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Abstract

Many stressors elicit changes in corticotrophin (CRH), enkephalin (ENK), and neurotensin (NT) mRNA levels within the medial parvocellular region of the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus (mpPVN), and the pattern of changes in mRNA levels appears to depend on the physical characteristics of the stressor. We questioned whether psychologically distinct stressors would cause different patterns of neuropeptide mRNA expression within the PVN. Psychologically distinct stressors were created by employing a paradigm of escapable (controllable) vs non-escapable (yoked) tail shock. An adult male rats could terminate the stress stimulus by performing wheel-turning behaviour; his behaviour also terminated the stress for his yoked partner, who had no control over the termination of the shock. Four h post-stress, brains were collected and processed for in-situ hybridization histochemistry. Tail-shock stress stimulated a significant increase in CRH, ENK, and NT mRNA levels within the mpPVN. The number of CRH identified neurones coexpressing AVP mRNA was also significantly elevated in both stress groups. Moreover, the pattern and magnitude of the stress-induced increases in mRNA was similar in both stress groups. Additionally, no stress-induced changes in CRH mRNA levels were observed in the central nucleus of the amygdala. In sum, two psychologically distinct stressors, escapable vs yoked tail shock stress, stimulated similar increases in CRH, NT, ENK, and AVP mRNA levels within the mpPVN. These results suggest that physical attributes of a stress, rather than psychological, may be the more important factors in determining the PVN mRNA response.

Activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis can occur by a wide variety of stressful stimuli. Although the final outcome of activation of the axis is similar across stimuli, (i.e. an increase in circulating glucocorticoid concentrations), there is evidence to suggest that the central mechanisms by which stressors activate the HPA axis may differ across stimuli (1,2). Corticosterone secretion from the adrenal gland is stimulated by release of peptides, primarily corticotropin-releasing-hormone (CRH), from the medial parvo-cellular region of the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus (mpPVN). CRH travels through the portal vasculature to reach the anterior pituitary and stimulates adrenocorticotropin-releasing-hormone (ACTH) secretion, which in turn stimulates corticosterone secretion from the adrenal gland. ACTH secretion is, in part, terminated by negative feedback effects of circulating glucocorticoids.

Although CRH is believed to be the primary ACTH secretagogue, there are other peptides, such as vasopressin (AVP), that are colocalized with CRH and can act as ACTH secretagogues. Additional peptides, such as enkephalin (ENK) and neurotensin (NT), have also been found within the mpPVN and are colocalized with CRH, although their role in the regulation of ACTH secretion is still not well understood.

Acute stressors do alter the regulation of these peptides (CRH, AVP, ENK, and NT), and, interestingly, evidence from many studies suggest that not all stressors affect the regulation of these peptides in the same manner. For example, Plotisky et al. reported that haemorrhage caused an increase in portal blood levels of CRH and AVP, while insulin-
induced hypoglycemia caused an increase in portal blood levels of AVP only (9). CRH mRNA has been reported to increase after restraint or hypertonic saline injections but not after ether (10, 11) or cold stress (11, 12). Conversely, ENK and NT mRNA levels within the PVN have been reported to increase after ether stress (10–12) and restraint stress, but not cold stress (11).

In addition to being categorized by different physical properties, stressors can also be categorized by psychological features, one of which is the degree to which an animal can cope with or control the stressor. For example, animals can cope with stressful events by learning an escape behaviour, such as a bar press or a wheel turn, to terminate the stress stimulus. In certain paradigms aimed at testing the effect of controllability, the coping response of a given animal also terminates the stressor for a yoked partner, who has no control over the stressor. This results in two animals who receive the identical amount, duration, and intensity of the physical stressor, but differ in the psychological aspect of controlling or coping with the stressor. A multitude of previous studies have demonstrated that these two stress conditions have differential effects on a number of parameters altered by stress. For example, stress-induced analgesia (13), prolactin secretion (14), gastric ulcer formation (15), catecholamine system regulation (16), long-term potentiation (17), and learning of new escape responses (18), are differentially impacted by escapable or non-escapable stressors, with the less deleterious effects associated with escapable stress. The deficit in the learning of a new escape response, or learned helplessness, caused by the inescapable stress has been well documented. An animal, previously exposed to inescapable shock, will be unable to learn an appropriate escape response when placed in a new testing environment.

With regard to the regulation of the HPA axis, most data suggest that these two psychologically distinct stress conditions stimulate similar patterns of ACTH and corticosterone secretion (19, 20; cf 21). However, the ability of the synthetic glucocorticoid dexamethasone to suppress stress-induced corticosterone secretion is dependent on stress controllability, with normal suppression observed in the rats capable of escaping their stress, and incomplete dexamethasone suppression observed in their yoked partners (22; and unpublished data). These results suggest that mechanisms regulating the HPA axis may be different between these two stress conditions.

The impact of psychologically distinct stressors on the regulation of the HPA axis is of particular interest given the interrelationship between stressful life events and clinical depression (23, 24), and the dysregulation of the HPA axis that often occurs in clinical depression. Almost all depressed patients have an increased drive to the HPA axis (25), and ~50% of patients have impaired glucocorticoid negative feedback, as assessed by the dexamethasone suppression test (26). Depressed patients also have an increase in the number of CRH neurones within the PVN (27) and in the number of PVN CRH neurones containing AVP (28). Moreover, it has been proposed that depressed patients may hypersecrete AVP as a result of impaired glucocorticoid feedback (29).

Because some of the same characteristics observed in depressed patients, such as learned helplessness and faulty dexamethasone feedback, can be created in animals using the escapable/yoked stress paradigm, we asked whether we could detect differences in the regulation of the HPA axis, specifically the regulation of PVN neuropeptide mRNAs, between the two psychologically distinct stress conditions. We chose to examine the PVN because it represents the final common pathway for the flow of information from the central nervous system to the pituitary, and it has been reported that different types of stressors can cause differences in neuropeptide mRNA expression within the mPVN.

Results

Plasma corticosterone levels

Both escapable and yoked tail shock stress stimulated a marked and sustained increase in plasma corticosterone levels (Fig. 1) that did not differ between the two groups. Plasma corticosterone levels in both stress groups remained elevated above the chamber/control group and the home cage group for the duration of the sampling period. There were no significant differences in plasma corticosterone levels between the two control groups. At the time of decapitation, the yoked stress group corticosterone levels were slightly elevated compared to either the chamber/control group or the home cage control group.

PVN mRNA Levels

CRH mRNA was readily detectable in the control groups, and a stress-induced increase in CRH mRNA was observed in both the escapable and yoked stress groups. The magnitude of the CRH mRNA increase was similar in both the escapable and yoked stress conditions (Figs 2, 3).

In the control animals, ENK mRNA was found within the ventral parvocellular division of the PVN. After

![Fig. 1. Mean (±SEM) plasma corticosterone levels measured from 0 to 150 min after termination of stress. Escapable or yoked stress groups received 100 1.0 mA shocks delivered 30–90 s apart, with an average intertrial interval of 60 s. Chamber controls were placed in the shock apparatus but did not receive any shock, and home cage controls remained in their cages for the duration of the experiment. Animals were decapitated 480 min after termination of stress for tissue collection.](https://example.com/fig1.png)
either escapable or yoked tail-shock stress, there was an overall increase in ENK mRNA levels within the PVN, with signal now readily detectable within the medial parvocellular division of the PVN. There was no difference in the pattern or magnitude of this increase in ENK mRNA levels between the escapable or yoked stress conditions (Figs 2, 3).

Within the parvocellular region of the PVN, NT mRNA levels were very low in control animals. Tail-shock stress, either escapable or yoked, stimulated an increase in NT mRNA levels within the medial parvocellular division of the PVN. Again, the stimulated increase in NT mRNA was similar between both stress conditions (Figs 2, 3).

Double in-situ hybridization techniques were used to determine the number of AVP expressing CRH neurones within the mpPVN. In control conditions, very few neurones were positively identified as containing both CRH and AVP mRNA. After tail shock stress, there was no change in the number of CRH-dig labelled neurones. However, there was
an increase in the percentage of these neurones that also expressed AVP mRNA. The increase in colocalization of CRH and AVP mRNAs was similar in both the escapable and yoked stress conditions, with 19 ± 2.4% of CRH neurones coexpressing AVP mRNA in the escapable stress group, and 17 ± 3.3% of CRH neurones coexpressing AVP mRNA in the yoked stress group. An example of a double-labelled neurone is illustrated in Fig. 4.

**Discussion**

In the current study, we examined changes in PVN mRNA levels after either escapable or yoked tail shock stress. We found that tail-shock stress, either escapable or yoked, stimulated an increase in the expression of CRH, ENK, and NT mRNA levels within the parvocellular division of the PVN. We were also able to demonstrate that tail-shock stress caused an increase in AVP mRNA levels within CRH neurones. The current stress paradigm induced large changes in neuropeptide gene expression, suggesting a profound impact on HPA axis regulation.

Previous observations that physically distinct stress paradigms led to differences in PVN mRNA expression, median eminence content and secretogogue release (8–12, 30–32) led us to inquire whether psychologically distinct stressors also cause dissimilar patterns of PVN activation. Additionally, the observation that dexamethasone administration is not equally effective in suppressing corticosterone secretion in the escapable vs yoked stress condition (22; unpublished observations) also suggested differences in HPA axis regulation between the two psychologically distinct stress conditions. Given the fact that the suppressing actions of dexamethasone on ACTH and corticosterone secretion have been proposed to initially occur at the anterior pituitary (33), we hypothesized that one way the psychological variable of controllability could be communicated to the anterior pituitary was through differential control of ACTH secretion by the PVN. Although mRNA measurements are an indirect reflection of secretagogue activity, the observed changes in mRNA within the PVN suggest that the two stress conditions had similar effects on the regulation of these peptides, suggesting that the PVN is responding in a similar fashion to both types of stressors. The similar changes in mpPVN mRNA measurements between the two stress conditions also parallel the similar changes in peripheral hormone measurements (i.e. ACTH and corticosterone) caused by the two stress conditions.

In the current study, we found that psychologically distinct stressors do not stimulate different patterns of PVN mRNA expression. It is important to note that the escapable vs yoked tail-shock stress delivered in the manner used in the current study does produce large behavioural and neurochemical differences (34), thus making the failure to detect peptide mRNA differences within the PVN more remarkable. The similarities in stress-induced PVN mRNA changes between the two stress conditions may be because strictly physical, rather than psychological, characteristics of a stressor determine the PVN mRNA response to that particular stimulus. Therefore, because the physical stressor, tail-shock stress, was the same in both stress groups, one might predict that the changes in mRNA expression occurring in the PVN were due to the physical nature of the stressor.

CRH mRNA was also measured within the central nucleus of the amygdala (CeA). Neither stress condition altered CRH mRNA levels within the CeA. CRH mRNA levels (integrated optical density measurements) were: home cage controls 7460.83 ± 976.16; yoked stress group 8954.67 ± 829.23; escapable stress group 8272.86 ± 507.6.

**CeA CRH mRNA**

CRH mRNA was also measured within the central nucleus of the amygdala (CeA). Neither stress condition altered CRH mRNA levels within the CeA. CRH mRNA levels (integrated optical density measurements) were: home cage controls 7460.83 ± 976.16; yoked stress group 8954.67 ± 829.23; escapable stress group 8272.86 ± 507.6.

PVN mRNA response would be similar in the two physically similar, but psychologically dissimilar, stress groups. This is not to suggest that psychological factors cannot influence the PVN mRNA response to stress; psychological attributes of physically dissimilar stressors, such as cold vs restraint, may contribute to the reported differences in stress-induced mRNA changes within the PVN.

Alternatively, it may be that dissimilarities in PVN mRNA expression that have been previously reported do not reflect the type of stress, but rather the magnitude of the stressor. For example, when examining the increase in AVP levels within the median eminence after stress, Schmidt et al. (35) reported that the increase in AVP levels was directly correlated with the ACTH response to the stressor, irrespective of the stress stimulus used to activate the HPA axis. Also, we have found that PVN CRH and ENK mRNA levels are significantly correlated with peak ACTH levels, occurring after either ether, restraint, or swim stress (unpublished observations), again suggesting that the magnitude of the stress stimulus (as defined by changes in ACTH secretion) is more closely linked to changes in mRNA levels within the PVN after stress rather than the physical attributes of the stressor. Therefore, because escapable and yoked tail-shock stress stimulate similar levels of HPA axis activation (19, 20), current results, unpublished data) we may not have expected to observe differences in pattern and magnitude of mRNA expression within the PVN.

It is also important to remember that this was an acute exposure to the stress condition; it may be that repeated exposure to stress would condition lead to alterations of the mpPVN response to the stressor. For example, repeated exposure to restraint stress causes a greater AVP mRNA and mRNA response to acute stress, as compared to the response measured in naive animals (36). Future experiments are needed to determine whether repeated exposure to escapable or yoked stress leads to change in the magnitude, pattern, or duration of mpPVN neuropeptide mRNA changes.

The function of differential regulation of PVN mRNAs across different stimuli is not clear. These peptides may serve different functions in the regulation of the HPA axis. AVP is known to be an ACTH secretagogue that can potentiate CRH effects at the level of the anterior pituitary (4). Furthermore, CRH and AVP may alter their own release by acting at the level of the hypothalamus (37, 38). NT has a stimulatory affect on ACTH secretion that is dependent on CRH. In contrast to AVP, NT does not have any measurable affect at the level of the pituitary; it may stimulate the HPA axis by acting on receptors located within the hypothalamus (39–41). ENK has been reported to have both stimulatory and inhibitory effects on ACTH and glucocorticoid secretion (42–47). The differential regulation of these peptides by physically dissimilar stressors may reflect different afferent circutions used by stimuli to access the PVN (1). Also, glucocorticoids do not have similar effects on the regulation of mRNAs for these peptides. For example, AVP appears to be exquisitely sensitive to glucocorticoid levels, while mRNA levels for NT and ENK are not altered by elimination of circulating glucocorticoids (48–50). In sum, the differential regulation of peptides within the PVN, both by stressors and glucocorticoids, has been proposed to enable the HPA axis to maintain appropriate responsivity to a broad array of type and duration of stressful stimuli (51, 52).

We also examined CRH mRNA levels within the CeA in the current study. Given the observation that the CeA may play a role in behavioural alterations observed after inescapable shock (53–55), and that administration of a CRH antagonist into the CeA has been reported to decrease fear associated behaviours, such as freezing (54, 55), that occur after inescapable shock, we asked if escapable vs inescapable shock may differentially alter CRH mRNA levels within the CeA. Additionally, CRH mRNA levels in the CeA are positively regulated by glucocorticoids; one might have expected that the increase in corticosterone levels observed after stress would have caused an increase in CRH mRNA levels within the CeA. However, we found absolutely no stress-induced changes in CRH mRNA levels within either escapable or inescapable shock. CRH mRNA levels within the CeA have been reported to increase if measured immediately after the termination of the stressor (55, 56). In the current study, we chose the 4 h post-stress termination time to kill our animals in order to observe maximal changes in PVN mRNAs (12). This may have prevented us from detecting potentially very transient changes in CRH mRNA levels within the CeA. It is also possible that circulating corticosterone levels were not elevated long enough to cause a change in CRH mRNA levels (50, 57). Alternatively, CRH mRNA containing neurones within the CeA may not respond to tail-shock stress. In support of this hypothesis, we have also found that CRH mRNA containing neurones within the CeA do not respond to interleukin-1β treatment, as indicated by the lack of colocalization of c-fos mRNA within these neurones (58).

The use of controllable vs non-controllable stress paradigms has proven to be a powerful paradigm to begin to understand how stressors alter the regulation of many systems within the body, including the HPA axis, and to begin to explore the powerful impact of psychological variables on the ultimate effect of stressor on an organism. In ongoing studies, we are beginning to use additional tools, such as examining changes in c-fos mRNA, and examining additional circuits, such as the 5-HT system, to learn more about how these psychologically distinct stressors impact the regulation of stress-related systems within the central nervous system.

Materials and methods

Animals and experimental design

Twenty-eight adult male Sprague Dawley rats, b.w. 150–400 g, were used for these studies. They were housed three to a cage with food and water available ad libitum, and lights in the colony room were on from 07.00 to 19.00 hours. Animals were housed in the colony room for 2 weeks before the experiment. Animals were randomly divided into four experimental groups (n = 7/group); Escapable Stress, Yoked Stress, Apparatus Control and Home Cage Control. The stress protocol was the same as that used in Grahm et al. (59). Briefly, animals from the escapable stress, yoked stress, and apparatus control groups were placed in 14 × 11 × 17 cm wheel turning boxes. The wheel was two 14-cm disks connected by 9-cm rods. The wheels for the escapable stress groups turned easily, while those in the yoked and apparatus control group did not. Shock was administered to the escapable and yoked stress groups through electrodes fixed to the animals tail; the electrodes were placed 3 cm apart on the distal part of the animal’s tail, augmented with electrode paste and held
in decreasing concentrations of SSC (2 × SSC, 1 × SSC, 0.5 × SSC, 0.1 × SSC) or 4 weeks (CRH and NT). Emulsion-dipped slides, used for in situ hybridization, were collected onto poly-lysine-subbed slides and were stored at −20 °C. A total of 150–200 sections from three regions showing the highest CRH mRNA levels within this region contained both the CRH and AVP probes was applied to each slide and sections were coverslipped. Sections were hybridized and washed as described above; after the 0.1 × SSC wash at 65 °C the slides were placed in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (PB), pH 7.4. The slides were then transferred to a blocking solution (0.5% Triton X-100, 0.25% caseinogen, 0.1 M PB) and incubated for 3 h at 30 °C. The sections were then transferred to fresh blocking solution which contained an alkaline phosphatase (AP)-conjugated antitig (sheep antitig-AP) Fab fragments; Boehringer Mannheim) at a 1:20,000 dilution. Sections were incubated for 20 h at 4 °C. Slides were then washed twice with PB, pH 7.4, twice with Tris-buffered saline, pH 7.5, and then transferred to alkaline substrate buffer (ASB; 100 mM tris base, 150 mM NaCl, 50 mM MgCl2, pH 9.5). The color reaction was completed by placing the sections in an ASB solution containing 5% polyvinyl alcohol (w:vol; Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA), 0.25% NBT (4-nitro blue tetrazolium chloride, Boehringer Mannheim), 0.26% BCIP (X-phosphate::5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-phosphate, Boehringer Mannheim) and 0.024% levamisole (w:vol; Sigma). Slides were incubated in this solution, in the dark, for 72 h at 4 °C, and then for 24 h at room temperature. Slides were checked periodically throughout the incubation period to monitor the progress of the colour reaction. After completion of the colour reaction, slides were washed extensively with water, the Ab was stripped by placing the slides in 0.1 M glycine solution, pH 2.2 for 10 min, and then sections placed in a 2.5% glutaraldehyde solution for 60 min at room temperature. Slides were then washed extensively in water, dehydrated through a series of alcohols. To visualize the (35)S) signal, slides were then developed and coverslipped using Aqua-Poly/; Mount (Polysciences, Inc., Warrenton, PA, USA) and exposed for 25 days at 4 °C. Slides were then developed and coverslipped using a modified KD-5 emulsion (Polysciences, Inc., Warrenton, PA, USA). Intra-and interassay variability were 3.6% and 9.0%, respectively.

Data analysis

For all analysis, the experimenter was blind to the experimental group of the sections being analysed. For the single labelling studies, changes in mRNA levels were determined using the public domain NIH Image software (written by Wayne Rasband at the NIH; version 1.6) on a Power Macintosh 8100/80 AV computer. Relative optical density was determined for the area of interest from the X-ray film; positive signal was considered to be optical density levels above a threshold level which was 3 SD above the mean optical density of the background signal. Values reflect integrated optical density, which incorporates both the intensity and area of positive signal. CRH, ENK, and NT mRNA levels were each determined from three sections localized in the middle regions of the PVN, where the highest levels of CRH mRNA are detected (22).

CRH mRNA levels within the central nucleus of the amygdala (CeA) were also determined. Integrated optical density measurements were determined from three sections showing the highest mRNA levels within this region. For the double labelling studies, emulsion-dipped sections were examined using a Leica DMR microscope. Initially, the number of neurones containing dig-labelled CRH mRNA was determined. These neurones were determined to contain AVP mRNA if there was a distinct clustering of silver grains, above background levels, that was centred over a positive CRH neurone.
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