Developmental Progress of the Nanoparticle Field Extraction Thruster
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The Nanoparticle Field Extraction Thruster (NanoFET) is an electrostatic accelerator technology currently under development at the University of Michigan to accelerate micro-/nano-particles. The concept exists in two configurations: the liquid configuration stores and transports particulate propellant using microfluidics while the dry configuration eliminates the liquid feed system in favor of particle transport via piezoelectric actuators. Microgravity flight tests of the liquid-NanoFET concept indicate good agreement with theory regarding the threshold electric field for liquid surface instabilities. This threshold electric field was observed to increase in low Bond number systems as the channel diameter decreased and appeared to be governed by the largest characteristic channel orifice dimension. Particle liftoff and extraction from both liquid- and air-filled reservoirs were also demonstrated in the microgravity environment. On the ground, preliminary experiments showed that particle liftoff electric fields could be reduced with the application of inertial accelerations from piezoelectrics to the charging electrode. Both the recent microgravity and ground test results for the NanoFET concept are presented.
**Nomenclature**

\[ a_I = \text{inertial acceleration} \]
\[ a_I^* = \text{critical inertial acceleration} \]
\[ a_0 = \text{distance of closest approach between surfaces} \]
\[ Bo = \text{Bond number} \]
\[ Bo^* = \text{critical Bond number} \]
\[ d = \text{particle diameter} \]
\[ E = \text{applied electric field} \]
\[ E_c = \text{charging electric field} \]
\[ E_G = \text{minimum electric field to overcome gravitational forces} \]
\[ E_{\text{lift}} = \text{particle liftoff electric field} \]
\[ E_{\text{min}} = \text{minimum electric field to initiate liquid surface instability} \]
\[ F_A = \text{adhesion force} \]
\[ F_E = \text{electrostatic force} \]
\[ F_G = \text{gravitational force} \]
\[ F_I = \text{inertial force} \]
\[ g = \text{gravitational acceleration} \]
\[ h = \text{Lifshitz constant} \]
\[ h_c = \text{capillary height} \]
\[ I_{sp} = \text{specific impulse} \]
\[ L = \text{characteristic length of system} \]
\[ l = \text{slot orifice length} \]
\[ q_0 = \text{initial particle charge} \]
\[ T = \text{characteristic Taylor cone formation time} \]
\[ t_{\text{rise}} = \text{pulse rise time} \]
\[ t_{99\%} = \text{pulse settling time to 99\% of nominal pulse height} \]
\[ w = \text{slot orifice width} \]
\[ x_p = \text{piezoelectric actuator displacement} \]

\[ \alpha = \text{image charge factor} \]
\[ \beta = \text{knife-edge expansion angle} \]
\[ \gamma = \text{surface tension coefficient} \]
\[ \delta = \text{orifice diameter} \]
\[ \delta^* = \text{critical orifice diameter} \]
\[ \varepsilon = \text{permittivity of ambient environment} \]
\[ \varepsilon_l = \text{liquid permittivity} \]
\[ \varepsilon_r = \text{relative permittivity} \]
\[ \varepsilon_0 = \text{free space permittivity} \]
\[ \theta_c = \text{liquid equilibrium contact angle} \]
\[ \rho = \text{particle mass density} \]
\[ \rho_l = \text{liquid mass density} \]
\[ \rho_0 = \text{mass density of ambient environment} \]
\[ \sigma_l = \text{liquid electrical conductivity} \]
\[ \tau_c = \text{characteristic charging time} \]
I. Introduction

The Nanoparticle Field Extraction Thruster (NanoFET) is an electrostatic micro-/nano-particle accelerator technology that is being developed for use as an electric propulsion system. Conceptually, NanoFET employs micro-/nano-electromechanical systems (MEMS/NEMS) to transport, charge, and accelerate conductive particles. A stack of electrode gates is electrically biased to provide the necessary charging and accelerating electric fields, with charged particle passage through arrays of channels etched through the MEMS electrode stack.

By electrostatically charging the particulate propellant, NanoFET achieves flexibility in adjusting the propellant’s specific charge and in performing dynamic retasking of the host spacecraft. Other potential benefits include NanoFET’s flat-panel array being scalable to accommodate propulsive needs and, by using solid propellants, NanoFET’s improved propulsion system packaging efficiency and increased density-Isp. A separate neutralizer is also not necessary since NanoFET can operate in a bipolar manner to be a self-neutralizing thruster.

Two main NanoFET configurations are in development. The liquid-NanoFET configuration shown in Figure 1 (left) makes use of a recirculating, microfluidic feed system to transport particles to charging sites beneath the MEMS gates. Once sufficiently charged via contact with charging electrodes immersed in the dielectric liquid, the particles move through the liquid due to the imposed electric fields. At the surface of the liquid reservoir, the particles must overcome surface tension to be extracted and accelerated by the MEMS gates. Low liquid electrical conductivity and viscosity reduce particle charge loss and viscous drag losses, respectively. The liquid must also be of low vapor pressure to avoid excessive fluid loss to the space environment.

Two main NanoFET configurations are in development. The liquid-NanoFET configuration shown in Figure 1 (left) makes use of a recirculating, microfluidic feed system to transport particles to charging sites beneath the MEMS gates. Once sufficiently charged via contact with charging electrodes immersed in the dielectric liquid, the particles move through the liquid due to the imposed electric fields. At the surface of the liquid reservoir, the particles must overcome surface tension to be extracted and accelerated by the MEMS gates. Low liquid electrical conductivity and viscosity reduce particle charge loss and viscous drag losses, respectively. The liquid must also be of low vapor pressure to avoid excessive fluid loss to the space environment.

The “no liquid” (i.e., dry) configuration eliminates the microfluidic particle delivery system in favor of charging and accelerating dry particles. This parallel design configuration addresses various challenges imposed by the liquid-NanoFET configuration. These challenges include maintaining a stable liquid-vacuum interface during thruster operations, minimizing particle wetting and the resulting fluid loss during particle extraction, accommodating space charge limits due to viscous drag in the liquid, and maintaining liquid purity from gas and particulate contamination that may lead to electrical arcing and shorts. Particle transport is anticipated in the dry-NanoFET configuration, also shown in Figure 1 (right), using piezoelectrics.
The following sections discuss recent development work on both NanoFET configurations. More details regarding the “no liquid” configuration’s design and initial prototype test results are presented in a companion paper.6

II. Liquid-NanoFET: Preventing Electrohydrodynamic Instabilities

A spherical particle in contact with a charging electrode and exposed to a uniform electric field acquires the charge

\[
q_0 = \frac{\pi}{6} \varepsilon E c d^2. \tag{1}
\]

where \(\varepsilon\) is the permittivity of the medium about the particle. The specific charge is therefore directly proportional to the charging electric field. Whereas the maximum allowable charging electric field is limited by electron field emission in the dry-NanoFET configuration,8 the liquid-NanoFET system has two other limiting factors. The first consideration is the liquid’s dielectric strength so as to avoid electrical breakdown. The second, possibly lower limit is the threshold for liquid surface instabilities.

When a liquid surface is subjected to sufficiently high electric fields, the resulting electric pressure on the charged liquid surface can overcome gravity and surface tension. The result is the formation of Taylor cones on the liquid surface that may result in the ejection of liquid droplets as in a colloid thruster. Such features are undesirable for NanoFET because they lead to fluid loss in the system as well as reduced thrust precision due to a distribution of specific charge in the droplets.

Following Tonks’s approach9, diagramed in Figure 2, a balance of electrostatic, hydrostatic, and surface tension forces at the liquid surface results in the liquid surface first becoming unstable at an applied electric field of

\[
E_{\text{min}} = \left( \frac{4 \gamma \rho g L}{\varepsilon_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}. \tag{2}
\]

If the derivation is redone by neglecting gravitational forces for a system in which the Bond number

\[
Bo = \frac{\rho g L^2}{\gamma} \tag{3}
\]

is much smaller than the critical value \(Bo^*\), then the threshold for liquid surface instability becomes

\[
E_{\text{min}} = \left( \frac{8 \gamma}{\varepsilon_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}. \tag{4}
\]
where $\lambda/2$ is defined in Figure 2 as the effective perturbation hump diameter. Note that the instability threshold, which is independent of a spatial scale when gravitational effects are important, varies with the inverse square root of the system’s characteristic length when gravitational effects are negligible.

A. NanoBLUE Microgravity Flight Experiments

In cooperation with the University of Michigan Student Space Systems Fabrication Laboratory, a student-led organization dedicated to providing university students with practical space systems design-build-test-fly experiences, a series of microgravity experiments were flown by the undergraduate NanoBLUE team through NASA’s Reduced Gravity Student Flight Opportunities Program. The preliminary microgravity flights in May 2007 showed the feasibility of using knife-edge orifices as passive valves to pin liquid surfaces in microgravity; flight data also suggested that liquid surface instabilities become more difficult to excite as the orifice diameter decreases.

For the 2008 flights, refinements in the test setup were implemented to accomplish the following objectives:

- Determine in microgravity the electric field thresholds for liquid surface instabilities and observe the liquid-wall interactions in knife-edge orifices of different geometric configurations.
- Demonstrate in microgravity the liftoff of particles from charging electrodes and extraction through the liquid surface.

1. Test Cell Design

Polycarbonate test cells were used to contain the test liquid in flight. A dual-channel configuration for the test cells housed independent test channels, thereby permitting one channel to be tested while monitoring the adjacent passive channel for microgravity effects. Following a previous microgravity experiment, knife-edge orifices were fabricated from polycarbonate plates to pin the liquid surface and prevent the test liquid from climbing the channel walls due to capillary effects. The knife-edge orifice functions due to the principle that a pressure barrier develops when the cross-section of a channel abruptly enlarges; for the knife-edge geometry shown in Figure 3, a passive valve is formed if $\beta > 90^\circ - \theta$. Knife-edges used in flight all have an expansion angle of $90^\circ$.

As shown in Figure 4, each test cell channel housed a stainless steel electrode flush with the bottom of the channel. An electrode was biased to high voltage to charge the liquid, and an electric field was generated between the charged liquid surface and the grounded glass anode coated with indium tin oxide (ITO). The test cells were sealed against leaks by rubber Buna-N gaskets at the transparent front and back faceplates.

A different set of test cells, shown in Figure 5, were used for liftoff and extraction demonstrations using 2017-aluminum spheres (1.98 ± 0.03 mm in diameter). Three sets of knife-edge orifice and accelerating grid aperture geometries (a single 25.4-mm diameter circular orifice, three 7.94-mm diameter circular orifices, and a single slot orifice of width 7.94 mm and length 28.58 mm) were used. Whereas soybean oil was used for the liquid surface instability studies due to its low characteristic charging time ($\tau = \varepsilon/\sigma$) permitting the liquid surface to become fully charged during each microgravity test period, the particle test cells used 50-cSt silicone oil, whose low electrical conductivity reduced particle charge loss to the liquid. Properties of the test liquids are listed in Table 1.

![Figure 3. Charged liquid surface pinned at the knife-edge.](image-url)

**Table 1. Physical properties of microgravity test liquids.**

*Equations are for 25 °C and are usable for actual test conditions. The equilibrium contact angles to polycarbonate were measured via confocal laser scanning microscopy.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Liquid</th>
<th>Specific Density</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_r$</th>
<th>$\sigma_0$ [mN/m]</th>
<th>$\gamma$ [deg]</th>
<th>$\theta_c$ [deg]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soybean oil</td>
<td>0.910</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicone oil</td>
<td>0.963</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>30.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4. Dual-channel test cell design for observing liquid surface instabilities in microgravity. The test cells used in flight included both circular (top left) and slot (bottom left) knife-edge orifices. The ends of the slots are semicircles. (Top right) The back of a test cell houses electrical connections to the high-voltage charging electrodes and the grounded ITO anode. (Bottom right) Liquid is filled to just below the knife-edge, leaving an air gap from the knife-edge to the anode.

Figure 5. Test cell design for demonstrating particle liftoff and extraction in microgravity. (Left) The stack configuration contains an accelerating grid that is electrically grounded to the ITO anode. (Right) Particles are charged via three stainless steel electrodes that are in contact with particles at the bottom of the liquid reservoir.
2. Experiment Setup

The experiment layout onboard the NASA C-9B aircraft is shown in Figure 6. A Glassman EH30N3 negative-polarity, high-voltage power supply (HVPS) was commanded remotely via a LabVIEW-controlled Keithley 2400 sourcemeter. A Gigavac high-voltage single-pole/double-throw relay connected the pin-switch power distribution system to either the HVPS (to bias test cells) or to a high-power resistor (to discharge the test cells in between tests). This pin-switch power distribution system, when activated via manual switches, permitted specific test channels to be electrically biased. The experiment’s aluminum unistrut frame served as the electrical ground through direct bolting to the aircraft cabin floor.

![Diagram of experiment setup](image)

**Figure 6. Subsystem interactions in the NanoBLUE microgravity experiment.** Multiple test cells were connected to the pin-switch power distribution system. AC power and flight accelerometer readings were provided by the aircraft.

Two camcorders were mounted on a sliding track to view the test cells during flight. A Sony DCR-DVD505 Handycam provided a front view of the test cell, and its 240-fps record mode was used to capture particle dynamics during the particle liftoff and extraction demonstrations. A Sony DCR-HC26 Handycam provided the top view of the test cells at 30 fps. I-V data was obtained with a Keithley KUSB-3102 data acquisition module connected to a Panasonic Toughbook 52 semi-rugged laptop running the LabVIEW test code. Three-axis accelerometer readings from the aircraft were recorded, and both the aircraft cabin temperature and relative humidity were monitored in flight. A detailed discussion of the experiment design process is presented in a companion paper.15

3. Flight Operations

Two sets of parabolic flights were conducted in June 2008 with each parabola providing approximately 20 seconds of microgravity test time. On average, the aircraft cabin had a temperature of 16 ± 2 °C with a relative humidity of 15 ± 3% during the flights. During microgravity periods, the HVPS increased the voltage bias to the liquid instability test cells by 1-kV steps every second, as seen in Figure 7. This voltage profile was implemented so that each test voltage set point may be held for at least the duration of the characteristic Taylor cone formation time.16

\[
T = 3^{3/2} \pi \frac{\gamma}{E^3} \left( \frac{\rho_s}{\epsilon_0} \right)^{1/2}.
\]  

(5)
For soybean oil under the test conditions, the characteristic Taylor cone formation times are less than 0.3 s, thus justifying the applied voltage profile.

A single test channel or particle extraction cell was tested during each microgravity period, which was followed by about 50 s of up to 1.8-g exposure. No tests were performed during these high-gravity periods.

B. Test Results and Discussion

Prior to flight, each test cell was filled such that the liquid meniscus remained below the top of the knife-edge. In microgravity, the meniscus level decreased from its preflight height, suggesting liquid climbing of the knife-edge shelf walls. However, no liquid spillage out of the passive valves prior to Taylor cone formation was apparent with the application of electric fields during testing.

![Figure 7. Microgravity test conditions.](image)

Figure 8 shows a typical Taylor cone formation observed during flight, with cone formation occurring at the knife-edge rather than within the orifice. As shown in Figure 3, the liquid must maintain its equilibrium contact angle with the wall while pinned at the knife-edge, resulting in a liquid surface that is concave upwards. Consequently, the electric field is intensified at the knife-edge’s triple junction, where Taylor cones would be expected to form first. Some liquid spilled from the larger knife-edge orifices during aircraft takeoff; however, subsequent Taylor cone formation occurred away from these spill sites, suggesting that the spills did not impact test results.

![Figure 8. Soybean oil Taylor cone formation in microgravity.](image)

With the charged liquid pinned at the knife-edge in microgravity, the effective gap distance may be assumed to be the separation of the ITO anode from the top of the knife-edge. On the ground, the effective gap distance is the nominal fill height (i.e., midway between the bottom and top of the knife-edge shelf) corrected for the capillary climb height.
\[ h_c = \frac{4\gamma \cos \theta}{\rho_0 g \delta}. \]  

(6)

1. Liquid Surface Instabilities in Circular Orifices

Figure 9 shows that in microgravity, liquid surface instabilities become excitable at lower electric fields as the orifice size increases. The microgravity test flight data are in good agreement with

\[ E_{\text{min}} = \left( \frac{8n\gamma}{\varepsilon_0 \delta} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \]  

(7)

where \( n = 3 \). Comparison with Equation 4 suggests that the orifice diameter is six times larger than the effective perturbation hump diameter. Assuming that the perturbation may be treated as a standing wave across the orifice with the effective hump diameter being a half wavelength, Equation 7 indicates that the orifice diameter is three times larger than the perturbation wavelength.

![Figure 9. Onset of liquid surface instabilities in soybean oil. The electric field is defined as the ratio of the test cell bias voltage to the effective gap distance, with \( n = 3 \) of Equation 7 providing the best fit to the flight data.](image)

Ground test results (at 23 ± 1 °C and 55 ± 4% relative humidity) obtained using the same in-flight voltage profile are in good agreement with Equation 2, which, when equated with Equation 7 (\( n = 3 \)), gives the critical orifice diameter

\[ \delta^* = \left( \frac{144\gamma}{\rho_0 g} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \]  

(8)

Comparison with Equation 3 suggests that using the orifice diameter as the characteristic length, systems with \( Bo \ll 144 \) are dominated by surface tension effects, and Equation 7 (\( n = 3 \)) may be used to determine the electric field threshold for liquid surface instability. Otherwise, gravitational effects play an important role in the system, and Equation 2 should be used. This critical Bond number (\( Bo^* = 144 \)) for the largest test orifices corresponds to 0.3 g, which is significantly larger than the onboard g-forces shown in Figure 7 and confirms that the C-9B flights
provided a test environment sufficient to examine systems dominated by surface tension effects. For soybean oil on the ground, the critical orifice diameter is about 22 mm; as the orifice size decreases from this critical value, the system is expected to be increasingly governed by surface tension effects and Equation 7. However, testing of smaller orifices was limited by electrical breakdown in the test cell air gap, especially during ground tests due to the higher humidity levels.

2. Liquid Surface Instabilities in Slot Orifices

As shown in Figure 4, slot knife-edged orifices can be specified by two dimensions, the length $l$ and width $w$. Figure 10 shows the test results for slots with a length of 30 mm. The flight data, with a modest range of slot aspect ratios tested, suggest that in the low Bond number regime, Equation 7 may be used for slot orifices by setting $\delta = l$. This approach is equivalent to stating that the largest orifice dimension determines the characteristic length. As with the circular orifices, Taylor cones formed at the knife-edges rather than within the orifice.

![Figure 10. Behavior of slot knife-edge orifices ($l = 30$ mm) in microgravity for soybean oil. Unity aspect ratio refers to circular orifices.](image)

On the ground, test data display good agreement with Equation 2. Use of the smaller slot width dimensions as the characteristic length still results in Bond numbers on the order of $Bo^*$. Therefore even for the larger slot aspect ratios, gravitational effects remained important in the system for the ground tests, thus justifying the fit to Equation 2.

3. Particle Liftoff and Extraction

Particle liftoff from an immersed charging electrode and subsequent extraction from the surface of a dielectric liquid had been previously demonstrated on the ground. Demonstrations for particle extraction in microgravity were conducted by applying a constant 21-kV bias to the particle test cells for less than 5 s during each microgravity period. Silicone oil, which was filled on the ground to the bottom of the knife-edge shelf, has a characteristic charging time of over a minute; consequently, the silicone oil did not experience significant charging during these tests.

Three particles were present in each test cell and charged on electrodes that were separated by five particle diameters. During aircraft takeoff, some of the particles came off the charging electrodes due to sloshing in the liquid reservoirs and were not charged during testing. Figure 11 shows one of the successfully charged particles liftoff and extract through silicone oil during a microgravity flight. The transit time through the liquid was less than 0.2 s, so the particle experienced negligible charge loss to the silicone oil. During the extraction process, which wetted the particle, the particle induced liquid instability and caused silicone oil to splash out of the knife-edge.
orifice. This phenomenon needs to be examined in more depth to understand possible constraints on the liquid-NanoFET configuration.

A set of particle test cells without any liquid was also flown. Particles from these cells were lifted off the charging electrodes and accelerated towards the ITO anodes upon application of the 21-kV bias.

III. Dry-NanoFET: Impulse-Assisted Particle Liftoff

Whereas the presence of a liquid may be used to reduce the cohesive forces among particles and the adhesive force between particles and the charging electrode, the dry-NanoFET configuration must overcome these forces by other means. Current designs for the dry-NanoFET configuration propose using piezoelectric actuators to provide inertial forces for enabling the liftoff and acceleration of individual particles. Previous research has already shown the feasibility of using piezoelectrics to manipulate micro-particles.18

A. Piezoelectric-Driven Charging Electrode

Consider a spherical particle in contact with a smooth, planar electrode. The van der Waals adhesive force scales linearly with particle size as

$$F_A = \frac{1}{16\pi} \frac{h}{a_0^2} d,$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

with \(a_0\) typically set at 0.4 nm.19 This adhesion force may be overcome by applying a sufficiently high electric field. Using Equation 1, the resulting electrostatic force is

$$F_E = \alpha q_0 E_c = \frac{\pi^3}{6} \alpha \varepsilon E_c^2 d^2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

with \(\alpha = 0.832\) to account for the image charge effect. By accounting for the gravitational force

$$F_G = \frac{\pi}{6} (\rho - \rho_0) gd^3,$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

the electric field required for particle liftoff from the electrode satisfies

$$E_{\text{lift}}^2 = \frac{1}{\pi^2} (\alpha \varepsilon)^{-2} \left[ \frac{3}{8\pi^2} \frac{h}{a_0^2} d^{-1} \pm (\rho - \rho_0) gd \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

depending on the direction of the gravity vector. By adding an inertial force of magnitude

$$F_I = \frac{\pi}{6} \rho a_I d^3,$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)
to the particle, the liftoff electric field may be reduced by

$$\Delta E_{\text{lin}} = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \frac{q_i}{\alpha \varepsilon} d .$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

In an inertial reference frame, this inertial force may be imparted to the particle by accelerating the charging electrode. Piezoelectric actuators, devices that undergo mechanical deformation due to crystalline polarization effects when subjected to an applied electric field, represent an attractive means of generating such accelerations with their high spatial resolutions, quick response times, low power consumption, and compact form factors. The ability to adjust the particle liftoff electric field would provide the dry-NanoFET configuration with added flexibility to tune its performance.

B. Proof-of-Concept Experiments

The experimental setup for examining a piezoelectric-driven charging electrode is shown in Figure 12. The charging electrode consisted of a 100-mm diameter, 500-μm thick glass wafer on which a 300-nm thick layer of gold with a 30-nm thick chromium adhesion layer was deposited via plasma vapor deposition. Atomic force microscopy indicated that the roughness of the gold surface was about 20 nm. A Noliac CMAP09 piezoelectric actuator was mounted to the back of the glass wafer using epoxy. Charging electric fields were provided by suspending, at 1-cm separation in air, an identical wafer connected to an UltraVolt negative-polarity HVPS. The entire electrode assembly was mounted on a vibration-isolated optical table.

The piezoelectric actuator’s driving signal was provided by an Agilent 33220A arbitrary waveform generator, amplified via a Kepco BOP 100-2D bipolar operational power supply, and monitored with a Tektronix TDS2024B oscilloscope. During operations, health monitoring of the piezoelectric’s current draw and capacitance was performed, and a thermocouple probe in contact with the actuator ensured that operational temperatures were kept well below the piezoelectric’s Curie temperature. Displacement of the charging electrode was measured with a Philtec D100 fiberoptic sensor. Due to the need to operate the sensor in the near-field to obtain the necessary measurement sensitivity, the sensor was not used when a bias voltage was placed across the electrodes. Instead, the charging electrode’s displacement upon activation of the piezoelectric actuator was checked before and after the experiment.

Shown in Figure 13 (top left), the particles used in the experiments were silver-coated, soda-lime glass spheres with a diameter of 196 ± 16 μm and mass density of 2500 kg/m³ from Mo-Sci Corporation. Ten particles, as shown in Figure 13 (bottom left), were placed on the charging electrode for each experiment with inter-particle spacing of 1.43 ± 0.03 mm. This spacing is greater than seven particle diameters, thus minimizing inter-particle interactions. The use of multiple particles per test run provided a statistical means of accounting for variations in particle size and contact interface conditions. Particle dynamics following liftoff were monitored with a Photron FASTCAM-X 1024 PCI high-speed imaging system.

Figure 12. Block diagram of setup testing piezoelectric-driven charging electrodes. The open-loop setup required regular use of the fiberoptic sensor and the voltage probe to check the piezoelectric actuator’s motion and driving signal, respectively.
C. Test Results and Discussion

Square pulses of different rise times were sent to the piezoelectric actuator, resulting in effective inertial accelerations of approximately

\[ a_I = \frac{x_p}{t_{99\%}} \]  

(15)

with \( t_{99\%} \) defined as the settling time from the peak pulse overshoot to 99% of the nominal pulse height. These pulses were at a frequency of 10 Hz (1% duty cycle), which is below the fundamental frequency of the system, and the piezoelectric actuator was kept within its linear operating regime. All tests were conducted under ambient conditions of 24 ± 1 °C and 49 ± 1% relative humidity.

Figure 14 shows preliminary results from this experiment with the liftoff electric field decreasing as higher inertial accelerations were applied. At each acceleration test case, the particles displayed a large range of liftoff electric fields, suggesting the impact of variations in local surface conditions. Due to the high humidity levels
during the tests, capillary effects may play a significant role that is not accounted for in Equation 9 and must be investigated further.

At the critical acceleration

$$ a_I^* = \frac{3}{8\pi^2} \frac{h}{\rho a_0^*} d^2 \pm \left( 1 - \frac{\rho}{\rho_0} \right) g, \quad (16) $$

the inertial acceleration is sufficiently large to overcome the adhesion force without any applied electric fields. Testing on the ground, however, requires application of an electric field of at least

$$ E_{G}^2 = \frac{1}{\pi^2} (\alpha\varepsilon)^{-1} (\rho - \rho_0) gd \quad (17) $$

to overcome the opposing gravitational field and keep the particle off the electrode.

Additional experiments involving piezoelectric-driven charging electrodes are currently in progress.

---

**Figure 14.** Reduction in liftoff electric field with piezoelectric-driven charging electrode. The electric field values plotted are averages with the standard deviations included. The fitted curve is based on Eq. 12 and 14 with an effective Lifshitz constant $h = 0.6$ eV.

---

**IV. Conclusion**

Recent experiments in microgravity and on the ground have yielded promising results for NanoFET’s development. For the liquid-NanoFET configuration, the NanoBLUE microgravity flight results suggest that the electric field threshold for liquid surface instability is increased for smaller channels. Higher particle charging electric fields may thus be possible for channels at the MEMS scale, resulting in a larger range of specific charges and propulsion performance. While slot orifice geometries may be easier to microfabricate than large numbers of
circular orifices, the trade-off must be evaluated between manufacturing ease and the reduction in the maximum allowable charging electric field relative to an array of circular orifices.

For the dry-NanoFET configuration, preliminary ground test results have demonstrated the ability to reduce particle liftoff electric fields with the use of inertial accelerations provided to the charging electrode. This phenomenon provides the dry-NanoFET design with added flexibility to tune its performance. Further studies are needed to better understand the particle adhesion and cohesion forces in the NanoFET system and their impacts on NanoFET’s design and operations.
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