Toughened Graphite-Epoxy Composites Exposed in Near-Earth Orbit for 5.8 Years
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This experiment was designed to measure the effect of near-Earth space exposure on three mechanical properties of specially toughened unidirectional T300/5208 graphite–epoxy cross-ply composite materials. The properties measured are fracture toughness, elastic modulus, and strength. Six toughness specimens and nine tensile specimens were mounted on an external frame during the 5.8-y orbit of the Long Duration Exposure Facility. Three identical sets of specimens were manufactured at the outset: the flight set, a zero-time nonflight set, and a total-time nonflight set. Results indicate that with proper protection from solar exposure and atomic-oxygen degradation, toughened graphite–epoxy composites can provide useful structural service in near-Earth orbit for at least five years.

Nomenclature

\[ E = \text{elastic modulus} \]
\[ K_{IC} = \text{mode-I critical stress intensity factor} \]
\[ R = \text{fracture toughness} \]
\[ S_s, S_u = \text{strength (tensile strength)} \]

Introduction

The then-recent development of a procedure for improving the toughness of graphite–epoxy composites\(^2\) provided an appropriate material for near-Earth space exposure testing when the Long Duration Exposure Facility (LDEF) was publicly proposed by NASA Langley Research Center in the late 1970s. This toughening procedure, termed intermittent interlaminar bonding, consists in the introduction of a thin perforated layer of Mylar film between adjacent plies of a cross-ply composite so as to limit the area of inter-ply bonding. In this way, fracture of the composite is diverted when crossing regions having no bonding between plies, with a consequent substantial increase in total area of fracture and an increase in fracture energy, usually with only minor reduction in strength and elastic modulus. LDEF is a 12-sided cylindrical frame roughly 9 m long and 4 m in diameter that was deployed with its axis perpendicular to the Earth’s surface in a near-circular orbit inclined 28.5 deg to the equator, and stabilized so that it did not rotate about its axis. The 11-month duration of LDEF, as originally planned, offered an opportunity to establish whether this composite would be suitable for structural applications in spacecraft. The mechanical properties of principal interest to the designer are fracture toughness, elastic modulus, and tensile strength, so these were the properties measured. That the orbit of LDEF lasted 5.8 years turned out to provide much more valuable results than would have been obtained in 11 months. With an orbital plane approximately 28.5 deg from the ecliptic, LDEF was deployed from a shuttle orbiter at an altitude of 481 km (260 n mile) and retrieved at 333 km (180 n mile). Since near-Earth space exposure of 5.8 years for any retrieved experiment has never been achieved, even the very limited results from this experiment with toughened composites provide an indication of their future applicability to space structures.

Test Procedure

The tensile dumbbell-shaped specimens are each about 183 mm in overall length with test-section width about 20 mm, as shown in Fig. 1. All specimens with intermittent interlaminar bonding consist of eight layers of prepreg unidirectional T300 graphite tape with 5208 epoxy, plus seven layers of 7-\(\mu\)m-thick Mylar\(^2\), and are about 1.1 mm thick. For this study, orientations of the graphite cross ply were either ±20 or ±45 deg relative to the tensile axis. The prepreg composite of T300 graphite with 5208 epoxy was Narmco Lot 50348470, batch 20, roll 20, having density of 142.2 g/m\(^2\) and 67.4% filament. The Mylar used contains evenly spaced holes of 1.1-mm diam in a matrix spaced appropriately for the percentage of contact desired. The cross-ply specimens were fabricated by the manufacturer's specifications. Using steel friction grips, each specimen was tested in tension in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications, with an orbital plane approximately 28.5 deg from the ecliptic, and stabilized so that it did not rotate about its axis. The 11-month duration of LDEF, as originally planned, offered an opportunity to establish whether this composite would be suitable for structural applications in spacecraft. The mechanical properties of principal interest to the designer are fracture toughness, elastic modulus, and tensile strength, so these were the properties measured. That the orbit of LDEF lasted 5.8 years turned out to provide much more valuable results than would have been obtained in 11 months. With an orbital plane approximately 28.5 deg from the ecliptic, LDEF was deployed from a shuttle orbiter at an altitude of 481 km (260 n mile) and retrieved at 333 km (180 n mile). Since near-Earth space exposure of 5.8 years for any retrieved experiment has never been achieved, even the very limited results from this experiment with toughened composites provide an indication of their future applicability to space structures.
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critical stress intensity factor. With the large values of toughness measured, the ratio of stress intensity factor to yield strength (in this case the fracture stress), upon which the radius of the plastic region depends, would mandate thicknesses one to three orders of magnitude greater than the subject specimens in order to achieve mostly plane strain conditions. Thus the results obtained here for plane strain conditions. These results are meaningful for the range of thicknesses measured, as well as foreseeable thicknesses that might be used in actual structures.

For each of the two classes of specimens, tensile and fracture-toughness, the cross-ply angle and the fraction (percent) of contact as foreseeable thicknesses that might be used in actual structures.

For each of the two classes of specimens, tensile and fracture-toughness, the cross-ply angle and the fraction (percent) of contact that are in contact.

The interlaminar contact fraction depends, would mandate thicknesses one to three orders of magnitude greater than the subject specimens in order to achieve mostly plane strain conditions. Thus the results obtained here for plane strain conditions. These results are meaningful for the range of thicknesses measured, as well as foreseeable thicknesses that might be used in actual structures.
Experiment Location and Exposure

The experiment was located on LDEF in tray D12, which was oriented so that the vector normal to the plane of the tray was 82 deg from the velocity vector. The layout of the 15 specimens, and their orientation with respect to space and the approximate velocity vector of LDEF, are shown in Fig. 4. All specimens were held in place with thin aluminum strips bolted to the test frame, not shown in the sketch. During the mission the experiment tray received a full-spectrum total solar fluence of 33.5 GJ/m², and a total solar fluence in the 0.2-0.4-μm band of 2.68 GJ/m². This exposure was approximately 47% of the exposure received by the space-facing end of LDEF and approximately 61% of the exposure received by the leading edge of LDEF.

The total atomic-oxygen fluence on the experiment tray at the end of the mission was 12.0 x 10²⁴ atoms/m², which is approximately 14% of the fluence experienced by the leading edge of LDEF;³ Of particular importance here is that atomic oxygen produced erosion in this experiment only in the surface epoxy and caused no loss of graphite filaments.

In a cursory examination limited to micrometeoroids of diameter greater than 0.3 mm, 215 impact features were observed in tray D12, of which the present experiment tray comprised 1/15 of the total area. Of these impacts, 189 were 0.3 to 0.5 mm in diameter and 26 were larger than 0.5 mm in diameter. The largest observed impact was 1.6 mm in diameter. Several apparent damage indications were observed on graphite-epoxy specimens, but replicas of these areas failed to provide clear evidence of the cause of this damage. Examination of replicas of a very small fraction of the aluminum surfaces from the present experiment in the field emission microscope revealed large numbers of impact craters as small as 0.1-μm diameter, leading to an estimated total number of impact craters larger than 0.1 μm on the present experiment of the order of 2000 to 10,000. Because the number of micrometeoroid craters varies inversely with diameter, most of the impacts were very small and thus unlikely to have penetrated sufficiently to lead to significant structural damage in the graphite-epoxy specimens.

Results

All specimens were manufactured in December 1982, in preparation for delivery of the flight specimens to NASA Langley Research Center the following spring for vibration testing and installation on LDEF. All specimens for each of the 15 groups were cured at the same time from the same batch. LDEF was launched in April 1984, approximately 16 months after manufacture of the specimens, and retrieved in January 1990. The three sets of specimens were designated as:

Set A: Flight specimens, to be flown on board LDEF.
Set B: Zero-time specimens, to be tested at the time of the launch of LDEF.
Set C: Total-time ground specimens stored in an air-conditioned and heated building at approximately 20°C, to be tested after the flight along with set A.

Six fracture-toughness specimens (group numbers 1–6) and nine tensile specimens (group numbers 7–15), of varying layup angle and percentage of contact, were manufactured for each of the three sets. Complete descriptions of the characteristics of each group of specimens, date of manufacture, date of testing, and results are compiled in Table 1.

Mechanical Test Results

Substantial changes in mechanical properties of the ground control specimens occurred during the 100 months of the experiment. Our past experience with composite specimens of this same type had shown some modest scatter in results, but we had never tested specimens that were more than a few months old. In the present program, even the zero-time specimens, set B, were approximately 18 months old when tested, and the rest of the specimens were about 100 months old. The scatter in results between the zero-time specimens (set B) and the total-time ground specimens (set C) was therefore unanticipated. One study on aging effects in graphite-epoxy at 121°C over almost exactly the same time duration (50 kh) as the LDEF mission showed no loss in 177°C strength of unidirectional composites, and approximately 40% loss in 177°C strength for ±45 deg cross plies.

The effect of thermal cycling from orbiting on the coefficient of thermal expansion of unidirectional graphite-epoxy was simulated in a study,⁷ which concluded that cracking observed at the filament-matrix interface led to an increase in the coefficient of thermal expansion, which became more severe with increase in the test temperature and duration of the test. Thus the influence of possible interfacial cracking from thermal cycling introduces yet another environmental factor that must be considered.

The results demonstrate that, in general, partial contact produces the highest values of toughness, as would be expected from the basic mechanism of intermittent bonding. Thus the toughness for 18 and 36% contact is higher than for 0% contact, and much higher than for 100% contact. The effect of interfacial treatment on this graphite-epoxy has already been covered elsewhere⁸ and was not an objective of the present study. As anticipated, the toughness for 18 and 36% contact is higher than for 0% contact, and much higher than for 100% contact.

±20-deg Cross Ply, 100% Contact
Figures 5–7 contrast the properties of the ±20-deg 100%-contact specimens before and after flight. Data on the toughness and strength of total-time ground specimens are not available. For the 100%-contact specimens, the toughness is low for both zero-time and flight specimens compared with specimens having less than 100% contact.

±20-deg Cross Ply, 18% Contact
Figures 8–10, for ±20-deg 18%-contact specimens, show much higher toughness than for the 100% specimens, higher modulus, and somewhat lower strength. Only the toughness drops significantly after flight exposure.

±45-deg Cross Ply, 100% and 36% Contact
Likewise, Figs. 11–16 show results for ±45-deg specimens, 100 and 36% contact. Because of the increased angle of the cross plies, strength and modulus drop markedly, in contrast to the ±20-deg specimens. Again, the toughness of the 36% specimens is higher than that of the 100% specimens, and the flight toughness for the 36% specimens is virtually the same as the zero-time toughness. Similar comparisons can be made for the other sets of specimens; since the overall balance of properties of the 0% contact specimens is not as good as for either partial or 100% contact, they are poor candidates for consideration as structural materials.

Toughness of Flight Specimens

In every case, the toughness of the flight specimens was less than that of the zero-time and the ground control total-time specimens; this suggests degradation from exposure. The toughness of all flight
specimens is shown in Fig. 17. As already noted above, we have no explanation for the increases of toughness with time of most of the ground control total-time specimens and, for ±20-deg 0% specimens, a marked decrease in toughness with time. Thus we cannot evaluate the effect of exposure alone, considering the already large effect of time.

Modulus of Flight Specimens

Figure 18 shows the elastic modulus of all flight specimens. The ±45-deg specimens are all of low modulus as a consequence of the large angle of the filaments with respect to the tensile direction; all of the ±20-deg specimens show several times the modulus of the ±45-deg specimens. That one of the ±20-deg 18% specimens shows much higher modulus than the corresponding 100%-contact specimen suggests that the ±20-deg 18% datum may be the result of an inaccurate measurement. The scatter in modulus of flight specimens that is demonstrated in Fig. 18 appears to follow no consistent pattern, and the very limited number of tests precludes further conclusions. The testing procedure for measuring the modulus is rather critically dependent on control of specimen slippage, with scatter observed in repeated tests; thus we have used average values here. The widely different values of modulus in groups 8 and 9, which have the same layup, demonstrate this problem.

Strength of Flight Specimens

The strength of all flight specimens (Fig. 19) shows a similar difference between the ±45-deg specimens and the ±20-deg specimens. As expected, the 100%-contact specimens for both layup
angles show the highest strengths. Measurement of strength of these composites is more precise than measurement of toughness or modulus, as can be noted by the closeness of values for groups 8 and 9. The scatter of the ground specimens is less for the strength specimens, and flight specimens are in every case but one (group 9, ±20 deg, 18%) lower in strength than total-time control specimens.

We may conclude that flight exposure led to some degradation in strength in almost all cases.

Other Observations

We noted some apparently anomalous indentations on our aluminum frame, which we have reported elsewhere.9,10 We believe now, after further systematic examination of ground control and flight-tray clips, that these observations represent artifacts somehow resulting from techniques of fabrication, although we still have not established their origins.

Wahl maximum-temperature sensors were located on the outside (exposed) face of each of the specimens. These sensors indicate the maximum temperature reached during ground storage, launch, flight, retrieval, and postflight storage, in increments of 11°C. The temperatures indicated upon retrieval of the experiment are given in Table 2.

From Fig. 4 it is apparent that specimens A-4 through A-7 have no special location or orientation with respect to the experiment panel that would explain the lower observed maximum temperature, and no other LDEF experiment in the vicinity is likely to have led to the observed differences. Thus we may conclude that the maximum
Table 2 Maximum external temperatures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-1</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>A-6</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>A-11</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-2</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>A-7</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>A-12</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-3</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>A-8</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>A-13</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-4</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>A-9</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>A-14</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-5</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>A-10</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>A-15</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Concluding Remarks

The following experimental results were noted:

1) Marked degradation from exposure, by a factor of roughly two from the control specimens, is observed in every one of the six toughness specimens.

2) Except for the group 1 specimen (±20 deg, 0% contact), the toughness of the other four control specimens increased during the 100 or so months since manufacture. Although an observation that four out of five specimens increased in toughness is significant, the limited amount of this increase probably lies within the range of scatter for the test.

3) The elastic modulus of the flight specimens varied rather widely from the control specimens for the same life, both higher and lower. In six of the nine specimens, the flight modulus was lower than the zero-time modulus; in four of the nine specimens, the flight modulus was lower than the total-time ground specimens. Some of this variation is surely experimental scatter, but we have no way to establish its extent.

4) In most cases, the elastic modulus of the control specimens either remained about the same or degraded during the experiment. In no case did it increase significantly.

5) The strength of the flight specimens ranged from moderate increase to moderate decrease, except for group 7 (±20 deg, 0% contact), which was about half of the initial strength. In every specimen except ±20 deg with 18% contact, the strength of the flight specimens was less than that of the total-time ground specimens.

6) The change in strength of the control specimens ranged from moderate increase to moderate decrease. Even with the better precision of the strength results, this modest variation is probably attributable to scatter.

7) Substantial differences are observed in the behavior of specimens that are the same cross-ply angles and fraction of interlaminar contact.

8) In general, the 0%- and 100%-contact layups produced poorer combinations of postflight properties than partial-contact layups with the same cross-ply arrangement.

From these experimental results the following conclusions are drawn:

1) With the proper selection of layup (see discussion of ±20 deg and 18% contact below), and also for choices of layups not included in this experiment, toughened graphite-epoxy composites can be used for extended exposure, at least in near-Earth orbit, for periods of the order of 5 years without degradation to intolerable levels of toughness, elastic modulus, and strength. This assumes that suitable coating or protection from solar exposure and atomic oxygen is provided; neither of these problems was severe in our test, because of the orientation of the test panel.

2) The single best combination of acceptable properties of toughness, elastic modulus, and strength in uniaxial tension after flight exposure is achieved for the layup of groups 2, 8, and 9: ±20 deg, 18% contact. These results are shown in Figs. 8–10. Although the toughness dropped to 393 kJ/m², this is still an entirely acceptable value, and both the elastic modulus and the tensile strength remained essentially constant as a result of the 5.8-1 near-Earth space exposure.
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