Protein Tyrosine Phosphatase Gene PTPN22 Polymorphism in Psoriasis: Lack of Evidence for Association

To the Editor:

LYP, the protein product of the protein tyrosine phosphatase gene PTPN22 located on human chromosome 1p13.2, is involved in downregulation of T cell signaling through its interaction with C-terminal Src tyrosine kinase (Csk) (Cloutier and Veillette, 1996), by phosphorylation of regulatory tyrosines on the Src family kinase Lck (Cloutier and Veillette, 1999). A missense mutation of this gene (C1858T, R620W), found in 15%–17% of the Caucasian population, has been associated with autoimmune pathology in type I diabetes (T1D) (Bottini et al, 2004; Onengut-Gumuscu et al, 2004; Smyth et al, 2004; Ladner et al, 2005), rheumatoid arthritis (RA) (Begovich et al, 2004), systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) (Kyogoku et al, 2004), and Graves disease (GD) (Smyth et al, 2004; Velaga et al, 2004) but not in multiple sclerosis (Begovich et al, 2005). It has also been shown to be functionally relevant in terms of binding to Csk (Bottini et al, 2004) and inhibition of signalling via the T cell antigen receptor (Begovich et al, 2004).

Although no genome-wide search has identified human chromosome 1p13.2 as a psoriasis susceptibility locus, the widely accepted concept that psoriasis is mediated at least in part by activated T cells (Lew et al, 2004; Sugiyama et al., 2005) suggests PTPN22 as a prime target for candidate gene testing in psoriasis. In this study, we investigated association of the PTPN22 C1858T polymorphism in our collection of 517 families containing 1,146 affected individuals. This study was carried out in accordance with the Principles of Helsinki and was approved by the ethical boards of the University of Michigan, the University of Kiel, and the Henry Ford Health System. Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects. Genomic DNA was amplified using primers flanking the C1858T variation and the polymorphisms were scored using the SnapShot SNP assay reagents and GeneMapper software (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California). Three different statistical tests were applied to the data: the transmission/disequilibrium test (TDT) (Spelman et al, 1993), the pedigree disequilibrium test (PDT) (Martin et al, 2000, 2001), and the family-based association test (FBAT) (Rabinowicz and Laird, 2000; Horvath et al, 2001, 2004). All three methods were implemented as biallelic two-sided tests of the null hypothesis of no association in the presence of linkage. For the TDT, a single trio was randomly extracted from each pedigree, as recommended by Spielman and Ewens (1996). Since results vary depending upon the particular random selection, the analysis was repeated 999 times with different random number seeds, and the median result was reported. In the FBAT, we used with the empirical variance and an offset of 0 (i.e., unaffecteds do not contribute to the test statistic but do aid inference of parental genotypes).

As shown in Table I, none of these three methods yielded significant evidence for association. This outcome appeared to be because of a lack of association, rather than a lack of statistical power. As shown in Table I, measures of LD show only a weak negative association of the minor (T) allele with psoriasis (47.3% transmission, \(D = -0.072\)) that differ little from the expected values of 50% transmission and \(D = 0\) under the null hypothesis of no association. Power calculations, performed by the first approximation method of Knapp (1999) (Table II), revealed excellent power of the TDT to detect association under all models except recessive, a model that is not supported for PTPN22 in T1D (Smyth et al, 2004), RA (Begovich et al, 2004), SLE (Kyogoku et al, 2004), or GD (Velaga et al, 2004). Our sample, however, would probably not detect an association with psoriasis if PTPN22 does indeed act in a recessive fashion as a psoriasis locus or if the genetic effect of PTPN22 under other models is weak (GRR1 < 1.56 for a dominant model, GRR1 < 1.50 for an additive model, and GRR1 < 1.47 for a multiplicative model).

It seems unlikely that our negative results are due to genetic heterogeneity, as the frequency of the T allele among our founder chromosomes was similar to Caucasian control populations in previous studies (0.1087 for 2669 founder chromosomes in this study, as compared with 0.0864 for 3922 pooled control chromosomes in SLE (Kyogoku et al, 2004) and 0.104 for 3,436 control chromosomes in T1D (Smyth et al, 2004).

While this article was under review, a report appeared finding no association of the PTPN22 R620W polymorphism with psoriasis in a study of families with multiple autoimmune diseases (Criswell et al, 2005). This study had very little power to detect association of the PTPN22 R620W polymorphism with psoriasis as its sample of 265 multiple autoimmune disease families contained only 63 psoriatics. Based on these results, we conclude that C1858T polymorphism of PTPN22 is unlikely to be associated in psoriasis. Similar negative results have recently been reported for multiple sclerosis (Begovich et al, 2005), indicating that the PTPN22 R620W variation is unlikely to be universally associated with autoimmune disease. Additional family-based and case–control association studies in psoriasis and other immunologically mediated disorders will clarify

Abbreviations: FBAT, family-based association test; GD, Graves disease; GRR, genotype relative risk; GRR1, genotype relative risk for carriers of one copy of the test allele; GRR2, genotype relative risk for carriers of two copies of the test allele; PDT, pedigree disequilibrium test; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; T1D, type 1 diabetes; TDT, transmission/disequilibrium test.
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Table I. Results of family-based tests of the association of psoriasis with the T allele of the C1858T (R620W) polymorphism of the PTPN22 gene

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TDT</th>
<th>PDT</th>
<th>FBAT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td># fam&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td># inf fam&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>T:NT&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>469</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>The number of families shown for each association test counts only those families with at least one typed and phenotypically informative unit. For the TDT, this unit is a trio (an affected child and both parents), for the PDT, it is a trio or a discordant sibpair (an affected and unaffected sib), and for the FBAT, with the settings used it is a trio, discordant sibpair, or a sibship with three or more affected sibs.

<sup>b</sup>The number of informative families is the subset of the typed and phenotypically informative families that are genotypically informative for the allele being tested.

<sup>c</sup>T:NT is the ratio of transmissions to non-transmissions of the test allele from heterozygous parents to affected children in the TDT.

<sup>d</sup>p-values are uncorrected for multiple testing.

<sup>e</sup>χ² is a standardized measure of marker-trait disequilibrium for the PDT which has been described by us previously (Collaboration, 2005).

<sup>f</sup>Large sample test statistic for association, distributed as a standard normal.

TDT, transmission/disequilibrium test; PDT, pedigree disequilibrium test; FBAT, family-based association test.

The number of informative families is the subset of the typed and phenotypically informative families that are genotypically informative for the allele being tested. The spectrum of disorders associated with this functional polymorphism, which may ultimately serve as an important molecular classifier of autoimmune disease.
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