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Abstract

Some three-dimensional minimum-time paths to a specified line (or point), heading and energy are presented for an example supersonic aircraft. The optimum maneuvers have been determined using the calculus of variations and the energy-state approximation. These are compared with the optimal solutions obtained with the additional assumption that only three discrete values of bank angle (− φ = 0, + φ_max) are available. Constraints on thrust, Mach number, angle-of-attack, dynamic pressure, and load factor are included. For ranges long enough that maximum velocity is attained en route, the initial and final arcs can be determined separately, which greatly simplifies the solutions.

Nomenclature

\[ \begin{align*}
D & = D_0 + D_L \sec^2 \phi = \text{aerodynamic drag; lb} \\
D_0 & = D_0(E,V) = \text{zero-lift drag; lb} \\
D_L & = D_L(E,V) = \text{drag due to lift at } L = W \text{ at } \phi = 0; \text{ lb} \\
E & = h + \frac{V^2}{2g} = \text{energy height; ft} \\
L & = L(\alpha) = \text{aerodynamic lift; lb} \\
L_\alpha & = L_\alpha(E,V) = \text{lift curve slope; lb/deg} \\
M & = V/a = \text{Mach number} \\
T & = \text{thrust; lb} \\
V & = \text{velocity; ft/sec} \\
W & = \text{aircraft weight; lb} \\
a & = \text{local speed of sound; ft/sec} \\
g & = \text{gravitational acceleration; ft/sec}^2 \\
h & = E - \frac{V^2}{2g} = \text{altitude; ft} \\
t & = \text{time; sec} \\
x, y & = \text{horizontal position coordinates; ft} \\
\alpha & = \text{angle-of-attack; deg} \\
\gamma & = \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{h}{V} \right) = \text{flight path angle relative to horizontal; deg} \\
\phi & = \text{bank angle; deg} \\
\psi & = \text{heading angle; deg}
\end{align*} \]

Subscripts

\[ \begin{align*}
f & = \text{final value} \\
\max & = \text{maximum value} \\
0 & = \text{initial value}
\end{align*} \]

Introduction

Use of the energy-state approximation greatly simplifies the determination of optimal flight paths for aircraft with only a small reduction in accuracy (see e.g. refs. 1 and 2). For three-dimensional flight paths the energy-state model requires three control variables: thrust (T), bank angle (ϕ), and altitude (h). For paths to a line (see fig. 1a) the model uses only three state variables, energy (E), heading (ψ), and distance to the line (x). For paths to a point (see fig. 1b), an additional state variable is needed: lateral position perpendicular to the line connecting the initial and final points (y).

The equations of motion and applicable constraints are summarized in the appendix. If the initial range (x0) is sufficiently large that maximum velocity (V_max) is reached in transit, the minimum-time path to a line can be separated into two parts (see fig. 2a). First, the minimum-time path is found to a straight V_max arc perpendicular to the line (i.e. ψ = 0). Second, the minimum-time turn from the V_max arc to the line is determined. The length of the intermediate V_max arc then is obtained such that the sum of the ranges traversed on the three segments equals x0.

This "separation of arcs" greatly simplifies the solution since the initial-arc problem has only two parameters (E0, ψ0) and the final-arc problem has only two parameters (E0, ψ0). Each of these two problems can be solved by generating a one-parameter family of arcs. However, for short ranges (no V_max arc) the problem has five parameters (E0, ψ0, x0, E0, ψ0), and the tabulation of solutions is virtually impossible.

The arcs can also be separated, for sufficiently long range, in determining minimum-time paths to a point (see fig. 2b). However, in addition to the length of the V_max arc, its heading and its intercept distance...
Figure 1. Horizontal Projection of Flight Paths (a) to a Line and (b) to a Point.

(x coordinate where $V_{\text{max arc}}$ or its extension intercepts $y = 0$) must also be determined. This can be done iteratively using the two-parameter families of initial and final arcs mentioned previously.

Aircraft Flight Envelope for Zero Bank Angle

Figure 3 shows the flight envelope of an early F4 ("Phantom") airplane at weight ($W$) of 35,000 lb for zero bank angle ($\phi = 0$) and lift ($L$) approximately equal to $W$ (see reference 3 for thrust, lift, and drag data). The aircraft can move along constant energy contours ($E = \text{constant}$) very quickly by "zoom" dives or climbs (effected by changing angle-of-attack). The high-altitude, low-velocity limit of zoom climbs is the maximum angle-of-attack ($\alpha_{\text{max}}$) boundary. Here we took $\alpha_{\text{max}} = 120^\circ$.

The low-altitude, high-velocity limit of zoom dives is the maximum velocity ($V_{\text{max}}$) boundary or the zero altitude ($h = 0$) boundary. $V_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum velocity independent of $E$. Also shown are the contours where drag ($D$) equals maximum thrust ($T_{\text{max}}$). These contours separate regions where $\dot{E} < 0$ and $\dot{E} > 0$ with $T = T_{\text{max}}$.

Energy is charged by adjusting the thrust. * The region

* Note at low energies, the constant energy contours are almost parallel to constant altitude contours, so angle-of-attack primarily controls speed while thrust primarily controls altitude. At high energies, the constant energy contours are almost parallel to constant speed curves, so $\alpha$ primarily controls altitude while $T$ primarily controls speed.

Figure 2. Horizontal Projection of Minimum-Time Paths (a) to a Line and (b) to a Point.

Figure 3. Aircraft Flight Envelope with $\phi = 0$, $L = W$.

where $\dot{E} > 0$ with $T = T_{\text{max}}$ is quite large and extends up to $E = E_{\text{max}} = 108 \text{ kft}$. 

2
Minimum-Time Paths to a Given Long Range: \( \eta_0 = 0, \phi \text{ unspecified} \)

Figure 4 shows the altitude–Mach number profile of flight paths that yield minimum time to a given long range when no turning or slowing-down is required (\( \eta_0 = 0, \phi \text{ unspecified} \)). ("Long range" will be used henceforth to indicate that a \( V_{\text{max}} \) arc occurs on the path). An example is indicated for \( E_0 = E_f = 3 \text{kft} \). For other values of \( E_0 \) and \( E_f \), zoom maneuvers at constant \( E \) to the ascent "MAX CRUISE" boundary are used. The ascent \((E > 0)\) path uses \( T = T_{\text{max}} \) but is not the same as the path for maximum \( E \) (also shown in Figure 4). The \( \eta = 0 \) minimum-time path has higher velocity at each energy level than the \( (E)_{\text{max}} \) path (see ref. 1). The descent path is along the \( V_{\text{max}}(E), (-E)_{\text{max}} \) boundary using \( T = 0 \) and "chatter", i.e. banking rapidly first to \( \phi_{\text{max}} \), then to \( -\phi_{\text{max}} \), in order to maximize the drag due to lift \((L = W \sec \phi_{\text{max}})\).

Figure 5 shows the altitude–range profile of the flight paths in Figure 4. For long ranges, the initial and final arcs are determined separately; a \( V_{\text{max}} \) cruise arc is inserted between them with appropriate range so that the total range is the specified \( x_0 \). For example, with \( E_0 = E_f = 40 \text{kft} \), any range greater than 88 nm will include an initial arc of 80 nm range, a \( V_{\text{max}} \) arc and a final arc of 8 nm range.

Aircraft Flight Envelope for Maximum Bank Angle Turning

Figure 6 shows the flight envelope of the same airplane in turning maneuvers at maximum bank angle \((\phi = \phi_{\text{max}})\), with \( L \cos \phi_{\text{max}} = W \), i.e. the vertical component of lift equal to weight. Here we took \( \tan \phi_{\text{max}} = \frac{L}{W} \), maximum bank angle \( \phi_{\text{max}} = 4 \). The maximum angle-of-attack boundary is also the locus of maximum turn-rate \( (T_{\text{max}}) \) and minimum velocity for a given energy \( (V_{\text{min}}(E)) \). This boundary is now substantially lower than in Figure 3, as is the contour where \( D = T_{\text{max}} \). The intersection of these two contours at \( h = 20 \text{kft}, M = .75 \) is the highest energy where maximum turn rate can be sustained (called the Lufbery circle point in ref. 4). The region where \( \dot{\phi} > 0 \) with \( T = T_{\text{max}}, \phi = \phi_{\text{max}} \) is relatively small and occurs in the transonic speed regime below \( h = 20 \text{kft} \).

Minimum-Time Paths to a Line (Long Range): \( \eta_0 \neq 0, E_f \text{ and } \phi \text{ unspecified} \)

Figure 7 shows a horizontal projection of a typical minimum-time path to a given line which is far enough away that a \( V_{\text{max}} \) cruise arc occurs on route. The case shown is for \( E_0 = 43 \text{kft}, \eta_0 = 180^\circ \). The continuous bank angle solution (ref. 5) uses \( T_{\text{max}} \) turn for the first \( 110^\circ \) (to \( \phi = 70^\circ \)), then a gradually decreasing altitude and bank angle to the \( h = 0 \) minimum-time locus of Figure 4. Note that \( \dot{\phi} = 0 \) is not reached "exactly" until \( V = \frac{V_{\text{max}}(E)}{2} \) at a range of 86 nm. Also shown in Figure 7 is a suboptimal path with \( \phi \) limited to three discrete values.
Figure 7. Horizontal Projection of a Typical Minimum-Time Turn to a Given Long Range, $E_0 = 43$ kft.

(- $\dot{\phi}_{\text{max}}$, 0, + $\dot{\phi}_{\text{max}}$). It consists of a $\dot{\phi}_{\text{max}}$ turn all the way to $\dot{\phi} = 0$ (which occurs at a range of 0.5 nm), then a zoom dive to the $\Delta \dot{\phi} = 0$ minimum-time locus of Figure 4. Both paths reach $V_{\text{max}}$ at substantially the same time and distance (56 nm). Because of its simplicity, this suboptimal path provides a significant reduction in computational requirements, with only a slight reduction in flight time. This model appears to be quite similar to the energy-maneuverability model of Boyd (ref. 6).

There are four types of minimum-time turns to a given long range where $E_f$ and $\dot{\phi}_f$ are unspecified. Figure 8 shows the accelerating turn case where $E_0 < 30$ kft.

30 kft. For $\dot{\phi}_f = 0$, the aircraft zoom dives to $h = 0$ and follows the $\Delta \dot{\phi} = 0$ minimum-time path. For $0^\circ < \dot{\phi}_f \leq 180^\circ$, the aircraft zoom dives or climbs to the $V_{\text{max}}$ locus where an accelerating turn takes place, followed by a zoom dive to the $\Delta \dot{\phi} = 0$ minimum-time path. Figure 9 shows the constant-velocity turn case where $E_0 = 30$ kft. Here the aircraft zoom dives or climbs to the $V_{\text{max}}$ locus where a constant-velocity turn takes place, followed by a zoom dive to the $\Delta \dot{\phi} = 0$ minimum-time path. Figure 10 shows a decelerating turn case where 30 kft < $E_0 < 93$ kft. The aircraft zoom dives or climbs to the $V_{\text{max}}$ locus where a decelerating turn takes place, followed by a zoom dive.
to the $\Delta \psi = 0$ minimum-time path. The examples of Figures 8-10 all used $T = T_{\text{Max}}$. For $E_0 > 95 + (180 - \psi_{\text{L}})k$ ft, an initial period of $T = 0$ is required. An example of such a case is shown in Figure 11 for $E_0 = E_{\text{Max}} = 108$ kft and $\psi_{\text{L}} = 180^\circ$. The aircraft turns about $15^\circ$ before $T$ is switched from zero to $T_{\text{Max}}$ to complete the turn.

Figure 12 shows all minimum-time turns to a given long range ($E_f, \psi_f$ unspecified) with $E_0, \psi_0$ as parameters. Note there is a range of possible $E_0$ from 98 to 108 kft at $V_{\text{Max}}$. The suboptimal paths with a $T_{\text{Max}}$ turn all the way to $\psi = 0$, then a zoom dive to the $A+ = 0$ minimum-time path are also depicted.

Minimum-Time Path to a Point from $V_{\text{Max}}$ Cruise; $E_f$ and $\psi_f$ Specified

Figures 13 and 14 show a horizontal projection and an $h$ vs $M$ profile, respectively, of a typical minimum-time path to a point or line from $V_{\text{Max}}$ cruise. The case shown is for $E_f = 37$ kft, $\Delta \psi = 180^\circ$. It consists of: (a) a straight chatter arc ($\phi = \pm \psi_{\text{Max}}$) descending in altitude on the $V_{\text{Max}}(E)$ boundary with $T = 0$ to $E = 70$ kft; (b) a $\psi_{\text{Max}}$ turn, still descending on the $V_{\text{Max}}(E)$ boundary with $T = 0$, to $\Delta \psi = 60^\circ$, $E = 47$ kft; (c) a zoom climb to the $E_{\text{Max}}$ boundary; (d) a $T_{\text{Max}}$ turn, decelerating with $T = 0$, to $\Delta \psi = 150^\circ$, $E = 39$ kft; (e) a $\psi_{\text{Max}}$ turn decelerating with $T = T_{\text{Max}}$, to $\Delta \psi = 180^\circ$, $E_f = 37$ kft; and finally (f) a zoom climb or dive to the desired final altitude. Note this same case applies to turning onto a line ($\Delta \psi = \pm 90^\circ$) if $E_f = 44$ kft (there would be no $T = T_{\text{Max}}$ phase).

Figure 15 shows another type of path for $E_f = E_{\text{Max}} = 108$ kft, $\Delta \psi = 180^\circ$. It uses $T = T_{\text{Max}}$ all the way and
Figure 15. Minimum-Time 180° Turn to a Point from \( V_{\text{max}} \) Cruise, \( E_f = E_{\text{max}} = 108 \text{ kft} \).

Consists of four arcs: (a) \( \psi_{\text{max}} \) turn decreasing energy to 67 kft and turning 180°, (b) a zoom dive to the \( \Delta \psi = 0 \) minimum-time path, (c) with \( \psi = 0 \), moves along \( \Delta \psi = 0 \) minimum-time path to the \( V_{\text{max}}(E) \) boundary, up that boundary to \( M = 2 \), and (d) a constant Mach number climb at \( M = 2 \) to \( E_f = 108 \text{ kft} \).

Figure 16 shows all minimum time turns to a point or line from \( V_{\text{max}} \) cruise with \( E_f, \Delta \psi \) as parameters. Note that paths may start anywhere on \( \Delta \psi = 0 \), 98 < \( E < 108 \) assuming that the appropriate energy would have been achieved during cruise by a constant Mach number climb.

Figure 16. Minimum-Time Turns to a Point or Line from \( V_{\text{max}} \) Cruise.

Paths that Reach \( V_{\text{max}}(E) \) but not \( \dot{V}_{\text{max}} \)

It is also possible to "separate arcs" for cases where the initial turn reaches \( V_{\text{max}}(E) \) but not necessarily \( \dot{V}_{\text{max}} \) with \( \phi = 0 \). It is only necessary to match \( E_f \) of the initial arc to \( E_0 \) of the final arc where, in this case, 75 < \( E < 98 \text{ kft} \).

Conclusions

When the initial range is sufficiently large that the maximum velocity constraint is encountered en route, the calculation of minimum-time maneuvers can be greatly simplified by a separation of arcs into two 2-parameter problems. For shorter ranges the solution involves five parameters. Suboptimal paths along which the bank angle is restricted to three discrete values (-\( \dot{\psi}_{\text{max}} \), 0, +\( \dot{\psi}_{\text{max}} \)) compare favorably with the optimum, continuous bank angle solutions for transonic speeds and below. At very high speeds the difference becomes more noticeable. However, the suboptimal paths are much simpler to compute and increase the flight time only moderately, thus making them attractive for possible on-line, real-time applications.
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Appendix — Equations of Motion and Constraints

The equations of motion for three-dimensional flight using the energy-state approximation are (see Nomenclature):

\[ \dot{E} = \frac{V}{W} (T - D_0 - D_L \sec^2 \phi) \]
\[ \dot{\psi} = \frac{\alpha}{V} \tan \phi \]
\[ \dot{x} = -V \cos \psi \]
\[ \dot{y} = V \sin \psi \]

The state variables are \( E, \psi, x \) and \( y \); the three control variables are \( V, \theta \) and \( \phi \). The auxiliary variables \( h, \alpha, \) and \( \gamma \) are defined in the Nomenclature. These equations assume: (a) \( |\psi| \ll 1, |\gamma| \ll 1 \), (b) \( V/\gamma \ll g \), (c) instantaneous velocity changes possible at constant \( E \) (zoom dives or climbs), (d) \( T \ll L_\alpha \), and (e) weight change is negligible during the maneuver.

The following constraints are observed:
\[ 0 \leq T \leq T_{\max}(E, V) \]
\[ V_{\min}(E, \phi) \leq V \leq V_{\max}(E) \]
\[ -\phi_{\max} \leq \phi \leq \phi_{\max} \]

where
\[ T_{\max}(E, V) = \text{maximum available thrust} \]
\[ \tan \phi_{\max} = \text{maximum permissible turning load factor (g's)} \]

The maximum velocity constraint is imposed by engine and dynamic pressure limits and the constraint that \( h \geq 0 \) (see Fig. 3). The minimum velocity constraint (see Figs. 3 and 7) results from specifying a maximum angle-of-attack:
\[ \alpha = \frac{W \sec \phi}{L_\alpha(E, V)} \leq \alpha_{\max} \]

The bank angle constraint assures that the crew are not subjected to excessively high load factors.

* \( \alpha_{\max} \) was taken as \( 12^\circ \) for our examples.
** \( \phi_{\max} \) was taken as \( \approx 76^\circ \) for our examples (\( \tan \phi_{\max} = 4 \)).