HLA-DR antigen detection in giant cell lesions


Sixty-six giant cell lesions ranging from inflammatory to neoplastic were evaluated for HLA-DR antigens using formalin/paraffin tissue and a monoclonal antibody labelled by the avidin-biotin peroxidase. HLA-DR antigens were expressed in nearly all lesions, predominantly on round, multinucleate giant cells that were relatively unreactive in non-inflammatory lesions as compared to inflammatory lesions. Determination of HLA-DR expression does not appear to be helpful in discriminating between the various giant cell lesions.

For the other neoplasms, expression may be related to an, as yet, undetermined immunoregulatory role played by the neoplastic cells.

Detection of HLA-DR antigens in neoplasms which are derived from cells that normally do not express these antigens suggests the possibility that detection of HLA-DR antigens may be a useful diagnostic tool. In neoplasms derived from cells which are normally positive, alterations in HLA-DR expression may be useful in studies of differentiation and in tumor classification.

Numerous giant cell lesions are encountered in bone and soft tissue. The combination of multinucleate giant cells and macrophages in a benign fibroplastic matrix common to all these lesions makes them difficult to differentiate with conventional histologic tissue sections. Immunotyping of the various giant cell lesions for HLA-DR antigens and contribute to enhancement or amplification of the immune response through lymphocyte coordination and antigen presentation (6-11). Also, expression of HLA-DR antigens by normal non-immune cells has been implicated in the mediation of some autoimmune diseases (9, 12). A positive correlation has been documented between HLA-DR expression in thyroid epithelium and lymphocytic infiltrates in autoimmune Grave's disease and Hashimoto's disease (3, 13).

HLA-DR antigens have been detected on the cells of many neoplasms such as melanoma (14, 15), carcinoma of the lung (15), eosinophilic granuloma (16, 17), papillary carcinoma of the thyroid (13), and lymphoma (18, 19). Expression of HLA-DR antigens in eosinophilic granuloma and lymphoma may be explained, in part, by the origin of these neoplasms from cells that normally express these antigens.

Material and methods

Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded surgical specimens of giant cell lesions of bone and soft tissue were retrieved from the files of the University of Michigan and Detroit-Harper Hospitals. Five micron sections mounted on Sobo glue covered slides were evaluated for HLA-DR antigens using an immunoperoxidase technique. Lesions, included were: 21 gingival peripheral giant cell granulomas (PGCG), 21 central giant cell granulomas of the jaws (CGCG), 6 giant cell tumors of long bone (GCT), 1 osteitis fibrosa cystica of the mandible (OFC), 1 aneurysmal bone cyst of long bone (ABC), 3 cases of cherubism of the jaws, 3 giant cell tumors of tendon sheath of the hand (GCTTS), and a variety of soft tissue granulomatous inflammatory lesions which included 5 foreign body granulomas (2 from skin and 3 from oral mucosa), one tuberculous cervical lymph node, and 2 cases of Crohn's disease (1 from oral vestibular mucosa and 1 from rectum). Tissue sections were dewaxed, treated with a solution of equal parts of 3% hydrogen peroxide and methanol, washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS), and treated with 5% horse serum. Tissue was then incubated over night (16 h) at 4°C with monoclonal antibody (supernatant fluid from spent culture medium undiluted) to HLA-DR antigens (15) (gift from Dr. B. Wilson, University of Michigan).

After a PBS wash, sections were incubated for 30 min with a 1:200 dilution of biotinylated antimouse IgG followed by a PBS wash and incubation for 30 min with avidin-biotin peroxidase (10 μg/ml avidin with 3 μg/ml biotinylated peroxidase, Vector laboratory, Burlingame, California). After a PBS wash, sections were developed in aminoethyl-
Table 1. HLA-DR immunoreactivity of giant cell lesions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Multinucleate giant cells</th>
<th>Mononuclear stromal cells</th>
<th>Total/Total Positive/tested</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PGCG</td>
<td>1*</td>
<td>19**</td>
<td>19/21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGCG</td>
<td>2*</td>
<td>19**</td>
<td>19/21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GT</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4**</td>
<td>4/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1**</td>
<td>1/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1**</td>
<td>1/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cherub.</td>
<td>2*</td>
<td>3**</td>
<td>3/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCTTS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3**</td>
<td>3/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gran. Inflam.</td>
<td>Foreign body</td>
<td>2****</td>
<td>5/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.B.</td>
<td>1***</td>
<td>1***</td>
<td>1/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-specific</td>
<td>1**</td>
<td>2***</td>
<td>2/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crohn’s</td>
<td>2***</td>
<td>2***</td>
<td>2/2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Slight immunoreactivity-scattered positive cells.
**Moderate immunoreactivity-less than 25% of cells positive.
***Moderately-intense reactivity-25-50% of cells positive.
****Intense immunoreactivity-more than 50% of cells positive.

Results

In general, some HLA-DR expression was noted in almost all giant cell lesions (Table). Reactivity ranged from slight (focal), in which only a few scattered positive cells were found, to intense (diffuse), in which more than half the cells were positive (Fig. 1). The most prevalent pattern was one in which giant cells were negative and less than 25% of the mononuclear cells were positive. Staining intensity of individual positive cells was uniformly high; differences were primarily in the numbers of positive cells.

Mononuclear stromal cell staining was usually membranous, while multinucleate giant cell staining was both membranous and cytoplasmic. Intense staining of giant cells was generally found in granulomatous inflammatory lesions, especially in two foreign body reactions (one known to be caused by silicone, Fig. 2), in tuberculous lymphadenitis (Fig. 3), and in Crohn’s disease. Immunoneactive giant cells were rarely found in any of the other lesions studied. When present, they were usually binucleate or trinucleate forms.

 Rounds, macrophage-like, mononuclear cells were the most frequently encountered positive cells and were found in all cases that showed immunoreactivity. However, spindle, fibroblast like, mononuclear cells were frequently positive but were less prominent than positive round cells. Positive dendritic cells were also found in one central giant cell granuloma, one peripheral giant cell granuloma (Fig. 4), and two cases of cherubism.

As a whole, granulomatous inflammatory lesions showed more intense immunoreactivity than other giant cell lesions.

Peripheral giant cell granuloma could not be separated from central giant cell granuloma. Also, central giant cell granuloma of the jaws could not be separated from giant cell tumor of long bone.

Discussion

From the results of this study, it is apparent that as a group, giant cell lesions exhibit HLA-DR antigens. The PGCG, CGCG, CGT, OFC, ABC, cherubism, and GCTTS showed similar patterns of HLA-DR expression; the vast majority of positive cells were round macrophage-like cells with only rare positive dendritic or giant cells being noted. One or more of the following mechanisms may be involved in HLA-DR expression in these lesions: 1) The same or closely related HLA-DR positive cells could give rise to or participate in the development of the different giant cell lesions; 2) expression of HLA-DR antigens by tumors cells could result from induction by a mediator released from immunocompetent cells reacting to tumor cell proliferation (8-11); a mechanism proposed for enhanced im-

Fig. 1. Central giant cell granuloma immunostained for HLA-DR antigens. Several mononuclear cells show membrane and cytoplasmic staining (arrows) (×400).
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Fig. 2. HLA-DR immunoreactivity in foreign body reaction to silicone. Note intense membrane staining in multinucleate giant cells (arrows) (×400).

Fig. 3. Peripheral cytoplasmic HLA-DR staining of giant cell in granuloma of tuberculous lymphadenitis (×400).

munological response to melanoma (20); 3) the HLA-DR expression could be due to secondary infiltration of the lesions by HLA-DR positive macrophages as part of an immune response to tumor antigens (21, 22).

The difference in antigen staining patterns, membranous versus cytoplasmic, can be explained through intracellular antigen synthesis with subsequent surface expression. Alternately, cytoplasmic staining could follow ingestion of membrane antigen. The former is favored because it is likely that intracellular production of this antigen is induced by chemical mediators (8–11).

The general low level of immunoreactivity of the lesions studied may be due to one or more factors. Less than ideal tissue fixation may negatively affect antigen expression. This, however, may not have been significant since the comparably stained inflammatory lesion showed intense staining. Heterogeneity of antigen expression by the tumor cells, a phenomenon noted in both normal and neoplastic macrophages (23, 24), could also contribute to the generally low immunoreactivity of the giant cell lesions. Finally, if the non-inflammatory lesions are, in fact, composed of neoplastic macrophages or related cells, reduced HLA-DR expression may be related to cellular dysfunction.

Giant cell granuloma share many morphologic and histochemical features (25–28). In addition to acid phosphatase positive giant cells, two mononuclear cell types, macrophages and fibroblast-like cells, have been identified (22, 26, 29). Similar cell populations are found in the other non-inflammatory giant cell lesions as evidenced by the morphology and immunohistochemical reactivity of their round, spindle, and giant cells. In this study another mononuclear cell type, the dendritic cell, was noted. This suggests that these are Langerhans cells and raises the possibility that Langerhans cells may have a role in the development of some of these lesions.

In one case report, a monoclonal antibody developed to identify a macrophage antigen labelled the multinucleate giant cells of a giant cell tumor of long bone (21). Other macrophage markers (muramidase, α-1 antitrypsin, C3, Fe) have generally been absent in multinucleate giant cells of giant cell tumors (21, 23, 30). This lack of reactivity of giant cells was also noted relative to HLA-DR antigens in the non-inflammatory lesions of this study. This difference may be related to immunologically inactive and/or physiologically aged giant cells in these lesions (31–33).

It is generally believed that multinucleate cells in giant cell lesions are derived from mononuclear cells (macrophages or related cells) (21, 26, 27, 34). The findings in this study are consistent with this concept based on the co-expression of HLA-DR antigens on mononuclear macrophages and multinucleate giant cells. The finding of binuclear and trinuclear giant cells in non-inflammatory giant cell lesions suggests that newly formed cells initially retain HLA-DR activity and that this activity is subsequently lost as these cells age (acquire more nuclei) (31, 32).
Fig. 4. Peripheral giant cell granuloma showing numerous HLA-DR positive mononuclear cells (arrows). Note HLA-DR positive dendritic cell (arrow head) (×400).

range from congenital to reactive to neoplastic can be problematic for the pathologist because of their markedly similar histopathologic appearances. Unfortunately, HLA-DR antigens do not appear to be an indicator of differentiation within this group of lesions. Determination of HLA-DR antigen expression does not appear to be helpful in the separation of these clinically diverse lesions. It is concluded that 1) most giant cell lesions exhibit HLA-DR immunoreactivity, 2) inflammatory giant cell lesions are generally more immunoreactive than other giant cell lesions, and 3) multinucleated giant cells are relatively non-reactive in neoplastic lesions.
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