, Seattle; University of Wisconsin.